adata, citation and similar papers at <u>core.ac.uk</u>

# Is Dependent on a *Wnt*-Mediated Signal from Posterior Nonaxial Mesoderm

Anne G. Bang, Nancy Papalopulu,<sup>1</sup> Martyn D. Goulding, and Chris Kintner<sup>2</sup>

Molecular Neurobiology Laboratory, The Salk Institute for Biological Studies, 10010 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, California 92037

During early patterning of the vertebrate neuraxis, the expression of the paired-domain transcription factor *Pax-3* is induced in the lateral portions of the posterior neural plate via posteriorizing signals emanating from the late organizer and posterior nonaxial mesoderm. Using a dominant-negative approach, we show in explant assays that *Pax-3* inductive activities from the organizer do not depend on FGF, retinoic acid, or *XWnt-8*, either alone or in combination, suggesting that the organizer may produce an unknown posteriorizing factor. However, *Pax-3* inductive signals from posterior nonaxial mesoderm are *Wnt*-dependent. We show that *Pax-3* expression in the lateral neural plate expands in *XWnt-8*-injected embryos and is blocked by dominant-negative *XWnt-8*. Similarly, we show that the homeodomain transcription factor *Msx-1*, which like *Pax-3* is an early marker of the lateral neural plate, is induced by posterior nonaxial mesoderm and blocked by dominant-negative *XWnt-8*. Together these data support a model in which patterning of the lateral neural plate by *Wnt*-mediated signals is an early event that establishes a posteriolateral domain, marked by *Pax-3* and *Msx-1* expression, from which Rohon-Beard cells and neural crest will subsequently arise. © 1999 Academic Press

Key Words: Pax-3; Msx-1; posteriorization; Wnt; primary neurons; Xenopus.

# **INTRODUCTION**

The development of the vertebrate nervous system is initiated during gastrulation when Spemann's organizer induces dorsal ectoderm to assume a neural fate. Organizer signals also act to pattern the CNS along its anteriorposterior (A–P) axis, a process which has been proposed to occur in two steps. In the first step, activation signals from the early organizer induce ectoderm to become neural tissue with an anterior or forebrain fate, and in the second, the anterior neural tissue is posteriorized into midbrain, hindbrain, and spinal cord fates by transforming signals. As predicted by this model, the organizer-derived factors *noggin, follistatin,* and *chordin* can convert explants of naive ectoderm (animal caps) from *Xenopus* blastula-stage em-

<sup>2</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. Fax: (619) 450-2172. E-mail: kintner@salk.edu. bryos into neural tissue with an anterior, forebrain-like fate (reviewed in Lumsden and Krumlauf, 1996). Moreover, anterior neuroectoderm can be transformed into posterior neural tissue by signals emanating from the late organizer as well as more lateral mesoderm. Recently, fibroblast growth factor (FGF), retinoic acid (RA), and members of the Wnt family have been identified as putative transforming signals because of their ability to repress expression of anterior neural markers and induce posterior neural markers in anterior neuroectoderm (reviewed in Lumsden and Krumlauf, 1996; McGrew et al., 1995, 1997). While experiments in Xenopus have shown that FGF, RA, and Wnt exhibit overlapping as well as distinct posteriorizing activities, it remains unclear how these signals are coordinated and their inputs integrated to give rise to a regionalized posterior nervous system.

The transcription factor *Pax-3*, a member of the paired family of homeodomain proteins, is a unique marker with which to study posteriorization of the neuraxis. At neural plate stages in both chick and *Xenopus*, *Pax-3* expression is



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Present address: Wellcome/CRC Institute, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge CB2 1QR, UK.

restricted posteriorly as well as laterally, thus marking the prospective dorsal hindbrain and spinal cord. Since regionally restricted Pax-3 expression occurs extremely early during neural plate formation, its regulation is likely to represent a primary response to A-P patterning signals. Previously, we proposed that Pax-3 expression is initiated by signals that posteriorize the neuraxis (Bang et al., 1997). This model is based on several lines of evidence. First, in chick and Xenopus gastrulae the onset of Pax-3 expression occurs in regions fated to become posterior CNS. Second, Pax-3 expression is induced in noggin-treated Xenopus animal cap explants by the putative posteriorizing factors RA and bFGF. Third, the late, but not the early, organizer and posterior nonaxial mesoderm (PNM), two sources of posteriorizing signals, are capable of inducing ectopic Pax-3 expression in anterior neural tissue. These results led us to propose a model in which early expression of Pax-3 is established by signals produced by the organizer and the PNM that act to posteriorize the neuraxis. In this model, once this domain is established, the dorsal restriction of Pax-3 expression would be maintained at least in part through *sonic hedgehog* (*shh*)-mediated signals arising from the floorplate and notochord that act to repress Pax-3 in the ventral neural tube and positively acting signals like bone *morphogenetic protein-4 (BMP-4)* from epidermal ectoderm that would upregulate Pax-3 dorsally (reviewed in Tanabe and Jessell. 1996).

Of the two potential sources of Pax-3 inductive signals, PNM is a particularly attractive candidate because it underlies the posteriolateral neural plate, suggesting that the posteriolateral restriction of Pax-3 expression in the early neural plate might be due to the localized action of PNMderived signals. Indeed, the PNM has been found in several studies to be a source of signals capable of conferring posterior fates on presumptive anterior neural tissue in Xenopus, chicks, and zebrafish (Bang et al., 1997; Muhr et al., 1997; Kolm et al., 1997; Woo and Fraser, 1997). In addition, later acting signals arising from somitic mesoderm control patterning of the developing hindbrain and spinal cord, regulating Hox gene expression, Pax-6 expression, and motor neuron columnar subtype identity (Itasaki et al., 1996; Ensini et al., 1998; Grapin-Botton et al., 1997; Pituello et al., 1999). Together these studies suggest that PNM and its derivatives may produce multiple, temporally and functionally distinct signals that act to pattern the posterior CNS. However, the nature of PNM-derived posteriorizing factor(s) remains unknown.

Recently, members of the *Wnt* family of signaling molecules have been proposed to pattern the posterior neuraxis in *Xenopus* embryos: overexpression of *XWnt-3A* induces expression of the posterior markers *Krox-20* and *En-2* in neuralized animal caps from *noggin*-injected embryos, whereas overexpression *in vivo* of a dominant-negative form of *XWnt-8* (dn *XWnt-8*) blocks expression of these markers (Hoppler *et al.*, 1996; McGrew *et al.*, 1995, 1997). In addition, it has been shown that *Wnt*-signaling induces markers of neural crest, a cell type that arises within the *Pax-3* expression domain in the posteriolateral neural plate (LaBonne and Bronner-Fraser, 1998; Chiang and Hemmati-Brivanlou, 1998; Saint-Jeannet et al., 1998). These observations led us to ask whether a *Wnt* signal could play a role in the induction of Pax-3 expression by the PNM or the organizer. Using dominant-negative constructs, we show in explant assays that Pax-3 inductive activities from the organizer do not depend on FGF, RA, or XWnt-8, either alone or in combination, suggesting that this effect may be mediated by an unknown posteriorizing factor. However, Pax-3 inductive signals from PNM are Wnt-dependent. Results from *in vivo* experiments support this conclusion, as expression of Pax-3 in the lateral neural plate expands in embryos injected with XWnt-8 and is blocked in embryos injected with dominant-negative XWnt-8. Similar results were obtained with the homeodomain transcription factor Msx-1, another early marker of the lateral neural plate: XWnt-8 induces Msx-1 expression in noggin animal caps, Msx-1 inductive signals from PNM are Wnt-dependent, and finally, *Msx-1* expression is blocked in dominant-negative XWnt-8-injected embryos. The results obtained with Pax-3 and Msx-1 indicate that prior to neural crest formation Wnt-signaling is required to establish a posteriolateral domain during early patterning of the neural plate. Consistent with this finding, we show that Rohon-Beard primary neurons, a cell type which, like neural crest, arises from the lateral neural plate within the Pax-3 expression domain, are also blocked in vivo by dominant-negative XWnt-8. We propose that a Wnt signal from PNM induces Pax-3 and *Msx-1* expression in the lateral neural plate during early patterning of the neural plate, establishing a posteriolateral region from which neural crest cells and Rohon-Beard primary neurons will develop.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Embryos**

Embryos were obtained from *Xenopus laevis* adult frogs by hormone-induced egg-laying and *in vitro* fertilization using standard methods. *Xenopus* embryos were staged according to Nieuwkoop and Faber (1967). White Leghorn hens' eggs and quail eggs were incubated at 38°C in a humidified, forced-draft incubator. Avian embryos were staged according to Hamburger and Hamilton (1951).

