Transposition of the supra-aortic vessels before stent grafting the aortic arch and descending aorta
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Thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) has broadened the spectrum of treatment options for various acute and chronic thoracic aortic diseases. In clinical practice, aneurysms of the descending aorta are rarely limited to 1 segment. Thus, various surgical and endovascular options have been developed to offer treatment to those patients with more extended descending thoracic aortic disease. We have summarized the most common methods of arch rerouting, depending on the aortic involvement, emphasizing that these techniques should be used very selectively by experienced cardiovascular surgery teams. (J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2013;145:S91-7)

INDICATIONS FOR COMBINED APPROACHES
Patient Selection

The number of patients presenting for evaluation of thoracic aortic disease involving the aortic arch has been increasing. The technical feasibility is dependent on the extent of the disease; however, the risks of conventional surgery are primarily determined by the patient’s age, frailty, and comorbidities. Patients with true aortic aneurysms usually are different to those with penetrating atherosclerotic ulcers (PAU). The latter are usually less suitable for conventional surgical approaches because of the more frequent and aggressive underlying multifocal obliterative arteriopathy. Significant comorbidities play an important role in the decision-making process and frequently correlate with the primary thoracic aortic disease. Patients with aortic dissection might constitute a subgroup who less frequently have additional comorbidities, and patients with PAU a subgroup that usually has more comorbidities. We believe that patients with multisegmental thoracic aortic disease who might require 2-step conventional surgical treatment (ascending and arch first, followed by descending aortic repair) are the best candidates for combined supra-aortic transposition followed by an endovascular approach.
### Abbreviations and Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAU</td>
<td>penetrating atherosclerotic ulcer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEVAR</td>
<td>thoracic endovascular aortic repair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Preoperative Evaluation

The preoperative evaluation should be performed using multidisciplinary computed tomography scans (alternatively magnetic resonance angiography) to grossly exclude significant occlusive disease of the supra-aortic branches and to optimally demonstrate the anatomy of the aortoiliac bifurcation, which is mandatory for retrograde endovascular access to the aortic arch. In addition, the imaging technique should confirm that after supra-aortic transposition, an adequate proximal neck of at least 2 cm along the smaller curvature of the aortic arch will be available. Patients should undergo duplex scanning of the internal carotid and vertebral arteries to exclude hemodynamically significant stenoses or occlusions. Coronary angiography is performed only in symptomatic patients and those with an extensive cardiovascular risk profile.

### SURGICAL APPROACH

#### Left Subclavian-to-Left Carotid Artery Transposition

If the lesion in the distal aortic arch involves the origin of the left subclavian artery, a direct left subclavian-to-carotid artery transposition is performed through a supraclavicular incision. Both vessels are exposed between the medial and lateral clavicular insertion of the sternocleidomastoid muscle. Selective encircling of the left vertebral artery can help to expose the central portion of the subclavian artery (Figure 1). After transection of the proximal left subclavian artery, the stump is oversewn, and an end-to-side anastomosis between the subclavian and the carotid artery is performed (Figure 2). This medial surgical approach helps to prevent damage to the recurrent laryngeal and phrenic nerves and the thoracic duct. Nevertheless, careful use of electrocautery and ligation of all lymphatic vessels are recommended.

#### Double Transposition

If the extent of the aortic arch lesion involves the origin of the left common carotid artery, an autologous procedure to maintain cerebral perfusion can be performed. This approach was developed in 2002. In the first 2 patients, a median sternotomy approach was used and the pericardium opened. The skin incision was extended parallel to the left clavicle to offer sufficient access to the left subclavian artery. Later, the procedure was performed through a cranial hemisternotomy. Surgical access and intraoperative field are shown in Figure 3. For this procedure, it is important to provide adequate exposure of the supra-aortic vessels up to their extrathoracic level to allow tension-free anastomoses. If this is not possible, a 8-mm Dacron interposition graft is used.

