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#### Abstract

Lyapunov functionals are used usually for stability investigation of systems with aftereffect $[1-3]$. The general method of Lyapunov functionals construction which was proposed and developed in [4-19] is used here for stochastic second type Volterra difference equations. It is shown that using this method, there is a possibility to construct for a given equation, a sequence of extending stability regions. (c) 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
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## 1. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Let $\{\Omega, \sigma, \mathbf{P}\}$ be a basic probability space, $i \in Z=\{0,1, \ldots\}$ be a discrete time, $f_{i} \in \sigma$ be a sequence of $\sigma$-algebras, $H$ be a space of sequences $x=\left\{x_{i}, i \in Z\right\} f_{i}$-adapted random values $x_{i} \in R^{n}$ with norm

$$
\|x\|^{2}=\sup _{i \in Z} \mathbf{E}\left|x_{i}\right|^{2} .
$$

Consider the stochastic difference equation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}=\eta_{i+1}+F\left(i, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i}\right), \quad i \in Z, \quad x_{0}=\eta_{0}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and auxiliary nonstochastic difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}=F\left(i, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i}\right), \quad i \in Z . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is assumed that the functional $F$ from equations (1.1) and (1.2) such that $F: Z * H \Rightarrow R^{n}$ and $F(i, \cdot)$ does not depend on $x_{j}$ for $j>i, F(i, 0, \ldots, 0)=0, \eta \in H$.
Definition 1.1. A sequence $x_{i}$ from $H$ is called:

- uniformly mean square bounded if $\|\dot{x}\|^{2}<\infty$;
- asymptotically mean square trivial if $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}\left|x_{i}\right|^{2}=0$;
- mean square integrable if $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}\left|x_{i}\right|^{2}<\infty$.

Remark 1.1. It is easy to see that if the sequence $x_{i}$ is mean square integrable, then it is uniformly mean square bounded and asymptotically mean square trivial.
Definition 1.2. The trivial solution of equation (1.2) is called:

- stable if for any $\epsilon>0$ there exist $\delta>0$ such that $\sup _{i \in Z}\left|x_{i}\right|<\epsilon$ if $\left|x_{0}\right|<\delta$;
- asymptotically stable if it is stable and $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{i}=0$ for any $x_{0}$.

Theorem 1.1. Let there exists a nonnegative functional $V_{i}=V\left(i, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i}\right)$ and a sequence of nonnegative numbers $\gamma_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{E} V\left(0, x_{0}\right)<\infty, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{i}<\infty,  \tag{1.3}\\
\mathbf{E} \Delta V_{i} \leq-c \mathbf{E}\left|x_{i}\right|^{2}+\gamma_{i}, \quad i \in Z, \quad c>0 . \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, the solution of equation (1.1) is mean square integrable.
Proof. From (1.4), it follows

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{i} \mathbf{E} \Delta V_{j}=\mathbf{E} V\left(i+1, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i+1}\right)-\mathbf{E} V\left(0, x_{0}\right) \leq-c \sum_{j=0}^{i} \mathbf{E}\left|x_{j}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=0}^{i} \gamma_{j}
$$

From here, by virtue of (1.3), we obtain

$$
c \sum_{j=0}^{i} \mathbf{E}\left|x_{j}\right|^{2} \leq \mathbf{E} V\left(0, x_{0}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{j}<\infty .
$$

Therefore, the solution of equation (1.1) is mean square integrable. The theorem is proven.
Corollary 1.1. Let the sequence $\eta_{i}$ be mean square integrable and there exists the nonnegative functional $V_{i}=V\left(i, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{E} V\left(0, x_{0}\right)<c_{1} \mathbf{E}\left|x_{0}\right|^{2}, \\
& \mathbf{E} \Delta V_{i} \leq-c_{2} \mathbf{E}\left|x_{i}\right|^{2}+c_{3} \mathbf{E}\left|\eta_{i+1}\right|^{2}, \quad i \in Z, \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$c_{k}>0, k=1,2,3$. Then, the solution of equation (1.1) is mean square integrable.
Similar to Theorem 1.1, one can prove the following.
Theorem 1.2. Let there exist a nonnegative functional $V_{i}=V\left(i, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i}\right)$ such that for some $p>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(0, x_{0}\right) & <c_{1}\left|x_{0}\right|^{p}, \\
\Delta V_{i} & \leq-c_{2}\left|x_{i}\right|^{p}, \quad i \in Z,
\end{aligned}
$$

$c_{k}>0, k=1,2$. Then, the trivial solution of equation (1.2) is asymptotically stable.
From Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, it follows that investigation of asymptotic behavior of solutions of difference equations type (1.1) and (1.2) can be reduced to construction of appropriate Lyapunov functionals. For this, it is possible to use the formal procedure of Lyapunov functionals construction which was described in [5]. Below, this procedure is demonstrated for linear Volterra equation with constant coefficients.

