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#### Abstract

A digital search tree (DST) is a fundamental data structure on words that finds various applications from the popular LempelZiv'78 data compression scheme to distributed hash tables. The profile of a DST measures the number of nodes at the same distance from the root; it depends on the number of stored strings and the distance from the root. Most parameters of DST (e.g., depth, height, fillup) can be expressed in terms of the profile. We study here asymptotics of the average profile in a DST built from sequences generated independently by a memoryless source. After representing the average profile by a recurrence, we solve it using a wide range of analytic tools. This analysis is surprisingly demanding but once it is carried out it reveals an unusually intriguing and interesting behavior. The average profile undergoes phase transitions when moving from the root to the longest path: at first it resembles a full tree until it abruptly starts growing polynomially and oscillating in this range. These results are derived by methods of analytic combinatorics such as generating functions, Mellin transform, poissonization and depoissonization, the saddle point method, singularity analysis and uniform asymptotic analysis.
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Fig. 1. A digital search tree built on eight strings $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{8}$ (i.e., $s_{1}=0 \cdots, s_{2}=1 \cdots, s_{3}=01 \cdots, s_{4}=11 \cdots$, etc.) with internal (ovals) and external (squares) nodes, and its profiles.

## 1. Introduction

Digital trees are fundamental data structures on words [14,27]. Among them tries and digital search trees stand out due to myriad of applications ranging from data compression to distributed hash tables [ $14,19,20,27]$. In a digital search tree (DST), the subject of this paper, strings are directly stored in nodes. More precisely, the root contains the first string (or an empty string), and the next string occupies the right or the left child of the root depending on whether its first symbol is " 0 " or " 1 ". The remaining strings are stored in available nodes which are directly attached to nodes already existing in the tree. A digital search tree with $n$ internal nodes is "completed" with $n+1$ external nodes, as shown in Fig. 1. These external nodes can be seen as those positions where the next item is to be stored. The resulting tree is then a complete binary tree with the external nodes as leaves. The search for an available node follows the prefix structure of a new string [14,19].

In this paper, we are concerned with probabilistic properties of the profile defined as the number of nodes at the same distance from the root. Throughout the paper, we write $I_{n, k}$ for the number of nodes at level $k$ when $n$ strings are stored, and $B_{n, k}$ for the number of external nodes at level $k$. We study the profile of the digital search tree built over $n$ binary strings generated by a memoryless source, that is, we assume each string is a binary independently and identically distributed (i.i.d.) sequence with $p$ being the probability of a " 1 " $(0<p<1)$; we also use $q:=1-p \geqslant p$. This simple model may seem too idealized for practical purposes, however, typical behaviors under such a model often hold under more general models such as Markovian or dynamical sources, although the technicalities are usually more involved (see [7,16,27]).

The motivation of studying the profiles is multifold. First, digital search trees are used in various applications ranging from data compression (e.g., Lempel-Ziv'78 data compression scheme ${ }^{3}$ [8]), to telecommunication (e.g., conflict resolution algorithms [27]), to partial matching of multidimensional data, to distributed hash tables [20]. Second, the profile is a fine shape measure closely connected to many other cost measures as further discussed below. Third, not only the analytic problems are mathematically challenging, but the diverse new phenomena they exhibit are highly interesting and unusual.

As mentioned above, several DST parameters can be expressed in terms of the internal profile:
(i) height: the length of the longest path from the root is $H_{n}=\max \left\{j: I_{n, j}>0\right\}$;
(ii) fillup (or saturation) level: the largest full level, or $F_{n}=\max \left\{j: I_{n, j}=2^{j}\right\}$;
(iii) depth: the distance from the root to a randomly selected node; its distribution is given by the expected profile divided by $n,[18]$;
(iv) total path length: the sum of distances between nodes and the root, or equivalently $L_{n}=\sum_{j} j I_{n, j}$.

The average profile is described by an interesting recurrence of the following form

$$
x_{n+1, k}=\sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant n}\binom{n}{j} p^{j}(1-p)^{n-j}\left(x_{j, k-1}+x_{n-j, k-1}\right)
$$

[^1]with suitable initial conditions. We solve it asymptotically for a wide range of $n$ and $k \leqslant n$. This is our main contribution. We accomplish it by first considering the Poisson generating function $\Delta_{k}(z):=$ $e^{-z} \sum_{n} x_{n, k} z^{n} / n!$ that satisfies the following functional-differential equation
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{k+1}^{\prime}(z)+\Delta_{k+1}(z)=\Delta_{k}(p z)+\Delta_{k}(q z) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

with a suitable $\Delta_{0}(z)$. This equation is still not ready for analytic methods, therefore, one applies the Mellin transform, and some additional transformations, leading to the following functional-recurrence equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k+1}(s)-F_{k+1}(s-1)=\left(p^{-s}+q^{-s}\right) F_{k}(s) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for complex $s$. We are able to obtain an explicit solution of this equation by introducing a proper functional operator. Next, when finding the inverse of the Mellin transform we need to deal with an infinite number of saddle points, already observed in [22] for the profile of tries. The final step is to invert asymptotics of the Poisson function $\Delta_{k}(z)$ through the so-called analytic depoissonization [9] to recover asymptotically $x_{n, k}$. The reader is referred to [5,27] for a detailed discussion of the above tools belonging to analytic combinatorics.

Digital trees have been intensively studied for the last thirty years [1,8,10-15,17,18,23,24,26,27]. The quantity closest related to the profile is the typical depth $D_{n}$ that measures the path length from the root to a randomly selected node; it is equal to the ratio of the average profile to the number of nodes. However, all estimations of the depth $[1,14,17,18,24,26,25]$ deal only with the typical depth around most likely value, namely $k=h^{-1} \log n+O$ (1) where $h=-p \log p-q \log q$ is the entropy rate. Analyses of the external and internal profiles of tries have been initiated in Park's thesis and fully analyzed in Park et al. [21,22], while the profile of the digital search trees for unbiased source (i.e., $p=q=1 / 2$ ) has been recently obtained in [13] (see Section 6.3 of Knuth [14] for preliminary studies).

In this paper, we mostly analyze precisely the expected profile of the biased digital search trees and reveal an unusually intriguing and interesting behavior. The average internal profile undergoes phase transitions when moving from the root to the longest path. At first it resembles a full tree until it abruptly starts growing polynomially. Furthermore, the expected profile is oscillating in a range where the profile grows polynomially. These oscillations are due to an infinite number of saddle points. Knowing the expected profile for all values of $k$, we easily obtain (known and unknown) results for the typical depth and width. For example, we shall show an unusual local limit theorem for the typical depth. Furthermore, our results are in accordance with known results on height, and fillup level. We should mention that similar phenomena were observed for tries, as discussed in [22].

The paper is organized as follows. We first present our main results and their consequences. We prove them in two sections. In Section 3 we only consider the symmetric DST (i.e., for unbiased memoryless sources). In Section 4 we deal with the asymmetric DST. This is our main mathematical contribution, where we apply tools of analytic combinatorics such as poissonization, Mellin transform, and saddle point method to first solve the functional equations (1)-(2), and then extract asymptotics of the average profiles.

## 2. Main results

In this section we present our main results. We first derive a general formula for the generating functions of the external and internal profiles. Then we discuss separately the symmetric case (i.e., unbiased memoryless source with $p=0.5$ ), and the asymmetric case (biased memoryless source).

### 2.1. Generating functions

Let $B_{n, k}$ and $I_{n, k}$ denote the (random) number of external and internal nodes, respectively, at level $k$ in a digital search tree built over $n$ strings generated by a memoryless source with parameter
$p \leqslant 1-p:=q$; see Fig. 1. The probability generating function of the external profile, $P_{n, k}(u)=\mathbb{E} u^{B_{n, k}}$, satisfies the following recurrence relation (see [8])

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n+1, k}(u)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{n}\binom{n}{\ell} p^{\ell} q^{n-\ell} P_{\ell, k-1}(u) P_{n-\ell, k-1}(u) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding exponential generating function

$$
G_{k}(x, u)=\sum_{n \geqslant 0} P_{n, k}(u) \frac{x^{n}}{n!}
$$

fulfills the following functional recurrence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G_{k}(x, u)=G_{k-1}(p x, u) G_{k-1}(q x, u) \quad(k \geqslant 1) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions $G_{0}(x, u)=u+e^{x}-1$ and $G_{k}(0, u)=1(k \geqslant 1)$. Similarly, the corresponding generating function for the internal profile

$$
\bar{G}_{k}(x, u)=\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \mathbb{E} u^{I_{n, k}} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}
$$

satisfies the same recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \bar{G}_{k}(x, u)=\bar{G}_{k-1}(p x, u) \bar{G}_{k-1}(q x, u) \quad(k \geqslant 1) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

however, the initial conditions are $\bar{G}_{0}(x, u)=1+u\left(e^{x}-1\right)$ and $\bar{G}_{k}(0, u)=1(k \geqslant 1)$.
We are interested in the expected profiles $\mathbb{E} B_{n, k}$ and $\mathbb{E} I_{n, k}$. By taking derivatives with respect to $u$ and setting $u=1$ we obtain for the exponential generating function

$$
E_{k}(x)=\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \mathbb{E} B_{n, k} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}
$$

the following functional recurrence

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}^{\prime}(x)=e^{q x} E_{k-1}(p x)+e^{p x} E_{k-1}(q x), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial condition $E_{0}(x)=1$ and $E_{k}(0)=0(k \geqslant 1)$. The corresponding generating function for the internal profile

$$
\bar{E}_{k}(x)=\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \mathbb{E} I_{n, k} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}
$$

satisfies recurrence (6), too, however with initial conditions $\bar{E}_{0}(x)=e^{x}-1$ and $\bar{E}_{k}(0)=0(k \geqslant 1)$. Note that (6) is equivalent to the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} B_{n+1, k+1}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{n}\binom{n}{\ell} p^{\ell} q^{n-\ell}\left(\mathbb{E} B_{\ell, k}+\mathbb{E} B_{n-\ell, k}\right) \quad(n, k \geqslant 0) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper we analyze (7) for a wide range of $n$ and $k$ to present exact and asymptotic solutions. We first consider the symmetric case ( $p=q$ ), and then the asymmetric case.

### 2.2. Symmetric case

Let us start with the symmetric case $p=q=\frac{1}{2}$. The corresponding generating functions have simpler structures. Namely,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G_{k}(x, u)=G_{k-1}\left(\frac{x}{2}, u\right)^{2} \quad(k \geqslant 1)
$$

with initial conditions $G_{0}(x, u)=u+e^{x}-1$ and $G_{k}(0, u)=1$ (for $k>0$ ), and

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \bar{G}_{k}(x, u)=\bar{G}_{k-1}\left(\frac{x}{2}, u\right)^{2} \quad(k \geqslant 1)
$$

with the initial conditions $\bar{G}_{0}(x, u)=1+u\left(e^{x}-1\right)$ and $\bar{G}_{k}(0, u)=1$. Thus (6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}^{\prime}(x)=2 e^{x / 2} E_{k-1}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $E_{0}(x)=1$ and $E_{k}(0)=0$ for $k \geqslant 1$ and for the internal profile

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{E}_{k}^{\prime}(x)=2 e^{x / 2} \bar{E}_{k-1}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\bar{E}_{0}(x)=e^{x}-1$ and $\bar{E}_{k}(0)=0$.
In this special case, we can solve explicitly the above functional-differential equations leading to our first result.

