Telomerase and Telomere-Associated Proteins: Structural Insights into Mechanism and Evolution

Karen A. Lewis[1] and Deborah S. Wuttke[1,*]
[1]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO 80309, USA
*Correspondence: deborah.wuttke@colorado.edu
DOI 10.1016/j.str.2011.10.017

Recent advances in our structural understanding of telomerase and telomere-associated proteins have contributed significantly to elucidating the molecular mechanisms of telomere maintenance. The structures of telomerase TERT domains have provided valuable insights into how experimentally identified conserved motifs contribute to the telomerase reverse transcriptase reaction. Additionally, structures of telomere-associated proteins in a variety of organisms have revealed that, across evolution, telomere-maintenance mechanisms employ common structural elements. For example, the single-stranded 3′ overhang of telomeric DNA is specifically and tightly bound by an OB-fold in nearly all species, including ciliates (TEBP and Pot1a), fission yeast (SpPot1), budding yeast (Cdc13), and humans (hPOT1). Structures of the yeast Cdc13, Stn1, and Ten1 proteins demonstrated that telomere maintenance is regulated by a complex that bears significant similarity to the RPA heterotrimer. Similarly, proteins that specifically bind double-stranded telomeric DNA in divergent species use homeodomains to execute their functions (human TRF1 and TRF2 and budding yeast ScRap1). Likewise, the conserved protein Rap1, which is found in budding yeast, fission yeast, and humans, contains a structural motif that is known to be critical for protein-protein interaction. In addition to revealing the common underlying themes of telomere maintenance, structures have also elucidated the specific mechanisms by which many of these proteins function, including identifying a telomere-specific domain in Stn1 and how the human TRF proteins avoid heterodimerization. In this review, we summarize the high-resolution structures of telomerase and telomere-associated proteins and discuss the emergent common structural themes among these proteins. We also address how these high-resolution structures complement biochemical and cellular studies to enhance our understanding of telomere maintenance and function.

Introduction

Telomeres are nucleoprotein complexes required for chromosomal stability. They shield the ends of linear chromosomes from recognition by DNA damage machinery and provide a solution to the end-replication problem through the action of the reverse transcriptase telomerase (de Lange, 2009; Greider and Blackburn, 1987). Protection of the chromosomal end is conferred by essential protein complexes that prevent the severe and lethal consequences of a cellular response to exposed DNA ends, including chromosomal end-to-end fusions and nucleolytic processing (de Lange, 2009). Additionally, the limitations of semiconservative DNA replication result in gradual telomere shortening, limiting the number of cell divisions as short telomeres trigger cellular senescence (Harley et al., 1990; Hayflick, 1979; Lundblad and Szostak, 1989). This limitation can be circumvented by the telomerase-mediated extension of telomeric DNA, as observed in unicellular eukaryotic organisms and proliferative metazoan cells (Bodnar et al., 1998; Lundblad and Szostak, 1989; Yu et al., 1990). Both the end-protection and telomerase activities are tightly controlled (de Lange, 2009), and their dysregulation is associated with several human diseases (Armanios, 2009; Calado and Young, 2009; Garcia et al., 2007).

The sequences of telomere-associated proteins diverge rapidly (Linger and Price, 2009), confounding our ability to identify the unifying themes underlying telomere maintenance.

Fortunately, the high-resolution structures of telomere-associated factors have revealed the repeated use of common structural elements with some intriguing elaborations. In particular, some apparently divergent telomere-associated proteins in distantly related species share folds, whereas others are similar to well-characterized nontelomeric proteins, suggesting the evolution of telomere-specific function. These structures, both through their similarities and their differences, provide direction for biochemical and cellular studies that aim to define the mechanisms of action of telomere-associated factors. In this review, we will discuss the emergent common structural themes in telomere-associated proteins and describe how these high-resolution structures have enhanced our understanding of telomere maintenance and function.

Telomerase Reverse Transcriptase

The ends of linear chromosomes terminate in G-rich single-stranded 3′ overhangs (Klobutcher et al., 1981; Larrivée et al., 2004; McElligott and Wellinger, 1997; Moyzis et al., 1988; Shampay et al., 1984; Wright et al., 1997). In vitro, telomeric single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) readily forms higher order G-quadruplex structures amenable to high-resolution characterization (Burge et al., 2006; Neidle and Parkinson, 2003), although these structures have thus far only been reported in vivo at ciliate telomeres (Lipps and Rhodes, 2009; Paeschke et al., 2005). Chromosome ends also form large DNA duplex "t-loops," where the ssDNA

Figure 1. Telomerase Enzymes Are Telomere-Specific Reverse Transcriptases

(A) Telomerase domain topology (TEN, yellow; TRBD, orange; fingers, gray; RT, blue; CTE, magenta) with conserved motifs (T, green; CP, red; IFD, cyan; and left to right in black, motifs 1, 2, 3, and the canonical RT motifs A, B′, C, D, E, and F, black).

(B) Surface representation of *T. castaneum* TERT. Domains are labeled and colored as in (A), with the active site residues in yellow (PDB: 3DU6).

(C) The *T. castaneum* RT domain is shown in light blue, showing the locations of the telomerase-specific motifs 3 (dark blue) and IFD (cyan). As in (B), the active site residues are in yellow.

(D) The *T. thermophila* TRBD domain is shown as a surface representation, with the T motif (red) and CP motif (green) highlighted (PDB: 2R4G).

(E) The *T. thermophila* TEN domain is also shown as a surface (PDB: 2B2A). Mutations that alter nucleotide binding are shown as red sticks and red surface lining the pocket formed by the C-terminal tail, which is labeled. All structures were modeled using the PyMol Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.3, Schrödinger, LLC (Schrödinger, 2010).

overhang invades the duplex region to form a structure similar to a recombination intermediate, providing a scaffold for higher-order structure in vivo (de Lange, 2004; Griffith et al., 1999; Muñoz-Jordán et al., 2001), but they have not yet been amenable to high-resolution structural studies in vitro.

Telomerase catalyzes the addition of telomeric repeats onto this 3′ overhang using an integrated ribonucleoprotein complex that consists of a reverse transcriptase protein (TERT) and a large RNA component (TR), which provides the template sequence for the telomeric repeat (Greider and Blackburn, 1989; Lingner et al., 1997). Within the family of reverse transcriptases, telomerase is anomalous in that its RNA component is a constitutive component of the transcriptase with multiple functions (Autexier and Lue, 2006). TERT and TR associate with additional proteins in vivo to form a functional holoenzyme (Lendvay et al., 1996; Lingner and Cech, 1996; Venteicher et al., 2009; Witkin and Collins, 2004; Witkin et al., 2007). Although the structure of an intact telomerase holoenzyme has yet to be solved, structures of individual telomerase and TR subdomains have informed our understanding of its organization and the mechanisms of its unique reverse transcriptase activity (Kelleher et al., 2002). Here, we limit our discussion to structures of TERT, because the structures of domains within telomerase RNA have been expertly reviewed elsewhere (Zhang et al., 2011a).

TERTs contain a reverse transcriptase domain (RT) that possesses the canonical RT motifs 1, 2, A, B′, C, D, and E, including the three invariant catalytic aspartate residues (Lingner et al., 1997). Three additional domains fully define a TERT protein: a "telomerase essential N-terminal" domain (TEN), a telomerase RNA binding domain (TRBD), and a C-terminal extension (CTE) (Figure 1A) (Blackburn, 2005; Bryan and Cech, 1999; Wyatt et al., 2010). Breakthroughs in our structural under-

standing of TERT have come from the *Tetrahymena thermophila* TRBD and TEN domain structures and from the structures of the putative TERT from the flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum* (*Tc*TERT). *Tc*TERT differs somewhat from other known telomerases in that it lacks the TEN domain. As of yet, the RNA component has not been identified (Osanai et al., 2006). However, *Tc*TERT is a reverse transcriptase that contains telomerase-specific sequence motifs (see below), which suggests that, if not an active telomerase, it may be an evolutionary intermediate. The *Tc*TERT structures serve as a judicious starting point for understanding the mechanism of telomerase action while we await a high-resolution structure of an intact TERT+TR holoenzyme.

