



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at [SciVerse ScienceDirect](http://SciVerse.ScienceDirect.com)

Linear Algebra and its Applications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/laaThe Drazin inverse of the linear combinations of two idempotents in the Banach algebra[☆]Shifang Zhang^{a,*}, Junde Wu^b^a Department of Mathematics, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350007, PR China^b Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 1 August 2011

Accepted 14 October 2011

Available online 25 November 2011

Submitted by A. Böttcher

AMS classification:

46C05

46C07

Keywords:

Drazin inverse

Group inverse

Idempotent

Linear combinations

ABSTRACT

In this paper, some Drazin inverse representations of the linear combinations of two idempotents in a Banach algebra are obtained. Moreover, we present counter-examples to and establish the corrected versions of two theorems by Cvetković-Ilić and Deng.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach- $*$ algebra. An element $P \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be an idempotent if $P^2 = P$ and a projection if $P^2 = P = P^*$. The set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ of all idempotents in \mathcal{A} is invariant under similarity, that is, if $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ and $S \in \mathcal{A}$ is an invertible element, then $S^{-1}PS$ is still an idempotent.

Let us recall that the Drazin inverse of $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is the element $B \in \mathcal{A}$ (denoted by A^D) which satisfies

$$BAB = B, \quad AB = BA, \quad A^{k+1}B = A^k \quad (1)$$

for some nonnegative integer k . The least such k is the index of A , denoted by $\text{ind}(A)$. The Drazin inverse for bounded linear operators on complex Banach spaces was investigated by Caradus [11]. Therein it

[☆] This project is supported by Natural Science Found of China (10471124 and 11171066).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: shifangzhangfj@163.com (S. Zhang).

was established that the Drazin inverse of operator A exists if and only if 0 is at most a pole of the resolvent $R(\lambda, A)$, which is also equivalent to the descent and ascent of A to be both finite. Some more results about Drazin inverse can be found in [24] and references cited therein. It is well-known that if A is Drazin invertible, then the Drazin inverse is unique and $(aA)^D = \frac{1}{a}A^D$ for each nonzero scalar a . In particular, for an invertible operator A , the Drazin inverse A^D coincides with the usual inverse A^{-1} and $\text{ind}(A) = 0$. The conditions (1) are also equivalent to

$$BAB = B, \quad AB = BA, \quad A - A^2B \text{ is nilpotent.}$$

The Drazin inverse of an operator in \mathcal{A} is similarity invariant, that is, if T is Drazin invertible and $S \in \mathcal{A}$ is an invertible element, then $S^{-1}TS$ is still Drazin invertible and $(S^{-1}TS)^D = S^{-1}T^DS$. If $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$, it is easy to verify that $P^D = P$.

This paper is concerned with the Drazin inverses $(aP + bQ)^D$ of the linear combinations of two idempotents in \mathcal{A} for nonzero scalars a and b . In recent years, many authors paid much attention to properties of linear combinations of idempotents or projections (see [1–8, 12–23]). In [14], Deng has discussed the Drazin inverses of the products and differences of two projections. Motivated by this paper, Böttcher and Spitkovsky wrote [1] and in that paper they proved that the Drazin invertibility of the sum $P + Q$ of two projections P and Q is equivalent to the Drazin invertibility of any linear combination $aP + bQ$ where $ab \neq 0$, $a + b \neq 0$. However, without some additional conditions, it is difficult to discuss the Drazin invertibility of linear combinations of two idempotents. More recently, under some conditions, Deng in [15] gave the Drazin inverses of sums and differences of idempotents on the Hilbert space. The methods used in [15] are space decompositions and operator matrix representations which are not available for general Banach- $*$ algebras, or general Banach algebras.

In this paper, by using direct calculation methods, we obtain some formulae for the Drazin inverse $(aP + bQ)^D$ of the linear combinations of idempotents P and Q in Banach algebra \mathcal{A} under some conditions, and we also study the index $\text{ind}(aP + bQ)$.

2. Main results and proofs

In this section, we always suppose that \mathcal{A} is a Banach algebra with the unit I and $aP + bQ$ is a linear combination of two idempotents P and Q in \mathcal{A} with nonzero scalars a and b . In order to prove that $aP + bQ$ is Drazin invertible, we only need to find out some $M \in \mathcal{A}$ which satisfies that

$$(aP + bQ)M = M(aP + bQ), \quad M(aP + bQ)M = M, \quad (aP + bQ)^{k+1}M = (aP + bQ)^kM \quad (2)$$

for some nonnegative integer k .

