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Objectives
The purpose of this study was to examine use and describe outcomes of radial access for percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).

Background
Transradial PCI (TRI) is associated with reduced risk of bleeding and vascular complications, as compared with femoral access PCI (FPCI). Studies have suggested that TRI may reduce mortality among patients with STEMI.

Methods
We examined 294,769 patients undergoing PCI for STEMI at 1,204 hospitals in the CathPCI Registry between 2007 and 2011. Patients were grouped according to access site used for PCI. The temporal trend in the rate of radial versus femoral approach was determined. For minimization of confounding, an inverse probability weighting analysis incorporating propensity scores was used to compare procedural success, post-PCI bleeding, door-to-balloon times, and in-hospital mortality between radial and femoral access.

Results
Over the 5-year period, the use of TRI versus FPCI in STEMI increased from 0.9% to 6.4% (p < 0.0001). There was no difference in procedural success. TRI was associated with longer median door-to-balloon time (78 vs. 74 min; p < 0.0001) but lower adjusted risk of bleeding (odds ratio [OR]: 0.62; 95% CI: 0.53 to 0.72; p < 0.0001) and lower adjusted risk of in-hospital mortality (OR: 0.76; 95% CI: 0.57 to 0.99; p = 0.0455).

Conclusions
In this large national database, use of radial access for PCI in STEMI increased over the study period. Despite longer door-to-balloon times, the radial approach was associated with lower bleeding rate and reduced in-hospital mortality. These data provide support to execute an adequately powered randomized controlled trial comparing radial and femoral approaches for PCI in STEMI. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;61:420–6) © 2013 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation
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Treatment of acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) has improved substantially, and the mortality rates from this condition have continued to decline (1). Despite these positive trends, bleeding rates remain high, particularly in the setting of primary or rescue percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) (2,3). In this context, strategies that reduce bleeding risk appear to be associated with a lower rate of net adverse clinical events (4). Studies have indicated that a large proportion of bleeding among patients with STEMI undergoing primary PCI was related to the vascular access site (5). Outside of the setting of acute STEMI, transradial PCI (TRI) has been shown in many studies to reduce post-procedural bleeding and major vascular complications (6–8). Because there is a learning curve and subsequently increased procedure duration associated with TRI (9), the radial approach for primary PCI may worsen outcomes due to the clinical importance of rapid reperfusion. On the other hand, the lower rate of adverse outcomes associated with TRI has the potential to improve outcomes in high-risk patients such as those with STEMI. Studies that have examined these issues have reported conflicting results. Small single-center studies have
shown that door-to-balloon times with a radial approach are similar to those with the femoral approach. A larger meta-analysis of randomized and observational studies showed that TRI was associated with longer procedural times (10,11). Similarly, studies have conflicted in reporting bleeding and mortality outcomes associated with vascular access sites (radial vs. femoral). Some studies have shown lower rates, whereas 2 randomized trials have different conclusions. In the STEMI subgroup of the RIVAL (Radial vs Femoral Access for Coronary Intervention Trial) study, there was no difference in major bleeding between the radial and femoral groups, but there was an association between TRI and reduced 30-day mortality (12). In contrast, 2 meta-analyses have shown a reduction in bleeding and mortality with transradial primary PCI (11,13).

The National Cardiovascular Data Registry (NCDR) is the largest ongoing contemporary database of PCI procedures, which provides an ideal opportunity to explore the prevalence and in-hospital outcomes of TRI in STEMI. Accordingly, we examined the temporal trends of TRI in STEMI, compared patient and hospital characteristics by access site, and evaluated the association between TRI for STEMI and in-hospital outcomes.

Methods

Data source and study sample. The NCDR CathPCI Registry is an initiative of the American College of Cardiology (ACC) Foundation and the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions. Descriptions of the NCDR and CathPCI Registry have been previously published (14–15). Demographic, clinical, procedural, and institutional data elements from diagnostic catheterization and PCI procedures were collected at more than 1,315 participating centers. Data were entered via either a secure Web-based platform or software provided by ACC-certified vendors. Data quality assurance included automatic system validation and reporting of data completeness, random on-site auditing of participating centers, and education and training for site data managers (16). All data elements and definitions were prospectively defined by a committee of the ACC. A comprehensive description of NCDR data elements and definitions is available at http://www.ncdr.com/webncdr/cathpci/home/datacollection.