*Tc*TERT forms a ring-like structure with the TRBD, making considerable contact with the CTE even though they are separated in primary sequence by the RT domain (Gillis et al., 2008) (Figures 1A and 1B). The RT folds into a palm-and-fingers organization reminiscent of other reverse transcriptases (Das and Georgiadis, 2004; Rodgers et al., 1995). The active site is in the palm of the RT and contains universally catalytic aspartates and a Mg^{2+} ion (Gillis et al., 2008; Mitchell et al., 2010a) (Figure 1B). The CTE curls around to contact the TRBD and complete the ring (Figure 1B). A cocrystal of *Tc*TERT and a hybrid RNA/DNA hairpin show that, analogous to retroviral reverse transcriptases, the nucleic acid docks in the center of the ring, contacting elements from the TRBD, RT, and CTE (Mitchell et al., 2010a). The *Tc*TERT structure also reveals the context of two previously characterized telomerase-specific motifs in the RT palm that affect activity: motif 3 and IFD (Figures 1A and 1C). Motif 3 is located between motifs 2 and A in the primary sequence (Xie et al., 2010) (Figure 1A). In *Tc*TERT, motif 3 forms two helices on the RT surface adjacent to the active site

Figure 2. Telomere-Associated Protein Complexes in Different Species

The proteins that are shown in color indicate that high-resolution structural data are available for either that protein or a close homolog. Metazoans: shelterin complex of TRF1, TRF2, RAP1, TIN2, hTPP1, and hPOT1. Fission yeast: shelterin-like complex of Taz1, Rap1, Poz1, Tpz1, Ccq1, and Pot1; also present are Stn1 and Ten1. Budding yeast: dsDNA-binding complex of Rap1, Rif1, and Rif2; ssDNA-binding complex of Cdc13, Stn1, and Ten1. Ciliate macronuclei: TEBPα/β.

(Figure 1C). IFD is an insertion between the A and B′ motifs, originally named the "insertion in the fingers domain" on the basis of mapping to the HIV-1 structure (Lue et al., 2003) (Figures 1A and 1C). The *Tc*TERT IFD is part of the solvent-exposed surface on the outside of the RT domain (Figure 1C), where it likely affects the active site through a helix that interacts with the IFD on one side and with the RNA substrate in the active site on the other (Lue et al., 2003).

The specialized RNA-binding activity of TERT is conferred by the essential and highly conserved TRBD, which uses the telomerase-specific T and CP motifs to recognize essential elements of TR (Bryan et al., 1998; Nakamura et al., 1997; O'Connor et al., 2005). TRBD structures are available in isolation from *T. thermophila* and within the full-length *T. castaneum* TERT. These structures are very similar; both consist of two asymmetrical helical lobes connected by a β-hairpin hinge region (Gillis et al., 2008; Rouda and Skordalakes, 2007) (Figure 1D). This novel topology places the phylogenetically conserved T and CP motifs adjacent on the hinge surface (Lai et al., 2001; Rouda and Skordalakes, 2007) (Figure 1D). The details of RNA binding will require a cocrystal structure, although mutagenesis suggests that RNA binding employs hydrophobic interactions within the conserved T and CP motifs. Full understanding of nucleic acid recognition will also require analysis of the structurally uncharacterized N-terminal region of the *T. thermophila* TRBD (residues 195–253), which was found to be required for biochemical activity (Lai et al., 2001) but was not part of the structurally characterized construct (residues 254–519) (Rouda and Skordalakes, 2007).

Telomerases are additionally distinguished from canonical reverse transcriptases by the TEN domain, which is essential for telomerase activity in vivo and in vitro (Bryan et al., 2000; Friedman and Cech, 1999; O'Connor et al., 2005; Xia et al., 2000). The TEN domain binds both TR and the telomeric ssDNA substrate and is critical for the telomerase-specific repeat-addition processivity (RAP) activity (Moriarty et al., 2004; Zaug et al., 2008). The *T. thermophila* TEN domain adopts a novel protein fold, consisting of N-terminal and C-terminal subdomains ending in a C-terminal tail (Jacobs et al., 2006) (Figure 1E, key tail residues highlighted in red). The structure was used to direct mutagenesis experiments that identified the C-terminal tail (residues 177–191) as essential for RNA binding and weak binding of telomeric ssDNA primers (Jacobs et al., 2006) (Figure 1E). Protein flexibility may contribute to nucleic acid binding, as deletion of the disordered C-terminal tail of TEN compromises its ability bind RNA (Jacobs et al., 2006).

Telomere-Associated Proteins

Our knowledge of telomere organization is being built from the ground up, focusing on the structural characterization of individual proteins and subcomplexes from a range of organisms (Figure 2). This review focuses on the structurally characterized protein domains and their complexes of factors whose primary cellular role is linked to telomere maintenance. Metazoan telomeres contain the six-member shelterin complex (Palm and de Lange, 2008) (Figure 2), composed of the double-stranded binding proteins TRF1 and TRF2, which bind telomeric dsDNA as homodimers and interact with RAP1 and TIN2. TIN2 interacts with hTPP1, which in turn binds the telomeric ssDNA binding protein, hPOT1 (Figure 2). Fission yeast also uses a shelterin-like complex, comprising a single TRF1/2 homolog, Taz1, which interacts with a Rap1 homolog. This Rap1 also interacts with Poz1, which then binds the hTPP1-hPOT1 homologs *Sp*Tpz1-*Sp*Pot1 (de Lange, 2009; Miyoshi et al., 2008) (Figure 2). Budding yeast appears to use a distinct mechanism of telomere maintenance through the Rap1/Rif1/Rif2 complex, which binds telomeric dsDNA, and a telomere-specific RPA-like complex containing Cdc13/Stn1/Ten1 (t-RPA), which binds telomeric ssDNA (Shore and Bianchi, 2009) (Figure 2). Fission yeast and metazoan telomeres also employ an RPA-like complex (Martín et al., 2007; Miyake et al., 2009; Song et al., 2008). Although the double-stranded DNA-binding factors in ciliates have not been reported, *Oxytricha nova* amitotic macronuclear telomeres employ the heterodimeric complex TEBP (Gottschling and Zakian, 1986), whereas *T. thermophila* contains a Pot1/TPP1-like complex (Jacob et al., 2007; Linger et al., 2011).

Single-Stranded DNA Binders and Their Complexes

High-resolution structures have revealed similarities among the telomere end-protection (TEP) proteins that were not predicted from their primary sequences. TEP proteins universally bind the ssDNA overhang using the oligosaccharide/oligonucleotide/oligopeptide binding (OB) fold, a common Greek key motif in ssDNA and RNA binding proteins (Theobald et al., 2003). Because ssDNA is present throughout the genome during replication, TEP proteins must discriminate between telomere and nontelomere sequences. Some TEPs execute this exquisite specificity while also accommodating degeneracy or variable

Figure 3. OnTEBP Proteins Bind Ciliate Telomeric ssDNA
(A) Domain topology of O. nova TEBP proteins. Four OB folds are present, as well as a structurally uncharacterized C-terminal domain (CTD) in TEBPβ.
(B) TEBPα OB1-3 (green) forms a complex with TEBPβ (blue) to bind ssDNA ligand (black; PDB: 2I0Q). The TEBPβ globular domain that is bound by OB3 is in dark blue. The 3′ base, G12, is colored red and is fully buried in the groove between the protein subunits.
(C) OB1, OB2, and OB4 make critical contacts with the 3′ loop of the ssDNA ligand to sequester the bases from solvent.

spacer sequences within the telomeric repeats. All of this is accompanied by very high binding affinities, with unusually tight K_D values, often in the low pico- to nanomolar range (Croy and Wuttke, 2006). The high-resolution structures of several TEP domains have provided insight into how OB folds perform these myriad duties simultaneously.

OnTEBP
The first high-resolution TEP structures were of the heterodimeric telomere end-binding protein complex (TEBP) from the hypotrichous ciliate O. nova ("On," now called Sterkiella nova; Foissner and Berger, 1999). TEBP consists of two subunits, α and β, which bind tenaciously to O. nova macronuclear chromosomal termini as a heterodimeric complex specific for T_4G_4 repeats (Gottschling and Zakian, 1986; Gray et al., 1991; Horvath et al., 1998). TEBPα consists of two OB folds in an N-terminal domain (OB1 and OB2) and a third OB fold as a C-terminal domain (OB3) (Horvath et al., 1998), whereas TEBPβ is composed of a single N-terminal OB fold (OB4), a central globular domain, and a lysine-rich unstructured tail (CTD) (Buczek and Horvath, 2006; Horvath et al., 1998) (Figures 3A and 3B). This first TEP structure unveiled new mechanisms for OB fold binding and set the benchmark for how this protein family functions. Notably, OB1 and OB2 were found to form a single extended ssDNA-binding surface that cooperates with OB4 to form the complete binding pocket. Additionally, the canonical ligand-binding site in OB3 interacts with the globular domain of TEBPβ (Horvath et al., 1998) (Figure 3B). This discovery expanded the set of known OB fold ligands to include oligopeptides, as well as oligonucleotides and oligosaccharides (Horvath et al., 1998).

