Endoplasmic reticulum stress in liver disease
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The unfolded protein response (UPR) is activated upon the accumulation of misfolded proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) that are sensed by the binding immunoglobulin protein (BiP)/glucose-regulated protein 78 (GRP78). The accumulation of unfolded proteins sequesters BiP so it dissociates from three ER-transmembrane transducers leading to their activation. These transducers are inositol requiring (IRE) 1α, PKR-like ER kinase (PERK), and activating transcription factor (ATF) 6α. PERK phosphorylates eukaryotic initiation factor 2 alpha (eIF2α) resulting in global mRNA translation attenuation, and concurrently selectively increases the translation of several mRNAs, including the transcription factor ATF4, and its downstream target CHOP. IRE1α has kinase and endoribonuclease (RNase) activities. IRE1α auto-phosphorylation activates the RNase activity to splice XBP1 mRNA, to produce the active transcription factor sXBP1. IRE1α activation also recruits and activates the stress kinase JNK. ATF6α transits to the Golgi compartment where it is cleaved by intramembrane proteolysis to generate a soluble active transcription factor. These UPR pathways act in concert to increase ER content, expand the ER protein folding capacity, degrade misfolded proteins, and reduce the load of new proteins entering the ER. All of these are geared toward adaptation to resolve the protein folding defect. Faced with persistent ER stress, adaptation starts to fail and apoptosis occurs, possibly mediated through calcium perturbations, reactive oxygen species, and the proapoptotic transcription factor CHOP. The UPR is activated in several liver diseases; including obesity associated fatty liver disease, viral hepatitis, and alcohol-induced liver injury, all of which are associated with steatosis, raising the possibility that ER stress-dependent alteration in lipid homeostasis is the mechanism that underlies the steatosis. Hepatocyte apoptosis is a pathogenic event in several liver diseases, and may be linked to unresolved ER stress. If this is true, restoration of ER homeostasis prior to ER stress-induced cell death may provide a therapeutic rationale in these diseases. Herein we discuss each branch of the UPR and how they may impact hepatocyte function in different pathologic states.
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occur to facilitate folding of the accumulated misfolded proteins. ER-associated degradation (ERAD) components are upregulated transcriptionally as well, facilitating degradation of terminally misfolded proteins. Sustained ER stress leads to apoptosis. Though the exact mechanisms that mediate ER stress-induced apoptosis have not yet been elucidated, the transcription factor C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP), the mitogen activated protein kinase c-jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), Bcl-2 family proteins, calcium and redox homeostasis, and caspase activation have all been implicated.

Inositol requiring (IRE)-1α is a dual function type I transmembrane protein with Ser/Thr protein kinase and endoribonuclease activities (Key Points Box 2). It is the most archaic and conserved branch of the UPR. Initially the Ire1 gene was isolated on screening of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genetic mutants for inositol autotrophy. It was characterized as essential for expression of ER chaperones and adaptation to ER stress in yeast [23,94]. Subsequently two mammalian genes, *Ire1α* and *Ire1β* were identified, with significant homology with yeast at the C-terminus, and divergence at the N-terminus [131,140]. *Ire1α* is widely expressed, and *Ire1β* expression is limited to the intestinal epithelium, though it may be expressed in other cell types [9]. *Ire1α* protein has an amino-terminal ER luminal domain, a transmembrane region, and a carboxy-terminal domain that contains both kinase and endoribonuclease catalytic activities. Upon dissociation from BiP binding, *Ire1α* dimerizes, transautophosphorylates, and activates the endoribonuclease (RNase) activity. It cleaves X-box binding protein 1 (*Xbp1*) mRNA, which is then ligated by an uncharacterized ligase to form *sXbp1* encoding a potent transcription factor that also induces expression of ERAD proteins and chaperones. Dimerization and transautophosphorylation of PERK activate its kinase activity, leading to phosphorylation of the alpha subunit of eukaryotic initiation factor 2 (eIF2α). This leads to global translation attenuation. Selective translation of activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) occurs following phosphorylation of eIF2α. ATF4 induces the expression of several genes including amino acid transporters, chaperones, and C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP). CHOP also induces the expression of GADD34, which associates with protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) to dephosphorylate eIF2α in a negative feedback loop, thus resuming translation.

Fig. 1. The unfolded protein response sensors. Three ER membrane sensors activating transcription factor 6α (ATF6α), inositol requiring (IRE) 1α, and PKR-like ER-localized kinase (PERK) mediate signals from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) upon activation of the unfolded protein response (UPR). The accumulation of misfolded proteins in the ER lumen sequesters the chaperone BiP away from the luminal domain of all three ER sensors which lead to their activation. ATF6α is activated by regulated intramembrane proteolysis in the Golgi to release the transcriptionally active 50 kDa cytosolic N-terminal domain. Cleaved ATF6α heterodimerizes with spliced *Xbp1* (*sXbp1*) to transcriptionally induce several genes encoding ER chaperones and ER-associated degradation (ERAD) proteins. *Ire1α* undergoes dimerization and transautophosphorylation which activates its endoribonuclease (RNase) activity. It cleaves X-box binding protein 1 (*Xbp1*) mRNA, which is then ligated by an uncharacterized ligase to form *sXbp1* encoding a potent transcription factor that also induces expression of ERAD proteins and chaperones. Dimerization and transautophosphorylation of PERK activate its kinase activity, leading to phosphorylation of the alpha subunit of eukaryotic initiation factor 2 (eIF2α). This leads to global translation attenuation. Selective translation of activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) occurs following phosphorylation of eIF2α. ATF4 induces the expression of several genes including amino acid transporters, chaperones, and C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP). CHOP also induces the expression of GADD34, which associates with protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) to dephosphorylate eIF2α in a negative feedback loop, thus resuming translation.
necrosis factor receptor (TNFR)-associated factor-2 (TRAF2) and apoptosis signaling kinase 1 (ASK1) to mediate the activation of c-jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) and activation of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kB) [53,135]. The IRE1α RNase activity is also implicated in the degradation of several cellular mRNAs, a process named regulated IRE1-dependent decay (RIDD) [51–52], including proinsulin mRNA and IRE1α mRNA itself [42,131]. Microsomal triglyceride transfer protein (MTTP) mRNA is degraded by IRE1β [55]. X-box-binding protein 1 (Xbp1) mRNA is the only characterized target of IRE1α RNase activity that is subject to ligation; in yeast the ligation is performed by the tRNA ligase Rlg1p and the mammalian ligase is yet to be identified [126]. Xbp1 mRNA undergoes unconventional (cytoplasmic, spliceosome-independent) splicing to generate a potent basic leucine zipper domain (bZIP)-containing transcription factor [134]. Removal of 26 nucleotides from the mammalian Xbp1 mRNA results in a translational frame switch, encoding a protein containing 376 amino acids, as compared with 261 amino acids encoded by the unspliced mRNA. Both forms of XBP1 can bind the ER stress element (ERSE); however, spliced XBP1 (sXBP1) activates the UPR far more potently than its unspliced form [156]. Furthermore, upon ER stress, Xbp1 mRNA expression is enhanced by ATF6α, providing additional substrate for IRE1α to splice into the more transcriptionally active form [73,156]. The unspliced XBP1 protein is unstable in the cell and can heterodimerize with ATF6 and sXBP1 to promote their proteasomal degradation [157]. Spliced XBP1 can bind to three cis-acting elements, ERSE, unfolded protein response element (UPRE), and ERSE-II [151]. Though ATF6α can bind some of these elements as well, sXBP1 homodimers and sXBP1-ATF6 heterodimers bind to ERSE activating the transcription of several XBP1 target genes, including Herp [151], EDEM (ER degradation-enhancing alpha-mannosidase-like protein) [155], and MDG1/ERdj4 [62]. Transcriptional targets of sXBP1 include genes that encode functions in ER protein folding and quality control, ER-associated degradation (ERAD) and ER biogenesis [128,155].