### In Situ Hybridization

Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization of *Xenopus* embryos was performed according to Harland (1991) with modifications described by Knecht *et al.* (1995) using digoxigenin-labeled antisense RNA probes for *Xenopus Pax-3* (Espeseth *et al.*, 1995), *Xwnt-8* (Christian and Moon, 1993), *MyoD* (Hopwood *et al.*, 1992), *Xnrgr-1* (Ma *et al.*, 1996),  $\beta$ -tubulin (Chitnis *et al.*, 1995), and *Msx-1* (Suzuki *et al.*, 1997). Templates for *slug* and *elrC* probes corresponding to full-length coding regions were isolated using RT-PCR, based on published sequences (Good, 1995; Mayor *et al.*, 1995).

### Isolation, Treatment, and Culturing of Xenopus Embryos and Animal Caps

For animal caps, Xenopus embryos at the two-cell stage were injected in the animal region of each blastomere with capped synthetic RNAs encoding noggin (0.5 ng; Lamb et al., 1993); XWnt-8 (0.5 ng; Christian and Moon, 1993); dominant-negative XWnt-8 (0.5 ng; Hoppler et al., 1996); XFD (0.5 ng; Amaya et al., 1991); dominant-negative ras (0.5 ng, ras p21 (Asn-17)<sup>Ha-ras</sup>; Feig and Cooper, 1988), as described in Bhushan et al. (1994); or dominantnegative  $xRAR\gamma$ -1 (1 ng; dn  $xRAR\gamma$ -1 was a generous gift from Dr. Bruce Blumberg and was constructed as described for the dominant-negative form of  $xRAR\alpha$ -1 in Blumberg et al., 1997). Animal caps were dissected at stage 9. Some caps were treated with  $2 \times 10^{-6}$  M RA, diluted in 0.5× MMR, or 100 ng/ml bFGF (Boehringer Mannheim) in  $0.5 \times$  MMR, 0.1% BSA, immediately after dissection. Some animal caps were combined with chick tissues as described below. Animal caps were cultured on agarosecoated petri dishes in  $0.5 \times$  MMR containing penicillin/ streptomycin until sibling controls reached the stage noted.

For analysis of whole embryos, *XWnt-8* DNA (200 pg CSKA-X8; Christian and Moon, 1993) was co-injected with  $\beta$ -galactosidase mRNA as a tracer at the 2-cell stage in the animal region of a single blastomere. The dn *XWnt-8* RNA (0.5 ng) was co-injected with  $\beta$ -galactosidase mRNA at the 8- to 16-cell stage in the animal region in a single blastomere. Injected embryos were allowed to develop to stage 14–16, stained for  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity with X-gal to localize the tracer, and then analyzed by whole-mount *in situ* hybridization.

#### Xenopus/Chick Explant Recombinant Cultures

Dissections of avian tissue explants were performed as described in Bang *et al.* (1997). Hensen's node or PNM explants were "sandwiched" between two pieces of *Xenopus* animal cap tissue (Fig. 1A). Animal cap/chick tissue recombinants were cultured in  $0.5 \times$  MMR at room temperature as described above.

### **RNase Protection**

RNA was isolated and analyzed by RNase protection assay (RPA), using <sup>32</sup>P-labeled antisense RNA probes, as previously described (Melton *et al.*, 1984; Kintner and Melton, 1987). The probes used to detect *Pax-3*, *N-CAM*, *EF-1* $\alpha$ , *Otx-2*,  $\beta$ -*tubulin*, and *Msx-1* RNAs have been described previously (Kintner and Melton, 1987; Ferreiro *et al.*, 1994; Papalopulu and Kintner, 1996; Bang *et al.*, 1997; Suzuki *et al.*, 1997). RNA samples isolated from 10 animal caps or 5 animal cap/chick tissue recombinants were analyzed simultaneously with several probes. *EF-1* $\alpha$  expression was used as a loading control.

# RESULTS

#### Pax-3 Induction by PNM and Hensen's Node

In a previous study we demonstrated that two sources of posteriorization signals, the organizer and the PNM, can induce ectopic *Pax-3* expression in anterior neural tissue (Bang *et al.*, 1997). In addition, we showed that signals from these tissues can be mimicked by the putative posteriorizing factors bFGF and RA. To examine the possibility that

*Wnt* signaling may also play a role in the induction of *Pax-3, Xenopus* stage 9 (blastula) animal caps from embryos co-injected with *noggin* and *XWnt-8* RNAs were allowed to develop until sibling embryos reached stage 16 (early neurula), and then were analyzed by RPA (Fig. 1). *Noggin* animal caps have an anterior neural fate and do not express *Pax-3* (Lamb *et al.*, 1993; Bang *et al.*, 1997). However, *Pax-3* is induced in animal caps from embryos co-injected with *noggin* and *XWnt-8* RNA, suggesting that *Wnt* signaling could act to induce *Pax-3* expression in the posterior neural plate *in vivo*. Similar results were obtained when an *XWnt-8* DNA expression construct was co-injected with *noggin*, showing that induction of *Pax-3* occurs independent of any dorsalizing effects caused by *Xwnt-8* RNA injections (data not shown).

To test whether the PNM-mediated induction of Pax-3 is dependent on a Wnt signal, explant sandwiches were made in which PNM from Hamburger-Hamilton (HH) stage 6 chicks was combined with Xenopus blastula stage animal caps from embryos co-injected with noggin and dn XWnt-8 (Fig. 1A). This heterospecies assay offers a number of advantages (see also Kintner and Dodd, 1991). First, it is conducted at room temperature, at which Xenopus develops, but growth and differentiation of the chick tissue is arrested. Thus, signals arising from the explanted chick tissues are likely to reflect properties of the stage at which they were isolated. Second, expression of genes in the responding versus the inducing tissue can be easily distinguished. And finally, it is possible to perform very accurate dissections of early mesoderm from chicks. The chick/ Xenopus sandwiches were incubated until Xenopus stage 16 and then analyzed by RPA. This experiment revealed that dn XWnt-8 blocks Pax-3 inductive signals from stage 6 PNM (Fig. 1B). In contrast, we showed previously that although bFGF and RA can induce Pax-3 expression in noggin animal caps, stage 6 PNM induction of Pax-3 in noggin animal caps is not blocked by a dominant-negative FGF receptor (XFD), a dn ras, or a dn  $xRAR-\gamma$ ) (Amaya et al., 1991; Bang et al., 1997; Blumberg et al., 1997; Feig and Cooper 1988). Thus, PNM-mediated induction of Pax-3 expression occurs independent of RA and FGF (or other ras-mediated signals); however, it does require a Wntdependent signal.