Theorem 1. Set $Q_{0}=1$ and

$$
Q_{\ell}=\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{j}}\right) \quad(\ell>0) .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}(x)=2^{k} e^{x} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2^{-\binom{m}{2}}}{Q_{m} Q_{k-m}} e^{-x 2^{m-k}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{E}_{k}(x)=2^{k} e^{x}\left(1-\sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2^{-\binom{m+1}{2}}}{Q_{m} Q_{k-m}} e^{-x 2^{m-k}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} B_{n, k}=2^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2^{-\binom{m}{2}}}{Q_{m} Q_{k-m}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{k-m}}\right)^{n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} I_{n, k}=2^{k}-2^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2^{-\binom{m+1}{2}}}{Q_{m} Q_{k-m}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{k-m}}\right)^{n} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $n$ and $k \leqslant n$.

There are several ways to prove these relations. The simplest way is to use induction (see [3]). It should be noted that the explicit formula (12) for $\mathbb{E} B_{n, k}$ has appeared several times in the literature [17-19,25]. Therefore, we omit here details of the proof.

In Section 3 we establish the asymptotic behavior of the average profiles presented next.

## Theorem 2. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=1-\sum_{m \geqslant 0} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2^{-\binom{m+1}{2}}}{Q_{\infty} Q_{m}} e^{-z 2^{m}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{\infty}=\prod_{j \geqslant 1}\left(1-2^{-j}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} B_{n, k}=2^{k} F^{\prime}\left(n 2^{-k}\right)+F^{\prime \prime}\left(n 2^{-k}\right)+O\left(n 2^{-k}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} I_{n, k}=2^{k} F\left(n 2^{-k}\right)+F^{\prime}\left(n 2^{-k}\right)+O\left(n 2^{-k}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for all $n, k \geqslant 1$.
In particular, if $n 2^{-k} \rightarrow 0$ we can use the following asymptotic expression for the derivatives of $F(z)$ :

$$
F^{(r)}(z) \sim C^{\prime} e^{-\Psi\left(\log _{2} c(z)\right)} c(z)^{r+\frac{1}{2}}(\log c(z))^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\log _{2} \frac{1}{2}-\frac{\log _{2} 2}{2}\left(\log _{2} c(z)\right)^{2}}, \quad \text { as } z \rightarrow 0^{+}
$$

where $C^{\prime}$ is a constant, $\Psi(z)$ a periodic function with period 1 , and $c(z)=\frac{1}{z} \log _{2}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$.
In passing we should point out that a precise asymptotic behavior of the internal profile of the symmetric DST was also recently presented in [13], using a different approach. In [13] the authors analyzed several ranges of $k$ from $k=O(1)$, to $k=\alpha \log n, \alpha>1$, to $k=\Theta(n)$ through methods of applied mathematics and the saddle point approach.

### 2.3. Asymmetric case

The asymmetric case $(p<q)$ is much more involved. In particular, we cannot obtain a simple exact solution for the exponential generating function $E_{k}(x)$. To circumvent this problem, we apply the Poisson transform and the Mellin transform [5,27] to find asymptotic solutions.

Let us start with the external profile. The Poisson transform of $E_{k}(x)$, namely

$$
\Delta_{k}(x)=e^{-x} \sum_{n \geqslant 0} \mathbb{E} B_{n, k} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}=E_{k}(x) e^{-x} \quad(k \geqslant 0)
$$

translates recurrence (6) into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{k}(x)+\Delta_{k}^{\prime}(x)=\Delta_{k-1}(p x)+\Delta_{k-1}(q x) \quad(k \geqslant 1), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions $\Delta_{0}(x)=e^{-x}$ and $\Delta_{k}(0)=0(k \geqslant 1)$. This recurrence can be solved using the Mellin transform discussed next.

The Mellin transform of $\Delta_{k}(x)$ is defined as [5,27]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{k}^{*}(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \Delta_{k}(x) x^{s-1} d x \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By induction it is easy to prove that $\Delta_{k}(x)$ can be represented as a finite linear combination of functions of the form $e^{-p^{\ell} q^{\ell_{2 x}}}$ with $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2} \geqslant 0$ and $0 \leqslant \ell_{1}+\ell_{2} \leqslant k$. Hence, $\Delta_{k}^{*}(s)$ exists for all $s$ with $\Re(s)>0$. Furthermore, $B_{n, k}=0$ for $k>n$. Thus, $E_{k}(x)=O\left(x^{k}\right)$ for $x \rightarrow 0$ which ensures that $\Delta_{k}^{*}(s)$ actually exists for $s$ with $\Re(s)>-k$.

Let us now express $\Delta_{k}^{*}(s)$ as

$$
\Delta_{k}^{*}(s)=\Gamma(s) F_{k}(s),
$$

where $\Gamma(s)$ is the Euler gamma function. In the above, $F_{k}(s)$ is a finite linear combination of functions of the form $p^{-\ell_{1} s} q^{-\ell_{2} s}$ with $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2} \geqslant 0$ and $0 \leqslant \ell_{1}+\ell_{2} \leqslant k$. Thus, $F_{k}(s)$ can be considered an entire function. It is clear that (17) translates into

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k}(s)-F_{k}(s-1)=\left(p^{-s}+q^{-s}\right) F_{k-1}(s)=T(s) F_{k-1}(s) \quad(k \geqslant 1), \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial condition $F_{0}(s)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(s)=p^{-s}+q^{-s} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (19) does not only hold for $\mathfrak{R}(s)>-k$ where the Mellin transform exists. Since $F_{k}(s)$ analytically continues to an entire function, (19) holds for all complex $s$.

In order to find a solution of (19) we define the power series

$$
f(s, w)=\sum_{k \geqslant 0} F_{k}(s) w^{k} .
$$

Let us also introduce a (partial) functional operator $\mathbf{A}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}[g](s)=\sum_{j \geqslant 0} g(s-j) T(s-j) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some function $g$. In the next theorem we find an explicit representation of $F_{k}(x)$ through the operator A. The proof is delayed till Section 4.

Theorem 3. The functions $F_{k}(s)$ are recursively given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k}(s)=\mathbf{A}\left[F_{k-1}\right](s)-\mathbf{A}\left[F_{k-1}\right](0) \quad(k \geqslant 1) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial function $F_{0}(s)=1$ and $F_{k}(-\ell)=0$ for $\ell=0,1,2, \ldots, k-1$ and $k \geqslant 1$.
Furthermore, if we set $R_{k}(s)=\mathrm{A}^{k}[1](s)$, then we have the formal identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geqslant 0} F_{k}(s) w^{k}=\frac{\sum_{\ell \geqslant 0} R_{\ell}(s) w^{\ell}}{\sum_{\ell \geqslant 0} R_{\ell}(0) w^{\ell}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. It is easy to compute $R_{k}(s)$ for a few small values of $k$. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{0}(s)=1 \\
& R_{1}(s)=\frac{p^{-s}}{1-p}+\frac{q^{-s}}{1-q}, \\
& R_{2}(s)=\frac{p^{-2 s}}{(1-p)\left(1-p^{2}\right)}+\frac{p^{-s} q^{-s}}{(1-p)(1-p q)}+\frac{p^{-s} q^{-s}}{(1-q)(1-p q)}+\frac{q^{-2 s}}{(1-q)\left(1-q^{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In Section 4 we use the above representation to find the asymptotic behavior of the average profiles. To present it in a concise form, we need some additional notation. For a real number $\alpha$ with $\left(\log \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}<\alpha<\left(\log \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\rho(\alpha)=\frac{1}{\log (p / q)} \log \frac{1-\alpha \log (1 / p)}{\alpha \log (1 / q)-1} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalently, $\alpha$ and $\rho$ satisfy the equation

$$
\alpha=\frac{p^{-\rho}+q^{-\rho}}{p^{-\rho} \log \frac{1}{p}+q^{-\rho} \log \frac{1}{q}} .
$$

Furthermore, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(\rho)=\frac{p^{-\rho} q^{-\rho} \log (p / q)^{2}}{\left(p^{-\rho}+q^{-\rho}\right)^{2}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we also use the abbreviation

$$
\alpha_{0}=\frac{2}{\log \frac{1}{p}+\log \frac{1}{q}}
$$

Our first main asymptotic result is presented next.
Theorem 4. Let $\mathbb{E} B_{n, k}$ denote the expected external profile in (asymmetric) digital search trees with $0<p<$ $q=1-p<1$. If $n$ and $k$ are positive integers with $\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{p}}+\varepsilon \leqslant \frac{k}{\log n} \leqslant \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{q}}-\varepsilon$ (for some $\varepsilon>0$ ), then uniformly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} B_{n, k}=H\left(\rho_{n, k}, \log _{p / q} p^{k} n\right) \frac{\left(p^{-\rho_{n, k}}+q^{\left.-\rho_{n, k}\right)^{k} n^{-\rho_{n, k}}}\right.}{\sqrt{2 \pi \beta\left(\rho_{n, k}\right) k}}\left(1+O\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right)\right), \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{n, k}=\rho(k / \log n)$ and $H(\rho, x)$ is a non-zero periodic function with period 1 given by $(56)$ of Section 4 .
The average internal profile is slightly more complicated. Among others, it exhibits some phase transitions. As before, the Poisson transform of $\mathbb{E} I_{n, k}$ is defined as

$$
\bar{\Delta}_{k}(x)=e^{-x} \sum_{n \geqslant 0} \mathbb{E} I_{n, k} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}=E_{k}(x) e^{-x} \quad(k \geqslant 0)
$$

which translates into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Delta}_{k}(x)+\bar{\Delta}_{k}^{\prime}(x)=\bar{\Delta}_{k-1}(p x)+\bar{\Delta}_{k-1}(q x) \quad(k \geqslant 1), \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial condition $\bar{\Delta}_{0}(x)=1-e^{-x}$. This initial condition shifts the existence of the Mellin transform $\bar{\Delta}_{k}^{*}(s)$ to $-k-1<\Re(s)<0$. Let now

$$
\bar{\Delta}_{k}^{*}(s)=-\Gamma(s) \bar{F}_{k}(s)
$$

where $\bar{F}_{0}(s)=1$ and by (27) we find

$$
\bar{F}_{k}(s)-\bar{F}_{k}(s-1)=T(s) \bar{F}_{k-1}(s) .
$$

Using now the operator A defined in (21), we can express $\bar{F}_{k}(s)$ similarly as in Theorem 3, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}_{k}(s)=\mathbf{A}\left[\bar{F}_{k-1}\right](s)-\mathbf{A}\left[\bar{F}_{k-1}\right](-1) \quad(k \geqslant 1) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geqslant 0} \bar{F}_{k}(s) w^{k}=\frac{\sum_{\ell \geqslant 0} R_{\ell}(s) w^{\ell}}{\sum_{\ell \geqslant 0} R_{\ell}(-1) w^{\ell}} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this representation, in Section 4 we prove our second main asymptotic result.
Theorem 5. Let $\mathbb{E} I_{n, k}$ denote the expected internal profile in (asymmetric) digital search trees with $0<p<$ $q=1-p<1$. Let $k$ and $n$ be positive integers such that $k / \log n$ satisfies $\left(\log \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}<k / \log n<\left(\log \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1}$. Then the following assertions hold:
(1) If $\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{p}}+\varepsilon \leqslant \frac{k}{\log n} \leqslant \alpha_{0}-\varepsilon($ for some $\varepsilon>0)$, then uniformly

