Developmental Cell
Article

Cell PRESS

Committed Neuronal Precursors Confer Astrocytic Potential on Residual Neural Precursor Cells

Masakazu Namihira,[1,5] Jun Kohyama,[1] Katsunori Semi,[1] Tsukasa Sanosaka,[1] Benjamin Deneen,[2] Tetsuya Taga,[3,4] and Kinichi Nakashima[1,*]
[1]Laboratory of Molecular Neuroscience, Graduate School of Biological Sciences, Nara Institute of Science and Technology, 8916-5 Takayama, Ikoma, Nara 630-0101, Japan
[2]Division of Biology 216-76, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
[3]Division of Cell Fate Modulation, Institute of Molecular Embryology and Genetics, Kumamoto University, 2-2-1 Honjo, Kumamoto 860-0811, Japan
[4]Department of Stem Cell Regulation, Medical Research Institute, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, 1-5-45, Yushima, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-8510, Japan
[5]Present address: Department of Human Genetics, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA
*Correspondence: kin@bs.naist.jp
DOI 10.1016/j.devcel.2008.12.014

SUMMARY

During midgestation, mammalian neural precursor cells (NPCs) differentiate only into neurons. Generation of astrocytes is prevented at this stage, because astrocyte-specific gene promoters are methylated. How the subsequent switch from suppression to expression of astrocytic genes occurs is unknown. We show in this study that Notch ligands are expressed on committed neuronal precursors and young neurons in mid-gestational telencephalon, and that neighboring Notch-activated NPCs acquire the potential to become astrocytes. Activation of the Notch signaling pathway in midgestational NPCs induces expression of the transcription factor nuclear factor I, which binds to astrocytic gene promoters, resulting in demethylation of astrocyte-specific genes. These findings provide a mechanistic explanation for why neurons come first: committed neuronal precursors and young neurons potentiate remaining NPCs to differentiate into the next cell lineage, astrocytes.

INTRODUCTION

Fetal telencephalic neuroepithelial cell populations in mammalian embryonic brain contain multipotent neural precursor cells (NPCs) that can self-renew and give rise to the three major central nervous system (CNS) cell types—neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes. However, NPCs do not express multipotentiality in early gestation, differentiating only into neurons at midgestation; they gradually begin to display multipotentiality, and differentiate into astrocytes and oligodendrocytes during late gestation (Temple, 2001). The mechanisms driving this stepwise process in the developing brain are poorly understood, although cytokine-induced activation of the janus kinase (JAK)-signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) pathway, and changes in DNA methylation of astrocyte-specific gene promoters, are thought to be intimately involved in the regulation of astrogliogenesis (Fan et al., 2005; He et al., 2005; Takizawa et al., 2001).

Since neurons are produced before NPCs gain the potential to differentiate into astrocytes, pregenerated neurons are strong candidates to confer astrogliogenic potential on NPCs. In this context, it has been suggested that neuron-secreted cardiotrophin (CT)-1, a member of the interleukin (IL)-6 cytokine family that activates the gp130-JAK-STAT pathway, induces astrocytic differentiation of mouse NPCs at embryonic day (E) 13.5 (Barnabe-Heider et al., 2005). These findings do not, however, exclude the possibility that, prior to E13.5, cortical precursors undergo an intrinsic change, such as demethylation of astrocytic gene promoters (Takizawa et al., 2001), that allows them to respond to cytokines.

Notch receptors and their ligands, molecules best known for influencing cell fate decisions through direct cell-cell contact (Louvi and Artavanis-Tsakonas, 2006; Nye and Kopan, 1995; Weinmaster, 1997), participate in a wide variety of biological events, including fate decision of NPCs. Upon ligand binding, the intracellular domain of Notch (NICD) is released from the plasma membrane and translocates into the nucleus, where it converts the CBF1(RBP-J)/Su(H)/LAG1 (CSL) repressor complex into an activator complex. The NICD/CSL1 activator complex targets genes such as *Hes* and *Hesr* (Hes-related protein), which encode basic helix-loop-helix transcriptional regulators that antagonize proneural genes, and thus neurogenesis (Bertrand et al., 2002; Kato et al., 1997). However, it is largely unknown how the Notch signaling pathway is involved in neurogenic-to-gliogenic switching during CNS development.

Recently, it has been reported that nuclear factor I (NFI) A, a member of a family of CCAAT box element-binding transcription factors (Gronostajski, 2000), is both necessary and sufficient to promote glial fate specification in embryonic spinal cord progenitors in vivo (Deneen et al., 2006). Previous studies had shown that adult mice deficient for NFIA or NFIB exhibited

a reduction in cortical glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), a typical marker protein for astrocytes (das Neves et al., 1999; Steele-Perkins et al., 2005), as well as a reduction in the number of midline glia (Shu et al., 2003). It was further shown that E18.5 embryos lacking either NFIA or NFIB displayed a reduction in spinal cord GFAP expression (Deneen et al., 2006), and that misexpression of NFIA or NFIB was sufficient to accelerate GFAP expression in astrocytic precursors by several days in vivo and in vitro. These data indicate that NFIA/B promote the terminal differentiation of astrocytes. Furthermore, *gfap* expression is likely to be directly regulated by NFIA/B, as functional NFI-binding sites have been identified in the promoter (Cebolla and Vallejo, 2006). However, the precise relationships between NFIs and other factors, such as the JAK-STAT and Notch signaling pathways and DNA methylation, in the regulation of astrocyte differentiation of NPCs have not been elucidated.

Many studies have provided us with an integrated view of the gliogenic switch, with multiple extrinsic and intrinsic mechanisms acting in concert to induce gliogenesis when an appropriate number of neurons has been generated. Nevertheless, how promoter methylation changes are induced, and why neurons have to be produced first from NPCs during brain development, remain outstanding questions. In this study, we provide an explanation for the sequential differentiation of NPCs into neurons and then astrocytes through the epigenetic modification during embryonic brain development.

RESULTS

Neurons Confer Astrocyte Differentiation Potential on NPCs via Notch Signal Activation

It has been suggested that neuron-secreted CT-1 induces astrocytic differentiation of mouse NPCs at E13.5. However, CT-1 and leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), which activates the same signaling pathway as CT-1, failed to do so at an earlier stage (E11.5), and did not evoke demethylation of the astrocyte-specific *gfap* gene promoter (Figures 1A and 1D and data not shown). We therefore sought to examine the involvement of cell-to-cell interactions, in addition to that of secreted factors. As a first step, we cocultured E11.5 NPCs with embryonic cortical neurons, and found that they could differentiate into GFAP-positive astrocytes in the presence of LIF (Figures 1B, 1B′, and 1D). Notch signaling is one of the most important mediators of intercellular interaction during CNS development (Louvi and Artavanis-Tsakonas, 2006). Several recent studies have suggested that Notch1 is activated in proliferating NSCs (Tokunaga et al., 2004; Androutsellis-Theotokis et al., 2006; Yoshimatsu et al., 2006), and may play a decisive role in promoting glial development (Grandbarbe et al., 2003). When we performed the same coculture experiment as above, but with a γ-secretase inhibitor (N-[N-(3,5-Difluorophenacetyl-L-Alanyl)]-S-phenylglycine t-butyl ester) to inhibit cleavage of NICD, which is indispensable for Notch signal activation (Androutsellis-Theotokis et al., 2006), astrocytic differentiation was abolished (Figures 1C, 1C′, and 1D). Moreover, ectopic expression of the intracellular-acting Notch signal inhibitor *Dll3* (Ladi et al., 2005) in E11.5 NPCs also resulted in the inhibition of astrocytic differentiation in coculture conditions (see Figure S1 available online). Using the TP1-Venus Notch-activation reporter plasmid (Kohyama et al., 2005), we further confirmed that Notch signaling was indeed activated in NPCs located in close contact with embryonic cortical neurons (Figure S2). These data implicated Notch signaling in the embryonic neuron-induced potentiation of NPCs to differentiate into astrocytes.