After systemic heparinization with 80 IU/kg body weight, the left common carotid artery is prepared and clamped. The vessel is transected and the proximal stump oversewn with 4-0 Prolene running suture (Ethicon, Inc, Somerville, NJ). Thereafter, the innominate artery is clamped tangentially, a longitudinal incision is made, and a side-to-end anastomosis is then performed. Arterial pressure is assessed by either the right radial artery or both radial arteries. The advantage of using the right radial artery is that any significant change in blood pressure during clamping of the innominate artery can easily be detected and corrected, with more appropriate positioning of the tangential clamp. Careful flushing and de-airing are performed before antegrade blood flow is restored to the cerebral circulation. An analogous procedure is then performed between the left subclavian artery and the already transposed left common carotid artery (Figure 4). The main advantages of this approach are that it is less invasive and the avoidance of prosthetic material for the transposition of the arch vessels, eliminating the thrombogenic risk of vascular prostheses. Nevertheless, several investigators have described excellent results using prosthetic material in such cases.

#### Total Arch Rerouting

If the extent of the aortic arch lesion involves the origin of the innominate artery, using autologous vessels only will not be compatible with ensuring sufficient length for an adequate proximal landing zone. Thus, prosthetic material will be necessary to re-establish the cerebral and upper extremity perfusion. In these patients, we use a reversed aortobifemoral prosthesis. The procedure is performed through a median sternotomy. The pericardium is opened. After systemic heparinization with 80 IU/kg, the ascending aorta is clamped tangentially. Next, an end-to-side anastomosis is performed between the aortic side of the bifurcated prosthesis and the ascending aorta, using 4-0 Prolene running suture. Optionally, a xenopericardial or Teflon felt strip can be used to reinforce the anastomosis. Thereafter, the innominate artery is transected, the proximal stump is oversewn, and an end-to-end anastomosis between 1 distal branch of the prosthesis and the innominate artery is performed with 5-0 Prolene running suture. Cerebral monitoring during this step of the operation is mandatory and is performed with an intra-arterial catheter in the right radial artery and/or using cerebral oximetry. The branch of the prosthesis is positioned ventral to the innominate vein. The blood flow is restored after flushing and de-airing. Thereafter, the second branch is placed either in front of,
or behind, the innominate vein, according to the individual anatomy and to avoid compression and consequent venous inflow obstruction. The left subclavian artery is clamped, transected, and oversewn. An end-to-end anastomosis is performed with the second branch of the bifurcated prosthesis with 5-0 Prolene running suture. The intraoperative field before and after transposition is shown in Figures 5 and 6. In our experience, a 14/7-mm or 16/8-mm bifurcated graft is adequate for optimal size matching and provides sufficient flow, irrespective of whether Dacron or polytetrafluoroethylene grafts are used. Finally, the left common carotid artery is transected and reimplanted into the prosthetic branch to the left subclavian artery.

Both options—a simultaneous or 2-step procedure (transposition and TEVAR)—are feasible. The decision on how to proceed is determined by the findings from the individual patient evaluation, the local setting (eg, the availability of a hybrid operating room), and, finally, the institutional experience with this type of procedures.

Involvement of Ascending Aorta

When the ascending aorta must be replaced because of aneurysm or extreme atherosclerosis, the right subclavian artery is approached by a subclavicular incision and cannulated for cardiopulmonary bypass either directly or through a side branch interposition. After median sternotomy and left cranial extension of the skin incision, the pericardium is opened, and the innominate vein and supra-aortic branches are prepared circumferentially and encircled with silastic tapes. After systemic heparinization, cardiopulmonary bypass is instituted at normothermia, and cold blood cardioplegia is used as myocardial protection. The ascending aorta is clamped just proximal to the innominate artery and resected up to the sinotubular junction. Next, the ascending aorta is replaced, and any additional procedure (coronary artery bypass grafting or aortic valve replacement) is performed. The aortic clamp is released, the aorta de-aired, and the patient is weaned from cardiopulmonary bypass. Thereafter, depending on the individual situation, a double transposition or total arch rerouting is done, as described. Figure 7 depicts replacement of the ascending aorta followed by double transposition, and Figure 8 depicts an ascending replacement followed by total arch rerouting.

