

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Procedia Earth and Planetary Science 13 (2015) 151 - 157

11th Applied Isotope Geochemistry Conference, AIG-11 BRGM

Mass Independent Fractionation of Mercury Isotopes as Source Tracers in Sediments

Reshmi Das^{a,b,*}, William Landing^b, Michael Bizimis^c, Leroy Odom^b, Jane Caffrey^d

^aEarth Observatory of Singapore, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798, Singapore ^bFlorida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA ^cUniversity of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208, USA ^dUniversity of West Florida, Pensacola, Florida 32514, USA

Abstract

Since the discovery of isotopic fractionation of mercury in nature, mass dependent fractionation (MDF) and mass independent fractionation (MIF) of mercury isotopes are used as a tracer to understand the mercury cycle. MIF is a powerful tool in understanding the Hg transformations and reaction mechanisms. Here we look into the MIF of the two odd isotopes of mercury (199 Hg and 201 Hg) in sediment samples collected from lakes and springs of Florida, Lake Erie, and Yucatan Peninsula. The Δ^{199} Hg and Δ^{201} Hg of the sediments range from + 0.52‰ to -0.48‰. From the isotopic signature we interpret the possible source of Hg in the Yucatan Peninsula carbonate to be Hg(II) from the water column. Hg in the Florida lakes and spring sediments primarily comes from litterfall. Lake Erie appears to have an anthropogenic source. This study suggests that the MIF signature of Hg isotopes can be used to qualitatively determine the primary source(s) of Hg in sediments.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Peer-review under responsibility of the scientific committee of AIG-11

Keywords: mercury isotopes; mass independent fractionation; sediments; litterfall; photoreduction

1. Introduction

The discovery of MDF and MIF of mercury isotopes in natural samples like fish [1,2,3,4,5] human hair from gold mining communities [6], epiphytes [7,8], peat cores and coal bearing formations [7,9,10] cinnabar ore [11,12], source rocks in the upper crust [12], hydrothermal systems [12,13,14], arctic snow [15], Great Lake rain and vapour

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +65-6592-3617; fax: +65-6790-1585. *E-mail address:* reshmidas@ntu.edu.sg

[16], atmospheric Hg species [17] and sediments [2,18,19,20,21,22,23] has provided new insights into the cycling of this toxic element in the environment. Hg isotopic composition in sediments can provide varied information regarding source, changing physicochemical condition, paleo-environment etc. In this study we evaluated the feasibility of using the Hg isotopic signature in sedimentary records to qualitatively assess the primary sources of mercury in sediments. For this purpose we used the isotopic signature of a large variety of natural samples reported in the literature and the results of experimental studies to characterize the Hg sources.

2. Sampling and Methods

Sediments from 2 lakes (Snag and Marquis), 6 springs (Big Spring, Bluehole, Mill Pond, Madison Blue, Manatee and Wacissa) in Florida, Lake Erie (Ohio) and carbonate sediments from the Celestum Lagoon on the Yucatan Peninsula were analysed for Hg concentrations and Hg isotope ratios. Samples from the Celestum Lagoon were collected using acrylic push cores [24]. Lake Erie samples were collected using a mini box core. Sediment cores from the Florida lakes were collected using a polycarbonate push core to preserve the sediment –water interface. For the Florida springs, bedload sediments were collected from the sediment-water interface using a drag bucket by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection.