In our previous study HH stage 6 Hensen's node (HN) from chick was also identified as a source of *Pax-3* inductive signals. Similar to PNM, explants of stage 6 HN induced *Pax-3* expression in *noggin* animal caps, independent of RA or FGF signaling, as induction was not blocked by XFD, dn *ras*, or dn *RAR-\gamma*. To test whether HN-mediated induction of *Pax-3* also depends on a *Wnt* signal, stage 6 HN was combined with animal caps from embryos co-injected with *noggin* and dn *XWnt-8*. Analysis of these recombinants revealed that, in contrast to PNM, *Pax-3* inductive signals from HN are not *Wnt*-dependent (Fig. 1B). To address the question of whether multiple, redundant posteriorization signals emanate from the organizer, and thus it is insufficient to block



FIG. 1. Pax-3 inductive activities from PNM are Wnt-dependent; however, Hensen's node induction of Pax-3 does not depend on FGF, RA, or Wht, either alone or in combination. (A) Experimental design. (B) Analysis of chick PNM/Xenopus dn XWnt-8 and noggin animal cap recombinants. Animal caps from Xenopus blastulae were injected with only noggin (lanes 1, 4, 5) or co-injected with noggin and XWnt-8 (lane2) or with noggin and dn XWnt-8 (lanes 3, 6, 7). Lanes 1-3 show that Xwnt-8 strongly induces Pax-3 in noggin animal caps and that dn XWnt-8 efficiently blocks this induction. Lanes 4–7 show that both stage 6 HN (lane 4) and PNM (lane 5) induce Pax-3 expression in noggin animal caps; however, dn XWnt-8 blocks Pax-3 inductive signals from PNM (lane 7), but not HN (lane 6). Animal caps were analyzed by RPA when sibling controls were stage 16. (C) RPA analysis of chick HN/Xenopus XFD, dn ras, dn RARg-1, and noggin animal cap recombinants. Animal caps from blastulae co-injected with all three inhibitors dn Ras, dn RARg-1, and dn XWnt-8, in the absence (lane 3) or presence (lane 6) of noggin, were recombined with stage 6 HN. In both ectoderm and noggin animal caps, the combination of the three inhibitors did not block HN-mediated induction of Pax-3 expression. Interestingly, repression of the anterior marker Otx-2 by HN in lane 3 is blocked in the presence of the three inhibitors. Control lanes 8-13 show that each of the inhibitors can still function to block Pax-3 expression in the presence of the other inhibitors when XWnt-8 RNA is co-injected (lanes 8 and 9) or in the presence of  $2 \times 10^{-6}$  M RA (lanes 10 and 11) or in the presence of 100 ng/ml bFGF (lanes 12 and 13). ect, ectoderm; n, noggin; st.6 Hn, stage 6 Hensen's node; 3 inh, three inhibitors. (D) Analysis of Msx-1 expression in chick PNM/Xenopus dn XWnt-8 and noggin animal cap recombinants. Animal caps from Xenopus blastulae were injected with only noggin (lanes 2 and 4) or co-injected with noggin and XWnt-8 (lane 3) or co-injected with noggin and dn XWnt-8 (lane 5) or not injected (lane 1). Lane 1 shows that Msx-1 is expressed in ectoderm alone, presumably the BMP-dependent component of the Msx-1 expression pattern. Msx-1 is not expressed in noggin animal caps (lane 2); however, Msx-1 is strongly induced by XWnt-8 in noggin animal caps (lane 3). Lanes 4 and 5 show that PNM induces Msx-1 expression in noggin animal caps (lane 4); however, dn XWnt-8 blocks this induction (lane 5).

only one, animal caps isolated from embryos co-injected with *noggin*, dn *XWnt-8*, dn *ras*, and dn *xRAR-\gamma* were combined with stage 6 HN explants. Analysis of these recombinants showed that HN explants still induce

*Pax-3* expression even when FGF, RA, and *Wnt* signaling are simutaneously blocked (Fig. 1C). These results suggest that stage 6 HN produces a yet to be identified factor that can induce *Pax-3* expression.



**FIG. 2.** Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization analysis of *Pax-3* expression in *Xenopus* embryos. (A) Dorsovegetal view at stage 11.5 showing that *Pax-3* is expressed in distinct lateral domains of the presumptive neural plate. (B) Dorsal view at stage 13 showing the refinement of *Pax-3* expression to lateral domains of the neural plate during convergence and extension. (C) Dorsovegetal view at stage 11.5 showing that *XWnt-8* is expressed in involuting ventral-lateral mesoderm. "Wings" of involuted mesoderm that underlie the prospective lateral neural plate are indicated by arrows. (D) Dorsal view at stage 13 showing *XWnt-8* expression in the lateral neural plate.

# The Pattern of XWnt-8 Expression Is Consistent with a Role in the Regulation of Pax-3

The results above indicate that a *Wnt* signal produced by the PMN induces Pax-3 expression during posteriorization of the neural plate. Since the dn XWnt-8 construct used in this study blocks the activities of XWnt-1, -3A, and -8, we next considered whether the spatiotemporal expression of any of these Wnt-family members is consistent with that expected for an early Pax-3 inducing activity. The earliest expression of Pax-3 is detected in broad domains in the posterior and lateral neural plate in Xenopus stage 11 embryos (gastrula) (Fig. 2A, and data not shown). These broad domains become progressively refined to the neural folds with a rostral limit at the caudal midbrain, consistent with our proposal that an early signal associated with posteriorization of the neural plate initiates Pax-3 expression, which is then refined further by D-V patterning signals (Fig. 2B; Bang et al., 1997). Comparison of the

expression patterns of XWnt-1, -3A, and -8 with that of Pax-3 indicates that XWnt-8 is the most likely candidate to play a role in initiation and/or maintenance of Pax-3 expression at gastrula stages. XWnt-3A is expressed in the lateral margins of the presumptive neural plate at stage 13, well after the onset of Pax-3 expression, and XWnt-1 expression is not detected in the dorsal neural tube until tailbud stages (McGrew et al., 1997; Wolda et al., 1993). However, Xwnt-8 is restricted to ventral-lateral mesoderm during gastrulation, suggesting that it could act to induce or maintain Pax-3 expression in a laterally restricted domain of the neuroectoderm (Fig. 2C, see also Smith and Harland, 1991; Christian et al., 1991). Moreover, CWnt-8C, a chicken gene that is homologous to XWnt-8, is expressed in nonaxial mesoderm in gastrulating chick embryos and may therefore represent the PNM-derived Wnt signal we detect in our chick/Xenopus explant recombinant assay (Hume and Dodd, 1993). If XWnt-8 were to play a role in initiating



**FIG. 3.** Effects of gain and loss of *Wnt* function on the expression of *Pax-3* and *Msx-1*. Embryos were injected with  $\beta$ -galactosidase RNA alone (A) or co-injected with  $\beta$ -galactosidase and *XWnt-8* DNA (B and C), or  $\beta$ -galactosidase and dn *Xwnt-8* RNA (D–I). Dorsal views are shown in all images (anterior is at the top) with the injected side always on the left. Injected embryos were analyzed by whole-mount *in situ* hybridization for expression of *Pax-3* (A–F), *Msx-1* (G, H), or *myoD* (I).

*Pax-3*, it is unclear whether it would act in a planar or vertical fashion as there is very little *XWnt-8*-expressing lateral mesoderm that has involuted at the onset of *Pax-3* expression (see Discussion). However, by stage 11.5 the involuted "wings" of *XWnt-8*-expressing lateral mesoderm could play a role in maintaining *Pax-3* expression (Fig. 2C). Interestingly, *XWnt-8* is also detected in posteriolateral neuroectoderm commencing at stage 13 (early neural plate) in a pattern also consistent with it playing a role in the maintenance of *Pax-3* expression (Fig. 2D).

### Expression of Pax-3 in the Lateral Neural Plate Expands in Embryos Injected with XWnt-8 and Is Blocked in Embryos Injected with Dominant-Negative XWnt-8

To further test our hypothesis that Pax-3 is regulated by a Wnt-mediated signal, we examined the consequences of gain and loss of XWnt-8 function on the pattern of Pax-3 expression in vivo. To perform the gain-of-function experiment we injected an XWnt-8 DNA expression construct into one cell of a two-cell-stage Xenopus embryo.  $\beta$ -galactosidase RNA was co-injected as a lineage tracer. An XWnt-8 DNA construct was used because it would not be expressed until the midblastula transition, thus secondary dorsal-axis induction resulting from XWnt-8 RNA injections could be avoided. Injected embryos were allowed to develop until neurula stages 14-16. Embryos were then stained for  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity using X-gal and analyzed for Pax-3 expression by in situ hybridization. Comparison of the injected and uninjected sides of the embryos revealed an expansion of the Pax-3 expression domain and an apparent upregulation of Pax-3 transcript levels that colocalizes with the  $\beta$ -galactosidase lineage tracer (Figs. 3B and 3C, Table 1). The XWnt-8-induced expansion of the Pax-3 expression domain primarily occurred laterally, with ectopic Pax-3 expression not detected in more medial domains. This suggests that there are strong inhibitors medially that block the effects of ectopic XWnt-8 expression or, alternatively, that there are laterally localized cofactors that are required for *Wnt-8*-mediated induction of *Pax-3* expression.

