

# Partitioning by Monochromatic Trees\*

P. E. Haxell†

*Department of Combinatorics and Optimisation, University of Waterloo,  
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1*

and

Y. Kohayakawa‡

*Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Cidade Universitária,  
Rua do Matão 1010, 05508-900 São Paulo, SP, Brazil*

View metadata, citation and similar papers at [core.ac.uk](http://core.ac.uk)

Any  $r$ -edge-coloured  $n$ -vertex complete graph  $K^n$  contains at most  $r$  monochromatic trees, all of different colours, whose vertex sets partition the vertex set of  $K^n$ , provided  $n \geq 3r^4 r! (1 - 1/r)^{3(1-r)} \log r$ . This comes close to proving, for large  $n$ , a conjecture of Erdős, Gyárfás, and Pyber, which states that  $r - 1$  trees suffice for all  $n$ . © 1996 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The *tree partition number* of  $r$ -edge-coloured complete graphs is defined to be the minimum  $k$  such that whenever the edges of a complete graph  $K^n$  are coloured with  $r$  colours, the vertices of  $K^n$  can be covered by at most  $k$  vertex-disjoint monochromatic trees. The *cycle partition number* is defined similarly. Erdős, Gyárfás, and Pyber [1] proved that the cycle partition number (and hence the tree partition number) is at most  $cr^2 \log r$  for some constant  $c$ . They conjectured in [1] that the cycle partition number is  $r$ , and that the tree partition number is  $r - 1$ . Here we prove that the tree

\* The first author was partially supported by NSERC. The second author was partially supported by FAPESP (Proc. 93/0603-1) and by CNPq (Proc. 300334/93-1 and ProTeM-CC-II Project ProComb).

† E-mail: [pehaxell@math.uwaterloo.ca](mailto:pehaxell@math.uwaterloo.ca).

‡ E-mail: [yoshi@ime.usp.br](mailto:yoshi@ime.usp.br).

partition number is at most  $r$  provided  $n$  is sufficiently large with respect to  $r$ . Our main theorem, the proof of which is postponed until the next section, is as follows.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $r \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 3r^4r!(1-1/r)^{3(1-r)} \log r$  be integers, and suppose the edges of  $K^n$  are coloured with  $r$  colours. Then  $K^n$  contains  $t \leq r$  monochromatic trees  $T_1, \dots, T_t$  of radius at most 2, each of a different colour, such that their vertex sets  $V(T_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ) partition the vertex set of  $K^n$ .*

Note that the lower bound for  $n$  above is, for large  $r$ , about  $3e^3r^4r! \log r$ . As shown in [1], the conjecture for tree partitions is best possible, if true, when  $r-1$  is a prime power. To see this, let  $n = (r-1)^2$ , and let  $K^n$  be a complete graph whose vertices are labelled with the points of an affine plane of order  $r-1$ . Let a colouring of the edges of  $K^n$  be given by assigning colour  $i$  to the edge  $xy$  if the line through  $x$  and  $y$  is in the  $i$ th parallel class. Then each monochromatic component of this colouring is a complete subgraph of order  $r-1$ , and so at least  $r-1$  monochromatic trees would be required to partition the set of vertices. This example can be extended to an example for any  $n \geq (r-1)^2(r-2)$ , by replacing each vertex  $x$  of  $K^{(r-1)^2}$  with a set of vertices  $X$  of size  $\lfloor n/(r-1)^2 \rfloor$  or  $\lceil n/(r-1)^2 \rceil$ . Then edge  $zw$  for  $z \in Z, w \in W$  is given colour  $i$  if  $Z \neq W$  and the line through  $Z$  and  $W$  is in the  $i$ th parallel class, and colour 1 if  $Z = W$ . Then the monochromatic components of this colouring each have at most  $(r-1)\lceil n/(r-1)^2 \rceil < n/(r-2)$  vertices, and hence any tree partition would require at least  $r-1$  trees.

The tree partition conjecture for  $r=2$  is equivalent to the fact that either a graph or its complement is connected, an old remark of Erdős and Rado. For  $r=3$  the conjecture was proved by Erdős, Gyárfás, and Pyber [1]. It was proved by Hajnal (see [4]) that the tree partition number for  $r$ -edge-coloured infinite complete graphs is at most  $r$ . Rado [5] proved that an  $r$ -edge-coloured countably infinite complete graph may be partitioned into  $r$  monochromatic, possibly one-way infinite, paths, generalising a result of Erdős, who had proved this statement for  $r=2$ .