In addition to the accumulation of misfolded proteins in the ER, many stimuli that perturb ER homeostasis can cause protein misfolding. The mechanisms by which some of these agents can activate the UPR are known. Tunicamycin inhibits N-linked protein glycosylation and castanospermine inhibits N-linked oligosaccharide trimming; thus, both drugs alter protein folding and trafficking. Nutrient depletion presumably lowers ATP levels to disrupt chaperone-dependent protein folding reactions. Thapsigargin disrupts calcium storage which is required for protein chaperone function and protein folding. Dithiothreitol disrupts oxidative protein folding to cause protein misfolding. Brefeldin A activates the UPR by inhibiting ER to Golgi transport. In type 2 diabetes increased proinsulin biosynthesis leads to UPR due to excess demands on the folding machinery. In the neurodegenerative disorders, Alzheimer’s disease and Parkinson’s disease, abnormal protein aggregates are observed and are implicated in the pathogenesis of disease. Free fatty acids and reactive oxygen species can activate the UPR, though the exact mechanisms are not defined. Pharmacologic inhibition of proteasomal degradation causes misfolded proteins to accumulate.

### Box 1

In addition to the accumulation of misfolded proteins in the ER, many stimuli that perturb ER homeostasis can cause protein misfolding. The mechanisms by which some of these agents can activate the UPR are known. Tunicamycin inhibits N-linked protein glycosylation and castanospermine inhibits N-linked oligosaccharide trimming; thus, both drugs alter protein folding and trafficking. Nutrient depletion presumably lowers ATP levels to disrupt chaperone-dependent protein folding reactions. Thapsigargin disrupts calcium storage which is required for protein chaperone function and protein folding. Dithiothreitol disrupts oxidative protein folding to cause protein misfolding. Brefeldin A activates the UPR by inhibiting ER to Golgi transport. In type 2 diabetes increased proinsulin biosynthesis leads to UPR due to excess demands on the folding machinery. In the neurodegenerative disorders, Alzheimer’s disease and Parkinson’s disease, abnormal protein aggregates are observed and are implicated in the pathogenesis of disease. Free fatty acids and reactive oxygen species can activate the UPR, though the exact mechanisms are not defined. Pharmacologic inhibition of proteasomal degradation causes misfolded proteins to accumulate.

### Box 2

Inositol requiring 1α (IRE1α) is conserved from yeast to higher organisms. It is activated upon dissociation from BiP by dimerization and transautophosphorylation to elicit its endoribonuclease activity. IRE1α initiates cleavage of 26 nucleotides from X-box binding protein-1 (XBP1) mRNA in the cytosol. Spliced XBP1 mRNA is ligated by an unidentified ligase and encodes for a potent transcription factor (sXBP1) that activates a subset of UPR genes involved with ER biogenesis and ER-associated degradation (ERAD). IRE1α also recruits the adaptor protein TRAF2 (tumor necrosis factor receptor (TNFR)-associated factor-2), leading to activation of c-jun N-terminal kinase (JNK). IRE1α-dependent JNK activation has been linked to insulin resistance and apoptosis. Caspase 12 may be recruited by the IRE1α-TRAF2 complex in ER-stress induced apoptosis in mice.

The genetic absence of either IRE1α or XBP1 in the mouse results in embryonic lethality after gestational day ~11.5 that is associated with fetal liver hypoplasia in these embryos [113,162]. In addition, hepatocyte-specific expression of a transgene encoding spliced XBP1 was able to rescue embryonic lethality associated with Xbp1 deletion, suggesting that the embryonic lethality is due to a requirement for spliced XBP1 in hepatocytes [70]. However, it was recently reported that Irelα deletion also causes placental defects that were proposed to be responsible for the embryonic lethality of conditional Ire1α-null mice [56]. Irelβ deficiency is non-lethal, though the mice are more susceptible to dextran sulfate-induced colitis and demonstrate enhanced enterocyte chylomicron secretion secondary to increased expression of MTTP [9,55].