Stent-Graft Systems

It was beyond the scope of the present report to describe in detail the advantages and disadvantages of all
commercially available systems. However, we would recommend the use of a device with the possibility of tip capture in the aortic arch.

RESULTS
Surgical Procedure
In general, the morbidity after supra-aortic reconstruction has been low. In a series of 73 supra-aortic transpositions, 1 patient sustained transient neurologic injury. This patient experienced multiple PAUs and had a severely calcified ascending aorta. The suspected mechanism was embolization during tangential clamping of the ascending aorta for construction of the proximal anastomosis. However, particularly after total arch rerouting, a risk of retrograde type A aortic dissection exists. The underlying mechanism of this dramatic complication remains unclear. Hypotheses include weakness of the aortic wall due to inherent disease despite a normal-size aorta; compliance mismatch between the elastic ascending aorta and the rigid stent-graft; manipulation of the aorta during tangential clamping; alteration of the hemodynamics in this area; and, finally, a direct lesion resulting from proximal bare springs of some stent-grafts.

Additional complications can include injury to the left laryngeal nerve—observed in 3.6%—and injury to the thoracic duct, resulting in chronic lymph fistula or chylothorax in 1.4%. Neurologic complications, either cerebral or spinal, are rare. This might be because of the careful preservation of any collateral blood supply to the brain and spinal cord resulting from the maintenance of the antegrade perfusion of the left subclavian artery.

Stent-Graft Placement
When a few simple principles are followed, the risks of stent-graft implantation are also low. If a transfemoral approach is not possible because of narrowed or severely

FIGURE 5. Intraoperative field before total arch rerouting.

FIGURE 6. Intraoperative field after total arch rerouting.

FIGURE 7. Intraoperative field after ascending aortic replacement and double transposition.

FIGURE 8. Intraoperative field after ascending aortic replacement and total arch rerouting.
calcified vessels, the common iliac artery can be used as extraperitoneal vascular access. Usually, we recommend a 9-mm side graft to the iliac artery to facilitate stent-graft insertion. In rare cases, the infrarenal aorta or, occasionally, the ascending aorta is selected for access. Figure 9 shows a side graft to the right common iliac artery, and Figure 10 shows a side graft to an ascending aortic graft for antegrade delivery of the stent-graft.

**Follow-up Period and Need for Secondary Procedures**

Owing to the innovative character of these procedures and the few mid- to long-term data available, close follow-up is recommended. In our own experience, the mean follow-up period has extended up to 35 months. Other combined series have shown similar observation periods. From previous experience with stent-grafts, failures (endoleaks) due to classic mistakes such as a short landing zone, acute angulation, and limited overlap between prostheses can be expected. However, if the few basic principles described are followed, the probability of late failure and the need for any additional procedure will be very low. However, close follow-up for these patients at a dedicated center for aortic diseases for several other reasons is mandatory. These patients can develop lesions in other vascular regions that will necessitate treatment. Furthermore, the spectrum of cardiovascular risk factors observed might be responsible for any other cardiovascular disease, and clinically silent malignancies in association with smoking can be detected at a very early stage by simple chest radiography or computed tomography and might thereby be amenable to curative treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

Owing to the recent introduction of these procedures into the clinical routine, long-term experience is limited. Recent work has attempted to summarize the experience in the published data currently available. In general, an unequivocal consensus has been reached that an anticipatory and well-selected application of combined surgical and endovascular approaches can reduce the risk for certain patient populations and enlarge the spectrum of options for elderly patients who might benefit from a less-invasive approach without extracorporeal circulation and hypothermic circulatory arrest. Some studies have tried to compare this combined approach with conventional aortic arch surgery. However, such comparisons are difficult because the patient cohorts do not match completely and the extent of disease and the clinical situation will dictate treatment on an individual basis for most patients.

