

SciVerse ScienceDirect

Procedia
Social and Behavioral Sciences

Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 31 (2012) 762 – 767

WCLTA 2011

A survey comparison quality of writing and application nursing process between students of Hamadan city, 2010.

Karami Kabir Nahid a *, Tayebeh hasantehrani b

A* Academic member of nursing department, Hamedan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Hamadan, IRAN.

B Academic member of nursing faculty of Hamedan medical science University. (Master of Science nursing in paediatric).

Abstract

One of the important ways for nursing work is use of nursing process. Nursing process is a systematic method. Nursing for reason particular attention to understanding of the patient's health status is unique. In order to successfully use this process, critical thinking and correct judging are necessary. Nursing process is including 5 steps: assessment and identify, diagnose, planning, implementation and evaluation. Education of this method for nursing student is very important and will cause increase quality care of patient. This is a descriptive – analyzing study. Samples were selected using random sampling method. Check List is used for data collection. After the encoded data and assessment of check lists were then classified and using statistical software SPSS16 were analyzed. The research results showed that writing style nursing student of Islamic azad university in section assessment, problem list, goals and evaluation have better quality than medical science university while writing style and application of nursing diagnosis and implementation in the medical science university nursing students is higher quality than nursing student of Islamic azad university. Results of this study are obtained from care plan can be used. And usable for nursing student and teachers, for assessment of student. Feedback of this results help to self steam of nursing student. Also teachers can assessment their education needs and performance stable method for paramedical education. Health care authorized must help in promotion of the health care with education nursing student and providing necessary situation for nursing process.

Keyword: quality, application, writing, nursing process

1. Introduction

Nurses one of the effective members of health treatment can effect on the health status of patients duty of clinical expert and performance favorite clinical educations. For student nursing (salami, 2005). Quality of education is one of the important problems of education it many country of world. Studies show that educations of nursing have very lack and failures (keyzori, 2008). Nursing clinical education and education of nursing process for student is very important. Nursing process is frame work that nurses determined abilities and health problems of patient, determine assessment method, planning plane of nursing care for per patient and evaluation this plans. Skill of nurses would have enough information about of physiology, psychopathology, socio science and behavioral and medical treatment. Nursing unique have affect in promotion of health. Nurse use of critical thinking and judgment. For patient care (Akhachian, 2003). Since 1955, Hall for first use word of nursing process since 1960, nursing theorizing, consider nurses as planer specific steps of nursing process (Niromand, 2003). Nursing process is a

^{*} Karami Kabir Nahid. Tel.: +0098-918-811-6533 E-mail address: nahidkaramikabir@yahoo.com

systematic method and goals center that present. Human efficacy care and include include of 5 steps: assessment, diagnosis, implementation and evaluation and evaluation (roark, 2004, Alfaro, 1986).

- 1. Assessment: gathering of necessary data for disease status or patient problem.
- 2. Nursing diagnosis: diagnosis problems of patient.
- 3. Goals and planning: a problem of caring cause decrease health problems and will cause health promotion.
- 4. Implementation: after gathering information converts of care planning to act and report of treatment that patient need.
- 5. Evaluation: detection and determination achieve to goals or planning and needs of changes, while create a new problems (department, 1996, Akhachian, 2003).

One of the strengths object nursing process, is problem solving methodology that is awareness many nursing student of this. Today, nurses must be confirming positive role of nursing theory in problem solving in clinical decisions. (Niroomand, 2003). Problem solving one of the best educational methods that use all of students (Harris, 2007). This method is dynamic process that for patient needs. Use of nursing process is cause promotion of nursing care (Akhachian, 2003). Nurse one of member's health team that can direct affect on health status of patient (salami, 2005). Akbari and collegious in 2009 performance study and result show that 13/3% of nurses in caring patient use of nursing process. Performance criteria of nursing process are systematic record. Therefore no evidence for correct performance Iran. That is cause decrease quality of care. Important cases which cause nurses don't use of nursing process are: no understanding content of nursing process, lack of teaching nursing process and lack of learning about nursing process, no enough time for performance nursing process (Akbari, 2010).

With according to studies, quality of writing and application nursing process is very bad and cause decrease quality of care and consequence isn't satisfaction of patient. Therefore perform study with goal of survey quality of writing and application of nursing process.