ATF6α is a type II ER transmembrane protein, with a cytoplasmic N-terminus that contains a basic leucine zipper motif that functions as a transcription factor following regulated intramembrane proteolysis (RIP) in ER-stressed cells [46,154] (Key Points Box 3). The ER resident form is 90 kDa and has two Golgi localization sequences (GLS) that are masked by BiP binding [123]. Upon dissociation from BiP, ATF6α translocates to the Golgi and its C-terminal half is cleaved by site-1 protease [152]. The membrane anchored N-terminus is cleaved by site-2 protease and a 50 kDa protein is released into the cytosol. The 50 kDa N-terminal protein translocates to the nucleus to activate transcription by binding to the ATF (activating transcription factor)/CREM response element (CRE) and ER stress response element (ERSE). Transcriptional induction of ER chaperone genes such as BiP and GRP94 (glucose regulated protein 94) is mostly mediated by ATF6α binding to the cis-acting ERSE consensus sequence CCAAT-N9-CCACG. ATF6α also transcriptionally activates ERAD components by heterodimerization with sXBPI. Two Atf6 genes, 

\[
\text{alpha}(\alpha) \text{ and beta}(\beta)
\]

are expressed ubiquitously, with no obvious phenotype in mice lacking either the Atf6α or Atf6β individual isoform [150]. However, challenge of Atf6α-null mice, but not Atf6β-null mice, with ER stress in the liver leads to hepatosteatosis and death [119]. Although ATF6α mediates UPR gene induction in response to ER stress, the gene targets for ATF6β have not been identified. Interestingly, the combined deletion of Atf6α and Atf6β causes a very early embryonic lethality, suggesting these genes provide an essential complementary function(s) in early mammalian development.
PERK is a type I ER resident protein kinase that upon ER stress is activated to phosphorylate the alpha subunit of eukaryotic translation-initiation factor 2 (eIF2α) on serine residue 51 [45] (Key Points Box 4). This leads to a rapid reduction in the initiation of mRNA translation thus reducing the load of new client proteins that require folding in the ER. Attenuation of translation promotes adaptation as PERK-null cells, as well as cells with Ser51Ala mutation at the PERK phosphorylation site in eIF2α, are unable to decrease protein synthesis upon ER stress and exhibit enhanced cell death [121]. PERK-dependent eIF2α phosphorylation decreases synthesis of cyclin D1 to mediate cell cycle arrest in stressed cells [13]. PERK is expressed ubiquitously with highest levels in the pancreas [124]. Loss of function mutations in PERK are the cause of Wolcott-Rallison syndrome in humans which stems from a loss of insulin production and beta cell failure [25]. PERK deletion in mice also causes pancreatic beta cell mass [121]. In the absence of eIF2α phosphorylation, beta cells exhibit a higher rate of protein synthesis thus increasing the demand for protein folding. This includes increased proinsulin folding and misfolding; the later leads to accumulation of misfolded protein in the ER, and thereby enhanced oxidative stress [3,122]. These observations lead to the notion that inhibition of translation by PERK-mediated eIF2α phosphorylation in response to ER stress is required for cell survival by limiting the protein-folding load thus preventing accumulation of misfolded proteins, and thereby the subsequent additional stress of oxidative protein folding. Phosphorylation of eIF2α can also be affected by three other kinases that respond to cellular and environmental stress; these kinases are protein kinase RNA-activated (PKR), heme-regulated inhibitor (HRI), and GCN2 kinase.

Transcriptional profiling of homozygous Ser51Ala eIF2α mutant and wildtype cells demonstrated that the expression of several genes is dependent on PERK-mediated eIF2α phosphorylation [121]. Key among these is activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) that is up regulated at the mRNA translational level upon eIF2α phosphorylation [43]. ATF4 translation is repressed by the presence of two upstream open reading frames (uORFs). Upon eIF2α phosphorylation, ribosomes scan through the upstream uORFs to initiate ATF4 translation [136]. ATF4 transcriptionally activates numerous ER-stress response genes that promote adaptation, as inhibition of transcription in ER-stressed cells impairs viability. ATF4 induces genes responsible for the antioxidant response, amino acid metabolism, and apoptosis, including the C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP). CHOP, also known as growth arrest and DNA damage-inducible gene (GADD) 153, was identified as a stress-induced negative regulator of other C/EBP-family proteins [6,12,118]. Subsequently, CHOP expression was found to be potently induced by ER stress-induced agents. Mice deleted in Chop develop normally; however, cells isolated from these mice are resistant to ER stress-induced cell death, implicating a requirement for CHOP in the apoptotic response to ER stress [163]. CHOP upregulates the expression of GADD34, which complexes with protein phosphatase 1 (PP1c) to target dephosphorylation of eIF2α, thus forming a negative feedback loop [96]. Phosphorylation of eIF2α with subsequent translation attenuation reduces synthesis of IκB with consequent activation of the transcription factor NFκB as part of the response to stress [60,147].

ER stress and apoptosis

Sustained or massive ER stress leads to apoptosis. Several apoptosis mediators are implicated in ER stress-associated cell death (Fig. 2). Some of these mediators are activated by the UPR sensors, whereas others are related to calcium and redox homeostasis. Although studies implicate IRE1α in modulating ER stress-induced apoptosis, it is unclear in which context and by what mechanism IRE1α mediates protection versus death. IRE1α activation recruits the adaptor protein TRAF2, with subsequent activation of apoptosis signal-regulating kinase 1 (ASK1) and JNK. JNK has several proapoptotic effects, including phosphorylation-induced activation of the proapoptotic Bim, and inactivation of the antiapoptotic Bcl2 proteins [75,149]. ASK1 interacting protein 1 (AIP1) is also a key mediator of ER stress-induced ASK1 activation downstream of IRE1α [86]. Mice deficient in AIP1 are resistant to ER stress-induced JNK activation and apoptosis, while retaining oxidative stress-induced JNK activation. Bak and Bax (proapoptotic Bcl2 family members), on the other hand, associate with IRE1α on the ER membrane and potentiate its RNase activity [47]. Mice deficient in both Bak and Bax are more sensitive to tunicamycin induced liver injury in spite of diminished apoptosis, presumably due to deficient IRE1α activity [47]. Mouse embryonic fibroblasts deficient in Bak and Bax are also resistant to tunicamycin or thapsigargin-induced cell death [143]. Bak inhibitor 1 (BI-1), an ER membrane protein, that inhibits apoptosis, is a negative regulator of IRE1α activation [82]. Mouse embryonic fibroblasts deficient in BI-1 are more sensitive to ER stress-induced apoptosis, suggesting a proapoptotic role for IRE1α [18]. However, in ER-stressed cells, XBPI splicing and protein expression decline with time [81]. This decline correlates with cell death.
and reconstitution of IRE1α activity improves cell survival. In mice, caspase 12 activation can occur via IRE1α-TRAF2 induced recruitment and activation of procaspase 12 [153]. However, in humans the caspase 12 gene has acquired loss-of-function mutations, and functional protein is not synthesized [35]. eIF2α phosphorylation causes translation attenuation that is required to protect against apoptosis in response to ER stress. Though heightened sensitivity to ER stress-induced apoptosis is observed in Perk−/− and eIF2α Ser51Ala mutant cells, the further inhibition of new protein synthesis by cycloheximide treatment in these stressed cells improves survival, thus pointing toward the role of newly synthesized proteins in further stressing the ER and promoting cell death.