The TEBPαβ heterodimer and ssDNA cofold into a stable complex, with the ssDNA forming a loop within a groove formed by the N-terminal OB folds of TEBPα and the globular domain of TEBPβ (Figure 3B). The nucleotide bases are generally buried, with the 3′ end completely solvent inaccessible, and make extensive contacts with amino acid side chains

through a chemically diverse range of interactions, including aromatic stacking, hydrophobic interactions, hydrogen bonding, and electrostatic interactions, with electrostatics contributing little to the thermodynamics of binding (Horvath et al., 1998) (Figure 3C). The occlusion of the bases and the 3′ end established a physical basis for sequence-specific binding and end protection (Horvath et al., 1998). The number and diversity of nucleotide-protein contacts provides a mechanism for the exquisite specificity of TEP proteins for their cognate ligands. Because TEBPα can also bind ssDNA independently, a model emerged in which TEBPα coats ssDNA with a terminal TEBPβ binding event to form a stable complex that caps the very end of the chromosome (Classen et al., 2001; Peersen et al., 2002).

Structures of TEBPαβ bound to a panel of noncognate ligands showed that OnTEBPαβ accommodates sequence variation using modest changes in side chain conformation and dramatic shifts in nucleic acid binding register to retain key specificity contacts (Theobald and Schultz, 2003). The ligand rearrangement explains why profound nucleotide sequence alterations caused less than a 10-fold change in affinity (Theobald and Schultz, 2003). Such nucleotide shuffling may be a primary mechanism by which TEP proteins bind variable 3′ overhangs; evidence for similar ligand accommodation is present in fission and budding yeast, although those mechanisms are currently structurally undefined.

Pot1 and hTPP1
Weak sequence identity between TEBPα OB1 and an uncharacterized S. pombe protein led to the identification of Pot1 (protection of telomeres-1) in fission yeast and humans (Baumann and Cech, 2001). Pot1 binds telomeric ssDNA as part of shelterin and is conserved in eukaryotes from S. pombe (SpPot1) to humans (hPOT1) (Croy and Wuttke, 2006). Deletion of Pot1 from either S. pombe or vertebrate cells is catastrophic, resulting in telomeric instability, chromosomal end-to-end fusions, and cell death (Baumann and Price, 2010). Like TEBPα, Pot1 is

Figure 4. Pot1 and hTPP1
(A) Domain topology of hPOT1, SpPot1, and hTPP1 proteins. Following the tandem OB folds that compose the DNA-binding domain, Pot1 contains a C-terminal protein-protein interaction domain (CTD), and hTPP1 contains a central Pot1-binding domain (PBD).
(B) Superposition of SpPot1pN (bright green; PDB: 1QZH) on hPOT1-DBD (dark green; rmsd = 1.09 Å; PDB: 3KJP). ssDNA ligands are modeled as sticks, with 6-mer bound to SpPot1pN (light gray) and 12-mer bound to hPOT1-DBD (dark gray). The 3′ bases of both ligands are shown in red.
(C) hTPP1-OB (orange; PDB: 2I46) superimposed on TEBPβ (teal; PDB: 2I0Q; rmsd = 2.0 Å).

composed of an N-terminal DNA-binding domain (DBD) and a C-terminal protein-protein interaction domain (Figure 4A). Also like TEBPα, Pot1 binds an OB-fold containing partner protein (hTPP1/SpTpz1) (Liu et al., 2004; Miyoshi et al., 2008; Ye et al., 2004).

The hPOT1-DBD comprises residues 1–340, and has been cocrystallized with ssDNA (Lei et al., 2004; Nandakumar et al., 2010) (Figure 4B, dark green and dark gray, respectively). The DBD is composed of tandem OB folds (OB1 and OB2). OB1 was predicted by a variety of sequence alignments, but OB2 was only weakly predicted using a profile-based sequence analysis (Theobald and Wuttke, 2004). The DNA adopts an extended conformation and makes specific contacts with both OB folds, where hydrogen bonds between amino acid side chains and nucleotide bases provide a structural basis for the biochemically observed nucleotide specificity preference for the 5′ end of the ligand and the terminal 3′ guanine (Lei et al., 2004) (Figure 4B).

hPOT1 is localized to the larger multimeric shelterin complex through the interaction of its C-terminal domain with a central domain in hTPP1 (Hockemeyer et al., 2007; Xin et al., 2007; Ye et al., 2004). hTPP1 also contains an N-terminal OB fold that bears striking resemblance to that of TEBPβ (residues 90–250) and an as-yet uncharacterized C-terminal hPOT1-interaction domain (Wang et al., 2007). hTPP1 enhances hPOT1 affinity by 10-fold (Gray et al., 1991; Wang et al., 2007), and also refines hPOT1 discrimination against ribonucleic acids (Nandakumar et al., 2010). Although the isolated domains of hPOT1/hTPP1 closely correspond structurally to those of TEBPαβ, the two complexes exhibit somewhat different biochemical behavior (Figure 4C). The DNA-binding domains of TEBPα and hPOT1 alone exhibit modest preference for the nature of the 3′ base (Classen et al., 2003; Lei et al., 2004), and the structures reveal a partially buried 3′OH. In contrast, TEBPαβ completely buries the 3′G (Horvath et al., 1998). Although no structure is yet available of the hPOT1/hTPP1 complex, biochemically the 3′ end requirement is relaxed (Wang et al., 2007). Both the TEBPα and TEBPαβ complexes inhibit telomerase activity, presumably

through steric occlusion of the 3′ end (Froelich-Ammon et al., 1998). Similarly, when localized to the 3′ end, hPOT1 alone inhibits human telomerase in vitro (Lei et al., 2005). However, when bound coincidently with hPOT1, hTPP1 leads to recovery of telomerase activity, behaving as a telomerase processivity factor by decreasing the rate of primer dissociation (Abreu et al., 2010; Latrick and Cech, 2010; Tejera et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2007; Xin et al., 2007). The structural similarity and functional divergence of hTPP1 and TEBPβ is a prime example of the species-specific adaptations that are characteristic of telomere maintenance complexes throughout phylogeny.

Like hPOT1, S. pombe Pot1 is also composed of a DBD (1–389) and a C-terminal domain (Croy et al., 2006) (Figure 4A). Although sequence homology is limited to the N-terminal OB fold, protein threading models and biochemical data strongly suggest that the DBD, like hPOT1, contains two tandem OB folds, SpPot1pN and SpPot1pC (Croy et al., 2006; Croy et al., 2009). Only SpPot1pN has been structurally characterized to date (Figure 4B), and as the first Pot1 structure to be elucidated, it provided initial insight into how Pot1 specifically recognizes ssDNA (Lei et al., 2003). SpPot1pN superpositions well on hPOT1-OB1, with the central ligand bases overlaying tightly with more divergence at the 3′ and 5′ ends. Stacking interactions between aromatic amino acids on the protein and bases of the ssDNA are largely conserved (Figure 4B). Solution dynamics analysis of the free and bound states of SpPot1pN suggests that specificity is achieved by a conformational selection mechanism where residues involved in forming the specific contacts experience dynamics that are quenched upon binding (Croy and Wuttke, 2009; Croy et al., 2008).

The SpPot1 OB folds exhibit independent DNA-binding activities, allowing for characterization of how these domains work in concert to perform sequence-specific recognition of DNA. SpPot1pN binds a single S. pombe telomeric repeat, d(GGTTAC), whereas SpPot1pC minimally binds to one and a half repeats (Croy et al., 2009; Lei et al., 2002). These binding activities are decoupled in the intact SpPot1-DBD, which binds

Figure 5. Budding Yeast Cdc13, Stn1, and Ten1

(A) Cdc13 domain topology and subdomain structures compared to RPA70. N-OB is in green, with the dimerization helix labeled (PDB: 3NWS). The DBD is shown as a cartoon, with superimposed transparent surfaces of the residues involved in DNA binding in increasing thermodynamic contribution from yellow to red (PDB: 1S40). The 11-mer ligand is shown as sticks (gray) with the 3′ base in red.

(B) Stn1 domain topology and structures compared to RPA32. Left, The *S. pombe* Stn1-N (green) is superimposed on RPA32-N (blue; rmsd = 1.6Å; PDB: 3KF6 and 1QUQ, respectively); right, the *S. cerevisiae* Stn1-C (magenta) is superimposed on RPA32-C (blue; rmsd = 1.9Å; PDB: 3K10 and 1DPU, respectively).

(C) Ten1 domain topology and structure compared to RPA14. The *S. pombe* Ten1 (green) is superimposed on RPA14 (blue; rmsd = 1.96Å; PDB 3K0X and 1QUQ, respectively).

the combined two-and-a-half repeat ssDNA with low picomolar affinity (Croy et al., 2009). Interestingly, *Sp*Pot1-DBD can also bind ssDNA of two repeats with identical affinity but with different specificities and tolerance for substitution as the longer ligand (Altschuler et al., 2011). These biochemical observations suggest that *Sp*Pot1 is capable of remarkable conformational plasticity and ligand accommodation. Supporting this hypothesis, *Sp*Pot1pN complexed with noncognate ligands identified novel ligand conformations that nonetheless bound with similar thermodynamic parameters (Croy et al., 2008). Because the core *S. pombe* telomere sequence repeats are often separated by a variable number of nucleotides (Trujillo et al., 2005), the ability to accommodate alternate telomeric sequences with minimal thermodynamic impact may be an essential element of *Sp*Pot1 function.