The following result is essentially already in [2, 20]; note $PQP = 0$ implies that $(PQ)^2 = (QP)^2$. We present a self-contained proof for the reader's convenience.

Theorem 2.1. *Let P and Q be the idempotents in Banach algebra \mathcal{A} and $PQP = 0$. Then $aP + bQ$ is Drazin invertible for any nonzero scalars a and b , $\text{ind}(aP + bQ) \leq 1$ and*

$$(aP + bQ)^D = \frac{1}{a}P + \frac{1}{b}Q - \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right)PQ - \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right)QP + \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{2}{b}\right)QPQ.$$

Moreover, $\text{ind}(aP + bQ) = 0$ if and only if $P + Q + QPQ = I + PQ + QP$.

Proof. Let

$$M = \frac{1}{a}P + Q - \left(\frac{1}{a} + 1\right)PQ - \left(\frac{1}{a} + 1\right)QP + \left(\frac{1}{a} + 2\right)QPQ.$$

We claim that

$$(aP + bQ)^D = M.$$

In fact, by the assumption that $PQP = 0$, we have that

$$M(aP + Q) = (aP + Q)M = P + Q - PQ - QP + QPQ.$$

Also a direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} M(aP + Q)M &= (P + Q - PQ - QP + QPQ)M \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{a}P + PQ - \left(\frac{1}{a} + 1 \right)PQ \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{1}{a}QP + Q - \left(\frac{1}{a} + 1 \right)QPQ - \left(\frac{1}{a} + 1 \right)QP + \left(\frac{1}{a} + 2 \right)QPQ \right] \\ &\quad - PQ - \frac{1}{a}QP - QPQ + \left(\frac{1}{a} + 1 \right)QPQ + QPQ = M \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$M(aP + Q)^2 = (P + Q - PQ - QP + QPQ)(aP + Q) = aP + Q. \quad (3)$$

Thus, from (2) we get that $(aP + Q)^D = M$. So we have

$$(aP + bQ)^D = \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{a}{b}P + Q \right)^D = \frac{1}{a}P + \frac{1}{b}Q - \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} \right)PQ - \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} \right)QP + \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{2}{b} \right)QPQ.$$

Moreover, it follows from (3) and the definition of Drazin index that $\text{ind}(aP + bQ) = \text{ind} \left(\frac{b}{a}P + Q \right) \leq 1$.

In addition, a direct calculation shows that

$$(aP + bQ)^D(aP + bQ) = P + Q - PQ - QP + QPQ.$$

Note that $\text{ind}(aP + bQ) = 0$ if and only if $(aP + bQ)^D(aP + bQ) = I$, so $\text{ind}(aP + bQ) = 0$ if and only if $I = P + Q - PQ - QP + QPQ$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.2. Let P and Q be the idempotents in Banach algebra \mathcal{A} and $PQP = P$. Then $aP + bQ$ is Drazin invertible for any nonzero scalars a and b , and

$$(aP + bQ)^D = \begin{cases} \frac{a^2}{(a+b)^3}P + \frac{1}{b}Q + \frac{ab}{(a+b)^3}(PQ + QP) + \left(\frac{b^2}{(a+b)^3} - \frac{1}{b} \right)QPQ, & \text{if } a + b \neq 0; \\ \frac{1}{a}Q(P - I)Q, & \text{if } a + b = 0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $\text{ind}(aP - aQ) \leq 3$ and $\text{ind}(aP + bQ) \leq 2$ when $a + b \neq 0$.

