Research Note 797

infections caused by enterococci. In: *Methods in molecular medicine*, vol. 15, Molecular bacteriology: protocols and clinical applications. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press, 1998; 469–493.

- Duck WM, Steward CD, Banerjee SN et al. Optimization of computer software settings improves accuracy of pulsedfield gel electrophoresis macrorestriction fragment pattern analysis. J Clin Microbiol 2003; 41: 3035–3042.
- 15. Tenover FC, Arbeit RD, Goering RV *et al.* Interpreting chromosomal DNA restriction patterns produced by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis: criteria for bacterial strain typing. *J Clin Microbiol* 1995; **33**: 2233–2239.
- Chow JW. Aminoglycoside resistance in enterococci. Clin Infect Dis 2000; 31: 586–589.
- 17. Del Campo R, Tenorio C, Rubio C *et al*. Aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes in high-level streptomycin and gentamicin resistant *Enterococcus* spp. in Spain. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2000; **15**: 221–226.
- Udo EE, Al-Sweih N, John P et al. Characterization of high-level aminoglycoside-resistant enterococci in Kuwait hospitals. Microb Drug Resist 2004; 10: 139–145.
- 19. Hällgren A, Saeedi B, Nilsson M *et al.* Genetic relatedness among *Enterococcus faecalis* with transposon-mediated high-level gentamicin resistance in Swedish intensive care units. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2003; **52**: 162–167.
- Peset V, Tallón P, Sola C et al. Epidemiological, microbiological, clinical, and prognostic factors of bacteremia caused by high-level vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus species. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2000; 19: 742–749.

## RESEARCH NOTE

Prevalence of *erm* genes encoding macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin (MLS) resistance among clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* in a Turkish university hospital

Z. Saribas, F. Tunckanat and A. Pinar

Hacettepe University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Microbiology and Clinical Microbiology, Ankara, Turkey

## **ABSTRACT**

This study investigated the prevalence of the erm(A), erm(B) and erm(C) genes among 122 MLS-resistant clinical isolates of Staphylococcus aureus

Corresponding author and reprint requests: Z. Saribas, Hacettepe University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Microbiology and Clinical Microbiology, Ankara, Turkey E-mail: zsaribas@superonline.com

from a Turkish university hospital. Of these isolates, 44 were inducibly resistant and 78 were constitutively resistant. The presence of one or more erm genes was demonstrated in 114 isolates; the erm(C) gene was detected in 97 isolates, and the erm(A) gene was detected in 96 isolates. Seventy-eight isolates harboured both erm(A) and erm(C). The combination of erm(A), erm(B) and erm(C) genes was detected in only one isolate.

**Keywords** *erm* genes, lincosamide, macrolide, MLS resistance, *Staphylococcus aureus*, streptogramin B

Original Submission: 2 May 2005; Revised Submission: 5 September 2005; Accepted: 21 December 2005

*Clin Microbiol Infect* 2006; 12: 797–799 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2006.01486.x

Macrolide (erythromycin), lincosamide (clindamycin) and streptogramin B (vernamycin  $B\alpha$ ) antibiotics inhibit protein synthesis by binding to overlapping sites in the 50S ribosomal subunit [1]. Although they are chemically distinct antibiotics, they have a similar mode of action. Emergence of drug-resistant, especially methicil-lin-resistant, *Staphylococcus* strains, has led to the investigation of possible new antibiotics for the treatment of staphylococcal infections. Use of macrolide, lincosamide and streptogramin (MLS) antibiotics is limited for staphylococcal infections, but they are often considered as an alternative treatment regiment [2,3].

Three different resistance mechanisms for macrolide antibiotics have been described in staphylococci, but the main mechanism involves target-site modification following methylation of the ribosome. The methylase enzyme adds one or two methyl groups to the adenine residue in the 23S rRNA moiety, and thereby decreases the affinity of the ribosomal subunit for MLS antibiotics. Crossresistance to these chemically unrelated antibiotics is observed since their binding sites overlap. The second mechanism of resistance involves an efflux system that results in resistance to macrolides and streptogramin B antibiotics. The third mechanism involves inactivation of antibiotics by the enzymes acetyltransferase, hydrolase, nucleotidyltranferase and phosphotransferase [4–6].

MLS resistance in staphylococci can be either constitutively or inducibly expressed. While isolates showing constitutive resistance are resistant to 14-membered (erythromycin, roxithromycin, clarithromycin), 15-membered (azithromycin), 16membered (spiramycin, josamycin, miomycin and midecamycin) macrolides and clindamycin, isolates showing inducible resistance are resistant to 14- and 15-membered macrolides only [6,7].