Perturbations in ER calcium are also linked to apoptosis effectors. ER stress-inducing agents, in certain cell lines, led to sustained Ca2+ release from the ER, mitochondrial Ca2+ accumulation followed by mitochondrial permeabilization and release of apoptosis effectors from mitochondria into the cytosol [26]. The antiapoptotic protein Bcl-2 reduces resting free ER calcium and cell death when over expressed [37]. The proapoptotic proteins, Bak and Bax, also control ER calcium, as cells deficient in both have lower free ER calcium content, and reduced sensitivity to some selective death-inducing stimuli, such as hydrogen peroxide [98]. Bax Inhibitor-1 also regulates ER calcium in cell lines, promoting calcium release under acidic conditions with an associated increase in cell death [66]. In other experiments, mice lack-
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ING Bi-1 are sensitized to tunicamycin induced cell death [18]. Hepatocytes require mitochondrial permeabilization in order to activate terminal caspases and execute apoptosis, a process mediated by Bax and Bak. Membranes of mitochondria and ER associate via distinct junctions comprised of tethering proteins [68]. These junctions facilitate transfer of calcium and phospholipids, and may also be involved in apoptosis. However, as in other systems, the exact signaling pathways that mediate ER stress-induced apoptosis in stressed hepatocytes are not well defined.

The transcription factor CHOP/GADD153 is the most well characterized proapoptotic pathway that emanates from the stressed ER. CHOP transcription is primarily activated by ATF4 although ATF6α may contribute [33,87]. CHOP deficient mice were protected from ER stress-induced cell death in renal tubular epithelium upon challenge with tunicamycin [163]. Interestingly, renal epithelial regeneration was also impaired in the CHOP null mice, which may have been secondary to lower cell death or may be due to a direct effect of CHOP on renal epithelial regeneration. In murine models of diabetes CHOP deletion in pancreatic β cells protected against apoptosis, improved β cell survival, and mitigated the severity of diabetes [102,127]. CHOP is linked to the apoptosis machinery in several ways. CHOP transcriptionally enhances the expression of GADD34, with subsequent dephosphorylation of eIF2α [90]. Thus translation is resumed and nascent proteins can enter the ER to undergo oxidative protein folding with consequent generation of ROS. The entry of new client proteins prematurely into the ER, under conditions where ER stress has not been completely resolved, can generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) with deleterious consequences. Intriguingly, protein misfolding in the ER can lead to oxidative stress; and antioxidant treatment, Chop deletion, or translation attenuation can reduce oxidative stress and preserve ER function [3,89,127]. These findings suggest an intimate relationship between ER protein misfolding and ROS production. Another mechanism for ROS production is based on intracellular calcium fluxes. Protein folding in the ER can lead to release of intracellular Ca2+ from the ER via inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate receptor (IP3R) channels leading to mitochondrial Ca2+ uptake, which in turn promotes ROS production and apoptosis via multiple effects on the mitochondria [26,107].

A number of CHOP downstream target genes have been proposed to lead to apoptosis, their overall contribution in different conditions and diverse cell types remains only partially studied. CHOP can transcriptionally up regulate the expression of the death receptor TRAIL receptor 2 (also known as death receptor 5, DR5) in human cancer cell lines [148]. How enhanced expression of a cell surface death receptor sensitizes cells to ER stress-induced apoptosis is not known. Another Bcl-2 family target is the proapoptotic BH3-only protein Bim. CHOP can transcriptionally induce the expression of Bim [111]. Importantly, over expression of CHOP does not result in apoptosis, though it does sensitize cells to apoptosis [91]. Cellular glutathione depletion, generation of reactive oxygen species, and decreased expression of the antiapoptotic protein Bcl-2 were associated with enhanced sensitivity to cell death in CHOP over expressing cells. Another proapoptotic protein, TRB3, is transcriptionally induced in tunicamycin treated cells and is CHOP-dependent for its expression [99]. Several other ER stress-induced genes named “downstream of CHOP (DOC)” are induced by CHOP-C/EBP β heterodimers, of these has been identified as carbonic anhydrase VI that may acidify the cytoplasm, a feature associated with apoptosis [141].

In macrophages, CHOP mediates apoptosis in an ER oxidase 1 alpha (ERO1α)-dependent manner leading to Ca2+ release from the ER [77].

ER stress and inflammation

The UPR has been linked to several inflammatory response pathways in many cellular models and diseases, among these are the activation of NFκB, JNK, ROS, interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α). The sustained activation of NFκB can occur due to inhibition of synthesis of new inhibitor of NFκB (IκB), as it has a short half-life [147]. In addition NFκB and JNK can be also activated by the IRE1α branch of the UPR [53,135]. Both of these pathways are implicated in free cholesterol-induced macrophage activation and production of TNF-α and IL-6 [79]. In endothelial cells oxidized lipids activate the UPR and inflammatory gene expression is dependent on ATF4 and XBP1 [40]. Furthermore, the immune response is dependent on XBP1 signaling. In Caenorhabditis elegans, activation of the innate immune response leads to the UPR and requires XBP1 for resolution and survival [115]. In mice XBP1 is required for differentiation of B lymphocytes to antibody secreting plasma cells [114], and XBP1 deletion in intestinal epithelia leads to spontaneous enteritis [63]. XBP1 signaling maintains Paneth cell function and mucosal responses to bacterial and chemical challenge. Furthermore, single nucleotide polymorphisms in the XBP1 gene are associated with human inflammatory bowel disease. Thus, several lines of crosstalk exist between UPR mediators and inflammatory responses in different organisms, tissues, and diseases.