## 2. LINEAR VOLTERRA EQUATIONS WITH CONSTANT COEFFICIENTS

Consider the scalar equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}=\eta_{i+1}+\sum_{j=0}^{i} a_{j} x_{i-j}, \quad i \in Z, \quad x_{0}=\eta_{0} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $a_{i}, i \in Z$, are known constants.
Let us apply the procedure of Lyapunov functionals construction to equation (2.1). Represent the right-hand side of this equation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}=\eta_{i+1}+\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{j} x_{i-j}+\sum_{j=k+1}^{i} a_{j} x_{i-j}, \quad k \geq 0, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider the auxiliary difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i+1}=\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{j} y_{i-j}, \quad i \in Z . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in (2.2) and (2.3), it is supposed $x_{j}=0$ if $j<0$.
Introduce into consideration the vector $y(i)=\left(y_{i-k}, \ldots, y_{i}\right)^{\prime}$ and represent the auxiliary equation (2.3) in the form

$$
y(i+1)=A y(i), \quad A=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0  \tag{2.4}\\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
a_{k} & a_{k-1} & a_{k-2} & \cdots & a_{1} & a_{0}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Consider now the matrix equation

$$
A^{\prime} D A-D=-U, \quad U=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0  \tag{2.5}\\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $D$ is a symmetric matrix of dimension $k+1$.
Let us suppose that solution $D$ of matrix equation (2.5) is a positive semidefinite matrix with $d_{k+1, k+1}>0$. In this case, the function $v_{i}=y^{\prime}(i) D y(i)$ is Lyapunov function for equation (2.4). Really,

$$
\Delta v_{i}=y^{\prime}(i+1) D y(i+1)-y^{\prime}(i) D y(i)=y^{\prime}(i)\left[A^{\prime} D A-D\right] y(i)=-y^{\prime}(i) U y(i)=-y_{i}^{2} .
$$

So, if matrix equation (2.5) has a positive semidefinite solution $D$, then the trivial solution of equation (2.4) (or (2.3)) is asymptotically stable [5].

Following the procedure of Lyapunov functionals construction, the main part $V_{1 i}$ of Lyapunov functional $V_{i}=V_{1 i}+V_{2 i}$ must be chosen in the form $V_{1 i}=x^{\prime}(i) D x(i)$, where $x(i)=$ $\left(x_{i-k}, \ldots, x_{i}\right)^{\prime}$.