Proof. Case (1) Let $M = \frac{a^2}{(a+1)^3}P + Q + \frac{a}{(a+1)^3}(PQ + QP) + \left(\frac{1}{(a+1)^3} - 1 \right)QPQ$. We claim that if $a \neq -1$, then $(aP + Q)^D = M$. In fact, by the assumption that $PQP = P$, we have

$$(aP + Q)M = M(aP + Q) = \frac{a^2}{(a+1)^2}P + Q + \frac{a}{(a+1)^2}(PQ + QP) + \left(\frac{1}{(a+1)^2} - 1 \right)QPQ.$$

and

$$(aP + Q)^3M = (aP + Q)^2 = a^2P + Q + a(PQ + QP).$$

Moreover, by calculating, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & M(aP + Q)M \\
 &= \frac{a^4}{(a+1)^5}P + \frac{a^2}{(a+1)^3}QP + \frac{a^3}{(a+1)^5}QP + \frac{a^3}{(a+1)^5}P + \left(\frac{1}{(a+1)^2} - 1\right)\frac{a^2}{(a+1)^3}QP \\
 &+ \frac{a^2}{(a+1)^2}PQ + Q + \frac{a}{(a+1)^2}QPQ + \frac{a}{(a+1)^2}PQ + \left(\frac{1}{(a+1)^2} - 1\right)QPQ \\
 &+ \frac{a^3}{(a+1)^5}PQ + \frac{a}{(a+1)^3}QPQ + \frac{a^2}{(a+1)^5}QPQ \\
 &+ \frac{a^2}{(a+1)^5}PQ + \left(\frac{1}{(a+1)^2} - 1\right)\frac{a}{(a+1)^3}QPQ \\
 &+ \frac{a^3}{(a+1)^5}P + \frac{a}{(a+1)^3}QP + \frac{a^2}{(a+1)^5}QP + \frac{a^2}{(a+1)^5}P + \left(\frac{1}{(a+1)^2} - 1\right)\frac{a}{(a+1)^3}QP \\
 &+ \frac{a^2}{(a+1)^2}\left(\frac{1}{(a+1)^3} - 1\right)PQ + \frac{a}{(a+1)^2}\left(\frac{1}{(a+1)^3} - 1\right)QPQ + \left(\frac{1}{(a+1)^3} - 1\right)QPQ \\
 &+ \frac{a}{(a+1)^2}\left(\frac{1}{(a+1)^3} - 1\right)PQ + \left(\frac{1}{(a+1)^2} - 1\right)\left(\frac{1}{(a+1)^3} - 1\right)QPQ \\
 &= \frac{a^2}{(a+1)^3}P + Q + \frac{a^3 + 2a^2 + a}{(a+1)^5}PQ + \frac{a^3 + 2a^2 + a}{(a+1)^5}QP \\
 &+ \left\{ \frac{a^2}{(a+1)^5} + \frac{1}{(a+1)^2} \frac{a}{(a+1)^3} + \frac{a}{(a+1)^2} \frac{1}{(a+1)^3} \right. \\
 &\left. + \left(\frac{1}{(a+1)^3} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{(a+1)^2} - 1\right)\frac{1}{(a+1)^3} \right\}QPQ = M.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from (2) that $(aP + Q)^D = M$ and $\text{ind}(aP + Q) \leq 2$ when $a \neq -1$. Similarly to the discussion in the proof of Theorem 2.1, when $a + b \neq 0$, we have

$$(aP + bQ)^D = \frac{a^2}{(a+b)^3}P + \frac{1}{b}Q + \frac{ab}{(a+b)^3}(PQ + QP) + \left(\frac{b^2}{(a+b)^3} - \frac{1}{b}\right)QPQ$$

and

$$\text{ind}(aP + bQ) = \text{ind}\left(\frac{a}{b}P + Q\right) \leq 2.$$

Case (2) Suppose that $a + b = 0$. By calculating, we have

$$(aP - aQ)\frac{1}{a}Q(P - I)Q = \frac{1}{a}Q(P - I)Q(aP - aQ) = Q - QPQ,$$

$$(aP - aQ)\left(\frac{1}{a}Q(P - I)Q\right)^2 = \frac{1}{a}Q(P - I)Q,$$

$$(aP - aQ)^4\left(\frac{1}{a}Q(P - I)Q\right) = a^3(QPQ - Q) = (aP - aQ)^3.$$

Therefore, $(aP - aQ)^D = \frac{1}{a}Q(P - I)Q$, $(aP - aQ)^4(aP - aQ)^D = (aP - aQ)^3$ and $\text{ind}(aP - aQ) \leq 3$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.3. (1) Under the assumption of Theorem 2.2, we have $\text{ind}(aP - aQ) = 3$ if and only if $P + QPQ \neq PQ + QP$. For this, we only need to note that $(aP - aQ)^3(aP - aQ)^D = a^2(Q - QPQ)$ and $(aP - aQ)^2 = a^2(P - PQ - QP + Q)$.