1-5 grams of wet sediment was leached in aqua regia and 30% H₂O₂ in a tightly capped 120 ml Savillex PFA container at room temperature for 24 hrs, placed on a platform shaker for 2 hours, ultrasonicated and filtered. The same technique was applied to SRM NIST 2704 Buffalo River Sediment to confirm >98% recovery of mercury. The samples were analysed for Hg concentrations and isotope ratios using a cold-vapor introduction system (CETAC HGX - 200) interfaced to a MC-ICP-MS. Inside HGX-200, the sample solutions were mixed with 5% w/v% SnCl2 in 1 N HCl to generate cold Hg vapour. Typical instrument sensitivity was 200 mV on ²⁰²Hg on 1 ppb NIST SRM 3133 Hg standard with an uptake rate of 350 μ l min-1 at 6 rpm pump speed. Washout time was ~10 min until the wash signal stabilize at <1% of the preceding measured solution. The SnCl₂ solution was prepared daily from a 98% pure SnCl₂·2H₂O salt (ACROS) in 1 M HCl and purged with argon gas for 30 min to remove any traces of Hg. HNO₃ and HCl used for the experiments were all purified in-house through sub boiling, double distillation. The total procedural blank, including instrumental background is <10 pg, and insignificant relative to the amounts of Hg extracted from the samples. Instrumental mass bias correction was done using matrix matched sample-standard bracketing technique [3,17]. The isotopic compositions of Hg were measured using single block of 50 cycles with 8s integration/cycle. The mercury isotope ratios are expressed relative to the NIST 3133 Hg standard reference material in δ (‰) notation: $\delta^{A}Hg = [(^{A}Hg/^{198}Hg)_{sample}/(^{A}Hg/^{198}Hg)_{NIST3133}] -1 \times 1000^{\circ}/_{oo}$. MIF is reported as Δ notation as the difference between the measured and the theoretical MDF value [25].

Total Hg concentrations of wet sediments measured in the MC-ICP-MS were calculated by comparing the signal intensity of ²⁰²Hg of 1 ppb bracketing standards with the sample. The total Hg concentrations for the Snag Lake sediments were compared to the values obtained by analysing the samples by Cold Vapor Atomic Fluorescence Spectrometry using a Tekran 2600 Mercury Analyzer (EPA method 1631). The maximum differences in concentration obtained by these two different methods were $\leq 10\%$. Given the fact that the measurements were made on different sample splits using different analytical techniques, we consider the agreement to be quite acceptable.

3. Results and Discussions

All the sediment samples show MDF and MIF of ¹⁹⁹Hg and ²⁰¹Hg isotopes, with Δ^{199} Hg and Δ^{201} Hg values ranging between -0.46 to 0.52 ‰. We did not observe any MIF of ²⁰⁰Hg as has been observed in atmospheric samples in some studies [16, 17, 26]. The top 20 cm of the Lake Erie sediments show positive δ^{202} Hg whereas all the other sediments show negative δ^{202} Hg. Sediments from Florida lakes and springs show negative MIF whereas those from Lake Erie and the Yucatan Peninsula show positive MIF of the odd isotopes (Table 1).

The primary source of mercury to most aquatic systems (including their watersheds) is from the atmosphere through wet and dry deposition, urban and industrial discharges and catchment weathering. Catchment erosion contributes a small fraction of the total mercury in the sediments unless the bedrock in the catchment area is Hg bearing ore [27].

Variations in the isotopic composition of Hg in the sediments are likely due to a combination of processes: different sources of Hg and the subsequent effects from various physicochemical reactions in the water column and sediments. We assumed that the primary sources of mercury in the sediments could be 1. Direct deposition of anthropogenic mercury from local point sources, 2. Direct deposition of atmospheric mercury from regional and fardistant sources, 3. Residual mercury in the form of Hg(II) scavenged from water column after partial photoreduction of Hg(II) and methylmercury 4. Mercury associated with litterfall from terrestrial plants surrounding the water body. Once mercury gets deposited in the sediments, bacterial methylation and demethylation of Hg(II) inside sediments are thought to be significant processes [28,29,30] that can cause isotopic fractionation. Experimental studies demonstrated that methylation-demethylation can only produce MDF but not MIF [31,32,33,34]. However bacterial methylation-demethylation causing MIF in lake sediments have been postulated by previous studies [35] based on the argument that bacterial communities in natural habitats can have numerous combinations of species and strains interacting with each other and the few that can be cultured yield only limited or misleading information. MIF of mercury has been observed during dark abiotic reduction experimental studies [36] which can be a possible in situ process in the sediments and such processes can be identified from the distinctive Δ^{199} Hg/ Δ^{201} Hg slopes. For our study we use the interpretations from experimental results, Δ^{199} Hg/ Δ^{201} Hg slopes and Hg isotopic signature of varied natural samples to understand the observed Hg isotopic signature of the sediments.

