ISSN 0735-1097/03/\$30.00 doi:10.1016/S0735-1097(02)02981-9

# Left Atrial Size

# Left Atrial Volume as an Index of Left Atrial Size: A Population-Based Study

Allison M. Pritchett, MD,\* Steven J. Jacobsen, MD, PHD,† Douglas W. Mahoney, MS,‡ Richard J. Rodeheffer, MD, FACC,\* Kent R. Bailey, PHD,‡ Margaret M. Redfield, MD, FACC\*

Rochester,	Minnesota
------------	-----------

OBJECTIVES Background	We studied left atrial volume (LAV) as an index of atrial size. Increased left atrial dimension (LAD) measured by M-mode echocardiography is a risk factor for atrial fibrillation, stroke, and death.
METHODS	A random sample of residents of Olmsted County, Minnesota, age $\geq$ 45 years (n = 2,042) underwent Doppler echocardiography with assessment of LAD and LAV. A subgroup of the population (n = 767) with no cardiovascular disease and normal systolic and diastolic function was used to develop reference ranges for LAD and LAV. In the total population, the prevalence of left atrial enlargement and the association between cardiovascular disease and left atrial size as determined by both indexes were assessed.
RESULTS	In the normal subgroup, both indexes were associated with gender and body size, thus models controlling for body size were used to determine gender-specific reference ranges for LAD and LAV. In the total population, left atrial enlargement was common, with a prevalence of 18% (men) and 12% (women) using LAD/body surface area (BSA) and of 16% (men and women) using LAV/BSA. The agreement between the indexes was only fair (kappa = 0.53). Adjusting for age and gender, LAV/BSA was more strongly associated with the presence of cardiometer diseases than LAD/BSA.
CONCLUSIONS	We described a simple technique of measuring LAV, examined methods for indexing LAV, and described its normal range in a large, healthy reference cohort. Further, we find that in the community, left atrial enlargement is common and reflects the burden of cardiovascular disease. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:1036–43) © 2003 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation

As measured by M-mode echocardiography, an increase in left atrial dimension (LAD) is associated with cardiovascular disease and is a risk factor for atrial fibrillation, stroke, and death (1,2). It has been suggested that left atrial volume (LAV) may be a superior index of left atrial size (3). Methods to calculate LAV (4,5) have been described, but no standardized method has been widely adopted. Few studies have compared LAD and LAV. Further, the distribution of LAV in subjects without cardiovascular disease has not been well described. Moreover, there is little agreement on how to account for body size, age, or gender in setting the most appropriate normal values for LAV. These factors have hindered widespread reporting of LAV.

We used a simple and feasible method to assess LAV in 2,042 randomly selected residents of Olmsted County, Minnesota, older than age 45 years. Our objectives were to examine the influence of age, gender, and body size on LAD and LAV in a reference subset of the population without cardiovascular disease or cardiac dysfunction and to provide adjusted reference ranges of LAD and LAV. Second, we

evaluated the strength of the association between LAD and LAV and the presence of cardiovascular disease to determine if either index better reflected the effect of cardiovascular disease on left atrial remodeling. Finally, we defined the prevalence of left atrial enlargement in the population, as assessed by each index, and examined the agreement between LAV and LAD in defining left atrial enlargement.

# METHODS

This study was approved by the Mayo Foundation Institutional Review Board, and subjects gave written informed consent.

**Study setting.** The characteristics of the Olmsted County, Minnesota, population and its unique resources for population-based epidemiologic research have been described (6,7).

**Population sampling, subject recruitment, and enrollment.** Randomly selected residents of Olmsted County age  $\geq$ 45 years were invited to participate. A sampling fraction of 7% was applied within each of the gender- and age- (five years) specific strata. Subjects within each wave of invitations were screened and recruited before initiating subsequent waves in the three years ending in September 2000. Of the 4,203 subjects invited, 2,042 (49%) participated. Analysis of the medical records of the first 1,000 invited

From the \*Division of Cardiovascular Diseases and Internal Medicine, and the †Division of Epidemiology and ‡Biostatistics, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota. Supported by grants from the Public Health Service (NIH HL 55502 and NIH AR 30582), the Marriott Foundation, the Miami Heart Research Institute, and the Mayo Foundation.

Manuscript received September 18, 2002; revised manuscript received November 21, 2002, accepted December 4, 2002.

#### Abbreviations and Acronyms

BMI	=	body mass index
BSA	=	body surface area
CI	=	confidence interval

- EF = ejection fraction
- LAD = left atrial dimension
- LAV = left atrial volume 2D = two-dimensional

subjects revealed no clinically significant differences between participants and nonparticipants.

Medical record review. Epidemiologic research in Olmsted County is feasible because all care providers have a unified medical record indexed and maintained by the Rochester Epidemiology Project. Each subject's medical record was reviewed by trained nurse abstractors using established criteria for hypertension (8), myocardial infarction (9), and congestive heart failure (10). In addition, clinical diagnoses of coronary artery disease and atrial arrhythmias were recorded.