Three main *erm* (erythromycin ribosome methylation) genes, i.e., erm(A), erm(B) and *erm*(C), have been described in staphylococci. The erm(A) gene is located on transposon Tn554, which has insertion sites in the Staphylococcus aureus chromosome. Structural alterations in constitutively or inducibly expressed erm(A) genes have been demonstrated [6,8,9]. The erm(C) gene has been found on a 3.7-kb element on a plasmid, pE194, and has also been found on smaller plasmids. The erm(B) gene is located on transposon Tn551 [6]. Other genes, such as erm(F) and erm(Y), may also be responsible for MLS resistance [10,11].

The prevalence of erm genes in MLS-resistant S. aureus isolates has been demonstrated previously [12–15]. As the prevalence of MLS resistance and erm genes varies between countries and individual hospitals, the present study investigated, for the first time, the prevalence of the erm(A), erm(B)and erm(C) genes in MLS-resistant clinical isolates of *S. aureus* from a university hospital in Turkey.

Hacettepe University Hospital is a 1150-bed hospital with 600 000 admissions annually. Antibiotic use is strictly controlled by the infectious diseases control committee of the hospital. Data concerning the prevalence of MLS resistance and resistance phenotypes in staphylococci in this hospital have been reported previously [16]. In this previous study, 500 consecutive clinical isolates of staphylococci were collected from different adult inpatients between June 1996 and June 1998. Of the 500 isolates, 132 (26.4%) were resistant to MLS antibiotics, with 91 (18.2%) being constitutively resistant, and 40 (8%) being inducibly resistant. The MS phenotype (resistance to macrolides and lincosamides only) was detected in only one isolate. Of the 132 resistant isolates,

122 were S. aureus and ten were coagulasenegative staphylococci.

In the present study, 122 MLS-resistant S. aureus isolates, 104 of which were methicillin-resistant and 18 were methicillin-susceptible, were retested for MLS resistance by the diskdiffusion method described by Jenssen et al. [17]. The absence of inhibition zones around the antibiotic disks was considered to be indicative of constitutive resistance. Flattening or blunting of the shape of the clindamycin and vernamycin Bα inhibition zones, adjacent to the erythromycin disk, was considered to be indicative of inducible resistance [7,17]. Methicillin resistance was determined by the broth macrodilution test [18].

Total DNA of all isolates was prepared by the boiling method [19]. The primers described by Lina et al. [13] were used for amplification of the erm(A), erm(B) and erm(C) genes [13]. Amplification products were visualised following electrophoresis on agarose 1.5% w/v gels. Table 1 summarises the distribution of the erm genes, grouped according to the methicillin resistance of the isolates. The ratio of constitutively MLSresistant isolates to inducibly-resistant isolates (approximately 2:1) may reflect the more frequent use of non-inducing MLS antibiotics in the hospital studied.

Constitutively-resistant isolates have been reported to be the predominant form of resistance in some previous studies, whereas others have found that the inducible resistance pattern is more frequent [13,15,17]. In the present study, 78 isolates harboured both the erm(A) and erm(C) genes. Similarly, in the study by Melter et al. [12], 64 of 100 isolates contained both erm(A) and erm(C), which was the predominant pattern of resistance. All except one of the methicillin-susceptible S. aureus (MSSA) isolates in the present study were inducibly MLS-resistant, and the *erm*(C) gene was the predominant gene expressed. Lina et al. [13] also reported

|                         | erm(A) | erm(C) | erm(A) +<br>erm(C) | erm(A) +<br>erm(B) + erm(C) | No erm<br>gene detected |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Inducible MLS ( $n = 4$ | 4)     |        |                    |                             |                         |
| MSSA (n = 17)           | 1      | 9      | 7                  | _                           | _                       |
| MRSA $(n = 27)$         | 11     | 2      | 12                 | -                           | 2                       |
| Constitutive MLS (n     | = 78)  |        |                    |                             |                         |
| MSSA (n = 1)            | _      | _      | 1                  | _                           | _                       |
| MRSA $(n = 77)$         | 5      | 7      | 58                 | 1                           | 6                       |
| Total $(n = 122)$       | 17     | 18     | 78                 | 1                           | 8                       |

Table 1. Distribution of erm genes macrolide-lincosamidestreptogramin (MLS)-resistant isolates of Staphylococcus aureus

that the inducible phenotype was predominant among MSSA, with 25% of isolates having erm(C) as a single MLS resistance gene. In the study by Spiliopoulou  $et\ al.\ [15],\ erm(C)$  was the most frequent gene among constitutively MLS-resistant methicillin-resistant  $S.\ aureus$  isolates. As in previous studies of clinical isolates of staphylococci, erm(B) was rare, being detected in only one isolate in the present study. However, erm(B) has been detected in isolates of  $Staphylococcus\ intermedius$ ,  $Staphylococcus\ xylosus$  and  $Staphylococcus\ hyicus\ [20]$ . Future studies should investigate the possible presence of other erm genes and ribosomal mutations that have been defined recently [4,10,11].

