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#### Abstract

The ring $Z_{k}(+,.) \bmod p^{k}$ with prime power modulus (prime $p>2$ ) is analysed. Its cyclic group $G_{k}$ of units has order $(p-1) p^{k-1}$, and all $p^{\text {th }}$ power $n^{p}$ residues form a subgroup $F_{k}$ with $\left|F_{k}\right|=\left|G_{k}\right| / p$. The subgroup of order $p-1$, the core $A_{k}$ of $G_{k}$, extends Fermat's Small Theorem (FST) to $\bmod p^{k>1}$, consisting of $p-1$ residues with $n^{p}=n \bmod p^{k}$. The concept of carry, e.g., $n^{\prime}$ in FST extension $n^{p-1}=n^{\prime} p+1 \bmod p^{2}$, is crucial in expanding residue arithmetic to integers, and to allow analysis of divisors of $0 \bmod p^{k}$. For large enough $k \geq K_{p}$ (critical precision $K_{p}<p$ depends on $p$ ), all nonzero pairsums of core residues are shown to be distinct, up to commutation. The known FLT case ${ }_{1}$ is related to this, and the set $F_{k}+F_{k} \bmod p^{k}$ of $p^{\text {th }}$ power pairsums is shown to cover half of $G_{k}$. Yielding main result: each residue $\bmod p^{k}$ is the sum of at most four $p^{\text {th }}$ power residues. Moreover, some results on the generative power ( $\bmod p^{k>2}$ ) of divisors of $p \pm 1$ are derived. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of closure corresponds to a mathematical operation composing two objects into an object of the same kind. Structure analysis is facilitated by knowing a minimal set of generators, to find preserved partitions viz. congruences, that allow factoring the closure. For instance, a finite state machine decomposition using preserved (state) partitions, corresponding to congruences of the sequential closure (semigroup) of its state transformations.

A minimal set of generators is characterized by anticlosure. Then each composition of two generators produces a nongenerator, thus a new element of the closure. These concepts can fruitfully be used for structure analysis of finite residue arithmetic.

For instance positive integer $p^{\text {th }}$ powers are closed under multiplication, but no sum $a^{p}+b^{p}$ yields a $p^{\text {th }}$ power for $p>2$ (Fermat's Last Theorem, FLT). Apparently $p^{\text {th }}$ powers form an efficient set of additive generators. Waring (1770) [1] drew attention to the now familiar representation problem: the sum of how many $p^{\text {th }}$ powers suffice to cover all positive integers. Lagrange (1772) [1] and Euler showed that four squares suffice. The general problem is as yet unsolved.

Our aim is to show that four $p^{\text {th }}$ power residues $\bmod p^{k}$ (prime $p>2, k>0$ large enough) suffice to cover all $p^{k}$ residues under addition. As shown in $[2,3]$, the analysis of residues $a^{p}+b^{p} \bmod p^{k}$ is useful here, because under modulus $p^{k}$ the $p^{\text {th }}$ power residues coprime to $p$ form a proper multiplicative subgroup $F_{k}=\left\{n^{p}\right\} \bmod p^{k}$ of the group of units $G_{k}(.) \bmod p^{k}$, with $\left|F_{k}\right|=\left|G_{k}\right| / p$. The value range $F_{k}+F_{k} \bmod p^{k}$ is studied.

Units group $G_{k}$, consisting of all residues coprime to $p$, is in fact known to be cyclic for all $k>0$ [4]. There are $p^{k-1}$ multiples of $p \bmod p^{k}$, so its order $p^{k}-p^{k-1}=(p-1) p^{k-1}$ is a product of two coprime factors, hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{k}=A_{k} B_{k} \text { is a direct product of subgroups, with }\left|A_{k}\right|=p-1 \text { and }\left|B_{k}\right|=p^{k-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The extension subgroup $B_{k}$ consists of all $p^{k-1}$ residues $1 \bmod p$. And in core subgroup $A_{k}$, of order $\left|A_{k}\right|=p-1$ independent of $k$, each $n$ satisfies $n^{p}=n \bmod p^{k}$, denoted as $n^{p} \equiv n$. Hence, core $A_{k}$ is the extension of Fermat's Small Theorem (FST) $\bmod p$ to $\bmod p^{k}$ for $k>1$. For more details, see [3].

By a coset argument, the nonzero corepairsums in $A_{k}+A_{k}$, for large enough $k$, are shown to be all distinct in $G_{k}$, apart from commutation (Theorem 2.1). This leads to set $F_{k}+F_{k}$ of $p^{\text {th }}$ power pairsums covering almost half of $G_{k}$, the maximum possible in a commutative closure, and clearly related to Fermat's Last Theorem (FLT) about the anticlosure of the sum of two $p^{\text {th }}$ powers.

Additive analysis of the roots of $0 \bmod p^{2}$, as sums of three $p^{\text {th }}$ power residues, via the generative power of divisors of $p \pm 1$ (Theorem 3.1), yields our main result (Theorem 3.2): the sum of at most four $p^{\text {th }}$ power residues $\bmod p^{k}$ covers all residues, a Waring-for-residues result. Finite semigroup and ring analysis beyond groups and fields is essential, due the crucial role of divisors of zero.