Represent equation (2.1) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(i+1)=\eta(i+1)+A x(i)+B(i), \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where matrix $A$ is defined by (2.4), $\eta(i)=\left(0, \ldots, 0, \eta_{i}\right)$ and $B(i)=\left(0, \ldots, 0, b_{i}\right)^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i}=\sum_{j=k+1}^{i} a_{j} x_{i-j} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Calculating $\Delta V_{1 i}$, by virtue of equation (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta V_{1 i}= & x^{\prime}(i+1) D x(i+1)-x^{\prime}(i) D x(i) \\
= & (\eta(i+1)+A x(i)+B(i))^{\prime} D(\eta(i+1)+A x(i)+B(i))-x^{\prime}(i) D x(i) \\
= & -x_{i}^{2}+\eta^{\prime}(i+1) D \eta(i+1)+B^{\prime}(i) D B(i)  \tag{2.8}\\
& +2 \eta^{\prime}(i+1) D B(i)+2 \eta^{\prime}(i+1) D A x(i)+2 B^{\prime}(i) D A x(i) .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{\prime}(i+1) D \eta(i+1)=d_{k+1, k+1} \eta_{i+1}^{2} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{l}=\sum_{j=l}^{\infty}\left|a_{j}\right|, \quad l=0,1, \ldots . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, using (2.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
B^{\prime}(i) D B(i) & =d_{k+1, k+1} b_{i}^{2}=d_{k+1, k+1}\left(\sum_{j=k+1}^{i} a_{j} x_{i-j}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq d_{k+1, k+1} \sum_{m=k+1}^{i}\left|a_{m}\right| \sum_{j=k+1}^{i}\left|a_{j}\right| x_{i-j}^{2}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \leq d_{k+1, k+1} \alpha_{k+1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{i}\left|a_{j}\right| x_{i-j}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.7) and $\lambda>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \eta^{\prime}(i+1) D B(i) & =2 \eta_{i+1} d_{k+1, k+1} b_{i}=2 \eta_{i+1} d_{k+1, k+1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{i} a_{j} x_{i-j} \\
& \leq d_{k+1, k+1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{i}\left|a_{j}\right|\left(\lambda^{-1} \eta_{i+1}^{2}+\lambda x_{i-j}^{2}\right)  \tag{2.12}\\
& \leq d_{k+1, k+1}\left(\lambda^{-1} \alpha_{k+1} \eta_{i+1}^{2}+\lambda \sum_{j=k+1}^{i}\left|a_{j}\right| x_{i-j}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
D \eta(i+1)=\eta_{i+1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
d_{1, k+1} \\
d_{2, k+1} \\
\cdots \\
d_{k, k+1} \\
d_{k+1, k+1}
\end{array}\right), \quad A x(i)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{i-k+1} \\
x_{i-k+2} \\
\cdots \\
x_{i} \\
\sum_{m=0}^{k} a_{m} x_{i-m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \eta^{\prime}(i+1) D A x(i) & =2 \eta_{i+1}\left[\sum_{l=1}^{k} d_{l, k+1} x_{i-k+l}+d_{k+1, k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{k} a_{m} x_{i-m}\right] \\
& =2 \eta_{i+1}\left[\sum_{m=0}^{k-1}\left(a_{m} d_{k+1, k+1}+d_{k-m, k+1}\right) x_{i-m}+a_{k} d_{k+1, k+1} x_{i-k}\right]  \tag{2.13}\\
& =2 \eta_{i+1} d_{k+1, k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{k} Q_{k m} x_{i-m},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k m}=a_{m}+\frac{d_{k-m, k+1}}{d_{k+1, k+1}}, \quad m=0, \ldots, k-1, \quad Q_{k k}=a_{k} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{k}=\sum_{m=0}^{k}\left|Q_{k m}\right|=\left|a_{k}\right|+\sum_{m=0}^{k-1}\left|a_{m}+\frac{d_{k-m, k+1}}{d_{k+1, k+1}}\right| \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using $\lambda>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \eta^{\prime}(i+1) D A x(i) & \leq d_{k+1, k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{k}\left|Q_{k m}\right|\left(\lambda^{-1} \eta_{i+1}^{2}+\lambda x_{i-m}^{2}\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
& =d_{k+1, k+1}\left(\lambda^{-1} \beta_{k} \eta_{i+1}^{2}+\lambda \sum_{m=0}^{k}\left|Q_{k m}\right| x_{i-m}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.13)-(2.15), (2.7), (2.10), similar to (2.16), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
2 B^{\prime}(i) D A x(i) & =2 b_{i} d_{k+1, k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{k} Q_{k m} x_{i-m} \\
& =2 d_{k+1, k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \sum_{j=k+1}^{i} Q_{k m} a_{j} x_{i-m} x_{i-j} \\
& \leq d_{k+1, k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \sum_{j=k+1}^{i}\left|Q_{k m}\right|\left|a_{j}\right|\left(x_{i-m}^{2}+x_{i-j}^{2}\right)  \tag{2.17}\\
& \leq d_{k+1, k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{k}\left|Q_{k m}\right|\left(\alpha_{k+1} x_{i-m}^{2}+\sum_{j=k+1}^{i}\left|a_{j}\right| x_{i-j}^{2}\right) \\
& =d_{k+1, k+1}\left(\alpha_{k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{k}\left|Q_{k m}\right| \dot{x}_{i-m}^{2}+\beta_{k} \sum_{j=k+1}^{i}\left|a_{j}\right| x_{i-j}^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