Doppler and two-dimensional (2D) echocardiography. All echocardiograms were performed by one of three registered diagnostic cardiac sonographers with the same echocardiographic instrument (HP-2500) according to a standardized protocol and interpreted by one echocardiologist (M. M. R.). Two-dimensional and color Doppler imaging were performed to screen for valvular stenosis or regurgitation.

Assessment of cardiac structure. The LAD was measured by 2D-guided M-mode echocardiography obtained in the parasternal short-axis view at the base of the heart according to American Society of Echocardiography recommendations (11). Three LADs were used to calculate LAV as an ellipse using the formula: LAV =  $\pi/6$  (SA<sub>1</sub> · SA<sub>2</sub> · LA), where  $SA_1$  = the M-mode LAD and  $SA_2$  and LA are measurements of short- and long-axis in the apical fourchamber view (Fig. 1) (12) at ventricular end-systole. Body size variables used for indexing included body surface area (BSA) (m<sup>2</sup>), body mass index (BMI) (kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and height (cm).

Assessment of ejection fraction (EF). In each subject, measurement of EF by M-mode (modified Quinones formula), quantitative 2D (biplane Simpson method of disks), and semiquantitative 2D (visual estimate) methods was performed as previously described (13,14).

Assessment of diastolic function. Pulsed-wave Doppler examination of mitral (before and with Valsalva maneuver) and pulmonary venous inflow and Doppler tissue imaging of the mitral annulus were performed in each subject. Diastolic function was categorized as: normal, impaired relaxation (grade I), pseudonormalized filling (grade II), and restrictive filling (grade III to IV), as previously described and validated (15,16).



Figure 1. (Left) Parasternal short-axis M-mode left atrial dimension. (Right) Apical four-chamber view demonstrates short and long axes.

**Reference subgroup.** Of the 2,042 participants, 1,020 had no history of cardiovascular, renal, or pulmonary disease and were taking no cardiovascular medications. Of these, 767 subjects had normal EF (>50%), no wall motion abnormalities, normal diastolic function, no valve disease, and normal sinus rhythm. These 767 subjects form the normal subgroup.

Statistical methods. Continuous variables are summarized as the mean  $\pm$  1 SD, and comparisons between subjects included or excluded from the reference group used the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Categorical variables are summarized as a percentage of the group total, and the chi-squared test was used for comparisons between subjects included in the reference groups and those subjects who were excluded. For the reference subgroup, the Spearman correlation coefficient was used to assess the bivariate or pair-wise association of left atrial size parameters with age and body size. In addition, linear regression models were used to assess the joint association of age, gender, and body size with left atrial size. The prevalence of left atrial enlargement was estimated in the total population by using the empirical 95th percentile for LAD/BSA and LAV/BSA from the reference subgroup, and the association of prevalent cardiovascular abnormalities with prevalent left atrial enlargement was summarized by using the odds ratio with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs). To compare the strength of association of LAD/BSA and LAV/BSA with cardiovascular abnormalities, the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve for each index of left atrial size was estimated and compared by the methods of DeLong et al. (17). Comparisons between the area under the curve for LAV/BSA and LAD/BSA were done unadjusted and adjusted for age and gender.

			0 1		0 1		
	Total Po (n = 2	pulation 2,041)	Reference (n =	Subgroup 767)	Abnormal (n = 1	Subgroup* 1,274)	
Variables	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	p Value
Women, %	51.84	NA	53.72	NA	50.71	NA	0.1876
Age, yrs	62.73	10.54	56.72	7.75	66.35	10.35	< 0.0001
BSA, m <sup>2</sup>	1.90	0.23	1.89	0.23	1.90	0.24	0.2974
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	28.44	5.31	27.62	4.73	28.94	5.57	< 0.0001
Height, cm	168.12	9.96	168.99	9.66	167.60	10.10	0.0021
LAD, cm	3.91	0.63	3.68	0.51	4.05	0.66	< 0.0001
LAV, ml	47.35	17.38	41.86	11.91	50.79	19.29	< 0.0001

Table 1. Characteristics of the Total Population, Reference Subgroup, and Abnormal Subgroup

\*One subject was excluded as an outlier for LAV (>500 ml).

BMI = body mass index; BSA = body surface area; LAD = left atrial dimension; LAV = left atrial volume; NA = not applicable.

# RESULTS

Measurement of LAD was possible in 1,975 of the total population-based cohort of 2,042 subjects (97%) and in 750 of the 767 subjects (98%) in the reference subgroup. Measurement of LAV was possible in 1,888 of the 2,042 subjects (92%) and in 727 of the 767 subjects (95%) in the reference subgroup. Data to calculate BSA were missing in two of the subjects with LAV measurement.

