Provided by Elsevier - Publisher Connector

Kaohsiung Journal of Medical Sciences (2014) 30, 343-349



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Effects of metabolic syndrome, apolipoprotein E, and CYP46 on cognition among Taiwanese Chinese



Chiou-Lian Lai ^{a,b}, Li-Min Liou ^{a,c}, Ching-Kuan Liu ^{a,b}, Yuan-Han Yang ^{a,b}, Ruey-Tay Lin ^{a,b,*}

 ^a Department of Master's Program in Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, College of Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan
 ^b Department of Neurology, Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan
 ^c Department of Neurology, Kaohsiung Municipal Hsiao-Kang Hospital, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

Received 10 July 2013; accepted 21 October 2013 Available online 18 April 2014

KEYWORDS

Apolipoprotein E; Cognition; CYP46; Metabolic syndrome **Abstract** The combined effects of metabolic syndrome and the apolipoprotein E and CYP46 genotypes on the risk of cognitive decline has yet to be determined among Taiwanese Chinese. Two hundred and nine mentally healthy middle-aged and older adults were assessed for metabolic syndrome, cognitive function using the Cognitive Abilities Screening Instrument, Mini-Mental State Examination, ApoE, and CYP46 polymorphisms. There were no differences in cognitive performance, ApoE epsilon4 (ϵ 4) carrier status, or CYP46 genotypes between participants with and those without metabolic syndrome. The ϵ 4 carriers and participants with the AA allele of CYP46 had significantly lower mental manipulation score. Metabolic syndrome and ϵ 4 had synergistic effects on cognitive decline. Therefore, the ϵ 4 carriers and participants with the AA allele of CYP46 have decreased mental manipulation ability. The metabolic syndrome may play a role in subtle cognitive dysfunction in ϵ 4 carriers among Taiwanese Chinese. Copyright © 2014, Kaohsiung Medical University. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. All rights reserved.

Conflicts of interest: All authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

* Corresponding author. Department of Neurology, Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, Number 100, Tzyou 1st Road, Kaohsiung 807, Taiwan.

E-mail address: tay@kmu.edu.tw (R.-T. Lin).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.kjms.2014.03.005

1607-551X/Copyright © 2014, Kaohsiung Medical University. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. All rights reserved.

Introduction

There is currently a great deal of interest in the prevention of cognitive decline in the rapidly aging population. Ideally, preventive strategies require the identification of a suitable intervention approach. Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common cause of aged-related dementia [1,2]. Vascular risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia may reduce cerebral perfusion, increase oxidative stress, or activate a neuroinflammatory response, all of which can trigger amyloid production [3] which is a pathological hallmark of AD. Metabolic syndrome is a clustering of cardiovascular and metabolic risk factors that increases the risk of developing atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease and stroke [4,5]. Previous studies have suggested that several of the individual components of metabolic syndrome are associated with cognitive impairment [6,7]. Nonetheless, although many studies suggest that the combined effects of metabolic syndrome-associated vascular risk factor may lead to a greater risk of cognitive decline, a growing number of reports have conflicting results [8,9].

The apolipoprotein E gene (ApoE), localized on chromosome 19, encodes cholesterol and other lipid transporters [10]. It is produced abundantly in the brain, where it appears to modulate neuritic growth [11], neuronal repair, and the development of senile plague, vascular amyloid, and neurofibrillary tangle [12], which are all considered significant in the pathogenesis of AD. Although the distribution of ApoE alleles shows a wide variation across ethnic groups, several studies have demonstrated a relationship between ApoE ε 4 and increased risk of cognitive decline and dementia [13,14]. Furthermore, a growing body of evidence supports the association between ApoE polymorphisms, the early development of atherosclerosis, and cardiovascular events [12]. Other studies also report an association between ApoE polymorphisms and metabolic syndrome [15]. However, whether or not the combined effect of £4 and metabolic syndrome increases the risk of cognitive decline remains controversial [8,16].

The brain is the most cholesterol-rich organ in the body and cholesterol metabolism has been implicated in the pathogenesis of AD [17] through the accumulation of toxic peptide β -amyloid. However, there is still no consensus regarding the effect of cholesterol on cognitive decline or dementia [18]. Cholesterol 24S hydroxylase (CYP46) is encoded by the CYP46 gene, which is located in chromosome 14 and expressed exclusively in the brain [19]. Serum cholesterol is almost completely unable to pass through the blood-brain barrier so brain cholesterol is synthesized locally [20]. CYP46 regulates the elimination of excess cholesterol from the brain into the periphery [21] and lower CYP46 levels may lead to higher levels of brain cholesterol, thereby affecting its homeostasis. This, in turn, may also be involved in the pathogenesis of AD [22]. Recent studies support a genetic association between the intron 2 polymorphism of the CYP46 gene and the risk of AD [21,23], although other studies have not found this association [24].

The present study aimed to investigate the influence of the metabolic syndrome and lipid-related genes (ApoE and CYP46) on cognition and determine whether metabolic syndrome and genotypes of ApoE and CYP46 have a synergistic effect on cognitive decline among Taiwanese Chinese.