ER stress in liver disease

Hepatocytes perform a myriad of metabolic functions, including plasma protein synthesis and secretion, lipoprotein and very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) assembly and secretion, cholesterol biosynthesis, and xenobiotic metabolism, and thus are enriched in both smooth and rough ER. These metabolic functions and their compartmentalization in the ER have been long recognized; however, it is not known how ER homeostasis and signaling through the UPR sensors impact these diverse ER functions. ATF6α is a negative regulator of gluconeogenesis under conditions of acute ER stress by its inhibitory interaction with the transcription factor CREB regulated transcription coactivator 2 (CRTC2) [142]. The ER membrane-localized hepatocyte-specific zIP-transcription factor CREBH (cyclic-AMP-responsive-element-binding protein H) is cleaved by RIP upon ER stress, similarly to ATF6α [80,161]. Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4α (HNF4α), a nuclear hormone receptor, essential for differentiated hepatocyte function, regulates CrebH expression in mouse liver, raising the possibility that HNF4α via CREBH regulates the acute phase response (APR) [85]. CrebH induces expression of APR genes upon ER stress and is required for the expression of serum amyloid P-component (SAP), and C-reactive protein (CRP), as well as the ERAD component Herp. Furthermore, it heterodimerizes with ATF6α, forming a more potent transcription factor with regard to SAP and CRP transcription, underscoring the link between the UPR and APR upon ER stress. CREBH is also regulated by nutrient availability and regulates hepatic gluconeogenesis inde-
dependent of the UPR [74]. Hepcidin, an iron regulatory hormone and an APR protein, is also induced by CREBH upon ER stress [137]. In addition to CREBH in the liver, ER dysfunction is linked to inflammatory responses in other tissues, as discussed earlier. The role of ER stress-induced inflammation in liver injury is not yet fully determined.

Emerging is the central role of the ER in regulation of lipid metabolism in hepatocytes. Recent studies using genetic or dietary models of insulin resistance and fatty liver have demonstrated a key interconnectedness between hepatic steatosis and ER stress (vide infra), as well as the physiological role of the UPR sensors in lipid homeostasis. Basal IRE1α activation in mouse liver, as well as tunicamycin-challenged activation, was subjected to regulation by the circadian rhythm [24]. The basal IRE1α activation rhythm was linked to the circadian regulation of lipid homeostasis. Several distinct enzymatic lipogenic pathways are compartmentalized in the ER. These include the fatty acid elongation machinery, cholesterol biosynthesis, complex lipid biosynthesis, and assembly of VLDL particles. These processes are transcriptionally regulated by the transcription factors SREBP (sterol regulatory element-binding protein)-1α, -1c and -2 [14]. Translation attenuation in ER-stressed cells decreases levels of the protein Insig-1, thus releasing the cholesterol-sensing adaptor protein SCAP (SREBP cleavage activating protein) and SREBP (-1a and -2) from inhibitory binding. This leads to the translocation of SREBPs to the Golgi, followed by regulated intramembrane proteolysis by S1P, and S2P to generate the active transcription factors [72]. There is some evidence from in vitro studies that ER cholesterol accumulation during ER stress also contributes to the activation SREBP2 [22]. Over expression of the ER chaperone BiP in obese ob/ob mice led to amelioration of ER stress in the liver [61]. This was associated with inhibition of SREBP-1c activation, improved insulin sensitivity, and reduced steatosis. ER stress is also linked to lipid homeostasis [119]. Tunicamycin treatment leads to down regulation of transcription factors and pathways involved in lipid synthesis. In the absence of any of the individual adaptors of the UPR, steatosis develops in the tunicamycin-stressed livers, and is associated with ongoing ER stress, prolonged upregulation of C/EBP expression, and inhibition of metabolic master regulators. Liver specific deletion of Xbp1 reduces serum lipids in mice and decreases de novo lipogenesis in the liver [71]. Future studies should elucidate how ER stress impacts lipid assembly and trafficking from the ER and how chronic physiological ER stress leads to fatty liver.

ER stress has been observed in a variety of liver diseases (Key Points Box 5). Some of these observations offer mechanistic insights, and present potential therapeutic targets. Whereas other associations indicate that new hypotheses are required to test the role of the UPR in liver disease. Stimuli that injure the liver can activate multiple intracellular stress responses, such as direct lysosomal damage, mitochondrial permeabilization, oxidative stress, and inflammatory responses. An association of these responses with ER dysfunction is being increasingly recognized. How these intracellular stress responses and inflammatory responses interact, cooperatively or competitively, in the pathogenesis of liver injury is not well defined. In one model, it is possible that these pathways culminate on apoptotic cascades, though it is more likely that their interactions are more complex. What is known of the role of the UPR in specific liver diseases is discussed in the forthcoming sections (Fig. 3).
codon is a liver-specific transcriptional inhibitor protein (LIP) [27]. When eIF2α is phosphorylated, initiation at the AUG codon encoding LAP is favored [15]. Impaired nuclear translocation of sXBP1 in the leptin deficient ob/ob mouse due to loss of heterodimerization between the regulatory subunits of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) and sXBP1, which facilitates its nuclear translocation, resulted in a failure to induce chaperones and resolve ER stress in the liver [105]. Furthermore, mice deficient in the p85 regulatory subunit of PI3K in a liver-specific manner displayed blunted activation of IRE1α and ATF6α [146], pointing toward a multilevel interaction between PI3K and the UPR in the liver. Mice mutated for the ER secretory pathway protein Sec61α demonstrated defects in the liver and pancreatic β-cells [83]. These mice developed hepatic steatosis, progressive liver injury with fibrosis, and eventual cirrhosis upon challenge with a high fat diet. Correction of the β-cell defect by transgenic restoration of the Sec61α gene in the pancreas did not correct the hepatic defect. Sec61α null mice fed regular chow, thus unchallenged by a high fat diet, also demonstrated ER distension in hepatocytes and enhanced expression of BiP and CHOP mRNA in liver, indicative of ongoing ER stress. BI-1 expression in the ER was reduced in genetic and dietary mouse models of NAFLD. BI-1 over expression in hepatocytes of obese leptin deficient ob/ob or leptin receptor deficient db/db mice restored insulin sensitivity by inhibiting gluconeogenesis [4]. However, BI-1 over expression also inhibited IRE1α activity and worsened hepatic steatosis and lowered serum cholesterol and triglycerides. Thus genetic obesity is associated with an impaired UPR and persistent ER stress in the

**Fig. 3. ER dysfunction in liver disease.** Perturbations in ER homeostasis leading to dysfunction and activation of some of the UPR sensors occur in several liver diseases. Depicted herein are the stimuli that can lead to ER dysfunction. The mechanisms for some of these includes generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) leading to oxidative stress, altered membrane lipid composition, hyperhomocysteinemia (HHC) with subsequent protein N-homocysteinylation, formation of protein aggregates, or in some instances are unknown (depicted with an asterisk *). It is likely that additional mediators exist that are yet to be characterized. In hepatocytes, ER dysfunction leads to many different responses. The UPR is activated to restore ER homeostasis. Steatosis occurs in the liver following acute ER stress, mediated by the lipid-regulatory transcription factors sterol regulatory element-binding protein (SREBP)-1c and 2, as well as dysregulation of VLDL assembly and secretion. Inflammatory response cascades are activated in both acute and chronic liver diseases. In alpha-1 antitrypsin (AAT) deficiency the activation of nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) occurs downstream of perturbations of the ER. Chronic viral hepatitis (hepatitis C virus HCV, hepatitis B virus HBV) is also associated with ER dysfunction. Sustained ER stress leads to apoptosis which may be mediated by C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP), altered Ca2+ homeostasis, and premature resumption of mRNA translation.
liver, and impairment in any single branch of the UPR in the setting of a metabolic challenge with high fat feeding worsens hepatic steatosis and liver injury.