A problem that is related to tree partitioning is finding the *tree cover number* for  $r$ -edge-coloured complete graphs, the minimum number  $k$  such that the vertices of an  $r$ -edge-coloured complete graph can be covered by  $k$  (not necessarily disjoint) monochromatic trees. It is clear that this number is at most  $r$ , as the set of monochromatic stars centred at any vertex give a cover, and in the example described previously it is at least  $r-1$ . As shown by Gyárfás [3], proving that the tree cover number is  $r-1$  is equivalent to proving a conjecture of Lovász and Ryser (see, e.g., Füredi [2]), which states that an  $r$ -partite intersecting hypergraph has a transversal of size at most  $r-1$ . This was proved by Tuza for  $r \leq 5$  in [6].

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let integers  $r$  and  $n$  as in the statement of the theorem be given. We may assume that  $r \geq 4$ . Suppose the edges of  $K^n$  have been coloured with colours from  $[r] = \{1, \dots, r\}$

We start with some definitions. Let  $s_1 = \lceil (n-1)/r \rceil$ , and define the integers  $s_i$  ( $2 \leq i \leq r$ ) by letting

$$s_i = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{r-i+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)^2 s_{i-1} \right\rfloor. \quad (1)$$

It is clear that the  $s_i$  form a non-increasing sequence. A straightforward but somewhat tedious calculation shows that  $s_r \geq r^3 \log n$ , since  $n$  is assumed to be sufficiently large with respect to  $r$ . (Throughout this note,  $\log$  will denote the natural logarithm.)

Let  $B$  be a complete bipartite subgraph of our  $K^n$  with a specified bipartition  $V(B) = X \cup Y$ . Suppose that  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$ , where  $1 \leq t \leq r$ , and  $|Y| \geq s_t$ . We say that  $B$  is an *anchor* graph if the colouring of  $K^n$  is such that the colour of the edge  $x_i y$  ( $y \in Y$ ) depends only on  $x_i$ , and this colour is distinct for each  $x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ). We refer to  $t$  as the *index* of  $B$ . Thus, an anchor graph of index  $t$  is formed by  $t$  monochromatic stars in  $K^n$ , all of distinct colours, and with the same set of leaves.

Let  $1 \leq t \leq r$  be the largest integer for which  $K^n$  contains an anchor graph of index  $t$ . Fix an anchor graph  $B \subset K^n$  of index  $t$ , and suppose without loss of generality that the edges  $x_i y$  ( $y \in Y$ ) have colour  $i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .

For  $v \in K^n$ ,  $U \subset V(K^n) \setminus \{v\}$ , and  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , we let  $\Gamma_i(v, U)$  be the set of vertices from  $U$  that are joined to  $v$  by edges of colour  $i$ . Put  $d_i(v, U) = |\Gamma_i(v, U)|$ . Observe that, by the maximality of  $t$ , we have  $d_i(z, Y) < s_{t+1}$  for every  $z \in Z = V(K^n) \setminus V(B)$  and every  $t < i \leq r$ .

We now use a simple greedy procedure to find a covering of  $Z$  by monochromatic stars with centres in  $Y$ . To be precise, suppose that, for some  $q \geq 0$ , vertices  $y_1, \dots, y_q \in Y$ , colours  $i_1, \dots, i_q \in [t]$ , and pairwise disjoint sets  $Z_1, \dots, Z_q \subset Z$  have been defined such that, for all  $1 \leq k \leq q$ , we have

- (i)  $Z_k \subset \Gamma_{i_k}(y_k, Z)$ ,
- (ii) putting  $Z'_0 = Z$  and  $Z'_k = Z \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^k Z_j$ , for  $1 \leq k \leq q$  we have

$$|Z'_k| \leq |Z'_{k-1}| \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{tr} \right\}. \quad (2)$$

