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#### Abstract

The notion of sofic equivalence relation was introduced by Gabor Elek and Gabor Lippner. Their technics employ some graph theory. Here we define this notion in a more operator algebraic context, starting from Connes' Embedding Problem, and prove the equivalence of these two definitions. We introduce a notion of sofic action for an arbitrary group and prove that an amalgamated product of sofic actions over amenable groups is again sofic. We also prove that an amalgamated product of sofic groups over an amenable subgroup is again sofic. © 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Sofic groups were first introduced by Gromov, in the context of symbolic dynamics, motivated by the notion of surjunctivity (see [14]). A group is surjunctive if for every finite discrete set $A$ the shift on $A^{G}$ does not contain a proper copy of itself. Gromov showed that every sofic group has this property. The name "sofic" belongs to B. Weiss and was first used in [25].

Examples of sofic groups include amenable and residually finite groups. Elek and Szabo showed in [10] that the class of sofic groups is closed under the following constructions: direct products, subgroups, inverse limits, direct limits, free products, amenable extensions.

Apart from Gottschalk's Surjunctivity Conjecture (asserting that every group is surjunctive), there are other conjectures about countable groups known to hold for sofic groups. Elek and Szabo [8] proved that Kaplansky's Conjecture is true in the case of sofic groups. For a nice survey on sofic groups (and the related notion of hyperlinear group) see [18] and [19].

In [7], Elek and Lippner introduced the notion of sofic equivalence relation. They showed that treeable equivalence relations, as well as equivalence relations arising from Bernoulli actions of sofic groups are sofic. As in the case of groups, some conjectures about equivalence relations are true for sofic equivalence relations. Elek and Lippner proved the Measure-Theoretic Determinant Conjecture of Lück, Sauer and Wegner in the case of sofic equivalence relations. We don't know an example of a non-sofic equivalence relation.

The main purpose of this article is to present an operator algebraic motivation for this notion of soficity. We shall begin with one of the central open problems of operator algebra theory, namely Connes' Embedding Problem. It asserts that every finite, separable von Neumann algebra is embeddable in a tracial ultraproduct of the hyperfinite factor (denoted by $R^{\omega}$ ). The study of this conjecture for group algebras led to the notion of hyperlinear group, a notion similar to the sofic group. In view of this discussion, it is natural to ask when a crossed product algebra embeds in $R^{\omega}$. This question suggested the definition of sofic action. By investigating its basic properties we are able to present an operator algebraic definition for sofic equivalence relations.

### 1.1. Von Neumann algebras

A von Neumann algebra is a $*$-algebra of bounded operators on a Hilbert space that is closed in the weak operator topology and contains the identity operator. This means $\mathcal{B}(H)$ itself is a von Neumann algebra, as are matrix algebras as the particular case when $H$ is finite dimensional.

A von Neumann algebra is a factor if its center consists only of scalars $\mathbb{C} \cdot 1$. Factors are the building blocks for all von Neumann algebras, as proven by von Neumann in 1949 (see [6] for a proof). The decomposition of an algebra into factors is essentially unique.

At the other end of the spectrum there are the abelian von Neumann algebras. An algebra of this type is isomorphic to $L^{\infty}(X)$ for some measure space $(X, \mu)$.

We are interested only in algebras that poses a finite trace, that is a faithful, positive linear functional $\operatorname{Tr}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}(1)=1$ and $\operatorname{Tr}(x y)=\operatorname{Tr}(y x)$ for any $x, y \in M$. Examples include matrix algebras and group algebras, $L(G)$ the weak closure in $\mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(G)\right)$ of the algebra generated by $\lambda_{g}$, the left translation operators. The trace on $L(G)$ is determined by $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\lambda_{e}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\lambda_{g}\right)=0$ for $g \neq e$. A factor with such a trace is called a type $I I_{1}$ factor. The group algebra $L(G)$ is a factor iff $G$ has infinite conjugacy classes (ICC). We shall later see how to associate such an algebra to an action or an equivalence relation.

A von Neumann algebra $M$ is hyperfinite if it contains an increasing chain of finite dimensional algebras whose union is weakly dense in $M$. Murray and von Neumann proved that up to isomorphism there exists only one hyperfinite type $I I_{1}$ factor. We shall denote this factor by $R$. In his classic paper [3], Connes proved that the group algebra $L(G)$ is hyperfinite iff $G$ is amenable. $S_{\infty}^{f i n}$ is an example of an ICC amenable group, so $R=L\left(S_{\infty}^{f i n}\right)$ :

$$
S_{\infty}^{f i n}=\{f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \mid f \text { bijective and } \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \text { such that } f(n)=n \forall n>k\}
$$

### 1.2. Ultraproducts and Connes' Embedding Problem

In order to state Connes' Embedding Problem we need to understand what a tracial ultraproduct is. In a way the first example of an ultraproduct is the construction of the real numbers by Cauchy sequences. The real numbers are the set of Cauchy sequences of rational numbers factor by those sequences that converge to zero. This definition is not suitable for generalizations, as in general is difficult or even impossible to define the notion of Cauchy sequence. We bypass this by using an ultrafilter. Let $\omega$ be a free ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. With this new technical tool the real numbers are the set of bounded sequences of rational numbers factor by those sequences convergent to zero w.r.t. $\omega$. We now generalize this to metric groups.

Example 1.1. Let $\left(G_{i}, d_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of groups (not necessary countable) with a biinvariant metric $\left(d_{i}(x, y)=d_{i}(z x, z y)=d_{i}(x z, y z)\right.$ for all $\left.x, y, z \in G_{i}\right)$. Define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G} & =\left\{x \in \Pi_{i} G_{i}: \sup _{i} d_{i}\left(x_{i}, e\right)<\infty\right\} \\
\mathcal{N}_{\omega} & =\left\{x \in \mathcal{G}: \lim _{i \rightarrow \omega} d_{i}\left(x_{i}, e\right)=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the biinvariance property, $\mathcal{N}_{\omega}$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ (biinvariance is essential for this to hold, see [19], p. 9, Example 3.2). We define now the ultraproduct of metric groups:

$$
\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega}\left(G_{i}, d_{i}\right)=\mathcal{G} / \mathcal{N}_{\omega}
$$

and the distance $d(x, y)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \omega} d\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$. An easy diagonal argument will show that $\left(\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega}\left(G_{i}, d_{i}\right), d\right)$ is complete.