## 2. CORE INCREMENTS AS COSET GENERATORS

The two component groups of $G_{k} \equiv A_{k} \cdot B_{k}$ are residues $\bmod p^{k}$ of two monomials: the core function $A_{k}(n)=n^{q_{k}}\left(q_{k}=\left|B_{k}\right|=p^{k-1}\right)$ and extension function $B_{k}(n)=n^{\left|A_{k}\right|}=n^{p-1}$. Core function $A(n)$ has odd degree with a $q$-fold zero at $n=0$, and is monotone increasing for all $n$. Its first difference $d_{k}(n)=A_{k}(n+1)-A_{k}(n)$ of even degree has a global minimum integer value of 1 at $n=0$ and $n=-1$, and symmetry centered at $n=-1 / 2$. Thus, integer equality $d_{k}(m)=d_{k}(n)$ for $m \neq n$ holds only if $m+n=-1$, called one-complements.

Hence, the next definition of a critical precision $k=K_{p}$ for residues with the same symmetric property is relevant for every odd $p$, not necessarily prime. Core difference $d_{k}(n)$ is $1 \bmod p$, so it is referred to as core increment $d_{k}(n)$. To simplify notation, the precision index $k$ is sometimes omitted, with $\equiv$ denoting equivalence $\bmod p^{k}$, especially since core $A_{k}$ has order $p-1$ independent of $k$.

Define critical precision $K_{p}$ as the smallest $k$ for which the only equivalences among the coreincrements $d_{k}(n) \bmod p^{k}$ are the above described one-complement symmetry for $n \bmod p$, so these increments are all distinct for $n=1 \ldots(p-1) / 2$.

Notice that $K_{p}$ depends on $p$, for instance $K_{p}=2$ for $p \leq 7, K_{11}=3, K_{13}=2$, and the next $K_{p}=4$ for $p=73$. Upperbound $K_{p}<p$ will be derived in the next section (Lemma 3.1c), so no 'Hensel lift' [5] occurs. Notice that $\left|F_{k}\right| /\left|A_{k}\right|=p^{k-2}$, so that $A_{2}=F_{2}=\left\{n^{p}\right\} \bmod p^{2}$.
LEMMA 2.1. Integer core-function $A_{k}(n)=n^{p^{k-1}}$ and its increment $d_{k}(n)=A_{k}(n+1)-A_{k}(n)$ both have period $p$ for residues $\bmod p^{k}$ with:
(a) odd symmetry $A_{k}(m) \equiv-A_{k}(n)$ at complements $m+n=0 \bmod p$,
(b) even symmetry $d_{k}(m) \equiv d_{k}(n)$ at one-complements $m+n=-1 \bmod p$,
(c) let $D_{2}$ be the set of distinct increments $d_{2}(n) \bmod p^{2}$ of $F_{2}=A_{2}$ for $0<n \leq$ ( $p-$ 1)/2, then there are $\left|F_{k}+F_{k} \backslash 0\right|=\left|F_{k}\right|\left|D_{2}\right|=\left|G_{k}\right|\left|D_{2}\right| / p$ nonzero $p^{\text {th }}$ power pairsums $\bmod p^{k}($ any $k>1)$.

Proof.
(a) Core function $A_{k}(n)=n^{q_{k}} \bmod p^{k}\left(q_{k}=p^{k-1}, n \neq 0,-1 \bmod p\right)$ has $p-1$ distinct residues for each $k>0$, satisfying $\left(n^{q}\right)^{p}=n^{q} \bmod p^{k}$, with $A_{k}(n)=n \bmod p$ due to FST. Apparently, including $A_{k}(0)=0$, we have: $A_{k}(n+p)=A_{k}(n) \bmod p^{k}$ for each $k>1$, with period $p$ in $n$. And $A_{k}(n)$ of odd degree $q=q_{k}$ has odd symmetry because

$$
A_{k}(-n)=(-n)^{q}=-n^{q}=-A_{k}(n) \bmod p^{k} .
$$

(b) Increment $d_{k}(n)=A_{k}(n+1)-A_{k}(n) \bmod p^{k}$ also has period $p$ because

$$
d_{k}(n+p)=(n+p+1)^{q_{k}}-(n+p)^{q_{k}}=(n+1)^{q_{k}}-n^{q_{k}}=d_{k}(n) \bmod p^{k} .
$$

This yields residues $1 \bmod p$ in extension group $B_{k}$. It is an even degree polynomial, with leading term $q_{k} \cdot n^{q_{k}-1}$, and even symmetry

$$
d_{k}(n-1)=n^{q_{k}}-(n-1)^{q_{k}}=-(-n)^{q_{k}}+(-n+1)^{q_{k}}=d_{k}(-n)
$$

so $d_{k}(m)=d_{k}(n) \bmod p^{k}$ for one-complements: $m+n=-1 \bmod p$.
(c) Write $F$ for $F_{k}($ any $k>1)$, the subgroup of $p^{\text {th }}$ power residues $\bmod p^{k}$ in units group $G_{k}$. Then subgroup closure $F F=F$ implies $F+F=F(F+F)=F(F-F)$, since $F+F=F-F$ due to -1 in $F$ for odd prime $p>2$. So nonzero pairsum set $F+F \backslash 0$ is the disjoint union of cosets of $F$ in $G$, as generated by differences $F-F$. Due to (1): $G_{k}=A_{k} B_{k}=F_{k} B_{k}$, where $A_{k} \subseteq F_{k}$, it suffices to consider only differences $1 \bmod p$, hence in extension group $B=B_{k}$, that is, in $(F-F) \cap B$.
This amounts to $\left|D_{2}\right| \leq h=(p-1) / 2$ distinct increments $d_{2}(n)$, for $n=1 \ldots h$ due to even symmetry (b), and excluding $n=0$ involving noncore $A_{2}(0)=0$. These $\left|D_{2}\right|$ cosets of $F_{k}$ in $G_{k}$ yield: $\left|F_{k}+F_{k} \backslash 0\right|=\left|F_{k}\right|\left|D_{2}\right|$, where $\left|F_{k}\right|=\left|G_{k}\right| / p=(p-1) p^{k-2}$ and $\left|D_{2}\right| \leq(p-1) / 2$.