Methods

Participants

Two hundred and nine healthy middle-aged and older adults (age range, 56-81 years; 47% male; Taiwan Chinese ethnicity) were recruited from among individuals undergoing regular health examinations at Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan and in a communitybased setting. The participants received a comprehensive medical evaluation including demographic data, history, physical examination, blood chemistry, and cognitive assessment. Resting blood pressure and fasting lipid and glucose levels were determined. Waist circumference was measured at the level of the iliac crest at minimal inspiration to the closest 0.1 cm. Individuals with a previous history or clinical evidence of neurologic (e.g., stroke, epilepsy, Parkinson's disease, dementia, traumatic brain injury, multiple sclerosis, brain tumor) or psychiatric (e.g., schizophrenia, affective disorders, substance abuse) diseases, and those with scores on the Cognitive Abilities Screening Instrument (CASI) [25] below a cut-off value adjusted by age and educational level were excluded. All of the participants were assessed for characteristics of metabolic syndrome and ApoE and CYP46 polymorphisms after providing informed consent.

Cognitive assessment

The participants' cognitive abilities were assessed using a Chinese version of the CASI [25], conducted by research assistants. The CASI included a 25-item test divided into nine cognitive domains of attention, mental manipulation, orientation, short-term memory, long-term memory, language ability, constructional praxis, category fluency, and abstraction and judgment. The maximum score was 100, with higher scores indicating better ability. For each participant, the total CASI score and the nine domain scores were calculated. Some of the CASI items are comparable to items used in the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). Thus, a CASI-estimated MMSE score (MMSE-CE) [25] was also calculated.

Metabolic syndrome

Metabolic syndrome was defined using the modified version of the criteria introduced by the National Cholesterol Education Program - Adult Treatment Program III (NAEP-ATPIII) [26] as the presence of three or more of the following: fasting plasma glucose level \geq 110 mg/dL or drug treatment for elevated glucose level; serum triglyceride level \geq 150 mg/dL; serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) level < 40 mg/dL for men and <50 mg/dL for women; blood pressure \geq 130/85 mmHg or antihypertensive medication use; and a waist circumference > 90 cm for men or > 80 cm for women [27].

Apolipoprotein E genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes using a QIAmp blood kit (QIAGEN). Exon 4 of the ApoE gene was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with an upstream primer. 5'-TCGCGGGCCCCGGGCCTGGTACA-3' and a downstream primer. 5'- ACAGAATTCGCCCCGGCCTGGTACACTGCCA-3' [28]. The PCR products were digested with Hhal and the fragments were separated by electrophoresis on a 6% polyacrylamide gel, followed by ethidium bromide staining. The DNA fragments were visualized by UV illumination. The ApoE genotypes were determined in a blinded fashion by scoring for a unique combination of fragment sizes, as described by Wenham et al. [28]. Allele frequencies were estimated by counting the alleles and calculating sample proportions.

CYP46 genotype

Genomic DNA was extracted from whole blood samples using a standard procedure. Information on singlenucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) involved in this study can be found in a public database (http://www.hapmap.org, ID rs754203). Genotypes were determined by real-time PCR and confirmed by DNA sequencing. The rs754203 was located on an A to G SNP in intron 2 of the CYP46 gene. For this SNP, the forward primer was 5'-GGGACAATCAAA-GAAGGAG-3' and the reverse primer was 5'-AACCAAAGT-GACCCGAAG- 3'. The PCR product was digested with Mspl. The CYP46 G allele generated two fragments of 114 bp, whereas the CYP46 A allele resulted in an uncut fragment of 254 bp.

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Chi-square test were used to compare the characteristics of demographic data and measurements of cognitive assessment between participants with and those without metabolic syndrome or $\varepsilon 4$ and CYP46 genotypes. The effects of the interaction between metabolic syndrome, $\varepsilon 4$ and CYP46 genotypes on cognitive measurements were evaluated by two-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), with age, sex, and educational level as covariates. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results

When the participants were stratified according to the presence of metabolic syndrome, there were no differences in demographic characteristics, MMSE-CE scores, CASI total scores, all CASI sub-scores, or the percentages of ε 4 carriers and CYP46 genotypes (Table 1).

The demographic characteristics, MMSE-CE scores, CASI scores, percentages of metabolic syndrome, and CYP46 genotypes were compared according to the presence or absence of the ε 4 allele, with carriers and noncarriers revealing similar values for most items (Table 2). However, the ε 4 carriers had a significantly decreased mean score of mental manipulation compared with non ε 4 carriers

(8.32 \pm 1.63 vs. 8.87 \pm 1.28), but there was no significant difference in the CASI total score between the two groups.

When the participants were stratified according to CYP46 genotypes, there was a significantly lower score of mental manipulation in participants with the AA CYP46 genotype compared with those with the AG/GG CYP46 genotypes (8.09 ± 1.64 vs. 8.61 ± 1.53 ; Table 3). There were no significant differences in demographic characteristics, MMSE-CE scores, CASI total scores, components of metabolic syndrome, or percentages of metabolic syndrome and $\epsilon4$ carriers between the two groups (Table 3).

