ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Applied Mathematics Letters**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/aml

# Global stability of a Leslie–Gower predator–prey model with feedback controls

### Liujuan Chen<sup>a,\*</sup>, Fengde Chen<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Ministry of Science Training, Fujian Institute of Education, Fuzhou, Fujian, 350001, PR China
<sup>b</sup> College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, PR China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 21 July 2008 Received in revised form 25 March 2009 Accepted 30 March 2009

Keywords: Leslie-Gower model Feedback control Lyapunov function Global stability M matrix

#### 1. Introduction

#### ABSTRACT

In this work the global stability of a unique interior equilibrium for a Leslie–Gower predator–prey model with feedback controls is investigated. The main result together with its numerical simulations shows that feedback control variables have no influence on the global stability of the Leslie–Gower model, which means that feedback control variables only change the position of the unique interior equilibrium and retain its global stability. © 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Recently, much scholars studied the predator-prey system with the Leslie–Gower scheme [1–4]. Numerical studies for a Leslie–Gower type tritrophic model were done in [1,2]. In [3], the authors obtained a set of sufficient conditions which ensure the global stability of the positive equilibrium for a predator-prey model with modified Leslie–Gower and Holling type II schemes. Korobeinikov [4] considered the following Leslie–Gower predator–prey model:

$$\dot{N}_{1}(t) = (r_{1} - a_{1}N_{2} - b_{1}N_{1})N_{1},$$
  
$$\dot{N}_{2}(t) = \left(r_{2} - a_{2}\frac{N_{2}}{N_{1}}\right)N_{2}.$$
(1)

By introducing the Lyapunov function

$$V(N_1, N_2) = \ln \frac{N_1}{N_1^0} + \frac{N_1^0}{N_1} + \frac{a_1 N_1^0}{a_2} \left( \ln \frac{N_2}{N_2^0} + \frac{N_2^0}{N_2} \right),$$

where  $N_1^0 = \frac{r_1 a_2}{a_1 r_2 + a_2 b_1}$ ,  $N_2^0 = \frac{r_1 r_2}{a_1 r_2 + a_2 b_1}$ , he was able to show that the unique coexisting point  $E^0(N_1^0, N_2^0)$  of system (1) is globally stable.

On the other hand, in some situations, one may wish to alter the positions of positive equilibrium, but to retain its stability. This is of significance in the control procedure of ecology balance. One of the techniques used to achieve the aim is to alter system (1) structurally by introducing "indirect control" variables. Though there are many works on the single-species or multispecies competition systems with feedback controls [5–7], to the best of the authors' knowledge, to this day,

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail addresses:* clj1018@sohu.com (L. Chen), fdchen@263.net, fdchen@fzu.edu.cn (F. Chen).



<sup>0893-9659/\$ –</sup> see front matter 0 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.aml.2009.03.005

still no scholars are investigating the stability property of the Leslie–Gower predator–prey model with feedback controls; this motivates us to propose and study such a model. In this work, we introduce and study the following Leslie-Gower predator-prey model with feedback controls:

$$N_{1}(t) = (r_{1} - a_{1}N_{2} - b_{1}N_{1} - c_{1}u_{1})N_{1},$$

$$\dot{N}_{2}(t) = \left(r_{2} - a_{2}\frac{N_{2}}{N_{1}} - c_{2}u_{2}\right)N_{2},$$

$$\dot{u}_{1}(t) = -f_{1}u_{1} + g_{1}N_{1},$$

$$\dot{u}_{2}(t) = -f_{2}u_{2} + g_{2}N_{2},$$
(2)