Activation of Notch Signal Is Sufficient for Acquisition of Astrocyte Differentiation in NPCs

Next, we sought to determine whether Notch activation is sufficient for astrocytic differentiation of midgestational NPCs. E11.5 NPCs were infected with retroviruses engineered to express either green fluorescent protein (GFP) alone or GFP together with NICD (Takizawa et al., 2003). The following day, LIF was added to the culture, and the cells were incubated for an additional 3 days. In contrast to NPCs infected with control virus, a dramatic induction of GFAP-positive astrocytic differentiation was observed in NICD-expressing NPCs after LIF stimulation (Figures 1F–1G), indicating that the activation of Notch signaling enabled precocious astrocytic differentiation of midgestational NPCs that would otherwise differentiate only into neurons. In the absence of LIF, no GFAP-positive cells were observed in control or NICD-expressing NPCs (data not shown). Thus, although these experiments demonstrated that Notch activation confers astrogliogenic potential on midgestational NPCs, LIF stimulation was still required to induce differentiation of NPCs into GFAP-positive astrocytes.

Since an inverse correlation exists between the potential of NPCs to express *gfap* and the methylation status of the STAT3-binding site within the *gfap* promoter (Fan et al., 2005; Takizawa et al., 2001), we wished to determine whether NICD expression induces demethylation of this site. Four days after virus infection, GFP-positive cells were sorted by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and their genomic DNA was subjected to bisulfite sequencing. In freshly prepared E11.5 NPCs, the STAT3 binding site was highly methylated (Figures 1H and 1I), as has been shown previously (Takizawa et al., 2001). The STAT3 site became slightly and spontaneously demethylated in control virus-infected cells during the 4-day culture. In marked contrast, demethylation was dramatically accelerated in NICD-expressing NPCs (Figures 1H and 1I). Another astrocyte-specific gene (*S100*β) promoter was also demethylated by expression of NICD in these cells (Figure S3). These results confirm that the activation of Notch signaling is sufficient to endow E11.5 NPCs with the ability to differentiate into astrocytes by inducing demethylation of astrocytic gene promoters.

Committed Neuronal Precursors and Young Neurons, Pregenerated from NPCs, Express Notch Ligands

It was previously shown that Notch signaling is activated in cells adjacent to MASH1/NEUROGENIN (NGN)-expressing cells in the fetal ventricular zones (VZs) (Tokunaga et al., 2004), and that NGNs induce expression of the Notch ligand, DELTA LIKE 1 (DLL1), in neuronal precursors (Castro et al., 2006). Thus, to obtain direct evidence for an interaction between NGN-expressing cells and NPCs through Notch signaling in vivo, we examined spatiotemporal patterning of Notch activation and expression of its ligand in the mouse embryonic forebrain. We observed that Notch signal-activated cells existed in the cortical VZ at E11.5



Figure 1. Pregenerated Neurons Potentiate NPCs to Differentiate into Astrocytes via Notch Signal Activation

(A and B) E11.5 NPCs labeled with GFP were cultured alone (A) or with embryonic cortical neurons (B) in the presence of LIF (80 ng/ml) for 4 days.

(C) Coculture as in (B) was performed in the presence of the γ-secretase inhibitor, N-[N-(3,5-Difluorophenacetyl-L-Alanyl)]-S-phenylglycine t-butyl ester (DAPT). After 4 days, the cells in (A)–(C) were stained with antibodies against GFP (green) and GFAP (red). Insets: H33258 nuclear staining of each field. (B′ and C′) β III-tubulin (blue) and H33258 nuclear staining (gray) are superimposed on (B) and (C). Scale bar = 50 μm.

(D) GFAP-positive astrocytes in GFP-positive cells were quantified. Data represent means ± SD (n = 3). Statistical significance was evaluated by one-way ANOVA (**$p < 0.01$).

(E and F) E11.5 NPCs were infected with retroviruses engineered to express GFP alone (E) or GFP together with NICD (F), cultured for 24 hr in the presence of bFGF, and then stimulated with LIF (80 ng/ml) for a further 3 days to induce astrocyte differentiation. The cells in (E) and (F) were stained with antibodies against GFP (green) and GFAP (red). Scale bar = 50 μm.

(G) GFAP-positive astrocytes in GFP control (pMY) and GFP-NICD-expressing cells were quantified. Data are shown as means ± SD. Statistical significance was examined by the Student t test (**$p < 0.01$).

(H) E11.5 NPCs were infected with GFP control (pMY) and GFP-NICD-expressing retroviruses, and were cultured for 4 days with bFGF. After cell sorting based on GFP fluorescence, genomic DNA was extracted from the cells, and the methylation status of the STAT3 binding site and other CpG sites around this sequence in the *gfap* promoter was examined by bisulfite sequencing. "E11.5" indicates the result obtained for freshly prepared NPCs from forebrain at E11.5. Closed and open circles indicate methylated and unmethylated CpG sites, respectively.

(I) Methylation frequency of the CpG site within the STAT3 binding sequence in the *gfap* promoter. Data are shown as means ± SD (n = 3). Statistical significance was examined by the Student t test (*$p < 0.05$).



Figure 2. NGN1-Postive Cells Expressing DLL1 and Notch Signal-Activated Cells Are Mutually Exclusive

(A and B) E11.5 forebrain sections (B) from the region illustrated in (A) were immunostained with antibodies against activated Notch (AcNotch, red) and NGN1 (green). Arrows (AcNotch) and arrowheads (NGN1) indicate representatives of each cell type. Notch activation and NGN1 expression were mutually exclusive in these cells. LV, lateral ventricle. Scale bar = 20 μm.

(C) High-magnification view of boxed area in (B). Scale bar = 10 μm.

(D) E11.5 forebrain sections were stained with antibodies against NGN1 (NGN1, green) and DLL1 (DLL1, red). DLL1 was expressed in NGN1-positive differentiating neurons (arrowheads in [D]–[F] mark representatives). Scale bar = 20 μm.

(E) High-magnification view of boxed area in (D). Scale bar = 10 μm.

(F) Coexpression of DLL1 and NGN1 in these cells was confirmed by three-dimensional digital imaging of a brain section immunostained as in (D). Scale bar = 10 μm.

(G and H) E9.5 forebrain sections (12 μm) were stained with antibodies against activated Notch (AcNotch, red) (G), or NGN1 (green) and DLL1 (red) (H). Scale bar = 50 μm.