The statistical results using ANCOVA with age, sex, and educational level as covariates for the interactive effects of metabolic syndrome and the presence of ε 4 and CYP46 genotypes as measures of cognition are summarized in Tables 4 and 5. There was a significantly combined effect in mental manipulation score and a marginal effect in the CASI total score between the metabolic syndrome and ε 4 carriers. However, there was no interactive effect of metabolic syndrome and CYP46 polymorphism on CASI and MMSE-CE scores.

Discussion

The present study has several main findings. Firstly, there is no association between metabolic syndrome and cognitive performance by CASI score. Secondly, the ϵ 4 carriers and

 Table 1
 Characteristics of participants by presence of metabolic syndrome.

	MeSy	NonMeSy	р				
	n = 65	n = 144					
Ages (y) ^a	$\textbf{68.62} \pm \textbf{7.16}$	$\textbf{67.53} \pm \textbf{6.63}$	0.3037				
Sex (M/F) ^b	30/35	75/69	0.4274				
Education (y) ^a	$\textbf{10.58} \pm \textbf{3.74}$	$\textbf{11.29} \pm \textbf{3.97}$	0.2151				
MMSE (score) ^a	$\textbf{25.97} \pm \textbf{2.47}$	$\textbf{26.26} \pm \textbf{2.37}$	0.4313				
CASI-T (score) ^a	$\textbf{90.09} \pm \textbf{4.74}$	$\textbf{90.53} \pm \textbf{4.50}$	0.5334				
ATT (score)	$\textbf{6.83} \pm \textbf{1.10}$	$\textbf{7.10} \pm \textbf{0.95}$	0.0853				
MENMA (score)	$\textbf{8.38} \pm \textbf{1.47}$	$\textbf{8.41} \pm \textbf{1.66}$	0.9126				
ORI (score)	$\textbf{17.65} \pm \textbf{0.67}$	$\textbf{17.77} \pm \textbf{0.93}$	0.2745				
STM (no.)	$\textbf{9.06} \pm \textbf{2.07}$	$\textbf{9.22} \pm \textbf{1.78}$	0.5841				
LTM (no.)	$\textbf{10.00} \pm \textbf{0.00}$	$\textbf{10.01} \pm \textbf{0.19}$	0.6563				
LAN (no.)	$\textbf{9.74} \pm \textbf{0.49}$	$\textbf{9.66} \pm \textbf{0.55}$	0.2893				
DRA (score)	$\textbf{9.80} \pm \textbf{1.02}$	$\textbf{9.85} \pm \textbf{0.86}$	0.7460				
FLU(no.)	$\textbf{7.66} \pm \textbf{2.10}$	$\textbf{7.46} \pm \textbf{1.89}$	0.5058				
A&J (score)	$\textbf{10.54} \pm \textbf{1.62}$	$\textbf{10.73} \pm \textbf{0.97}$	0.2196				
ApoE ε4+, n (%) ^b	9 (13.85)	22 (15.28)	0.7875				
CYP46, n (%) ^b							
AA	27 (41.54)	58 (40.28)	0.8636				
AG/GG	38 (58.46)	86 (59.72)					

^a By analysis of variance (ANOVA).

^b By Chi-square test.

Table 2 Characteristics of participants by presence of apolipoprotein E ε 4.

<u> </u>			
	ε4+	ε4–	р
	n = 31	n = 178	
Ages (y) ^a	$\textbf{66.74} \pm \textbf{5.58}$	$\textbf{68.07} \pm \textbf{6.99}$	0.2469
Sex (M/F) ^b	15/16	90/88	0.8232
Education (y) ^a	$\textbf{11.21} \pm \textbf{3.59}$	$\textbf{11.04} \pm \textbf{3.97}$	0.8133
MMSE (score) ^a	$\textbf{26.81} \pm \textbf{2.20}$	$\textbf{26.06} \pm \textbf{2.42}$	0.0912
CASI-T (score) ^a	$\textbf{91.03} \pm \textbf{4.56}$	$\textbf{90.28} \pm \textbf{4.58}$	0.4027
ATT (score)	$\textbf{7.13} \pm \textbf{1.02}$	$\textbf{7.00} \pm \textbf{1.01}$	0.5202
MENMA (score)	$\textbf{8.32} \pm \textbf{1.63}$	$\textbf{8.87} \pm \textbf{1.28}$	0.0401
ORI (score)	$\textbf{17.74} \pm \textbf{0.51}$	$\textbf{17.73} \pm \textbf{0.91}$	0.9197
STM (no.)	$\textbf{9.11} \pm \textbf{2.02}$	$\textbf{9.18} \pm \textbf{1.85}$	0.8551
LTM (no.)	10.00 ± 0.00	10.0 1 \pm 0.17	0.6560
LAN (no.)	$\textbf{9.71} \pm \textbf{0.57}$	$\textbf{9.68} \pm \textbf{0.53}$	0.7897
DRA (score)	$\textbf{9.71} \pm \textbf{1.30}$	$\textbf{9.85} \pm \textbf{0.83}$	0.5533
FLU(no.)	$\textbf{7.45} \pm \textbf{2.29}$	$\textbf{7.53} \pm \textbf{1.90}$	0.8515
A&J (score)	$\textbf{10.81} \pm \textbf{0.91}$	$\textbf{10.65} \pm \textbf{1.02}$	0.3785
MeSy, n (%) ^b	9 (29.03)	56 (31.46)	0.7875
CYP46, <i>n</i> (%) ^b			
AA	12 (38.71)	73 (41.01)	0.8097
AG/GG	19 (61.29)	105 (58.99)	