As a result of $(2.8)$, by virtue of $(2.9),(2.11),(2.12),(2.16),(2.17)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V_{1 i} \leq-x_{i}^{2}+d_{k+1, k+1}\left(\lambda^{-1} q_{k} \eta_{i+1}^{2}+\left(\lambda+\alpha_{k+1}\right) \sum_{m=0}^{k}\left|Q_{k m}\right| x_{i-m}^{2}+q_{k} \sum_{j=k+1}^{i}\left|a_{j}\right| x_{i-j}^{2}\right), \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{k}=\lambda+\alpha_{k+1}+\beta_{k} . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now put

$$
R_{k j}= \begin{cases}\left(\lambda+\alpha_{k+1}\right)\left|Q_{k j}\right|, & 0 \leq j \leq k,  \tag{2.20}\\ q_{k}\left|a_{j}\right|, & j>k .\end{cases}
$$

Then, (2.18) can be written as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V_{1 i} \leq-x_{i}^{2}+d_{k+1, k+1}\left(\lambda^{-1} q_{k} \eta_{i+1}^{2}+\sum_{j=0}^{i} R_{k j} x_{i-j}^{2}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose the functional $V_{2 i}$ in the form

$$
V_{20}=0, \quad V_{2 i}=d_{k+1, k+1} \sum_{l=0}^{i-1} x_{l}^{2} \sum_{j=i-l}^{\infty} R_{k j}, \quad i>0
$$

Calculating $\Delta V_{2 i}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta V_{2 i} & =d_{l k+1, k+1}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{i} x_{l}^{2} \sum_{j=i+1-l}^{\infty} R_{k j}-\sum_{l=0}^{i-1} x_{l}^{2} \sum_{j=i-l}^{\infty} R_{k j}\right) \\
& =d_{k+1, k+1}\left(x_{i}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} R_{k j}-\sum_{l=0}^{i-1} R_{k, i-l} x_{l}^{2}\right)  \tag{2.22}\\
& =d_{k+1, k+1}\left(x_{i}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} R_{k j}-\sum_{j=1}^{i} R_{k j} x_{i-j}^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.20)-(2.22) for the functional $V_{i}=V_{1 i}+V_{2 i}$, it follows

$$
\mathbf{E} \Delta V_{i} \leq-\left(1-d_{k+1, k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R_{k j}\right) \mathbf{E} x_{i}^{2}+\lambda^{-1} d_{k+1, k+1} q_{k} \mathbf{E} \eta_{i+1}^{2}
$$

Therefore, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R_{k j}<\delta_{k}=d_{k+1, k+1}^{-1} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the functional $V_{i}$ satisfies the conditions of Corollary 1.1, and therefore, the solution of equation (2.1) is mean square integrable.

Using (2.20), (2.10), (2.15), (2.19), transform the left-hand part of inequality (2.23) in the following way

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R_{k j} & =\sum_{j=0}^{k} R_{k j}+\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} R_{k j} \\
& =\left(\lambda+\alpha_{k+1}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{k}\left|Q_{k j}\right|+q_{k} \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty}\left|a_{j}\right| \\
& =\left(\lambda+\alpha_{k+1}\right) \beta_{k}+\left(\lambda+\alpha_{k+1}+\beta_{k}\right) \alpha_{k+1} \\
& =\lambda\left(\beta_{k}+\alpha_{k+1}\right)+\alpha_{k+1}^{2}+2 \alpha_{k+1} \beta_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{k+1}^{2}+2 \alpha_{k+1} \beta_{k}<\delta_{k}, \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists sufficiently small $\lambda>0$ such that

$$
\lambda\left(\beta_{k}+\alpha_{k+1}\right)+\alpha_{k+1}^{2}+2 \alpha_{k+1} \beta_{k}<\delta_{k}
$$

and condition (2.23) holds. It means that if condition (2.24) holds, then the solution of equation (2.1) is mean square integrable.
Note that condition (2.24) can be represented also in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{k+1}<\sqrt{\beta_{k}^{2}+\delta_{k}}-\beta_{k} . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.1. Using the same Lyapunov functional as above, one can prove that inequality (2.25) is a sufficient condition for asymptotic stability of the trivial solution of equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}=\sum_{j=0}^{i} a_{j} x_{i-j}, \quad i \in Z \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

REmark 2.2. Suppose that in equation (2.1) (or (2.26))