(2) Our results recovered most of the main conclusions in [15], but our methods are very different from the methods used in [15]. In particular, the methods used in [15] cannot yield any information about the Drazin index.

In the rest of this paper, we consider the group inverse. The group inverse of $A \in \mathcal{A}$ [9,10,21] is the element $B \in \mathcal{A}$ (denoted by A^g) which satisfies

$$BAB = B, \quad AB = BA, \quad ABA = A.$$

Obviously, A has group inverse if and only if A has Drazin inverse with $\text{ind}(A) \leq 1$.

Before giving the revised versions of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 in [13], we present the following two counter-examples to these theorems.

Example 2.4. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in B(l_2 \oplus l_2)$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in B(l_2 \oplus l_2)$ with S and T in $B(l_2)$ such that $TS \neq 0$. Consider the operators

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in B(H_2 \oplus H_2), \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} I & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in B(H_2 \oplus H_2),$$

where $H_2 = l_2 \oplus l_2$. Direct calculations show that $BA \neq 0$, $(BA)^2 = AB = 0$. Hence we have $P^2 = P$, $Q^2 = Q$, $PQP = P$. From Theorem 2.2, we know that $P + Q$ has Drazin inverse and $(P + Q)^D =$

$\frac{1}{8}P + Q + \frac{1}{8}(PQ + QP) - \frac{7}{8}QPQ$. Hence $(P + Q) - (P + Q)^2(P + Q)^D = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & BA \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$, which

implies that $\text{ind}(P + Q) > 1$. From this and Theorem 2.2, it is clear that $\text{ind}(P + Q) = 2$. So the group inverse $(P + Q)^g$ of $P + Q$ does not exist.

Example 2.5. Define operators P and Q in $B(\mathbb{C}^5)$ by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively. Obviously,

$$P^2 = P, \quad Q^2 = Q, \quad PQP = P = PQ.$$

This means that both P and Q are idempotents in $B(\mathbb{C}^5)$. Then it results from Theorem 2.2 that $(P - Q)^D = Q(P - 1)Q$. But a direct calculation shows that

$$(P - Q)^2(P - Q)^D = QPQ - Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \neq P - Q.$$

This implies that $\text{ind}(P - Q) > 1$, and so the group inverse $(P - Q)^g$ of $P - Q$ does not exist.

In spite of the above two counter-examples, we have the following theorems.

Theorem 2.6. *Let P and Q be the idempotents in Banach algebra \mathcal{A} and $PQP = P$. Then*

- (i) $(P + Q)^D = \frac{1}{8}P + Q + \frac{1}{8}(PQ + QP) - \left(\frac{7}{8}\right)QPQ$,
- (ii) $(P - Q)^D = Q(P - I)Q$,
- (iii) $P + Q$ has a group inverse if and only if $P + QPQ = PQ + QP$,
- (iv) $P - Q$ has a group inverse if and only if $P = QPQ$.

Proof. Since the results of parts (i) and (ii) are special cases of Theorem 2.2, it suffices to show part (iii) and part (iv). For this, we only need to note that $(P + Q) - (P + Q)^2(P + Q)^D = \frac{1}{2}(P + QPQ - PQ - QP)$ and that $(P - Q) - (P - Q)^2(P - Q)^D = P - QPQ$, which can be obtained by direct calculations. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Let P and Q be the idempotents in Banach algebra \mathcal{A} and $PQP = PQ$. Then*

$$(P + Q)^g = P + Q - 2QP - \frac{3}{4}PQ + \frac{5}{4}QPQ,$$

$$(P - Q)^D = P - Q - PQ + QPQ.$$

Moreover, $\text{ind}(P - Q) \leq 2$ and $P - Q$ has a group inverse if and only if $PQ = QPQ$.