$$
\mathbb{E} I_{n, k}=2^{k}-\bar{H}\left(\rho_{n, k}, \log _{p / q} p^{k} n\right) \frac{\left(p^{-\rho_{n, k}}+q^{-\rho_{n, k}}\right)^{k} n^{-\rho_{n, k}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi \beta\left(\rho_{n, k}\right) k}}\left(1+O\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right)\right),
$$

where $\bar{H}(\rho, x)$ is a non-zero periodic function with period 1 (see Section 4 for more details).
(2) If $k=\alpha_{0}\left(\log n+\xi \sqrt{\alpha_{0} \beta(0) \log n}\right)$, where $\xi=o\left((\log n)^{\frac{1}{6}}\right)$, then

$$
\mathbb{E} I_{n, k}=2^{k} \Phi(-\xi)\left(1+O\left(\frac{1+|\xi|^{3}}{\sqrt{\log n}}\right)\right)
$$

where $\Phi$ is the normal distribution function.
(3) If $\alpha_{0}+\varepsilon \leqslant \frac{k}{\log n} \leqslant \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{q}}-\varepsilon$ (for some $\varepsilon>0$ ), then uniformly

$$
\mathbb{E} I_{n, k}=\bar{H}\left(\rho_{n, k}, \log _{p / q} p^{k} n\right) \frac{\left(p^{-\rho_{n, k}}+q^{\left.-\rho_{n, k}\right)^{k} n^{-\rho_{n, k}}}\right.}{\sqrt{2 \pi \beta\left(\rho_{n, k}\right) k}}\left(1+O\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right)\right)
$$

with the same function $\bar{H}(\rho, x)$ as in 1 .
We should point out that we can extend the range of the asymptotic expansion (for $k / \log n$ around $\alpha_{0}, \log (1 / p)$, and $\left.\log (1 / q)\right)$ so that there are no formal gaps in the uniform asymptotic expansions. Actually, we can obtain the same range as in [22]. However, this would lengthen the proof considerably and we decided to omit the details since they provide no new insights.

Finally, we point out that if we set $\alpha=k / \log n$, then we can rewrite

$$
\left(p^{-\rho}+q^{-\rho}\right)^{k} n^{-\rho}=n^{\alpha \log \left(p^{-\rho}+q^{-\rho}\right)-\rho}
$$

Thus, for $\alpha_{0}<\alpha<1 / \log (1 / q)$ the behavior of $\mathbb{E} B_{n, k}$ and $\mathbb{E} I_{n, k}$ is governed by a power of $n$ depending on the ratio $\alpha=k / \log n$. The maximum exponent is obtained for

$$
\alpha=\frac{1}{h}=\frac{1}{p \log \frac{1}{p}+q \log \frac{1}{q}},
$$

where $h=p \log \frac{1}{p}+q \log \frac{1}{q}$ denotes the entropy of the Bernoulli source. Actually, the expected number of nodes at level $k=\frac{1}{h} \log n$ is of order $n / \sqrt{\log n}$.

### 2.4. Some consequences

In this section we briefly present some consequences of our main findings. We start with the typical depth. Let $D_{n}$ denote the depth of a random node in a digital search tree with $n$ nodes. Then the distribution of $D_{n}$ is related to the internal profile by $[14,26]$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{D_{n}=k\right\}=\frac{\mathbb{E} I_{n, k}}{n}
$$

Hence, a direct application of Theorem 5 provides an unusual local limit theorem.
Theorem 6. Let $D_{n}$ denote the depth of a random node in a binary random digital search tree with $0<p<$ $q=1-p<1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left\{D_{n}=k\right\}= & \frac{\bar{H}\left(-1, \log _{p / q} p^{k} n\right)}{\sqrt{2 \pi\left(h_{2}-h^{2}\right) / h^{3} \log n}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(k-\frac{1}{h} \log n\right)^{2}}{2\left(h_{2}-h^{2}\right) / h^{3} \log n}\right) \\
& \times\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}}+\frac{\left|k-\frac{1}{h} \log n\right|^{3}}{(\log n)^{2}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

uniformly for $k$ and $n$ with $\left|k-\frac{1}{\hbar} \log n\right|=o\left((\log n)^{2 / 3}\right)$ where $h_{2}=p\left(\log \frac{1}{p}\right)^{2}+q\left(\log \frac{1}{q}\right)^{2}$.
The unusualness of this result is the periodic factor $\bar{H}(\cdot, \cdot)$ in the local limit theorem. Although the depth $D_{n}$ follows a central limit theorem (see [18]) it does not obey the corresponding local central limit theorem (see also with [22]).

As a further corollary to the above finding, we observe that the width $W_{n}$ (defined as $\max _{k} I_{n, k}$ ) satisfies

$$
\mathbb{E} W_{n} \geqslant \max _{k} \mathbb{E} I_{n, k}=\Omega\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right)
$$

In order to obtain a corresponding upper bound (one expects that the order of magnitude of the lower bound is the correct one) we would need some information about the second moment $\mathbb{E} I_{n, k}^{2}$, compare with [2].

Remark 2. Other parameters of interest are the height $H_{n}=\max \left\{k: I_{n, k}>0\right\}$ and the fillup level $F_{n}=\max \left\{k: I_{n, k}=2^{k}\right\}$. It is well known (see [23,1]) that

$$
\frac{H_{n}}{\log n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\log p^{-1}}, \quad \text { in probability }
$$

and

$$
\frac{F_{n}}{\log n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\log q^{-1}}, \quad \text { in probability. }
$$

This is completely in accordance with our findings. Theorem 4 only works in the interesting range $\left(\log \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}<\alpha=k / \log n<\left(\log \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1}$ where we either have $2^{k}-\mathbb{E} I_{n, k} \rightarrow \infty$ respectively $\mathbb{E} I_{n, k} \rightarrow \infty$. However, it is a common phenomenon that fillup level and height occur, where $\mathbb{E} I_{n, k}=2^{k}-O$ (1) and where $\mathbb{E} I_{n, k}=O(1)$. By extrapolating the asymptotic expansions, presented in Theorem 4, to its boundaries $\alpha=\left(\log \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}$ respectively $\alpha=\left(\log \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1}$ one can expect to prove this kind of behavior (see [22] for tries). In order to make this precise we would have to discuss the asymptotic behavior of $F_{k}(s)$ for $s \rightarrow \infty$ and $s \rightarrow-\infty$, which we omit in this paper (see [12] for the symmetric case).

## 3. Analysis: Symmetric case

In the symmetric case, we only discuss the asymptotic analysis of the profile. We prove here (15) and (16) of Theorem 2 that we repeat below:

$$
\mathbb{E} B_{n, k}=2^{k} F^{\prime}\left(n 2^{-k}\right)+F^{\prime \prime}\left(n 2^{-k}\right)+O\left(n 2^{-k}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E} I_{n, k}=2^{k} F\left(n 2^{-k}\right)+F^{\prime}\left(n 2^{-k}\right)+O\left(n 2^{-k}\right),
$$

where (see (14))

$$
F(z)=1-\sum_{m \geqslant 0} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2^{-\binom{m+1}{2}}}{Q_{\infty} Q_{m}} e^{-z 2^{m}} .
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
F(z)=1-\frac{1}{Q_{\infty}} e^{-z}+O\left(e^{-2 z}\right) \quad(z \rightarrow \infty) .
$$

However, for the asymptotics of $\mathbb{E} B_{n, k}$ and $\mathbb{E} I_{n, k}$ we need the behavior of $F(z)$ for $z \rightarrow 0+$ which is much more involved and will be presented in Lemma 2 . Interestingly we need the following identity. ${ }^{4}$

Lemma 1. Suppose that $|q|<1$ and let

$$
(a ; q)_{\infty}=\prod_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(1-a q^{j}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad(a ; q)_{k}=\frac{(a ; q)_{\infty}}{\left(a q^{k} ; q\right)_{\infty}}
$$

[^2]be the usual q-Pochhammer notation for q-rising factorial. Then
\[

\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left.(-1)^{k} q^{k} $$
\begin{array}{c}
k  \tag{30}\\
2
\end{array}
$$\right)}{(q ; q)_{k}\left(1-c q^{k-1}\right)} q^{2 k}=\frac{q}{c} \frac{1}{1-c / q} \frac{(q ; q)_{\infty}}{(c ; q)_{\infty}}-\frac{q}{c}(q ; q)_{\infty} .
\]

Proof. We first recall the following ${ }_{1} \phi_{1}$ summation formula [6, Appendix (II.5)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a ; q)_{k}(-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k}{2}}}{(q ; q)_{k}(c ; q)_{k}}\left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^{k}=\frac{(c / a ; q)_{\infty}}{(c ; q)_{\infty}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

that we will apply twice. We consider the left-hand side of (30) and replace one factor $q^{k}$ by $\frac{q}{c}-$ $\frac{q}{c}\left(1-c q^{k-1}\right)$ that leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k}{2}}}{(q ; q)_{k}\left(1-c q^{k-1}\right)} q^{2 k} & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} q^{\frac{k}{2}} \text { 2 }}{(q ; q)_{k}\left(1-c q^{k-1}\right)} q^{k}\left[\frac{q}{c}-\frac{q}{c}\left(1-c q^{k-1}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{q}{c} \frac{1}{1-c / q} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c / q ; q)_{k}(-1)^{k} q^{\binom{2}{2}}}{(q ; q)_{k}(c ; q)_{k}} q^{k}-\frac{q}{c} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left.(-1)^{k} q^{k}{ }_{2}^{k}\right)}{(q ; q)_{k}} q^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first sum on the right-hand side can be simplified using (31) by setting $a=c / q$. For the second sum we can also apply (31) with $a=c / q$ but considering the limit $c \rightarrow 0$. This proves (30).

If we apply (30) with the special values $q=\frac{1}{2}$ and $c=-\frac{s}{2}$ we obtain (after some elementary calculations)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{s} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+s 2^{-j}}=\frac{1}{s}-\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-2^{-j}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2^{-\binom{m+1}{2}}}{\left(s+2^{m}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(1-2^{-j}\right)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

This identity is now used in the proof of Lemma 2 and in an asymptotic expansion of $F(z)$ and its derivatives.