Example 1.2. Let $\left(A_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of Banach spaces, or Banach algebras or $C^{*}$-algebras. Using the metric induced by the norm, we can construct $\prod_{i \rightarrow \omega} A_{i}$ exactly as in the previous
example. Verifications that the ultraproduct is a Banach space/Banach algebra/ $C^{*}$-algebra are straightforward, with the exception of completeness that is a diagonal argument.

Example 1.3. For von Neumann algebras things are different and a construction is possible only for finite algebras where we have a trace. Let $(M, T r)$ be a von Neumann algebra with a finite trace. Besides the operatorial norm, $M$ posses a Hilbert-Schmidt norm: $\|x\|_{2}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{*} x\right)^{1 / 2}$. For a matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right) \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ this is $\left\|\left(a_{i j}\right)\right\|_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i j}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. When we construct the ultraproduct we have to take into consideration this norm.

Let ( $M_{i}, \operatorname{Tr}$ ) a sequence of finite von Neumann algebras with normalized trace. Define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{N}, M_{i}\right)=\left\{x \in \Pi_{i} M_{i}: \sup _{i}\left\|x_{i}\right\|<\infty\right\} \\
& \mathcal{N}_{\omega}=\left\{x \in l^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{N}, M_{i}\right): \lim _{i \rightarrow \omega}\left\|x_{i}\right\|_{2}=0\right\}, \quad \text { and } \\
& \Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} M_{i}=l^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{N}, M_{i}\right) / \mathcal{N}_{\omega} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The ultraproduct $\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} M_{i}$ is a von Neumann algebra, though the proof is a little involved. If $x_{i} \in M_{i}$ we shall denote by $\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} x_{i}$ the corresponding element in the ultraproduct.

Note that this algebra has a faithful trace, namely $\operatorname{Tr}(x)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \omega} \operatorname{Tr}_{M_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)$, where $x=$ $\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} x_{i}$. If $M_{i}=M$ for all $i$ we shall denote $\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} M_{i}$ by $M^{\omega}$ (this is called an ultrapower of $M$, its isomorphism class may depend on $\omega$ ).

The following well-know proposition is a very useful property of ultraproducts.
Proposition 1.4. Inside the ultraproduct algebra we have: $\mathcal{U}\left(\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} M_{i}\right)=\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} \mathcal{U}\left(M_{i}\right)$. As group of unitaries $\left(\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} \mathcal{U}\left(M_{i}\right),\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)=\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega}\left(\mathcal{U}\left(M_{i}\right),\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)$ (ultraproduct of metric groups as in Example 1.1).

Proof. As any sequence of unitaries is bounded, the second equality of the proposition is deduced from definitions. Inclusion $\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} \mathcal{U}\left(M_{i}\right) \subset \mathcal{U}\left(\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} M_{i}\right)$ is trivial. Let now $u=\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} u_{i} \in$ $\mathcal{U}\left(\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} M_{i}\right)$. Because $M_{i}$ is a von Neumann algebra we have the polar decomposition $u_{i}=$ $v_{i}\left|u_{i}\right|$, with $v_{i}$ a partial isometry. Because $M_{i}$ is a finite von Neumann algebra $v_{i}$ can be extended to a unitary, denoted also by $v_{i}$, such that we still have $u_{i}=v_{i}\left|u_{i}\right|$. Now:

$$
\left(\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega}\left|u_{i}\right|\right)^{2}=\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} u_{i}^{*} u_{i}=\left(\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} u_{i}\right)^{*}\left(\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} u_{i}\right)=1
$$

so $\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega}\left|u_{i}\right|$ is a positive element and its square is 1 . We are in a $C^{*}$-algebra so we can deduce that $\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega}\left|u_{i}\right|=1$. Then $\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} u_{i}=\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} v_{i} \in \Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} \mathcal{U}\left(M_{i}\right)$.

This proposition implies that $\mathcal{U}\left(\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} M_{i}\right)$ is closed in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm (as any ultraproduct of metric group is complete). Together with some extra machinery we can use this property to show that $\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega} M_{i}$ is indeed a von Neumann algebra.

In his famous article [3], A. Connes stated the following conjecture. Many definitions and results in this article are motivated by this open problem.

Question 1.5 (CEP [1976]). Do all separable type $I I_{1}$ factors admit a trace preserving embedding in $R^{\omega}$ (ultrapower of the hyperfinite $I_{1}$-factor)?

### 1.3. A trick for diagonal arguments

This article contains plenty of diagonal arguments. Some of this arguments can be bypassed using product ultrafilters. These ideas are from [1].

Definition 1.6. If $\omega, \phi$ are ultrafilters on $\mathbb{N}$, then define the product ultrafilter $\omega \otimes \phi$ on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ by:

$$
F \in \omega \otimes \phi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\{i \in \mathbb{N}:\{j \in \mathbb{N}:(i, j) \in F\} \in \phi\} \in \omega .
$$

Some computations will show $\omega \otimes \phi$ is indeed an ultrafilter. Because $\mathbb{N}$ is in bijection with $\mathbb{N}^{2}$, $\omega \otimes \phi$ can be still considered as an ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. The following proposition can be easily checked.