The study population consisted of patients with STEMI treated with immediate primary PCI or rescue PCI from January 1, 2007, to September 30, 2011. Patients were excluded if they had cardiogenic shock, more than 1 PCI during hospitalization, PCI through access of a nonfemoral and nonradial artery (i.e., ulnar, brachial), or unknown data on bleeding events. After these exclusions (Fig. 1), 294,769 patients from 1,204 sites were included in the analysis of prevalence and temporal trends of TRI for STEMI. For comparison of patient and hospital characteristics by approach (radial vs. femoral) and determination of the association between TRI for STEMI and in-hospital outcomes, further exclusions were made. Patients with sufficient data to calculate in-hospital mortality and bleeding risk according to CathPCI Registry version 4 models, from sites with at least 1 radial PCI for STEMI, were included for analysis, resulting in a final study population of 90,879 patients from 541 sites.

Endpoints and definitions. The primary endpoint for this analysis was in-hospital mortality. Other endpoints were procedural success (defined as the number of lesions dilated divided by the number of lesions attempted) and bleeding. Bleeding was defined as the presence of 1 or more of the following within 72 h of PCI: overt access site bleeding, retroperitoneal hemorrhage, intracranial hemorrhage, gastrointestinal or genitourinary bleeding, cardiac tamponade, non–bypass surgery–related blood transfusion in patients with a pre-procedure hemoglobin $\geq$8 g/dl, or an absolute decrease in hemoglobin $\geq$3 g/dl from pre- to post-PCI in patients with a pre-procedure hemoglobin value $<$16 g/dl.

Abbreviations and Acronyms

- PPCI = facilitated percutaneous coronary intervention
- PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention
- STEMI = ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction
- TRI = transradial percutaneous coronary intervention

The CONSORT Diagram

Patient population from CathPCI Registry selected for the study, displayed as a CONSORT diagram. The population was initially selected to allow analysis of prevalence and temporal trends of transradial percutaneous coronary intervention (TRI) for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). For comparison of patient and hospital characteristics by approach (radial vs. femoral) and determination of the association between TRI for STEMI and in-hospital outcomes, further exclusions were made. PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention.
**Ethical considerations.** This study was approved by the Duke University Medical Center institutional review board and was determined to meet the definition of research not requiring informed consent.

**Statistical analysis.** For determination of the temporal trends in the use of TRI for STEMI over the study period from 2007 to 2011, the quarterly proportion of TRI cases out of all PCI cases for STEMI (radial plus femoral) was calculated.

Patient and hospital characteristics were compared by approach (radial vs. femoral and overall). Patient characteristics, including demographics, history and risk factors, coronary anatomy, PCI procedure, lesions and devices, laboratory values, intraprocedure and post-procedure events, discharge, and hospital characteristics, were compared. Categorical variables are presented as frequencies (percentages), and differences between the 2 groups were assessed using the chi-square test when the sample size was sufficient, otherwise using an exact test. Continuous variables are presented as median (Q1, Q3) and were compared using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

For the development of a propensity score model for TRI versus femoral PCI, a logistic regression model was fit-adjusted for patient characteristics before catheterization. Variables were selected a priori from the CathPCI Registry version 4 in-hospital mortality and bleeding models to include variables that were related to the outcomes (17). Specifically, sex, age, body mass index, cerebrovascular disease, peripheral vascular disease, chronic lung disease, prior PCI, diabetes, ejection fraction, renal failure (glomerular filtration rate <30 or dialysis), glomerular filtration rate, cardiogenic shock, PCI status (emergency/salvage vs. urgent), New York Heart Association heart failure class, cardiac arrest, and pre-procedure hemoglobin (as a contin-

![Figure 2 Balance of Continuous and Categorical Variables After Adjustment](image)

Pre- and post-inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPW) balance of the covariates between radial and femoral patients was checked. IPW improved the balance for all variables. Continuous variables were reasonably balanced even before IPW (all $R^2 < 0.004$). (A) Continuous variables. (B) Categorical variables. GFR = glomerular filtration rate; HF = heart failure; Hgb = hemoglobin; NYHA = New York Heart Association; other abbreviation as in Figure 1.
balance of the covariates between treatment groups was assessed using Cramer’s phi for categorical variables and \( R^2 \) for continuous variables. Values closer to zero indicated better balance. After IPTW adjustment, the \( r^2 \) measure for each continuous variable was <0.0001, and it was <0.004 for each categorical variable, which indicates reasonable balance (Fig. 2).

**Results**

**Population characteristics and treatment.** Among 90,879 patients undergoing immediate primary or rescue PCI for STEMI, 6,159 patients (6.8%) received TRI, whereas 84,720 patients (93.2%) received facilitated PCI (FPCI). Baseline demographic and clinical history characteristics (Table 1) were different between the TRI and FPCI groups. Those undergoing TRI were younger and heavier and were more likely to be male, be white, have peripheral vascular disease, and have recent heart failure; they were less likely to have prior MI, PCI, and coronary artery bypass graft, or be on hemodialysis.