(I and J) H33258 staining of nuclei of cells in (G) and (H), respectively. No Notch-activated or NGN1-positive cells were observed in VZ at E9.5. LV, lateral ventricle.

(Figures 2A–2C), but not yet at E9.5 (Figures 2G–2J). These results suggest that the timing of Notch signal activation coincides with the onset of demethylation of the *gfap* promoter STAT3 binding site in vivo. Notably, most of the Notch-activated NPCs appeared to be located adjacent to NGN1-expressing cells, and Notch activation and NGN1 expression were mutually exclusive in these cells (Figures 2A–2C). Since NGN1 is a proneural gene product, the expression of which is downregulated when neurons become mature (Schuurmans et al., 2004), we reasoned that cells expressing NGN1 at this stage are either committed neuronal precursors or neurons at very early stages of maturation (Kawaguchi et al., 2008). Furthermore, DLL1 and another Notch ligand, JAGGED1 (JAG1) (Tokunaga et al., 2004; Xue et al., 1999), were expressed in NGN1-expressing cells (Figures 2D–2F; Figures S4A–S4C), consistent with previous reports that *Dll1* is expressed in migrating committed neuronal daughters (intermediate progenitor and young neurons) (Henrique et al., 1995; Castro et al., 2006; Campos et al., 2001; Yoon et al., 2008; Kawaguchi et al., 2008). In agreement with these observations, we found that a significant number of NGN1-positive cells were also positive for T-box brain gene 2, a marker of intermediate progenitor cells (Figures S5A–S5C). On the other hand, Notch-activated NPCs appeared to be radial glial cells, as judged by their morphology through immunostaining with an anti-Nestin antibody (Figures S5D–S5L). Collectively, these data indicate that committed neuronal precursors and young neurons, pregenerated from NPCs, act as a trigger for activation of Notch signaling in adjacent residual NPCs at midgestation.

It should be noted that, although Notch signaling is activated in NPCs at E11.5 in vivo, these NPCs seemed not yet to have the potential to differentiate into GFAP-positive astrocytes when cultured in vitro (Figures 1A, 1C–1E, and 1G). This may be because Notch signal activation had not been underway for long enough to induce the demethylation of astrocytic gene promoters before the NPCs were transferred to in vitro culture, at which point the cell density became sparse compared with that in the brain, leading to insufficient Notch signal activation for the demethylation under these in vitro conditions.

Notch Activation Is Necessary for Astrocyte Differentiation

We next asked whether the Notch downstream molecule, CSL, is involved in Notch-induced demethylation of astrocytic gene promoters in NPCs. To address this, we used CSL-deficient mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) (Schroeder et al., 2003), since CSL-deficient embryos die at around E9.5 before neurogenesis in the telencephalon. As has been previously shown, mESC-derived NPCs recapitulate the sequential onset of neuronal and glial differentiation observed in vivo in these cultures (Shimozaki et al., 2005). As expected, at early times in suspension culture, mESC NPCs primarily differentiated into neurons under differentiation-culture conditions, even in the presence of LIF for 4 days (Figure 3A). After 2 weeks in suspension, wild-type (WT) mESC NPCs differentiated into GFAP-positive cells in response to LIF (Figure 3A). In CSL-deficient mESC NPCs, however, no astrocytic differentiation induced by LIF was observed, even after 2 weeks in suspension (Figure 3A). Consistent with these results, the hypermethylated status of



Figure 3. Requirement of CSL for Astrocytic Differentiation and Demethylation of *Gfap* Promoter of NPCs

(A) WT or CSL-deficient (CSL KO) mESCs were cultured in serum-free medium without LIF (neural spheroid, mESC-NPC culture) on poly-HEMA-coated dishes to make suspended aggregates. After 4 or 14 days, the aggregates were dissociated, seeded onto ornithine/fibronectin-coated dishes (monolayer culture) with LIF (80 ng/ml), and incubated for 4 days. Cells were stained with antibodies against a neuronal marker, β-III Tubulin (Tuj1, green), and GFAP (red). LIF-induced GFAP-positive astrocyte differentiation was observed in WT, but not in CSL-deficient mESCs, even after 14 days in suspension. Scale bar = 50 μm.

(B) Bisulfite sequencing results for the CpG site within the STAT3 recognition sequence (red) and other CpG sites around this sequence of the *gfap* promoter in WT and CSL-deficient mESC NPCs cultured as in (A). Each cell type was collected after 4 days in monolayer culture to extract genomic DNA. Closed and open circles indicate methylated and unmethylated CpG sites, respectively.

(C) Methylation frequency of the CpG site within the STAT3 binding sequence in the *gfap* promoter. Data are shown as means ± SD (n = 3). Statistical significance was examined by the Student t test (*$p < 0.05$).

(D) E14.5 forebrain sections of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)- (upper panels) or LY411575 (lower panels)-treated embryonic mice were stained with antibodies against activated Notch (AcNotch in left panels, red). Hoechst staining indicates nuclei (right panels, blue). The white dotted line marks the boundary between the intermediate zone and VZ/SVZ in telencephalon. Scale bar = 50 μm.

(E) Bisulfite sequencing results for the CpG site within the STAT3 recognition sequence (red) and other CpG sites around this sequence of the *gfap* promoter in telencephalon of DMSO- or LY411575-treated embryos.

(F) Methylation frequency of the CpG site within the STAT3 binding sequence in the *gfap* promoter. Data are shown as means ± SD (n = 3). Statistical significance was examined by the Student t test (*$p < 0.05$).

(G) E11.5 NPCs were infected with GFP- or GFP-NICD-expressing virus and cultured for 4 days. After sorting of virus-infected cells based on GFP fluorescence, the expression level of each *Dnmt* gene was examined by RT-PCR.

(H) ChIP assay with specific antibodies for respective DNMTs from GFP- and GFP-NICD-expressing retrovirus-infected NPCs, cultured as in Figure 1G. Dissociation of DNMT1 from the *gfap* promoter was observed in response to NICD expression.

the *gfap* promoter STAT3 site was maintained in CSL-deficient mESC NPCs, compared with WT mESC NPCs (Figures 3B and 3C). Recently, it has been reported that CSL-deficient ESCs are defective in neural precursor generation (Lowell et al., 2006). However, we observed that NPCs can arise from CSL-deficient mESCs in our culture conditions, which are based on methods described previously (Shimozaki et al., 2005), as judged by Nestin or βIII-tubulin staining (Figure 3A and data not shown).

To determine whether the activation of Notch signaling is necessary for demethylation of the astrocyte-specific gene promoter in vivo, we administered the γ-secretase inhibitor, LY411575, to pregnant mice from 10.5 to 13.5 days postcoitum (dpc) and examined the activation of Notch signaling, by immunohistochemistry and by monitoring the methylation status of the *gfap* promoter in E14.5 embryonic telencephalon. As expected, the number of Notch signal-activated cells in the VZ of LY411575-treated embryos was significantly lower than that in control mice (Figure 3D). Moreover, many βIII-tubulin-positive neurons were observed in the VZ of LY411575-treated embryos compared with control mice, suggesting that the disruption of Notch signaling in NPCs leads to an overproduction of neurons



Figure 4. NFI Is a Downstream Molecule of Notch Signaling in NPCs

(A) E11.5 NPCs were infected with GFP- (pMY, closed bars) or GFP-NICD-expressing virus (NICD, red bars) and cultured for 4 days. After sorting of virus-infected cells based on GFP fluorescence, the expression level of *Nfia* and *Nfib* mRNAs was examined by real-time RT-PCR. Data are shown as means ± SD (n = 3). Statistical significance was examined by the Student t test (*$p < 0.05$).