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}=0, \quad j \geq k+1 . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\alpha_{k+1}=0$ and condition (2.25) holds. So, if condition (2.27) holds and matrix equation (2.5) has a positive semidefinite solution with $d_{k+1, k+1}>0$, then the solution of equation (2.1) is mean square integrable (the trivial solution of equation (2.26) is asymptotically stable).
Remark 2.3. Suppose that for each $k \geq 0$, matrix equation (2.5) has a positive semidefinite solution $D_{k}$ with $d_{k+1, k+1}>0$ and there exist the limits $D=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} D_{k}, \beta=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{k}$, such that $D \geq 0,|D|<\infty(|D|$ is Euclidean norm of matrix $D), \beta<\infty$. Then, the solution of equation (2.1) is mean square integrable (the trivial solution of equation (2.26) is asymptotically stable). Really, this statement follows from (2.25) since $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{k+1}=0$.

## 3. PARTICULAR CASES

CASE 3.1. $k=0$. Condition (2.25) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}<\sqrt{\beta_{0}^{2}+\delta_{0}}-\beta_{0} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.15), it follows $\beta_{0}=\left|a_{0}\right|$. Equation (2.5) gives the solution $d_{11}=\left(1-a_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1}$, which is a positive one if $\left|a_{0}\right|<1$. Condition (3.1) takes the form $\alpha_{1}<1-\left|a_{0}\right|$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}<1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under condition (3.2), the solution of equation (2.1) is mean square integrable.
Remark 3.1. Note that by condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j} \geq 0, \quad j \geq 0, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

inequality (3.2) is the necessary and sufficient condition for asymptotic stability of the trivial solution of equation (2.26). Really, suppose first that $x_{0}>0$. Then, from (3.3) and (2.26), it follows $x_{i} \geq 0$ for $i \geq 0$. Consider the Lyapunov functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}=x_{i}+\sum_{l=0}^{i-1} x_{l} \sum_{j=i-l}^{\infty} a_{j} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Calculating $\Delta V_{i}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta V_{i} & =x_{i+1}+\sum_{l=0}^{i} x_{l} \sum_{j=i+1-l}^{\infty} a_{j}-x_{i}-\sum_{l=0}^{i-1} x_{l} \sum_{j=i-l}^{\infty} a_{j}  \tag{3.5}\\
& =a_{0} x_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{i} a_{j} x_{i-j}+x_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}-x_{i}-\sum_{l=0}^{i-1} x_{l} a_{i-l}=\left(\alpha_{0}-1\right) x_{i} .
\end{align*}
$$

So, if inequality (3.2) holds, then from Theorem 1.2 , it follows that the trivial solution of equation (2.26) is asymptotically stable. In the contrary case, i.e., $\alpha_{0} \geq 1$, from (3.5) it follows that the functional $V_{i}$ is nondecreasing one, and therefore, $x_{i}$ does not go to zero. If $x_{0}<0$, then $x_{i} \leq 0$ for $i \geq 0$ and in Lyapunov functional (3.4), it is necessary to change $x_{l}$ on $\left|x_{l}\right|, l=0,1, \ldots$. CASE 3.2. $k=1$. Condition (2.25) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{2}<\sqrt{\beta_{1}^{2}+\delta_{1}}-\beta_{1} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Matrix equation (2.5) is equivalent to the system of the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1}^{2} d_{22}-d_{11} & =0, \\
\left(a_{1}-1\right) d_{12}+a_{0} a_{1} d_{22} & =0, \\
d_{11}+2 a_{0} d_{12}+\left(a_{0}^{2}-1\right) d_{22} & =-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