where  $N_1(t)$  and  $N_2(t)$  denote the density of prey and predator populations at time t, respectively;  $u_1(t)$  and  $u_2(t)$ are feedback control variables; the term  $a_2 \frac{N_2}{N_1}$  describes the "carrying capacity" of the predator's environment which is proportional to the number of prey and  $a_2$  is the maximum value which a per capita reduction rate of  $N_1(t)$  can attain;  $r_1$ and  $r_2$  describe the intrinsic growth rates of  $N_1(t)$  and  $N_2(t)$ , respectively;  $a_1$  denotes the capturing rate of predator species  $N_2(t)$ ;  $b_1$  measures the strength of competition among individuals of  $N_1(t)$ .  $r_i$ ,  $a_i$ ,  $c_i$ ,  $f_i$ ,  $g_i$ , i = 1, 2, and  $b_1$  are all positive constants. For more detailed adjustment of the Leslie-Gower system and the meanings of coefficients of the system, one could refer to [8–10] and the references cited therein.

With the restriction of their analysis technique, traditional works on the feedback control ecosystem (see [5,6] and the references cited therein) showed that feedback control variables play important roles as regards the persistency and stability properties of the system. Recently, by giving a detailed analysis of the right-hand side functional of the system, Hu, Teng and [iang [7] were able to show that feedback control variables have no influence on the persistence property of the competition system that they considered. Their success motivated us to propose the following **conjecture**: Maybe the feedback control variables have no influence on the stability property of the system (2), that is, we can show that feedback controls only change the position of the unique interior equilibrium and retain its stability property.

The work is organized as follows. In the next section, we state and prove the global stability property of model (2). In Section 3, numerical simulations are presented to illustrate the feasibility of our results. We end this work with a brief discussion.

#### 2. Global stability

For practical biological meaning, we simply study system (2) in  $R_+^4 = \{(N_1, N_2, u_1, u_2) \in R^4 | N_i > 0, u_i > 0, i = 1, 2\}$  or in  $\overline{R_{+}^4}$ . From the first equation of system (2), it is easy to derive that  $\limsup_{t\to\infty} N_1(t) \leq \frac{r_1}{b_1}$ .

**Lemma 1.** The solutions  $(N_1(t), N_2(t), u_1(t), u_2(t))^T$  of system (2) with initial values  $N_i(0) > 0, u_i(0) > 0, i = 1, 2$ , are positive and bounded for all t > 0.

**Proof.** Obviously, the solutions  $(N_1(t), N_2(t), u_1(t), u_2(t))^T$  of system (2) with initial values  $N_i(0) > 0, u_i(0) > 0, i = 1, 2$ , are positive for all  $t \ge 0$ . Given any  $\epsilon > 0, N_1(t) \le \frac{r_1}{b_1} + \epsilon$  for t sufficiently large, from the second equation of system (2), it follows that  $\dot{N}_2(t) \leq \left(r_2 - \frac{a_2N_2}{\frac{r_1}{b_1} + \epsilon}\right)N_2$ , which implies that  $\limsup_{t \to \infty} N_2(t) \leq \frac{r_1r_2}{a_2b_1}$ . For above  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $N_1(t) \leq \frac{r_1}{b_1} + \epsilon$  and  $N_2(t) \le \frac{r_1 r_2}{a_2 b_1} + \epsilon$  for t sufficiently large, from the third and fourth equations of system (2), it follows that

$$\begin{split} \dot{u}_1(t) &\leq -f_1 u_1 + g_1 \left( \frac{r_1}{b_1} + \epsilon \right), \\ \dot{u}_2(t) &\leq -f_2 u_2 + g_2 \left( \frac{r_1 r_2}{a_2 b_1} + \epsilon \right). \end{split}$$