(B) Expression level of *Nfia* mRNA in NPCs derived from ES cells cultured as in (A) (14 days) was examined by real-time RT-PCR. Data are shown as means ± SD (N = 3). Statistical significance was examined by the Student t test (**$p < 0.01$).

(C) ChIP assay of E11.5 NPCs with an antibody against CSL. Binding of CSL to a region containing a CSL cognate sequence located ~2 kb upstream of the *Nfia* transcriptional start site (arrow at right) was detected in E11.5 NPCs.

(D) ChIP assay with an anti-NFI antibody from GFP- and GFP-NICD-expressing retrovirus-infected NPCs cultured as in Figure 1G. Binding of NFI to the *gfap* promoter was observed in response to NICD expression.

(Figure S6A). Consistent with this reduction of Notch signal activation, *gfap* promoter methylation was much higher in the treated embryos than in the controls (Figures 3E and 3F). Furthermore, when we purified NPCs from E14.5 embryos of mice expressing an enhanced GFP (EGFP)transgene under the NPC marker *Sox2* gene promoter (D'Amour and Gage, 2003) by FACS sorting, we observed that the *gfap* promoter in cells from LY411575-treated embryos was hypermethylated compared with its status in control mice (Figures S6B and S6C). We conclude from these experiments that the activation of Notch signaling is prerequisite for demethylation of the astrocyte-specific *gfap* promoter both in vitro and in vivo.

Notch Activation Impairs the Association of Maintenance Methyltransferase with the *gfap* Promoter in NPCs

To establish which DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) participate in NICD-induced demethylation of the *gfap* promoter, we next examined the expression levels of one maintenance (*Dnmt1*) and two de novo (*Dnmt3a* and *Dnmt3b*) methyltransferase genes by RT-PCR in control and NICD-expressing E11.5 NPCs. Surprisingly, we found no significant differences in *Dnmt* expression between the two cell populations, although *Dnmt3b* expression decreased slightly in NICD-expressing NPCs (Figure 3G). On the other hand, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays with specific antibodies against the three DNMTs revealed that DNMT1 and DNMT3a associated with the *gfap* promoter in the control NPCs (Figure 3H). DNMT1 dissociated from the promoter when Notch signaling was activated (Figure 3H), however, implying that its dissociation may be in part responsible for the Notch-induced demethylation. Moreover, NICD-induced demethylation of the *gfap* promoter was not observed in the absence of basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), which is essential for proliferation of NPCs. The proliferation rates of control and NICD-expressing virus-infected cells were similar, as judged by bromodeoxyuridine uptake in the presence of bFGF, ruling out the possibility that selective proliferation of NICD-expressing NPCs occurred (data not shown). Notch-induced demethylation of the astrocytic gene promoter is therefore apparently attributable to passive demethylation: maintenance methylation of genomic DNA, following DNA replication and cell division, fails due to DNMT1 dissociation from the promoter.

NFI Acts as a Critical Molecule Downstream of the Notch Signaling Pathway to Potentiate Astrocytic Differentiation of Midgestational NPCs

A recognition sequence for NFI (Gronostajski, 2000), which is known to play an important role in migration and differentiation of astrocyte precursors (Deneen et al., 2006), has been identified in the *gfap* promoter, and is conserved among human, rat, and mouse (Krohn et al., 1999). We thus next examined whether *Nfi*-family gene expression is upregulated by Notch activation, and found that the expression of *Nfia* indeed increased (Figure 4A). Moreover, its expression was reduced markedly in NPCs from CSL-deficient mESCs compared with that in NPCs from WT mESCs (Figure 4B). We also identified a consensus CSL-binding sequence ~2 kb upstream of the *Nfia* transcription start site, and binding of CSL to this region in NPCs was confirmed (Figure 4C). Furthermore, Notch activation led to binding of NFI to the *gfap* promoter (Figure 4D). To determine whether NFIA expression depends on the activation of Notch signaling, we examined NFIA expression by immunohistochemistry in the telencephalon of LY411575-treated embryos. The area of the VZ occupied by NFIA-positive cells was significantly reduced in LY411575-treated embryos (Figure S7), supporting the scenario that NFIA expression is controlled by the activation of Notch signaling in NPCs.

These results implied that NFI is involved in the Notch-induced potentiation of NPCs to differentiate precociously into astrocytes. To test this notion, E11.5 NPCs were infected with



Figure 5. NFI Functions as a Critical Downstream Molecule Mediating Notch Signaling to Potentiate Astrocytic Differentiation of Midgestational NPCs

(A and B) E11.5 NPCs were infected with retroviruses engineered to express GFP alone (A) or GFP together with NFIA (B), cultured for 24 hr in the presence of bFGF, and then stimulated with LIF (80 ng/ml) for a further 3 days to induce astrocytic differentiation. The cells were stained with antibodies against GFP (green) and GFAP (red). Scale bar = 50 μm.

(C) GFAP-positive astrocytes in GFP control (pMY) and GFP-NFIA-expressing (NFIA) cells were quantified. Data are shown as means ± SD. Statistical significance was examined by the Student's t test (**$p < 0.01$).

(D) E11.5 NPCs were infected with GFP control (pMY) and GFP-NFIA-expressing (NFIA) retroviruses, and cultured for 4 days with bFGF. After cell sorting based on GFP fluorescence, genomic DNA was extracted, and the methylation status of the STAT3 binding site in the *gfap* promoter was examined by bisulfite sequencing. Closed and open circles indicate methylated and unmethylated CpG sites, respectively.

(E) Methylation frequency of the CpG site within the STAT3 binding sequence in the *gfap* promoter. Data are shown as means ± SD (N = 3). Statistical significance was examined by the Student t test (*$p < 0.05$).

(F) ChIP assay with a specific antibody for DNMT1 from GFP- and GFP-NFIA-expressing retrovirus-infected NPCs, cultured as in Figure 1G.