with the solution

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{11} & =a_{1}^{2} d_{22}, \quad d_{12}=\frac{a_{0} a_{1}}{1-a_{1}} d_{22} \\
d_{22} & =\frac{1-a_{1}}{\left(1+a_{1}\right)\left[\left(1-a_{1}\right)^{2}-a_{0}^{2}\right]} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Matrix $D$ is a positive semidefinite one with $d_{22}>0$ by conditions $\left|a_{1}\right|<1,\left|a_{0}\right|<1-a_{1}$. Using (2.15) and (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{1}=\left|a_{1}\right|+\left|a_{0}+\frac{d_{12}}{d_{22}}\right|=\left|a_{1}\right|+\left|a_{0}+\frac{a_{0} a_{1}}{1-a_{1}}\right|=\left|a_{1}\right|+\frac{\left|a_{0}\right|}{1-a_{1}}  \tag{3.8}\\
& \delta_{1}=d_{22}^{-1}=1-a_{1}^{2}-a_{0}^{2} \frac{1+a_{1}}{1-a_{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (3.8) into (3.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{2}<1-\left|a_{0}\right| \frac{1-\left|a_{1}\right|}{1-a_{1}}-\left|a_{1}\right| . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under condition (3.9), the solution of equation (2.1) is mean square integrable.
It is easy to see that condition (3.9) is not worse than condition (3.2). In particular, if $a_{1} \geq 0$, then condition (3.9) coincides with condition (3.2), if $a_{1}<0$, then condition (3.9) is better than condition (3.2).
CASE 3.3. $k=2$. Condition (2.25) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{3}<\sqrt{\beta_{2}^{2}+\delta_{2}}-\beta_{2} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Matrix equation (2.5) is equivalent to the system of the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{2}^{2} d_{33}-d_{11} & =0, \\
a_{2} d_{13}+a_{1} a_{2} d_{33}-d_{12} & =0, \\
a_{2} d_{23}+a_{0} a_{2} d_{33}-d_{13} & =0, \\
d_{11}+2 a_{1} d_{13}+a_{1}^{2} d_{33}-d_{22} & =0,  \tag{3.11}\\
d_{12}+a_{0} d_{13}+a_{0} a_{1} d_{33}+\left(a_{1}-1\right) d_{23} & =0, \\
d_{22}+2 a_{0} d_{23}+\left(a_{0}^{2}-1\right) d_{33} & =-1,
\end{align*}
$$

with the solution

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{11}=a_{2}^{2} d_{33}, \\
& d_{12}=\frac{a_{2}\left(1-a_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}+a_{0} a_{2}\right)}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}\left(a_{0}+a_{2}\right)} d_{33}, \\
& d_{13}=\frac{a_{2}\left(a_{0}+a_{1} a_{2}\right)}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}\left(a_{0}+a_{2}\right)} d_{33}, \\
& d_{22}=\left[a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+\frac{2 a_{1} a_{2}\left(a_{0}+a_{1} a_{2}\right)}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}\left(a_{0}+a_{2}\right)}\right] d_{33},  \tag{3.12}\\
& d_{23}=\frac{\left(a_{0}+a_{2}\right)\left(a_{1}+a_{0} a_{2}\right)}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}\left(a_{0}+a_{2}\right)} d_{33}, \\
& d_{33}=\left[1-a_{0}^{2}-a_{1}^{2}-a_{2}^{2}-2 \frac{a_{1} a_{2}\left(a_{0}+a_{1} a_{2}\right)+a_{0}\left(a_{0}+a_{2}\right)\left(a_{1}+a_{0} a_{2}\right)}{1-a_{1}-a_{2}\left(a_{0}+a_{2}\right)}\right]^{-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.15) and (3.11), (3.12), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{2}=\left|a_{2}\right|+\left|a_{0}+\frac{d_{23}}{d_{33}}\right|+\left|a_{1}+\frac{d_{13}}{d_{33}}\right|=\left|a_{2}\right|+\frac{\left|d_{13}\right|+\left|d_{12}\right|}{\left|a_{2}\right| d_{33}} \\
& =\left|a_{2}\right|+\frac{\left|a_{0}+a_{1} a_{2}\right|+\left|\left(1-a_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}+a_{0} a_{2}\right)\right|}{\left|1-a_{1}-a_{2}\left(a_{0}+a_{2}\right)\right|}, \quad \delta_{2}=d_{33}^{-1} . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

If matrix $D$ with the elements defined by (3.12) is a positive semidefinite one with $d_{33}>0$, then under condition (3.10) and (3.13), the solution of equation (2.1) is mean square integrable.
Example 3.1. Consider the scalar equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}=\eta_{i+1}+a x_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{i} b^{j} x_{i-j}, \quad x_{0}=\eta_{0} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition (3.2) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
|a|+\frac{|b|}{1-|b|}<1, \quad|b|<1 . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.9), it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{b^{2}}{1-|b|}<1-|a| \frac{1-|b|}{1-b}--|b|, \quad|b|<1 . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.10), (3.12), (3.13), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{|b|^{3}}{1-|b|}<\sqrt{\beta_{2}^{2}+\delta_{2}}-\beta_{2}, \quad|b|<1, \\
\beta_{2}=b^{2}+\frac{\left|a+b^{3}\right|+(1-b)|b(1+a b)|}{\left|1-b-b^{2}\left(a+b^{2}\right)\right|},  \tag{3.17}\\
\delta_{2}=1-a^{2}-b^{2}-b^{4}-2 b \frac{b^{2}\left(a+b^{3}\right)+a\left(a+b^{2}\right)(1+a b)}{1-b-b^{2}\left(a+b^{2}\right)} .
\end{gather*}
$$