Lemma 2. The Laplace transform $L(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} F(z) e^{-s z} d z$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(s)=\frac{1}{s} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+s 2^{-j}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, for any fixed $r \geqslant 0$ the $r$-th derivative $F^{(r)}(z)$ is asymptotically equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{(r)}(z) \sim C^{\prime} e^{-\Psi\left(\log _{2} c(z)\right)} c(z)^{r+\frac{1}{2}}(\log c(z))^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\log _{2} \frac{1}{2}-\frac{\log _{2} 2}{2}\left(\log _{2} c(z)\right)^{2}}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c(z)=\frac{\log (1 / z)}{z \log 2}=\frac{\log _{2}(1 / z)}{z}$ and

$$
C^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6 \log 2}-\frac{1}{12} \log 2\right)
$$

In particular $\lim _{z \rightarrow 0+} F^{(r)}(z)=0$.
Proof. Since $F(z)$ is bounded for $z \geqslant 0$, the Laplace transform $L(s)$ exists for $\Re(s)>0$ and is given by

$$
L(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} F(z) e^{-s z} d z=\frac{1}{s}-\sum_{m \geqslant 0} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2^{-\binom{m+1}{2}}}{Q_{\infty} Q_{m}\left(s+2^{m}\right)} .
$$

Applying (32) we prove (33).

Denoting

$$
Q(x)=\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{x}{2^{j}}\right)
$$

we also have

$$
L(s)=\frac{1}{s Q(-2 s)}
$$

Note that the Laplace transforms of the derivatives $F^{(r)}(z)$ are given by

$$
s^{r} L(s)-\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} s^{r-1-j} \lim _{z \rightarrow 0+} F^{(j)}(z)
$$

Since we will prove (inductively) that $\lim _{z \rightarrow 0+} F^{(r)}(z)=0$ we can assume (inductively) that the Laplace transforms of the derivatives $F^{(r)}(z)$ are actually given by $s^{r} L(s)$.

In order to obtain the asymptotic expansion (34) we use the integral representation for the inverse Laplace transform

$$
F^{(r)}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} s^{r} L(s) e^{s z} d s,
$$

where $c$ is an arbitrary positive number (which we choose in the sequel). Here we use the fact that we have already proved that $\lim _{z \rightarrow 0+} F^{(j)}(z)=0$ for $j<r$. The idea is to use a saddle point approximation of the integrand. For this purpose we need an asymptotic formula for $Q(-2 x)$ for $x \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(-2 x)=\exp \left(\frac{(\log x)^{2}}{2 \log 2}+\frac{\log x}{2}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{6 \log 2}+\frac{1}{12} \log 2+\Psi\left(\log _{2}(x)\right)+O(1 / x)\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi(x)$ is a differentiable periodic function with period 1 . This follows from the Mellin transform applied to the logarithms. Indeed, for $-1<\Re(u)<0$, using the "harmonic sum formula" [4,27] we find

$$
M(u)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \log Q(-2 x) x^{u-1} d x=\frac{1}{1-2^{u}} R(u)
$$

with

$$
R(u)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \log (1+x) x^{u-1} d x=\frac{\pi}{u \sin (\pi u)}
$$

The inverse Mellin transform yields

$$
\log Q(-2 x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} M(u) x^{-u} d u
$$

with $-1<c<0$. By shifting the line of integration to the right and collecting the contributions from the triple pole at $u=0$ and the single poles at $u=2 \pi k i / \log 2(k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\})$, which constitute the periodic function $\Psi$, we obtain (35) (compare with [4]). It is also easy to extend the asymptotic relation (35) to the complex plane $|\arg (x)| \leqslant \delta$ and $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, where $\delta$ is a small positive number.

By elementary means it follows that the order of magnitude of $|Q(-2 x)|$ is (up to constants)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(\frac{(\log |x|)^{2}}{2 \log 2}+\frac{\log |x|}{2}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. This estimate will be used for $x$ with $\delta \leqslant|\arg (x)| \leqslant \pi$.
With the help of these preliminaries we can evaluate the $r$-th derivative $F^{(r)}(z)$ asymptotically. Let $0<z<1$ be given. We will compute the integral

$$
F^{(r)}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} \frac{s^{r-1}}{Q(-2 s)} e^{s z} d s,
$$

where

$$
c=c(z)=\frac{\log (1 / z)}{z \log 2}=\frac{\log _{2}(1 / z)}{z}
$$

is chosen as the (approximate) saddle point of the function

$$
s \mapsto \exp \left(s z-\frac{(\log s)^{2}}{2 \log 2}\right)
$$

Hence, by a standard saddle point method we obtain (34). It also follows that $\lim _{z \rightarrow 0+} F^{(r)}(z)=0$ which completes the induction proof. Note that we can use the expansion (35) for $|\arg (s)|=$ $|\arg (c(z)+i t)| \leqslant \delta$ and the estimate (36) for $|\arg (s)| \geqslant \delta$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2 (see also [12]).
Remark 3. We note that the relation $I_{n, k}=\sum_{j \geqslant 1} 2^{-j} B_{n, k+j}$ is reflected by the functional equation

$$
F(z)=\sum_{j \geqslant 1} F^{\prime}\left(z 2^{-j}\right)
$$

which is equivalent to the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{L}(s)=s \sum_{j \geqslant 1} 2^{j} \bar{L}\left(s 2^{j}\right), \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{L}(s)=s L(s)$ denotes the Laplace transform of $F^{\prime}(z)$. Interestingly, Eq. (37) is deduced easily from the identity

$$
\sum_{j \geqslant 1} \frac{2^{j}}{(1+2 s)\left(1+2^{2} s\right) \cdots\left(1+2^{j} s\right)}=\frac{1}{s}
$$

that follows from (31) by setting $a=q=\frac{1}{2}, c=-1 /(4 s)$, applying the index shift $k \mapsto j-1$ and doing some elementary calculations.

Proof of Theorem 2. In order to prove Theorem 2 we use the explicit representations (12) and (13) of Theorem 1 and approximate the leading terms by $2^{k} F^{\prime}\left(n 2^{-k}\right)$ and by $2^{k} F\left(n 2^{-k}\right)$, respectively.

Let us concentrate on the external profile. We repeat here Eq. (12)

$$
\mathbb{E} B_{n, k}=2^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2^{-\binom{m}{2}}}{Q_{m} Q_{k-m}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{k-m}}\right)^{n} .
$$

We first show that the terms for $m>k / 3$ can be neglected

$$
\left|\sum_{m>k / 3} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2^{-\binom{m}{2}}}{Q_{m} Q_{k-m}}\left(1-2^{m-k}\right)^{n}\right|=O\left(\sum_{m>k / 3} 2^{-\binom{m}{2}}\right)=O\left(2^{-\binom{[k / 3]}{2}}\right) .
$$

In a next step we use the approximation (for $m \leqslant k / 3$ )

$$
\left(1-2^{m-k}\right)^{n}=e^{-n 2^{m-k}}\left(1+O\left(\frac{n}{4^{k-m}}\right)\right)=e^{-n 2^{m-k}}+O\left(\frac{n}{4^{k-m}}\right)
$$

and obtain an error term of the form

$$
\sum_{m \leqslant k / 3} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2^{-\binom{m}{2}}}{Q_{m} Q_{k-m}} \cdot O\left(\frac{n}{4^{k-m}}\right)=O\left(\frac{n}{4^{k}}\right) .
$$

Finally, we approximate the ratio

$$
\frac{Q_{\infty}}{Q_{k-m}}=1-\frac{1}{2^{k-m}}+O\left(\frac{1}{4^{k-m}}\right)
$$

leading to even smaller error term $O\left(4^{-k}\right)$. Summing up we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} B_{n, k} & =2^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2^{-\binom{m}{2}}}{Q_{m} Q_{k-m}}\left(1-2^{m-k}\right)^{n} \\
& =2^{k} \sum_{m \leq k / 3} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2^{-\binom{m}{2}}}{Q_{\infty} Q_{m}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{k-m}}\right) e^{-n 2^{m-k}}+O\left(2^{k} \frac{n}{4^{k}}\right)+O\left(2^{k} 2^{-\binom{[k / 3]}{2}}\right) \\
& =2^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2^{-\binom{m}{2}}}{Q_{\infty} Q_{m}}\left(1-\frac{2^{m}}{2^{k}}\right) e^{-n 2^{m-k}}+O\left(\frac{n}{2^{k}}\right) \\
& =2^{k} F^{\prime}\left(n 2^{-k}\right)+F^{\prime \prime}\left(n 2^{-k}\right)+O\left(n 2^{-k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of (15). The proof of (16) is exactly the same.
Remark 4. These expansions are valid only if $n 2^{-k} \leqslant 2^{k}$, that is, for $k \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \log _{2} n$. The range of interest is (see [12])

$$
\log _{2} n-\log \log n \leqslant k \leqslant \log _{2} n+\sqrt{2 \log _{2} n},
$$

and this range is covered by Theorem 2 (see also [13]). Nevertheless, with some more care it is easy to obtain more precise expansions, e.g.

$$
\mathbb{E} B_{n, k}=2^{k} F^{\prime}\left(n 2^{-k}\right)+F^{\prime \prime}\left(n 2^{-k}\right)-\frac{n}{2^{k+1}} F^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(n 2^{-k}\right)+O\left(n 4^{-k}\right)+O\left(2^{-k}\right)
$$

## 4. Analysis: Asymmetric case

In this section, we return to the asymmetric case $(p \neq q)$. We first derive the exact representation for the Mellin transform $F_{k}(s)$, proving Theorem 3. Then we deal with asymptotic results establishing Theorems 4 and 5.

### 4.1. Proof of Theorem 3: Exact representation

Let us recall that $\mathbf{A}$ is a functional operator defined by

$$
\mathbf{A}[g](s)=\sum_{j \geqslant 0} g(s-j) T(s-j)
$$

where $T(s)=p^{-s}+q^{-s}$. We prove here Theorem 3, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k}(s)=\mathbf{A}\left[F_{k-1}\right](s)-\mathbf{A}\left[F_{k-1}\right](0) \quad(k \geqslant 1), \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{0}(s)=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geqslant 0} F_{k}(s) w^{k}=\frac{\sum_{\ell \geqslant 0} R_{\ell}(s) w^{\ell}}{\sum_{\ell \geqslant 0} R_{\ell}(0) w^{\ell}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{k}(s)=\mathbf{A}^{k}[1](s)$; also $F_{k}(-\ell)=0$ for $\ell=0,1,2, \ldots, k-1$.
Proof of Theorem 3. Set $\tilde{F}_{0}(s)=1$ and recursively

$$
\tilde{F}_{k}(s)=\mathbf{A}\left[\tilde{F}_{k-1}\right](s)-\mathbf{A}\left[\tilde{F}_{k-1}\right](0) \quad(k \geqslant 1)
$$

It is easy to see that $\tilde{F}_{k}(s)$ is a well-defined entire function. In particular it follows that $\tilde{F}_{k}(s)$ is (as it is for $\left.F_{k}(s)\right)$ a finite linear combination of a function of the form $p^{-\ell_{1} s} q^{-\ell_{2} s}$ with $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2} \geqslant 0$ and $\ell_{1}+\ell_{2} \leqslant k$. Furthermore, by definition these functions satisfy $\tilde{F}_{k}(0)=0$ (for $k \geqslant 1$ ) and by (38) fulfill the relation

$$
\tilde{F}_{k}(s)-\tilde{F}_{k}(s-1)=T(s) \tilde{F}_{k-1}(s)
$$

for $k \geqslant 0$ and all $s$.
Now we can proceed by induction to show that $F_{k}(s)=\tilde{F}_{k}(s)$. By definition we have $F_{0}(s)=\tilde{F}_{0}(s)$. Now suppose that $F_{k}(s)=\tilde{F}_{k}(s)$ holds for some $k \geqslant 0$. Then it follows that $F_{k+1}(s)=\tilde{F}_{k+1}(s)+G(s)$, where $G(s)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad G(s)-G(s-1)=0 \quad(\Re(s)>-k) . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the above observations $G(s)$ has to be a finite linear combination of functions of the form $p^{-\ell_{1} s} q^{-\ell_{2} s}$. However, the only periodic function of this form that meets conditions (40) is the zero function. Hence, $F_{k+1}(s)=\tilde{F}_{k+1}(s)$.