RPA-Like Complexes

An additional widely conserved complex also contributes to telomere function. This complex, first discovered in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, is composed of three essential proteins—Cdc13, Stn1, and Ten1—and impacts several aspects of telomere maintenance (Grandin et al., 2001; Grandin et al., 1997; Nugent et al., 1996) (Figure 5). Cdc13 positively regulates telomere length by recruiting telomerase (Bianchi et al., 2004; Nugent et al., 1996; Pennock et al., 2001), and all three proteins are also genetically implicated in negative length regulation (Chandra et al., 2001; Grandin et al., 2001; Grandin et al., 1997; Qi and Zakian, 2000). Cdc13 specifically binds yeast telomeric ssDNA with 300 pM affinity through its DBD, which is a single OB fold with no sequence similarity to the TEBP or Pot1 proteins (Anderson et al., 2002; Nugent et al., 1996) (Figure 5A). The isolated DBD binds more tightly, with 3 pM affinity, using an unusually long, structured loop between β2 and β3 (L$_{2-3}$) to extend the binding interface (Eldridge and Wuttke, 2008; Mitton-Fry et al., 2002; Mitton-Fry et al., 2004). As seen in TEBP and Pot1, aromatic stacking and hydrophobic interactions mediate recognition of

nucleotide bases and electrostatics stabilize the backbone phosphates (Anderson et al., 2003; Mitton-Fry et al., 2002). As observed in the Pot1 proteins, the most critical protein-DNA contacts are located in the 5′ end of the ligand, where these contacts define the highly sequence-specific binding behavior of Cdc13 (Anderson et al., 2003; Eldridge et al., 2006) (Figure 5A).

Exciting insights into the function of this complex came from the proposal that Cdc13, Stn1, and Ten1 form a telomere-specific RPA-like heterotrimer (t-RPA) (Gao et al., 2007) (Figure 5), on the basis of sequence analysis and in vivo domain swapping (Gao et al., 2007; Theobald and Wuttke, 2004). This was a paradigm-shifting idea, because RPA nonspecifically binds to ssDNA throughout the genome but Cdc13 specifically recognizes telomeric ssDNA. Structural data have been central to refining this hypothesis (Figure 5). Like RPA70, Cdc13 has an OB fold in the extreme N terminus (N-OB), but unlike RPA70, this domain contains a long helix that mediates dimerization (Mitchell et al., 2010b; Sun et al., 2011) (Figure 5A). The N-OB has been alternately proposed to bind either ssDNA or DNA polymerase α (Mitchell et al., 2010b; Sun et al., 2011). In addition to the N-OB and DBD domains, two additional OB folds flanking the DBD have been predicted (Sun et al., 2011; Theobald and Wuttke, 2004). As this review was in press, the C-terminal domain of the *Candida glabrata* Cdc13 was solved to be an OB fold (Yu et al., 2012). The demonstrated presence of four OB folds in Cdc13 would conclusively establish a domain organization analogous to RPA70 (Figure 5A). However, several elaborations confer the unique telomere functions of Cdc13, namely that the DBD is a single OB fold with specificity for telomeric DNA, the observation of dimerization, and the presence of a telomerase regulatory domain (RD) within the N terminus (Figure 5A).

Structures of Stn1 and Ten1 domains revealed that they closely mimic their RPA counterparts (Figures 5B and 5C). The N-terminal domains of Stn1 (Stn1-N) from the divergent yeasts *S. pombe* and *Candida tropicalis* are OB folds that superimpose on the RPA32 OB fold (Sun et al., 2009) (Figure 5B). The

C-terminal domain (Stn1-C) from *S. cerevisiae* is composed of tandem winged helix-turn-helix (wHTH) motifs (Gelinas et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2009). The N-terminal wHTH superimposes on the lone wHTH of RPA32 (Figure 5B), whereas in vivo studies identified a telomere-specific function for the C-terminal wHTH in negative telomere length regulation (Gelinas et al., 2009). Like RPA14, both the *S. pombe* and *C. tropicalis* Ten1 proteins are single OB folds (Gelinas et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2009) (Figure 5C). Furthermore, RPA32/14 and Stn1-N/Ten1 form stable heterodimers in vitro (Bochkarev et al., 1999; Gao et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2009). The presence of multiple OB folds in Cdc13 and the remarkable structural identity between Stn1/Ten1 and RPA32/14 strongly support the hypothesis that Cdc13, Stn1, and Ten1 are RPA-like proteins (Figure 5).

Although the RPA-like telomere proteins have been studied most extensively in budding yeast, a heterotrimeric complex composed of the well-conserved Stn1 and Ten1 proteins and a less conserved DNA-binding large subunit contributes to telomere function in a diverse set of species, including fission yeast, plants, and mammals (Casteel et al., 2009; Martín et al., 2007; Miyake et al., 2009; Surovtseva et al., 2009). In fission yeast, *stn1-Δ* and *ten1-Δ* strains exhibit the same phenotype as a Pot1 knockout, with rapid telomere degradation accompanied by high levels of inviability (Martín et al., 2007). Knockout of a Stn1 homolog in *Arabidopsis*, AtStn1, also exhibits a telomere shortening phenotype and severe morphological defects (Song et al., 2008). However, the rapid divergence of the large subunit has confounded the analysis of this complex. Even Cdc13 proteins from other yeast do not retain the level of telomere-specific DNA binding observed for *S. cerevisiae* Cdc13 (Mandell et al., 2011).

Additionally, the processivity factor Teb1 from *T. thermophila* shares an RPA70-like domain organization. Three Teb1 OB folds were solved as this review was in press, and they exhibit some similarity to the Pot1 proteins as well as RPA70 and Cdc13 (Zeng et al., 2011). Structural studies will clearly play a major role in the refinement of these models, including high-resolution structures of multimeric complexes and structure-directed in vivo mutagenesis.

Double-Stranded DNA Binders and Their Complexes
A separate set of factors specifically binds double-stranded telomeric DNA, where they function in chromosomal protection and telomere-length regulation (de Lange, 2009; Shore and Bianchi, 2009) (Figure 2). These proteins share common folds despite considerable sequence variability and differences in domain topology. As observed in the ssDNA-binding proteins, dsDNA-protein interactions and protein-protein interactions at the telomere in evolutionarily divergent species employ similar structural solutions to execute telomere-specific functions.

ScRap1
S. cerevisiae telomeric dsDNA is bound by *Sc*Rap1, which interacts with the proteins Rif1 and Rif2 to regulate telomere length (Shore and Bianchi, 2009). *Sc*Rap1 also mediates gene silencing both at the telomere and at mating-type loci through interactions with Sir3 and Sir4 (Moretti and Shore, 2001). The DNA-binding domain (DBD; residues 361–596), the BRCT domain (residues 6–102), and the C-terminal protein-protein interaction domain (CTD; residues 672–827) have been structurally characterized,

revealing the physical bases for these interactions and providing functional insights (Figure 6A).

The structure of *Sc*Rap1-DBD was seminal for the field, and demonstrated that DNA binding activity is carried out by two homeodomains, each composed of an N-terminal arm and a Myb-like three-helical bundle that includes a recognition helix, followed by a C-terminal tail (Konig et al., 1996) (Figure 6B). The N-terminal arms of each homeodomain make specific contacts within the minor groove and nonspecific contacts on the backbone of the dsDNA, and the recognition helix lies within the major groove. The tandem homeodomains wrap around the dsDNA with a separation of 8 base pairs (Figure 6B). The C-terminal tail contacts major groove bases and the recognition helix of the first homeodomain as it wraps back around the DNA to fully enclose the substrate (Konig et al., 1996). Specificity is mediated through direct and water-mediated hydrogen-bond contacts between the recognition helix and nucleotide bases of both strands.

The C-terminal protein-protein interaction domain of *Sc*Rap1 (RCT, for Rap1 C-terminus) adopts a novel, entirely helical topology, in which the N-terminal helices form a highly hydrophobic cleft (Feeser and Wolberger, 2008) (Figure 7A). A crystal structure of *Sc*Rap1-RCT complexed with a Sir3 peptide showed the peptide buried in the hydrophobic cleft (Chen et al., 2011). Recently, the structure of the *Sc*Rap1 BRCT domain was found to contain less secondary structure and more flexible loops than observed in canonical BRCT domains, but the physiological relevance of the more flexible structure has yet to be elucidated (Zhang et al., 2011b).