Apoptosis and inflammation are key features of progressive NASH, and are both linked to the UPR as well. Apoptosis can be induced by free fatty acids, and this is a possible mechanism in the pathogenesis of NASH [88]. The exact mechanisms by which free fatty acids induce apoptosis of steatotic hepatocytes are not fully defined. Long chain free fatty acids can activate the UPR in several cell types, including hepatocytes [144]. Palmitic acid induces expression of CHOP in hepatocyte cell lines, and cells deficient in CHOP expression are protected from palmitate-induced apoptosis [17,108]. Expression of the proapoptotic protein PUMA requires CHOP [17]. Metformin inhibits palmitic acid-induced ER stress and apoptosis [65]. Individual fatty acids may be important in their ability to induce the UPR or mitigate it, as mice compromised in their ability to synthesize mono-unsaturated fatty acids due to a deletion of stearoyl-CoA desaturase-1 (Scd1) exhibit activation of the UPR in their livers due to a deficiency of mono-unsaturated fatty acids [36]. Free fatty acid composition of triacylglycerol (TAG), the predominant lipid class, and diacylglycerol (DAG) in patients with NAFLD and NASH, demonstrated a trend for higher palmitic acid and oleic acid with a concomitant decrease in polyunsaturated fatty acids [109]. Hepatic free cholesterol increased progressively in patients, from NAFLD to NASH; however, cholesterol esters were unchanged. Phosphatidylcholine was depleted as well. CD154, a platelet-derived inflammatory mediator, promotes XBP1 splicing, presumably enhancing ER stress adaptation, and reduces cell death upon oleic acid challenge in vitro (Villeneuve et al. Hepatology, accepted manuscript online). Furthermore, upon exposure to a high olive oil diet, CD154 null mice demonstrated decreased VLDL secretion and enhanced steatosis. This suggests the intriguing possibility that an inflammatory mediator promotes adaptation to ER stress, thus abrogating hepatic steatosis. Thus, patients with NAFLD and NASH demonstrate a constellation of findings, including apoptosis, inflammation, UPR activation, and altered lipid composition. Several mechanistic questions are generated from these observations. What is the role of protein misfolding in ER stress-associated steatosis? Does UPR occur before the onset of steatosis, and in fact is steatosis a consequence of the UPR? What is the role of each lipid class? Is free fatty acid induced apoptosis related to unresolved UPR? What is the contribution of cholesterol?

Protein conformational diseases

Mutations that lead to protein misfolding can result in the aggregation of abnormal proteins leading to their accumulation within the ER lumen. Some monogenic inherited dominant disorders result from mutations in single alleles that cause protein misfolding. The misfolded and/or aggregated protein can have a dominant-negative effect due to gain of a toxic function. In contrast, autosomal recessive genetic diseases frequently result from a loss of protein function. Alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency is a protein conformational disorder affecting the liver and lungs. In alpha-1 antitrypsin (AAT) deficiency, proteins encoded by mutated alleles are unable to undergo proper folding and accumulate in the ER of hepatocytes. The Z mutant allele PiZ occurs most frequently in patients with liver disease due to AAT deficiency. The mutant protein is aggregation prone, accumulates in the ER of hepatocytes, and leads to progressive liver injury, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma. The accumulation of mutant protein in hepatocytes does not activate the UPR, and the ER remains sensitive to other UPR activating agents, thus the failure of UPR activation may be protective in hepatocytes. However, accumulation of PiZZ mutant protein in peripheral blood monocytes from human subjects with AAT deficiency activates the UPR, and is associated with enhanced production of inflammatory cytokines. This may play a role in the pathogenesis of both lung and liver disease [16]. In a cell culture system the expression of an ER chaperone SepS1 reduced PiZZ-induced ER stress [64]. Protein aggregates in the liver are removed by autophagy in AAT deficiency, and perhaps inhibition of autophagy might activate the UPR due to even greater accumulation of aggregated proteins. Alternatively, rapamycin treatment to activate autophagy could have a beneficial effect in individuals with PiZZ AAT. This was recently demonstrated in cell culture and mouse models using carbamazepine to enhance autophagy [48]. The activation of NF-κB with associated inflammatory gene regulation occurs due to ER accumulation of mutant proteins, and leads to the characteristic chronic inflammatory liver disease and confers cancer risk. Thus in AAT deficiency the ER activates inflammatory signals via NF-κB, without overt UPR activation, and it remains to be seen if increasing functional capacity of the ER in this condition, would mitigate inflammation and liver disease. In addition, other liver protein conformational diseases that involve mutations leading to protein misfolding such as hereditary hemochromatosis and progressive familiar intrahepatic cholestasis type II (PFIC II), are characterized by the accumulation of mutant proteins in the ER with subsequent loss of protein function [69,139]. Over expression of the mutant HFE C282Y protein in cell culture activated the UPR. However, the exact role of the UPR in disease pathogenesis is not defined. These diseases may also benefit from therapies that improve protein folding and secretion.

Cholestasis

Toxic hydrophobic bile acids are retained in the liver in cholestasis. One such bile acid sodium deoxycholate induces the expression of the UPR genes BiP and CHOP in vitro [8]. Hepatocytes from CHOP deficient mice exhibit reduced cell death when treated with a toxic bile acid glycochenodeoxycholic acid (GDCA) [130]. Utilizing the bile-duct-ligated mouse model of cholestasis it was demonstrated that CHOP protected hepatocytes from cell death, and CHOP null mice had less severe liver injury and fibrosis [130]. In a genetic model of intrahepatic cholestasis, the accumulation of bile acids in the liver was associated with ER stress [11]. Furthermore, the transgenic expression of the mutant Z allele of alpha-1 antitrypsin in the bile duct-ligated mice increased liver injury and fibrosis [92]. UPR, as assessed by mRNA expression of CHOP and BiP, was comparable to the transgenic non-ligated control group. Importantly, this suggests that the accumulation of a misfolded protein in the liver can sensitize to other injurious stimuli, possibly indicating the presence of genetic alterations that disrupt protein folding in the ER, and thus may contribute to liver injury.