^a By analysis of variance (ANOVA).

^b By Chi-square test.

participants with the AA allele of CYP46 have significantly lower mental manipulation scores, although there are no significant differences in the CASI total and MMSE scores. Thirdly, there is a synergistic effect of the metabolic syndrome and ε 4 on subtle cognitive dysfunction. As regards the neuro-psychological tests, the MMSE, although used most commonly in community-based epidemiologic studies [29], is limited in its ability to discriminate cognitive decline in nondemented participants. However, the CASI total score can be used as a summary index of basic cognitive skills and is useful for detecting dementia and mild cognitive impairment [25].

The diversity in study populations in terms of age and ethnicity, severity of individual components, time of exposure, and the use of medications may explain these variations. However, a more complex association is also likely. The lack of a clear definition of metabolic syndrome is due to an unclear pathogenesis [30] and this is another limitation. Further studies with long-term follow-up and serial assessments of metabolic syndrome are needed to further clarify the relationships found here.

The significantly lower mental manipulation score of the ε 4 carriers is not surprising because the CASI total scores did not show a difference in participants with or without ε 4. This finding is in line with a previous study using cognitive event-related potentials [8]. Neuroimaging studies using positron emission tomography and functional magnetic

resonance imaging (MRI) also support the association of the $\varepsilon 4$ allele with functional brain abnormalities in participants with intact cognition [31,32].

However, there are still discrepancies in the literature regarding the impact of ApoE ε 4 on cognitive decline in normal adults [14]. One reason for this discrepancy is that genetic effects on cognition are complex, with a possible involvement of several genes and a synergistic interaction with environmental factors [14]. Other explanations include age, ethnicity, sample size, and variability of the testing instruments. Cognition involves several domains and recent reports demonstrate that ApoE ε 4 has rather specific and small adverse effects on cognitive performance [14]. Various neuro-psychological tests have been used as an index of cognitive function. However, these are also limited by "floor or ceiling" effects, which involve limited sensitivity in very mildly impaired participants.

There is a significant combined effect in mental manipulation score and a marginal effect in the CASI total score between metabolic syndrome and ε 4 carriers in the present study. Dyslipidemia is a critical diagnostic component of metabolic syndrome [33]. Various ApoE genotypes have different effects on cholesterol metabolism and can influence the risk of cognitive decline through fluctuations in brain cholesterol homeostasis [12]. Most studies support the association of hypertension and diabetes with increased risk of cognitive decline and dementia [34].

Moreover, a previous study suggests that the impact of metabolic syndrome or individual components of metabolic syndrome on structural MRI of the brain differs according to

Table 3	Characteristics	of	participants	by	presence	of
CYP46 gen	otypes.					

	AA (n = 85)	AG/GG ($n = 124$)	р
Ages (y) ^a	$\textbf{67.82} \pm \textbf{7.14}$	67.90 ± 6.59	0.9349
Sex (M/F) ^b	41/44	64/60	0.6314
Education (y) ^a	$\textbf{10.88} \pm \textbf{3.93}$	$\textbf{11.19} \pm \textbf{3.90}$	0.5753
MMSE (score) ^a	$\textbf{25.88} \pm \textbf{2.38}$	$\textbf{26.36} \pm \textbf{2.40}$	0.1550
CASI-T (score) ^a	$\textbf{89.92} \pm \textbf{4.57}$	$\textbf{90.72} \pm \textbf{4.56}$	0.2151
ATT (score)	$\textbf{7.05} \pm \textbf{1.10}$	$\textbf{7.00} \pm \textbf{0.94}$	0.7484
MENMA (score)	$\textbf{8.09} \pm \textbf{1.64}$	$\textbf{8.61} \pm \textbf{1.53}$	0.0226
ORI (score)	$\textbf{17.64} \pm \textbf{1.00}$	$\textbf{17.79} \pm \textbf{0.75}$	0.2618
STM (no.)	$\textbf{9.22} \pm \textbf{1.79}$	$\textbf{9.14} \pm \textbf{1.94}$	0.7473
LTM (no.)	$\textbf{9.99} \pm \textbf{0.11}$	$\textbf{10.02} \pm \textbf{0.18}$	0.1639
LAN (no.)	$\textbf{9.70} \pm \textbf{0.49}$	$\textbf{9.67} \pm \textbf{0.56}$	0.6099
DRA (score)	$\textbf{9.89} \pm \textbf{0.79}$	$\textbf{9.79} \pm \textbf{0.99}$	0.4007
FLU(no.)	$\textbf{7.36} \pm \textbf{1.94}$	$\textbf{7.63} \pm \textbf{1.96}$	0.3373
A&J (score)	$\textbf{10.64} \pm \textbf{0.97}$	$\textbf{10.69} \pm \textbf{1.02}$	0.6776
MeSy, n (%) ^b	27 (31.76)	38(30.65)	0.8636
ApoE $\varepsilon 4+$, n (%) ^b	12 (14.12)	19(15.32)	0.8097

^a By analysis of variance (ANOVA).