(G) E11.5 NPCs were infected with control and DN-NFIA-expressing lentiviruses, and cultured for 4 days with (JAG1-Fc) or without (CTRL) JAG1-Fc in the presence of bFGF. A ChIP assay was performed with a specific antibody for DNMT1 from control (Vehicle) and DN-NFIA-expressing (DN-NFIA) lentivirus-infected NPCs, cultured as in Figure 5F. For quantification, real-time PCR results using specific primers for the *gfap* promoter were indicated as the relative enrichment of DNMT1 compared with NPCs cultured without JAG1-Fc. Data are shown as means ± SD (N = 3). Statistical significance was evaluated by the Student t test (**$p < 0.01$).

retroviruses engineered to express NFIA, and cultured in the presence of LIF. A dramatic induction of GFAP-positive astrocytic differentiation ensued (Figures 5A–5C). As was the case for NICD, GFAP was not expressed in control or NFIA-expressing NPCs in the absence of LIF (data not shown). Furthermore, *gfap* promoter demethylation and DNMT1 dissociation from the promoter were both accelerated in NFIA-expressing NPCs (Figures 5D–5F), as they were in NICD-expressing NPCs. These results prompted us to hypothesize that NFIA is necessary for the Notch-induced dissociation of DNMT1 from the *gfap* promoter. To answer this question, control and dominant-negative NFIA (DN-NFIA)-expressing lentivirus-infected E11.5 NPCs were cultured with JAG1-Fc, a soluble form of the Notch ligand JAG1, for 4 days. We then performed ChIP assays to examine the association of DNMT1 with the *gfap* promoter. In control NPCs, JAG1-Fc treatment led to the dissociation of DNMT1 from the *gfap* promoter, as in the case of NICD expression (Figure 5G). In contrast, we found that dissociation was virtually inhibited in NPCs infected with DN-NFIA-expressing lentiviruses (Figure 5G). Thus, these results indicate that NFIA is prerequisite for the Notch-induced dissociation of DNMT1 from the *gfap* promoter in NPCs. It is noteworthy that a consensus NFI binding site is also present in the promoters of other astrocytic genes, including *S100β*, *aquaporin4*, and *clusterin* (Saadoun et al., 2005; Bachoo et al., 2004) (Figure S8A), and the anticipated binding of NFI to these promoter regions was indeed observed in NICD-expressing NPCs (Figure S8B). Furthermore, demethylation of particular CpG sites within the three promoters was induced in NFIA-expressing NPCs (Figures S9A–S9C). These findings suggest that NFIA acts as a critical molecule downstream of the Notch signaling pathway to potentiate astrocytic differentiation of midgestational NPCs.

NFIA Is Necessary and Sufficient for NPCs to Acquire Astrocytic Potential In Vivo

Finally, we asked whether NFIA indeed plays a critical role in the acquisition of astrocytic potential by NPCs in vivo. To this end, we first stimulated E14.5 NPCs from WT and NFIA-deficient mice with LIF to induce astrocyte differentiation. Since E14.5 NPCs have normally already gained the potential to become



Figure 6. NFIA Is Necessary and Sufficient for the Expression of Astrocytic Potential by NPCs In Vivo

(A and B) NPCs prepared from E14.5 WT (A) or NFIA-deficient (NFIA-KO [B]) mouse telencephalons cultured in the presence of LIF (80 ng/ml) for 4 days to induce astrocytic differentiation. The cells were stained with antibodies against βIII-Tubulin (green) and GFAP (red), and with H33258 to identify nuclei (blue). Scale bar = 50 μm.

(C) GFAP-positive astrocytes in total cells were quantified. Data are shown as means ± SD (n = 3). Statistical significance was evaluated by the Student t test (**$p < 0.01$).

(D) Bisulfite sequencing results for the CpG site within the STAT3 recognition sequence (red) and other CpG sites around this sequence of the *gfap* promoter in telencephalon of WT or NFIA-deficient (NFIA-KO) mouse embryos. Closed and open circles indicate methylated and unmethylated CpG sites, respectively.

(E) Methylation frequency of the CpG site within the STAT3 binding sequence in the *gfap* promoter. Data are shown as means ± SD (n = 3). Statistical significance was examined by the Student t test (*$p < 0.05$).

(F–H) E14.5 forebrain sections of mice expressing GFP (H) and NFIA-GFP (F and G) from plasmids introduced by exo utero electroporation at E11.5 were stained with antibodies against GFP (green) and GFAP (red). Scale bars indicate 50 μm (F) or 20 μm (G and H). (G) High-magnification view of boxed area in (F). Hoechst staining indicates nuclei (blue).

GFAP-positive astrocytes in response to LIF, we observed astrocyte differentiation in the WT NPC culture. In marked contrast, almost no GFAP-positive cells were observed in NFIA-deficient NPCs. Moreover, the *gfap* promoter was significantly more highly methylated in E14.5 NFIA-deficient telencephalons than it was in those of WT litters (Figures 6D and 6E), even though Notch signal was clearly activated in the NFIA-deficient brain (Figure S10). These results indicate that NFIA is indispensable for the Notch signal-induced demethylation of astrocytic gene promoters during brain development.

Using exo utero electroporation, we next examined whether NFIA expression is sufficient for the induction of astrocyte differentiation in the telencephalon. Misexpression of NFIA in E11.5 telencephalon led to precocious generation of GFAP-positive cells at E14.5 (Figures 6F and 6G), indicating that NFIA is sufficient for the production of astrocytes from NPCs in vivo. We suggest that NFIA plays a decisive role in the Notch-induced acquisition of astrocytic potential by NPCs.

DISCUSSION

We have shown in the present study that committed neuronal precursors and young neurons derived from NPCs confer astrocytic differentiation potential on remaining NPCs through Notch signal-induced demethylation of astrocyte-specific gene promoters (Figure 7). The demethylation process is mediated by Notch-induced NFIA, the binding of which to astrocytic gene promoters leads to dissociation of DNMT1 from the promoters. This does not imply that the activation of Notch signaling alone is sufficient for NPCs to differentiate into astrocytes. It potentiates the process, but signals from astrocyte-inducing cytokines are still required to induce differentiation. All members of the IL-6 cytokine family, to which LIF and CT-1 belong, induce GFAP-positive astrocytic differentiation of NPCs by activating STAT1 and/or STAT3 (He et al., 2005; Barnabe-Heider et al., 2005). However, since STAT1 and STAT3 are not capable of binding to methylated cognate sequences (Fan et al., 2005; Takizawa et al., 2001), astrocyte-specific gene promoters must first become demethylated to enable IL-6 cytokines to induce differentiation.

Here, we have shown that committed neuronal precursors and young neurons pregenerated from NPCs express Notch ligands, and provide a feedback signal to Notch-expressing residual NPCs, to acquire astrocyte differentiation potential. In this context, Yoon et al. (2008) have shown recently that the expression of *Dll1* and its critical regulator, *Mindbomb*-1 (*Mib-1*), is restricted to migrating premature neurons and newborn neurons, and that *Mib-1*-expressing neuronal daughters transmit the Notch signal to neighboring NPCs. Moreover, *Mib-1* conditional mutant mice display a complete abrogation of Notch activation, which leads to impairment of NPC maintenance. Together with our results, these data suggest that Notch ligand-expressing, neuronally committed cells are an important cellular source of the Notch signal in development. Such a mechanism would provide an unanticipated level of crosstalk between these different developing cellular populations, and ensure that astrocytes begin to appear only after sufficient numbers of neurons have been generated.