For $k=3$, condition (2.25) of equation (3.14) takes the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{b^{4}}{1-|b|}<\sqrt{\beta_{3}^{2}+\delta_{3}}-\beta_{3}, \quad|b|<1, \\
\beta_{3}=\left|b^{3}\right|+\left|a+\frac{d_{34}}{d_{44}}\right|+\left|b+\frac{d_{24}}{d_{44}}\right|+\left|b^{2}+\frac{d_{14}}{d_{44}}\right|, \quad \delta_{3}=d_{44}^{-1}, \tag{3.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d_{14}}{d_{44}}= & b^{3}\left[b^{3}+b^{5}-b^{8}+a\left(1-b^{3}+b^{4}\right)\right] G^{-1}, \\
\frac{d_{24}}{d_{44}}= & b^{2}\left[a^{2} b+b^{2}+b^{5}-b^{6}-b^{8}+a\left(1+b^{4}+b^{6}\right)\right] G^{-1}, \\
\frac{d_{34}}{d_{44}}= & b\left[b^{2}+a^{3} b^{2}+b^{4}-b^{7}+a^{2}\left(b+b^{4}\right)+a\left(1+2 b^{3}+b^{5}-b^{6}-b^{8}\right)\right] G^{-1}, \\
d_{44}= & G\left[1-b-b^{2}-a^{4} b^{3}-2 b^{4}+2 b^{7}-2 b^{8}+2 b^{9}-b^{10}-b^{12}+b^{13}\right. \\
& -b^{14}+b^{17}-a^{3}\left(b^{2}+b^{5}\right)-a^{2}\left(1+b+5 b^{4}-b^{5}+b^{6}-2 b^{7}-b^{9}\right) \\
& \left.-a b^{2}\left(1+4 b-b^{2}+5 b^{3}-b^{4}+b^{5}-4 b^{6}+4 b^{7}-b^{10}+b^{11}\right)\right]^{-1}, \\
G= & 1-b-a b^{2}-\left(1+a^{2}\right) b^{3}-b^{4}-a b^{5}-b^{6}+b^{7}+b^{9} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the solution of equation (2.5) for $k=3$ was obtained by the program "MathemaTICA".

In Figure 1, the regions of mean square integrability of the solution of equation (3.14) (and at the same time the regions of asymptotic stability of the trivial solution of equation (2.26)) are shown, given by conditions (3.15) (curve number 1), (3.16) (curve number 2), (3.17) (curve number 3), and (3.18) (curve number 4). One can see that for $b \geq 0$, the bound of the region of mean square integrability, given by condition (3.16), coincides with the bound of region, given by condition (3.15). For $a \geq 0$ and $b \geq 0$, all conditions (3.15)-(3.18) give the same region of mean square integrability, which is defined by inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+\frac{b}{1-b}<1, \quad b<1 . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Remark 3.1, it follows that by conditions $a \geq 0$ and $b \geq 0$, inequality (3.19) is the necessary and sufficient condition for asymptotic stability of the trivial solution of equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}=a x_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{i} b^{j} x_{i-j} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note also that the region of mean square integrability $Q_{k}$, obtained for equation (3.14), (or the region of asymptotic stability of the trivial solution of equation (3.20)) expands if $k$ increases, i.e., $Q_{0} \subset Q_{1} \subset Q_{2} \subset Q_{3}$. So, to get a greater region of mean square integrability (or asymptotic stability), one can use the method of Lyapunov functionals construction for $k=4, k=5$, etc. However, it is easy to see that using condition (2.25) it is impossible to get a region of mean square integrability for equation (3.14) (or the region of asymptotic stability of the trivial solution of equation (3.20)) if $|b| \geq 1$. For comparison on Figure 1, the exact region of asymptotic stability of the trivial solution of equation (3.20) is shown (curve number 5) obtained in [13] by virtue of some another stability condition. In Figure 2, the solution of equation (3.20) with the initial condition $x_{0}=0.5$ is shown in the point $M$ of the region of asymptotic stability (Figure 1) with coordinates $a=0.63, b=-2.5$.


