

## Note

# Blocking Sets in Block Designs

DAVID A. DRAKE\*

*Department of Mathematics, University of Florida,  
Gainesville, Florida 32611*

*Communicated by A. Barlotti*

Received January 27, 1984

A lower bound is obtained for the cardinality of a blocking set in a non-symmetric block design. The known lower bound for blocking sets in symmetric block designs is proved to hold (if and) only if the blocking set is a Baer subdesign.

© 1985 Academic Press, Inc.

A *blocking set* in an incidence structure  $\Sigma$  is a subset  $B$  of the point set of  $\Sigma$  such that every line of  $\Sigma$  intersects both  $B$  and the complement of  $B$ . In 1970 and 1971, Bruen proved the following theorem (see Theorems 1 and 2 in [2] and Theorem 3.9 in [3]).

**THEOREM 1 (Bruen).** *Let  $B$  be a blocking set of a projective plane  $\Pi$  of order  $n$ . Then  $|B| \geq n + n^{1/2} + 1$ , and  $|B| = n + n^{1/2} + 1$  if and only if  $B$  is the point set of a Baer subplane of  $\Pi$ .*

In 1982, de Resmini [4] generalized part of the Bruen theorem to symmetric block designs. She proved

**THEOREM 2 (de Resmini).** *Let  $B$  be a blocking set in a symmetric block design with parameters  $v, k, \lambda$ . Then  $|B| \geq (k + n^{1/2})/\lambda$ , where  $n$  denotes  $k - \lambda$ .*

de Resmini observed that “Baer subdesigns” are blocking sets whose cardinalities satisfy the lower bound in Theorem 2. We prove (Theorem 6 below) that the Baer subdesigns are the only blocking sets which satisfy the lower bound. This result completes the generalization of the Bruen theorem and yields a new characterization of Baer subdesigns. The main result of the paper (Theorem 3) generalizes Theorems 2 and 6 to designs  $\Sigma$  that are

\* Research supported by the National Science Foundation.

not necessarily symmetric and to point sets  $B$  that may not cover all the lines of  $\Sigma$ .

A finite incidence structure  $\Sigma$  is called a *group divisible design* if the line set can be partitioned into a subset  $\mathcal{G}$  whose members are called *groups* and a subset  $\mathcal{B}$  whose members are called *blocks* and if there exist integers  $g \geq 1, l \geq 2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ , such that the following conditions hold: (1)  $\mathcal{G}$  is empty if  $g = 1$ , and the lines of  $\mathcal{G}$  partition the points of  $\Sigma$  if  $g > 1$ ; (2)  $|G| = g$  for each  $G$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ ; (3)  $|L| = l$  for each  $L$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ ; (4) each pair of points lies in  $\lambda_1 - 1$  common blocks if the points are contained in a common group and in  $\lambda_2$  common blocks if the points are not contained in a common group.

A *block design*  $B(v, k, \lambda)$  is a group divisible design on  $v \geq k + 2$  points with  $g = 1, k = l$ , and  $\lambda = \lambda_2$ . It is well known that every point of a  $B(v, k, \lambda)$  lies on exactly  $r = (v - 1)\lambda / (k - 1)$  lines and that the total number of lines is  $b = vr/k$ .

If  $S$  is a subset of the point set of an incidence structure  $\Sigma$ , one says that  $S$  *covers* a line  $L$  if and only if  $S$  contains a point of  $L$ . The *substructure induced* by  $\Sigma$  on  $S$  is the set  $S$  together with all lines of  $\Sigma$  that contain at least two points of  $S$  together with the induced incidence relation.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $B(v, k, \lambda)$ . Let  $S$  be a subset of the point set of  $\Sigma, |S| = w, m \geq \max |S \cap L|$  as  $L$  varies over all lines of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $x, y$  be the integers that satisfy  $(w - 1)\lambda = (m - 1)x + y, 0 \leq y \leq m - 2$ . Then  $S$  covers at most  $d$  lines of  $\Sigma$ , where*

$$d = w \left( r - 1 + \frac{1}{y + 1} - \frac{(w - 1)\lambda - y}{m} \right).$$

*Furthermore,  $S$  covers exactly  $d$  lines if and only if  $\Sigma$  induces on  $S$  a group divisible design with block size  $m$ , group size  $y + 1$ , and  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$ .*

*Proof.* For each point  $p$  of  $S$ , define the weight of  $p$ , denoted by  $\text{wt}(p)$ , to be the sum of the reciprocals of the integers  $|S \cap L|$  as  $L$  varies over all lines incident with  $p$ . If  $c$  denotes the number of lines of  $\Sigma$  covered by  $S$ , then

$$c = \sum_L 1 = \sum_L \sum_{p \in S \cap L} (1/|S \cap L|).$$

Reversing the order of summation yields  $c = \sum_{p \in S} \text{wt}(p)$ .

The largest possible weight of a point  $p$  occurs if  $x$  lines through  $p$  intersect  $S$  in  $m$  points and some line through  $p$  intersects  $S$  in  $y + 1$  points. Thus each point  $p$  in  $S$  satisfies  $\text{wt}(p) \leq e$ , where

$$e = \frac{x}{m} + \frac{1}{y + 1} + (r - x - 1).$$

Further,  $wt(p) < e$  unless  $p$  does lie on  $x$  induced lines of size  $m$  and (unless  $y = 0$ ) on one induced line of size  $y + 1$ . Then  $c = \Sigma wt(p) \leq we = d$ . It is also clear that  $c = d$  if and only if every point of  $S$  lies on  $x$  induced lines of size  $m$  and (if  $y \neq 0$ ) on one line of size  $y + 1$ . If  $y \neq 0$ , the induced lines of size  $y + 1$  partition the points of  $S$ , and, hence, may be taken to be the groups of a group divisible design.