	Hg⊤ (ppb)	δ ²⁰² Hg‰	Δ ¹⁹⁹ Hg‰	Δ ²⁰⁰ Hg‰	Δ ²⁰¹ Hg‰
Madison Blue	1	-0.21	-0.22	-0.04	-0.26
Mill Pond	1	-1.75	-0.22	0.05	-0.28
Bigspring-1	6	-0.78	-0.14	0.04	-0.15
Bigspring- 2	7	-0.49	-0.19	0.03	-0.16
Bigspring- 3	6	-0.63	-0.16	0.03	-0.16
Bluehole Spring	6	-1.14	-0.19	0.03	-0.23
Manatee Spring-1	3	-1.52	-0.16	0.05	-0.21
Manatee Spring-2	3	-1.16	-0.24	0.02	-0.20
Wacissa Spring	6	-0.54	-0.16	-0.01	-0.14
Marquis 0-1	11	-1.18	-0.28	0.05	-0.17
Marquis 2-3	13	-0.67	-0.29	0.07	-0.18
Marquis 12-13	10	-1.08	-0.34	0.02	-0.22
Marquis 22-24	3	-0.93	-0.40	0.04	-0.46
Cypress leaves	2	-1.52	-0.17	0.02	-0.25
Snag 1-2	50	-1.21	-0.24	0.07	-0.19
Snag 2-3	50	-0.40	-0.24	0.02	-0.26
Snag 3-4	45	-1.02	-0.18	0.08	-0.17
Snag 5-6	47	-0.69	-0.24	0.08	-0.20
Snag 12-14	45	-0.60	-0.26	0.06	-0.21
Snag 18-20	39	-0.51	-0.33	0.03	-0.31
Snag 20-22	45	-0.46	-0.45	0.02	-0.41
Snag 22-24	18	-0.98	-0.34	0.06	-0.37
Snag 24-26	22	-1.79	-0.37	0.00	-0.44
Snag 26-28	20	-0.48	-0.31	0.03	-0.35
Cypress leaves	2	-1.73	-0.58	0.07	-0.49
Yucatan 1-2	3	-1.16	0.42	0.00	0.35
Yucatan 2-4	3	-1.17	0.33	-0.01	0.30

Table 1. Hg isotopic ratios and Hg concentrations (wet weight) of sediment samples.

Yucatan 10-12	2	-2.52	0.51	0.04	0.46
Yucatan 20-22	1	-2.91	0.51	0.01	0.49
Yucatan 32-34	3	-1.74	0.52	0.05	0.42
Erie 2-3	46	0.14	0.06	-0.01	0.10
Erie 6-7	48	0.14	0.07	0.00	0.08
Erie 8-9	46	0.34	0.15	0.00	0.10
Erie 9-10	46	0.50	0.11	0.03	0.11
Erie 15-20	58	0.50	0.09	0.05	0.10
Erie 25-30	12	-0.12	0.29	-0.06	0.19
Erie 30-35	9	-0.40	0.20	0.05	0.16
Erie 35-40	6	-1.06	0.17	0.00	0.12



Fig. 1. Hg isotope MIF (Δ^{201} Hg‰ vs. Δ^{199} Hg‰, 2SD = 0.1‰) of sediment samples compared with (A) atmospheric Hg species [Hg(0), Hg(II) and Hg(p)] [17], rain[16] and aqueous Hg(II) from photoreduction experiment with a Hg/DOC mass ratio of 34.6 [33]; and (B) natural samples like coal [9], peat [7], ore, and mine waste calcine [42] and hydrothermal systems [14].