Proposition 1.7. If $\left\{x_{i}^{j}\right\}_{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}}$ is a bounded sequence of real numbers then:

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \omega}\left(\lim _{j \rightarrow \phi} x_{i}^{j}\right)=\lim _{(i, j) \rightarrow \omega \otimes \phi} x_{i}^{j}
$$

A diagonal argument, in general, means selecting in a clever way a subset of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. The idea of this section is that the product ultrafilter will do the job for us. This is mainly because of its properties contained in previous proposition. A relevant consequence for this proposition is the following result.

Proposition 1.8. (See Proposition 2.1 from [1].) If $\left\{M_{i}^{j}\right\}_{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}}$ is a sequence of finite von Neumann algebras then:

$$
\Pi_{i \rightarrow \omega}\left(\Pi_{j \rightarrow \phi} M_{i}^{j}\right)=\Pi_{(i, j) \rightarrow \omega \otimes \phi} M_{i}^{j}
$$

Let us now present an example where a diagonal argument can be bypassed.
Proposition 1.9. Any type $I I_{1}$ factor embedding in $R^{\omega}$ also embeds in an ultraproduct of matrix algebras.

Proof. Approximating the hyperfinite factor by matrix algebras we can easily see that $R \subset$ $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$. The proof can be finished using this embedding, the initial embedding $M \subset R^{\omega}$ and a diagonal argument. Instead we can write: $R^{\omega} \subset\left(\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}\right)^{\omega} \simeq \Pi_{(i, k) \rightarrow \omega \otimes \omega} M_{m_{(i, k)}}$, where $m_{(i, k)}=n_{k}$.

This proposition allows us to work with ultraproducts of matrix algebras instead of $R^{\omega}$.

### 1.4. Hyperlinear groups

By studying Connes' Embedding Problem for group algebras, we reach the following definition.

Definition 1.10 (Rădulescu, 2000). A countable group is called hyperlinear if there exists a trace preserving embedding of $L(G)$ in $R^{\omega}$.

Needless to say that we don't know a group that is non-hyperlinear, this will solve in negative Connes' Embedding Problem. By using 1.4, 1.9 and the definition of group algebra, we get the following description:

Proposition 1.11. A group $G$ is hyperlinear iff there exists a sequence $\left\{n_{k}\right\}_{k} \subset \mathbb{N}, \lim _{k} n_{k}=\infty$ and a group morphism $\Theta: G \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \mathcal{U}\left(n_{k}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}(\Theta(g))=0$ for any $g \neq e$.

The numbers $n_{k}$ don't play a special role here. If such a morphism exists for a sequence, it will exists for any other sequence $\left\{m_{k}\right\}$ as long as $\lim _{k} m_{k}=\infty$. The following theorem is due to Florin Rădulescu (see [22], Proposition 2.5) and an earlier work of Eberhard Kirchberg. It contains a very useful tool called amplification, that will be used many times in this article.

Theorem 1.12. A group $G$ is hyperlinear iff there exists an injective group morphism $\Theta: G \rightarrow$ $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \mathcal{U}\left(n_{k}\right)$ (we don't need to care about the trace).

Proof. We shall prove this result when the center of the group is trivial (ICC groups have this property). The proof in general case is not difficult, but it is a little technical and uninteresting to our discussion.

Let $\Theta: G \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \mathcal{U}\left(n_{k}\right)$ be an injective morphism. Let $\Theta(g)=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} u_{g}^{k}$ with $u_{g}^{k} \in \mathcal{U}\left(n_{k}\right)$. If $|\operatorname{Tr}(\Theta(g))|=1$ then $\|\Theta(g)-\lambda\|_{2}=0$, where $\lambda=\operatorname{Tr}(\Theta(g))$. This implies $\Theta(g)=\lambda$, so $\Theta(g)$ commutes with $\Theta(h)$ for any $h \in G$. Because $\Theta$ is injective it follows that $g$ is in the center of $G$, so by our assumption $g=e$. In the end we have $|\operatorname{Tr}(\Theta(g))|<1$ for any $g \neq e$.

Construct $\Theta^{(m)}=\Theta \otimes \Theta \otimes \cdots \otimes \Theta$ ( $m$ times tensor product), i.e. $\Theta^{(m)}(g)=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} u_{g}^{k} \otimes$ $u_{g}^{k} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{g}^{k}$. This is a representation of $G$ on $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \mathcal{U}\left(n_{k}^{m}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Theta^{(m)}(g)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}(\Theta(g))^{m}$. This means that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Theta^{(m)}(g)\right) \rightarrow_{m \rightarrow \infty} 0$ for $g \neq e$. A classic diagonal argument will finish the proof.

Alternatively, let us use the methods presented in Section 1.3. The product $\omega \otimes \omega$ is an ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Construct $\Phi: G \rightarrow \Pi_{(m, k) \rightarrow \omega \otimes \omega} \mathcal{U}\left(n_{k}^{m}\right)$ by $\Phi(g)=\Pi_{m \rightarrow \omega} \Theta^{(m)}(g)$. Then by 1.7, $\operatorname{Tr}(\Phi(g))=\lim _{m \rightarrow \omega} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Theta^{(m)}(g)\right)=0$.

### 1.5. Group actions and crossed product

Apart from group algebras, finite von Neumann algebras arise naturally from group actions on measure spaces. We shall work only with probability measure preserving actions. Let ( $X, \mu$ ) be a standard probability space and let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mu)$ be a measure preserving action. The algebraic crossed product is defined by:

$$
L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha}^{\text {alg }} G=\left\{\sum_{\text {finite }} a_{g} u_{g}: a_{g} \in L^{\infty}(X), g \in G\right\} .
$$

The $*$-algebraic structure is defined by:

$$
u_{g} u_{h}=u_{g h}, \quad u_{g} a u_{g}^{*}=\alpha(g)(a), \quad u_{g}^{*}=u_{g^{-1}}
$$

and multiplication in $L^{\infty}(X)$ is preserved inside the crossed product. The trace is:

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum a_{g} u_{g}\right)=\int_{X} a_{e} d \mu
$$

The von Neumann algebra $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ will be the weak closure of $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha}^{a l g} G$ in the GNS representation of $\left(L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha}^{a l g} G, T r\right)$.