Procedural characteristics were different between the TRI and FPCI groups (Table 2). Fluoroscopy time was longer with TRI, but the volume of contrast used was less compared with FPCI. Intra-aortic balloon pump insertion was more frequent in patients receiving FPCI; however, there was no difference in the use of PCI without stenting between the groups. There was no difference in the use of drug-eluting stents. Both bivalirudin and glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors were used more frequently in the FPCI group. There was no difference in the frequency of bifurcation lesion treatment, lesion length, or location between the groups. ACC/American Heart Association high/C lesion risk was encountered less frequently in patients with TRI (63.99% vs. 66.08%; \( p = 0.0007 \)), but there was no difference in the procedural success rate. Median door-to-

### Table 1

**Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of the Study Population (N = 90,879)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Radial (N = 6,159)</th>
<th>Femoral (N = 84,720)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, yrs</td>
<td>59.37 ± 12.51</td>
<td>60.61 ± 12.95</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>75.27</td>
<td>72.38</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>89.24</td>
<td>88.09</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>30.11 ± 8.88</td>
<td>29.12 ± 8.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous MI</td>
<td>16.97</td>
<td>19.70</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>22.83</td>
<td>22.81</td>
<td>0.9833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular disease</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>0.6848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vascular disease</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic lung disease</td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>0.7761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>64.56</td>
<td>65.62</td>
<td>0.0914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current/recent smoker</td>
<td>45.30</td>
<td>43.93</td>
<td>0.0379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>61.81</td>
<td>63.45</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of CAD</td>
<td>25.05</td>
<td>20.73</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous PCI</td>
<td>17.92</td>
<td>21.19</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous CAGB</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently on dialysis</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure within 2 weeks</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>0.0491</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD or %. \( p \) Values are based on Pearson chi-square tests for all categorical variables and on chi-square rank-based group means score statistics for all continuous/ordinal variables. \( p < 0.05 \) indicates that the summary measures (i.e., medians for continuous variables and proportions for categorical variables) differ significantly between the radial and femoral access groups.

CAGB = coronary artery bypass graft; CAD = coronary artery disease; MI = myocardial infarction; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention.

### Table 2

**Procedural Characteristics in Patients With STEMI Treated With Radial and Femoral Access PCI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Radial (N = 6,159)</th>
<th>Femoral (N = 84,720)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fluoroscopy time, min</td>
<td>12.80</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast volume, ml</td>
<td>180.00</td>
<td>185.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left heart catheterization</td>
<td>85.87</td>
<td>85.17</td>
<td>0.5367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IABP</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-eluting stent</td>
<td>51.97</td>
<td>52.46</td>
<td>0.0699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No stent used</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>0.0699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bivalirudin</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>34.65</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-molecular-weight heparin</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa (any)</td>
<td>58.14</td>
<td>61.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High/C lesion risk</td>
<td>63.99</td>
<td>66.08</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifurcation lesion</td>
<td>12.71</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>0.4254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single lesion treated</td>
<td>80.55</td>
<td>78.90</td>
<td>0.0542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion successfully dilated</td>
<td>94.82</td>
<td>94.04</td>
<td>0.0254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door-to-device time, min</td>
<td>78.00</td>
<td>74.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are %. IABP = intra-aortic balloon pump; STEMI = ST-elevation myocardial infarction; other abbreviations as in Table 1.
device time was 4 min shorter in FPCI compared with TRI (74 vs. 78 min; p < 0.0001).

**Temporal trends in the use of TRI.** Use of TRI in STEMI increased over the study period, from 0.9% of patients receiving TRI in the first quarter of 2007 to 6.4% of patients receiving TRI in the third quarter of 2011 (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 3).

**Association between TRI for STEMI and in-hospital outcomes.** Vascular complications requiring procedural care were less common in TRI patients compared with FPCI patients (0.13% vs. 0.49%; p < 0.001). TRI was associated with in-hospital outcomes (Fig. 4). There was no difference in procedural success. Unadjusted rates of bleeding and in-hospital mortality were lower with TRI. After IPTW multivariable adjustment, TRI was associated with lower risk of bleeding (OR: 0.62; 95% CI: 0.53 to 0.72) and lower risk of in-hospital mortality (OR: 0.76; 95% CI: 0.57 to 0.99). NCDR version 4–defined bleeding was lower in the TRI group, as compared with the FPCI group: 6.88% versus 11.59% (p < 0.0001). NNT to prevent 1 bleeding event with TRI was 25; NNT to prevent 1 death with TRI was 207. The proportion of TRI use in patients with STEMI among the hospital sites varied from 0.2% to 76.6% (median 2.7%) (Fig. 5).