Next we asked whether blocking Wnt signaling in vivo using the dn XWnt-8 construct would affect the early pattern of Pax-3 expression. It has been shown that injection of dn XWnt-8 RNA into the marginal zone of 2- to 4-cell-stage embryos inhibits formation of ventral-lateral mesoderm and blocks induction of MyoD expression (Hoppler et al., 1996). To avoid disturbing mesoderm development, dn XWnt-8 RNA was injected into single blastomeres in the animal region of 8- to 16-cell-stage embryos. In dn XWnt-8-injected embryos Pax-3 expression was eliminated or strongly downregulated in areas that colocalized with the  $\beta$ -galactosidase tracer (Figs. 3D–3F, Table 1). This inhibition included the early broad domain associated with the formation of the posteriolateral neural plate and not just that associated with early neural crest formation in the cranial region. To confirm that it is unlikely that this

phenotype results indirectly from an effect of dn XWnt-8 on underlying mesoderm, MyoD expression was also examined and found to be unaltered (Fig. 3I, Table 1). These results reveal that either initiation and/or maintenance of Pax-3 expression in the lateral neural plate requires a Wntmediated signal that is blocked by dn XWnt-8. Furthermore, the chick/Xenopus explant recombinant experiments described above suggest that this Wnt-meditated signal may arise from posteriolateral mesoderm.

To examine whether our results showing that Pax-3 expression is Wnt-dependent reflect a more general requirement for *Wnt* signaling in patterning of the posteriolateral neural plate, we also analyzed expression of the transcription factor Msx-1 in dn XWnt-8-injected embryos. The expression pattern of *Msx-1* in the presumptive neural plate of both chicks and Xenopus is strikingly similar to that of Pax-3 (Suzuki et al., 1997; Muhr et al., 1997). In Xenopus, the onset of Msx-1 expression occurs in the presumptive posteriolateral neural plate at gastrula stage 11, and this broad domain of expression subsequently becomes refined to the neural folds (Suzuki et al., 1997). Similar to Pax-3, Msx-1 is not expressed in noggin animal caps, but it can be induced by co-injection with XWnt-8 or by recombination with PNM explants from chicks (Fig. 1D). In addition, PNM-mediated induction of Msx-1 is blocked by dominantnegative XWnt-8. We note that in contrast to Pax-3, Msx-1 is also expressed outside the neural plate in the presumptive epidermal ectoderm (Suzuki et al., 1997). The epidermal component of Msx-1 expression is dependent on BMP-4; however, the observation that Msx-1 expression can be induced in noggin animal caps suggests that initiation of the neural component of Msx-1 expression may be BMPindependent (Fig. 1D; Suzuki et al., 1997). In dn XWnt-8injected embryos *Msx-1* expression in the neural plate was eliminated or strongly downregulated in areas that colocalized with the  $\beta$ -galactosidase tracer, suggesting that similar to Pax-3, initiation and/or maintenance of Msx-1 expression in the neural plate depends on a *Wnt*-mediated signal (Figs. 3G and 3H and Table 1). The epidermal component of *Msx-1* expression was unaffected in the dominant-negative XWnt-8-injected embryos (data not shown). We attempted to analyze the effect of XWnt-8 overexpression on Msx-1; however, this proved to be difficult. Since Msx-1 is also expressed in epidermal ectoderm that flanks the neural plate it was not possible to unambiguously discern whether the neural Msx-1 expression domain was expanded (data not shown).

# Dominant-Negative XWnt-8 Blocks Development of Rohon–Beard Cells

The expression domain of *Pax-3* in the early neural plate encompasses a rather broad region from which both neural crest and primary sensory neurons or Rohon–Beard cells will arise. Recently, it has been demonstrated that expression of the neural crest marker *slug* expands in embryos injected with *XWnt-8* and is blocked in embryos injected

TABLE	1
-------	---

Injection	Probe	п	Eliminated or reduced	Mild phenotype or unaffected	Expanded
dn Xwnt-8	Pax-3	53	46 (86%)	5 (10%)	2 (4%)
dn <i>Xwnt-8</i>	myoD	42	2 (4%)	40 (96%)	0
dn <i>Xwnt-8</i>	Msx-1	45	32 (71%)	13 (29%)	0
dn Xwnt-8	tubulin	23 lat.	20 (87%)	3 (13%)	0
		25 med.	1 (4%)	24 (96%)	0
dn Xwnt-8	elrC	59 lat.	50 (85%)	9 (15%)	0
		36 med.	0	36 (100%)	0
dn Xwnt-8	Xnrgr-1	51 lat.	43 (84%)	8 (16%)	0
	0	21 med.	4 (20%)	17 (80%)	0
Xwnt-8	Pax-3	47	3 (7%)	5 (10%)	39 (83%)
dn <i>Xwnt-8</i> Xwnt-8	Xnrgr-1 Pax-3	36 med. 51 lat. 21 med. 47	0 43 (84%) 4 (20%) 3 (7%)	36 (100%) 8 (16%) 17 (80%) 5 (10%)	0 0 0 39

*Note.* Injected embryos were scored for either reduction or expansion of expression of the above genes.  $\beta$ -tubulin, elrC, and Xnrgr-1 were scored for Rohon–Beard (lat.) and motor neuron (med.) domains.

with dominant-negative XWnt-8 as we have shown for Pax-3 (La Bonne and Bronner-Fraser, 1998). Thus, we were interested in determining whether dn Xwnt-8 blocks only neural-crest-cell markers or whether Rohon-Beard sensory neurons are also Wnt-dependent. dn XWnt-8 RNA was injected into single blastomeres in the animal region of 8- to 16-cell-stage embryos. The injected embryos were analyzed at neural plate stages for expression of the primary neuron markers *elrC* and *neural specific*  $\beta$ -tubulin (Good, 1995; Chitnis et al., 1995). The Rohon-Beard cells, as detected by both *elrC* and  $\beta$ -tubulin expression, were either eliminated or greatly reduced in number when the  $\beta$ -galactosidase tracer was localized to the lateral domain of the neural plate in dn XWnt-8-injected embryos (Figs. 4A-4D, Table 1). In contrast, when the  $\beta$ -galactosidase tracer was localized to the medial (motor neuron) domain of primary neurons, elrC and  $\beta$ -tubulin expression was unaffected.

A possible explanation for these observations is that differentiation of Rohon-Beard cells, but not primary motor neurons, is Wnt-dependent. Alternatively, the loss of elrC and  $\beta$ -tubulin expression in dn XWnt-8-injected embryos could reflect an earlier defect, in that a domain competent to undergo neurogenesis fails to be established in the lateral neural plate. To distinguish these possibilities we examined expression of an earlier marker, Xenopus neurogenin *related-1* (*Xnrgr-1*), which marks the precursor cells from which primary neurons arise (Ma et al., 1996). In dn XWnt-8-injected embryos Xnrgr-1 expression in the medial domain was unaffected or mildly repressed, while in the lateral neural plate Xnrgr-1 expression was eliminated or strongly downregulated, suggesting that a lateral domain of neuronal competence is not specified (Figs. 4E-4G, Table 1). Although we did observe some repression of *Xnrgr-1* in the medial domain it was relatively mild in comparison to the strong repression we observed in the lateral domain. Interestingly, whereas *Xnrgr-1*, *elrC*, and  $\beta$ -tubulin expression was always reduced in the Rohon-Beard domain of dn XWnt-8-injected embryos, the expression of these genes in

the trigeminal ganglia was reduced in some embryos but expanded in others (data not shown). We did not investigate this phenotype further; however, it implies that Wntdependent patterning of neurogenesis in the trigeminal ganglia may be more complex than that of Rohon-Beard cells. We note that Marcus et al. (1998) showed that overexpression in Xenopus embryos of glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3), an inhibitor of Wnt-signaling, represses  $\beta$ -tubulin expression in both sensory and motor domains, but does not repress NeuroD expression. In addition, they observed that GSK-3 could block the ability of Xnrgr-1 to induce ectopic  $\beta$ -tubulin-expressing cells, leading to a model in which GSK-3 is required downstream of NeuroD for the differentiation of primary neurons. However, we found that dn XWnt-8 does not block Xnrgr-1-induced ectopic neurogenesis, nor does it block the differentiation of primary motor neurons, suggesting that the activity of GSK-3 described by Marcus et al. (1998) may affect a pathway different from that affected by the dn XWnt-8 construct (Fig. 4 and data not shown).