2. Heading styles

The investigation is a descriptive – analyzing study. That assesses quality writing and application and comparison between nursing students in Hamedan. Samples are nursing student of 8 terms that studies Islamic azad university of Hamedan and medical science university of Hamedan Which correct method of writing and application of nursing process teachers for student and want their that write and perform nursing process for one patient. In total perform study on 150 nursing student. Then gathering data with observed method and checklist gathering tools was nursing process write by nursing student which assess with according to checklist and standards and analyze by statistical method. Research environment were ward that nursing student work there and include of treatment teaching centres Farshchian, Besat, Shahid Beheshti in Hamedan. For assess quality of writing and application use of descriptive statistic. For achieve goals, after coding data by spss16 information assess by descriptive statistic.

3. Tables

Table1: Distribution of frequency on based writing and application assessment section of nursing process of nursing student of Islamic azad university of Hamadan.

| measure | classification | frequency | percent | measure | classification | frequency | percent |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------|----------|
| Name and family | Write | 75 | 100 | age | Write | 69 | 92 |
| | Don't write | 0 | 0 | | Don't write | 6 | 8 |
| sex | Write Don't write | 65 10 | 86/7 13/3 | Life environment | Write Don't write | 66 9 | 88 12 |
| job | Write | 70 | 93/3 | religion | Write | 55 | 73/3 |
| | Don't write | 5 | 6/7 | | Don't write | 20 | 26/7 |

| Medical diagnosis | Write | 27 | 36 | Marriage status | Write | 48 | 64 |
|----------------------|-------------|----|------------|---------------------------------|--------------|----|------|
| | Don't write | 48 | 64 | | Don't write | 27 | 36 |
| Education level | Write | 44 | 58/7 | insurance | Write | 43 | 57/3 |
| | Don't write | 31 | 41/3 | | Don't write | 32 | 42/7 |
| language | Write | 26 | 34/7 | Present Time of illness illness | Write | 69 | 92 |
| | Don't write | 49 | 65/3 | | 3Don't write | 6 | 8 |
| Chief complaint | Write | 74 | 98/7 | Location of problem | Write | 73 | 97/3 |
| | Don't write | 1 | 1/3 | | Don't write | 2 | 2/7 |
| Past disease history | Write | 73 | 97/3 | Risk increase factors | Write | 68 | 90/7 |
| | Don't write | 2 | 2/7 | | Don't write | 7 | 9/3 |
| Allergy history | Write | 71 | 94/7 | Difficult time | Write | 69 | 92 |
| | Don't write | 4 | 5/3 | | Don't write | 6 | 8 |
| Habit history | Write | 71 | 94/7 | Contributing factors | Write | 68 | 90/7 |
| | Don't write | 4 | 5/3 | | Don't write | 7 | 9/3 |
| Drug history | Write | 74 | 98/7 | Improvement factors | Write | 69 | 92 |
| | Don't write | 1 | 1/3 | | Don't write | 6 | 8 |
| Family history | Write | 72 | 96 | Diet and appetite | Write | 58 | 77/3 |
| | | | | | Don't write | 17 | 22/7 |
| D 6 : | Don't write | 3 | 4 | D C 1 | W | 52 | 60/2 |
| Range of pain | Write | 54 | 72 | Rang of sleep | Write | 52 | 69/3 |
| | Don't write | 28 | 21 | | Don't write | 23 | 30/7 |
| Rang of activity | Write | 56 | 74/7 | Deification status | Write | 53 | 70/7 |
| | Don't write | 19 | 25/3 | | | | |
| D. e. | XX :. | 40 | <i>C</i> 4 | T. 1 . 6 1 | Don't write | 22 | 29/3 |
| Rang of stress | Write | 48 | 64 | Take of vital sign | Write | 68 | 90/7 |
| | Don't write | 36 | 27 | | Don't write | 7 | 9/3 |
| Physical examination | Write | 68 | 90/7 | Drug use in ward | Write | 72 | 96 |
| CAGIIIIIAUVII | Don't write | 7 | 9/3 | | Don't write | 3 | 4 |
| Assessment of | Write | 53 | 70/7 | income | Write | 47 | 62/7 |
| examine | Don't write | 22 | 29/3 | | Don't write | 28 | 37/3 |

Table2: Distribution of frequency on based writing and application assessment section of nursing process of nursing student of medical science university of Hamadan.