LAD and LAV in normal subjects. Clinical characteristics of subjects in the total population and stratified by inclusion or exclusion from the reference subgroup are summarized in Table 1. The reference subgroup contained 300 obese participants (BMI >28 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). The LAV/BSA for obese participants (mean  $\pm$  SD, 22.85  $\pm$  5.40 ml/m<sup>2</sup>) was slightly larger than for lean participants (BMI  $\leq$  28 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) who had a mean LAV/BSA of 21.46  $\pm$  5.14 ml/m<sup>2</sup>.

Bivariable analysis showed that LAD and LAV were larger in men and increased with body size or relative weight (Table 2). There was a weak association of age with LAV.

Linear regression models incorporating gender, age, and body size indexes (Table 3) accounted for 12% to 26% of the variability in LAD in the reference subgroup, with higher  $R^2$  values for models incorporating BSA and BMI than those incorporating height. In particular, addition of BSA to the model with age and gender increased the  $R^2$  from 12% to 23% and removed the effect of gender. When BMI was added to age and gender, the  $R^2$  increased from 12% to 26%, without changing the association with gender. Height had little additional impact on LAD, given age and gender, with  $R^2$  remaining at 12%.

**Table 2.** Association of LA Size With Age, Gender, and Body Size by Bivariable Analysis in the Reference Subgroup (n = 767)

	LA Din	LA Dimension		olume
Variables	Spearman (R <sup>2</sup> )	p Value	Spearman (R <sup>2</sup> )	p Value
BSA	0.463	< 0.0001	0.542	< 0.0001
BMI	0.448	< 0.0001	0.411	< 0.0001
Height	0.299	< 0.0001	0.429	< 0.0001
Age	0.0003	0.9919	-0.086	0.0208
Female gender	-0.357	< 0.0001	-0.408	< 0.0001

BMI = body mass index; BSA = body surface area; LA = left atrial.

For LAV, models incorporating body size, age, and gender accounted for 19% to 29% of the variability in LAV. Similar to LAD, models using BSA and BMI had the highest  $R^2$  values. Age was not associated with LAV, when gender and body size measures were controlled. When adjusting for body size using BMI or height, gender had a highly significant association with LAV. This was not true, however, when BSA was used to adjust for body size.

Both LAV and LAD were strongly associated with body size. For those cardiac structural parameters associated with body size, reference values are customarily reported corrected for body size by means of indexation. By controlling for body size with indexation to BSA, BMI, and height, the association of LAD and LAV with age and gender was investigated (Table 4). For LAD, age was associated with LAD when indexed to BSA, BMI, or height, and gender remained significant when LAD was indexed to BSA or BMI. For LAV, no significant association with age was present with indexation to BSA, BMI, or height. However, males had significantly larger LAV in all three indexed models.

Gender-specific reference ranges for left atrial dimension and volume, with and without indexing, are provided in Table 5.

Relationship between atrial size and cardiovascular disease in the entire cohort. The association between left atrial size, as defined by quartiles of LAD/BSA or LAV/ BSA, and the presence of cardiovascular disease in the entire cohort is shown in Table 6. Atrial size, by either parameter, is significantly associated with the presence of cardiovascular disease. Moreover, the unadjusted accuracy (area under the receiver operating characteristic curve) was similar for each atrial size parameter in relation to cardiovascular disease except for congestive heart failure, where LAV/BSA had significantly better accuracy than LAD/BSA (p = 0.028). However, when adjusting for age and gender, LAV/BSA had better accuracy than LAD/BSA for hypertension (p =0.0007), congestive heart failure (p = 0.028), and atrial fibrillation (p = 0.0061).

Prevalence of left atrial enlargement in the population. If left atrial enlargement is defined as LAV/BSA >95th percentile in normal subjects, the prevalence of left atrial

		LA Dimension			LA Volume	
Variables	Parameter Estimate*	Confidence Intervals	p Value	Parameter Estimate*	Confidence Intervals	p Value
Age	0.034	0.00, 0.07	0.0344	-0.29	-1.10, 0.52	0.4785
Female gender	-0.35	-0.42, -0.29	< 0.0001	-9.58	-11.19, -7.97	< 0.0001
Model summary		$R^2 = 0.1193$	< 0.0001		$R^2 = 0.1646$	< 0.0001
Age	0.07	0.04, 0.10	< 0.0001	0.54	-0.22, 1.30	0.1609
Female gender	-0.05	-0.14, 0.03	0.2289	-1.77	-3.77, 0.23	0.0827
BSA	0.22	0.18, 0.27	< 0.0001	5.82	4.82, 6.83	< 0.0001
Model summary		$R^2 = 0.2256$	< 0.0001		$R^2 = 0.2905$	< 0.0001
Age	0.06	0.03, 0.09	0.0002	0.14	-0.63, 0.91	0.7193
Female gender	-0.28	-0.34, -0.22	< 0.0001	-8.07	-9.61, -6.52	< 0.0001
BMI	0.20	0.17, 0.23	< 0.0001	4.01	3.20, 4.81	< 0.0001
Model summary		$R^2 = 0.2647$	< 0.0001		$R^2 = 0.2620$	< 0.0001
Age	0.04	0.01, 0.08	0.0186	0.08	-0.73, 0.88	0.8477
Female gender	-0.29	-0.40, -0.19	< 0.0001	-4.70	-7.07, -2.32	0.0001
Height	0.04	-0.01, 0.10	0.1151	3.29	2.09, 4.49	< 0.0001
Model summary		$R^2 = 0.1223$	< 0.0001		$R^2 = 0.1968$	< 0.0001

Table 3. Relationship Between Indexes of LA Size and Age, Gender, and Body Size by Multivariable Analysis in the Reference Subgroup

\*Change in LA dimension or LA volume associated with a 1 SD increment in age or body size index within the reference subgroup.