In gain-of-function experiments we observed that injection of XWnt-8 DNA in vivo did not reliably expand or alter the pattern of  $\beta$ -tubulin expression, suggesting that a Wnt signal, while necessary, is not sufficient for the development of Rohon-Beard cells or that there are inhibitors present in vivo that block the effects of ectopic XWnt-8 expression (data not shown). The latter possibility is supported by our observation that expression of XWnt-8 is sufficient to upregulate  $\beta$ -tubulin expression in vitro in noggin animal caps. Stage 9 (blastula) animal caps from embryos co-injected with noggin RNA and XWnt-8 DNA were allowed to develop until sibling embryos reached stage 16 (early neurula) or 25 (early tadpole) and then analyzed by RPA (Fig. 5A). At both stages, levels of  $\beta$ -tubulin expression increased when Xwnt-8 was co-injected with noggin. However, the observed increase in  $\beta$ -tubulin expression is lower than expected compared with control embryos, suggesting that Wnt-dependent neurogenesis may require other factors



Copyright @ 1999 by Academic Press. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved.



**FIG. 5.** (A) *XWnt-8* upregulates  $\beta$ -tubulin expression in *noggin* animal caps. Animal caps from *Xenopus* blastulae were injected with *noggin* RNA (lanes 2 and 6) or with *XWnt-8* DNA (lanes 3 and 7) or were co-injected with *noggin* RNA and *XWnt-8* DNA (lanes 4 and 8). Lanes 4 and 8 show that *Xwnt-8* upregulates  $\beta$ -tubulin expression in *noggin* animal caps. Note that in lane 4 NCAM expression is decreased (see also Fig. 1B, lane 2, 1C, lane 8, and 1D, lane 3). Animal caps were analyzed by RPA when sibling controls were stage 16 (neurula) and stage 25 (early tadpole). ect, ectoderm; n, *noggin*; N-tub, *neural specific*  $\beta$ -tubulin. (B) Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization analysis of a stage 12.5 embryo showing that the Rohon–Beard cells, marked by *elrC* (arrow, punctate staining), lie outside the central portion of the neural plate, marked by NCAM (diffuse staining). Both probes were detected with NBT/BCIP.

**FIG. 6.** Model for induction of *Pax-3* expression in the lateral neural plate. A *Wnt*-mediated posteriorizing activity arising from PNM would act to initiate and/or maintain *Pax-3* expression in the posteriolateral neural plate. The secreted inhibitors of *Wnt* signaling, *frzB* and *szl*, which pattern the mesoderm, could also play a role in restricting the activity of *Wnt* signaling in the neural plate, leading to a refinement of the *Pax-3* expression domain. Furthermore, the *Wnt*-dependent induction of a *Pax-3*-positive domain would establish spatial information necessary for appropriate specification of later arising cell types such as Rohon–Beard primary neurons and neural crest.

**FIG. 4.** Effects of loss of *Wnt* function on the expression of *elrC*,  $\beta$ -*tubulin*, and *Xnrgr-1*. Embryos were co-injected with  $\beta$ -galactosidase and dn *Xwnt-8* RNA (A–F) or injected with  $\beta$ -galactosidase RNA alone (G, H). Dorsal views are shown in all images (anterior is at the top) with the injected side always on the left. 10- $\mu$ m transverse paraffin sections showing that the  $\beta$ -galactosidase tracer colocalizes with the affected domains of primary neurons are shown immediately beneath the corresponding whole-mounted embryo. Injected embryos were analyzed by whole-mount *in situ* hybridization for expression of  $\beta$ -*tubulin* (A, B), *elrC* (C, D, H), and *Xnrgr-1* (E–G). The lateral (l), intermediate (i), and medial (m) domains of *elrC*-expressing primary neurons are indicated by arrows in H.

not present in the *noggin* cap and/or that inhibitors are present. Interestingly, this increase of  $\beta$ -tubulin expression was accompanied by a decrease in NCAM expression (Figs. 5A, 1B lane 2, 1C lane 8, and 1D lane 3; see also Cunliffe and Smith, 1994). NCAM marks the medial portion of the neural plate, excluding the Rohon–Beard domain (Fig. 5B; see also Sasai and De Robertis, 1997). Thus, the observed decrease in NCAM expression suggests that *XWnt-8* acts to confer a posteriolateral fate to *noggin* animal caps.

Together these data support a model in which patterning of the lateral neural plate by *Wnt*-mediated signals is an early event that establishes a domain, marked by *Pax-3* and *Msx-1* expression, from which Rohon–Beard cells, as well as neural crest, will develop.

# DISCUSSION

### **Expression of Pax-3 in the Neural Plate Depends** on a Wnt-Dependent Signal from PNM

Several studies have shown recently that signals from PNM are capable of posteriorizing the neuraxis. In zebrafish, transplantation studies have demonstrated that transforming signals that are not mimicked by FGF arise from the mesoderm and endoderm lateral to the shield (organizer) (Woo and Fraser, 1997). In Xenopus, lateral mesoderm was shown to produce an RA-dependent signal that induces expression of HoxD1 (Kolm et al., 1997). In chicks, gastrula stage PNM is capable of inducing Pax-3 expression in anterior neural tissue both in vitro and in vivo, as well as in Xenopus noggin animal caps in a manner independent of RA- or FGF-mediated signaling (Bang et al., 1997). Finally, Muhr et al. (1997) identified a PNM-derived activity from gastrula-stage chicks, distinct from RA and FGF, that can caudalize anterior neural plate explants. Together these studies indicate that PNM acts to pattern the posterior CNS, but whether this involves multiple posteriorizing activities, with redundant overlapping functions, remains unclear.

Four lines of evidence presented here suggest strongly that one of the activities produced by PNM is a Wntmediated signal that acts during early gastrula stages to induce *Pax-3* expression in the posteriolateral neural plate. First, a Pax-3 inductive signal from chick PNM is blocked by dn XWnt-8 in chick/Xenopus explant recombinants. Second, blocking Wnt-signaling in vivo by injecting dn XWnt-8 into Xenopus embryos results in loss of Pax-3 expression. Third, ectopic expression of XWnt-8 via DNA injections results in an expansion of Pax-3 expression laterally, although not medially. Finally, the spatial and temporal requirements for the early pattern of Pax-3 expression are more consistent with a Wnt-mediated signal arising from mesoderm rather than from neuroectoderm. We note that in using chick/Xenopus explant recombinants in this study we have assumed that a potential role for PNM in the early patterning of the lateral neural plate has been evolutionarily conserved between avians and amphibians.

It is clear that there will be differences in mechanisms that pattern the Xenopus and chick neural plates. For instance, unlike in Xenopus, blocking BMP signaling with chordin is not sufficient to induce neural tissue in chicks (Streit et al., 1998). It is certainly possible that chick PNM is a biologically irrelevant source of a Wnt-dependent signal that induces Pax-3 in Xenopus animal caps. However, the following observations support the hypothesis that PNMmediated activities may be conserved. First, Pax-3 and Msx-1 are very early markers of the lateral neural plate in both chicks and Xenopus that can be induced by PNM in both chick and *Xenopus* neural explants (Bang *et al.*, 1997. Muhr et al., 1997, A.G.B. and M.D.G., unpublished observations; this study). Second, as discussed below, both Xenopus and chick PNM have been shown to play roles in neural crest induction. Indeed, Xenopus dorsolateral mesoderm has been shown to induce *slug* expression in *chordin* neuralized animal caps, similar to our observation that chick PNM can induce Pax-3 and Msx-1 expression in noggin animal caps (La Bonne and Bronner-Fraser, 1998).