By a standard comparison argument and basic ODE theory, it follows that  $\limsup_{t\to\infty} u_1(t) \le \frac{g_1r_1}{f_1b_1}$  and  $\limsup_{t\to\infty} u_2(t) \le \frac{g_1r_1}{g_1b_1}$  $\frac{g_2r_1r_2}{a_2b_1f_2}$ , which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Define  $\delta = f_1(a_1r_2f_2 - r_1c_2g_2) + a_2f_2(b_1f_1 + c_1g_1), \ \Delta = \delta^2 + 4r_1f_1a_2f_2c_2g_2(b_1f_1 + c_1g_1).$  **Lemma 2.** Model (2) admits a unique interior equilibrium  $E^*(N_1^*, N_2^*, u_1^*, u_2^*)$ , where  $N_1^* = \frac{-\delta + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2c_2g_2(b_1f_1 + c_1g_1)}, \ N_2^*$  $\frac{(\delta + 2f_1r_1c_2g_2) - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2a_1f_1c_2g_2}$  and  $u_i^* = \frac{g_i}{f_i}N_i^*, i = 1, 2.$ 

**Proof.** We now consider positive equilibria of model (2). By the third and the fourth equation of model (2), we have  $u_i = g_i N_i / f_i$ , i = 1, 2. Substituting them into the right-hand side of the first and the second equations of model (2), respectively, we obtain

$$N_1 = \frac{f_1(r_1 - a_1 N_2)}{b_1 f_1 + c_1 g_1},\tag{3}$$

and

$$r_2 f_2 N_1 - a_2 f_2 N_2 - c_2 g_2 N_1 N_2 = 0. ag{4}$$

Substituting (3) into (4), we have

$$a_1f_1c_2g_2N_2^2 - (\delta + 2r_1f_1c_2g_2)N_2 + r_1r_2f_1f_2 = 0.$$
(5)

Since the discriminant of (5) satisfies

$$\Delta = (\delta + 2r_1f_1c_2g_2)^2 - 4a_1c_2g_2r_1r_2f_2f_1^2 = \delta^2 + 4r_1f_1a_2f_2c_2g_2(b_1f_1 + c_1g_1) > 0$$

0.

it is easy to see that (5) has two positive roots

$$N_2^{\pm} = \frac{(\delta + 2f_1r_1c_2g_2) \pm \sqrt{\Delta}}{2a_1f_1c_2g_2} >$$

Note that  $r_1 - a_1 N_2^+ = \frac{-\delta - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2f_1 c_2 g_2} < 0$  and hence  $N_1 = \frac{f_1 (r_1 - a_1 N_2^+)}{b_1 f_1 + c_1 g_1} < 0$ ; then model (2) has a unique positive equilibrium  $E^*(N_1^*, N_2^*, u_1^*, u_2^*)$ , where  $N_2^* = N_2^- = \frac{(\delta + 2f_1 r_1 c_2 g_2) - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2a_1 f_1 c_2 g_2}$ ,  $N_1^* = \frac{f_1 (r_1 - a_1 N_2^*)}{b_1 f_1 + c_1 g_1} = \frac{-\delta + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2c_2 g_2 (b_1 f_1 + c_1 g_1)}$  and  $u_i^* = g_i N_i^* / f_i$ , i = 1, 2, which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Before we state and prove the global stability of this work, we need to state a definition and a useful lemma.

**Definition 3** (*Chen, Song and Lu* [11]). A matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$  is said to be an M matrix if  $a_{ij} \le 0, i \ne j, i, j = 1, 2, ..., n$ , and any one of the following conditions holds:

- (I) all of the eigenvalues of matrix *A* have positive real parts;
- (II) the order principal minor of matrix A is positive; (III) matrix A is nonsingular and  $A^{-1} \ge 0$ ;
- (IV) there exists a vector x > 0 such that Ax > 0; (V) there exists a vector y > 0 such that  $A^{T}y > 0$ .

**Lemma 4** (Araki and Kondo [12]). If A is an M matrix, then there exists a positive diagonal matrix  $D = diag(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n), d_i > d_i > d_i$ 0, i = 1, ..., n, such that matrix  $B = \frac{1}{2}(DA + A^{T}D)$  is positive definite.

**Theorem 5.** Assume that  $a_2b_1 \ge a_1r_2$  holds; then the unique interior equilibrium  $E^*(N_1^*, N_2^*, u_1^*, u_2^*)$  of model (2) is globally stable.