TRF1, TRF2, and Human Rap1
Human TRF1 and TRF2 bind to telomeric dsDNA as homodimers, with each monomer containing a single dsDNA-binding homeodomain that is similar to those that comprise *Sc*Rap1-DBD (Bianchi et al., 1999) (Figures 6A and 6C). The free and bound forms of TRF1-DBD and TRF2-DBD were independently solved by both solution NMR and X-ray crystallography (Court et al., 2005; Hanaoka et al., 2005; Nishikawa et al., 1998; Nishikawa et al., 2001). Specificity of binding is achieved primarily by the DNA recognition helix, H3, which lies in the major groove of the DNA where it makes numerous sequence-specific contacts with the telomeric dsDNA. Additionally, the N-terminal arms become more rigid in the bound state as they make specific contacts in the minor groove, similar to the specific contacts made between the *Sc*Rap1 loops and its cognate DNA (Court et al., 2005; Nishikawa et al., 2001) (Figure 6C).

Homodimerization of the TRF proteins is mediated by their TRF homology domains (TRFH) (Figure 6A). TRF1-TRFH and TRF2-TRFH share 27% sequence identity, and the crystal structures showed highly similar tertiary structures between the two homodimers (Fairall et al., 2001) (Figure 6D). Despite their structural similarity, TRF1 and TRF2 do not heterodimerize because of incompatible hydrophobic networks that form the dimerization interface (Fairall et al., 2001) (Figure 6E). A superposition of the α1 helices from the TRF1 and TRF2 homodimers shows the incompatibility between side chains (Figure 6E).

Although the high-resolution structure of the full shelterin complex has yet to be solved, insights into higher order protein assembly have been obtained from structures of shelterin

Figure 6. Domains of Telomeric dsDNA Binding Proteins
(A) Domain topology of TRF1, TRF2, and *Sc*Rap1.
(B) *Sc*Rap1 binds to double-stranded telomeric DNA with two homeodomains (N-terminal, teal; C-terminal, light blue; PDB: 1IGN).
(C) Overlay of the homeodomains from *Sc*Rap1 (*Sc*Rap1-N, teal; *Sc*Rap1-C, light blue; PDB: 1IGN), TRF1-DBD (orange; PDB: 1ITY), and TRF2-DBD (yellow; PDB: 1VF9).
(D) Superposition of the TRF1-TRFH homodimer (aqua and light blue; PDB: 1H6O) with the TRF2-TRFH homodimer (pink and magenta; PDB: 1H6P; rmsd = 1.23Å).
(E) Superposition of the α1 helices that compose part of the homodimerization interface. TRF1, teal and light blue; TRF2, pink and magenta. Dashes indicate hydrogen bonding between monomers (TRF1, green; TRF2, blue).
(F) Superposition of three peptide ligands on the structure of the TRF2-TRFH homodimer. TIN2 peptide bound to TRF1, green (PDB: 3BQO); TIN2 peptide bound to TRF2, orange (PDB: 3BU8); Apollo peptide bound to TRF2, yellow (PDB: 3BUA). For simplicity, only one peptide binding site is shown on the surface of one monomer in the homodimer.

domains complexed with peptides derived from binding partners. For example, the TRFH homodimers harbor interfaces for protein-protein interactions that stabilize shelterin and bind shelterin-interacting factors (Chen et al., 2008). Both TRF1 and TRF2 bind a TIN2-derived peptide; TRF2 also binds a peptide from the nuclease Apollo, which is associated with telomere protection during S phase (van Overbeek and de Lange, 2006). These peptides share a common binding interface, in a groove at the base of the homodimer horseshoe, and interact through a conserved hydrophobic surface (Chen et al., 2008) (Figure 6F). This peptide-based approach has also been employed to understand the mechanism of interaction between Rap1 proteins and their potential binding partners (Figure 2). Although the human and *S. pombe* Rap1 proteins do not contain a DBD, the C-terminal domains share significant structural homology with the C-terminal domain of *S. cerevisiae* Rap1 (Figures 7A and 7B), and also bind α helices from their respective interaction partners in a helical cleft (Chen et al., 2011) (Figure 7C). The hRAP1 C-terminal domain (RAP1-RCT) (residues 303–399) binds to a peptide derived from the intervening sequence between the TRFH and DBD domains of TRF2. *Sp*Rap1-RCT (residues 639–693) binds a peptide derived from the *S. pombe* TRF-like protein Taz1 (Figure 7C). As the shelterin complex is reconstituted, it will be exciting to see how many more structural and mechanistic similarities

exist between species that were not detectable by sequence homology.

Conclusions
High-resolution structures have been instrumental in informing telomere function and guiding biological studies aimed at elucidating the underlying mechanisms of telomere maintenance. The three-dimensional structures of several sets of telomerase and telomere-associated proteins revealed that they often display similar topologies in the absence of discernible sequence relationships, whereas variations on these shared protein folds highlight the unique ways in which organisms address their species-specific functions. With the structural picture of telomere function coming into focus, the next challenges will be the study of increasingly larger complexes. The next frontier is to understand how regulation of the telomere is achieved, and how interactions between DNA, RNA, and proteins work dynamically together to perform telomere biogenesis, regulation, and maintenance.

Figure 7. Protein-Protein Interactions
(A) Domain topology of Rap1 proteins.
(B) Cartoon overlay of the Rap1 C-terminal domains (RCT) from *S. cerevisiae* (green; PDB: 3OWT), *S. pombe* (cyan; PDB: 2L3N), and human (magenta; PDB: 3K6G).
(C) Surface overlay of the RCTs from *S. cerevisiae* (dark gray), *S. pombe* (medium gray), and human (light gray), shown with their respective peptide ligands shown as cartoons: Sir3 (green), Taz1 (cyan), and TRF2 (magenta).

REFERENCES

Abreu, E., Aritonovska, E., Reichenbach, P., Cristofari, G., Culp, B., Terns, R.M., Lingner, J., and Terns, M.P. (2010). TIN2-tethered TPP1 recruits human telomerase to telomeres *in vivo*. Mol. Cell. Biol. *30*, 2971–2982.

Altschuler, S.E., Dickey, T.H., and Wuttke, D.S. (2011). *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* protection of telomeres 1 utilizes alternate binding modes to accommodate different telomeric sequences. Biochemistry *50*, 7503–7513.

Anderson, E.M., Halsey, W.A., and Wuttke, D.S. (2002). Delineation of the high-affinity single-stranded telomeric DNA-binding domain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Cdc13. Nucleic Acids Res. *30*, 4305–4313.

Anderson, E.M., Halsey, W.A., and Wuttke, D.S. (2003). Site-directed mutagenesis reveals the thermodynamic requirements for single-stranded DNA recognition by the telomere-binding protein Cdc13. Biochemistry *42*, 3751–3758.

Armanios, M. (2009). Syndromes of telomere shortening. Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet. *10*, 45–61.

Autexier, C., and Lue, N.F. (2006). The structure and function of telomerase reverse transcriptase. Annu. Rev. Biochem. *75*, 493–517.

Baumann, P., and Cech, T.R. (2001). Pot1, the putative telomere end-binding protein in fission yeast and humans. Science *292*, 1171–1175.

Baumann, P., and Price, C. (2010). Pot1 and telomere maintenance. FEBS Lett. *584*, 3779–3784.

Bianchi, A., Negrini, S., and Shore, D. (2004). Delivery of yeast telomerase to a DNA break depends on the recruitment functions of Cdc13 and Est1. Mol. Cell *16*, 139–146.

Bianchi, A., Stansel, R.M., Fairall, L., Griffith, J.D., Rhodes, D., and de Lange, T. (1999). TRF1 binds a bipartite telomeric site with extreme spatial flexibility. EMBO J. *18*, 5735–5744.

Blackburn, E.H. (2005). Telomeres and telomerase: their mechanisms of action and the effects of altering their functions. FEBS Lett. *579*, 859–862.

Bochkarev, A., Bochkareva, E., Frappier, L., and Edwards, A.M. (1999). The crystal structure of the complex of replication protein A subunits RPA32 and RPA14 reveals a mechanism for single-stranded DNA binding. EMBO J. *18*, 4498–4504.

Bodnar, A.G., Ouellette, M., Frolkis, M., Holt, S.E., Chiu, C.P., Morin, G.B., Harley, C.B., Shay, J.W., Lichtsteiner, S., and Wright, W.E. (1998). Extension of life-span by introduction of telomerase into normal human cells. Science *279*, 349–352.

Bryan, T.M., and Cech, T.R. (1999). Telomerase and the maintenance of chromosome ends. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. *11*, 318–324.

Bryan, T.M., Sperger, J.M., Chapman, K.B., and Cech, T.R. (1998). Telomerase reverse transcriptase genes identified in *Tetrahymena thermophila* and *Oxytricha trifallax*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *95*, 8479–8484.