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank H. Seppala for supplying DNA extracts of reference strains. This work was supported by Hacettepe University Research Foundation, grant no. 0202101039.

## REFERENCES

- Roberts MC, Sutcliffe J, Courvalin P, Jensen BL, Rood J, Seppala H. Nomenclature for macrolide and macrolidelincosamide-streptogramin B resistance determinants. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1999; 43: 2823–2830.
- Jones ME, Karlowsky JA, Draghi DC, Thornsberry C, Sahm DF, Nathwani D. Epidemiology and antibiotic susceptibility of bacteria causing skin and soft tissue infections in the USA and Europe: a guide to appropriate antimicrobial therapy. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2003; 22: 406–419
- Darley ESR, MacGowan AP. Antibiotic treatment of Grampositive bone and joint infections. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2004: 53: 928–935.
- 4. Prunier AL, Malbruny B, Tande D, Picard B, Leclercq R. Clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* with ribosomal mutations conferring resistance to macrolides. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2002; **46**: 3054–3056.
- Wondrack L, Massa M, Yang BV, Sutcliffe J. Clinical strain of *Staphylococcus aureus* inactivates and causes efflux of macrolides. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1996; 40: 992–998.
- Leclercq R, Courvalin P. Bacterial resistance to macrolide, lincosamide, and streptogramin antibiotics by target modification. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1991; 35: 1267– 1272.
- Steward CD, Raney PM, Morrell AK et al. Testing for induction of clindamycin resistance in erythromycinresistant isolates of Staphylococcus aureus. J Clin Microbiol 2005; 43: 1716–1721.

- 8. Schmitz FJ, Petridou J, Astfalk N *et al.* Structural alterations in the translational attenuator of constitutively expressed *erm* (A) genes in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2001; **45**: 1603–1604.
- Ito T, Katayama Y, Asada K et al. Structural comparison of three types of staphylococcal casette chromosome mec integrated in the chromosome in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001; 45: 1323–1336.
- Chung WO, Werckenthin C, Schwarz S, Roberts MC. Host range of the *ermF* rRNA methylase gene in bacteria of human and animal origin. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1999; 43: 5–14
- 11. Matsuoka M, Inoue M, Nakajima Y, Endo Y. New *erm* gene in *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2002; **46**: 211–215.
- Melter O, Sousa MA, Urbaskova P, Jakubu V, Zemlickova H, Lencastre H. Update on the major clonal types of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in the Czech Republic. *J Clin Microbiol* 2003; 41: 4998–5005.
- 13. Lina G, Quaglia A, Reverdy ME, Leclercq R, Vandenesch F, Etienne J. Distribution of genes encoding resistance to macrolides, lincosamides, and streptogramins among staphylococci. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999; **43**: 1062–1066
- Kim HB, Lee B, Jang HC et al. A high frequency of macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin resistance determinants in Staphylococcus aureus isolated in South Korea. Microb Drug Resist 2004; 10: 248–254.
- Spiliopoulou I, Petinaki E, Papandreou P, Dimitracopoulos G. erm (C) is the predominant genetic determinant for the expression of resistance to macrolides among methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus clinical isolates in Greece. J Antimicrob Chemother 2004; 53: 814–817.
- Tunckanat F, Arikan S. Phenotypes of staphylococcal resistance to macrolides-lincosamides-streptogramin B (MLS) in a Turkish University hospital. Zentralbl Bakteriol 2000; 289: 827–833.
- 17. Jenssen WD, Thakker-Varia S, Dubin DT, Weinstein MP. Prevalence of macrolides-lincosamides-streptogramin B resistance and *erm* gene classes among clinical strains of staphylococci and streptococci. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1987; 31: 883–888.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Performance standards for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests, 6th edn. Approved standard M7-A6. Wayne, PA: NCCLS, 2003.
- Sambrook J, Russell DW. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 3rd edn. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 2001.
- Eady EA, Ross JI, Tipper JL, Walters CE, Cove JH, Noble WC. Distribution of genes encoding erythromycin ribosomal methylases and erythromycin efflux pump in epidemiologically distinct groups of staphylococci. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1993; 31: 211–217.