| measure | classification | frequency | percent | measure | classification | frequency | percent |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------|---------|---------|----------------|-----------|---------|
| Name and family | Write | 71 | 94/7 | age | Write | 72 | 96 |
| | Don't write | 4 | 5/3 | | Don't write | 3 | 4 |

| sex | | Write | 41 | 54/7 | Life environment | Write | 53 | 70/7 |
|----------------------|---------|----------------------|----------|------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------|------------|
| SCA | | Don't write | 34 | 45/3 | Life chynomich | Don't write | 22 | 29/3 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| job | | Write | 65 | 86/7 | religion | Write | 35 | 46/7 |
| | | Don't write | 10 | 13/3 | | Don't write | 40 | 53/3 |
| Medical dia | ignosis | Write | 12 | 16 | Marriage status | Write | 48 | 64 |
| | | Don't write | 63 | 84 | | Don't write | 27 | 36 |
| Education 1 | evel | Write | 39 | 52 | insurance | Write | 10 | 13/3 |
| | | Don't write | 36 | 48 | | Don't write | 65 | 86/7 |
| language | | Write | 5 | 6/7 | Present Time of illness illness | Write | 71 | 94/7 |
| | | Don't write | 70 | 93/3 | | 3Don't write | 4 | 5/3 |
| Chief comp | olaint | Write | 72 | 96 | Location of problem | Write | 71 | 94/7 |
| | | Don't write | 3 | 4 | | Don't write | 4 | 5/3 |
| Past history | disease | Write | 66 | 88 | Risk increase factors | Write | 66 | 88 |
| 1115001 y | | Don't write | 9 | 12 | | Don't write | 9 | 12 |
| Allergy his | tory | Write | 65 | 86/7 | Difficult time | Write | 69 | 92 |
| | | Don't write | 10 | 13/3 | | Don't write | 6 | 8 |
| Habit histo | ry | Write | 62 | 82/7 | Contributing factors | Write | 67 | 89/3 |
| | | Don't write | 13 | 17/3 | | Don't write | 8 | 10/7 |
| Drug histo | ry | Write | 65 | 86/7 | Improvement factors | Write | 63 | 84 |
| | | Don't write | 10 | 13/3 | | Don't write | 12 | 16 |
| Family his | tory | Write | 63 | 84 | Diet and appetite | Write | 27 | 36 |
| | | D 1: 1: | 10 | 1.6 | | Don't write | 48 | 64 |
| Range of p | ain | Don't write Write | 12 17 | 16 22/7 | Rang of sleep | Write | 23 | 30/7 |
| runge or p | | Wille | 1, | 22// | rung of steep | Wille | 25 | 30// |
| | | Don't write | 58 | 77/3 | | Don't write | 52 | 69/3 |
| Rang of ac | tivity | Write | 20 | 26/7 | Deification status | Write | 22 | 29/3 |
| | | Don't write | 55 | 73/3 | | | | |
| Rang of str | *066 | Write | 16 | 21/3 | Take of vital sign | Don't write Write | 53 63 | 70/7 84 |
| Kang or su | CSS | WIIIC | 10 | 21/3 | Take of vital sign | WIIIC | 03 | 04 |
| | | Don't write | 59 | 78/7 | | Don't write | 12 | 16 |
| Physical examination | n | Write | 65 | 86/7 | Drug use in ward | Write | 64 | 85/3 |
| | | Don't write | 10 | 13/3 | | Don't write | 11 | 14/7 |
| Assessmen examine | t of | Write | 46 | 61/3 | income | Write | 24 | 32 |
| | | Don't write | 29 | 38/7 | | Don't write | 51 | 68 |

Table3: Distribution of frequency on based writing and application sections of nursing diagnosis, plans, implementation and evaluation of nursing process in nursing student of Islamic Azad University.

| measure | classification | | frequency | percent |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Problem list | Write Don't write | | 48 27 | 64 36 |
| Nursing diagnosis | Problem | Write | 73 | 97/3 |
| | Etiology | Don't write Write Don't write | 2 71 4 | 2/7 94/7 |
| | Sign/symptom | Write Don't write | 39 36 | 52 48 |
| goals | Write Don't write | | 71 4 | 94/7 5/3 |
| implementation | writing | Write | 65 | 86/7 |
| | application | Don't write Write Don't write | 10 53 22 | 13/3 70/7 29/3 |
| evaluation | writing | Write Don't write | 63 12 | 84 16 |
| | application | Write | 65 | 86/7 |

Table4: Distribution of frequency on based writing and application sections of nursing diagnosis, plans, implementation and evaluation of nursing process in nursing student of medical Science University.