**Proof.** Note that  $r_1 = a_1N_2^* + b_1N_1^* + c_1u_1^*$ ,  $r_2 = a_2N_2^*/N_1^* + c_2u_2^*$ ,  $f_iu_i^* = g_iN_i^*$ , i = 1, 2; then model (2) can be rewritten as \*. ...

$$\dot{N}_{1}(t) = [-b_{1}(N_{1} - N_{1}^{*}) - a_{1}(N_{2} - N_{2}^{*}) - c_{1}(u_{1} - u_{1}^{*})]N_{1},$$

$$\dot{N}_{2}(t) = \left[ \left( -N_{1}^{*}(N_{2} - N_{2}^{*}) + N_{2}^{*}(N_{1} - N_{1}^{*}) \right) \frac{a_{2}}{N_{1}N_{1}^{*}} - c_{2}(u_{2} - u_{2}^{*}) \right]N_{2},$$

$$\dot{u}_{1}(t) = \left[ -N_{1}(u_{1} - u_{1}^{*}) + u_{1}(N_{1} - N_{1}^{*}) \right] \frac{g_{1}}{u_{1}^{*}},$$

$$\dot{u}_{2}(t) = \left[ -N_{2}(u_{2} - u_{2}^{*}) + u_{2}(N_{2} - N_{2}^{*}) \right] \frac{g_{2}}{u_{2}^{*}}.$$
(6)

Now let's construct a Lyapunov function

 $V(t) = d_1 V_1(t) + d_2 V_2(t) + e_1 \phi_1(t) + e_2 \phi_2(t),$ 

where  $V_i(t) = N_i - N_i^* - N_i^* \ln \frac{N_i}{N_i^*}$ ,  $\phi_i(t) = u_i - u_i^* - u_i^* \ln \frac{u_i}{u_i^*}$ ,  $e_i = \frac{d_i c_i u_i^*}{g_i}$ , i = 1, 2, and  $d_i$ , i = 1, 2, are positively undetermined coefficients. Obviously, V(t) is well defined and continuous for all  $N_i$ ,  $u_i > 0$ , i = 1, 2. The time derivative of the function V(t) along the solutions of model (6) is

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}(t) &= d_1(N_1 - N_1^*)[-b_1(N_1 - N_1^*) - a_1(N_2 - N_2^*) - c_1(u_1 - u_1^*)] \\ &+ d_2(N_2 - N_2^*) \left[ \left( -N_1^*(N_2 - N_2^*) + N_2^*(N_1 - N_1^*) \right) \frac{a_2}{N_1 N_1^*} - c_2(u_2 - u_2^*) \right] \\ &+ \frac{g_1 e_1(u_1 - u_1^*)}{u_1 u_1^*} [-N_1(u_1 - u_1^*) + u_1(N_1 - N_1^*)] + \frac{g_2 e_2(u_2 - u_2^*)}{u_2 u_2^*} [-N_2(u_2 - u_2^*) + u_2(N_2 - N_2^*)] \\ &= -d_1 b_1(N_1 - N_1^*)^2 + \left( \frac{a_2 d_2 N_2^*}{N_1^* N_1} - a_1 d_1 \right) (N_1 - N_1^*) (N_2 - N_2^*) \\ &- \frac{a_2 d_2}{N_1} (N_2 - N_2^*)^2 - \frac{c_1 d_1 N_1}{u_1} (u_1 - u_1^*)^2 - \frac{c_2 d_2 N_2}{u_2} (u_2 - u_2^*)^2, \end{split}$$



**Fig. 1.** Dynamic behaviors diagram of model (2) for  $a_2b_1 \ge a_1r_2$ . (a)  $E^*(1.3, 0.848, 1.95, 1.696)$  is globally stable for  $a_1 = 0.5, a_2 = 1, b_1 = 2$  and  $r_2 = 1.5$ . (b)  $E^*(1.077, 1.037, 1.6155, 2.074)$  is globally stable for  $a_1 = a_2 = 1, b_1 = r_2 = 2$ .