Although Notch signaling clearly enhances astrocyte differentiation, the molecular mechanisms by which it activates glial gene expression have been far from clear. Our results suggest that NFI is one of the downstream target genes of the Notch signaling pathway, and plays a critical role in the Notch-induced



Figure 7. Schematic Representation of Notch Activation-Induced Potentiation of NPCs to Differentiate into Astrocytes and Sequential Changes in the Differentiation Potential of NPCs during Brain Development
At midgestation, an NPC divides asymmetrically and generates a committed neuronal precursor and another NPC (radial glial cells). The committed neuronal precursors and young neurons express Notch ligands and activate Notch signaling in neighboring NPCs, conferring astrocytic differentiation potential on NPCs through NFI expression, which leads to demethylation of astrocyte-specific gene promoters. When the NPCs receive a STAT-activating signal, they differentiate into astrocytes at late gestation. The NPCs eventually become multipotent adult-type NPCs.

acquisition of astrocyte differentiation potential by NPCs. Binding sites for NFI have indeed been identified, not only in the *gfap* promoter, but also in other astrocyte-specific gene promoters (Bachoo et al., 2004; Bisgrove et al., 2000; Gopalan et al., 2006; Saadoun et al., 2005), and *Nfia*$^{-/-}$ mice show reduced expression of these genes (Wong et al., 2007). It will therefore be intriguing to establish the methylation status of these gene promoters in *Nfia* mutant mice.

Since STAT1 and STAT3 are incapable of binding to methylated cognate sequences (Fan et al., 2005; Takizawa et al., 2001), the *gfap* promoter should already be demethylated in such cells as injury-induced reactive astrocytes, which are competent to express GFAP in response to inflammatory cytokines, including the IL-6 family. The existence of different morphological subtypes of astrocytes, such as fibrous and protoplasmic, has long been recognized, and protoplasmic astrocytes are generally GFAP negative (Vaughn and Pease, 1967; Mori and Leblond, 1969; Raff et al., 1984; Raff, 1989). Therefore, it remains unclear whether all astrocyte subtypes derived from NPCs in various brain regions require the Notch-induced demethylation of the *gfap* promoter reported here to become astrocytes.

Although DNA methyltransferases have been well studied biochemically, the molecular mechanism underlying active DNA demethylation is poorly understood, and the existence of DNA-demethylating enzymes is even debatable. A major outstanding question about the stepwise development of NPCs is how DNA methylation status is modulated to endow these precursor populations with glial competency. In this study, we have shown that demethylation of the *gfap* promoter, and dissociation of DNMT1 from the promoter, is caused by the expression of NICD or NFIA in NPCs in a sequential manner. These results suggest that the binding of NFIA to astrocytic gene promoters in Notch-activated NPCs protects the promoters from DNMT1, and hence that NFI plays a critical regulatory role in the epigenetic switch toward astrocytogenesis. We also suggest that demethylation of the *gfap* promoter is attributable to passive, replication-dependent demethylation. It has been reported previously that disruption of *Dnmt1* in NPCs leads to demethylation of astrocytic gene promoters and precocious astrogliogenesis, which suggests that *Dnmt1* is required for the maintenance methylation of astroglial marker genes in NPCs during the early developmental stage (Fan et al., 2005). Furthermore, virus-derived episomal vectors are demethylated at sites where transcription factors bind with high affinity (Hsieh, 1999; Lin et al., 2000), and replication-dependent demethylation of specific sites in *Xenopus* embryos is strongly stimulated by the transactivation domain of the triggering transcription factor (Matsuo et al., 1998). Thus, it is reasonable to hypothesize that passive demethylation is attributable to transcription factors that mask their cognate sites from DNMT1 action, although these and our findings do not yet permit a precise definition of the mechanism.

In summary, our present study offers a plausible explanation for the transitions that occur during the stepwise process of NPC fate specification, and we have suggested how committed neuronal precursors and young neurons might "unlock" nearby NPCs and allow them to differentiate into the next lineage: astrocytes. The activation of Notch signaling in midgestational NPCs induces demethylation of astrocyte-specific genes. Notch ligands are expressed in committed neuronal precursors and young neurons, and Notch-activated NPCs undergo promoter demethylation and acquire the ability to become astrocytes in response to astrocyte-inducing cytokines.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Culture

E11.5 NPCs and embryonic neurons were cultured as described previously (Takizawa et al., 2001). Briefly, E14.5 cortical cells were cultured with bFGF and cytosine arabinoside for 4 days in the eight well chamber slides (4×10^4 cells per well). E11.5 NPCs labeled with EGFP (4×10^4 cells per well) were cultured with the embryonic neurons prepared as above, or alone (8×10^4 cells per well) in the chamber slides. Culturing of WT and CSL-deficient mESCs and induction of mESC NPCs were conducted as described previously (Shimozaki et al., 2005). To activate the Notch signaling pathway in Figure 5G, we used JAG1-Fc (500 ng/ml; R&D Systems).

Plasmids

To express NICD (Takizawa et al., 2003) and NFIA (Deneen et al., 2006), we used the retroviral vector pMY-IRES-GFP (Kitamura et al., 2003), which contains an IRES-GFP cassette that allows identification of transduced cells.

As DN-NFIA, we used the DNA binding domain of NFIA (NFIA-DBD) cloned by PCR from mNFIA cDNA. The NFIA-DBD was cloned into the lentiviral vector (Lois et al., 2002).

Immunostaining

All antibodies for immunostaining in this study and the procedures are descried in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

LY411575 γ-Secretase Inhibitor Treatment

Pregnant mice were orally dosed with either 1 mg/kg LY411575 (Hyde et al., 2006) or vehicle (dimethyl sulfoxide in sunflower oil) once a day from 10.5 to 13.5 dpc. Twenty-four hours after the last injection at 13.5 dpc, the embryos at E14.5 were obtained for subsequent immunohistochemical analyses.

Bisulfite Sequencing

Cells expressing GFP alone, or GFP together with NICD or NFIA, were isolated by FACSVantage (BD Biosciences), and their genomic DNAs were then extracted. Bisulfite genomic sequencing was performed essentially, as previously described (Takizawa et al., 2001). Specific DNA fragments were amplified by PCR using primers described previously (Takizawa et al., 2001). The PCR products were cloned into pT7Blue vector (Novagen), and 10–16 clones randomly picked from each of three independent PCR amplifications were sequenced.

ChIP Assay

ChIP assays were performed as described previously (Takizawa et al., 2001). Coimmunoprecipitated DNA was used as a template for PCR with primers, the sequences of which are available upon request. Antibodies used for the ChIP assay were mouse anti-CSL (Institute of Immunology) and rabbit anti-NFI (Santa Cruz Biotechonoly), -DNMT1, -DNMT3a, and -DNMT3b (Abcam).

In Vivo Electroporation

Embryonic exo utero surgery and electroporation were performed as described previously (Muneoka et al., 1986; Saito and Nakatsuji, 2001). DNA solutions (pMYs or pMYs-*Nfia*, 2 mg/ml in PBS containing FAST Green) were injected into the lateral ventricle of E11.5 telencephalons. Electronic pulses of 28 V (50 ms) were charged six times at 950-ms intervals using a square-pulse electroporator (CUY21EDIT; Nepa Gene Company).