A crossed product is a copy of an abelian von Neumann algebra $L^{\infty}(X)$ together with a set of unitaries $\left\{u_{g}: g \in G\right\}$ that act on the abelian algebra in the manner prescribed by the action $\alpha$. This algebra is a factor iff the action is ergodic. It is a hyperlinear algebra iff $G$ is amenable as shown by Connes in [3].

We shall denote by $\mathcal{U}(M)$ the group of unitaries in the algebra $M$. For a von Neumann algebra inclusion $A \subset M$ define the normalizer $\mathcal{N}_{M}(A)$ :

$$
\mathcal{N}_{M}(A)=\left\{u \in \mathcal{U}(M): u A u^{*}=A\right\} .
$$

By the definition of the crossed product $\left\{u_{g}: g \in G\right\}$ is included in the normalizer of $L^{\infty}(X)$ in $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G$. The following elementary example is crucial to our discussion.

Example 1.13. Let $M_{n}=M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ be a matrix algebra. We shall denote by $D_{n} \subset M_{n}$ the subalgebra of diagonal matrices and by $P_{n} \subset M_{n}$ the subgroup of permutation matrices. Then:

$$
\mathcal{N}_{M_{n}}\left(D_{n}\right)=\mathcal{U}\left(D_{n}\right) \cdot P_{n}
$$

Notation 1.14. Given an ultraproduct $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}(\mathbb{C})$ we shall denote by $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$ and $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ the corresponding subsets.

By a theorem of Sorin Popa (see [20], Proposition 4.3) $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$ is a maximal abelian nonseparable subalgebra of $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}(\mathbb{C})$. It can be proven that its normalizer is the ultraproduct of normalizers:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}\left(\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}\right)=\mathcal{U}\left(\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}\right) \cdot \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Sofic objects

We now begin the study of Connes' Embedding Problem for crossed product algebras. We can easily see that if a crossed product algebra $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ embeds in an ultraproduct $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$ then we can construct an embedding $\Theta: L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$ such that $\Theta\left(L^{\infty}(X)\right) \subset$ $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$. In fact this is a property of hyperfinite algebras.

Proposition 2.1. Let $N$ be a hyperfinite algebra and let $\Theta_{1}, \Theta_{2}: N \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$ be two embeddings. Then there exists a unitary $u \in \mathcal{U}\left(\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}\right)$ such that $\Theta_{2}=A d u \circ \Theta_{1}$.
 $u_{i} \in \mathcal{U}\left(\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}\right)$ such that $\Theta_{2}(x)=u_{i} \Theta_{1}(x) u_{i}^{*}$ for any $x \in N_{i}$. By a diagonal argument construct $u$ such that $\Theta_{2}(x)=u \Theta_{1}(x) u^{*}$, for any $x \in \bigcup_{i} N_{i}$.

In [15], Kenley Jung proved the converse of this result, if any two embeddings in $R^{\omega}$ of a von Neumann algebra $N$ are conjugate by a unitary then $N$ is hyperfinite.

Now we come back to our problem of constructing an embedding $\Theta$ of $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$. We can safely assume that $\Theta\left(L^{\infty}(X)\right) \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$. It is very difficult to find unitaries in the ultraproduct that are in the normalizer of $\Theta\left(L^{\infty}(X)\right)$. Inspired by equality (1) we shall assume that $\Theta\left(u_{g}\right) \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ for any $g \in G$. This is primarily a restriction on the group.

### 2.1. Sofic groups

Definition 2.2. A group $G$ is called sofic if there exists a sequence $\left\{n_{k}\right\}_{k} \subset \mathbb{N}, \lim _{k} n_{k}=\infty$ and a group morphism $\Theta: G \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}(\Theta(g))=0$ for any $g \neq e$.

The following theorem due to Elek and Szabo [9], is similar to 1.12.
Theorem 2.3. A group $G$ is sofic iff there exists an injective group morphism $\Theta: G \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$.
Any sofic group is hyperlinear, while the converse implication is unknown. It is important to note that, in the case of permutations, the Hilbert-Schmidt distance used to construct the ultraproduct is related to the normalized Hamming distance.

Definition 2.4. For $\sigma, \tau \in S_{n}$ define the normalized Hamming distance by:

$$
d_{\text {hamm }}(\sigma, \tau)=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Card}\{i: \sigma(i) \neq \tau(i)\} .
$$

The following definition is sometimes easier to check for a particular example.
Proposition 2.5. A group $G$ is sofic iff for every finite $F \subset G$ and every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta: F \rightarrow S_{n}$ such that:

- if $g, h, g h \in F, d_{\text {hamm }}(\Theta(g) \Theta(h), \Theta(g h))<\varepsilon$;
- if $g \in F, g \neq e, d_{\text {hamm }}(\Theta(g), I d)>1 / 2$.

The value $1 / 2$ from the definition can be replaced by any real number in $(0,1)$. With this definition we can easily see that residual finite groups are sofic. This includes free groups. Amenable groups are sofic (use a Folner sequence to construct permutations). As we said in the introduction the class of sofic groups is closed under the following constructions: direct products, subgroups, inverse limits, direct limits, free products, amenable extensions (see [10]). In 2008 A. Thom [24] constructed a hyperlinear group not know to be sofic at the moment. His motivation was to provide an example of a sofic group that is not initially subamenable (every finite part of the multiplication table can be recovered inside an amenable group). In 2009 Cornulier presented in [5] an example of such a sofic group. Thompson groups $F, T$ and $V$ are not known to be hyperlinear.

### 2.2. Definitions of hyperlinear and sofic actions

We now introduce a notion of hyperlinearity and soficity for actions.

Definition 2.6. An action $\alpha$ of a countable group $G$ on a standard probability space $(X, \mu)$ is called hyperlinear if the crossed product $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ admits a trace preserving embedding in $R^{\omega}$.