For many primes $K_{p}=2$, so $\left|D_{2}\right|=(p-1) / 2$, and Fermat's $p^{\text {th }}$ power residue pairsums cover almost half the units group $G_{k}$, for any precision $k>1$. But even if $K_{p}>2$, with $\left|D_{2}\right|<(p-1) / 2$, this suffices to express each residue $\bmod p^{k}$ as the sum of at most four $p^{\text {th }}$ power residues (Theorem 3.2), as shown in the next section.

Theorem 2.1. For $a, b$ in core $A \bmod p^{k}$, and $k \geq K_{p}$
all nonzero pairsums $a+b \bmod p^{k}$ are distinct, apart from commutation, so

$$
|(A+A) \backslash 0|=\frac{1}{2}|A|^{2}=\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{2} .
$$

Proof. Core $A_{k} \bmod p^{k}($ any $k>1)$, here denoted by $A$ as subgroup of units group $G$, satisfies $A A=A$ so the set of all core pairsums can be factored as $A+A=A(A+A)$. Hence, the nonzero pairsums are a (disjoint) union of the cosets of $A$ generated by $A+A$. Since $G=A B$ with $B=\{n=1 \bmod p\}$, there are $|B|=p^{k-1}$ cosets of $A$ in $G$. Then intersection $D=(A+A) \cap B$ of all residues $1 \bmod p$ in $A+A$ generates $|D|$ distinct cosets of $A$ in $G$.
Due to -1 in core $A$, we have $A=-A$ so that $A+A=A-A$. View set $A$ as function values $A(n)=n^{|B|} \bmod p^{k}$, with $A(n)=n \bmod p(0<n<p)$. Then successive core increments $d(n)=A(n+1)-A(n)$ form precisely intersection $D$, yielding all residues $1 \bmod p$ in $A+A=$ $A-A$. Distinct residues $d(n)$ generate distinct cosets, so by definition of $K_{p}$ there are for $k \geq K_{p}:|D|=(p-1) / 2$ cosets of core $A$ generated by $d(n) \bmod p^{k}$.

## 3. CORE EXTENSIONS FROM $A_{k}$ TO $F_{k}$, AND THEIR PAIRSUMS $\bmod p^{k}$

Extension group $B \bmod p^{k}$, with $|B|=p^{k-1}$ has only subgroups of order $p^{e}(e=0 \ldots k-1)$. So $G \equiv A B$ (1) has $k$ subgroups $X^{(e)}$ that contain core $A$, called core extensions, of order $\left|X^{(e)}\right|=(p-1) p^{e}$, with core $A=X^{(0)}, F=X^{(k-2)}$, and $G=X^{(k-1)}$.
Now $p+1$ generates $B$ of order $p^{k-1}$ in $G_{k}$ [3, Lemma 2], and similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{i}+1 \text { of period } p^{k-i}(i=1 \ldots k-1) \text { in } G \text { generate the } k-1 \text { subgroups of } B . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Y^{(e)} \subseteq B$, of order $p^{e}$, then all core extensions are cyclic with product structure

$$
X^{(e)} \equiv A Y^{(e)} \text { in } G(.), \text { where }|A| \text { and }\left|Y^{(e)}\right| \text { are relative prime. }
$$

Using (2) with $k-i=e$ yields

$$
Y^{(e)} \equiv\left(p^{k-e}+1\right)^{*} \equiv\left\{m p^{k-e}+1\right\} \bmod p^{k}(\text { all } m)
$$

As before, using residues $\bmod p^{k}$ for any $k>1: D=(A-A) \cap B$ contains the set of core increments. Then Theorem 2.1 on core pairsums $A+A$ is generalized as follows (Lemma 3.1a) to the set $X+X$ of core extension pairsums $\bmod p^{j}(j>1)$, with $F+F(F e r m a t ~ s u m s)$ for $j=k-2$.

Extend Fermat's Small Theorem FST: $n^{p-1}=1 \bmod p$ to $n^{p-1}=n^{\prime} p+1 \bmod p^{2}$, which defines the FST-carry $n^{\prime}$ of $n<p$. This yields an efficient core generation method (b) to compute $n^{p^{i}} \bmod p^{i+1}$, as well as a proof (c) of critical precision upperbound $K_{p}<p$.
Lemma 3.1. For core increments $D_{k}=\left(A_{k}-A_{k}\right) \cap B_{k}$ in $G_{k}=A_{k} B_{k} \bmod p^{k>1} \quad$ (prime $p>2$ ), $p^{\text {th }}$ power residues set $F_{k}=\left\{n^{p}\right\} \bmod p^{k}$, and $X_{k}$ any core extension $A_{k} \subseteq X_{k} \subseteq F_{k}$,
(a) $X_{k}+X_{k} \equiv X_{k} D_{k}$, so core-increments $D_{k}$ generate the $X_{k}$-cosets in $X_{k}+X_{k}$,
(b) $\left[n^{p-1}\right]^{p^{i-1}}=n^{\prime} p^{i}+1 \bmod p^{i+1}$, where FST-carry $n^{\prime}$ of $n$ does not depend on $i$, and $n^{p^{i}}=\left[n^{\prime} p^{i}+1\right] n^{p^{i-1}} \bmod p^{i+1}$,
(c) for $k=p:\left|D_{p}\right|=(p-1) / 2 \bmod p^{p}$, so critical precision $K_{p}<p$.