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

Supplemental Data include Supplemental Experimental Procedures and ten figures and can be found with this article online at http://www.cell.com/developmental-cell/supplemental/S1534-5807(09)00002-1/.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank T. Honjo (Kyoto University) for CSL-deficient ES cells, Y.E. Sun (University of California, Los Angeles) for *Dll3* cDNA, T. Kitamura (University of Tokyo) for pMY vector and Plat-E cells, and F.H. Gage (Salk Institute) for the SOX2-EGFP mouse. We appreciate Y. Bessho and T. Matsui for valuable discussions. We wish to thank the members of our laboratories, in particular I. Nobuhisa, for technical suggestions. We also thank I. Smith for helpful comments and critical reading of the manuscript. We are very grateful to M. Ueda for excellent secretarial assistance. Many thanks to N. Namihira for technical help. This work has been supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists, a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on priority areas, the NAIST Global COE Program (Frontier Biosciences: Strategies for Survival and Adaptation in a Changing Global Environment), Kumamoto University COE Program (Cell Fate Regulation Research and Education Unit) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology (MEXT) of Japan, by CREST from Japan Science and Technology Agency, and by the Nakajima Foundation and the Uehara Memorial Foundation.

Received: June 30, 2008
Revised: December 1, 2008
Accepted: December 30, 2008
Published: February 16, 2009

REFERENCES

Androutsellis-Theotokis, A., Leker, R.R., Soldner, F., Hoeppner, D.J., Ravin, R., Poser, S.W., Rueger, M.A., Bae, S.K., Kittappa, R., and McKay, R.D. (2006). Notch signalling regulates stem cell numbers in vitro and in vivo. Nature *442*, 823–826.

Bachoo, R.M., Kim, R.S., Ligon, K.L., Maher, E.A., Brennan, C., Billings, N., Chan, S., Li, C., Rowitch, D.H., Wong, W.H., and DePinho, R.A. (2004). Molecular diversity of astrocytes with implications for neurological disorders. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *101*, 8384–8389.

Barnabe-Heider, F., Wasylnka, J.A., Fernandes, K.J., Porsche, C., Sendtner, M., Kaplan, D.R., and Miller, F.D. (2005). Evidence that embryonic neurons regulate the onset of cortical gliogenesis via cardiotrophin-1. Neuron *48*, 253–265.

Bertrand, N., Castro, D.S., and Guillemot, F. (2002). Proneural genes and the specification of neural cell types. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *3*, 517–530.

Bisgrove, D.A., Monckton, E.A., Packer, M., and Godbout, R. (2000). Regulation of brain fatty acid-binding protein expression by differential phosphorylation of nuclear factor I in malignant glioma cell lines. J. Biol. Chem. *275*, 30668–30676.

Campos, L.S., Duarte, A.J., Branco, T., and Henrique, D. (2001). mDll1 and mDll3 expression in the developing mouse brain: role in the establishment of the early cortex. J. Neurosci. Res. *64*, 590–598.

Castro, D.S., Skowronska-Krawczyk, D., Armant, O., Donaldson, I.J., Parras, C., Hunt, C., Critchley, J.A., Nguyen, L., Gossler, A., Gottgens, B., et al. (2006). Proneural bHLH and Brn proteins coregulate a neurogenic program through cooperative binding to a conserved DNA motif. Dev. Cell *11*, 831–844.

Cebolla, B., and Vallejo, M. (2006). Nuclear factor-I regulates glial fibrillary acidic protein gene expression in astrocytes differentiated from cortical precursor cells. J. Neurochem. *97*, 1057–1070.

das Neves, L., Duchala, C.S., Tolentino-Silva, F., Haxhiu, M.A., Colmenares, C., Macklin, W.B., Campbell, C.E., Butz, K.G., and Gronostajski, R.M. (1999). Disruption of the murine nuclear factor I-A gene (Nfia) results in perinatal lethality, hydrocephalus, and agenesis of the corpus callosum. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *96*, 11946–11951.

D'Amour, K.A., and Gage, F.H. (2003). Genetic and functional differences between multipotent neural and pluripotent embryonic stem cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *100* (*Suppl 1*), 11866–11872.

Deneen, B., Ho, R., Lukaszewicz, A., Hochstim, C.J., Gronostajski, R.M., and Anderson, D.J. (2006). The transcription factor NFIA controls the onset of gliogenesis in the developing spinal cord. Neuron *52*, 953–968.

Fan, G., Martinowich, K., Chin, M.H., He, F., Fouse, S.D., Hutnick, L., Hattori, D., Ge, W., Shen, Y., Wu, H., et al. (2005). DNA methylation controls the timing of astrogliogenesis through regulation of JAK-STAT signaling. Development *132*, 3345–3356.

Gopalan, S.M., Wilczynska, K.M., Konik, B.S., Bryan, L., and Kordula, T. (2006). Nuclear factor-1-X regulates astrocyte-specific expression of the alpha1-antichymotrypsin and glial fibrillary acidic protein genes. J. Biol. Chem. *281*, 13126–13133.

Grandbarbe, L., Bouissac, J., Rand, M., Hrabe de Angelis, M., Artavanis-Tsakonas, S., and Mohier, E. (2003). Delta-Notch signaling controls the generation of neurons/glia from neural stem cells in a stepwise process. Development *130*, 1391–1402.

Gronostajski, R.M. (2000). Roles of the NFI/CTF gene family in transcription and development. Gene *249*, 31–45.

He, F., Ge, W., Martinowich, K., Becker-Catania, S., Coskun, V., Zhu, W., Wu, H., Castro, D., Guillemot, F., Fan, G., et al. (2005). A positive autoregulatory loop of Jak-STAT signaling controls the onset of astrogliogenesis. Nat. Neurosci. *8*, 616–625.

Henrique, D., Adam, J., Myat, A., Chitnis, A., Lewis, J., and Ish-Horowicz, D. (1995). Expression of a delta homologue in prospective neurons in the chick. Nature *375*, 787–790.

Hsieh, C.L. (1999). Evidence that protein binding specifies sites of DNA demethylation. Mol. Cell. Biol. *19*, 46–56.

Hyde, L.A., McHugh, N.A., Chen, J., Zhang, Q., Manfra, D., Nomeir, A.A., Josien, H., Bara, T., Clader, J.W., Zhang, L., et al. (2006). Studies to investigate the in vivo therapeutic window of the gamma-secretase inhibitor N2-[(2S)-2-(3,5-difluorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethanoyl]-N1-[(7S)-5-methyl-6-oxo-6,7-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[b,d]azepin-7-yl]-L-alaninamide (LY411,575) in the CRND8 mouse. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. *319*, 1133–1143.

Kato, H., Taniguchi, Y., Kurooka, H., Minoguchi, S., Sakai, T., Nomura-Okazaki, S., Tamura, K., and Honjo, T. (1997). Involvement of RBP-J in biological functions of mouse Notch1 and its derivatives. Development *124*, 4133–4141.

Kawaguchi, A., Ikawa, T., Kasukawa, T., Ueda, H.R., Kurimoto, K., Saitou, M., and Matsuzaki, F. (2008). Single-cell gene profiling defines differential progenitor subclasses in mammalian neurogenesis. Development *135*, 3113–3124.

Kitamura, T., Koshino, Y., Shibata, F., Oki, T., Nakajima, H., Nosaka, T., and Kumagai, H. (2003). Retrovirus-mediated gene transfer and expression cloning: powerful tools in functional genomics. Exp. Hematol. *31*, 1007–1014.

