

Note

Counting maximal cycles in binary matroids

P. Hoffmann

Mathematisches Institut der Universität zu Köln, Weyertal 86, 50931 Köln, Germany

Received 14 October 1994; revised 19 April 1995

Abstract

It is shown that each binary matroid contains an odd number of maximal cycles and, as a result of this, that each element of an Eulerian binary matroid is contained in an odd number of circuits.

Let M be a binary matroid with circuits $\mathcal{C}(M)$ and cycles $\mathcal{Z}(M)$, and let $\mathcal{C}_e(M)$ be the set of circuits containing an element e in the underlying set $E(M)$. (For matroid-theoretical background see [1].) Then M is Eulerian ($:\Leftrightarrow E(M) \in \mathcal{Z}(M)$) iff $\#\mathcal{C}_e(M)$ is odd for each e . Woodall [2] lists earlier occurrences of this statement and gives a new proof. The non-trivial part ('only if') can be reformulated ('each binary matroid contains an odd number of maximal cycles'), allowing another (simpler) proof.

For $S \subseteq E(M)$ let $M \setminus S$ denote the 'subtraction' of S with element set $E(M) \setminus S$ and $\mathcal{C}(M \setminus S) = \mathcal{C}(M) \cap \mathcal{P}(E(M) \setminus S)$, and for $e \in E(M)$ let $M \setminus e = M \setminus \{e\}$. Finally, let $\mathcal{Z}_p(M)$ be the set of maximal (prime) cycles of M and $\mathcal{Z}_o(M) = \mathcal{Z}(M) \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_p(M) \cup \{\emptyset\})$ the set of 'proper' cycles.

Lemma 1. *For an Eulerian binary matroid M and $e \in E(M)$ we have*

$$\#\mathcal{C}_e(M) = \#\mathcal{Z}_p(M \setminus e).$$

Proof. The mapping

$$\varphi: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C}_e(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_p(M \setminus e) \\ C \mapsto E(M) \setminus C \end{array} \right\}$$

is bijective.

Theorem 2. *Let M be a binary matroid. Then $\#\mathcal{Z}_p(M)$ is odd.*

This statement is in general not true for non-binary-matroids, as the uniform matroid $\mathcal{U}_{2,4}$ contains 4 maximal cycles.

Proof. Since the cycles of M form an \mathbb{F}_2 -vectorspace, either $\mathcal{Z}_p(M) = \{\emptyset\}$ or $\#\mathcal{Z}_p(M) + \#\mathcal{Z}_0(M) + 1 = \#\mathcal{Z}(M) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. To show $\#\mathcal{Z}_0(M) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, we count the pairs of maximal cycles C and proper cycles D in C .

$$\mathcal{S} := \{(C, D) \in \mathcal{Z}_p(M) \times \mathcal{Z}_0(M) / D \subseteq C\}.$$

Clearly,

$$\varphi: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \\ (C, D) \mapsto (C, C \setminus D) \end{array} \right\}$$

is an involution without fixed points, thus $\#\mathcal{S} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.

Now it suffices to show that for each $D_0 \in \mathcal{Z}_0(M)$ the set

$$\mathcal{S}_{D_0} := \{(C, D) \in \mathcal{S} / D = D_0\}$$

is odd. Observe that

$$\varphi_{D_0}: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{S}_{D_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_p(M \setminus D_0) \\ (C, D_0) \mapsto C \setminus D_0 \end{array} \right\}$$

is bijective and $\mathcal{Z}_p(M \setminus D_0)$ is odd by induction, which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3. *Let M be an Eulerian binary matroid and $e \in E(M)$. Then $\#\mathcal{C}_e(M)$ is odd.*

In fact, this corollary is equivalent to the above theorem, since for each binary matroid M there is a (unique) Eulerian binary matroid M' with element set $E(M) \cup \{e\}$ such that $M' \setminus e = M$.

References

- [1] D.J.A. Welsh, *Matroid Theory* (Academic Press, London, 1976).
- [2] D.R. Woodall, A proof of McKee's Eulerian-bipartite characterization, *Discrete Math.* 84 (1990) 217–220.