Snag Lake near Pensacola in Santa Rosa County, Florida is an open basin off the Big Simpson River. Marsh plants and cypress trees surround the basin. The water depth is shallow (~ 1 meter) with very soft sediments rich in organics. The lake sediments are potentially impacted by anthropogenic mercury from the nearby Gulf Power Company's Crist Plant, a coal-fired power plant. The δ^{202} Hg ranges from -0.40 to -1.79 and Δ^{199} Hg and Δ^{201} Hg values range from -0.18 ‰ and -0.17 ‰ to -0.45‰ to -0.41 ‰ respectively with more negative MIF values in the deeper part of the core. Lake Marquis is an isolated basin off the Blackwater River, in Santa Rosa county of Florida. The water depth in Marquis is approximately 0.6 meters. The sediments are hard, and peaty. Saw grass is abundant in the area. Cypress domes are well developed around the lake. Lake Marquis shows a similar trend to Snag Lake, with mercury concentrations decreasing with depth, although the total Hg concentrations are much lower. The δ^{202} Hg ranges from -0.67 to -1.52, Δ^{199} Hg range from -0.28 ‰ to -0.40 ‰ and Δ^{201} Hg from -0.17 ‰ to -0.46 ‰, once again with the most negative MIF values in the deeper part of the core. Cypress leaves collected from cypress domes in and around the two lakes shows δ^{202} Hg values of -1.52 and -1.73 and depleted in the two odd Hg isotopes (Table 1). The bedload sediment samples collected from the sediment-water interface of the Florida Springs are slightly depleted (-0.14 to -0.28 ‰) in Δ^{199} Hg and Δ^{201} Hg and δ^{202} Hg ranging between -0.21 and -1.75, similar to the lake sediments. Replicate samples are collected from different locations (approximately 50 m apart) in Big Spring and Manatee Spring to ensure absence of any local inhomogeneity in the observed MIF. The triplicate analyses are within 0.1‰ demonstrating the excellent precision of these measurements.

The EPA's REMSAD model suggests that in Pensacola area only 7.2% of the total Hg deposition comes from the Crist Plant coal combustion whereas 78% comes from the "global background". Hence in the absence of a dominant anthropogenic point source for the lake and spring sediments of Florida, we assume that the observed Hg isotopic signature is a combination of atmospheric deposit of "global background Hg", Hg scavenged from the water column, bacterial methylation-demethylation, dark abiotic in situ reductions and Hg associated with litter fall. Both Hg^{II}_(g) (Reactive Gaseous Mercury/RGM) and Hg_p (Particle Bound Mercury/PBM) are readily washed out of the atmosphere by rainfall and are the forms that contribute to wet deposition. Because it is generally associated with fine particles, Hg_p has a relatively low apparent dry deposition velocity, on the order of 0.1 cm/sec. RGM is thought to behave like nitric acid vapor, has an apparent dry deposition velocity of 2 cm/sec, and will adsorb especially well to wet surfaces such as surface waters and dew-covered vegetation. Because of the slow oxidation rate of $Hg^{0}_{(g)}$ (Gaseous Elemental Mercury/GEM), and the rapid deposition rates for RGM and Hg_p, only the emission of these latter two forms is expected to contribute to atmospheric Hg deposition around point sources. Isotopic composition of Hg⁰_(g), Hg^{II}_(g) and Hg_p measured in the coastal environment of Grand Bay, Mississippi, USA, showed positive MIF for Hg_p and negative MIF for Hg⁰_(g), Hg^{II}_(g) [17]. The RGM was enriched in the heavy isotopes (δ^{202} Hg 0.51 to 1.57) whereas GEM and PBM were depleted in the heavy isotopes (δ^{202} Hg -0.12 to -3.88). Rain samples from near the Great Lakes showed positive MIF and negative δ^{202} Hg [16] (Figure 1A). Modelled data for Hg isotopes in emissions from coal-fired power plants show modern-day mean δ^{202} Hg and Δ^{199} Hg values of -1.2 ± 0.5‰ and 0.05 ± 0.26% 0.06‰ respectively [10]. Dark abiotic reduction of Hg can produce MIF with a distinctive Δ^{199} Hg/ Δ^{201} Hg slope of 1.61. Δ^{199} Hg/ Δ^{201} Hg slope of our Florida lake and spring samples is 0.94 (R²=0.67) similar to photochemical reduction reactions (Δ^{199} Hg/ Δ^{201} Hg ranges from ~1.0-1.3) at different Hg/DOC ratios [37]. Hence we rule out dark abiotic reduction as a primary ongoing process in the sediments to explain observed isotopic signature. Bacterial methylation-demethylation does not cause any MIF however it leaves the reactor, sediment in this case enriched in the heavy isotopes. Laboratory experiments on photoreduction of Hg(II) and MeHg showed that the water column gets progressively enriched in ¹⁹⁹Hg and ²⁰¹Hg, hence sediments scavenging Hg from the water column should have positive MIF [1]. Previous research reported the accumulation of atmospheric Hg on leaves of the Brazilian Pepper (Schinus teribinthifolius) in the Everglades National Park, and estimated that the annual average Hg flux associated with litterfall was approximately 70% of the wet deposition flux [38]. This suggests that litterfall may be a significant vector for deposition of atmospheric Hg to the sediments in water bodies, and may be especially important in Marquis Lake and Snag Lake where the sediments are high in organic matter derived from the vegetation surrounding the lakes. The negative MIF in the lake and spring sediment samples could be due to a more negative source (plants in these cases) mixing with scavenged Hg(II) from water column with positive MIF. The observed MDF can be caused my more complex interactions including in situ processes like bacterial reductions.