Now we prove (39), which is equivalent to

$$
\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} F_{\ell}(s) R_{k-\ell}(0)=R_{k}(s) \quad(k \geqslant 0)
$$

or

$$
F_{k}(s)=R_{k}(s)-\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} F_{\ell}(s) R_{k-\ell}(0) \quad(k \geqslant 0)
$$

We will prove this relation by induction. Certainly, it is satisfied for $k=0$. Now suppose that it holds for some $k \geqslant 0$. By (38) we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{k+1}(s) & =\mathbf{A}\left[F_{k}\right](s)-\mathbf{A}\left[F_{k}\right](0) \\
& =\mathbf{A}\left[R_{k}\right](s)-\mathbf{A}\left[R_{k}\right](0)-\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1}\left(\mathbf{A}\left[F_{\ell}\right](s)-\mathbf{A}\left[F_{\ell}\right](0)\right) R_{k-\ell}(0) \\
& =R_{k+1}(s)-R_{k+1}(0)-\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} F_{\ell+1}(s) R_{k-\ell}(0) \\
& =R_{k+1}(s)-\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} F_{\ell}(s) R_{k+1-\ell}(0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, since $F_{k}(s)=\Delta_{k}^{*}(s) / \Gamma(s)$ is analytic for $\mathfrak{R}(s)>-k$ and $1 / \Gamma(-\ell)=0$, it also follows that $F_{k}(-\ell)=0$ for $\ell=0,1, \ldots, k-1$.

Following the same footsteps, we prove the corresponding relations for the internal profile presented just above Theorem 5; in particular, (28) and (29).

Remark 5. The proof of (38) (and consequently that of (39)) makes use of the fact that $F_{k}(0)=0$ for $k \geqslant 1$. However, we also have $F_{k}(-r)=0$ for $k>r$. In particular, if we set $s=-r$ in (39) we find

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{r} F_{k}(-r) w^{k}=\frac{\sum_{\ell \geqslant 0} R_{\ell}(-r) w^{\ell}}{\sum_{\ell \geqslant 0} R_{\ell}(0) w^{\ell}}
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geqslant 0} F_{k}(s) w^{k}=\frac{\sum_{\ell \geqslant 0} R_{\ell}(s) w^{\ell}}{\sum_{\ell \geqslant 0} R_{\ell}(-r) w^{\ell}} \sum_{k=0}^{r} F_{k}(-r) w^{k} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.2. Asymptotic analysis

We now prove Theorems 4 and 5 establishing the asymptotic behavior of the average profiles. The discussion is divided into several steps: First we analyze $F_{k}(s)$, then we invert the Mellin transform $\Delta_{k}^{*}(s)=\Gamma(s) F_{k}(s)$, and finally we invert the Poisson transform $\Delta_{k}(x)$ to obtain asymptotics for the expected profile $\mathbb{E} B_{n, k}$. Finally, we comment on necessary changes to recover asymptotics of $\mathbb{E} I_{n, k}$.

### 4.2.1. Singularity analysis of $F_{k}(s)$

In order to obtain asymptotic information for $F_{k}(s)$ we will analyze the generating function $f(s, w)=\sum_{k} F_{k}(s) w^{k}$ that by Theorem 3 is also given by

$$
f(s, w)=\frac{g(s, w)}{g(0, w)},
$$

where $g(s, w)=\sum_{\ell \geqslant 0} R_{\ell}(s) w^{\ell}$ for complex $w$. Note that $g(s, w)$ satisfies the following formal identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(s, w)=1+w \mathbf{A}[g(\cdot, w)](s)=1+w \sum_{j \geqslant 0} g(s-j, w) T(s-j) . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Interestingly enough, the function $g(s, w)$ has a polar singularity at $w=1 / T(s)$, as proved below.
Lemma 3. There exists a function $h(s, w)$ that is analytic for all $w$ and $s$ satisfying

$$
w T(s-m) \neq 1 \quad \text { for all } m \geqslant 1
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(s, w)=\frac{h(s, w)}{1-w T(s)} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $g(s, w)$ has a meromorphic continuation with $w_{0}=1 / T(s)$ being a polar singularity.
Proof. We recall that $R_{k}(s)=A^{k}[1](s)$. Then for $p<q$

$$
\left|R_{k}(s)\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{\prod_{j \geqslant 1}\left(1-q^{j}\right)}\left(p^{-\Re(s)}+q^{-\Re(s)}\right)^{k} .
$$

Thus, if $|w|<T(\Re(s))^{-1}$ the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(s, w)=\sum_{\ell \geqslant 0} R_{\ell}(s) w^{\ell}=\left(\sum_{\ell \geqslant 0} w^{\ell} \mathbf{A}^{\ell}\right)[1](s) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges absolutely and represents an analytic function. We can rewrite (44) as

$$
g(s, w)=(\mathbf{I}-w \mathbf{A})^{-1}[1](s),
$$

where I is the identity operator. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{I}-w \mathbf{A})[g(\cdot, w)](s)=g(s, w)-w \sum_{j \geqslant 0} g(s-j, w) T(s-j)=1 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

which formally proves (42).
If we substitute $g(s, w)$ in (42) by

$$
g(s, w)=\frac{h(s, w)}{1-w T(s)}
$$

we find the following relation for $h(s, w)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(s, w)=1+\sum_{j \geqslant 1} h(s-j, w) \frac{w T(s-j)}{1-w T(s-j)} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that we established the existence of $h(s, w)$ for $|w|<T(\Re(s))^{-1}$. We will now use (46) to show that $h(s, w)$ can be analytically continued to $|w|<T(\Re(s)-1)^{-1}$ (and even to all $w$ such that $w T(s-m) \neq 1)$ thus leading to a meromorphic continuation, as claimed.

For this purpose we introduce another operator $\mathbf{B}$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}[f](s)=\sum_{j \geqslant 1} f(s-j, w) \frac{w T(s-j)}{1-w T(s-j)} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

For convenience, set $U(s, w)=w T(s) /(1-w T(s))$. By induction it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}^{k}[1](s) & =\sum_{i_{1} \geqslant 1} \sum_{i_{2} \geqslant 1} \cdots \sum_{i_{k} \geqslant 1} U\left(s-i_{1}, w\right) U\left(s-i_{1}-i_{2}, w\right) \cdots U\left(s-i_{1}-i_{2}-\cdots-i_{k}, w\right) \\
& =\sum_{m_{k} \geqslant k} \sum_{m_{k-1}=k-1}^{m_{k}-1} \sum_{m_{k-2}=k-2}^{m_{k-1}-1} \cdots \sum_{m_{1}=1}^{m_{2}-1} U\left(s-m_{1}, w\right) U\left(s-m_{2}, w\right) \cdots U\left(s-m_{k}, w\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathbf{B}^{k}[1](s)\right| & \leqslant \sum_{m_{k} \geqslant k} \sum_{m_{k-1} \geqslant k-1} \cdots \sum_{m_{1} \geqslant 1}\left|U\left(s-m_{1}, w\right) U\left(s-m_{2}, w\right) \cdots U\left(s-m_{k}, w\right)\right| \\
& =\sum_{m_{1} \geqslant 1}\left|U\left(s-m_{1}, w\right)\right| \cdot \sum_{m_{2} \geqslant 2}\left|U\left(s-m_{2}, w\right)\right| \cdots \sum_{m_{k} \geqslant k}\left|U\left(s-m_{w}, k\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the fact that $T(s-m)=O\left(q^{m}\right)$, it follows directly that the series

$$
S:=\sum_{m \geqslant 1}|U(s-m, w)|=\sum_{m \geqslant 1} \frac{|w T(s-m)|}{|1-w T(s-m)|}
$$

converges if $w T(s-m) \neq 1$ for all $m \geqslant 1$. Thus for any choice of $w$ and $s$ there are only finitely many exceptional points where $w T(s-m)=1$.

Let now $k_{0}$ be any value such that

$$
\sum_{m \geqslant k_{0}}|U(s-m, w)| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}
$$

Then we have for all $k \geqslant k_{0}$

$$
\left|\mathbf{B}^{k}[1](s)\right| \leqslant S^{k_{0}} 2^{-\left(k-k_{0}\right)}=(2 S)^{k_{0}} 2^{-k}
$$

In view of this,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(s, w)=\sum_{k \geqslant 0} \mathbf{B}^{k}[1](s) w^{k} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

is well defined and it satisfies (46). Furthermore, $|h(s, w)| \leqslant 2(2 S)^{k_{0}}$.
Now we are in the position to derive an asymptotic representation for $F_{k}(s)$.
Lemma 4. For every real interval $[a, b]$ there exist $k_{0}, \eta>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k}(s)=A(s) T(s)^{k}\left(1+O\left(e^{-\eta k}\right)\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for all s such that $\Re(s) \in[a, b],|\Im(s)-2 \pi j / \log (p / q)| \leqslant \varepsilon$ for some integer $j$ and $k \geqslant k_{0}$, where $A(s)$ is an analytic function that satisfies $A(-r)=0$ for $r=0,1, \ldots$ and is bounded in this region.

Furthermore, if $|\Im(s)-2 \pi j \log (p / q)|>\varepsilon$ for all integers $j$ then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k}(s)=O\left(T(\Re(s))^{k} e^{-\eta k}\right) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $\mathfrak{\Re}(s) \in[a, b]$.
Proof. The idea of the proof is to show that the function $f(s, w)$ has a polar singularity $w=1 / T(s)$ (if the imaginary part of $s$ is close to an integer multiple of $2 \pi \log (q / p)$ ), and to use this property to obtain asymptotic for the coefficient $F_{k}(s)=\left[w^{k}\right] f(s, w)$. (In a similar way we obtain estimates for $F_{k}(s)$ if the imaginary part of $s$ is not close to an integer multiple of $2 \pi \log (q / p)$.) Due to the special structure of $f(s, w)$ (see (39) and (41)) we have to distinguish several cases depending on the size of $\Re(s)$.

Suppose first that $s>-r-1$ for some integer $r \geqslant 0$ but $s$ is not a positive integer. Here we use the following representation (see (41))

$$
\begin{align*}
f(s, w) & =\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) w^{\ell} \frac{g(s, w)}{g(-r, w)} \\
& =\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) w^{\ell} \frac{h(s, w)}{h(-r, w)} \frac{1-w T(-r)}{1-w T(s)} . \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 3 the function $h(s, w)$ is analytic for $|w|<1 / T(s-1)$. By (48) it also follows that $h(s, w)$ is non-zero for real $0<w<1 / T(s-1)$. It also follows that $h(-r, w)$ is analytic and non-zero for $0<w<1 / T(-r-1)$. Hence, $w_{0}=1 / T(s)$ is a singular point of $f(s, w)$. Since $F_{k}(s)=\Delta_{k}^{*}(s) / \Gamma(s)$ it follows that all values $F_{k}(s), k \geqslant 0$, have the same sign. Hence, the radius of convergence of the series $\sum_{k \geqslant 0} F_{k}(s) w^{k}$ equals $w_{0}=1 / T(s)$.