Definition 2.7. An action $\alpha$ of a countable group $G$ on a standard probability space $(X, \mu)$ is called sofic if there exists a trace preserving embedding $\Theta: L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\Theta\left(L^{\infty}(X)\right) \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\Theta\left(u_{g}\right) \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}(\mathbb{C})$ for all $g \in G$.

The property of hyperlinear/sofic action is invariant under orbit equivalence. This is actually a property of the crossed product. Recall that two free actions $\alpha: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mu)$ and $\beta: H \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Aut}(X, \mu)$ on the same measure space are orbit equivalent if $\alpha(G)(x)=\beta(H)(x)$ for almost all $x \in X$.

Theorem 2.8. (See Singer [23].) Let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mu)$ and $\beta: H \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mu)$ be two free actions on the same probability space. Then $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are orbit equivalent iff there exists a von Neumann algebra isomorphism $\Psi: L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G \rightarrow L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\beta} H$ such that $\Psi$ is the identity on $L^{\infty}(X)$.

Proof (Sketch of the direct implication). Let $\left\{u_{g}: g \in G\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{h}: h \in H\right\}$ be the unitaries in the crossed product that implement the actions $\alpha$ and $\beta$ respectively. Let $p_{g}^{h} \in L^{\infty}(X)$ be the projection onto the set $\{x \in X: \alpha(g)(x)=\beta(h)(x)\}$. Because of the orbit equivalence we deduce that $\sum_{g} p_{g}^{h}=1 \forall h$ and $\sum_{h} p_{g}^{h}=1 \forall g$. Define now $\Psi: L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G \rightarrow L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\beta} H$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi(a) & =a \quad \forall a \in L^{\infty}(X) \\
\Psi\left(u_{g}\right) & =\sum_{h} p_{g}^{h} v_{h} \quad \forall g \in G .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $\Psi\left(p_{g}^{h} u_{g}\right)=p_{g}^{h} v_{h}$ so $\Psi\left(\sum_{h} p_{g}^{h} u_{g}\right)=v_{h}$. It follows that $\Psi$ is an isomorphism.
The next theorem hints very clearly that being sofic is a property of the orbit equivalence relation, rather than of the action itself.

Theorem 2.9. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be two free orbit equivalent actions. If $\alpha$ is hyperlinear (sofic) then also $\beta$ is hyperlinear (sofic).

Proof. Let $\Psi: L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G \rightarrow L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\beta} H$ be the isomorphism constructed in the previous proposition. The existence of such an isomorphism is enough to deduce the hyperlinear part of the theorem. Consider now $\Theta: L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\beta} H \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$ an embedding like in Definition 2.7. We shall prove that $\Theta \circ \Psi$ is the required embedding for $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G$.

Because $\Psi$ equals identity on $L^{\infty}(X)$ we deduce that $\Theta \circ \Psi\left(L^{\infty}(X)\right) \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$. Using the same notations as in the previous theorem we have $\Theta \circ \Psi\left(u_{g}\right)=\sum_{h} \Theta\left(p_{g}^{h}\right) \Theta\left(v_{h}\right)$. By hypothesis $\Theta\left(v_{h}\right) \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ and $\Theta\left(p_{g}^{h}\right)$ is a projection in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$. By the next lemma, $\Theta \circ \Psi\left(u_{g}\right) \in$ $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ and we are done.

The following lemma is not difficult, but it is essential to our discussion. We shall use this lemma many times. It provides elements in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ which are needed if we want to prove the soficity of a certain object.

Lemma 2.10. Let $\left\{e_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be projections in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$ such that $\sum_{i} e_{i}=1$. Let $\left\{u_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be unitary elements in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ such that $v=\sum_{i} e_{i} u_{i}$ is a unitary. Then $v \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$.

Proof. We should first visualize this result inside the algebra $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\left\{e_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be projections in $D_{n}$ such that $\sum_{i} e_{i}=1$ (only a finite number of projections will be nonzero in this case). Let $\left\{u_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subset P_{n}$ such that $v=\sum_{i} e_{i} u_{i}$ is a unitary. The matrix $v$ has only 0 and 1 entries and exactly one entry of 1 on each row. On each column there has to be a nonzero entry, otherwise $v$ cannot be a unitary. This is enough to deduce that $v$ is a permutation matrix.

Now back to the general case. Using the equation $\sum_{i} e_{i}=1$ we can construct projections $e_{i}^{k} \in D_{n_{k}}$ such that:

1. $e_{i}=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} e_{i}^{k}$;
2. $\sum_{i} e_{i}^{k}=1_{n_{k}}$.

By hypothesis we have $u_{i}=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} u_{i}^{k}$ where $u_{i}^{k} \in P_{n_{k}}$. If $v^{k}=\sum_{i} e_{i}^{k} u_{i}^{k}$ then $v=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} v^{k}$, but $v^{k}$ are not necessary unitary matrices. However $v^{k}$ is still a matrix only with 0 and 1 entries and exactly one entry of 1 on each row.