The Celestun Lagoon is located on the west coast of the Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico. The lagoon is relatively pristine and undisturbed by pollution originating from the port of Celestun situated on the barrier peninsula [24]. The local geology is that of a karst terrain and hence surface run off is nearly absent; rivers are not the primary source of the mercury. The mercury concentrations in the sediments (>98% calcium carbonate) do not show much variability and range from 1 to 3 ppb. The concentrations do not show any obvious correlation with depth. The enrichment in the odd isotopes of Hg also show little variability with depth and ranged from 0.30 to 0.52 ‰. δ^{202} Hg ranges from - 1.16 to -2.91 ‰. We do not know the exact incorporation site or the actual species of mercury in carbonate rock; it could be mercury carbonate (HgCO3) or any other compounds of Hg(II) that co-precipitate with the calcium carbonate or are bound to the organic matter. In this case, since the percent of OM in the sediments is low, we make the assumption that the mercury in the carbonate material has been scavenged from the water column. In a plot of Δ^{199} Hg vs. Δ^{201} Hg, the carbonate samples have a linear relationship with a slope of 1.2. This value is similar to that observed in Hg(II) photoreduction experiment conducted with a Hg(II)/DOC mass ratio of 34.6 (Figure 1A) [37]. Hence we conclude that the Hg MIF signature of the carbonates is representative of the water column composition, consistent with previous Hg isotopic measurements in natural water [39].

Lake Erie sediments were contaminated by mercury released from the Dow Chemical Chlor-Alkali Plant in Sarnia, Ontario (operating since 1949). The plant released very large amounts of mercury to the atmosphere as well as St.