LEMMA 4. Let  $d'$  denote  $w(r - (w - 1)\lambda/m)$ . Under the assumptions of Theorem 3,  $d = d'$  if  $y = 0$  and  $d < d'$  if  $y \neq 0$ . In particular, if  $S$  covers  $d'$  lines, then  $y = 0$ , so  $\Sigma$  induces a block design on  $S$ .

LEMMA 5. Let  $B$  be a blocking set in a  $B(v, k, \lambda)$ . If  $|B| = w$ , then  $|B \cap L| \leq w\lambda - r + 1$  for every line  $L$ .

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be a line of the block design,  $p$  be a point of  $L \setminus B$ ; let  $N$  denote the number of flags  $(x, X)$  with  $x$  in  $B$  and  $p$  in  $X$ . One obtains  $w\lambda = N \geq |B \cap L| + (r - 1)$ .

A block design  $B(v, k, \lambda)$  is said to be *symmetric* if  $r = k$ ; equivalently, if  $b = v$ . A substructure  $\Pi$  of a symmetric  $B(v, k, \lambda)$  is said to be a *Baer subdesign* (see [1]) if  $\Pi$  is a symmetric  $B(v^*, k^*, \lambda)$  with  $k^* = (k - \lambda)^{1/2} + 1$ .

THEOREM 6. Let  $\Sigma$  be a symmetric  $B(v, k, \lambda)$  with a blocking set  $B$ . If  $|B| = (k + n^{1/2})/\lambda$ , where  $n$  denotes  $k - \lambda$ , then  $\Sigma$  induces a Baer subdesign on  $B$ .

*Proof of Theorems 2 and 6.* Let  $B$  be a blocking set in  $\Sigma$ , a symmetric  $B(v, k, \lambda)$ . Apply Theorem 3 with Lemmas 4 and 5 to conclude that  $v \leq d'$ , where  $d'$  is evaluated by putting  $w$  equal to  $|B|$  and  $m$  equal to  $w\lambda - k + 1$ . Using the fact that  $(v - 1)\lambda = k(k - 1)$ , one sees that  $v \leq d'$  is equivalent to

$$0 \leq w^2\lambda^2 - 2wk\lambda + (k^2 - k + \lambda).$$

Both or neither of the inequalities is strict. The roots are  $w^\pm = (k \pm n^{1/2})/\lambda$ . Counting flags  $(x, X)$  with  $x$  in  $B$  yields  $wk \geq v$ , hence  $w > (k - 1)/\lambda > w^-$ . Thus  $w \geq w^+$ , and the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

If  $w = w^+$ , then  $v = d'$ ; thus Lemma 4 yields the conclusion that  $\Sigma$  induces a block design on  $B$ . By Theorem 3 the block size in the subdesign is  $m = (w^+) \lambda - k + 1 = n^{1/2} + 1$ , so the induced design is a Baer subdesign. The proof of Theorem 6 is complete.

THEOREM 7. Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $B(v, k, \lambda)$  with a blocking set  $B$  of cardinality  $w$ . Then  $k \neq 3$ , and  $w \geq w_0$ , where

$$w_0 = \frac{v}{2} - \frac{1}{2k} (v^2k^2 - 4v^2k + 4vk)^{1/2}.$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 3 and Lemma 4 with  $S = B$ ,  $m = k - 1$ . One obtains

$$w^2\lambda + w(-rk + r - \lambda) + (bk - b) \leq 0.$$

Using  $r = (v - 1)\lambda/(k - 1)$  and  $b = vr/k$  and dividing by  $\lambda$ , one obtains

$$g(w) := w^2 - vw + \frac{v(v - 1)}{k} \leq 0.$$

Then  $|B|$  must lie between the roots of  $g(w)$ . Since  $w_0$  is the smaller root, the asserted inequality holds. If  $k = 3$ , the discriminant  $D$  is a positive multiple of  $-v^2 + 4v$ . Since  $v \geq k + 2 = 5$ ,  $D$  is negative. Then the roots of  $g$  are not real, so  $g(w) > 0$  for all  $w$ .

*Remark 8.* For  $k = 4$ , the inequality of Theorem 7 simplifies to  $|B| \geq (v - v^{1/2})/2$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Professors S. S. Shrikhande and N. Singhi for pointing out that Theorem 3 can be used to prove de Resmini's Theorem 2 as well as to obtain the characterization result (Theorem 6).

#### REFERENCES

1. R. C. BOSE AND S. S. SHRIKHANDE, Baer subdesigns of symmetric balanced incomplete block designs, in "Essays in Probability and Statistics" (S. Ikeda et al., Eds.), pp. 1-16, Shinko Tsusho, Tokyo, 1976.
2. A. BRUEN, Baer subplanes and blocking sets, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **76** (1970), 342-344.
3. A. BRUEN, Blocking sets in finite projective planes, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **21** (1971), 380-392.
4. M. J. DE RESMINI, On blocking sets in symmetric BIBD's with  $\lambda \geq 2$ , *J. Geometry* **18** (1982), 194-198.