BMI = body mass index; BSA = body surface area; LA = left atrial.

enlargement in the Olmsted County, Minnesota, population was equal in men and women at 16% (CI, 14% to 19% for both). The prevalence of left atrial enlargement by LAD/BSA was 18% in men and 12% in women (CI, 15% to 20% and 10% to 14%, respectively). The correspondence between the two methods of defining left atrial enlargement is shown in Table 7. Overall, there was 88% observed concordance. However, this observed agreement was heavily influenced by the large number of subjects without left atrial enlargement. The Cohen kappa statistic was 0.54 (CI, 0.49 to 0.59), indicating only fair agreement. The Spearman correlation between the two indexes was 0.65 (p < 0.001). When left atrial enlargement was defined by either index, the odds ratio for the presence of cardiovascular disease with left atrial enlargement was similar (Table 8).

# DISCUSSION

A simple and feasible method to measure LAV was applied in a cross-sectional sample of the population and compared with the standard index of left atrial size, LAD. In a subgroup of subjects without cardiovascular disease or cardiac dysfunction (n = 767), both indexes of left atrial size increased with increasing body size and were larger in men. The variability in body size, age, and gender explained up to 29% of the variability of left atrial size in the reference subjects. When the association between left atrial size and body size was controlled for by indexing to BSA, BMI, or height, each indexed variable differed between men and women, except LAD/height. In the reference subjects, there was a positive association between indexed LAD and age, although the magnitude of the change with age was not clinically relevant. There was no association between age and indexed or nonindexed LAV in the reference subjects. In the entire population-based cohort, the prevalence of cardiovascular disease increases with increasing LAD/BSA

and LAV/BSA. When adjusted for age and gender, LAV/ BSA exhibited a stronger association with cardiovascular disease than LAD/BSA. Left atrial enlargement was common in the general population older than age 45 years, and the prevalence of left atrial enlargement in the population was somewhat higher when LAV/BSA was used to assess left atrial size. The agreement between left atrial enlargement as defined by LAV/BSA and LAD/BSA was only fair. However, left atrial enlargement as defined by either index was associated with a similar prevalence of cardiovascular disease.

LAV. Left atrial size, as measured by a single M-mode dimension, is associated with the presence of cardiovascular disease and is predictive of stroke, atrial fibrillation, and death (1,2). It has been proposed that the left atrium enlarges asymmetrically; hence, LAV, an index that encompasses multiplanar measurements, may be a more accurate measure of atrial size. Although others have used the four-chamber area-length and biplane Simpsons methods to measure LAV (3,18,19), we used an elliptical model (12,20) that incorporates two short-axis and one long-axis measurement and does not require endocardial tracing or complex calculations. Our median normal LAV/BSA values, 21 ml/m<sup>2</sup> for women and 22 ml/m<sup>2</sup> for men, are similar to the mean values reported for both genders by using the biplane Simpsons method ( $20 \pm 6 \text{ ml/m}^2$ ) and the four-chamber area-length method ( $21 \pm 7 \text{ ml/m}^2$ ) in 48 normal volunteers by Wang et al. (18). The mean value reported by Tsang et al. (21) using the biplane Simpsons method (22  $\pm$ 4 ml/m<sup>2</sup>) in 138 subjects with otherwise normal cardiac structure and function is also similar to our data. We also confirm that LAV/BSA, when adjusted for age and gender, correlates better than LAD/BSA with the presence of cardiovascular disease. These data suggest that this simple method yields values that are similar to more complex