In order to prove that $v \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ we shall construct $w^{k} \in P_{n_{k}}$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \omega} \| v^{k}-$ $w^{k} \|_{2}=0$. For this we need to estimate the number of columns in $v^{k}$ having only 0 entries. Denote this number by $r_{k}$. Then $v^{k *} v^{k}$ is a diagonal matrix having $r_{k}$ entries of 0 on the diagonal. This implies:

$$
\left\|v^{k *} v^{k}-I d\right\|_{2}^{2} \geqslant \frac{r_{k}}{n_{k}}
$$

Because $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} v^{k *} v^{k}=1$ we have $r_{k} / n_{k} \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \omega} 0$. This relation represents the upper bound of $r_{k}$ that we need. We now construct $w^{k}$ as follows. The matrix $v^{k}$ has $n_{k}-r_{k}$ columns with at least one nonzero entry. For each such column $j$ chose a row $i$ such that $v^{k}(i, j)=1$. Let $w^{k}(i, j)=1$. In this way we have $n_{k}-r_{k}$ nonzero entries in $w^{k}$, all of them distributed on different rows and different columns. Choose a bijection between the remaining $r_{k}$ rows and $r_{k}$ columns and complete $w^{k}$ to a permutation matrix. Then:

$$
\left\|v^{k}-w^{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\frac{2 r_{k}}{n_{k}}
$$

Combined with $r_{k} / n_{k} \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \omega} 0$ we get $v=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} w^{k}$. This will prove the lemma.
Theorem 2.9 obliges us to define the notion of sofic equivalence relation. Definition 2.7 together with this theorem provides a well-define notion of soficity for equivalence relations that are generated by a free action. Unfortunately not all equivalence relations have this property. In order to provide a definition for sofic equivalence relation we need a different construction than crossed product. We need a construction that associates a von Neumann algebra to an equivalence relation. This is the topic of the next section.

### 2.3. The Feldman-Moore construction

Let us recall some things from [12]. We shall ignore the cocycle that is needed for the Feldman-Moore construction in its full generality. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a probability space as usual. Let $E \subset X^{2}$ be an equivalence relation on $X$ such that $E \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$. We shall work only with equivalence relations that are countable, i.e. every equivalence class is countable, and $\mu$-invariant. Before we recall what this means we introduce some notation.

Denote by $[E]$ the full group of the relation $E$, i.e. set of all isomorphism with graph in $E$ and by $[[E]]$ set of all partial isomorphism with graph in $E$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[E] } & =\{\theta: X \rightarrow X: \theta \text { bijection, graph } \theta \subset E\} \\
{[[E]] } & =\{\phi: A \rightarrow B: A, B \subset X, \phi \text { bijection, graph } \phi \subset E\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $X$ is reducible to a finite space of cardinality $n$ and $E=X^{2}$ then $[E]$ is just the symmetric group $S_{n}$.

Definition 2.11. Let $E$ an equivalence relation on $(X, \mu)$. Then $E$ is called $\mu$-invariant if for any $\phi: A \rightarrow B, \phi \in[[E]]$ we have $\mu(A)=\mu(B)$.

Now we can construct the algebra $M(E)$ associated to an equivalence relation.
Definition 2.12. A measurable function $a: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called finite if $a$ is bounded and there is a natural number $n$ such that:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{Card}(\{x: a(x, y) \neq 0\}) \leqslant n & \forall y \in X ; \\
\operatorname{Card}(\{y: a(x, y) \neq 0\}) \leqslant n & \forall x \in X .
\end{array}
$$

A finite function (matrix) is a bounded function with finite number of nonzero entries on each line and column (having also a global margin). We shall multiply this functions as general matrices and the definition of finite function guarantees we get a $*$-algebra. Define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{0}(E)=\{a: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}: a \text { finite function }\} \\
& a \cdot b(x, z)=\sum_{y} a(x, y) b(y, z) \\
& a^{*}(x, y)=\overline{a(y, x)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that this is indeed a $*$-algebra. The trace is defined in a similar way as in the case of matrices:

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(a)=\int_{X} a(x, x) d \mu
$$

The algebra $M(E)$ will be the weak closure of $M_{0}(E)$ in the GNS representation of $\left(M_{0}(E), T r\right)$. By general theory of von Neumann algebras, using the cyclic separating vector of
the GNS representation, we can still see elements of $M(E)$ as measurable functions $a: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This algebra is a factor iff $E$ is an ergodic equivalence relation. By a famous theorem of Connes, Feldman, and Weiss [4], $M(E)$ is hyperlinear iff $E$ is a hyperfinite equivalence relation, that is up to a set of measure $0, E$ is the union of an ascending sequence of finite equivalence relations.

Let $\Delta=\{(x, x): x \in X\}$ be the diagonal in $E$. Define the subalgebra of diagonal matrices:

$$
A=\{a \in M(E): \operatorname{supp}(a) \subset \Delta\}
$$

We shall denote by $\delta_{x}^{y}$ the Kronecker delta function, i.e. $\delta_{x}^{y}=1$ iff $x=y$; otherwise $\delta_{x}^{y}=0$. Notation $\chi_{A}$ stands for the characteristic function of $A$.

Definition 2.13. For $\theta \in[E]$ define $u_{\theta} \in M(E)$ by: $u_{\theta}(x, y)=\delta_{x}^{\theta(y)}$. For $\phi \in[[E]]$, define $v_{\phi}(x, y)=\chi_{\operatorname{dom}(\phi)}(y) \cdot \delta_{x}^{\phi(y)}$.

It is not hard to see that $u_{\theta} \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ for any $\theta \in[E]$. Instead $v_{\phi}$ is a partial isometry that belongs to a set called the normalizing pseudogroup:

$$
\mathcal{G \mathcal { N }}_{M}(A)=\left\{v \in M \text { partial isometry: } v v^{*}, v^{*} v \in A, v A v^{*}=v v^{*} A\right\} .
$$

Unitaries in $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{N}_{M}(A)$ are actually elements in $\mathcal{N}_{M}(A)$, the same way as an element of [[ $\left.E\right]$ ] defined on all $X$ is an element of $[E]$. More general, any element $v \in \mathcal{G \mathcal { N }}_{M}(A)$ is of the form $p \cdot u$, where $p$ is a projection in $A$ and $u \in \mathcal{N}_{M}(A)$.

Inside a matrix algebra we have $\mathcal{N}_{M_{n}}\left(D_{n}\right)=\mathcal{U}\left(D_{n}\right) \cdot P_{n}$. Something similar is true for the Feldman-Moore construction. Any $u \in \mathcal{N}_{M(E)}(A)$ is of the form $a \cdot u_{\theta}$, where $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and $\theta \in[E]$. Also $u_{\theta} u_{\psi}=u_{\theta \circ \psi}$ for $\theta, \psi \in[E]$. This provides a group isomorphism between the Weyl group $\mathcal{N}(A) / \mathcal{U}(A)$ and $[E]$. This is the analog of the isomorphism between the group of permutation matrices and the symmetric group.