Chronic viral hepatitis

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) replication in infected host cells is dependent on several viral proteins that are folded in the ER, and synthesized in ribonucleoprotein complexes in association with the
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ER [54]. Over expression of the nonstructural 4B (NS4B) protein in hepatocyte cell lines activated the UPR and induced ROS [78]. In a yeast two-hybrid assay NS4B interacted with both ATF6β and ATF6α [132]. In an HCV replicon model ER stress was induced by viral replication and further sensitized cells to oxidative stress [21]. HCV envelope proteins, E1 and E2, when over expressed, form disulfide aggregates, and induce ER stress and the expression of ATF4 and CHOP as well as XBP1 splicing [19]. Expression of HCV core protein causes ER stress, ER calcium depletion, and apoptosis [7]. In cell culture systems it has also been shown that E2 protein can act as a pseudosubstrate for PERK, thus preventing eIF2α phosphorylation and subsequent translation attenuation in infected cells [106]. In liver biopsy samples from patients with chronic hepatitis C, clusters of hepatocytes with abnormally dilated ER have been observed, suggesting ER stress [1]. The presence of ground glass hepatocytes is a characteristic feature of chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection. These ground glass inclusions consist of accumulated surface antigen within the ER lumen though it is not known if this accumulation plays a pathologic role [129]. Mutations can be detected in the ER accumulated surface antigen leading to the possibility that the mutated proteins are entrapped in the ER due to their inability to undergo proper folding. HBV proteins also utilize the ER protein folding machinery and the cellular secretory pathway [2]. The UPR can be activated by hepatitis B x protein (HBx) in cell culture system over expressing the HBx protein [76]. Thus, HCV viral replication is intimately linked to the ER [93]. HCV viral proteins both activate and subvert the ER stress response; how this occurs temporally during the course of a chronic infection, and the role it plays in promoting or ameliorating apoptosis or acute stress response of infected hepatocytes is not known. Steatosis, a common feature of chronic HCV infection could also be related to ER stress. Similarly, the pathogenic role of the UPR in hepatitis B infection if any, is not well defined.

Hyperhomocysteinemia

Hyperhomocysteinemia is caused by mutations in the enzymes involved in homocysteine metabolism or nutritional deficiencies of B vitamins that function as cofactors for these enzymes [116,133]. Elevated homocysteine levels induce ER stress in many cell types, including hepatocytes and vascular endothelial cell [145,160]. Activation of the UPR in this disorder is associated with activation of the lipogenic transcription factor SREBP-1, increased cholesterol and triglyceride accumulation in the liver. The later occurs due to enhanced biosynthesis, and is not due to decreased VLDL export from the liver. Cells loaded with homocysteine in vitro recapitulate the cholesterol accumulation and enhanced secretion seen in vivo [97]. Along with activation of the UPR, hyperhomocysteinemia also leads to oxidative injury in the liver with accumulation of protein carbonyls, MDA and 4-HNE [116]. Homocysteine is elevated in the ethanol-fed mouse model of liver injury. By administering betaine the metabolism of homocysteine to methionine is enhanced and reduces ER stress and liver injury. Liver and plasma N-homocysteinylated proteins are elevated in mice with hyperhomocysteinemia [57]. The accumulation of N-homocysteinylated proteins in the ER could prevent their folding, and thus activate the UPR. Thus, in hyperhomocysteinemia, the associated steatosis occurs secondary to the activation of the UPR; and this in turn can be induced by accumulation of N-homocysteinylated proteins and oxidative stress.

Alcohol-induced liver injury

Ethanol-fed murine models have been utilized to understand the molecular mediators and cellular responses that mediate alcohol-induced liver injury. With respect to the ER, apart from ethanol metabolism via cytochrome P450 2E1 (Cyp2E1), ethanol itself induces this enzyme, favoring the formation of reactive oxygen species and leading to a state of oxidative stress. Gene expression profiling of ethanol-fed mice demonstrated enhanced mRNA abundance of ER chaperones, BiP and Grp 94, CHOP as well as caspase 12, as early as 2 weeks and persisted up to 6 weeks of ethanol feeding [58]. On a protein level, BiP was minimally induced, CHOP induction was significant, and procaspase 12 was processed as well. Homocysteine levels were elevated in ethanol-fed mice, and treating with betaine lowered homocysteine levels and ameliorated the UPR. Utilizing CHOP null mice, ethanol feeding demonstrated that hepatocyte apoptosis in this model was CHOP-dependent [59]. Both CHOP null and wildtype mice developed comparable levels of steatosis and ER stress. This suggests a role for CHOP-mediated hepatocyte apoptosis in ethanol-induced liver injury. In addition, in micropigs fed alcohol, liver steatosis, and apoptosis were associated with increased mRNA levels of CYP2E1, GRP78, SREBP-1c, increased protein levels of CYP2E1, GRP78, nuclear SREBP-1c, and activated caspase 12 [32]. While many different pathways have been implicated in ethanol-induced steatosis [159], the occurrence of ER stress in ethanol-fed mice suggests that activation of the UPR may also mediate this process.

Ischemia–reperfusion

Ischemia–reperfusion (IR) injury in the liver activates many cellular cascades, including inflammatory response signaling, oxidative stress, increased intracellular Ca2+, apoptotic cascades, calpains, and ER stress [120]. Using hemorrhagic shock followed by reperfusion in rats, XBP1 splicing was detected early (at 40 min) following reperfusion and persisted through 18 h following reperfusion, indicative of ongoing ER stress [30]. In human liver samples from ischemic and reperfused livers, biphasic activation of UPR pathways was observed [31]. IRE1α was activated during the ischemic phase, and upon reperfusion this was further increased. PERK and eIF2α phosphorylation decreased with ischemia within hepatocytes and were enhanced with reperfusion primarily in sinusoidal endothelial cells. Though the exact role of BI-1 in ER stress-induced apoptosis is unclear, BI-1 is induced by ischemia–reperfusion. Mice lacking BI-1 developed more severe liver injury, XBP1 splicing, ATF6α cleavage, and CHOP expression, though BiP induction was similar [5]. Thus, the IRE1α branch of the UPR is activated by IR-associated ER dysfunction based on these studies, though its role in ER dysfunction in ischemia–reperfusion injury is not fully defined, IRE1α could potentially play a role in the inflammatory response as well as cell death.