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			LAD/BSA			LAD/BMI			LAD/Ht	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Parameter Estimate*	Confidence Intervals	p Value	Parameter Estimate*	Confidence Intervals	p Value	Parameter Estimate*	Confidence Intervals	p Value
LAV/BSA         LAV/BMI         LAV/BMI         LAV/BMI         LAV/BMI         LAV/BMI           Female gender $-1.51$ $-2.28$ , $-0.73$ $0.0001$ $-0.25$ $-0.31$ , $-0.19$ $0.0001$ $-0.04$ $-0.05$ , $-0.004$ Age $0.28$ $-0.11$ , $0.66$ $0.16$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ , $0.04$ $0.32$ $0.0002$ $-0.004$ , $0.004$	Female gender Age Model summary	0.13 0.06 $R^2 = 0.1106$	0.09, 0.17 0.04, 0.08	< 0.0001 < 0.0001 < 0.0001	-0.003 0.003 $R^{2} = 0.0284$	-0.006, 0.000 0.002, 0.005	0.04 < $0.0001$ < $0.0001$	-0.0002 0.0004 $R^{2} = 0.0172$	-0.001, 0.0002 0.0002, 0.001	0.25 0.0004 0.0015
Female gender $-1.51$ $-2.28$ , $-0.73$ $0.0001$ $-0.25$ $-0.31$ , $-0.19$ $0.0001$ $-0.04$ $-0.05$ , $-0.05$ , $-0.04$ Age $0.28$ $-0.11$ , $0.66$ $0.16$ $0.01$ $-0.01$ , $0.04$ $0.32$ $0.0002$ $-0.04$ , $0.04$ , $0.04$ , $0.02$ Model manner $D^2 - 0.037$ $0.006$ $D^2 - 0.004$ $D^2 - 0.0272$			LAV/BSA			LAV/BMI			LAV/Ht	
$\frac{1}{100001} N = 0.0201 \qquad N = 0.0201 \qquad N = 0.074 \qquad - 0.0001 \qquad N = 0.0720$	Female gender Age Model summary	$-1.51 \\ 0.28 \\ R^2 = 0.0207$	-2.28, -0.73 -0.11, 0.66	0.0001 0.16 0.0005	-0.25 0.01 $R^2 = 0.094$	-0.31, -0.19 -0.01, 0.04	0.0001 0.32 < 0.0001	-0.04 0.0002 $R^{2} = 0.0726$	-0.05, -0.03 -0.004, 0.005	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0001 \\ 0.9359 \\ < 0.0001 \end{array}$

Table 5.	Reference	Ranges t	for LAD	and LAV	From the
Reference	e Subgroup	, ,			

			Percentile	
Measurement	Gender	5%	50%	95%
LAD, cm	Female	2.80	3.50	4.20
LAD, cm	Male	3.00	3.90	4.60
LAD/BSA, cm/m <sup>2</sup>	Female	1.58	2.00	2.52
LAD/BSA, cm/m <sup>2</sup>	Male	1.49	1.88	2.30
LAD/BMI, cm/kg per m <sup>2</sup>	Female	0.100	0.131	0.176
LAD/BMI, cm/kg per m <sup>2</sup>	Male	0.101	0.137	0.172
LAD/height, cm/cm	Female	0.017	0.022	0.026
LAD/height, cm/cm	Male	0.017	0.022	0.027
LAV, ml	Female	23	36	54
LAV, ml	Male	29	45	69
LAV/BSA, ml/m <sup>2</sup>	Female	14	21	30
LAV/BSA, ml/m <sup>2</sup>	Male	14	22	33
LAV/BMI, ml/kg per m <sup>2</sup>	Female	0.94	1.37	2.07
LAV/BMI, ml/kg per m <sup>2</sup>	Male	1.02	1.61	2.47
LAV/height, ml/cm	Female	0.144	0.226	0.333
LAV/height, ml/cm	Male	0.164	0.257	0.390

BMI = body mass index; BSA = body surface area; LAD = left atrial dimension; LAV = left atrial volume.

methods of measuring LAV and reflects left atrial remodeling associated with cardiovascular disease. The large number of prospectively studied, rigorously selected reference subjects and the population-based setting used in the current study provide an appropriate venue for the definition of "normal" reference values.

Although LAV is purported to be a superior method to assess left atrial size, the optimal way to account for the association between body size and LAV when reporting LAV has not been established (22). Further, the need to account for gender or age in the definition of upper normal values is not clear from current literature. In the small volunteer group in which normal values were previously described for LAV, predominantly young subjects were studied, and the number of subjects was insufficient to determine if LAV varied with age, gender, or body size (18). The Framingham study (23) indicated that LAD increased with increasing body size and that BMI correlated with LAD more strongly than weight or BSA. Yet, the data are typically presented indexed to height, considered an intrinsic variable of body size that attempts to avoid problems associated with overcorrection for the effect of obesity on body size (24,25). In the current study, models incorporating BSA accounted for more of the variability in LAV in normal subjects, suggesting that indexing to BSA is an appropriate way to control for body size when reporting LAV.

When considering the relationship between body size and LAV in the reference cohort, we did not exclude subjects who were obese if there was no known cardiovascular disease or cardiac dysfunction. The relationship between body size and LAV was stronger for body size indexes that incorporate weight (BMI and BSA), as previously described by Gottdiener et al. (26). Obese subjects free of cardiovascular disease have larger (nonindexed) LAD (27), and

Pritchett et al.