Proof a. Write $X$ for $X_{k}^{(e)}$, then as in Theorem 2.1: $X+X=X-X=(X-X) X$. For residues $\bmod p^{k}$, we seek intersection $(X-X) \cap B$ of all distinct residues $1 \bmod p$ in $B$ that generate the cosets of $X$ in $X+X \bmod p^{k}$. By $\left(2,2^{\prime}\right)$ core extension $X=A Y=A\left\{m p^{k-e}+1\right\}$. Discard terms divisible by $p$ (are not in $B$ ), then $(X+X) \cap B=(A+A) \cap B=(A-A) \cap B=D$ for each core extension. So $A+A$ and $X+X$ have the same coset generators in $G_{k}$, namely the core increment set $D=D_{k} \subset B_{k}$.
Proof b. Notice successive cores satisfy by definition $A_{i+1}=A_{i} \bmod p^{i}$. In other words, each $p^{\text {th }}$ power step $i \rightarrow i+1:\left[n^{p^{i}}\right]^{p}$ produces one more significant digit (msd) while fixing the $i$ less significant digits (lsd). Now $n^{p-1}=n^{\prime} p+1 \bmod p^{2}$ has $p^{\text {th }}$ power residue $\left[n^{p-1}\right]^{p}=$ $n^{\prime} p^{2}+1 \bmod p^{3}$, implying lemma part (b) by induction on $i$ in $\left[n^{p-1}\right]^{p^{i}}$.

This yields an efficient core generation method. Denote $f_{i}(n)=n^{p^{i}}$, with $n<p$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{i}(n)=n^{p^{i}}=\left[n^{p}\right] p^{p^{i-1}}=\left[n n^{p-1}\right] p^{p^{i-1}}=f_{i-1}(n)\left[n^{\prime} p^{i}+1\right] \bmod p^{i+1}, \text { implying }  \tag{3}\\
& f_{i}(n)=f_{i-1}(n) \bmod p^{i}, \text { next core } \operatorname{msd} f_{i-1}(n) n^{\prime} p^{i}=n n^{\prime} p^{i} \neq 0 \bmod p^{i+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that by FST: $f_{k}(n)=n \bmod p$, for all $k \geq 0$, and $0<n<p$ implies $n^{\prime} \neq 0 \bmod p$.
Proof c. In (a), take $X_{k}=F_{p}$ and notice that $F_{p}+F_{p}=F_{p}-F_{p} \bmod p^{p}$ contains $h$ distinct integer increments

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1}(n)=(n+1)^{p}-n^{p}<p^{p}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are $1 \bmod p^{p}$, hence in $B_{p}$ : they generate $h$ distinct cosets of core $A_{p}$ in $G_{p}=A_{p} B_{p} \bmod p^{p}$, although they are not core $A_{p}$ increments. Repeated $p^{\text {th }}$ powers $n^{p^{t}}$ in constant $p$-digit precision yield increments $e_{i}(n)=(n+1)^{p^{i}}-n^{p^{i}} \bmod p^{p}$, which for $i=p-1$ produce the increments of core $A_{p} \bmod p^{p}$.

Distinct increments $e_{i}(n) \neq e_{i}(m) \bmod p^{p}$ remain distinct for $i \rightarrow i+1$, shown as follows.
For nonsymmetric $n, m<p$ (Lemma 2.1b) let increments $e_{i}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{i}(n)=e_{i}(m) \bmod p^{j} \text { for some } j<p \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
e_{i}(n) \neq e_{i}(m) \bmod p^{j+1} .
$$

Then for $i \rightarrow i+1$ the same holds, since $e_{i+1}(x)=\left[f_{i}(x+1)\right]^{p}-\left[f_{i}(x)\right]^{p}$ where $x$ equals $n$ and $m$, respectively. Because in $\left(5,5^{\prime}\right)$ each of the four $f_{i}()$ terms has form $b p^{j}+a \bmod p^{j+1}$ where the, respectively, $a<p^{j}$ yield (5), and the, respectively, msd's $b<p$ cause inequivalence ( $5^{\prime}$ ). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i+1}()=\left(b p^{j}+a\right)^{p}=a^{p-1} b p^{j+1}+a^{p} \bmod p^{j+2}=a^{p} \bmod p^{j+1}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which depends only on $a$, and not on msd $b p^{j}$ of $f_{i}()$. This preserves equivalence (5) $\bmod p^{j}$ for $i \rightarrow i+1$, and similarly inequivalence $\left(5^{\prime}\right) \bmod p^{j+1}$ because, depending only on the respective $a \bmod p^{j}$, equivalence at $i+1$ would contradict ( $5^{\prime}$ ) at $i$. Cases $i<j$ and $i \geq j$ behave as follows.
For $i<j$, the successive differences

$$
e_{i}(n)-e_{i}(m)=y_{i} p^{j} \neq 0 \bmod p^{j+1} \ldots
$$

vary with $i$ from 1 to $j-1$, and by $\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ the core residues $f_{i}() \bmod p^{i}$ settle for increasing precision $i$.
So initial inequivalences $\bmod p^{p}(4)$, and more specifically $\bmod p^{j+1}(5)$, are preserved.
And for all $i \geq j$, the differences ( $6^{\prime}$ ) are some constant $c p^{j} \neq 0 \bmod p^{j+1}$, again by ( $3^{\prime}$ ). Hence by induction, base (4) and steps (5,6): core $A_{p} \bmod p^{p}$ has $h=(p-1) / 2$ distinct increments, so critical precision $K_{p}<p$.
Apparently, $K_{p}$ is determined already by the initial integer increments $e_{1}(n)<p^{p}(0<n<p)$, as the minimum precision $k$ for which nonsymmetric $n, m<p$ (so $n+m \neq p-1$ ) have $e_{1}(n) \neq$ $e_{1}(m) \bmod p^{k}$.