Of the known *Wnt* genes whose activities are blocked by dn XWnt-8, XWnt-8 which is expressed in lateral mesoderm is the best candidate both spatially and temporally for the induction and/or maintenance of the early Pax-3 expression. XWnt-8 and XWnt-3A are not detected in the neuroectoderm until stage 13, well after the onset of Pax-3 expression and in a domain that is significantly more narrow than that of Pax-3. In contrast, expression of *XWnt-8* in lateral mesoderm at gastrula stages is temporally consistent with the onset of Pax-3 expression. However, if XWnt-8 were to play a role in initiating Pax-3 expression it is unclear whether it would act in a planar or vertical fashion since very little XWnt-8-expressing lateral mesoderm has involuted at the onset of Pax-3 expression at stage 11. Pax-3 is expressed in Keller explant "giant sandwiches" made at stage 10.5, suggesting that, although vertical contacts may play a role prior to stage 10.5, after this time planar signals are sufficient to maintain most Pax-3 expression. However, neither Pax-3 nor slug expression is completely normal in these sandwiches as Pax-3 is reduced and *slug* is absent in the cranial masses, suggesting that vertical signals may be important in this region (A.G.B., C.K., and Raymond Keller, unpublished observations; Poznanski et al., 1997). While these observations suggest strongly that a Wnt-dependent signal from the PNM in early gastrulae plays a role in either inducing or maintaining a lateral domain of *Pax-3* expression in the posterior neural plate, it is also possible that Wnt signaling may be necessary only for the neural plate to be competent to form posteriolateral tissue. XWnt-7B is expressed uniformly in the animal half and marginal zone of gastrula-stage embryos, and even though it is not spatially localized, it could play a role in patterning the lateral neural plate as its overexpression causes an expansion of expression of the neural crest marker twist (Chang and Hemmati-Brivanlou, 1998). For instance, it is possible that XWnt-7B, or a yet to be identified *Wnt*, could make the ectoderm competent to respond to the *Pax-3* inducing signal from PNM.

The observation that *XWnt-8* is required for specification of ventral and somitic mesoderm, coupled with our findings that an early *Wnt* signal is required for patterning the lateral neural plate, suggests that the roles of Wnt signaling in the mesoderm and early neural plate may be coordinated. For instance, inhibitors of Wnt signaling that pattern the mesoderm could also play a role in restricting the activity of *Wnt* signaling in the neural plate, leading to a refinement of the Pax-3 expression domain. frzB and sizzled (szl), which encode secreted proteins that act as antagonists of XWnt-8 signaling, are expressed during gastrulation in dorsal and ventral mesodermal domains, respectively (Leyns et al., 1997; Salic et al., 1997; Wang et al., 1997). Thus, the highest levels of XWnt-8 signaling would occur in lateral mesoderm, correlating well with restricted expression of Pax-3 in lateral neuroectoderm. The expression of *frzB* and *szl* also provides an explanation for our observation that although injection of XWnt-8 into Xenopus embryos induces an expansion of the Pax-3 expression domain, it does not result in ectopic Pax-3 expression in the medial neural plate, or in ventral ectoderm, regions that could be influenced by these *Wnt* antagonists from the mesoderm (Fig. 6).

Although these results emphasize an early role of *Wnt* signaling at neural plate stages, there is likely to be a role for *Wnt* signaling in the dorsal neural tube at late stages as well. For example, examination of mice that are compound null mutants for Wnt-1 and Wnt-3A revealed reduced expression of Pax-3 in the dorsal hindbrain, a phenotype attributed to failure of expansion of dorsal neural precursors (Ikeya et al., 1997). While such a proliferation defect is unlikely to underlie the loss of *Pax-3* expression that we observed in *dn XWnt-8*-injected embryos at neural plate stages, is it possible that XWnt-1 and XWnt-3A could play a similar role in Xenopus by promoting the expansion of dorsal precursors in the neural tube at later stages. It has also been proposed that Wnt signaling acts to posteriorize other aspects of the Xenopus neuraxis. McGrew et al. (1998) have shown that the expression of the posterior neural markers En-2 (midbrain-hindbrain) and Krox-20 (rhombomeres 3 and 5) is blocked in Xenopus embryos by the dn XWnt-8 mutant. However, it should be emphasized that the requirement for Wnt signaling for expression of En-2 and Krox-20 may not be the same as that for Pax-3, given that Wnts are likely to have multiples roles in neural patterning, all of which could be targeted by the dn XWnt-8 mutant. Thus, the observed Wnt dependence of Krox-20 and En-2, which are expressed relatively late during neural plate development in very specific subdomains, may reflect secondary patterning interactions, rather than a primary response to A-P patterning signals as we suggest for Pax-3.

### Is Wnt-Signaling Required to Establish a Posteriolateral Domain That Is Competent to Give Rise to Neural Crest and Rohon–Beard Cells?

The loss of neural-crest-cell derivatives in *Splotch* mice, which carry loss-of-function mutations in the Pax-3 gene, suggests that the induction of *Pax-3* is an important step in the differentiation of neural crest cells from the lateral neural plate (Franz, 1990; Epstein et al., 1991 and references therein). The observation that the onset of *Pax-3* expression is at stage 11 (midgastrula), whereas slug expression is not detected until stage 12 (late gastrula), in the cranial region, and stage 16 (neural plate), at more caudal levels, implies that establishment of a Pax-3-positive region in the lateral neural plate may be an important event upstream of the initiation of slug expression in the neural crest (Mayor et al., 1995; Bang et al., 1997). One possibility is that Wnt signaling induces neural crest cells directly. Alternatively, it could be required even earlier to pattern the neural plate, thus generating a posteriolateral domain where neural crest cells arise. The latter possibility is supported by the observation that Pax-3 and Msx-1 are expressed considerably earlier and in a broader domain than *slug*. We propose that the Wnt requirement exhibited by slug reflects a Wntdependent posteriorizing signal from PNM that establishes a posteriolateral domain, marked by Pax-3 expression, that gives rise to neural crest cells. This model is consistent with studies in Xenopus embryos that used explant conjugates to demonstrate that either dorsal or lateral marginal zone can induce *slug* expression in ectoderm, leading to the proposal that an early signal from lateral mesoderm may "prime" the neural plate, enabling it to respond later to neural-crest inducing signals from the epidermal ectoderm (Mayor et al., 1995; Bonstein et al., 1998). Similarly, Muhr et al. (1997) showed in chicks that a PNM-derived signal(s) acts to caudalize the early neural plate, rendering it competent to express *slug* in response to BMP-4, suggesting that specification of neural crest cells is linked to posteriorization of the neuraxis. This idea is consistent with the observation that neural crest cells arise from posterior neural tissue at levels caudal to the caudal diencephalon and are not generated from more rostral forebrain (Muhr et al., 1997; Couly and Le Douarin, 1987).

Current models suggest that a cascade of transcription factors acts to regulate neurogenesis, such that "determination genes" like *Xnrgr-1* confer neuronal potential to a domain of cells and "differentiation genes" like *NeuroD* stably induce neuronal fate in individual cells within those domains (see Ma *et al.*, 1996). However, little is known about how the different domains of neuronal potential from which primary neurons arise are established during development of the *Xenopus* neural plate. Similar to models of neural crest development discussed above, it has been proposed that neurogenesis in the *Xenopus* embryo is also linked to A–P patterning of the neuraxis (Papalopulu and Kintner, 1996). Thus, in this model the first wave of neurogenesis that occurs in the neural plate to give rise to primary neurons would take place only in neural tissue with a posterior fate. Based on our observation that *Xwnt-8* upregulates  $\beta$ -tubulin expression in *noggin* animal caps, and that dn *XWnt-8* blocks neurogenesis in the Rohon-Beard domain, we propose that posteriolateralization of the neural plate by a *Wnt*-dependent signal is a necessary first step in the development of Rohon-Beard primary neurons. Moreover, our observation that dn *XWnt-8* specifically blocks *Xnrgr-1* expression in the Rohon-Beard domain suggests that *XWnt-8* may act to set up a region of neuronal potential in the lateral neural plate. Indeed, such a mechanism may be conserved in evolution as some proneural domains in the developing *Drosophila* adult peripheral nervous system are dependent on *wingless* (Phillips and Whittle, 1993).

The observation that both neural crest and Rohon–Beard neurons are *Wnt*-dependent supports a model in which a *Wnt* signal acts extremely early during neural plate development to confer a posteriolateral identity, setting up a *Pax-3*-positive domain from which these cell types arise.