The algebra $A$ is maximal abelian in $M(E)$. Also $\mathcal{N}(A)^{\prime \prime}=M(E)$. This properties make $A$ a Cartan subalgebra of $M(E)$. We shall call $A \subset M(E)$ a Cartan pair.

Motivation of Feldman-Moore construction was the invariance of crossed product up to orbit equivalent actions. The next example shows this is indeed the right construction.

Example 2.14. Let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mu)$ a free action. Denote by $E_{\alpha}$ the orbit equivalence relation generated by $\alpha$ on $X$. Then:

$$
L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G \simeq M\left(E_{\alpha}\right) .
$$

### 2.4. Definition of sofic equivalence relations

The notion of sofic equivalence relation was introduced by Gabor Elek and Gabor Lippner (see [7]). We shall provide a different definition here and prove in Section 3.4 the equivalence of the two definitions.

Definition 2.15. An equivalence relation $E$ is called sofic if there is an embedding of $M(E)$ in some $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$ such that $A \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$ and $\mathcal{N}(A) \subset \mathcal{U}(A) \cdot \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$.

This has the advantage of being a compact definition, but in practice we shall need the following type of embeddings.

Definition 2.16. Let $E$ an equivalence relation and $A \subset M(E)$ the Cartan pair associated to $E$. We call an embedding $\Theta: M(E) \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$ sofic if $\Theta(A) \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$ and $\Theta\left(u_{\theta}\right) \subset$ $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ for any $\theta \in[E]$.

Proposition 2.17. An equivalence relation $E$ is a sofic if and only if its Cartan pair $A \subset M(E)$ admits a sofic embedding.

Proof. Let $\Theta: M(E) \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$ an embedding such that $\Theta(A) \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$ and $\Theta(\mathcal{N}(A)) \subset$ $\Theta(\mathcal{U}(A)) \cdot \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$.

For $\varphi \in[E]$ we have a unique decomposition $\Theta\left(u_{\varphi}\right)=\Theta\left(f_{\varphi}\right) v_{\varphi}$, where $f_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and $v_{\varphi} \in$ $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$. Then:

$$
\Theta\left(f_{\psi} \circ \varphi^{-1}\right)=\Theta\left(u_{\varphi}\right) \Theta\left(f_{\psi}\right) \Theta\left(u_{\varphi}^{*}\right)=\Theta\left(f_{\varphi}\right)\left(v_{\varphi} \Theta\left(f_{\psi}\right) v_{\varphi}^{*}\right) \Theta\left(f_{\varphi}^{*}\right)=v_{\varphi} \Theta\left(f_{\psi}\right) v_{\varphi}^{*}
$$

Because of the uniqueness of the decomposition of $\Theta\left(u_{\varphi \psi}\right)$ we have $f_{\varphi \psi}=f_{\varphi}\left(f_{\psi} \circ \varphi^{-1}\right)$. If $\chi_{\varphi}$ denotes the projection with support $\{x \in X: \varphi(x)=x\}$, one has $\chi_{\varphi} u_{\varphi}=\chi_{\varphi}$ and hence:

$$
\Theta\left(f_{\varphi}^{*} \chi_{\varphi}\right)=\Theta\left(f_{\varphi}^{*} \chi_{\varphi} u_{\varphi}\right)=\Theta\left(\chi_{\varphi}\right) v_{\varphi} .
$$

The conditional expectation of $v_{\varphi}$ on $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$ is a projection. Thus, taking the conditional expectation on $\Theta(A)$ it follows that $f_{\varphi}^{*} \chi_{\varphi}$ is positive and hence equal to $\chi_{\varphi}$. So, for all $\varphi \in[E]$ we have $f_{\varphi} \chi_{\varphi}=\chi_{\varphi}$. Altogether, it follows that the formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha\left(u_{\varphi}\right) & =f_{\varphi}^{*} u_{\varphi} \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in[E], \\
\alpha(a) & =a \quad \text { for all } a \in A
\end{aligned}
$$

provides a well-defined automorphism of $M(E)$. The composition of $\Theta$ and $\alpha$ is the required sofic embedding of $M(E)$.

As a consequence of this proposition and Lemma 2.10, we have the following result.
Proposition 2.18. Let $\alpha$ be a free action. Then $E_{\alpha}$ is a sofic equivalence relation if and only if $\alpha$ is a sofic action.

Observation 2.19. Let $\Theta=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \Theta_{k}$ be a sofic embedding of some von Neumann algebra $M$ in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$. Consider also $\left\{r_{k}\right\}_{k}$ a sequence of natural numbers. Then $\Theta \otimes 1=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \Theta_{k} \otimes 1_{r_{k}}$ is again a sofic embedding of $M$ in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}} \otimes M_{r_{k}}=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k} r_{k}}$.

This trick will be used when we need to embed two algebras in the same $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$ (that is the same matrix dimension at each step).

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Sofic embeddings of hyperfinite Cartan pairs

The goal of this section is to prove that if we have a Cartan pair $A \subset M$ and $M$ is hyperfinite then any two sofic embeddings of $A \subset M$ are conjugate by a permutation. The starting point for the proof is the sketch from 2.1. However we first need to conjugate embeddings in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$ by permutations (Lemma 3.3).

Lemma 3.1. Let e, $f$ be two projections in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}(e)=\operatorname{Tr}(f)$. Then there is a unitary $u \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ such that $f=$ ueu* $^{*}$.