Clair River, which flowed downstream to contaminate Lake St. Clair, the Detroit River and Lakes Erie and Ontario. Hg concentrations in the top 3 cm of the sediments measured in 1971 ranged from 8 to 2929 ppb (dry weight) with the highest levels recorded in the Western basin adjacent to the Detroit River [40,41]. In the present study the top 20 cm of Lake Erie sediment shows high Hg concentrations, is characterized by slight enrichment of ²⁰²Hg (8²⁰²Hg from 0.14 to 0.5 ‰) and up to 0.15 ‰ enrichment of the odd isotopes. The bottom "unpolluted" horizons are have low Hg concentrations, Δ^{199} Hg up to 0.29 ‰ and depleted in the heavy isotopes (δ^{202} Hg -0.12 to -1.06‰) similar to other sediments reported in this study. A logical approach of understanding source attribution is to investigate isotope signatures of Hg of the point source(s). However in the absence of mercury samples used in the chlor alkali plant we use Hg ore data from literature to understand the observed isotope ratio of the contaminated sediments. MDF and MIF was observed in Hg bearing minerals [14.42]. While the MDF showed a huge range (δ^{202} Hg from 2‰ to -2‰) MIF signatures were more distinctive. Hypogene cinnabar, Hg-bearing ore minerals, and mine waste calcine from Texas showed MIF, with Δ^{199} Hg ranging from -0.09 to 0.36‰ [42]. Fluid and sinter samples collected from Ojo Caliente hot spring in the Yellowstone National Park showed a small yet consistent enrichment of the odd isotopes (Δ^{199} Hg=0.13‰± 0.06‰ 2SD) possibly inherited from the photoreduction of Hg(II) in the water column [14]. In a plot of Δ^{199} Hg vs. Δ^{201} Hg, Lake Erie sediments fall in the same field as the fluid and sinter samples from Ojo Caliente and Cinnabar, Hg ore minerals, mine waste calcine and leachates from Terlingua district and McDermitt mine (Figure 1B). We do not know the exact isotopic composition of the anthropogenic discharge to Lake Erie. The ore samples have a MIF range from slightly negative to zero to positive. We infer the Hg isotopic signature at the top polluted part of the sediment resulted from combination of discharge from chlor alkali plant (probably with a MIF signature close to zero), atmospheric fall out (Δ^{199} Hg close to zero) and adsorbtion from water column (positive MIF). The bottom, relatively pristine part of the sediment possibly sourced Hg from background atmospheric deposition and adsorption from water column.

Hg isotope signatures are potentially useful tools for tracing environmental Hg sources of contamination. But major limitation lies in the fact that 1. the original isotopic signatures are altered by natural processes 2. mixing of variable quantities of Hg from different sources may obscure individual signatures. In this study we qualitatively evaluate the potential sources of Hg of a variety of sediments by using MIF signatures. Overall, we surmise that sediments will reflect the Hg isotopic composition of the primary source, whether that be from local anthropogenic pollution, local vegetation, or uptake from the water column.

Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. Adina Paytan for providing carbonate samples from Yucatan Peninsula and Lake Erie sediments. This research was partially funded by U.S. EPA STAR Grant R-83060301 to A.L. Odom and W.M. Landing.

References

[1] Bergquist BA, Blum JD. Mass-dependent and - independent fractionation of Hg isotopes by photoreduction in aquatic systems. *Science* 2007;**318**:417–20.

[2] Jackson TA, Whittle DM, Evans MS, Muir DCG. Evidence for mass-independent and mass-dependent fractionation of the stable isotopes of mercury by natural processes in aquatic ecosystems. *Appl Geochem* 2008;23:547-71.

[3] Das R, Salters VJM, Odom L. A case for in vivo mass-independent fractionation of mercury isotopes in fish. *Geochem Geophys Geosyst* 2009;10:Q11012.

[4] Perrot V, Epov V, Pastukhov M, Grebenshchikova V, Zouiten C, Sonke JE, Husted S, Donard O, Amouroux D. Tracing sources and bioaccumulation of mercury in fish of Lake Baikal–Angara river using Hg isotopic composition. *Environ Sci Technol* 2010;44:8030–37.
[5] Gehrke GE, Blum JD, Marvin-DiPasquale M. Sources of mercury to San Francisco Bay surface sediment as revealed by mercury stable isotopes. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 2011;75:691–705.

[6] Laffont L, Sonke JE, Maurice L, Hintelmann H, Sanchez-Baccarez Y, Perez T, Behra P. Anomalous mercury isotopic compositions of fish and human hair in the Bolivian Amazon. *Environ Sci Technol* 2009;**43**:8985–90.