Bryan, T.M., Goodrich, K.J., and Cech, T.R. (2000). Telomerase RNA bound by protein motifs specific to telomerase reverse transcriptase. Mol. Cell *6*, 493–499.

Buczek, P., and Horvath, M.P. (2006). Structural reorganization and the cooperative binding of single-stranded telomere DNA in *Sterkiella nova*. J. Biol. Chem. *281*, 40124–40134.

Burge, S., Parkinson, G.N., Hazel, P., Todd, A.K., and Neidle, S. (2006). Quadruplex DNA: sequence, topology and structure. Nucleic Acids Res. *34*, 5402–5415.

Calado, R.T., and Young, N.S. (2009). Telomere diseases. N. Engl. J. Med. *361*, 2353–2365.

Casteel, D.E., Zhuang, S., Zeng, Y., Perrino, F.W., Boss, G.R., Goulian, M., and Pilz, R.B. (2009). A DNA polymerase-α{middle dot}primase cofactor with homology to replication protein A-32 regulates DNA replication in mammalian cells. J. Biol. Chem. *284*, 5807–5818.

Chandra, A., Hughes, T.R., Nugent, C.I., and Lundblad, V. (2001). Cdc13 both positively and negatively regulates telomere replication. Genes Dev. *15*, 404–414.

Chen, Y., Yang, Y., van Overbeek, M., Donigian, J.R., Baciu, P., de Lange, T., and Lei, M. (2008). A shared docking motif in TRF1 and TRF2 used for differential recruitment of telomeric proteins. Science *319*, 1092–1096.

Chen, Y., Rai, R., Zhou, Z.R., Kanoh, J., Ribeyre, C., Yang, Y., Zheng, H., Damay, P., Wang, F., Tsujii, H., Hiraoka, Y., et al. (2011). A conserved motif within RAP1 has diversified roles in telomere protection and regulation in different organisms. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *18*, 213–221.

Classen, S., Ruggles, J.A., and Schultz, S.C. (2001). Crystal structure of the N-terminal domain of *Oxytricha nova* telomere end-binding protein alpha subunit both uncomplexed and complexed with telomeric ssDNA. J. Mol. Biol. *314*, 1113–1125.

Classen, S., Lyons, D., Cech, T.R., and Schultz, S.C. (2003). Sequence-specific and 3′-end selective single-strand DNA binding by the *Oxytricha nova* telomere end binding protein alpha subunit. Biochemistry *42*, 9269–9277.

Court, R., Chapman, L., Fairall, L., and Rhodes, D. (2005). How the human telomeric proteins TRF1 and TRF2 recognize telomeric DNA: a view from high-resolution crystal structures. EMBO Rep. *6*, 39–45.

Croy, J.E., and Wuttke, D.S. (2006). Themes in ssDNA recognition by telomere-end protection proteins. Trends Biochem. Sci. *31*, 516–525.

Croy, J.E., and Wuttke, D.S. (2009). Insights into the dynamics of specific telomeric single-stranded DNA recognition by Pot1pN. J. Mol. Biol. *387*, 935–948.

Croy, J.E., Podell, E.R., and Wuttke, D.S. (2006). A new model for *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* telomere recognition: the telomeric single-stranded DNA-binding activity of Pot11-389. J. Mol. Biol. *361*, 80–93.

Croy, J.E., Fast, J.L., Grimm, N.E., and Wuttke, D.S. (2008). Deciphering the mechanism of thermodynamic accommodation of telomeric oligonucleotide sequences by the *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* protection of telomeres 1 (Pot1pN) protein. Biochemistry *47*, 4345–4358.

Croy, J.E., Altschuler, S.E., Grimm, N.E., and Wuttke, D.S. (2009). Nonadditivity in the recognition of single-stranded DNA by the *schizosaccharomyces pombe* protection of telomeres 1 DNA-binding domain, Pot1-DBD. Biochemistry *48*, 6864–6875.

Das, D., and Georgiadis, M.M. (2004). The crystal structure of the monomeric reverse transcriptase from Moloney murine leukemia virus. Structure *12*, 819–829.

de Lange, T. (2004). T-loops and the origin of telomeres. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. *5*, 323–329.

de Lange, T. (2009). How telomeres solve the end-protection problem. Science *326*, 948–952.

Eldridge, A.M., and Wuttke, D.S. (2008). Probing the mechanism of recognition of ssDNA by the Cdc13-DBD. Nucleic Acids Res. *36*, 1624–1633.

Eldridge, A.M., Halsey, W.A., and Wuttke, D.S. (2006). Identification of the determinants for the specific recognition of single-strand telomeric DNA by Cdc13. Biochemistry *45*, 871–879.

Fairall, L., Chapman, L., Moss, H., de Lange, T., and Rhodes, D. (2001). Structure of the TRFH dimerization domain of the human telomeric proteins TRF1 and TRF2. Mol. Cell *8*, 351–361.

Feeser, E.A., and Wolberger, C. (2008). Structural and functional studies of the Rap1 C-terminus reveal novel separation-of-function mutants. J. Mol. Biol. *380*, 520–531.

Foissner, W., and Berger, H. (1999). Identification and ontogenesis of the nomen nudum Hypotrichs (Protozoa: Ciliophora) *Oxytricha nova* (= *Sterkiella nova* sp n.) and *O. trifallax* (= *S. histriomuscorum*). Acta Protozool. *38*, 215–248.

Friedman, K.L., and Cech, T.R. (1999). Essential functions of amino-terminal domains in the yeast telomerase catalytic subunit revealed by selection for viable mutants. Genes Dev. *13*, 2863–2874.

Froelich-Ammon, S.J., Dickinson, B.A., Bevilacqua, J.M., Schultz, S.C., and Cech, T.R. (1998). Modulation of telomerase activity by telomere DNA-binding proteins in *Oxytricha*. Genes Dev. *12*, 1504–1514.

Gao, H., Cervantes, R.B., Mandell, E.K., Otero, J.H., and Lundblad, V. (2007). RPA-like proteins mediate yeast telomere function. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *14*, 208–214.

Garcia, C.K., Wright, W.E., and Shay, J.W. (2007). Human diseases of telomerase dysfunction: insights into tissue aging. Nucleic Acids Res. *35*, 7406–7416.

Gelinas, A.D., Paschini, M., Reyes, F.E., Héroux, A., Batey, R.T., Lundblad, V., and Wuttke, D.S. (2009). Telomere capping proteins are structurally related to RPA with an additional telomere-specific domain. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *106*, 19298–19303.

Gillis, A.J., Schuller, A.P., and Skordalakes, E. (2008). Structure of the *Tribolium castaneum* telomerase catalytic subunit TERT. Nature *455*, 633–637.

Gottschling, D.E., and Zakian, V.A. (1986). Telomere proteins: specific recognition and protection of the natural termini of *Oxytricha* macronuclear DNA. Cell *47*, 195–205.

Grandin, N., Reed, S.I., and Charbonneau, M. (1997). Stn1, a new *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* protein, is implicated in telomere size regulation in association with Cdc13. Genes Dev. *11*, 512–527.

Grandin, N., Damon, C., and Charbonneau, M. (2001). Ten1 functions in telomere end protection and length regulation in association with Stn1 and Cdc13. EMBO J. *20*, 1173–1183.

Gray, J.T., Celander, D.W., Price, C.M., and Cech, T.R. (1991). Cloning and expression of genes for the *Oxytricha* telomere-binding protein: specific subunit interactions in the telomeric complex. Cell *67*, 807–814.

Greider, C.W., and Blackburn, E.H. (1987). The telomere terminal transferase of *Tetrahymena* is a ribonucleoprotein enzyme with two kinds of primer specificity. Cell *51*, 887–898.

Greider, C.W., and Blackburn, E.H. (1989). A telomeric sequence in the RNA of *Tetrahymena* telomerase required for telomere repeat synthesis. Nature *337*, 331–337.

Griffith, J.D., Comeau, L., Rosenfield, S., Stansel, R.M., Bianchi, A., Moss, H., and de Lange, T. (1999). Mammalian telomeres end in a large duplex loop. Cell *97*, 503–514.

Hanaoka, S., Nagadoi, A., and Nishimura, Y. (2005). Comparison between TRF2 and TRF1 of their telomeric DNA-bound structures and DNA-binding activities. Protein Sci. *14*, 119–130.

Harley, C.B., Futcher, A.B., and Greider, C.W. (1990). Telomeres shorten during ageing of human fibroblasts. Nature *345*, 458–460.

Hayflick, L. (1979). The cell biology of aging. J. Invest. Dermatol. *73*, 8–14.

Hockemeyer, D., Palm, W., Else, T., Daniels, J.P., Takai, K.K., Ye, J.Z., Keegan, C.E., de Lange, T., and Hammer, G.D. (2007). Telomere protection by mammalian Pot1 requires interaction with Tpp1. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *14*, 754–761.