In a next step we show that $f(s, w)$ has no other singularities on the circle of convergence $|w|=$ $1 / T(s)$. Moreover, the function $f(s, w)(1-w T(s))$ continues analytically to $|w|<1 / T(s)+\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon>0$. Since all terms on the right-hand side of (51), that is, $\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) w^{\ell}, h(s, w), h(-r, w)$, $1-w T(-r)$, and $1-w T(s)$ are analytic for $|w|<1 / T(s)+\varepsilon$, a singularity of $f(s, w)$ can only be induced by a zero of $h(-r, w)$.

Suppose first that $h(-r, w)$ has a zero $w_{1}$ with $\left|w_{1}\right|<1 / T(s)$. Since $h(-r, w) \neq 0$ for $0<w<$ $1 / T(-r-1)$ it follows that $w_{1} \neq 1 / T(-r)$. If we assume that $\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) w_{1}^{\ell} \neq 0$, then $w=w_{1}$ has to be a zero of $h(s, w)$. We slightly decrease $s$ to $s-\eta$ (for some $\eta>0$ such that $s-\eta$ is not a positive integer) such that $h\left(s-\eta, w_{1}\right) \neq 0$. Then the zero $w=w_{1}$ of $h(-r, w)$ would induce a singularity $w_{1}$ of $f(s, w)(1-w T(s))$ with $\left|w_{1}\right|<1 / T(s)$ although its radius of convergence is $1 / T(s-\eta)>1 / T(s)>\left|w_{1}\right|$. This leads to a contradiction. Hence, if $h\left(-r, w_{1}\right)=0$ for some $w_{1}$ with $\left|w_{1}\right|<1 / T(s)$, then we also have $\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) w_{1}^{\ell}=0$. Actually, it also follows that the order of the zeroes of $\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) w_{1}^{\ell}$ is at least as large as that of $h\left(-r, w_{1}\right)$.

The above considerations also show that if $w=w_{1}$ is a zero of $h(-r, w)$ with $\left|w_{1}\right|<1 / T(-r-1)$, then $w_{1}$ is also a zero of $\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) w^{\ell}=0$ at least of the same order. Namely, if $\left|w_{1}\right|<1 / T(-r-1)$ then there exists a non-integral real number $s>-r-1$ with $\left|w_{1}\right|<1 / T(s)$ and we proceed as above.

This property shows that the only singularity of the mapping $w \mapsto f(s, w)$ is given by $w=1 / T(s)$ if $s>-r-1$ is real (but not an integer). This singularity is a polar singularity of order 1 . Hence, by using Cauchy's formula for a contour of integration on the circle $\gamma=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|w|=e^{\eta} / T(s)\right\}$ and the residue theorem [5,27] it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{k}(s) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(s, w) w^{-k-1} d w \\
& =A(s) T(s)^{k}+O\left(\left|T(s) e^{-\eta}\right|^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(s)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) T(s)^{-\ell} \frac{h(s, 1 / T(s))}{h(-r, 1 / T(s))}\left(1-\frac{T(-r)}{T(s)}\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

These estimates are uniform for $s$ contained in a compact interval $[a, b] \subseteq(-r-1,-r)$ (for some non-negative integer $r$ ) or in a compact interval $[a, b]$ contained in the positive real line. Furthermore, we get the same result if $s$ is sufficiently close to the real axis. Thus, if $a \leqslant \Re(s) \leqslant b$ and $|\Im(s)| \leqslant \varepsilon$ for some sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$ then we obtain (49). Here we have also use that fact that $A(s) \neq 0$ in this range.

Next, suppose that $s$ is real (or sufficiently close to the real axis) and close to a negative integer $-r$, say $-r-\eta \leqslant s \leqslant-r+\eta$ (for some $\eta>0$ ). Here we use the representation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \geqslant 0} F_{k}(s) w^{k}= & \sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) w^{\ell} \frac{g(s, w)}{g(-r, w)} \\
= & \sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) w^{\ell} \frac{h(s, w)}{h(-r, w)} \frac{1-w T(-r)}{1-w T(s)} \\
= & \sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) w^{\ell} \frac{h(s, w)-h(-r, w)}{h(-r, w)} \frac{1-w T(-r)}{1-w T(s)} \\
& +\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) w^{\ell}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) w^{\ell+1} \frac{T(s)-T(-r)}{1-w T(s)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now if we subtract the finite sum $\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(s) w^{\ell}$, then we can safely multiply by $\Gamma(s)$ (that is singular at $s=-r$ ) and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(s) \sum_{k>r} F_{k}(s) w^{k}= & \sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) w^{\ell} \frac{\Gamma(s)(h(s, w)-h(-r, w))}{h(-r, w)} \frac{1-w T(-r)}{1-w T(s)} \\
& +\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) w^{\ell+1} \frac{\Gamma(s)(T(s)-T(-r))}{1-w T(s)} \\
& +\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} \Gamma(s)\left(F_{\ell}(-r)-F_{\ell}(s)\right) w^{\ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

We again use the fact that the function $\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) w^{\ell} / h(-r, w)$ is analytic for $|w|<1 / T(-r-1)$ and observe that $w=1 / T(s)$ is a polar singularity. By applying Cauchy's formula we obtain for $k>r$ (similarly to the above)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(s) F_{k}(s)= & \sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) T(s)^{-\ell} \frac{\Gamma(s)(h(s, 1 / T(s))-h(-r, 1 / T(s)))}{h(-r, 1 / T(s))}\left(1-\frac{T(-r)}{T(s)}\right) T(s)^{k} \\
& +\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) T(s)^{-\ell-1} \Gamma(s)(T(s)-T(-r)) T(s)^{k} \\
& +O\left(\left|T(s) e^{-\eta}\right|^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we actually prove (49) for $k>r$ and also observe $A(-r)=0$.
Set $t_{j}=2 j \pi / \log (p / q)$ (for integers $j$ ) and $\gamma=-2 \pi \log (p) / \log (p / q)$. Then

$$
T\left(s+i t_{j}\right)=e^{i j \gamma} T(s) .
$$

Hence, $\left|T\left(s+i t_{j}\right)\right|=|T(s)|$ and consequently, it follows that $w=1 / T(s)$ is a polar singularity of $f(s, w)$ if $\left|\Im(s)-t_{j}\right|<\varepsilon$ for some integer $j$. Thus, (49) follows also for $s$ in this range.

By using the representation (52) it is also easy to deduce that $A(s)$ is bounded. Suppose first that $\Re(s)$ is not an integer (more precisely we have $\mathfrak{R}(s) \in(-r-1, r)$ for some integer $r \geqslant 0$ ). By using the relation $R_{k}\left(s+i t_{j}\right)=R_{k}(s) e^{i j k \gamma}$ we obtain $g\left(s+i t_{j}, w\right)=g\left(s, w e^{i j \gamma}\right)$ and also $h\left(s+i t_{j}, w\right)=$ $h\left(s, w e^{i j \gamma}\right)$. Consequently

$$
A\left(s+i t_{j}\right)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} F_{\ell}(-r) T(s)^{-\ell} e^{-i j \ell \gamma} \frac{h(s, 1 / T(s))}{h\left(-r, e^{-i j \gamma} / T(s)\right)}\left(1-\frac{T(-r)}{T(s) e^{i j \gamma}}\right) .
$$

Since $h(-r, w) \neq 0$ if $|w|=|1 / T(s)|$ it follows that $A(s)$ is bounded if $\mathfrak{R}(s)$ is contained in a compact interval $[a, b] \subseteq(-r-1,-r)$ (for some non-negative integer $r$ ) and $\left|\Im(s)-t_{j}\right|<\varepsilon$ for some integer $j$. If $\mathfrak{R}(s)$ is close to an integer we can proceed similarly.

Finally, suppose that $|\Im(s)-2 \pi j / \log (q / p)|>\varepsilon$ for some integer $j$, then there exists $\eta>0$ such that $|T(s)|<e^{-2 \eta}|T(\Re(s))|$. Hence it follows that $f(s, w)$ is regular for $|w|<e^{2 \eta} / T(\Re(s))$. Consequently, if we use the path of integration $\gamma=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}\right.$ : $\left.|w|=e^{\eta} / T(\Re(s))\right\}$ in Cauchy's formula we obtain

$$
F_{k}(s)=O\left(T(\Re(s))^{k} e^{-\eta k}\right)
$$

which is precisely (50). It should be clear that this estimate is uniform if $\mathfrak{H}(s)$ varies in a finite interval $[a, b]$.

Similarly we can analyze the function $\bar{F}_{k}(s)$. Following the same footsteps, we conclude that (for $\left|\Im(s)-t_{j}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon$ for some integer $\left.j\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}_{k}(s)=\bar{A}(s) T(s)^{k}\left(1+O\left(e^{-\eta k}\right)\right), \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{A}(-r)=0$ for $r=1,2, \ldots$.

### 4.2.2. Saddle point analysis

By the above discussion, we know that $F_{k}(s)$ and $\Delta_{k}(s)=\Gamma(s) F_{k}(s)$ behave asymptotically as $T(s)^{k}$. Thus we are in a situation similar to the analysis of the profile of random tries presented in [22] (but since $A(s)$ is zero for negative integers, some poles disappear in the analysis of digital search trees, as we shall see below). Our asymptotic analysis will be therefore similar to that of [22].

We start with a very short outline of the proof (where we also make a simplification and we only consider the case $x=n$ ). By applying the inverse Mellin transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{k}(n)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\rho-i \infty}^{\rho+i \infty} \Delta_{k}^{*}(s) n^{-s} d s \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is natural to choose $\rho=\rho_{n, k}$ as the saddle point of the function

$$
T(s)^{k} n^{-s}=e^{k \log T(s)-s \log n}
$$

which satisfies the equation

$$
\frac{k}{\log n}=\frac{p^{-\rho}+q^{-\rho}}{p^{-\rho} \log \frac{1}{p}+q^{-\rho} \log \frac{1}{q}}
$$

Observe that when $\rho$ varies from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ the ratio $k / \log n=\alpha$ varies from $1 / \log (1 / p)$ to $1 / \log (1 / q)$.

Note also that on the line $\mathfrak{R}(s)=\rho$ there will be infinitely many saddle points

$$
s_{j}=\rho+\frac{2 \pi i j}{\log \frac{p}{q}} \quad(j \in \mathbb{Z})
$$

since $T\left(s_{j}\right)=e^{-2 \pi i j(\log p) /(\log p / q)} T(\rho)$. Consequently, the behavior of $T(s)^{k} z^{-s}$ around $s=s_{j}$ is almost the same as that of $T(s)^{k} z^{-s}$ around $s=\rho$. This phenomenon implies a periodic leading factor in the asymptotics of $\Delta_{k}(n)$ and then also in that of $\mathbb{E} B_{n, k}$.

