Volume 48, number 2 TEBS LETTERS November 1974

ACTIVITIES OF RIBOSOMAL CORES DEPRIVED OF PROTEINS L7, Ll **0, Ll 1** AND L12

Juan P. G. BALLESTA and D. VAZQUEZ *Instituto de Biologik Celular, Velazquez 144, Madrid 6, Spain*

Received 26 September 1974

1. Introduction

The protein **Ll** 1 of the large ribosomal subunit from *Escherichia coli* has been reported to be involved in the peptidyl transferase activity of the ribosome [1]. Thus protein L11 was postulated as either a part of the active center or even as the actual peptidyl transferase itself [2].

Recently Highland and Howard [3] have described the preparation of 50s subunit derived core particles deprived of proteins L7, L10, L11 and L12 following basically the method of Hamel et al. [4]. Because of the low number of proteins separated in the treatment, these particles are very useful for studies on the role of the split proteins on the ribosomal activities.

We have investigated the role of protein L11 on the activities of the peptidyl transferase centre using the Ll l-deprived cores and the results are reported in this communication.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. *Ribosomes and ribosomal cores*

Ribosomes, prepared from log phase *Escherichia coli* strain B or DlO by alumina grinding, were washed 5-6 times with 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.4, containing 1 M NH₄ Cl and 40 mM Mg acetate $[5]$. Ribosome subunits were prepared in a zonal rotor by centrifugation using isokinetic sucrose gradients.

Ribosome- and SOS-derived cores were obtained respectively from ribosomes and 50s subunits by treatment with $1 M NH₄$ Cl in the presence of ethanol as previously described [4]. The only deviation in our method from that previously described was that we finally dissolved the core precipitate in 10 mM TrisHCl buffer, pH 7.4, containing 50 mM NH₄ Cl, 10 mM $MgCl₂$, 1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 50% glycerol. For our cores we have followed the nomenclature of Highland and Howard $[3]$. The P₀ cores and split protein fraction SP₀ (either 70S ribosome or 50S cores) were obtained when the saltethanol treatment was carried out at 0° C. P_{37} cores and split protein fraction SP_{37} were resulting from the direct treatment at 37°C, whereas P_{0-37} cores and split protein fraction SP_{0-37} were obtained by the salt-ethanol treatment first at 0° C and finally at 37° C. All our core preparations were stored at -20° C when not immediately used.

2.2. *Other methods*

The peptidyl transferase activity was studied following the fragment reaction assay [6,7]. Conditions for polyphenylalanine synthesis and EF G-dependent GTPase activity are described in the text. Two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of the ribosomal proteins was carried out as described [8]. Binding of antibiotics was measured in the presence of 33% ethanol at 0° C as described [9,10].

2.3. *Materials*

 $CACCA-[³ H]$ Leu-Ac (5.05 Ci/mmol) was prepared by RNAase T_1 treatment of N-acetyl-leucyl-tRNA as described elsewhere $[11]$. $[\gamma^{32}P]GTP$ was obtained following the method of Glynn and Chappell [12]. EF G was prepared as described [13]. $[14 \text{ C}]$ Phe-tRNA was prepared by charging batch tRNA (General Biochemicals) with $[14 \text{C}]$ phenylalanine (513 mCi/mmol). All the radioactive materials were obtained from the Radiochemical Center, Amersham, England.

Source of methyl- $\left[{}^{14}$ C chloramphenicol (10.2 mCi/) mmol), N-methyl- $[$ ¹⁴C] lincomycin (4.7 mCi/mmol) and

Particle	Addition of split protein fraction	Polyphenylalanine synthesis mol/ribosome	GTP hydrolysis mol/ribosome
50S		0.36	67.1
$50S-Po$		0.01	2.0
50S- P_0	$50S-SP0$	0.43	49.2
$50S-P_{0-37}$		0.00	1.4
50S-P ₀ -37	50S-SP ₀ -37	0.02	1.7
50S-P ₀ -37	$50S-SP_0+50S-SP_0-37$	0.30	44.0
$50S-P_{0-37}$	$50S-SP_3$	0.27	48.3
$50S-P22$		0.00	0.6
$50S-P_{32}$	$50S-SP37$	0.39	52.1
$50S-P_{37}$	$50S-SP_0+50S-SP_{0-32}$	0.43	54.4