^b By Chi-square test.

Table 4 Characteristics of participants by presence of metabolic syndrome and apolipoprotein E ɛ4.

	Metabolic syndrome		Nonmetabolic syndrome		р		
АроЕ	ϵ 4+ (n = 9)	$\varepsilon 4-(n = 56)$	ϵ 4+ ($n = 22$)	$\epsilon 4-(n = 122)$	MeSy	ε4	MeSy ^a ɛ4
MMSE (score)	$\textbf{25.78} \pm \textbf{2.39}$	$\textbf{26.00} \pm \textbf{2.50}$	$\textbf{27.23} \pm \textbf{2.02}$	$\textbf{26.08} \pm \textbf{2.39}$	0.1720	0.5294	0.1452
CASI-T (score)	$\textbf{88.86} \pm \textbf{5.70}$	$\textbf{90.40} \pm \textbf{4.57}$	$\textbf{92.05} \pm \textbf{3.70}$	$\textbf{90.25} \pm \textbf{4.60}$	0.1039	0.7692	0.0505
ATT (score)	$\textbf{6.78} \pm \textbf{0.97}$	$\textbf{6.84} \pm \textbf{1.12}$	$\textbf{7.27} \pm \textbf{1.03}$	$\textbf{7.07} \pm \textbf{0.94}$	0.1850	0.9131	0.5823
MENMA (score)	$\textbf{8.00} \pm \textbf{1.41}$	$\textbf{8.45} \pm \textbf{1.48}$	$\textbf{9.23} \pm \textbf{1.07}$	$\textbf{8.26} \pm \textbf{1.70}$	0.2093	0.4418	0.0484
ORI (score)	$\textbf{17.67} \pm \textbf{0.50}$	$\textbf{17.64} \pm \textbf{0.70}$	$\textbf{17.77} \pm \textbf{0.53}$	$\textbf{17.77} \pm \textbf{0.99}$	0.5287	0.9052	0.9372
STM (no.)	$\textbf{8.28} \pm \textbf{3.04}$	$\textbf{9.19} \pm \textbf{1.87}$	$\textbf{9.45} \pm \textbf{1.37}$	$\textbf{9.18} \pm \textbf{1.85}$	0.2463	0.2630	0.1299
LTM (no.)	10.00 ± 0.00	10.00 ± 0.00	10.00 ± 0.00	$\textbf{10.01} \pm \textbf{0.20}$	0.9261	0.7994	0.9410
LAN (no.)	$\textbf{9.89} \pm \textbf{0.22}$	$\textbf{9.71} \pm \textbf{0.51}$	$\textbf{9.63} \pm \textbf{0.65}$	$\textbf{9.66} \pm \textbf{0.53}$	0.0981	0.6599	0.3431
DRA (score)	$\textbf{9.22} \pm \textbf{2.33}$	$\textbf{9.89} \pm \textbf{0.59}$	$\textbf{9.91} \pm \textbf{0.43}$	$\textbf{9.84} \pm \textbf{0.92}$	0.1167	0.1024	0.0534
FLU(no.)	$\textbf{6.78} \pm \textbf{2.54}$	$\textbf{7.80} \pm \textbf{2.01}$	$\textbf{7.73} \pm \textbf{2.19}$	$\textbf{7.41} \pm \textbf{1.84}$	0.9048	0.4218	0.1751
A&J (score)	$\textbf{10.56} \pm \textbf{0.73}$	$\textbf{10.54} \pm \textbf{1.11}$	$\textbf{10.91} \pm \textbf{0.97}$	$\textbf{10.70} \pm \textbf{0.97}$	0.3532	0.6248	0.7267

A&J = abstraction and judgment; APOE = apolipoprotein E; ATT = attention; CASI = Cognitive Abilities Screening Instrument; DRA = constructional praxis; F = female; FLU = category fluency; LAN = language ability; LTM = Long-Term Memory; M = male; MENMA = mental manipulation; MeSy = metabolic syndrome; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; ORI = orientation; STM = short-term memory.

^a 2-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with age, sex, and educational level as covariate.

the ApoE genotype [35]. To complicate matters, possession of one or more $\varepsilon 4$ alleles further increases the risk of dementia. Therefore, although this study implies that metabolic syndrome may play a role in subtle cognitive dysfunction in the presence of the ApoE $\varepsilon 4$ allele among Taiwanese Chinese, large-scale and cohort studies are still necessary to further confirm such an interaction.