Acute toxins

There is evidence that the UPR is activated by acute insults to the liver. Toxins such as such as N,N-dimethylformamide and carbon
tetrachloride induce ER stress and activate the UPR [67]. Acetaminophen depletes ER glutathione content inducing redox stress, eIF2α phosphorylation, and JNK phosphorylation in mice [95]. In acute or chronic iron loading in rats, the UPR is activated in the liver and kidney [84]. Using a reporter mouse, acute heavy metal toxicity was shown to reversibly activate the UPR in liver and kidney, the target organs for heavy metal toxicity [49]. Clinically used drugs such as Bortezomib, also activate the UPR [28]. In the murine liver this drug induces ER stress and also leads to hepatic steatosis [119]. Thus, ER stress maybe the unrecognized mechanism underlying steatosis that is common to the toxicity of several drugs.

Heptocellular carcinoma

The UPR is activated in cancers arising from diverse tissues, and is implicated in cancer biology at multiple steps. Cancers are characterized by high proliferative rates that are dependent on increased protein synthesis. Activation of p38 mitogen activated protein kinase is associated with PERK-eIF2α mediated translocation arrest, leading to growth arrest, and dormancy promoting resistance to conventional chemotherapy [112]. The UPR also promotes adaptation to hypoxia, thus promoting survival of cancer cells under hypoxic conditions [34]. XBP1 transcription and splicing are induced by hypoxia and are essential for tumor formation [117]. BiP/GRP78 plays a role in carcinogenesis [39]. With regard to hepatocellular carcinoma, BiP was found to be constitutively over expressed in tumor samples from patients in comparison with surrounding non-tumorous tissue [125]. BiP expression correlated with XBP1 splicing, and both BiP and nuclear ATF6α levels correlated with the histologic grade of the tumors. Manipulation of the UPR has been studied as primary or adjuvant chemotherapy as well. Indeed, several chemotherapeutic agents, e.g., Bortezomib, lead to ER stress-induced apoptosis [38]. Liver cancer-derived cell lines are sensitive to tunicamycin-induced apoptosis, and this may be of clinical relevance as drugs that selectively induce ER stress become available [20].

Therapeutic interventions

Several ER modulating therapeutic interventions are possible, such as, improving protein folding by the use of chemical chaperones, and the use of antioxidants to ameliorate ROS production from oxidative protein folding. This too, is an area of intense research. Some preliminary studies support the use of ER stress modulating agents. In mice undergoing ischemia–reperfusion injury the chemical chaperone sodium 4-phenylbutyrate (4PBA) ameliorated ER stress and associated caspase 12 activation and liver injury [138]. It also improved survival. The use of 4PBA and taurine-conjugated ursodeoxycholic acid in the ob/ob mouse improved insulin sensitivity, and ameliorated the UPR [104]. This was associated with reversal of fatty liver and reduction in transaminases. These chemical chaperones activate multiple cellular pathways and there is no direct evidence that improved protein folding is the mechanism for the observed improvement in fatty liver. Utilizing ER stress-activated indicator (ERAI) transgenic mice it was demonstrated that pioglitazone treatment reduced ER stress followed by improvements in insulin sensitivity and hepatic steatosis [158]. The liver is being explored as a therapeutic site for gene therapy, utilizing hepatocytes for the synthesis and secretion of proteins, most of which undergo oxidative folding in the ER with the potential for generation of excess ROS. Over expression of an aggregation prone mutant of coagulation factor VIII led to activation of the UPR and steatosis [119]. On the other hand, even over expressed wild type VIII led to oxidative stress in the liver with associated protein misfolding, UPR activation, and apoptosis [89]. Furthermore, the use of antioxidants ameliorated oxidative stress and the UPR along with improvement in protein secretion. As CHOP deletion is protective in models of diabetes and protein over expression, partially by reduction of ROS production and preventing apoptosis of stressed cells, CHOP antagonism is a rational target for drug therapy as well [127]. Other interventions that prevent apoptosis emanating from the stressed ER may be of therapeutic potential, by perpetuating the adaptive arm of the UPR. Improved protein folding would be of benefit in disorders of malformed proteins such as alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency. Thus agents that ameliorate ER stress by promoting adaptive UPR signaling or inhibiting ER stress-induced apoptosis offer a therapeutic opportunity.

Conclusions

The UPR is a conserved signaling response limited to IRE1α in yeast, and expanded to include the ATF6α and PERK pathways in higher organisms. The UPR is activated in many acute and chronic liver diseases. Steatosis, a common feature of many liver disorders, may be due to the regulation of lipogenic transcription factors downstream of the UPR. The ER is also a key mediator of the acute stress response, via the transcription factor CREBH. The use of liver-specific genetic rodent models with deletions or over expression of specific components of the UPR has shown that in the absence of the function of any one UPR sensor, ER stress is prolonged, and sensitizes to cell death. Furthermore, improvements in metabolic homeostasis and fatty liver observed with the use of chemical chaperones which reduced ER stress confirm the pathogenic role of the UPR in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Concomitant with the expanding role of the ER in homeostasis and disease, one must look at definitions and paradigms as well. Ideally, the UPR would be assessed by a direct measure of accumulated unfolded proteins. However, in the absence of such a technique the UPR should be assessed by activation of its proximal sensors; IRE1α activation by XBP1 splicing, PERK activation by its own phosphorylation along with eIF2α phosphorylation, and finally ATF6α by its nuclear translocation. When all three are activated, the canonical UPR has occurred. However, what defines ER stress, and what the signatures of ER stress are is not well defined at the moment. What is known is that there are perturbations in ER structure and homeostasis in several disorders. These are associated with the accumulation of chaperones alone, or the isolated activation of one branch of the UPR, or two branches of the UPR, none of which should equal the UPR, and should simply be signaling pathways activated by a perturbed ER. Lastly, a suggestion that in the absence of a consensus definition of the term ER stress and its signature, the specific signaling pathways, and processes that are activated by the perturbed ER be themselves used in place of the term ER stress.
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