Table 1 Activity of NH,Cl-ethanol particles in polyphenylalanine synthesis and EF G-dependent GTP hydrolysis

The particles were preincubated with the indicated split protein fractions at 37°C for 30 min in 40 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.8, containing 200 mM NH,Cl, 20 mM MgCl, and 2 mM 2-mercaptoethanol. The ionic conditions in the activity assays were 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.8 containing 100 mM NH₄Cl, 10 mM MgCl₂ and 1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol. The reactions were carried out in 0.05 ml volumes containing 0.200 mg/ml SOS or their derived particles, 0.1 mg/ml 30s ribosomes, 0.1 mg/ml poly(U), 0.3μ M $[14^{\circ}$ C]phenylalaninyl-tRNA, 2 mM GTP and 5 μ l of S-100 supernatant in the polyphenylalanine synthesis assay and 0.5 mg/ml ribosomes, 100 μ M [γ -³² P]GTP, 0.02 mg/ml EF G in the EF G-dependent GTPase assay. Incubation was carried out at 30°C for 5 min for the GTPase assay and 20 min for polyphenylalanine synthesis.

Particles	mmol Ac-Leu-tRNA fragment reacted/mol ribo- somes			
	50S subunits E. coli D10	70S ribosomes E. coli B	50S subunits E. coli B	
Control particles			20.1	
P_0 cores	14.1	21.8	20.3	
P_{0-37} cores	13.1	20.8	18.4	
P_{32} cores	12.4	22.8	19.1	

Table 2 Peptidyl transferase activity of SOS- and ribosomal-derived cores obtained by NH, Cl-ethanol treatment

Peptidyl transferase activity was tested by the fragment reaction as previously described [6,7] using 1 mg/ml of ribosomes in the reaction mixture.

Binding of radioactive antibiotics to ribosomal particles					
Particles	$[14]$ chloramphenicol cpm bound	cpm bound	$[14]$ C lincomycin $[14]$ C erythromycin		
			cpm bound		
50S	668	259	316		
30S	0	61			
$50S-P0$	629	238	220		
50S-P ₀ -37	625	250	339		

Table 3

The particles were obtained from E. *coli* DlO. The binding assays were carried out as described in section 2.2 using 3 mg/ml of ribosomes and 10^{-6} M of antibiotic.

N-methyl-[¹⁴ C]erythromycin (5.1 mCi/mmol) were previously indicated [IO] .

3. Results

3.1. *Polyphenylalanine synthesis and EF G-dependent* 4. Discussion *GTP hydrolysis*

All our SOS-derived cores require reassociation with the pertinent split protein fraction to reconstitute the SOS subunit completely in order to recover either the EF G-dependent GTPase activity or their capacity to synthesise polyphenylalanine when complemented with 30s subunits (table 1). From the functional point of view the 50S-P₃₇ and the 50S-P₀ $-$ ₃₇ cores are very similar since they are cross-reactivated with their split protein fractions.

3.2. *Peptidyl transferase activity*

All the different core preparations from either ribosomes or SOS subunits from *E. coli* B are active for peptide bond formation in the fragment reaction assay (table 2). SOS-derived cores from *E. coli* DlO were also tested and found active in the same assay (table 2).

3.3. *Binding of antibiotics*

Ribosomal subunits as well as core preparations derived from *E. coli* DlO were tested for their activity in binding different radioactive antibiotics known to interact with the peptidyl transferase center of the ribosome [8]. As it is shown in table 3 the ribosomal cores are able to bind as much drug as the control 50s subunit.

3.4. *Protein composition of the SOS-derived cores*

The proteins of the 50S-derived cores were resolved by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis. The times of the electrophoresis in some cases were longer than in the standard conditions in order to obtain a better resolution in the region of the gels in which differences might possibly be observed. Therefore some basic proteins which are present in all our cores are not shown in fig. 1. It is shown that $50S-P₀$ cores lack proteins $L7$ and $L12$ (fig. 1b) when their ribosomal pattern is compared with that of the control 50s subunits (fig. la). On the other hand the protein patterns of our 50S-P₃₇ (fig. 1c) and 50S-P₀ -37 (fig.

Id) cores are indistinguishable; both totally lacking of proteins L7, LlO, Ll **1** and L12 and showing weaker spots than the control for proteins Ll, L5 and L8/9.