Kohyama, J., Tokunaga, A., Fujita, Y., Miyoshi, H., Nagai, T., Miyawaki, A., Nakao, K., Matsuzaki, Y., and Okano, H. (2005). Visualization of spatiotemporal activation of Notch signaling: live monitoring and significance in neural development. Dev. Biol. *286*, 311–325.

Krohn, K., Rozovsky, I., Wals, P., Teter, B., Anderson, C.P., and Finch, C.E. (1999). Glial fibrillary acidic protein transcription responses to transforming growth factor-beta1 and interleukin-1beta are mediated by a nuclear factor-1-like site in the near-upstream promoter. J. Neurochem. *72*, 1353–1361.

Ladi, E., Nichols, J.T., Ge, W., Miyamoto, A., Yao, C., Yang, L.T., Boulter, J., Sun, Y.E., Kintner, C., and Weinmaster, G. (2005). The divergent DSL ligand Dll3 does not activate Notch signaling but cell autonomously attenuates signaling induced by other DSL ligands. J. Cell Biol. *170*, 983–992.

Lin, I.G., Tomzynski, T.J., Ou, Q., and Hsieh, C.L. (2000). Modulation of DNA binding protein affinity directly affects target site demethylation. Mol. Cell. Biol. *20*, 2343–2349.

Lois, C., Hong, E.J., Pease, S., Brown, E.J., and Baltimore, D. (2002). Germline transmission and tissue-specific expression of transgenes delivered by lentiviral vectors. Science *295*, 868–872.

Louvi, A., and Artavanis-Tsakonas, S. (2006). Notch signalling in vertebrate neural development. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *7*, 93–102.

Lowell, S., Benchoua, A., Heavey, B., and Smith, A.G. (2006). Notch promotes neural lineage entry by pluripotent embryonic stem cells. PLoS Biol. *4*, e121.

Matsuo, K., Silke, J., Georgiev, O., Marti, P., Giovannini, N., and Rungger, D. (1998). An embryonic demethylation mechanism involving binding of transcription factors to replicating DNA. EMBO J. *17*, 1446–1453.

Mori, S., and Leblond, C.P. (1969). Electron microscopic features and proliferation of astrocytes in the corpus callosum of the rat. J. Comp. Neurol *137*, 197–225.

Muneoka, K., Wanek, N., and Bryant, S.V. (1986). Mouse embryos develop normally exo utero. J. Exp. Zool. *239*, 289–293.

Nye, J.S., and Kopan, R. (1995). Developmental signaling: vertebrate ligands for Notch. Curr. Biol. *5*, 966–969.

Raff, M.C. (1989). Glial cell diversification in the rat optic nerve. Science *243*, 1450–1455.

Raff, M.C., Abney, E.R., and Miller, R.H. (1984). Two glial cell lineages diverge prenatally in rat optic nerve. Dev. Biol. *106*, 53–60.

Saadoun, S., Papadopoulos, M.C., Watanabe, H., Yan, D., Manley, G.T., and Verkman, A.S. (2005). Involvement of aquaporin-4 in astroglial cell migration and glial scar formation. J. Cell Sci. *118*, 5691–5698.

Saito, T., and Nakatsuji, N. (2001). Efficient gene transfer into the embryonic mouse brain using in vivo electroporation. Dev. Biol. *240*, 237–246.

Schroeder, T., Fraser, S.T., Ogawa, M., Nishikawa, S., Oka, C., Bornkamm, G.W., Honjo, T., and Just, U. (2003). Recombination signal sequence-binding protein Jkappa alters mesodermal cell fate decisions by suppressing cardiomyogenesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *100*, 4018–4023.

Schuurmans, C., Armant, O., Nieto, M., Stenman, J.M., Britz, O., Klenin, N., Brown, C., Langevin, L.M., Seibt, J., Tang, H., et al. (2004). Sequential phases of cortical specification involve neurogenin-dependent and -independent pathways. EMBO J. *23*, 2892–2902.

Shimozaki, K., Namihira, M., Nakashima, K., and Taga, T. (2005). Stage- and site-specific DNA demethylation during neural cell development from embryonic stem cells. J. Neurochem. *93*, 432–439.

Shu, T., Butz, K.G., Plachez, C., Gronostajski, R.M., and Richards, L.J. (2003). Abnormal development of forebrain midline glia and commissural projections in Nfia knock-out mice. J. Neurosci. *23*, 203–212.

Steele-Perkins, G., Plachez, C., Butz, K.G., Yang, G., Bachurski, C.J., Kinsman, S.L., Litwack, E.D., Richards, L.J., and Gronostajski, R.M. (2005). The transcription factor gene Nfib is essential for both lung maturation and brain development. Mol. Cell. Biol. *25*, 685–698.

Takizawa, T., Nakashima, K., Namihira, M., Ochiai, W., Uemura, A., Yanagisawa, M., Fujita, N., Nakao, M., and Taga, T. (2001). DNA methylation is a critical cell-intrinsic determinant of astrocyte differentiation in the fetal brain. Dev. Cell *1*, 749–758.

Takizawa, T., Ochiai, W., Nakashima, K., and Taga, T. (2003). Enhanced gene activation by Notch and BMP signaling cross-talk. Nucleic Acids Res. *31*, 5723–5731.

Temple, S. (2001). The development of neural stem cells. Nature *414*, 112–117.

Tokunaga, A., Kohyama, J., Yoshida, T., Nakao, K., Sawamoto, K., and Okano, H. (2004). Mapping spatio-temporal activation of Notch signaling during neurogenesis and gliogenesis in the developing mouse brain. J. Neurochem. *90*, 142–154.

Vaughn, J.E., and Pease, D.C. (1967). Electron microscopy of classically stained astrocytes. J. Comp. Neurol. *131*, 143–154.

Weinmaster, G. (1997). The ins and outs of notch signaling. Mol. Cell. Neurosci. *9*, 91–102.

Wong, Y.W., Schulze, C., Streichert, T., Gronostajski, R.M., Schachner, M., and Tilling, T. (2007). Gene expression analysis of nuclear factor I-A deficient mice indicates delayed brain maturation. Genome Biol. *8*, R72.

Xue, Y., Gao, X., Lindsell, C.E., Norton, C.R., Chang, B., Hicks, C., Gendron-Maguire, M., Rand, E.B., Weinmaster, G., and Gridley, T. (1999). Embryonic lethality and vascular defects in mice lacking the Notch ligand Jagged1. Hum. Mol. Genet. *8*, 723–730.

Yoon, K.J., Koo, B.K., Im, S.K., Jeong, H.W., Ghim, J., Kwon, M.C., Moon, J.S., Miyata, T., and Kong, Y.Y. (2008). Mind bomb 1-expressing intermediate progenitors generate notch signaling to maintain radial glial cells. Neuron *58*, 519–531.

Yoshimatsu, T., Kawaguchi, D., Oishi, K., Takeda, K., Akira, S., Masuyama, N., and Gotoh, Y. (2006). Non-cell-autonomous action of STAT3 in maintenance of neural precursor cells in the mouse neocortex. Development *133*, 2553–2563.