Horvath, M.P., Schweiker, V.L., Bevilacqua, J.M., Ruggles, J.A., and Schultz, S.C. (1998). Crystal structure of the *Oxytricha nova* telomere end binding protein complexed with single strand DNA. Cell *95*, 963–974.

Jacob, N.K., Lescasse, R., Linger, B.R., and Price, C.M. (2007). *Tetrahymena* POT1a regulates telomere length and prevents activation of a cell cycle checkpoint. Mol. Cell. Biol. *27*, 1592–1601.

Jacobs, S.A., Podell, E.R., and Cech, T.R. (2006). Crystal structure of the essential N-terminal domain of telomerase reverse transcriptase. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *13*, 218–225.

Kelleher, C., Teixeira, M.T., Förstemann, K., and Lingner, J. (2002). Telomerase: biochemical considerations for enzyme and substrate. Trends Biochem. Sci. *27*, 572–579.

Klobutcher, L.A., Swanton, M.T., Donini, P., and Prescott, D.M. (1981). All gene-sized DNA molecules in four species of hypotrichs have the same terminal sequence and an unusual 3′ terminus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *78*, 3015–3019.

Konig, P., Giraldo, R., Chapman, L., and Rhodes, D. (1996). The crystal structure of the DNA-binding domain of yeast RAP1 in complex with telomeric DNA. Cell *85*, 125–136.

Lai, C.K., Mitchell, J.R., and Collins, K. (2001). RNA binding domain of telomerase reverse transcriptase. Mol. Cell. Biol. *21*, 990–1000.

Larrivée, M., LeBel, C., and Wellinger, R.J. (2004). The generation of proper constitutive G-tails on yeast telomeres is dependent on the MRX complex. Genes Dev. *18*, 1391–1396.

Latrick, C.M., and Cech, T.R. (2010). POT1-TPP1 enhances telomerase processivity by slowing primer dissociation and aiding translocation. EMBO J. *29*, 924–933.

Lei, M., Baumann, P., and Cech, T.R. (2002). Cooperative binding of single-stranded telomeric DNA by the Pot1 protein of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Biochemistry *41*, 14560–14568.

Lei, M., Podell, E.R., Baumann, P., and Cech, T.R. (2003). DNA self-recognition in the structure of Pot1 bound to telomeric single-stranded DNA. Nature *426*, 198–203.

Lei, M., Podell, E.R., and Cech, T.R. (2004). Structure of human POT1 bound to telomeric single-stranded DNA provides a model for chromosome end-protection. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *11*, 1223–1229.

Lei, M., Zaug, A.J., Podell, E.R., and Cech, T.R. (2005). Switching human telomerase on and off with hPOT1 protein *in vitro*. J. Biol. Chem. *280*, 20449–20456.

Lendvay, T.S., Morris, D.K., Sah, J., Balasubramanian, B., and Lundblad, V. (1996). Senescence mutants of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with a defect in telomere replication identify three additional EST genes. Genetics *144*, 1399–1412.

Linger, B.R., and Price, C.M. (2009). Conservation of telomere protein complexes: shuffling through evolution. Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. *44*, 434–446.

Linger, B.R., Morin, G.B., and Price, C.M. (2011). The Pot1a-associated proteins Tpt1 and Pat1 coordinate telomere protection and length regulation in *Tetrahymena*. Mol. Biol. Cell. *22*, 4161–4170.

Lingner, J., and Cech, T.R. (1996). Purification of telomerase from *Euplotes aediculatus*: requirement of a primer 3′ overhang. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *93*, 10712–10717.

Lingner, J., Hughes, T.R., Shevchenko, A., Mann, M., Lundblad, V., and Cech, T.R. (1997). Reverse transcriptase motifs in the catalytic subunit of telomerase. Science *276*, 561–567.

Lipps, H.J., and Rhodes, D. (2009). G-quadruplex structures: *in vivo* evidence and function. Trends Cell Biol. *19*, 414–422.

Liu, D., Safari, A., O'Connor, M.S., Chan, D.W., Laegeler, A., Qin, J., and Songyang, Z. (2004). PTOP interacts with POT1 and regulates its localization to telomeres. Nat. Cell Biol. *6*, 673–680.

Lue, N.F., Lin, Y.C., and Mian, I.S. (2003). A conserved telomerase motif within the catalytic domain of telomerase reverse transcriptase is specifically required for repeat addition processivity. Mol. Cell. Biol. *23*, 8440–8449.

Lundblad, V., and Szostak, J.W. (1989). A mutant with a defect in telomere elongation leads to senescence in yeast. Cell *57*, 633–643.

Mandell, E.K., Gelinas, A.D., Wuttke, D.S., and Lundblad, V. (2011). Sequence-specific binding to telomeric DNA is not a conserved property of the Cdc13 DNA binding domain. Biochemistry *50*, 6289–6291.

Martín, V., Du, L.L., Rozenzhak, S., and Russell, P. (2007). Protection of telomeres by a conserved Stn1-Ten1 complex. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *104*, 14038–14043.

McElligott, R., and Wellinger, R.J. (1997). The terminal DNA structure of mammalian chromosomes. EMBO J. *16*, 3705–3714.

Mitchell, M., Gillis, A., Futahashi, M., Fujiwara, H., and Skordalakes, E. (2010a). Structural basis for telomerase catalytic subunit TERT binding to RNA template and telomeric DNA. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *17*, 513–518.

Mitchell, M.T., Smith, J.S., Mason, M., Harper, S., Speicher, D.W., Johnson, F.B., and Skordalakes, E. (2010b). Cdc13 N-terminal dimerization, DNA binding, and telomere length regulation. Mol. Cell. Biol. *30*, 5325–5334.

Mitton-Fry, R.M., Anderson, E.M., Hughes, T.R., Lundblad, V., and Wuttke, D.S. (2002). Conserved structure for single-stranded telomeric DNA recognition. Science *296*, 145–147.

Mitton-Fry, R.M., Anderson, E.M., Theobald, D.L., Glustrom, L.W., and Wuttke, D.S. (2004). Structural basis for telomeric single-stranded DNA recognition by yeast Cdc13. J. Mol. Biol. *338*, 241–255.

Miyake, Y., Nakamura, M., Nabetani, A., Shimamura, S., Tamura, M., Yonehara, S., Saito, M., and Ishikawa, F. (2009). RPA-like mammalian Ctc1-Stn1-Ten1 complex binds to single-stranded DNA and protects telomeres independently of the Pot1 pathway. Mol. Cell *36*, 193–206.

Miyoshi, T., Kanoh, J., Saito, M., and Ishikawa, F. (2008). Fission yeast Pot1-Tpp1 protects telomeres and regulates telomere length. Science *320*, 1341–1344.

Moretti, P., and Shore, D. (2001). Multiple interactions in Sir protein recruitment by Rap1p at silencers and telomeres in yeast. Mol. Cell. Biol. *21*, 8082–8094.

Moriarty, T.J., Marie-Egyptienne, D.T., and Autexier, C. (2004). Functional organization of repeat addition processivity and DNA synthesis determinants in the human telomerase multimer. Mol. Cell. Biol. *24*, 3720–3733.

Moyzis, R.K., Buckingham, J.M., Cram, L.S., Dani, M., Deaven, L.L., Jones, M.D., Meyne, J., Ratliff, R.L., and Wu, J.R. (1988). A highly conserved repetitive DNA sequence, (TTAGGG)n, present at the telomeres of human chromosomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *85*, 6622–6626.

Muñoz-Jordán, J.L., Cross, G.A., de Lange, T., and Griffith, J.D. (2001). t-loops at trypanosome telomeres. EMBO J. *20*, 579–588.

Nakamura, T.M., Morin, G.B., Chapman, K.B., Weinrich, S.L., Andrews, W.H., Lingner, J., Harley, C.B., and Cech, T.R. (1997). Telomerase catalytic subunit homologs from fission yeast and human. Science *277*, 955–959.

Nandakumar, J., Podell, E.R., and Cech, T.R. (2010). How telomeric protein POT1 avoids RNA to achieve specificity for single-stranded DNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *107*, 651–656.

Neidle, S., and Parkinson, G.N. (2003). The structure of telomeric DNA. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. *13*, 275–283.

Nishikawa, T., Nagadoi, A., Yoshimura, S., Aimoto, S., and Nishimura, Y. (1998). Solution structure of the DNA-binding domain of human telomeric protein, hTRF1. Structure *6*, 1057–1065.

Nishikawa, T., Okamura, H., Nagadoi, A., König, P., Rhodes, D., and Nishimura, Y. (2001). Solution structure of a telomeric DNA complex of human TRF1. Structure *9*, 1237–1251.

Nugent, C.I., Hughes, T.R., Lue, N.F., and Lundblad, V. (1996). Cdc13p: a single-strand telomeric DNA-binding protein with a dual role in yeast telomere maintenance. Science *274*, 249–252.