In our own experience, several technical aspects must be considered. Type 1 endoleak formation in the highly shear-stress exposed area represented by the aortic arch must be monitored very closely. The constant friction between the stent skeleton and the graft is more pronounced within the aortic arch than within the straighter descending thoracic aorta. Additionally, elongation and constriction of the aorta in the longitudinal axis from functional alterations during daily life can contribute to an increase in shear stress.

In our experience, both direct procedures—left subclavian-to-left carotid transposition and double transposition—can be performed safely. From a technical standpoint, total arch rerouting might be even more convenient, because the length of the native vessels is of secondary importance. Regarding left subclavian-to-left carotid transposition, it is mandatory to have adequate proximal space to safely position the clamp on the central portion of the left subclavian artery using the small extrathoracic approach. Otherwise, potential bleeding complications in this region can be controlled only with substantial effort.

In double transposition, access to the proximal portion of the supra-aortic branches is more convenient because hemi-sternotomy allows excellent visualization and approach.
Large aneurysms located at the convexity of the distal aortic arch will displace the supra-aortic vessels anteriorly. This substantially facilitates the construction of the vascular anastomoses. This is not the case when a PAU occurs in a normal-size aorta or in the event of lesions involving the concavity of the aortic arch. In some instances, interposition of an 8-mm Dacron graft could be necessary to accomplish a tension-free vascular transposition. In addition, the supra-clavicular extension of the incision enables mobilization of the supra-aortic branches up to an extrathoracic level, enabling tension-free vascular transposition.22

The end-to-side anastomosis between the innominate artery and left common carotid artery can be easily performed. However, circumferential dissection of the left subclavian artery and the anastomosis between the left common carotid and left subclavian artery can present some surgical challenges. In our experience, the left subclavian artery always adheres to the aneurysmal wall, and inflammation resulting from the mechanical pressure arising from the aneurysm makes it adherent to the aorta. Therefore, careful dissection is mandatory to avoid damage to the aneurysm. Finally, diameter mismatch between the left common carotid and left subclavian arteries can be present, and this should be considered when performing the left common carotid arteriotomy.

Despite the reproducibility and safety of these newer approaches, some risks remain. Any manipulation on the ascending aorta and supra-aortic branches can cause cerebral injury from embolization of atherosclerotic debris. Therefore, a no-touch (or minimal touch) technique is recommended whenever feasible. Imprecise rerouting of either the left common carotid artery or left subclavian artery can result in kinking or compression of the vessel. Brisk manipulation of the stent-graft introducer within the aortic arch can lead to detachment of soft plaques or parietal thrombotic material with consequent cerebral or peripheral embolization. Incorrect estimation of the proximal neck length can lead to unstable proximal fixation of the stent-graft, with early type Ia endoleak formation. Therefore, it is essential to determine the length of the proximal landing zone along the small curvature (concavity) of the aortic arch. Finally, retrograde type A dissection can be precipitated by the procedure itself or any manipulation.8,23 Thus, in patients with a diameter of the ascending aorta larger than 40 mm, we recommend concomitant replacement of the ascending aorta.24 With increasing knowledge of the morphology and anatomy of the ascending aorta, it is possible that more liberal replacement of the ascending aortic will be performed, together with supra-aortic transposition in the future to prevent any early and late complications in the ascending aorta.

CONCLUSIONS
A combined surgical and endovascular approach to treat multisegmental thoracic aortic aneurysmal disease can be considered an established procedure. The selection of the optimal surgical approach must be decided by a dedicated team able to provide all treatment options available and to treat all complications that might be encountered. This type of innovative approach constitutes an added value to the armamentarium of the cardiovascular surgeon in the treatment of complex and challenging pathologic features of the aortic arch.
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