For instance, $p=11$ has $K_{p}=3$, and $\bmod p^{3}$ we have $h=5$ distinct core increments, in base 11 code: $d_{3}(1 \ldots 9)=\{4 a 1,711,871,661,061,661,871,711,4 a 1\}$ so core $A_{3}$ has the maximal five cosets generated by increments $d_{3}(n)$. Equivalence $d_{2}(4)=d_{2}(5)=61 \bmod p^{2}$ implies 661 and 061 to be in the same $F$-coset in $G_{3}$. In fact, $061.601=661$ (base 11) with 601 in $F \bmod p^{3}$, as are all $p$ residues of form $\left\{m p^{2}+1\right\}=\left(p^{2}+1\right)^{*} \bmod p^{3}$.

As example of Lemma 3.1c, with $p=11$ and up to three-digit precision

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{n^{p}\right\} & =\{001,5 a 2,103,274,325,886,937, a a 8,609,0 a a\}, \\
\text { core } A_{3} & =\{001,4 a 2,103,974,525,586,137,9 a 8,609, a a a\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{1}(4)=325-274=061 \text { and } \\
& e_{1}(5)=886-325=561 \text { with FST-carries: } 4^{p-1}=a 1,5^{p-1}=71,6^{p-1}=51 \text { so: } \\
& e_{2}(4)=525-974=661 \text { by rule (3) yields: } 5^{p^{2}}-4^{p^{2}}=[701] 5^{p}-[a 01] 4^{p}=661, \\
& e_{2}(5)=586-525=061 \text { derived by (3) as: } 6^{p^{2}}-5^{p^{2}}=[501] 6^{p}-[701] 5^{p}=061 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice second difference $e_{2}(5)-e_{2}(4)=061-661=500$ equals $e_{1}(5)-e_{1}(4)=561-061=500$ by Lemma 3.1c.

With $|F|=|G| / p$ and $\left|D_{k}\right|$ equal to $(p-1) / 2$ for large enough $k<p$, the nonzero $p^{\text {th }}$ power pairsums cover nearly half of $G$. It will be shown that four $p^{\text {th }}$ power residues suffice to cover not only $G \bmod p^{k}$, but all residues $Z \bmod p^{k}$. In this additive analysis, we use the following.
Notation. $S_{+t}$ is the set of all sums of $t$ elements in set $S$, and $S+b$ stands for all sums $s+b$ with $s \in S$.

Extension subgroup $B$ is much less effective as additive generator than $F$. Notice that $B \equiv$ $\{n p+1\}$ so that $B+B \equiv\{m p+2\}$, and in general $B_{+i} \equiv\{n p+i\}$ in $G$, denoted by $N_{i}$, the subset of $G$ which is $i \bmod p$. They are also the (additive) translations $N_{i} \equiv B-1+i(i<p)$ of $B$. Then $N_{1} \equiv B$, while only $N_{0} \equiv\{n p\}$ is not in $G$, and $N_{i}+N_{j} \equiv N_{i+j}$, corresponding to addition $\bmod p$.

Coresums $A_{+i}$ in general satisfy the next inclusions, implied by $0 \in A_{+2} \equiv A+A$,

$$
\text { for all } i \geq 1: A_{+i} \subseteq A_{+(2+i)} \quad \text { and } \quad F_{+i} \subseteq F_{+(2+i)}
$$

$F_{+3}$ covering all nonzero multiples $m p \bmod p^{k}(k \geq 2)$ in $N_{0}$ is related to a special result on the number 2 as generator. For instance, a computer scan showed $2^{p} \neq 2 \bmod p^{2}\left(2 \notin A_{2}\right)$ for all primes $p<10^{9}$ except 1093 and 3511 , although inequality does hold $\bmod p^{3}$ for all primes (shown next). Notice that only 2 divides $p-1$ for each odd prime $p$, so the two-cycle $C_{2}= \pm 1$ is the only cycle common to all cores for $p>2$. The generative power of 2 might be related to it being a divisor of $p-1$ and $p+1$, for all $p>2$.

Regarding the known unsolved problem of a simple rule to find primitive roots of $1 \bmod p^{k}$, consider the divisors $r$ of $p^{2}-1=(p-1)(p+1)$ as generators.

Recall that by (1) units group $G_{k}=A_{k} B_{k} \bmod p^{k}$ has core subgroup $A_{k}$ of order $p-1$, for any precision $k>0$, and extension group $B_{k}=(p+1)^{*}$ of all $p^{k-1}$ residues $1 \bmod p$, generated by $p+1$ [3, Lemma 2]. In fact, $p-1$ generates all $2 p^{k-1}$ residues $\pm 1 \bmod p^{k}$, including $B_{k}$.

In multiplicative cyclic group $G_{k}$ of order $(p-1) p^{k-1}$, it stands to reason to look for generators of $G_{k}$ (primitive roots of $1 \bmod p^{k}$ ) among the divisors of such powerful generators as $p \pm 1$, or similarly of $p^{2}-1=(p-1)(p+1)$. Given prime structure $p^{2}-1=\prod_{i} p_{i}^{e_{i}}$, there are $\prod_{i}\left(e_{i}+1\right)$ divisors, forming a lattice, which is not Boolean since factor $2^{2}$ makes $p^{2}-1$ nonsquarefree.