Left Atrial Volume

Table 6.	Association	of Cardiova	scular Dise	ase and	Indexes	of Left	Atrial	Size	in	the
Populatio	on-Based Co	ohort*								

		Qua	ırtile		Unadiversed AUC	Adjusted AUC	
	1	2	3	4	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	
LAD/BSA							
Hypertension (%)	21.0	25.0	33.6	39.5	0.60	0.66†	
					(0.58, 0.63)	(0.64, 0.69)	
Coronary artery disease (%)	5.9	9.8	9.8	22.7	0.67	0.80	
					(0.63, 0.71)	(0.77, 0.84)	
Myocardial infarction (%)	2.6	3.9	3.5	9.3	0.65	0.80	
					(0.58, 0.71)	(0.75, 0.84)	
CHF (%)	0.4	1.2	0.4	6.5	0.83†	0.85†	
					(0.75, 0.91)	(0.78, 0.92)	
Valve disease (%)	0.8	1.6	2.9	11.1	0.80	0.84	
					(0.75, 0.86)	(0.80, 0.89)	
Atrial fib/flutter (%)	1.2	2.0	3.7	11.3	0.77	0.83†	
					(0.71, 0.82)	(0.79, 0.88)	
LAV/BSA							
Hypertension (%)	20.4	24.6	28.0	43.2	0.62	0.68†	
					(0.59, 0.65)	(0.65, 0.71)	
Coronary artery disease (%)	5.3	8.3	8.7	24.8	0.70	0.81	
5 5 4 7					(0.66, 0.74)	(0.78, 0.84)	
Myocardial infarction (%)	1.9	3.8	3.0	10.2	0.68	0.80	
					(0.62, 0.75)	(0.76, 0.85)	
CHF (%)	0	0.6	0.6	6.8	0.88†	0.89†	
					(0.83, 0.94)	(0.84, 0.95)	
Valve disease (%)	1.3	1.1	2.1	11.7	0.80	0.86	
					(0.75, 0.86)	(0.82, 0.91)	
Atrial fib/flutter (%)	1.1	1.7	2.3	13.6	0.80	0.86†	
					(0.75, 0.85)	(0.82, 0.90)	

The percentage of subjects with each cardiovascular condition within each quartile of left atrial size as defined by LAD/BSA and LAV/BSA is shown. \*For each cardiovascular disease, both unadjusted and adjusted LAV/BSA and LAD/BSA indexes had significantly better than chance-predicted accuracy (p < 0.0001); †p < 0.05 for testing equality of AUC between LAV/BSA and LAD/BSA.

AUC = area under curve; BSA = body surface area; CHF = congestive heart failure; fib = fibrillation; LAD = left atrial dimension; LAV = left atrial volume.

weight loss is associated with a decrease in LAD (28). Increases in stroke volume and cardiac output are needed to meet the higher metabolic demands in obese subjects who have larger blood volumes and left ventricular mass than nonobese subjects (27). Indeed, nonobese athletes have similar physiologic changes due to exercise and also have larger atrial size (18,29). Although our reference values are influenced by inclusion of overweight and obese individuals, LAV/BSA was similar in lean (BMI  $\leq 28 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) and overweight or obese (BMI >28 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) normal subjects. Prospective follow-up of this cohort may allow us to determine whether the slightly larger LAV observed in an obese individual reflects physiology or is an indicator of covert cardiovascular disease. Indexing left atrial size variables to body size permits more uniform comparison among subjects and may allow more accurate selection of those with atrial enlargement related to cardiovascular abnormalities.

Others (30-32) have reported that LAD increases slightly with age. In our study, age was significantly associated with LAD in the multivariate analysis. However, the increment in LAD/BSA associated with an eight-year increase in age was small  $(0.07 \text{ cm/m}^2)$  and is not likely to be clinically relevant. In contrast, our data and that of others suggest that increasing age is not associated with an increase in LAV/BSA when excluding or controlling for the presence of cardiovascular disease (12,18).

In general, gender and body size are related. In the multivariable analysis, the model combining age, gender, and BSA suggested that gender was not independently associated with LAV. However, when controlling for body size by simply indexing LAV to BSA, we find a small but significant difference between LAV/BSA in men and women, suggesting the need for gender-specific reference values for LAV/BSA.

Association of LAV and cardiovascular disease. The traditional index of left atrial size, LAD, tends to be larger in those individuals with cardiovascular disease (1,2). This association likely reflects left atrial pressure and volume

**Table 7.** Agreement\* Between Left Atrial Enlargement as Definedby LAD/BSA or LAV/BSA in the Population-Based Cohort

	Subjects, N	o. $(n = 1,886)$
	LAD/BSA Normal	LAD/BSA Abnormal
LAV/BSA normal	1,474	104
LAV/BSA abnormal	127	181

\*Observed agreement = 88%; kappa = 0.54 (0.49 - 0.59).

BSA = body surface area; LAD = left atrial dimension; LAV = left atrial volume.