[7] Ghosh S, Xu Y, Humayun M, Odom L. Mass-independent fractionation of mercury isotopes in the environment. *Geochem Geophys Geosyst* 2008;9:Q03004.

[8] Carignan J, Estrade N, Sonke JE, Donard OFX. Odd isotope deficits in atmospheric mercury measured in lichens. *Environ Sci Technol* 2009;**43**:5660–64.

[9] Biswas A, Blum JD, Bergquist BA, Keeler GJ, Xie Z. Natural mercury isotope variation in coal deposits and organic soils. *Environ Sci Technol* 2008;42:8303–9.

[10] Sun R, Sonke JE, Heimbürger LE, Belkin HE, Liu G, Shome D, Cukrowska E, Liousse C, Pokrovsky OS, Streets DG. Mercury Stable Isotope Signatures of World Coal Deposits and Historical Coal Combustion Emissions. *Environ Sci Technol* 2014;48:7660–68.

[11] Hintelmann H, Lu SY. High precision isotope ratio measurements of mercury isotopes in cinnabar ores using multicollector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. *Analyst* 2003;**128**:635–9.

[12] Smith CN, Kesler E, Blum JD, Rytuba JJ. Isotope geochemistry of mercury in source rocks, mineral deposits and spring deposits of the California Coast Ranges, USA. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* 2008;**269**:398–406.

[13] Smith CN, Kesler E, Klaue B, Blum JD. Mercury isotope fractionation in fossil hydrothermal systems. Geology 2005;33:825-8.

[14] Sherman LS, Blum JD, Nordstrom DK, McCleskey RB, Barkay T, Vetriani C. Mercury isotopic composition of hydrothermal systems in the Yellowstone Plateau volcanic field and Guaymas Basin sea-floor rift. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* 2009;**279**:86–96.

[15] Sherman LS, Blum JD, Johnson KP, Keeler G, Barres JA, Douglas TA. Mass independent fractionation of mercury isotopes in Arctic snow driven by sunlight. *Nat. Geosci.*2010;**3**:173–7.

[16] Gratz L, Keeler G, Blum JD, Sherman LS. Isotopic composition and fractionation of mercury in Great Lakes precipitation and ambient air. *Environ Sci Technol* 2010;44:7764–70.

[17] Rolison JM, Landing WM, Cohen MD, Luke W, Salters VJM. Isotopic composition of species-specific atmospheric Hg in a coastal environment. *Chem Geol* 2013:366:83–95.

[18] Jackson TA, Muir DG, Vincent WF. Historical variations in the stable isotope composition of mercury in Arctic Lake sediments. Environ Sci Technol 2004;38:2813–21.

[19] Foucher D, Hintelmann H. High-precision measurement ofmercury isotope ratios in sediments using cold-vapor generation multicollector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 2006;**384**:1470–8.

[20] Foucher D, Ogrinc N, Hintelmann H. Tracing mercury contamination from the Idrija mining region (Slovenia) to the Gulf of Trieste using Hg isotope ratio measurements. *Environ Sci Technol* 2009;**43**:33–9.

[21] Gehrke GE, Blum JD, Meyers PA. The geochemical behavior and isotopic composition of Hg in a mid-Pleistocene western Mediterranean sapropel. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 2009;**73**:1651–65.

[22] Gehrke GE, Blum JD, Slotton DG, Greenfield BK. Mercury isotopes link mercury in San Francisco Bay forage fish to surface sediments. *Environ Sci Technol* 2011;45:1264–70.

[23] Das R, Bizimis M, Wilson AM. Tracing mercury seawater vs. atmospheric inputs in a pristine SE USA salt marsh system: mercury isotope evidence *Chem Geol* 2013;**366**:47–58.

[24] Gonneea ME, Paytan A, Herrera-Silviera JA. Tracing Organic matter sources and carbon burial in mangrove sediments over the past 160 years. *Estuar Coast Shelf S* 2004;61:211-27.