Notice that for each unit $n$ in $G_{k}$, we have $n^{p-1}$ in $B_{k}$, and $n^{p^{k-1}}$ in core $A_{k}$, while intersection $A_{k} \cap B_{k}=1 \bmod p^{k}$, the single unity of $G_{k}$. No generator $g$ of $G_{k}$ can be in core $A_{k}$, since $\left|g^{*}\right|=(p-1) p^{k-1}$, while the order $\left|n^{*}\right|$ of $n \in A_{k}$ divides $\left|A_{k}\right|=p-1$. Hence, $p$ must divide the order of any noncore residue. If $n<p^{k}$, then $n$ can be interpreted both as integer and as residue $\bmod p^{k}$. It turns out that analysis modulo $p^{3}$ suffices to show that the divisors $r$ of $p \pm 1$ are outside core, so $r^{p} \neq r \bmod p^{3}$ : a necessary but not sufficient condition for a primitive root. This amounts to quadratic analysis of an extension of Fermat's Small Theorem (FST) on $p^{\text {th }}$ power residues, including two carry digits (base $p$ ).
Theorem 3.1. Divisors of $p \pm 1$.

$$
\text { If } r>1 \text { divides } p^{2}-1, \text { then } r^{p} \neq r \bmod p^{k}(k \geq 3)
$$

Proof. $r^{p} \neq r \bmod p^{k}$ implies inequality $\bmod p^{k+1}$. With $A_{2}=F_{2}=\left\{n^{p}\right\} \bmod p^{2}$, so each $p^{\text {th }}$ power is in core $A_{2} \bmod p^{2}$, it suffices to show $r^{p} \neq r \bmod p^{3}$. Factorize $p^{2}-1=r s$, with positive integer cofactors $r$ and $s$. Then $r s=-1 \bmod p^{2}$, so opposite signed cofactors $\{r,-s\}$ or $\{-r, s\}$ form an inverse pair $\bmod p^{2}$. Inverses in a finite group $G$ have equal order (period) in $G$, with order two automorphism $n \leftrightarrow n^{-1}$. So orders $\left|r^{*}\right|$ and $\left|(-s)^{*}\right|$ are equal in $G_{2}$.

Notice $r s=p^{2}-1$ is not in core $A_{3}$, where $-1 \bmod p^{3}$ is the only core residue that is $-1 \bmod p$, since the $p-1$ core residues $n^{\left|B_{k}\right|}$ of $A_{k}$ are distinct $\neq 0 \bmod p(\mathrm{FST}) . \operatorname{In}$ fact, $(r s)^{p}=\left(p^{2}-1\right)^{p}=$ $-1 \bmod p^{3}$ and no smaller exponent yields this. So $p^{2}-1=r s$ has order $2 p$ in $G_{3}$, generating all $2 p$ residues $\pm 1 \bmod p^{2}$, with inverse pair $\left\{r^{p},-s^{p}\right\}$ of equal order in $G_{3}$. Core $A_{3}$ is closed under
multiplication, so at most one cofactor of noncore product $r s$ can be in core. In fact, neither is in core $A_{3}$, so both $r^{p-1}$ and $s^{p-1}$ are $\neq 1 \bmod p^{3}$, seen as follows.
By $G_{3}=A_{3} B_{3}$ (1): each $n \in G_{3}$ has product form $n=n^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime} \bmod p^{3}$ of two components, with $n^{\prime}$ in core $A_{3}$ and $n^{\prime \prime}$ in extension group $B_{3}$. Then $r^{p}(-s)^{p}=1 \bmod p^{3}$, where $r^{p}$ and $-s^{p}$ as inverse pair in $G_{3}$ have equal order, and each component forms an inverse pair of equal orders in $A_{3}$ and $B_{3}$ (coprime), respectively. The latter must divide $\left|B_{3}\right|=p^{2}$, and discarding order 1 (both $r, s$ cannot be in core, as shown) their common order is $p$ or $p^{2}$. For any unit $n$ the order of $n^{p}$ divides that of $n$, so $p$ dividing the common order of $r^{p}$ and $s^{p}$ implies $p$ dividing also those of $r$ and $s$, hence cofactors $r$ and $s$ of $p^{2}-1$ are both outside core $A_{3}$.
Notes.

1. A generator $g<p$ of $G_{2}$, so $\left|g^{*}\right|=(p-1) p$, also generates $G_{k} \bmod p^{k>2}$ of order $(p-$ 1) $p^{k-1}[4]$.
2. Cofactors $r, s$ in $r s=p^{2}-1=(p-1)(p+1)$ have equal period in $G_{3}$, up to a factor of 2 , so only $r \leq p+1$ need be inspected for periodic analysis. Recall exceptions $p=1093,3511$ with $2^{p}=2 \bmod p^{2}$, the only two primes $p<10^{9}$ with this property. Of the 79 primes up to 401 , there are seven primes with $r^{p}=r \bmod p^{2}$ for some divisor $r \mid p^{2}-1$ and cofactor $s$, namely

$$
p(r): 11(3), 29(14), 37(18), 181(78), 257(48), 281(20), 313(104) .
$$

3. A generator $g$ of $G_{k}$ is outside core, but $g \mid p \pm 1$ (Theorem 3.1) does not guarantee $G_{k}=g^{*}$.
4. However, computational evidence seems to suggest the next conjecture.

Conjecture. At least one divisor $g \mid p \pm 1$ (prime $p>2$ ) generates $G_{k}$, or half of $G_{k}$ with -1 missing: then complements $-n \bmod p^{k}$ yield the other half of $G_{k}$ (e.g., $\left.p=73: G_{3}= \pm 6^{*}= \pm 12^{*}\right)$.
5. The theorem also holds for divisors of $p^{2}+1$, obviating "up to a factor 2 " in the proof.