Cardiovascular Disease	LAD/BSA		LAV/BSA	
	LAE, %	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	LAE, %	Odds Ratios (95% CI)
Hypertension	22	2.1	27	2.7
No hypertension	12	(1.7, 2.8)	12	(2.1, 3.5)
Coronary artery disease	38	4.6	38	4.0
No coronary artery disease	12	(3.4, 6.2)	13	(3.0, 5.5)
Congestive heart failure	71	15.8	74	15.7
No congestive heart failure	14	(8.0, 31.3)	15	(7.6, 32.8)
Valve disease	59	9.5	61	9.4
No valve disease	13	(6.0, 15.2)	14	(5.8, 15.2)
Atrial fib/flutter	54	7.8	56	7.7
No atrial fib/flutter	13	(5.1, 12.1)	14	(4.9, 12.0)

**Table 8.** Prevalence of LAE as Defined by LAD/BSA and LAV/BSA According to Presence or

 Absence of Cardiovascular Disease in the Population-Based Cohort

BSA = body surface area; CI = confidence interval; fib = fibrillation; LAD = left atrial dimension; LAE = left atrial enlargement; LAV = left atrial volume.

overload in response to cardiac dysfunction associated with cardiovascular diseases. The relationship between LAD and the presence of atrial fibrillation is also well established (33). As expected, in the current study, the prevalence of cardiovascular diseases increased with increasing LAV/BSA. The association between cardiovascular disease and LAV/BSA was stronger than that observed with LAD/BSA after adjustment for age and gender.

Prevalence of left atrial enlargement in the population. As defined by LAD or LAV, the prevalence of left atrial enlargement in this middle-aged to elderly segment of the population was significant: 16% in men and women by using LAV/BSA and 18% (men) and 12% (women) by using LAD/BSA. The high prevalence of left atrial enlargement reflects the prevalence of cardiovascular disease in this community-based population aged  $\geq$ 45 years in which 29.5% had hypertension, 4.8% have had a myocardial infarction, 2.2% had heart failure, 7.5% had diabetes, and 2.0% have had a stroke. These data are important because the Framingham study has established that left atrial size predicts development of atrial fibrillation, stroke, and death in a community-based population. It remains unclear whether subjects with left atrial enlargement should be targeted for more aggressive intervention, as are patients with hypertension or diabetes and target organ damage.

These cross-sectional data do not allow us to establish that LAV is a better index of left atrial enlargement. Lester et al. (3) suggested that the left atrium may become less spherical as it enlarges and, thus, that LAV may be a more sensitive index of left atrial enlargement. Indeed, Tsang et al. (33) reported that LAV was more strongly associated than LAD with the future development of atrial fibrillation in a large clinical population. Although the prevalence of cardiovascular disease was similar among those with left atrial enlargement as defined by LAV and LAD, LAV identified a slightly larger population with left atrial enlargement, and the agreement between the two indexes, as defined by the kappa statistic, was only fair. These data suggest that the two indexes identify somewhat different segments of the population as abnormal. Thus, the potential exists for LAV to be a more powerful predictor of future cardiovascular events within the population, but it will require large longitudinal studies in which standardized increments in LAD and LAV are compared for their predictive value.

In conclusion, our study describes a simple and highly feasible method to quantify LAV, establishes the contribution of body size, gender, and age to LAV, provides normal values for the index in a rigorously defined, populationbased reference population, and confirms the association of LAV measured in this way with cardiovascular disease. Although more time-consuming methodologies for measuring LAV have been suggested, our data should enable echocardiographic laboratories to incorporate this simple and standardized measurement into their practice. We also establish that left atrial enlargement is common in the community and reflects the extent of cardiovascular disease in those older than age 45 years.

Reprint requests and correspondence: Dr. Margaret M. Redfield, Mayo Clinic, 200 First Street Southwest, Rochester, Minnesota 55905. E-mail: redfield.margaret@mayo.edu.

# REFERENCES

- Vaziri SM, Larson MG, Benjamin EJ, Levy D. Echocardiographic predictors of nonrheumatic atrial fibrillation: the Framingham Heart study. Circulation 1994;89:724–30.
- 2. Benjamin EJ, D'Agostino RB, Belanger AJ, Wolf PA, Levy D. Left atrial size and the risk of stroke and death: the Framingham Heart study. Circulation 1995;92:835–41.
- 3. Lester SJ, Ryan EW, Schiller NB, Foster E. Best method in clinical practice and in research studies to determine left atrial size. Am J Cardiol 1999;84:829–32.
- 4. Schabelman S, Schiller NB, Silverman NH, Ports TA. Left atrial volume estimation by two-dimensional echocardiography. Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn 1981;7:165–78.
- Arcilla RA, Thilenius OG, Chiemmongkoltip P, Ranniger K. Left atrial volume calculation by angiocardiography in children. Chest 1973;63:189–97.
- 6. Kurland LT, Molgaard CA. The patient record in epidemiology. Sci Am 1981;245:54–63.