[25] Blum JD, Bergquist BA. Reporting of variations in the natural isotopic composition of mercury. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 2007;**388**:353–359.

[26] Chen J, Hintelmann H, Feng XB, Dimock B. Unusual fractionation of both odd and even mercury isotopes in precipitation from Peterborough, ON, Canada. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 2012;**90**:33-46.

[27] Wang Q, Kim D, Dionysiou DD, Sorial GA, Timberlake D. Sources and remediation for mercury contamination in aquatic systems—a literature review. *Environ Pollut* 2004;131:323-36.

[28] Heyes A, Mason RP, Kim EH, Sunderland E. Mercury methylation in estuaries: insights from using measuring rates using stable mercury isotopes. *Mar Chem* 2006;**102**:134–47.

[29] Sunderland EM, Gobas FAP, Branfireun BA, Heyes A. Environmental controls on the speciation and distribution of mercury in coastal sediments. *Mar Chem* 2006;102:111–23.

[30] Mitchell CPJ, Gilmour CC. Methylmercury production in a Chesapeake Bay salt marsh. J Geophys Res 2008;113:G00C04.

[31] Kritee K, Blum JD, Johnson MW, Bergquist BA, Barkay T. Mercury Stable isotope fractionation during reduction of Hg(II) to Hg(0) by mercury resistant microorganisms. *Environ Sci Technol* 2007;**41**:1889–95.

[32] Kritee K, Blum JD, Barkay T. Mercury stable isotope fractionation during reduction of Hg(II) by different microbial pathways. Environ Sci Technol 2008;42:9171–7.

[33] Kritee K, Barkay T, Blum JD. Mass dependent stable isotope fractionation of mercury during mer mediated microbial degradation of monomethylmercury. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 2009;**73**:1285–96.

[34] Rodríguez-Gonzalez P, Epov VN, Bridou R, Tessier E, Guyoneaud R, Monperrus M, Amouroux D. Species-specific stable isotope fractionation of mercury during Hg(II) methylation by an anaerobic bacteria (Desulfobulbus propionicus) under dark conditions. *Environ Sci Technol* 2009;**43**:9183–9188.

[35] Jackson TA, Telmer KH, Muir DCG. Mass-dependent and mass-independent variations in the isotope composition of mercury in cores from lakes polluted by a smelter: Effects of smelter emissions, natural processes, and their interactions. *Chem Geol* 2013;**352**:27-46.

[36] Zheng W, Hintelmann H. Nuclear Field Shift Effect in Isotope Fractionation of Mercury during Abiotic Reduction in the Absence of Light. J. Phys. Chem. 2010; **114**:4238–45.

[37] Zheng W, Hintelmann H. Mercury isotope fractionation during photoreduction in natural water is controlled by its Hg/DOC ratio. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 2009;**73**:6704–15.

[38] Guentzel JL, Landing WM, Gill GA, Pollman CD. Mercury and major ions in rainfall, throughfall, and foliage from the Florida Everglades. *Sci Total Environ* 1998;**213**:43–51.

[39] Chen J, Hintelmann H. Dimock B. Chromatographic pre-concentration of Hg from dilute aqueous solutions for isotopic measurement by MC-ICP-MS. *J Anal Atom Spectrom* 2010; **25**: 1402-9.

[40] Thomas RL, Jaquet JM. Mercury in the surficial sediments of Lake Erie. J Fish Res Board Can 1976;33:404-412.

[41] Marvin CH, Painter S, Rossmann R. Spatial and temporal patterns in mercury contamination in sediments of the Laurentian Great Lakes. *Environ Res* 2004;95:351-362.

[42] Stetson SJ, Gray JE, Wanty RB, Macalady DL. Isotopic variability of mercury in ore, mine-waste calcine, and leachates of mine-waste calcine from areas mined for mercury. *Environ Sci Technol* 2009;43:7331–6.