Figure 1.


Figure 2.

## REFERENCES

1. V.B. Kolmanovskii and V.R. Nosov, Stability of Functional Differential Equations, Academic Press, New York, (1986).
2. V.B. Kolmanovskii and A.D. Myshkis, Applied Theory of Functional Differential Equations, Kluwer Academic, Boston, MA, (1992).
3. V.B. Kolmanovskii and L.E. Shaikhet, Control of Systems with Aftereffect. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Volume 157, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (1996).
4. V.B. Kolmanovskii and L.E. Shaikhet, New results in stability theory for stochastic functional differential equations (SFDEs) and their applications, In Dynamical Systems and Applications, Volume 1, pp. 167-171, Dynamic Publishers, New York, (1994).
5. V.B. Kolmanovskii and L.E. Shaikhet, General method of Lyapunov functionals construction for stability investigations of stochastic difference equations, In Dynamical Systems and Applications. Singapore, World Scientific Series In Applicable Analysis, Volume 4, pp. 397-439, (1995).
6. V.B. Kolmanovskii and L.E. Shaikhet, A method for constructing Lyapunov functionals for stochastic differential equations of neutral type (in Russian), Differentialniye uravneniya 31 (11), 1851-1857, (1941)(1995); Translation in Differential Equations 31 (11), 1819-1825, (1996).
7. L.E. Shaikhet, Stability in probability of nonlinear stochastic hereditary systems, Dynamic Systems and Applications 4 (2), 199-204, (1995).
8. L.E. Shaikhet, Modern state and development perspectives of Lyapunov functionals method in the stability theory of stochastic hereditary systems, Theory of Stochastic Processes 2 (18)(1-2), 248-259, (1996).
9. L.E. Shaikhet, Necessary and sufficient conditions of asymptotic mean square stability for stochastic linear difference equations., Appl. Math. Lett. 10 (3), 111-115, (1997).
10. V.B. Kolmanovskii and L.E. Shaikhet, Matrix Riccati equations and stability of stochastic linear systems with nonincreasing delays, Functional Differential Equations 4 (3-4), 279-293, (1997).
11. V.B. Kolmanovskii and L.E. Shaikhet, Riccati equations and stability of stochastic linear systems with distributed delay, In Advances in Systems, Signals, Control and Computers, Volume 1, (Edited by V. Bajic), pp. 97-100 IAAMSAD and SA branch of the Academy of Nonlinear Sciences, Durban, South Africa, (1998).
12. V.B. Kolmanovskii, The stability of certain discrete-time Volterra equations, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics 63 (4), 537-543, (1999).
13. V. Kolmanovskii, N. Kosareva and L. Shaikhet, About one method of Lyapunov functionals construction (in Russian), Differentsialniye Uravneniya 35 (11), 1553-1565, (1999).
14. B. Paternoster and L. Shaikhet, Stability in probability of nonlinear stochastic difference equations, Stability and Control: Theory and Application 2 (1-2), 25-39, (1999).
15. B. Paternoster and L. Shaikhet, About stability of nonlinear stochastic difference equations, Appl. Math. Lett. 13 (5), 27-32, (2000).
16. B. Paternoster and L. Shaikhet, Integrability of solutions of stochastic difference second kind Volterra equations, Stability and Control: Theory and Application 3 (1), 78-87, (2000).
17. J.T. Edwards, N.J. Ford, J.A. Roberts and L.E. Shaikhet, Stability of a discrete nonlinear integro-differential. equation of convolution type, Stability and Control: Theory and Application 3 (1), 24-37, (2000).
18. P. Borne, V. Kolmanovskii and L. Shaikhet, Stabilization of inverted pendulum by control with delay, $D y$ namic Systems and Applications 9 (4), 501-515, (2000).
19. V. Kolmanovskii and L. Shaikhet, Some peculiarities of the general method of Lyapunov functionals construction, Appl. Math. Lett. 15 (3), 355-360, (2002).