It has been reported by Nierhaus and Montejo [l] that protein Ll 1 is required for reconstitution of the peptidyl transferase activity of ribosomal cores preparedby 0.8 M LiCl treatment. We were therefore rather surprised to observe that our $50S-P_{37}$ and $50S-P_{0-37}$ cores which are devoid of protein Ll 1 are fully active in the fragment reaction assay (table 2). These apparently conflicting results, can be easily explained if protein L11 is required by the 0.8 M LiCl cores (lacking many other proteins) to get the correct ribosomal conformation in order to express the peptidyl transferase activity. On the other hand, the $50S-P_{37}$ and 50S-P₀ -37 cores (which have 29 of the 33 proteins of the 50s subunit) might have the adequate conformation for the peptidyl transferase activity to be expressed. It is obvious from our results that protein Ll 1 by itself is neither the peptidyl transferase nor the peptidyl transferase centre. These results emphasise that caution should be taken in the interpretation of results when identifying the proteins involved in some ribosomal functions. Thus a protein (Ll 1) which is required for a function in some cores [l] is not required for the same function with other cores (table 2).

The results presented in this work clearly show that our 50S-P₃₇ and 50S-P₀ $-$ ₃₇ cores are identical in their functional proportion and protein patterns. We have no obvious explanation at the present time for the difference between our $50S-P_{37}$ cores (lacking proteins L7, L10, L11 and L12) and those cores obtained by Highland and Howard [3] (lacking of proteins L7, LlO and L12) following apparently the same or very similar method. The slight differences observed might be indeed due to small differences in the bacterial strain and in the storage of either the bacteria or the ribosomes. Indeed differences in the protein pattern of 2 M LiCl-treated ribosomes from the same bacterial strain have been obtained by different workers [14,151. However it is important to note that similar results were obtained when studying the role of protein Ll **1** in the peptidyl transferase activity using the cores of

Highland and Howard [3] as shown by Howard and Gordon [16] in independent experiments.

Acknowledgements

We thank Drs G. A. Howard and J. Gordon for letting us know their results before publication and for reading and commenting our manuscript. This work has been supported by Grants from 'Fondo Nacional para el Desarrollo de la Investigación Científica' and Lilly Indiana of Spain.

References

- [II Nierhaus, K. H. and Montejo, V. (1973) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S. 70, 1931-1935.
- [2] Pongs, O., Nierhaus, K. H., Erdmann, V. A. and Wittmann H. G. (1974) FEBS Lett. 40, S28-S37.
- [31 Highland, J. H. and Howard, C. A. (1974) J. Biol. Chem., in press.
- [41 Hamel, E., Koka, M. and Nakamoto, T. (1972) J. Biol. Chem. 247,805-814.
- [5] Ballesta, J. P. G. and Vazquez, D. (1972) FEBS Lett. 28, 337-342.
- 161 Ballesta, J. P. G., Montejo, V., Hernandez, F. and Vazquez, D. (1974) Eur. J. Biochem. 42, 167-175.
- [71 Monro, R. E., Cerni, J. and Marcker, K. A. (1968) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S. 61, 1042-1049.
- 181 Kaltschmidt, E. and Wittmann, H. G. (1970) Anal. Biochem. 36, 401-412.
- 191 Fernandez-Murioz, R., Monro, R. E. and Vazquez, D. (1971) Methods in Enzymol. 20,481-490.
- 1101 Fernandez-Munoz, R., Monro, R. E., Torres-Pinedo, R. and Vazquez, D. (1971) Eur. J. Biochem. 23, 185-193.
- [Ill Monro, R. E. (1971) Methods in Enzymol. 20, 472- 481.
- 1121 Glynn, I. M. and Chappell, J. B. (1964) Biochem. J. 90, 147-149.
- 1131 Parmeggiani, A., Singer, C. and Gottschalk, E. M. (1971) Methods in Enzymol. 20, 291-302.
- [14] Homann, H. E. and Nierhaus, K. H. (1971) Eur. J. Biochem. 20, 249-257.
- [ISI Yu, R. S. T. and Wittmann, H. G. (1973) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 324, 375-385.
- 1161 Howard, G. A. and Gordon, J. (1974) FEBS Lett. following report.