The present study shows that participants with the AA allele of CYP46 have significantly lower mental manipulation scores and that there is no interactive effect between metabolic syndrome and CYP46 genotypes on cognition. Previous studies have reported that CYP46 polymorphism is associated with an increased β -amyloid load in the brain [36] and is a genetic risk for AD [21,23] via cholesterol metabolism. Findings of a longitudinal study suggest that CYP46 genotypes may play a role in modulating the course

of cognitive decline in later life [23]. A neuroimaging study also supported the association of the CYP46 AA allele with the severity of white matter lesions in individuals with mild cognitive impairment [37].

However, an increasing body of evidence suggests a link between cholesterol turnover and AD [24]. Moreover, despite advances in research on the pathogenesis and risk factors that predispose to AD and cognitive decline, many key aspects remain unclear. At present, the relationship among cholesterol levels, CYP46 polymorphisms, and cognition is less understood [3,24]. The inconsistent results are mainly attributable to the effect of gene—gene and gene—environmental interactions. Furthermore, the polygenic nature of metabolic syndrome and the related cardiovascular risk factors suggest that a single gene is unlikely to be responsible for the development of cognitive decline

	Table 5	Characteristics of	^r participants b	y presence of	f metabolic syndrome and	CYP46 genotypes.
--	---------	--------------------	-----------------------------	---------------	--------------------------	------------------

	Metabol	Metabolic syndrome		Nonmetabolic syndrome		р	
CYP46	AA (n = 27)	AG/GG (n = 38)	AA (n = 58)	AA/AG ($n = 86$)	MeSy	CYP	MeSy ^a CYP
MMSE (score)	$\textbf{25.93} \pm \textbf{2.04}$	26.00 ± 2.76	$\textbf{25.86} \pm \textbf{2.54}$	26.52 ± 2.22	0.5287	0.3137	0.4209
CASI-T (score)	$\textbf{90.37} \pm \textbf{3.80}$	$\textbf{89.89} \pm \textbf{5.34}$	$\textbf{89.71} \pm \textbf{4.91}$	$\textbf{91.08} \pm \textbf{4.15}$	0.8047	0.4037	0.4988
ATT (score)	$\textbf{6.81} \pm \textbf{1.21}$	$\textbf{6.84} \pm \textbf{1.03}$	$\textbf{7.16} \pm \textbf{1.04}$	$\textbf{7.07} \pm \textbf{0.90}$	0.1274	0.9392	0.2212
MENMA (score)	$\textbf{8.33} \pm \textbf{1.47}$	$\textbf{8.42} \pm \textbf{1.48}$	$\textbf{7.98} \pm \textbf{1.72}$	$\textbf{8.70} \pm \textbf{1.56}$	0.6926	0.0922	0.2880
ORI (score)	$\textbf{17.52} \pm \textbf{0.85}$	$\textbf{17.74} \pm \textbf{0.50}$	$\textbf{17.71} \pm \textbf{1.06}$	$\textbf{17.81} \pm \textbf{0.83}$	0.3064	0.2296	0.7185
STM (no.)	$\textbf{9.46} \pm \textbf{1.54}$	$\textbf{8.78} \pm \textbf{2.35}$	$\textbf{9.11} \pm \textbf{1.89}$	$\textbf{9.30} \pm \textbf{1.71}$	0.9492	0.4338	0.3153
LTM (no.)	$\textbf{10.00} \pm \textbf{0.00}$	$\textbf{10.00} \pm \textbf{0.00}$	$\textbf{9.98} \pm \textbf{0.13}$	10.02 ± 0.22	0.9354	0.3420	0.5002
LAN (no.)	$\textbf{9.79} \pm \textbf{0.37}$	$\textbf{9.70} \pm \textbf{0.56}$	$\textbf{9.67} \pm \textbf{0.53}$	$\textbf{9.65} \pm \textbf{0.57}$	0.1730	0.6370	0.9436
DRA (score)	$\textbf{10.00} \pm \textbf{0.00}$	$\textbf{9.66} \pm \textbf{1.32}$	$\textbf{9.84} \pm \textbf{0.95}$	$\textbf{9.85} \pm \textbf{0.80}$	0.9431	0.2527	0.2682
FLU(no.)	$\textbf{7.48} \pm \textbf{1.95}$	$\textbf{1.79} \pm \textbf{2.22}$	$\textbf{7.31} \pm \textbf{1.96}$	$\textbf{7.56} \pm \textbf{1.84}$	0.2550	0.3702	0.6764
A&J (score)	$\textbf{10.74} \pm \textbf{1.02}$	$\textbf{10.39} \pm \textbf{1.08}$	$\textbf{10.59} \pm \textbf{0.96}$	$\textbf{10.83} \pm \textbf{0.97}$	0.4865	0.7373	0.0970

A&J = abstraction and judgment; ATT = attention; CASI = Cognitive Abilities Screening Instrument; CYP46 = 24S-cholesterol hydroxylase; DRA = constructional praxis; F = female; FLU = category fluency; LAN = language ability; LTM = long-term memory; M = male; MENMA = mental manipulation; MeSy = metabolic syndrome; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; ORI = orientation; STM = short-term memory.