# A Pax-3 Inductive Signal That Is Independent of FGF, RA, and Wnt Arises from HN

In addition to PNM, we had also identified chick stage 6, but not stage 4, HN as a source of *Pax-3* inductive activity, consistent with studies showing that late, but not early, organizer produces posteriorizing signals. Using chick/ *Xenopus* recombinants we have found that the *Pax-3* inductive activity from stage 6 HN does not depend on *XWnt-8*, or FGF and RA, either alone or in combination, suggesting, not surprisingly, that the organizer may produce additional, unknown posteriorizing factor(s). This result is consistent with *in vivo* experiments showing that *XFD* transgenic *Xenopus* embryos exhibit relatively normal expression of *Pax-3* and that ectopic expression of a dominant-negative form of the *RAR-* $\alpha$ *1* does not alter the *Pax-3* expression pattern (Kroll and Amaya, 1996; N.P., unpublished observation).

If there are *Pax-3* inductive signals localized medially (from the organizer) then why are *Pax-3* transcripts detected only laterally in the early neural plate? One possibility is that *in vivo* there are medial inhibitors of *Pax-3* expression that would override *Pax-3* inductive signals coming from the organizer. Indeed, *Pax-3* appears to be actively repressed in the ventral neural tube by midline signals, as loss of the notochord or of *shh* results in a ventral expansion of *Pax-3* expression in *Xenopus*, chicks, and mice (Chiang *et al.*, 1996; Espeseth *et al.*, 1995; Liem *et al.*, 1995; Goulding, *et al.*, 1993). Thus, the laterally restricted expression of *Pax-3* may depend on the lateral restriction of *XWnt-8* in the lateral mesoderm in combination with a medial signal that would act to repress inductive signals from the organizer.

Moreover, our observation that there are qualitative differences in *Pax-3* inductive signals arising from medial (the organizer) versus lateral (PNM) tissues suggests that such differences may contribute to patterning the posterior neural plate along its medial-lateral axis. Thus, there may be different transformation signals that specify posteriomedial versus posteriolateral regions of the neuraxis. In addition, competence factors within the neuroectoderm itself may regulate its response to patterning signals. Indeed, the zinc-finger protein *opl* and the translation initiation factor eIF4AII have both been shown to induce Pax-3 and slug expression in animal caps (Kuo et al., 1998; Morgan and Sargent, 1997). Interestingly, both opl and eIF4AII alter the sensitivity of animal caps to neuralization by noggin, suggesting that they may be competence factors that mediate the response of neural tissue to signals that pattern the neural plate. Indeed, Kuo et al. (1998) suggest that opl may either regulate Wnt-signaling or be downstream of a Wnt signal based on the similarities of Wnt and opl gain-offunction phenotypes in animal caps and embryos.

In conclusion, we have investigated the tissue interactions and molecular signals that underlie induction of Pax-3 expression in the Xenopus posteriolateral neural plate. The onset of Pax-3 expression in a restricted posteriolateral domain occurs quite early during neural plate formation and thus represents a "primary" patterning event. We propose a model in which a Wnt-mediated posteriorizing activity arising from PNM acts to initiate and/or maintain Pax-3 expression in the posteriolateral neural plate. This activity appears to be required more generally as expression of Msx-1 in the early lateral neural plate is also Wntdependent. Moreover, our data suggest that this PNMderived Wnt activity is a transformation signal in that it is able to induce expression of Pax-3 and Msx-1 in noggin animal caps which have an anterior neural fate. We suggest that this Wnt-dependent induction of a Pax-3- and Msx-1positive domain establishes, in part, the spatial information necessary for the appropriate specification of later arising cell types such as Rohon-Beard cells and neural crest.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Randy Moon and Lynn McGrew for the dn *XWnt-8* construct and for communicating results prior to publication, Enrique Amaya for *XFD*, Anil Bhushan for dominant-negative *ras*, Bruce Blumberg for the dominant-negative *xRAR* $\gamma$ -1 construct, and Ali Hemmati-Brivanlou and Atsushi Suzuki for *Msx-1*. This work was supported by an NRSA postdoctoral fellowship to A.G.B. and by NIH and McKnight Awards to C.K.

# REFERENCES

- Amaya, E., Musci, T. J., and Kirschner, M. W. (1991). Expression of a dominant negative mutant of the FGF receptor disrupts mesoderm formation in *Xenopus* embryos. *Cell* 66, 257–270.
- Bang, A. G., Papalopulu, N., Kintner, C., and Goulding, M. D. (1997). Expression of Pax-3 is initiated in the early neural plate by posteriorizing signals produced by the organizer and by posterior non-axial mesoderm. *Development* 124, 2075–2085.
- Bhushan, A., Lin, H. Y., Lodish, H. F., and Kintner, C. R. (1994). The transforming growth factor beta type II receptor can replace the

activin type II receptor in inducing mesoderm. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **14**, 4280–4285.

- Blumberg, B., Bolado, J., Jr., Moreno, T. A., Kintner, C., Evans, R. M., and Papalopulu, N. (1997). An essential role for retinoid signaling in anteroposterior neural patterning. *Development* 124, 373–379.
- Bonstein, L., Elias, S., and Frank, D. (1998). Paraxial-fated mesoderm is required for neural crest induction in *Xenopus* embryos. *Dev. Biol.* 193, 156–168.
- Chang, C., and Hemmati-Brivanlou, A. (1998). Neural crest induction by Xwnt7B in *Xenopus. Dev. Biol.* **194**, 129–134.
- Chitnis, A., Henrique, D., Lewis, J., Ish-Horowicz, D., and Kintner, C. (1995). Primary neurogenesis in *Xenopus* embryos regulated by a homologue of the *Drosophila* neurogenic gene Delta [see comments]. *Nature* **375**, 761–766.
- Christian, J. L., McMahon, J. A., McMahon, A. P., and Moon, R. T. (1991). Xwnt-8, a *Xenopus* Wnt-1/int-1-related gene responsive to mesoderm-inducing growth factors, may play a role in ventral mesodermal patterning during embryogenesis. *Development* 111, 1045–1055.
- Christian, J. L., and Moon, R. T. (1993). Interactions between Xwnt-8 and Spemann organizer signaling pathways generate dorsoventral pattern in the embryonic mesoderm of *Xenopus*. *Genes Dev.* 7, 13–28.
- Couly, G. F., and Le Douarin, N. M. (1987). Mapping of the early neural primordium in quail-chick chimeras. II. The prosencephalic neural plate and neural folds: Implications for the genesis of cephalic human congenital abnormalities. *Dev. Biol.* **120**, 198–214.
- Cunliffe, V., and Smith, J. C. (1994). Specification of mesodermal pattern in *Xenopus laevis* by interactions between Brachyury, noggin and Xwnt-8. *EMBO J.* **13**, 349–359.
- Ensini, M., Tsuchida, T. N., Belting, H. G., and Jessell, T. M. (1998). The control of rostrocaudal pattern in the developing spinal cord: Specification of motor neuron subtype identity is initiated by signals from paraxial mesoderm. *Development* **125**, 969–982.
- Epstein, D. J., Vekemans, M., and Gros, P. (1991). Splotch (Sp2H), a mutation affecting development of the mouse neural tube, shows a deletion within the paired homeodomain of Pax-3. *Cell* **67**, 767–774.
- Espeseth, A., Johnson, E., and Kintner, C. (1995). *Xenopus* F-cadherin, a novel member of the cadherin family of cell adhesion molecules, is expressed at boundaries in the neural tube. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* **6**, 199–211.
- Feig, L. A., and Cooper, G. M. (1988). Inhibition of NIH 3T3 cell proliferation by a mutant ras protein with preferential affinity for GDP. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **8**, 3235–3243.
- Ferreiro, B., Kintner, C., Zimmerman, K., Anderson, D., and Harris, W. A. (1994). XASH genes promote neurogenesis in *Xenopus* embryos. *Development* **120**, 3649–3655.
- Franz, T. (1990). Defective ensheathment of motoric nerves in the Splotch mutant mouse. *Acta Anat. (Basel)* **138**, 246–253.
- Good, P. J. (1995). A conserved family of elav-like genes in vertebrates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 92, 4557-4561.
- Grapin-Botton, A., Bonnin, M. A., and Le Douarin, N. M. (1997). Hox gene induction in the neural tube depends on three parameters: Competence, signal supply and paralogue group. *Development* **124**, 849–859.
- Hamburger, V., and Hamilton, H. (1951). A series of normal stages in the development of the chick embryo. *J. Morphol.* **88**.