Proof. Since the group inverse of $P + Q$ can be checked directly, its proof is omitted. It can be checked directly that the indicated $(P + Q)^g$ is the group inverse. Now let $M = P - Q - PQ + QPQ$. By direct calculations we have that

$$M(P - Q)M = M, (P - Q)^2M = M, \quad (4)$$

and that

$$(P - Q)^3M = (P - Q)^2 = (P - Q)M = M(P - Q) = P - PQ - QP + Q.$$

This implies that $(P - Q)^D = P - Q - PQ + QPQ$ and that $\text{ind}(P - Q) \leq 2$. In this case, from equation (4) and the definition of a group inverse, we know that $P - Q$ has a group inverse if and only if $(P - Q)^2(P - Q)^D = (P - Q) = (P - Q)^D = P - Q - PQ + QPQ$. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] A. Böttcher, I.M. Spitkovsky, Drazin inversion in the von Neumann algebra generated by two orthogonal projections, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 358 (2009) 403–409.
- [2] A. Böttcher, I.M. Spitkovsky, On certain finite-dimensional algebras generated by two idempotents, Linear Algebra Appl. 435 (2011) 1823–1836.

- [3] J.K. Baksalary, O.M. Baksalary, H. Özdemir, A note on linear combinations of commuting tripotent matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 388 (2004) 45–51.
- [4] J.K. Baksalary, O.M. Baksalary, Idempotency of linear combinations of two idempotent matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 321 (2000) 3–7.
- [5] J.K. Baksalary, O.M. Baksalary, G.P.H. Styan, Idempotency of linear combinations of an idempotent matrix and a tripotent matrix, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 54 (2002) 21–34.
- [6] O.M. Baksalary, J. Benítez, Idempotency of linear combinations of three idempotent matrices, two of which are commuting, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 424 (2007) 320–337.
- [7] J. Benítez, N. Thome, Idempotency of linear combinations of an idempotent matrix and a t -potent matrix that commute, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 403 (2005) 414–418.
- [8] O.M. Baksalary, Idempotency of linear combinations of three idempotent matrices, two of which are disjoint, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 388 (2004) 67–78.
- [9] K.P.S. Bhaskara Rao, *The Theory of Generalized Inverses Over Commutative Rings*, Taylor and Francis, London and New York, 2002.
- [10] C.J. Bu, J.M. Zhao, J.S. Zheng, Group inverse for a class 2×2 block matrices over skew fields, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 204 (2008) 45–49.
- [11] S.R. Caradus, *Operator Theory of the Generalized Inverse*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 38, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, 1974, Science Press, New York, 2004.
- [12] D.S. Cvetković-Ilić, C.Y. Deng, The Drazin invertibility of the difference and the sum of two idempotent operators, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 233 (2010) 1717–1722.
- [13] D.S. Cvetković-Ilić, C.Y. Deng, Some results on the Drazin invertibility and idempotents, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 359 (2009) 731–738.
- [14] C.Y. Deng, The Drazin inverses of products and differences of orthogonal projections, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 335 (2007) 64–71.
- [15] C.Y. Deng, The Drazin inverses of sum and difference of idempotents, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 430 (2009) 1282–1291.
- [16] H. Du, X. Yao, C. Deng, Invertibility of linear combinations of two idempotents, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 134 (2006) 1451–1457.
- [17] J.J. Koliha, V. Rakočević, The nullity and rank of linear combinations of idempotent matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 418 (2006) 11–14.
- [18] J.J. Koliha, V. Rakočević, Stability theorems for linear combinations of idempotents, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 58 (2007) 597–601.
- [19] J.J. Koliha, V. Rakočević, I. Straškraba, The difference and sum of projectors, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 388 (2004) 279–288.
- [20] X. Liu, L. Wu, Y. Yu, The group inverse of the combinations of two idempotent matrices, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 59 (2011) 101–115.
- [21] C.D. Meyer, The role of the group generalized inverse in the theory of finite Markov chains, *SIAM Rev.* 17 (1975) 443–464.
- [22] H. Özdemir, A.Y. Özban, On idempotency of linear combinations of idempotent matrices, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 159 (2004) 439–448.
- [23] M. Sarduvan, H. Özdemir, On linear combinations of two tripotent, idempotent, and involutive matrices, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 200 (2008) 401–406.
- [24] S.F. Zhang, H.J. Zhong, Q.F. Jiang, Drazin spectrum of operator matrices on the Banach space, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 429 (2008) 2067–2075.