and

$$\begin{aligned} &-d_1b_1(N_1 - N_1^*)^2 + \left(\frac{a_2d_2N_2^*}{N_1^*N_1} - a_1d_1\right)(N_1 - N_1^*)(N_2 - N_2^*) - \frac{a_2d_2}{N_1}(N_2 - N_2^*)^2 \\ &\leq -d_1b_1(N_1 - N_1^*)^2 + \left(\frac{a_2d_2N_2^*}{N_1^*N_1} + a_1d_1\right) \mid N_1 - N_1^* \mid \cdot \mid N_2 - N_2^* \mid -\frac{a_2d_2}{N_1}(N_2 - N_2^*)^2 \\ &\triangleq \frac{1}{2}Y^{\mathrm{T}}(DG + G^{\mathrm{T}}D)Y, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Y = (|N_1 - N_1^*|, |N_2 - N_2^*|)^T$ ,  $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2), d_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \text{ and}$ 

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} -b_1 & a_1 \\ \frac{a_2 N_2^*}{N_1^* N_1} & -\frac{a_2}{N_1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

To show that conclusion of Theorem 5 holds, for all  $N_i$ ,  $u_i > 0$ , i = 1, 2, it is enough to prove that there exists a positive diagonal matrix  $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2)$ ,  $d_i > 0$ , i = 1, 2, such that matrix  $(DG + G^TD)$  is negative definite. Note first that both of the off-diagonal elements of matrix G are positive and

$$b_1 - \frac{a_1 N_2^*}{N_1^*} = \frac{2a_2 f_2 (2b_1 f_1 + c_1 g_1) - (\sqrt{\Delta} + \delta)}{2a_2 f_1 f_2}$$

Simple algebraic computations show that, under the assumption  $a_2b_1 \ge a_1r_2$ ,  $b_1 - \frac{a_1N_2^*}{N_1^*} > 0$ , the two order principal minors of matrix -G are  $b_1$  and  $\frac{a_2}{N_1} \left( b_1 - \frac{a_1N_2^*}{N_1^*} \right)$ , which are positive. From Definition 3, it follows that -G is an M matrix; according to Lemma 4, there exists a positive diagonal matrix  $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2)$ ,  $d_i > 0$ , i = 1, 2, such that matrix  $(DG + G^TD)$  is negative definite. So, under the assumption  $a_2b_1 \ge a_1r_2$ , V(t) < 0 strictly for all  $N_i$ ,  $u_i > 0$ , i = 1, 2, except the positive equilibrium  $E^*(N_1^*, N_2^*, u_1^*, u_2^*)$ , where V(t) = 0. The above analysis shows that V(t) satisfies Lyapunov's asymptotic stability theorem and the unique interior equilibrium  $E^*(N_1^*, N_2^*, u_1^*, u_2^*)$  of model (2) is globally stable, which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

#### 3. Numerical examples

Let  $f_1 = f_2 = 1$ ,  $c_1 = c_2 = 0.5$ ,  $r_1 = 4$ ,  $g_1 = 1.5$  and  $g_2 = 2$ . For these values of parameters, we verify the existence and stability properties of the positive equilibrium for model (2). In Fig. 1, we clearly observe that the unique interior equilibrium of model (2) is globally stable under the assumption that  $a_2b_1 \ge a_1r_2$  holds.

Since it is difficult to make further analytical studies, we invoke numerical calculations to find asymptotical behaviors of model (2) for  $a_2b_1 < a_1r_2$ . Fig. 2 shows that the unique interior equilibrium of model (2) is globally stable also under the condition that  $a_2b_1 < a_1r_2$  holds.