- Harland, R. M. (1991). In situ hybridization: An improved wholemount method for *Xenopus* embryos. *Methods Cell Biol.* 36, 685–695.
- Hoppler, S., Brown, J. D., and Moon, R. T. (1996). Expression of a dominant-negative Wnt blocks induction of MyoD in *Xenopus* embryos. *Genes Dev.* **10**, 2805–2817.
- Hopwood, N. D., Pluck, A., and Gurdon, J. B. (1989). A *Xenopus* mRNA related to *Drosophila* twist is expressed in response to induction in the mesoderm and the neural crest. *Cell* **59**, 893–903.
- Hopwood, N. D., Pluck, A., Gurdon, J. B., and Dilworth, S. M. (1992). Expression of XMyoD protein in early *Xenopus laevis* embryos. *Development* 114, 31–38.
- Hume, C. R., and Dodd, J. (1993). Cwnt-8C: A novel Wnt gene with a potential role in primitive streak formation and hindbrain organization. *Development* **119**, 1147–1160.
- Ikeya, M., Lee, S. M., Johnson, J. E., McMahon, A. P., and Takada, S. (1997). Wnt signalling required for expansion of neural crest and CNS progenitors. *Nature* **389**, 966–970.
- Itasaki, N., Sharpe, J., Morrison, A., and Krumlauf, R. (1996). Reprogramming Hox expression in the vertebrate hindbrain: Influence of paraxial mesoderm and rhombomere transposition. *Neuron* **16**, 487–500.
- Kintner, C. R., and Dodd, J. (1991). Hensen's node induces neural tissue in *Xenopus* ectoderm. Implications for the action of the organizer in neural induction. *Development* **113**, 1495–1505.
- Kintner, C. R., and Melton, D. A. (1987). Expression of *Xenopus* N-CAM RNA in ectoderm is an early response to neural induction. *Development* 99, 311–325.
- Knecht, A. K., Good, P. J., Dawid, I. B., and Harland, R. M. (1995). Dorsal-ventral patterning and differentiation of noggin-induced neural tissue in the absence of mesoderm. *Development* 121, 1927–1935.
- Kolm, P. J., Apekin, V., and Sive, H. (1997). *Xenopus* hindbrain patterning requires retinoid signaling. *Dev. Biol.* **192**, 1–16.
- Kroll, K. L., and Amaya, E. (1996). Transgenic Xenopus embryos from sperm nuclear transplantations reveal FGF signaling requirements during gastrulation. Development 122, 3173–3183.
- Kuo, J. S., Patel, M., Gamse, J., Merzdorf, C., Liu, X., Apekin, V., and Sive, H. (1998). Opl: A zinc finger protein that regulates neural determination and patterning in *Xenopus. Development* 125, 2867–2882.
- Lamb, T. M., Knecht, A. K., Smith, W. C., Stachel, S. E., Economides, A. N., Stahl, N., Yancopolous, G. D., and Harland, R. M. (1993). Neural induction by the secreted polypeptide noggin [see comments]. *Science* 262, 713–718.
- Leyns, L., Bouwmeester, T., Kim, S. H., Piccolo, S., and De Robertis, E. M. (1997). Frzb-1 is a secreted antagonist of Wnt signaling expressed in the Spemann organizer. *Cell* 88, 747–756.
- Lumsden, A., and Krumlauf, R. (1996). Patterning the vertebrate neuraxis. *Science* **274**, 1109–1115.
- Ma, Q., Kintner, C., and Anderson, D. J. (1996). Identification of neurogenin, a vertebrate neuronal determination gene. *Cell* 87, 43–52.
- Marcus, E. A., Kintner, C., and Harris, W. (1998). The role of GSK3 $\beta$  in regulating neuronal differentiation in *Xenopus laevis. Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* **12**, 269–280.
- Mayor, R., Morgan, R., and Sargent, M. G. (1995). Induction of the prospective neural crest of *Xenopus. Development* **121**, 767–777.
- McGrew, L. L., Hoppler, S., and Moon, R. T. (1997). Wnt and FGF pathways cooperatively pattern anteroposterior neural ectoderm in *Xenopus. Mech. Dev.* **69**, 105–114.

- McGrew, L. L., Lai, C. J., and Moon, R. T. (1995). Specification of the anteroposterior neural axis through synergistic interaction of the Wnt signaling cascade with noggin and follistatin. *Dev. Biol.* 172, 337–342.
- Melton, D. A., Krieg, P. A., Rebagliati, M. R., Maniatis, T., Zinn, K., and Green, M. R. (1984). Efficient in vitro synthesis of biologically active RNA and RNA hybridization probes from plasmids containing a bacteriophage SP6 promoter. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **12**, 7035–7056.
- Morgan, R., and Sargent, M. G. (1997). The role in neural patterning of translation initiation factor eIF4AII: Induction of neural fold genes. *Development* **124**, 2751–2760.
- Muhr, J., Jessell, T. M., and Edlund, T. (1997). Assignment of early caudal identity to neural plate cells by a signal from caudal paraxial mesoderm. *Neuron* **19**, 487–502.
- Nieuwkoop, P. D., and Faber, J. (1967). "Normal Table of *Xenopus laevis*." North Holland, Amsterdam.
- Papalopulu, N., and Kintner, C. (1996). A posteriorising factor, retinoic acid, reveals that anteroposterior patterning controls the timing of neuronal differentiation in *Xenopus* neuroectoderm. *Development* **122**, 3409–3418.
- Phillips, R. G., and Whittle, J. R. (1993). wingless expression mediates determination of peripheral nervous system elements in late stages of *Drosophila* wing disc development. *Development* 118, 427–438.
- Pituello, F., Medevielle, F., Foulquier, F., and Duprat, A. M. (1999). Activation of Pax6 depends on somitogenesis in the chick embryo cervical spinal cord. *Development* 126, 587– 96.
- Poznanski, A., Minsuk, S., Stathopolous, D., and Keller, R. (1997). Epithelial wedging and neural trough formation are induced planarly in *Xenopus*, without persistent vertical interactions with mesoderm. *Dev. Biol.* 189, 256–269.

- Saint-Jeannet, J. P., He, X., Varmus, H. E., and Dawid, I. B. (1997). Regulation of dorsal fate in the neuraxis by Wnt-1 and Wnt-3a. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **94**, 13713–13718.
- Salic, A. N., Kroll, K. L., Evans, L. M., and Kirschner, M. W. (1997). Sizzled: A secreted Xwnt8 antagonist expressed in the ventral marginal zone of *Xenopus* embryos. *Development* 124, 4739–4748.
- Sasai, Y., and De Robertis, E. M. (1997). Ectodermal patterning in vertebrate embryos. *Dev. Biol.* **182**, 5–20.
- Smith, W. C., and Harland, R. M. (1991). Injected Xwnt-8 RNA acts early in *Xenopus* embryos to promote formation of a vegetal dorsalizing center. *Cell* 67, 753–765.
- Streit, A., Lee, K. J., Woo, I., Roberts, C., Jessell, T., and Stern, C. D. (1998). Chordin regulates primitive streak development and the stability of induced neural cells, but is not sufficient for neural induction in the chick embryo. *Development* 125, 507–519.
- Suzuki, A., Ueno, N., and Hemmati-Brivanlou, A. (1997). Xenopus msx1 mediates epidermal induction and neural inhibition by BMP4. Development 124, 3037–3044.
- Tanabe, Y., and Jessell, T. M. (1996). Diversity and pattern in the developing spinal cord. *Science* **274**, 1115–1123.
- Wang, S., Krinks, M., Lin, K., Luyten, F. P., and Moos, M., Jr. (1997). Frzb, a secreted protein expressed in the Spemann organizer, binds and inhibits Wnt-8. *Cell* 88, 757–766.
- Wolda, S. L., Moody, C. J., and Moon, R. T. (1993). Overlapping expression of Xwnt-3A and Xwnt-1 in neural tissue of *Xenopus laevis* embryos. *Dev. Biol.* 155, 46–57.
- Woo, K., and Fraser, S. E. (1997). Specification of the zebrafish nervous system by nonaxial signals. *Science* **277**, 254–257.

Received for publication December 22, 1998 Revised April 21, 1999 Accepted April 21, 1999