O'Connor, C.M., Lai, C.K., and Collins, K. (2005). Two purified domains of telomerase reverse transcriptase reconstitute sequence-specific interactions with RNA. J. Biol. Chem. *280*, 17533–17539.

Osanai, M., Kojima, K.K., Futahashi, R., Yaguchi, S., and Fujiwara, H. (2006). Identification and characterization of the telomerase reverse transcriptase of *Bombyx mori* (silkworm) and *Tribolium castaneum* (flour beetle). Gene *376*, 281–289.

Paeschke, K., Simonsson, T., Postberg, J., Rhodes, D., and Lipps, H.J. (2005). Telomere end-binding proteins control the formation of G-quadruplex DNA structures *in vivo*. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *12*, 847–854.

Palm, W., and de Lange, T. (2008). How shelterin protects mammalian telomeres. Annu. Rev. Genet. *42*, 301–334.

Peersen, O.B., Ruggles, J.A., and Schultz, S.C. (2002). Dimeric structure of the *Oxytricha nova* telomere end-binding protein alpha-subunit bound to ssDNA. Nat. Struct. Biol. *9*, 182–187.

Pennock, E., Buckley, K., and Lundblad, V. (2001). Cdc13 delivers separate complexes to the telomere for end protection and replication. Cell *104*, 387–396.

Qi, H., and Zakian, V.A. (2000). The *Saccharomyces* telomere-binding protein Cdc13p interacts with both the catalytic subunit of DNA polymerase alpha and the telomerase-associated Est1 protein. Genes Dev. *14*, 1777–1788.

Rodgers, D.W., Gamblin, S.J., Harris, B.A., Ray, S., Culp, J.S., Hellmig, B., Woolf, D.J., Debouck, C., and Harrison, S.C. (1995). The structure of unliganded reverse transcriptase from the human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *92*, 1222–1226.

Rouda, S., and Skordalakes, E. (2007). Structure of the RNA-binding domain of telomerase: implications for RNA recognition and binding. Structure *15*, 1403–1412.

Schrödinger (2010). The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.3r1. http://www.pymol.org/.

Shampay, J., Szostak, J.W., and Blackburn, E.H. (1984). DNA sequences of telomeres maintained in yeast. Nature *310*, 154–157.

Shore, D., and Bianchi, A. (2009). Telomere length regulation: coupling DNA end processing to feedback regulation of telomerase. EMBO J. *28*, 2309–2322.

Song, X., Leehy, K., Warrington, R.T., Lamb, J.C., Surovtseva, Y.V., and Shippen, D.E. (2008). STN1 protects chromosome ends in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *105*, 19815–19820.

Sun, J., Yu, E.Y., Yang, Y., Confer, L.A., Sun, S.H., Wan, K., Lue, N.F., and Lei, M. (2009). Stn1-Ten1 is an Rpa2-Rpa3-like complex at telomeres. Genes Dev. *23*, 2900–2914.

Sun, J., Yang, Y., Wan, K., Mao, N., Yu, T.Y., Lin, Y.C., DeZwaan, D.C., Freeman, B.C., Lin, J.J., Lue, N.F., and Lei, M. (2011). Structural bases of dimerization of yeast telomere protein Cdc13 and its interaction with the catalytic subunit of DNA polymerase α. Cell Res. *21*, 258–274.

Surovtseva, Y.V., Churikov, D., Boltz, K.A., Song, X., Lamb, J.C., Warrington, R., Leehy, K., Heacock, M., Price, C.M., and Shippen, D.E. (2009). Conserved telomere maintenance component 1 interacts with STN1 and maintains chromosome ends in higher eukaryotes. Mol. Cell *36*, 207–218.

Tejera, A.M., Stagno d'Alcontres, M., Thanasoula, M., Marion, R.M., Martinez, P., Liao, C., Flores, J.M., Tarsounas, M., and Blasco, M.A. (2010). TPP1 is required for TERT recruitment, telomere elongation during nuclear reprogramming, and normal skin development in mice. Dev. Cell *18*, 775–789.

Theobald, D.L., and Schultz, S.C. (2003). Nucleotide shuffling and ssDNA recognition in *Oxytricha nova* telomere end-binding protein complexes. EMBO J. *22*, 4314–4324.

Theobald, D.L., and Wuttke, D.S. (2004). Prediction of multiple tandem OB-fold domains in telomere end-binding proteins Pot1 and Cdc13. Structure *12*, 1877–1879.

Theobald, D.L., Mitton-Fry, R.M., and Wuttke, D.S. (2003). Nucleic acid recognition by OB-fold proteins. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. *32*, 115–133.

Trujillo, K.M., Bunch, J.T., and Baumann, P. (2005). Extended DNA binding site in Pot1 broadens sequence specificity to allow recognition of heterogeneous fission yeast telomeres. J. Biol. Chem. *280*, 9119–9128.

van Overbeek, M., and de Lange, T. (2006). Apollo, an Artemis-related nuclease, interacts with TRF2 and protects human telomeres in S phase. Curr. Biol. *16*, 1295–1302.

Venteicher, A.S., Abreu, E.B., Meng, Z., McCann, K.E., Terns, R.M., Veenstra, T.D., Terns, M.P., and Artandi, S.E. (2009). A human telomerase holoenzyme protein required for Cajal body localization and telomere synthesis. Science *323*, 644–648.

Wang, F., Podell, E.R., Zaug, A.J., Yang, Y., Baciu, P., Cech, T.R., and Lei, M. (2007). The POT1-TPP1 telomere complex is a telomerase processivity factor. Nature *445*, 506–510.

Witkin, K.L., and Collins, K. (2004). Holoenzyme proteins required for the physiological assembly and activity of telomerase. Genes Dev. *18*, 1107–1118.

Witkin, K.L., Prathapam, R., and Collins, K. (2007). Positive and negative regulation of *Tetrahymena* telomerase holoenzyme. Mol. Cell. Biol. *27*, 2074–2083.

Wright, W.E., Tesmer, V.M., Huffman, K.E., Levene, S.D., and Shay, J.W. (1997). Normal human chromosomes have long G-rich telomeric overhangs at one end. Genes Dev. *11*, 2801–2809.

Wyatt, H.D., West, S.C., and Beattie, T.L. (2010). InTERTpreting telomerase structure and function. Nucleic Acids Res. *38*, 5609–5622.

Xia, J., Peng, Y., Mian, I.S., and Lue, N.F. (2000). Identification of functionally important domains in the N-terminal region of telomerase reverse transcriptase. Mol. Cell. Biol. *20*, 5196–5207.

Xie, M., Podlevsky, J.D., Qi, X., Bley, C.J., and Chen, J.J. (2010). A novel motif in telomerase reverse transcriptase regulates telomere repeat addition rate and processivity. Nucleic Acids Res. *38*, 1982–1996.

Xin, H., Liu, D., Wan, M., Safari, A., Kim, H., Sun, W., O'Connor, M.S., and Songyang, Z. (2007). TPP1 is a homologue of ciliate TEBP-beta and interacts with POT1 to recruit telomerase. Nature *445*, 559–562.

Ye, J.Z., Hockemeyer, D., Krutchinsky, A.N., Loayza, D., Hooper, S.M., Chait, B.T., and de Lange, T. (2004). POT1-interacting protein PIP1: a telomere length regulator that recruits POT1 to the TIN2/TRF1 complex. Genes Dev. *18*, 1649–1654.

Yu, E.Y., Sun, J., Lei, M., and Lue, N.F. (2012). Analyses of *Candida* Cdc13 orthologues revealed a novel OB fold dimer arrangement, dimerization-assisted DNA-binding, and substantial structural differences between Cdc13 and RPA70. Mol. Cell Biol. *32*, 186–198.

Yu, G.L., Bradley, J.D., Attardi, L.D., and Blackburn, E.H. (1990). In vivo alteration of telomere sequences and senescence caused by mutated *Tetrahymena* telomerase RNAs. Nature *344*, 126–132.

Zaug, A.J., Podell, E.R., and Cech, T.R. (2008). Mutation in TERT separates processivity from anchor-site function. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *15*, 870–872.

Zeng, Z., Min, B., Huang, J., Hong, K., Yang, Y., Collins, K., and Lei, M. (2011). Structural basis for *Tetrahymena* telomerase processivity factor Teb1 binding to single-stranded telomeric-repeat DNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, [Epub ahead of print].

Zhang, Q., Kim, N.K., and Feigon, J. (2011a). Architecture of human telomerase RNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, [Epub ahead of print].

Zhang, W., Zhang, J., Zhang, X., Xu, C., and Tu, X. (2011b). Solution structure of Rap1 BRCT domain from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* reveals a novel fold. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. *404*, 1055–1059.