If  $Z = \bigcup_{k=1}^q Z_k$ , we have found the desired covering. Thus suppose that  $Z'_q = Z \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^q Z_k \neq \emptyset$ . Condition (ii) applied recursively gives that

$$|Z'_q| \leq |Z| \exp \{ -q/tr \} < ne^{-q/tr},$$

and hence, since  $Z'_q \neq \emptyset$ , we deduce that  $q < tr \log n$ . As observed above,  $s_r \geq r^3 \log n$ , whence

$$s_r > rq. \tag{3}$$

Therefore  $Y'_q = Y \setminus \{y_1, \dots, y_q\} \neq \emptyset$  since  $|Y| \geq s_t \geq s_r > rq \geq q$ . Now to continue the procedure, we let  $y_{q+1}$  and  $i_{q+1}$  be such that  $d_{i_{q+1}}(y_{q+1}, Z'_q)$  is maximal over all choices of  $y_{q+1} \in Y'_q \neq \emptyset$  and  $i_{q+1} \in [t]$ . Put  $Z_{q+1} = \Gamma_{i_{q+1}}(y_{q+1}, Z'_q)$ . We now check that (i) and (ii) hold for  $k = q + 1$ . Clearly, the only work lies in checking (2). Let us first observe that the number of edges of colours  $1, \dots, t$  between  $Y'_q$  and  $Z'_q$  is at least

$$\sum_{z \in Z'_q} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} d_i(z, Y'_q) \geq |Z'_q| \{ |Y| - q - (r-t) s_{t+1} \},$$

where  $s_{r+1}$  is taken to be 0. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d_{i_{q+1}}(y_{q+1}, Z'_q) &= \max \{ d_i(y, Z'_q) : 1 \leq i \leq t, y \in Y'_q \} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{t} \left( 1 - \frac{(r-t) s_{t+1}}{|Y| - q} \right) |Z'_q|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, putting  $Z'_{q+t} = Z \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{q+1} Z_k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Z'_{q+1}| &\leq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{t} \left( 1 - \frac{(r-t) s_{t+1}}{|Y| - q} \right) \right) |Z'_q| \\ &\leq |Z'_q| \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{t} \left( 1 - \frac{(r-t) s_{t+1}}{|Y| - q} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

But  $1 - (r-t) s_{t+1}/(|Y| - q) \geq 1 - (r-t) s_{t+1}/(1 - 1/r) s_t \geq 1/r$ , where the first inequality follows from (3) and the second follows from (1). Therefore  $|Z'_{q+1}| \leq |Z'_q| \exp \{ -1/tr \}$ , as required.

The considerations above show that our procedure terminates with a covering of  $Z$  by monochromatic stars with centres  $y_1, \dots, y_{q_0}$ , colours  $i_1, \dots, i_{q_0}$ , and sets of leaves  $Z_1, \dots, Z_{q_0}$ . To complete our tree partition, we define trees  $T_1, \dots, T_t$  of colours  $1, \dots, t$  as follows. The tree  $T_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ) has vertex set  $V(T_i) = \{x_i\} \cup \bigcup (\{y_k\} \cup Z_k)$  and edge set  $E(T_i) = \bigcup (\{x_i y_k\} \cup \{y_k z : z \in Z_k\})$ , where the unions range over all  $k \in [q]$  with  $i_k = i$ . Clearly  $\bigcup_{i=1}^t V(T_i) = X \cup Y_0 \cup Z$ , where  $Y_0 = \{y_1, \dots, y_{q_0}\}$ . It can be easily seen that the vertices in  $Y \setminus Y_0$  may be added to  $T_1$  as leaves to give a tree partition of  $K^n$  as required. This completes the proof of the theorem.

## REFERENCES

1. P. Erdős, A. Gyárfás, and L. Pyber, Vertex coverings by monochromatic cycles and trees, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **51** (1991), 90–95.
2. Z. Füredi, Matchings and covers in hypergraphs, *Graphs Combin.* **4** (1988), 115–206.
3. A. Gyárfás, Partition covers and blocking sets in hypergraphs, *MTA SZTAKI Stud.* **71** (1977). [In Hungarian]
4. A. Hajnal, P. Komjáth, L. Soukup, and I. Szalkai, Decompositions of edge coloured infinite complete graphs, in “Combinatorics: 7th Hungarian Colloquium, Eger 1987” (A. Hajnal, L. Lovász, and V. T. Sós, Eds.), pp. 277–280, *Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai*, Vol. 52, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.
5. R. Rado, Monochromatic paths in graphs, in “Advances in Graph Theory” (B. Bollobás, Ed.), pp. 191–194, *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 3, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
6. Zs. Tuza, Some special cases of Ryser’s conjecture (1978), preprint.