Lemma 5. Suppose that $\left(\log \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}+\varepsilon \leqslant k / \log n \leqslant\left(\log \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1}-\varepsilon($ for some $\varepsilon>0)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{k}(n)=H\left(\rho_{n, k}, \log _{p / q} p^{k} n\right) \frac{\left(p^{-\rho_{n, k}}+q^{\left.-\rho_{n, k}\right)^{k}} n^{-\rho_{n, k}}\right.}{\sqrt{2 \pi \beta\left(\rho_{n, k}\right) k}}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)\right), \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\rho, x)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} A\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right) \Gamma\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right) e^{-2 j \pi i x} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a non-zero periodic function with period 1 and $t_{j}=2 j \pi / \log (p / q)$.
Proof. For convenience we set $J_{k}(n, s)=n^{-s} \Gamma(s) F_{k}(s)$. By Lemma 4 we can safely replace $F_{k}(s)$ by $A(s) T(s)^{k}$ since the error term is of order $O\left(|T(s)|^{k} e^{-\eta k}\right)$ and leads to an exponentially small contribution compared to the asymptotic leading term.

We split the integral (54) into two parts where we use the substitution $s=\rho+i t$. Let us start with the range $|t| \geqslant \sqrt{\log n}$ and recall that by Stirling's formula $\Gamma(\rho+i t)=O\left(|t|^{\rho-1 / 2} e^{-\pi|t| / 2}\right)$. Furthermore we use the property that $|A(s)|$ is uniformly bounded for $\Re(s) \in[a, b]$ and $\left|\Im(s)-t_{j}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon$ (for some integer $j$ ). Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{|t| \geqslant \sqrt{\log n}} J_{k}(n, \rho+i t) d t & =O\left(n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k} \int_{\sqrt{\log n}}^{\infty}|\Gamma(\rho+i t)| d t\right) \\
& =O\left(n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k} \int_{\sqrt{\log n}}^{\infty} t^{\rho-1 / 2} e^{-\pi t / 2} d t\right) \\
& =O\left(n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k}(\log n)^{\rho / 2-1 / 4} e^{-\pi \sqrt{\log n} / 2}\right) \\
& =O\left(n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k} e^{-\sqrt{\log n}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next set

$$
C_{j}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\left|t-t_{j}\right| \leqslant \pi /|\log (p / q)|} J_{k}(n, \rho+i t) d t,
$$

where $t_{j}=\frac{2 \pi j}{\log \frac{p}{q}}$. We have to study these integrals for all $|j| \leqslant j_{0}=\lfloor\sqrt{\log n}|\log (p / q)| /(2 \pi)\rfloor$. Since there exists $c_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\left|p^{-\rho-i t}+q^{-\rho-i t}\right| \leqslant T(\rho) e^{-c_{0}\left(t-t_{j}\right)^{2}}
$$

for $\left|t-t_{j}\right| \leqslant \pi /|\log (p / q)|$, we obtain an upper bound of the integral (for $j \neq 0$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{j}^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{k^{-2 / 5} \leqslant\left|t-t_{j}\right| \leqslant \pi /|\log (p / q)|} J_{k}(n, \rho+i t) d t \\
& =O\left(\left|\Gamma\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right)\right| n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k} \int_{k^{-2 / 5}}^{\infty} e^{-c_{0} k t^{2}} d t\right) \\
& =O\left(\left|\Gamma\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right)\right| n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k} k^{-3 / 5} e^{-c_{0} k^{1 / 5}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $j=0$ we can replace the factor $\left|\Gamma\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right)\right|$ by 1 .
Finally, for $\left|t-t_{j}\right| \leqslant k^{-2 / 5}$ we use the approximation

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{k}(n, \rho+i t)= & \Gamma(\rho+i t) A(\rho+i t) n^{-\rho-i t} T(\rho+i t)^{k}\left(1+O\left(e^{-\eta k}\right)\right) \\
= & \Gamma(\rho+i t) A(\rho+i t) e^{-i t_{j} \log \left(p^{k} n\right)} n^{-\rho-i\left(t-t_{j}\right)} T\left(\rho+i\left(t-t_{j}\right)\right)^{k}\left(1+O\left(e^{-\eta k}\right)\right) \\
= & \Gamma\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right) A\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right) e^{-i t_{j} \log \left(p^{k} n\right)} n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta(\rho)\left(t-t_{j}\right)^{2 k}} \\
& \times\left(1+O\left(\left|t-t_{j}\right|\right)+O\left(k\left|t-t_{j}\right|^{3}\right)+O\left(e^{-\eta k}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

A standard saddle point method then leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{j}^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\left|t-t_{j}\right| \leqslant k^{-2 / 5}} J_{k}(n, \rho+i t) d t \\
& =\Gamma\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right) A\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right) \frac{n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k}}{\sqrt{2 \pi \beta(\rho) k}} e^{-i t_{j} \log \left(p^{k} n\right)}\left(1+O\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we finally obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{k}(n)= & \sum_{|j| \leqslant j_{0}} T_{j}+O\left(n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k} e^{-\sqrt{\log n}}\right) \\
= & \sum_{|j| \leqslant j_{0}} \Gamma\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right) A\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right) e^{-i t_{j} \log \left(p^{k} n\right)} \frac{n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k}}{\sqrt{2 \pi \beta(\rho) k}}\left(1+O\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right)\right) \\
& +O\left(n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k} e^{-\sqrt{\log n}}\right) \\
= & H\left(\rho, \log _{p / q} p^{k} n\right) \frac{n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k}}{\sqrt{2 \pi \beta\left(\rho_{n, k}\right) k}}\left(1+O\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
Remark 6. The above proof extends directly to an asymptotic expansion for $\Delta_{k}\left(n e^{i \vartheta}\right)$, where $|\vartheta| \leqslant$ $\pi / 2-\varepsilon$ (for some $\varepsilon>0$ ). In this range we have uniformly

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{k}\left(n e^{i \vartheta}\right)= & \frac{T(\rho)^{k}}{\sqrt{2 \pi \beta(\rho) k}} \sum_{|j| \leqslant j_{0}} \Gamma\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right) A\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right)\left(n e^{i \vartheta}\right)^{-\rho-i t_{j}} p^{-i k t_{j}} \\
& \times\left(1+O\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right)\right) \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

We will use this extended version for the final depoissonization procedure.

The analysis of $\bar{\Delta}_{k}(x)$ for the internal profile is similar but needs some additional considerations. As before, we start with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Delta}_{k}(n)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\rho-i \infty}^{\rho+i \infty} \bar{\Delta}_{k}^{*}(s) n^{-s} d s \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, we recall, $\bar{\Delta}_{k}^{*}(s)=-\bar{F}_{k}(s) \Gamma(s)$. By (53) we have $\bar{F}_{k}(s)=\bar{A}(s) T(s)^{k}\left(1+O\left(e^{-\eta k}\right)\right)$, with $\bar{A}(-r)=0$ for $r=1,2, \ldots$. Notice that $\bar{A}(0) \neq 0$. In fact, we know that $\bar{F}_{k}(0)=2^{k}$. With these preliminaries, we are ready to present our asymptotic analysis.

We have to distinguish three ranges:
Range: $\left(\log \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}+\varepsilon \leqslant k / \log n \leqslant \alpha_{0}-\varepsilon$.
In this range $\rho$ varies from large values to zero. In order to cover this range we have to shift the line of integration in (58) to the saddle point $\rho>0$. By doing this we collect a contribution of $2^{k}$ from the polar singularity of $\bar{F}_{k}(s) \Gamma(s)$. This leads to

$$
\bar{\Delta}_{k}(x)=2^{k}+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\rho-i \infty}^{\rho+i \infty} \bar{\Delta}_{k}^{*}(s) x^{-s} d s
$$

The remaining integral can be handled as above by a saddle point method.
Range: $\alpha_{0}+\varepsilon \leqslant k / \log n \leqslant\left(\log \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1}-\varepsilon$.
Here we have $\rho<0$ and we are precisely in the same situation as in the analysis of the external profile. Actually this range is the most significant range. Almost all nodes are concentrated around the level $k / \log n \approx 1 / h$, where $h=p \log \frac{1}{p}+q \log \frac{1}{q}$ denotes the entropy of the source.
Range: $k / \log n \approx 2\left(\log \frac{1}{p}+\log \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1}$, that is $\rho \approx 0$.
Here a phase transition occurs. Technically, a polar singularity (of $\Gamma(s)$ ) and the saddle point $F_{k}(s) n^{-s}$ coalesce at $s=0$. We assume that $\alpha=k / \log n$ is close to $\alpha_{0}:=2\left(\log \frac{1}{p}+\log \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1}$. More precisely suppose that

$$
k=\alpha_{0}\left(\log n+\xi \sqrt{\alpha_{0} \beta(0) \log n}\right),
$$

where $\xi=o\left((\log n)^{1 / 6}\right)$. Here we move the line of integration to the saddle point

$$
\Re(s)=\rho=\frac{1}{\log (p / q)} \log \frac{1-\alpha \log (1 / p)}{\alpha \log (1 / q)-1}=-\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{\alpha_{0} \beta(0) \log n}}+O\left(\xi^{2} / \log n\right) .
$$

First assume that $k>\alpha_{0} \log n$, so that $\xi>0$ and $\rho<0$. This means that we do not pass the polar singularity, which is located at $s=0$. Hence, as above we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Delta}_{k}\left(n e^{i \vartheta}\right)= & \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{|t| \leqslant(\log n)^{-2 / 5}} \bar{J}_{k}\left(n e^{i \vartheta}, \rho+i t\right) d t \\
& +O\left(\left|\Gamma\left(\rho+i(\log n)^{-2 / 5}\right)\right| n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k} e^{-c_{0}(\log n)^{1 / 5}}\right) \\
& +O\left(k^{-1 / 2} n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{J}_{k}(s, x)=-\chi^{-s} \Gamma(s) \bar{F}_{k}(s)$. This can be again replaced by $-\chi^{-s} \Gamma(s) \bar{A}(s) T(s)^{k}$. Since

$$
\left|\Gamma\left(\rho+i(\log n)^{-2 / 5}\right)\right|=O\left(\frac{1}{|\xi|(\log n)^{-1 / 2}+(\log n)^{-2 / 5}}\right)=O\left((\log n)^{2 / 5}\right),
$$

we can neglect the first error term.