^a 2-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with age, sex and educational level as a covariates.

[38]. Nevertheless, variations in age, ethnicity, and methodology may also be involved.

There are some limitations to this study. Firstly, no data were available on the use of lipid-lowering medications. Previous studies have proposed that the effect of cholesterol level on cognition is bidirectional due to the influence of age [3]. The use of statins, a type of lipid-lowering drug, has also been reported to possibly reduce the risk of cognitive decline and developing AD [39], although the results are conflicting [40]. A long-term cohort study with treatment modification is needed to further clarify this effect. Secondly, regarding the decline in perception and psychomotor performance with increasing age, previous reports have shown an age-related effect on neuro-psychological tests [29]. Thus, the CASI and MMSE-CE scores have been analyzed with age as a covariate to reduce the influence of age in this study. Lastly, the relatively small sample size and cross-sectional design may have contributed to the marginal effect on cognition. Indeed, this phenomenon may be a diluted effect. As the participants are healthy according to clinical criteria, some with the risk genes will never develop dementia and some without the risk genes will eventually develop dementia. Furthermore, the CASI domain scores should be interpreted with more caution than the CASI total score because the domain scores are based on a smaller number of test items [25].

In conclusion, the present study reveals that ε 4 carriers and individuals with the AA allele of CYP46 have decreased mental manipulation scores. There is also a combined effect of metabolic syndrome and ApoE ε 4 alleles in subtle cognitive dysfunction among the Taiwanese Chinese population. Studies with long-term follow-up and serial assessments of metabolic syndrome may provide information to determine if both the metabolic syndrome and lipid-related genes increase the risk of cognitive decline.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by a grant from Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital (KMUH98-8G16). The authors would like to thank the staff of the Statistical Analysis Laboratory, Department of Clinical Research, Kaohsiung Medical University Chung-Ho Memorial Hospital, for their help with the statistical analyses.

References

- Brookmeyer R, Gray S, Kawas C. Projections of Alzheimer's disease in the United States and the public health impact of delaying disease onset. Am J Public Health 1998;88:1337–42.
- [2] Liu CK, Lai CL, Tai CT, Lin RT, Yen YY, Howng SL. Incidence and subtypes of dementia in southern Taiwan: impact of sociodemographic factors. Neurology 1998;50:1572–9.
- [3] van Vliet P, van de Water W, de Craen AJ, Westendorp RG. The influence of age on the association between cholesterol and cognitive function. Exp Gerontol 2009;44:112–22.
- [4] Cornier MA, Dabelea D, Hernandez TL, Lindstrom RC, Steig AJ, Stob NR, et al. The metabolic syndrome. Endocr Rev 2008;29: 777–822.
- [5] Kwon HM, Kim BJ, Lee SH, Choi SH, Oh BH, Yoon BW. Metabolic syndrome as an independent risk factor of silent brain infarction in healthy people. Stroke 2006;37:466–70.