For odd prime $p$ holds: 2 divides both $p-1$ and $p+1$, and 3 divides one of them, hence the following.

Corollary 3.1. For prime $p$ (including $p=2$ ), $k \geq 3$ and $n=2,3$
$n^{p} \neq n \bmod p^{k}$, and in fact $\pm\left\{n, n^{-1}\right\} \bmod p^{k}$ are outside core $A_{k>2}$ for every odd prime.
In set notation: quadruple $Q(r)= \pm\left\{r, r^{-1}\right\}, r \mid(p \pm 1)$, and $k \geq 3$ imply $Q(r) \cap A_{k}=\emptyset$.
Moreover, the product of $r \notin A_{k}$ with a core element is outside core: $\left[Q(r) A_{k}\right] \cap A_{k}=\emptyset$.
Hence, 2 is not in core $A \bmod p^{k}$ for any prime $p>2$. This relates to $p-1$ having divisor 2 for all $p$, and $C_{2}=\{-1,1\}$ as the only common subgroup of $Z(.) \bmod p^{k}$ for all primes $p>2$. And 2 not in core implies the same for its complement and inverse, -2 and $\pm 2^{-1}$.

Notice that $N_{0} \bmod p^{k}$ consists of all multiples $m p$ of $p$, and their base $p$ code ends on ' 0 ', so $\left|N_{0}\right|=p^{k-1}$. In fact, $N_{0}$ consists of all divisors of 0 , the maximal nilpotent subsemigroup of $Z(.) \bmod p^{k}$, the semigroup of residue multiplication. For prime $p$, there are just two idempotents in $Z(.) \bmod p^{k}: 1$ in $G$ and 0 in $N_{0}$, so $G$ and $N_{0}$ are complementary in $Z$, noted $N_{0} \equiv Z \backslash G$.

For prime $p>2$, consider integer $p^{\text {th }}$ power function $F(n)=\left\{n^{p}\right\}$, with $F_{k}$ denoting set $F(n) \bmod p^{k}$ for all $n \neq 0 \bmod p$, and core function $A_{k}(n)=n^{p^{k-1}}$, with core $A_{2}=F_{2}$. Multiples $m p(m \neq 0 \bmod p)$ are not $p^{\text {th }}$ power residues (which are $0 \bmod p^{2}$ ), thus are not in $F_{k}$ for any $k>1$. But they are sums of three $p^{\text {th }}$ power residues: $m p \in F_{+3} \bmod p^{k}$ for any $k>1$, shown next. In fact, due to FST we have $F(n)=n \bmod p$ for all $n$, so $F(r)+F(s)+F(t)=r+s+t \bmod p$, which for a sum $0 \bmod p$ of positive triple $r, s, t$ implies $r+s+t=p$.

Lemma 3.2. For $m \neq 0 \bmod p: m p \in F_{+3} \bmod p^{k>1}$, hence each multiple $m p \bmod p^{k>1}$ outside $F_{k}$ is the sum of three $p^{\text {th }}$ power residues (in $F_{k}$ ).
Proof. Analysis $\bmod p^{2}$ suffices, because each $m p \bmod p^{k>1}$ is reached upon multiplication by $F_{k}$, due to (.) distributing over ( + ). Core $A_{k}$ has order $p-1$ for any $k>0$, and $F_{2}=A_{2}$ implies powersums $F_{2}+F_{2}+F_{2} \bmod p^{2}$ to be sums of three core residues.
Assume $A(r)+A(s)+A(t)=m p \neq 0 \bmod p^{2}$ for some positive $r, s, t$ with $r+s+t=p$.
Such $m p \notin A_{2}$ generates all $\left|A_{2} m p\right|=\left|A_{2}\right|=p-1$ residues in $N_{0} \backslash 0 \bmod p^{2}$. And for each prime $p>2$, there are many such coresums $m p$ with $m \neq 0 \bmod p$, seen as follows.

Any positive triple ( $r, s, t$ ) with $r+s+t=p$ yields, by FST, coresum $A(r)+A(s)+A(t)=$ $r+s+t=p \bmod p$, hence with a coresum $m p \bmod p^{2}$. If $m=0$, then this solves FLT case ${ }_{1}$ for residues $\bmod p^{2}$, for instance the cubic roots of $1 \bmod p^{2}$ for each prime $p=1 \bmod 6$, see [3].
Nonzero $m$ is the dominant case for any prime $p>2$. In fact, normation upon division by one of the three core terms in units group $G_{2}$ yields one unity core term, say $A(t)=1 \bmod p^{2}$, hence $t=1$. Then $r+s=p-1$ yields $A(r)+A(s)=m p-1 \bmod p^{2}$, where $0<m<p$.

There are $1 \leq\left|D_{2}\right| \leq(p-1) / 2$ distinct cosets of $F_{2}=A_{2}$ in $G_{2}$ (Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1), yielding as many distinct core pairsums $m p-1 \bmod p^{2}$ in set $A_{2}+A_{2}$.

For most primes, take $r=s$ equal to $h=(p-1) / 2$ and $t=1$, with core residue $A(h)=h=$ $-2^{-1} \bmod p$. Then $2 A(h)+1=m p=0 \bmod p$, with summation indices $h+h+1=p$. For instance, $p=7$ has $A(3)=43 \bmod 7^{2}$ (base 7 ), and $2 A(3)+1=16+1=20$.