- Melton LJ, III. History of the Rochester Epidemiology Project. Mayo Clin Proc 1996;71:266–74.
- Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. The sixth report of the Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure. Arch Intern Med 1997;157:2413–46.
- Gillum RF, Fortmann SP, Prineas RJ, Kottke TE. International diagnostic criteria for acute myocardial infarction and acute stroke. Am Heart J 1984;108:150–8.
- McKee PA, Castelli WP, McNamara PM, Kannel WB. The natural history of congestive heart failure: the Framingham study. N Engl J Med 1971;285:1441–6.
- Sahn DJ, DeMaria A, Kisslo J, Weyman A. Recommendations regarding quantitation in M-mode echocardiography: results of a survey of echocardiographic measurements. Circulation 1978;58: 1072–83.
- Murray JA, Kennedy JW, Figley MM. Quantitative angiocardiography. II. The normal left atrial volume in man. Circulation 1968; 37:800-4.
- Schiller NB, Shah PM, Crawford M, et al. Recommendations for quantitation of the left ventricle by two-dimensional echocardiography: American Society of Echocardiography Committee on Standards, Subcommittee on Quantitation of Two-Dimensional Echocardiograms. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 1989;2:358–67.
- Quinones MA, Waggoner AD, Reduto LA, et al. A new, simplified and accurate method for determining ejection fraction with twodimensional echocardiography. Circulation 1981;64:744–53.
- Nishimura RA, Tajik AJ. Évaluation of diastolic filling of left ventricle in health and disease: Doppler echocardiography is the clinician's rosetta stone. J Am Coll Cardiol 1997;30:8–18.
- Ommen SR, Nishimura RA, Appleton CP, et al. Clinical utility of Doppler echocardiography and tissue Doppler imaging in the estimation of left ventricular filling pressures: a comparative simultaneous Doppler-catheterization study. Circulation 2000;102:1788–94.
- DeLong ER, DeLong DM, Clarke-Pearson DL. Comparing the areas under two or more correlated receiver operating characteristic curves: a nonparametric approach. Biometrics 1988;44:837–45.
- Wang Y, Gutman JM, Heilbron D, Wahr D, Schiller NB. Atrial volume in a normal adult population by two-dimensional echocardiography. Chest 1984;86:595–601.
- Schiller NB, Botvinick EH. Noninvasive quantitation of the left heart by echocardiography and scintigraphy. Cardiovasc Clin 1986;17:45– 93.
- Sauter HJ, Dodge HT, Johnston RR, Graham TP. The relationship of left atrial pressure and volume in patients with heart disease. Am Heart J 1964;67:635–42.

- Tsang TS, Gersh BJ, Appleton CP, et al. Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction as a predictor of the first diagnosed nonvalvular atrial fibrillation in 840 elderly men and women. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;40:1636–44.
- 22. Vasan RS, Levy D, Larson MG, Benjamin EJ. Interpretation of echocardiographic measurements: a call for standardization. Am Heart J 2000;139:412–22.
- Vaziri SM, Larson MG, Lauer MS, Benjamin EJ, Levy D. Influence of blood pressure on left atrial size: the Framingham Heart study. Hypertension 1995;25:1155–60.
- Levy D, Anderson KM, Savage DD, Kannel WB, Christiansen JC, Castelli WP. Echocardiographically detected left ventricular hypertrophy: prevalence and risk factors: the Framingham Heart study. Ann Intern Med 1988;108:7–13.
- 25. Vasan RS, Larson MG, Levy D, Evans JC, Benjamin EJ. Distribution and categorization of echocardiographic measurements in relation to reference limits: the Framingham Heart study: formulation of a height- and sex-specific classification and its prospective validation. Circulation 1997;96:1863–73.
- Gottdiener JS, Reda DJ, Williams DW, Materson BJ. Left atrial size in hypertensive men: influence of obesity, race and age: Department of Veterans Affairs Cooperative Study Group on Antihypertensive Agents. J Am Coll Cardiol 1997;29:651–8.
- Sasson Z, Rasooly Y, Gupta R, Rasooly I. Left atrial enlargement in healthy obese: prevalence and relation to left ventricular mass and diastolic function. Can J Cardiol 1996;12:257–63.
- Alaud-din A, Meterissian S, Lisbona R, MacLean LD, Forse RA. Assessment of cardiac function in patients who were morbidly obese. Surgery 1990;108:809–18.
- Toutouzas K, Trikas A, Pitsavos C, et al. Echocardiographic features of left atrium in elite male athletes. Am J Cardiol 1996;78:1314–7.
- Gardin JM, Henry WL, Savage DD, Ware JH, Burn C, Borer JS. Echocardiographic measurements in normal subjects: evaluation of an adult population without clinically apparent heart disease. J Clin Ultrasound 1979;7:439–47.
- Triulzi M, Gillam LD, Gentile F, Newell JB, Weyman AE. Normal adult cross-sectional echocardiographic values: linear dimensions and chamber areas. Echocardiography 1984;1:403–26.
- 32. Triposkiadis F, Tentolouris K, Androulakis A, et al. Left atrial mechanical function in the healthy elderly: new insights from a combined assessment of changes in atrial volume and transmitral flow velocity. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 1995;8:801–9.
- Tsang TS, Barnes ME, Bailey KR, et al. Left atrial volume: important risk marker of incident atrial fibrillation in 1655 older men and women. Mayo Clin Proc 2001;76:467–75.