- [6] Pavlik VN, Hyman DJ, Doody R. Cardiovascular risk factors and cognitive function in adults 30–59 years of age (NHANES III). Neuroepidemiology 2005;24:42–50.
- [7] Grodstein F. Cardiovascular risk factors and cognitive function. Alzheimers Dement 2007;3(2 Suppl.):S16-22.
- [8] Lai CL, Lin RT, Liou LM, Hsu CY, Hsieh HY, Liu CK. The effects of metabolic syndrome and apolipoprotein E4 on cognitive event-related potentials. Biol Psychol 2010;83:56–61.
- [9] Muller M, Tang MX, Schupf N, Manly JJ, Mayeux R, Luchsinger JA. Metabolic syndrome and dementia risk in a multiethnic elderly cohort. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2007; 24:185–92.
- [10] Mahley RW. Apolipoprotein E: cholesterol transport protein with expanding role in cell biology. Science 1988;240:622–30.
- [11] Nathan BP, Bellosta S, Sanan DA, Weisgraber KH, Mahley RW, Pitas RE. Differential effects of apolipoproteins E3 and E4 on neuronal growth *in vitro*. Science 1994;264:850–2.
- [12] Martins IJ, Hone E, Foster JK, Sunram-Lea SI, Gnjec A, Fuller SJ, et al. Apolipoprotein E, cholesterol metabolism, diabetes, and the convergence of risk factors for Alzheimer's disease and cardiovascular disease. Mol Psychiatry 2006;11: 721–36.
- [13] Wang PN, Hong CJ, Lin KN, Liu HC, Chen WT. APOE epsilon4 increases the risk of progression from amnestic mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer's disease among ethnic Chinese in Taiwan. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2011;82:165–9.
- [14] Wisdom NM, Callahan JL, Hawkins KA. The effects of apolipoprotein E on nonimpaired cognitive functioning: a metaanalysis. Neurobiol Aging 2011;32:63–74.
- [15] Sima A, Iordan A, Stancu C. Apolipoprotein E polymorphism—a risk factor for metabolic syndrome. Clin Chem Lab Med 2007; 45:1149–53.
- [16] Knopman DS, Mosley TH, Catellier DJ, Coker LH. Fourteenyear longitudinal study of vascular risk factors, APOE genotype, and cognition: the ARIC MRI Study. Alzheimers Dement 2009;5:207–14.
- [17] Hartmann T, Kuchenbecker J, Grimm MO. Alzheimer's disease: the lipid connection. J Neurochem 2007;103(Suppl. 1): 159–70.
- [18] Fillit H, Nash DT, Rundek T, Zuckerman A. Cardiovascular risk factors and dementia. Am J Geriatr Pharmacother 2008;6: 100-18.
- [19] Lund EG, Guileyardo JM, Russell DW. cDNA cloning of cholesterol 24-hydroxylase, a mediator of cholesterol homeostasis in the brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999;96:7238–43.
- [20] Dietschy JM, Turley SD. Cholesterol metabolism in the brain. Curr Opin Lipidol 2001;12:105–12.
- [21] Wolozin B. Cyp46 (24S-cholesterol hydroxylase): a genetic risk factor for Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol 2003;60:16–8.
- [22] Poirier J. Apolipoprotein E, cholesterol transport and synthesis in sporadic Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 2005; 26:355–61.
- [23] Fu BY, Ma SL, Tang NL, Tam CW, Lui VW, Chiu HF, et al. Cholesterol 24-hydroxylase (CYP46A1) polymorphisms are associated with faster cognitive deterioration in Chinese older persons: a 2-year follow up study. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2009;24:921–6.
- [24] Garcia AN, Muniz MT. Souza e Silva HR, da Silva HA, Thayde-Junior L. Cyp46 polymorphisms in Alzheimer's disease: a review. J Mol Neurosci 2009;39:342–5.
- [25] Liu HC, Chou P, Lin KN, Wang SJ, Fuh JL, Lin HC, et al. Assessing cognitive abilities and dementia in a predominantly illiterate population of older individuals in Kinmen. Psychol Med 1994;24:763–70.
- [26] Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP). Expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) final report. Circulation 2002;106:3143–421.

- [27] Tan CE, Ma S, Wai D, Chew SK, Tai ES. Can we apply the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel definition of the metabolic syndrome to Asians? Diabetes Care 2004;27:1182–6.
- [28] Wenham PR, Price WH, Blandell G. Apolipoprotein E genotyping by one-stage PCR. Lancet 1991;337:1158–9.
- [29] Cummings JL. Clinical evaluation as a biomarker for Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimer's Dis 2005;8:327–37.
- [30] Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ. The metabolic syndrome. Lancet 2005;365:1415–28.
- [31] Bookheimer SY, Strojwas MH, Cohen MS, Saunders AM, Pericak-Vance MA, Mazziotta JC, et al. Patterns of brain activation in people at risk for Alzheimer's disease. N Engl J Med 2000; 343:450-6.
- [32] Reiman EM, Caselli RJ, Chen K, Alexander GE, Bandy D, Frost J. Declining brain activity in cognitively normal apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 heterozygotes: a foundation for using positron emission tomography to efficiently test treatments to prevent Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001;98:3334–9.
- [33] Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, et al. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement. Circulation 2005;112:2735–52.
- [34] Helzner EP, Luchsinger JA, Scarmeas N, Cosentino S, Brickman AM, Glymour MM, et al. Contribution of vascular risk

factors to the progression in Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol 2009;66:343-8.

- [35] Zade D, Beiser A, McGlinchey R, Au R, Seshadri S, Palumbo C, et al. Interactive effects of apolipoprotein E type 4 genotype and cerebrovascular risk on neuropsychological performance and structural brain changes. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2010; 19:261–8.
- [36] Papassotiropoulos A, Streffer JR, Tsolaki M, Schmid S, Thal D, Nicosia F, et al. Increased brain beta-amyloid load, phosphorylated tau, and risk of Alzheimer disease associated with an intronic CYP46 polymorphism. Arch Neurol 2003;60:29–35.
- [37] Galluzzi S, Geroldi C, Benussi L, Ghidoni R, Testa C, Borsci G, et al. Association of blood pressure and genetic background with white matter lesions in patients with mild cognitive impairment. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2008;63:510-7.
- [38] Andreassi MG. Metabolic syndrome, diabetes and atherosclerosis: influence of gene—environment interaction. Mutat Res 2009;667:35–43.
- [39] Solomon A, Kareholt I, Ngandu T, Wolozin B, MacDonald SW, Winblad B, et al. Serum total cholesterol, statins and cognition in nondemented elderly. Neurobiol Aging 2009;30: 1006-9.
- [40] Bernick C, Katz R, Smith NL, Rapp S, Bhadelia R, Carlson M, et al. Statins and cognitive function in the elderly: the Cardiovascular Health Study. Neurology 2005;65:1388–94.