Next we replace the factor $\Gamma(s) \bar{A}(s)$ in (the approximation of ) $\bar{J}_{k}(s, x)$ by

$$
\frac{\bar{A}(s)}{s}
$$

Since the sum $\Gamma(s) \bar{A}(s)-\bar{A}(0) / s$ is analytic, we have

$$
\int_{|t| \leqslant(\log n)^{-2 / 5}}\left(\Gamma(s) \bar{A}(s)-\frac{\bar{A}(0)}{s}\right)\left(n e^{i \vartheta}\right)^{-\rho-i t} T(\rho+i t)^{k} d t=O\left(\frac{n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k}}{\sqrt{k}}\right)
$$

and consequently the asymptotic leading term of $\bar{\Delta}_{k}\left(n e^{i \vartheta}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\bar{A}(0)}{2 \pi} \int_{|t| \leqslant(\log n)^{-2 / 5}} \frac{\left(n e^{i \vartheta}\right)^{-\rho-i t} T(\rho+i t)^{k}}{\rho+i t} d t \\
& =-\frac{\bar{A}(0)}{2 \pi} n^{-\rho} e^{-i \vartheta \rho} T(\rho)^{k} \int_{|t| \leqslant(\log n)^{-2 / 5}} \frac{e^{\vartheta t-\beta(\rho) k t^{2} / 2+o\left(k|t|^{3}\right)}}{\rho+i t} d t \\
& =-\frac{\bar{A}(0)}{2 \pi} n^{-\rho} e^{-i \vartheta \rho} T(\rho)^{k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-w^{2} / 2}}{\xi_{0}+i w}\left(1+O\left(\frac{|w|+|w|^{3}}{\sqrt{\log n}}\right)\right) d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w=\sqrt{\beta(0) k t}$ and

$$
\xi_{0}=\rho \sqrt{\beta(0) k}=-\xi+O\left(\xi^{2}(\log n)^{-1 / 2}\right) .
$$

Since $\xi_{0}<0$, we obtain (with the help of the substitution $s=-w$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-w^{2} / 2}}{\xi_{0}+i w} d w & =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2} / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-v\left(-\xi_{0}+i s\right)} d v d s \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{v \xi_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2} / 2-i s v} d s d v \\
& =-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-v^{2} / 2+v \xi_{0}} d v \\
& =-e^{\xi_{0}^{2} / 2} \Phi\left(\xi_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The error term is estimated similarly:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\left(|w|+|w|^{3}\right) e^{-w^{2} / 2}}{|\rho \sqrt{\beta(\rho) k}+i w|} d w=O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(v+v^{3}\right) e^{-v^{2} / 2+v \xi_{0}} d v\right) \\
& =O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}} e^{\xi_{0}^{2} / 2} \Phi\left(\xi_{0}\right)\left(1+\left|\xi_{0}\right|^{3}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\bar{\Delta}_{k}\left(n e^{i \vartheta}\right)=\bar{A}(0)\left(n e^{i \vartheta}\right)^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k} e^{\xi_{0}^{2} / 2} \Phi\left(\xi_{0}\right)\left(1+O\left(\frac{1+\left|\xi_{0}\right|^{3}}{\sqrt{\log n}}\right)\right)+O\left(k^{-1 / 2} n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k}\right)
$$

By using the local expansions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k}=T(0)^{k} e^{-\xi^{2} / 2+O\left(\left|\xi^{3}\right|(\log n)^{-1 / 2}\right)} \\
& e^{\xi_{0}^{2} / 2} \Phi(\xi 0)=e^{\xi^{2} / 2} \Phi(-\xi)\left(1+O\left(|\xi|^{3}(\log n)^{-1 / 2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we end up with the final expansion

$$
\bar{\Delta}_{k}\left(n e^{i \vartheta}\right)=\bar{A}(0) T(0)^{k} \Phi(-\xi)\left(1+O\left(\frac{1+\left|\xi_{0}\right|^{3}}{\sqrt{\log n}}\right)\right)+O\left(k^{-1 / 2} T(0)^{k} e^{-\xi^{2} / 2}\right)
$$

that holds uniformly for $|\vartheta| \leqslant \vartheta_{0}$.

### 4.2.3. Depoissonization

The final step in the proof is to obtain asymptotics for $\mathbb{E} B_{n, k}$ and $\mathbb{E} I_{n, k}$ from the asymptotic properties of $\Delta_{k}(x)$ and $\bar{\Delta}_{k}(x)$. This is accomplished by the analytical depoissonization [9] which requires to compute another Cauchy integral

$$
\mathbb{E} B_{n, k}=\frac{n!}{2 \pi i} \int_{|x|=n} e^{x} \Delta_{k}(x) \frac{d x}{x^{n+1}}
$$

Since $\Delta_{k}(x)$ behaves quite smoothly (in particular it has a subexponential growth) the depoissonization heuristics saying that $\mathbb{E} B_{n, k} \approx \Delta_{k}(n)$ applies (see [5,27]). However, this has to be made precise. For this we need a good upper bound for $\Delta_{k}\left(n e^{i \vartheta}\right)$ that is valid for all $|\vartheta| \leqslant \pi$.

Lemma 6. For every real number $\rho$ there exist constants $C=C(\rho)>0, c_{1}=c_{1}(\rho) \geqslant 0, c_{2}=c_{2}(\rho)>0$, and an integer $k_{0}=k_{0}(\rho)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{x} \Delta_{k}(x)\right| \leqslant C\left(1+c_{1} / r\right)^{k}\left(1-c_{2} \vartheta^{2}\right)^{-k} r^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k} e^{r\left(1-c_{2} \vartheta^{2}\right)} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k \geqslant 0$ and uniformly for all $r \geqslant 1$ and $|\vartheta| \leqslant \pi$, where $x=r e^{i \vartheta}$.
Proof. We indicate a proof for $\rho \leqslant 0$. (In this case we can choose $c_{1}=0$ and get also a proof for all $r \geqslant 0$.) First, since $e^{x} \Delta_{0}(x)=1$ (and $e^{x} \bar{\Delta}_{0}(x)=e^{x}-1$, respectively) it is clear that (59) holds for $k=0$ (for properly constants $C$ and $c_{2}$ ). Then by definition we have recursively

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|e^{x} \Delta_{k+1}(x)\right| & =\left|\int_{0}^{x} e^{\xi}\left(\Delta_{k}(p \xi)+\Delta_{k}(q \xi)\right) d \xi\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{0}^{r} e^{t e^{i \vartheta}}\left(\Delta_{k}\left(p t e^{i \vartheta}\right)+\Delta_{k}\left(q t e^{i \vartheta}\right)\right) d t\right| \\
& \leqslant C\left(1-c \vartheta^{2}\right)^{-k} T(\rho)^{k} \int_{0}^{r}\left(e^{q t \cos \vartheta}(p t)^{-\rho} e^{p t\left(1-c_{2} \vartheta^{2}\right)}+e^{p t \cos \vartheta}(q t)^{-\rho} e^{q t\left(1-c_{2} \vartheta^{2}\right)}\right) d t \\
& \leqslant C\left(1-c_{2} \vartheta^{2}\right)^{-k} T(\rho)^{k+1} \int_{0}^{r} t^{-\rho} e^{t\left(1-c \vartheta^{2}\right)} d t \\
& \leqslant C\left(1-c_{2} \vartheta^{2}\right)^{-k-1} T(\rho)^{k+1} r^{-\rho} e^{r\left(1-c_{2} \vartheta^{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar proof works for $\rho>0$. Here we have to use the trivial estimate $e^{1} \Delta_{k}(1)=O$ (1) and the asymptotic formula

$$
\int_{1}^{x} t^{-\rho} e^{t} d t=x^{-\rho} e^{x}(1+O(1 / x)) \leqslant x^{-\rho} e^{x}\left(1+c_{1} / x\right) \quad(x \geqslant 1)
$$

for some constant $c_{1}=c_{1}(\rho)>0$ instead of the inequality $\int_{0}^{x} t^{-\rho} e^{t} d t \leqslant x^{-\rho} e^{x}$ that holds only for $\rho \leqslant 0$.

Since $\Delta_{k}(r)$ is of order $T(\rho)^{k} r^{-\rho} / \sqrt{k}$, where $\rho$ is the saddle point defined by

$$
\frac{k}{\log r}=\frac{p^{-\rho}+q^{-\rho}}{p^{-\rho} \log \frac{1}{p}+q^{-\rho} \log \frac{1}{q}},
$$

we can choose $\rho$ in Lemma 6 accordingly and obtain as a corollary the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{x} \Delta_{k}(x)\right| \leqslant C^{\prime} e^{r} \Delta_{k}(r) \sqrt{\log r} e^{-r c^{\prime} \vartheta^{2}} \quad\left(x=r e^{i \vartheta}\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is of order $\log r$ and the constants $c^{\prime}>0$ and $C^{\prime}>0$ depend on the ratio $k / \log r$.
Finally, as explained above we use the Cauchy integral along $|x|=n$ to complete the asymptotic analysis:

$$
\mathbb{E} B_{n, k}=\frac{n!}{2 \pi i} \int_{|x|=n} e^{x} \Delta_{k}(x) \frac{d x}{x^{n+1}}=\frac{n!n^{-n}}{2 \pi} \int_{|\vartheta| \leqslant \pi} e^{n e^{i \vartheta}} \Delta_{k}\left(n e^{i \vartheta}\right) e^{-i n \vartheta} d \vartheta
$$

Fix $0<\vartheta_{0}<\pi / 2$. Then ( 60 ) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{n!n^{-n}}{2 \pi} \int_{\vartheta 0 \leqslant|\vartheta| \leqslant \pi} e^{n e^{i \vartheta}} \Delta_{k}\left(n e^{i \vartheta}\right) e^{-i n \vartheta} d \vartheta\right| & \leqslant \Delta_{k}(n) \frac{n!n^{-n} e^{n} \sqrt{\log n}}{2 \pi} \int_{\vartheta} \int_{\vartheta_{0} \leqslant|\vartheta| \leqslant \pi} e^{-c^{\prime} n \vartheta^{2}} d \vartheta \\
& =O\left(\Delta_{k}(n) e^{-c^{\prime \prime} \vartheta_{0}^{2} n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the remaining part of the integral we use (57) and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{n!n^{-n}}{2 \pi} \int_{|\vartheta| \leqslant \vartheta_{0}} e^{n e^{i \vartheta}} \Delta_{k}\left(n e^{i \vartheta}\right) e^{-i n \vartheta} d \vartheta \\
&= \frac{n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k}}{\sqrt{2 \pi \beta(\rho) k}} \sum_{|j| \leqslant j_{0}} \Gamma\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right) A\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right) \\
& \times \frac{n!n^{-n}}{2 \pi} \int_{|\vartheta| \leqslant \vartheta_{0}} e^{n e^{i \vartheta}-i n \vartheta} e^{i \vartheta\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right)} d \vartheta \cdot\left(1+O\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k}}{\sqrt{2 \pi \beta(\rho) k}} \sum_{|j| \leqslant j_{0}} \Gamma\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right) A\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right) \\
& \times \frac{n!n^{-n} e^{n}}{2 \pi} \int_{|\vartheta| \leqslant \vartheta_{0}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} n \vartheta^{2}}\left(1+O\left(n|\vartheta|^{3}\right)+O\left(\left|t_{j} \vartheta\right|\right)\right) d \vartheta \cdot\left(1+O\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{n^{-\rho} T(\rho)^{k}}{\sqrt{2 \pi \beta(\rho) k}} \sum_{|j| \leqslant j_{0}} \Gamma\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right) A\left(\rho+i t_{j}\right)\left(1+O\left(\left|t_{j}\right| n^{-1 / 2}\right)+O\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right)\right) \\
&= \Delta_{k}(n)\left(1+O\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4. The last part of the proof of Theorem 5 follows the same footsteps and is omitted.
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