If for some prime $p$, we have in this case $m=0 \bmod p$, then $2 A(h)=-1 \bmod p^{2}$, hence $A(h)=h^{p}=h \bmod p^{2}$, and thus, also $A(2)=2^{p}=2 \bmod p^{2}$. In such rare cases (for primes $<10^{9}$ only $p=1093$ and $p=3511$ ), a choice of other triples $r+s+t=p$ exists for which $A(r)+A(s)+A(t)=m p \neq 0 \bmod p^{2}$, as just shown.
For instance, $2^{p}=2 \bmod p^{2}$ for $p=1093$, but $3^{p}=936 p+3 \bmod p^{2}$ so that instead of $(h, h, 1)$, one applies $(r, s, 1)$ where $r=(p-1) / 3$ and $s=(p-1) 2 / 3$. And $p=3511$ has $3^{p}=21 p+3 \bmod p^{2}$, while $3 \mid p-1$ allows a similar index triple with coresum $m p \neq 0 \bmod p^{2}$.
Lemma 3.2 leads to the main additive result for residues in ring $Z[+,.] \bmod p^{k}$

$$
\text { each residue } \bmod p^{k} \text { is the sum of at most four } p^{\text {th }} \text { power residues. }
$$

In fact, with subgroup $F=\left\{n^{p}\right\}$ of $G$ in semigroup $Z(.) \bmod p^{k}$, subsemigroup $N_{0} \equiv\{m p\}$ of divisors of zero, and extension group $B \equiv N_{1} \equiv N_{0}+1$ in $G$, we have the following.
Theorem 3.2. For residues $\bmod p^{k}(k \geq 2$, prime $p>2)$

$$
Z \equiv N_{0} \cup G \equiv F_{+3} \cup F_{+4} .
$$

Proof. Analysis $\bmod p^{2}$ suffices, by extension Lemma 3.1, and by Lemma 3.2 all nonzero multiples of $p$ are $N_{0} \backslash 0 \equiv F_{+3}$, while $0 \in F_{+2}$ because $-1 \in F$. Hence, $F_{+2} \cup F_{+3}$ covers $N_{0}$. Adding an extra term $F$ yields $F_{+3} \cup F_{+4} \supseteq N_{0}+F$, which also covers $A N_{0}+A \supseteq A\left(N_{0}+1\right)=A B=G$ because $1 \in A$ and $A \subseteq F$, so all of $Z \equiv N_{0} \cup G$ is covered.
Notes.

1. Case $p=3$ is easily verified by complete inspection as follows. Analysis $\bmod p^{3}$ (Theorem 3.2) is rarely needed; for instance, condition $2^{p} \neq 2 \bmod p^{2}$ holds for all primes $p<10^{9}$ except for the two primes 1093 and 3511. So $\bmod p^{2}$ will suffice for $p=3$; moreover, $F=A \bmod p^{2}$.

Now $F \equiv\{-1,1\} \equiv \pm 1$ so that $F+F \equiv\{0, \pm 2\}$. Adding $\pm 1$ yields $F_{+3} \equiv \pm\{1,3\}$ and again $F_{+4} \equiv\{0, \pm 2, \pm 4\}$, so that $F_{+3} \cup F_{+4}$ indeed cover all residues mod $3^{2}$. Notice that $F_{+3}$ and $F_{+4}$ are disjoint which, although an exception, necessitates their union in the general statement of Theorem 3.2.

It is conjectured that $F_{+3} \subseteq F_{+4}$ for $p>6$, then $Z \equiv F_{+4}$ for primes $p>6$.
2. For $p=5$, again use analysis $\bmod p^{2}$, and test if $F(2 A(h)+1)$ covers all nonzero $m 5 \bmod 5^{2}$ (Lemma 3.2). Again $F=A \bmod p^{2}$, implying $A(h) \in F$. Now core $A \equiv F \equiv\left(2^{5}\right)^{*} \equiv$ $\{7,-1,-7,1\} \equiv \pm\{1,7\}$, while $h \equiv 2$ with $A(2) \equiv 7$, or in base 5 code: $A(2) \equiv 12$ and $2 A(h)+1 \equiv 30$. Hence, $F(2 A(h)+1) \equiv \pm\{01,12\} 30 \equiv \pm\{30,10\}$. This set indeed covers all four nonzero residues $m 5 \bmod 5^{2}$.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

The application of elementary semigroup concepts to structure analysis of residue arithmetic $\bmod p^{k}[2,3,6]$ is very useful, allowing divisors of zero. Fermat's inequality and Waring's representation are about powersums, thus about additive properties of closures in $Z(.) \bmod p^{k}$.

Fermat's inequality, viewed as anticlosure, reveals $n^{p}$ as a powerful set of additive generators of $Z(+)$. Now $Z($.$) has idempotent 1$, generating only itself, while 1 generates all of $Z(+)$ (Peano).

Similarly, expanding 1 to the subgroup $F \equiv\left\{n^{p}\right\}$ of $p^{\text {th }}$ power residues in $Z(.) \bmod p^{k}$, of order $|F|=|G| / p$, yields a most efficient additive generator with: $F_{+3} \cup F_{+4} \equiv Z(+) \bmod p^{k}$ for any prime $p>2$. This is compatible for $p=2$ with the known result of each positive integer being the sum of at most four squares.

The concept of critical precision (base $p$ ) is very useful for linking integer symmetric properties to residue arithmetic $\bmod p^{k}$, and quadratic analysis $\left(\bmod p^{3}\right)$ for generative purposes such as primitive roots.

Finally, for $p=2$, the most practical of primes: $p^{2}-1=p+1=3$ is in fact a semiprimitive root of $1 \bmod 2^{k}$ for $k \geq 3$ (Theorem 3.1: Note 4, [3]: Lemma 2) yielding a useful engineering result [7].
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