| measure | classification | | frequency | percent |
|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| Problem list | Write | | 28 | 37/3 |
| | Don't write | | 47 | 62/7 |
| Nursing | Problem | | 74 | 98/7 |
| diagnosis | | Write | | |
| | | Don't write | 1 | 1/3 |
| | Etiology | *** | 74 | 98/7 |
| | | Write | | |
| | | Don't write | 1 | 1/3 |
| | Sign/symptom | Write | 47 | 62/7 |
| | | Don't write | 28 | 37/3 |
| goals | Write | | 67 | 89/3 |
| | Don't write | | 8 | 10/7 |
| implementation | writing | Write | 73 | 97/3 |
| | | Don't write | 2 | 2/7 |

| | | *** | | |
|------------|-------------|-------------|----|------|
| | application | Write | 62 | 82/7 |
| | | Don't write | 13 | 17/3 |
| evaluation | writing | Write | 57 | 76 |
| | | Don't write | 18 | 24 |
| | application | Write | 57 | 76 |
| | | Don't write | 18 | 24 |

With comparison table 3 and 4 resulted that quality of writing and application of nursing process in nursing student of Islamic Azad University is better than nursing student of medical science university.

Refrences

- Alfaro Rosalinda, Lefvre, Applying Nursing process (A Step- By- Step guide) 3rd. Edition, J.B. Lippincott Company, 1986; All of Book,
- Department of mental retardation nursing standard. Nursing process. October 1, 1996.PP: 1 -10.
- Florida State board of nursing, southern Association of colleges and schools and the national league for nursing Accrediting commission, Practical Nursing. SF Col PN Program.
- Judi Harris, Educational tele computing Activities: problem- solving projects. Volume
- Kathy Quan, The nursing pros\cess. 2007. About> careers> nursing> student nurses> the nursing process. PP: 1 -3.
- Roark, Nursing process. 2004. PP: 1-7.http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/nursing process.
- 22. Number 8. Electronically reprinted with permission from learning with technology in education. Ccwf.cc.utexas.edu.
- Alice Khachyan, nursing Pytr and fullness in the translation, printing, Tehran, healthy Publishing, 1382, pages 157-79.
- Mohammad Akbari, Solar Afzal, barriers nursing process of nurses on intensive care units, intensive care nursing journal, Volume 3, Number 4, Winter 1389, pages 186-181.
- Jafarian Najibeh, Z. Note, diagnoses and nursing actions, Don ¬ astringent and Mvrhavs, Printing, Tehran, Honey Publications, Autumn 1371. All books.
- F light, Hosni Prkhydh, Farideh Yaghmaei, Hamid Alavi Majd ¬, ¬ mental development and assessment tools, efficacy and clinical performance of nursing students, quarterly monitoring, Year 9, Winter 1388, pages 60-51.
- Salimi, T., Karimi H., Shahbazi Lilly, Gallery Administrator MH, Hafezieh Abbas, bird Katayoun, love Fatima, study skills, clinical students, Seattle senior nurses in intensive care units, Journal of Medical Sciences and Health Services Health martyr Sadoughi, Volume 13, Number 3, Summer 1384, pages 66-60.
- Dlavrkhan Morteza, Ban ¬ butterfly grove, the translation of nursing diagnosis and nursing care planning program, ¬, (Tatich-Iyer-Bernochi), first published in Tehran, Human Press, 1371. All books.
- Free Rahmani, Reza Aghdam Mhjl, Eskandar Fathi Azar, Abdullah Zadeh, F., comparing the impact of education on Concept Mapping and compilation of lessons learned on the nursing process, nursing students of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Iranian Journal of Medical Education, Summer 1386, Volume 7, Issue 1, Pages 48-41.
- Farahani, beautiful, in the process of translating nurse and nursing practice, Brvnr Fyps Lakmn, second edition, Tehran, published by the light of knowledge, 1382, pages :2-1.
- Kyzvry Amir, Mohammad Ali Hosseini, Masoud Fallahi Khoshknab, internal assessment of the impact on quality teaching and research, Journal of Nursing Research, Volume 3, Number 8 and 9, Summer 1387, pages 115-105.
- Sedate neighborhood MR Sadat, Socratic Wahid, students intern in clinical nursing skills from them, Journal of Nursing Research, Volume 2, No. ¬6 and 7, 1386, pages 53-45.
- Strong Kianoosh Zandi, Mahdavi Z. (editor), Taylor in translating the principles of nursing, nursing practice, Section 1, first published in Tehran, Human Press, 1382, pages: 444-265.
- Nykrvan singular grace, translation martyr Beheshti Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Nursing process of patient care Dvgas, Printing, Tehran, Glban Press, 1382, Volume One, Sfhaat: 243 -117.