**Discussion**

Using data from a broadly representative contemporary PCI registry, we reported several important observations. First, although the rate of adoption of radial access for emergent PCI in the United States is low, there is a trend of its increased use from 0.9% to 6.4% in the course of 5 years. Second, we found that radial access was associated with slightly longer door-to-device time compared with femoral access. Third, despite this longer time, there was an association between TRI and reduced in-hospital mortality in patients with STEMI undergoing PCI. Fourth, there was also a significant association with reduced bleeding in the TRI group.

Primary reperfusion with PCI is a well-established standard of care in patients with STEMI (1). Although catheter-based therapy offers better outcomes compared
with thrombolytic therapy (22), bleeding and other arteriotomy site complications are potential limiting factors. Indeed, studies have indicated that the rate of bleeding was higher among patients undergoing primary PCI for STEMI compared with those undergoing PCI for other indications (4,23). A large proportion of these bleeding events were related to the vascular access site (5). In this high-risk population, strategies that reduce bleeding, such as use of bivalirudin, were associated with reduced mortality (4). Because radial access substantially reduces access site bleeding compared with femoral access, its use during primary and rescue PCI is of great interest.

Prior trials have examined the association between TRI and outcomes among patients with STEMI (11,24). In aggregate, these studies have shown a reduction in mortality, but not bleeding, with either slightly reduced door-to-balloon times (13) or longer procedure times. Based on these studies, the explanation for the mortality reduction with transradial primary PCI remains elusive. On the other hand, observational studies have consistently shown an association between TRI and both reduced bleeding and mortality. An observational study by Chase et al. (25) showed that the reduction in 1-year mortality among patients undergoing TRI was largely explained by a reduction in the need for post-procedure transfusion after transradial procedures. Recently, the RIFLE STEACS (Radial Versus Femoral Randomized Investigation in ST-Elevation Acute Coronary Syndrome) randomized trial confirmed these findings by showing a reduction in 30-day mortality with transradial primary PCI of similar magnitude to the reduction in Bleeding Academic Research Consortium type \( \geq 2 \) bleeding. Our study corroborated these studies and extends them by examining a large contemporary multicenter registry of PCI. Importantly, our study was able to account for current antithrombotic strategies such as bivalirudin.

Overall, there was no difference in procedural success between the FPCI and TRI cases, but TRI was associated with slightly longer door-to-device time. Shorter door-to-balloon times have been linked to improved survival (26). In this context, ambulance and hospital systems participating in acute infarct angioplasty function under significant time pressure, with publicly reported quality metrics. Any strategy that delays acute reperfusion requires careful consideration. Concerns about the difficulty with radial access, navigation of the tortuous arm vasculature to engage the coronary arteries, and inability to use larger thrombectomy catheters may be potential reasons why radial access is as rare in clinical practice as documented by our study. The association between TRI and reduced mortality despite longer door-to-device time may be reflective of competing risks—the risk associated with major bleeding after STEMI PCI may be greater than that conferred by a 4-min increase in reperfusion time. Other factors, such as immediate ability to ambulate and reduce stress afforded by TRI, may play a role, but they were not examined.

**Study limitations.** Some limitations of our study should be considered. As with any other observational study, associations between treatments and outcomes cannot prove causality. A limitation of the adjustment methodology is that there is always a chance of unmeasured confounding. There might be residual factors that are not known or not captured by the registry that may bias the results in favor of the femoral or radial access. However, operators and hospital systems in the United States are much more familiar with femoral access (as reflected by the longer fluoroscopy times in the TRI group), and any advantage of the radial access shown by providers early in the learning curve would likely become more evident with more experience in radial procedures. Finally, bleeding events can be underreported. Although an auditing program was used to verify data accuracy in the registry, outcomes were not adjudicated; thus, there may be underreporting of complications other than mortality. It is likely that the associations between radial access and reduced bleeding would be greater with higher reported bleeding rates.

**Conclusions**

There was a trend of increased use of radial access for STEMI PCI from 0.9% to 6.4% in the course of 5 years, between 2007 and 2011. Compared with femoral access, use of radial access for STEMI PCI was associated with slightly longer door-to-device time and fluoroscopy times but reduced bleeding and in-hospital mortality. These data suggest that wider adoption of TRI for STEMI may significantly improve outcomes; however, these results should be confirmed in an adequately powered prospective randomized trial.
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