#### 4. Discussion

Figs. 1 and 2 and Theorem 5 show that the unique interior equilibrium is globally stable for the Leslie–Gower predator–prey model with feedback controls. Compared to the global stability of the unique interior equilibrium  $E^0$  for model (1) in [4], it is found that feedback controls have no influence on the existence and stability properties of the unique positive equilibrium for the Leslie–Gower predator–prey model. Moreover, in the cases of Fig. 1(a), (b) and Fig. 2(a), (b), the unique



**Fig. 2.** Dynamic behaviors diagram of model (2) for  $a_2b_1 < a_1r_2$ . (a)  $E^*(1.157, 1.397, 1.736, 2.794)$  is globally stable for  $a_1 = 1$ ,  $a_2 = 0.5$ ,  $b_1 = 1.5$  and  $r_2 = 2$ . (b)  $E^*(1.101, 0.971, 1.6515, 1.942)$  is globally stable for  $a_1 = 1$ ,  $a_2 = 0.6$ ,  $b_1 = 2$  and  $r_2 = 1.5$ .

interior equilibrium  $E^0$  of model (1) is  $E^0(1.455, 2.182)$ ,  $E^0(1, 2)$ ,  $E^0(0.727, 2.909)$  and  $E^0(0.889, 2.222)$ , respectively. Note that  $N_2^* < N_2^0$  in the above four cases. Hence, for the Leslie–Gower predator–prey model, feedback controls only change the position of the unique interior equilibrium and help the predator species to stability, while retaining global stability of the unique interior equilibrium. This indicates that, in the realistic environment, predator species could extend their survival space by introducing the feedback control variables and both predator species and prey species would finally reach a 'good' state, which is suitable for them to survive and develop in. This aids permanence of ecosystems.

#### Acknowledgements

The first author's research was supported by the FFEB of China (No. JA08253). The second author's research was supported by the NNSF of China (10501007).

#### References

- [1] C. Letellier, M.A. Aziz-Alaoui, Analysis of the dynamics of a realistic ecological model, Chaos Solitons Fractals 13 (1) (2002) 95-107.
- [2] C. Letellier, L. Aguirré, J. Maquet, M.A. Aziz-Alaoui, Should all the species of a food chain be counted to investigate the global dynamics, Chaos Solitons Fractals 13 (5) (2002) 1099–1113.
- [3] M.A. Aziz-Alaoui, M.D. Okiye, Boundedness and global stability for a predator-prey model with modified Leslie-Gower and Holling-type II schemes, Appl. Math. Lett. 16 (7) (2003) 1069-1075.
- [4] A. Korobeinikov, A Lyapunov function for Leslie-Gower predator-prey models, Appl. Math. Lett. 14 (6) (2001) 697-699.
- [5] F.D. Chen, The permanence and global attractivity of Lotka-Volterra competition system with feedback controls, Nonlinear Anal. RWA 7 (1) (2006) 133–143.
- [6] F.D. Chen, Global stability of a single species model with feedback control and distributed time delay, Appl. Math. Comput. 178 (2) (2006) 474–479.
- [7] H.X. Hu, Z.D. Teng, H.J. Jiang, On the permanence in non-autonomous Lotka-Volterra competitive system with pure-delays and feedback controls, Nonlinear Anal. RWA 10 (3) (2009) 1803-1815.
- [8] K. Gopalsamy, P. Weng, Feedback regulation of logistic growth, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 16 (1) (1993) 177-192.
- [9] W. Wang, C. Tang, Dynamic of a delayed population model with feedback control, J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. B 41 (4) (2000) 451-457.
- [10] R. Xu, F. Hao, Global stability of a delayed single species population model with feedback control, J. Biomath. 20 (1) (2005) 1-6.
- [11] L.S. Chen, X.Y. Song, Z.Y. Lu, Mathematical Models and Methods in Ecology, Technology Publishing Company of Sichuan, Chengdu, 2003 (in Chinese).
- [12] M. Araki, B. Kondo, Stability and transient behavior of composite nonlinear systems, IEEE Trans. Automat. Control 17 (4) (1972) 537-541.