Proof. Let $e=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} e^{k}$ and $f=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} f^{k}$ such that $e^{k}$ and $f^{k}$ are projections in $D_{n_{k}}$. Assume $e^{k}$ has $t_{k}$ entries of 1 and $f^{k}$ has $s_{k}$ entries of 1 , so $\lim _{k \rightarrow \omega} t_{k} / n_{k}=\operatorname{Tr}(e)=\operatorname{Tr}(f)=$ $\lim _{k \rightarrow \omega} s_{k} / n_{k}$. Choose $p_{1}^{k} \in P_{n_{k}}$ such that $p_{1}^{k} e^{k} p_{1}^{k *}$ has the first $t_{k}$ entries of 1 on the diagonal. In the same way choose $p_{2}^{k}$ such that $p_{2}^{k} f^{k} p_{2}^{k *}$ has the first $s_{k}$ entries of 1 on the diagonal. Define $p_{i}=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} p_{i}^{k}$ for $i=1,2$. Our constructions guarantee that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left|p_{1} e p_{1}^{*}-p_{2} f p_{2}^{*}\right|\right)=$ $\lim _{k \rightarrow \omega}\left|t_{k}-s_{k}\right| / n_{k}=0$. Then $p_{1} e p_{1}^{*}=p_{2} f p_{2}^{*}$ so define $u=p_{2}^{*} p_{1}$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ and $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ be two sequences of projections in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} e_{i}=1=\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(e_{i}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(f_{i}\right)$ for each $i=1, \ldots, m$. Then there is a unitary $u \in$ $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ such that $f_{i}=u e_{i} u^{*}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, m$.

Proof. Apply the previous lemma for each $i=1, \ldots, m$ to get elements $u_{i} \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ such that $u_{i} e_{i} u_{i}^{*}=f_{i}$. Define $u=\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_{i} e_{i}$. Then by Lemma 2.10 we know that $u \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$. Also $u e_{i} u^{*}=u_{i} e_{i} u_{i}^{*}=f_{i}$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\Theta_{1}, \Theta_{2}$ be two embeddings of $L^{\infty}(X)$ in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$. Then there exists a unitary $u \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ such that $\Theta_{2}(a)=u \Theta_{1}(a) u^{*}$ for every $a \in L^{\infty}(X)$.

Proof. Let $A_{m}$ be an increasing sequence of commutative finite dimensional subalgebras such that $L^{\infty}(X)=\left(\bigcup_{m} A_{m}\right)^{\prime \prime}$. By the previous lemma there exists a unitary $u_{m} \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ such that $\Theta_{2}(a)=A d u_{m} \circ \Theta_{1}(a)$ for $a \in A_{m}$. We shall construct $u \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ using a diagonal argument. Let $u_{m}=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} u_{m}^{k}$ with $u_{m}^{k} \in P_{n_{k}}$ and $\Theta_{i}(a)=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \Theta_{i}(a)^{k}$ with $\Theta_{i}(a)^{k} \in D_{n_{k}}$.

Inductively choose smaller $F_{m} \in \omega, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|u_{m}^{k} \Theta_{1}(a)^{k} u_{m}^{k *}-\Theta_{2}(a)^{k}\right\|_{2}<1 / m$ for any $a \in\left(A_{m}\right)_{1}, k \in F_{m}$. Define $u^{k}=u_{m}^{k}$ for $k \in F_{m} \backslash F_{m+1}$ and set $u=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} u^{k}$.

We are now ready to prove an analog of 2.1 for sofic embeddings.

Proposition 3.4. Let $E$ be a hyperfinite equivalence relation and $A \subset M(E)$ the Cartan pair associated to $E$. Let $\Theta_{1}, \Theta_{2}$ two sofic embeddings of $M(E)$ in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$. Then there exists a unitary $u \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ such that $\Theta_{2}(x)=u \Theta_{1}(x) u^{*}$ for every $x \in M(E)$.
 we have no control on the algebras $N_{m}$ and we cannot use the hypothesis of sofic embedding. Instead we have to use the properties of the equivalence relation. The hyperfinite property of
$M(E)$ implies that $E$ is a hyperfinite equivalence relation. So, up to a set of measure $0, E$ is the union of an ascending sequence of finite equivalence relations.

Using the previous proposition we can assume $\Theta_{1}$ and $\Theta_{2}$ coincide on $A$. We shall first prove this result in case of ergodic equivalence relations, i.e. $M(E)$ is the hyperfinite factor. By the definition of hyperfinite equivalence relation and Feldman-Moore construction (see also proof of 4.1 from [21]) there exists an increasing sequence of matrix algebras $\left\{N_{m}\right\}_{m} \geqslant 1$ of $M(E)$ each of them with a set of matrix units $\left\{e_{i j}^{m}\right\}$ such that:

1. $M(E)$ is the weak closure of $\bigcup_{m} N_{m}$;
2. $e_{i i}^{m} \in A$ and $\sum_{i} e_{i i}^{m}=1$;
3. $e_{i j}^{m}$ are of the form $v_{\theta}$ with $\theta \in[[E]]$;
4. every $e_{r s}^{p}$, for $p \leqslant m$, is the sum of some $e_{i j}^{m}$.

Elements $v_{\theta}$ are of the form $e \cdot u_{\phi}$, where $e$ is a projection in $A$ and $\phi \in[E]$. Combined with $\Theta_{l}$ is sofic, we get that $\Theta_{l}\left(e_{i j}^{m}\right)$ is an ultraproduct of permutations cut with a projection in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$. Define

$$
p_{m}=\sum_{j} \Theta_{2}\left(e_{j 1}^{m}\right) \Theta_{1}\left(e_{1 j}^{m}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{m} p_{m}^{*} & =\sum_{i, j} \Theta_{2}\left(e_{i 1}^{m}\right) \Theta_{1}\left(e_{1 i}^{m}\right) \Theta_{1}\left(e_{j 1}^{m}\right) \Theta_{2}\left(e_{1 j}^{m}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j} \Theta_{2}\left(e_{j 1}^{m}\right) \Theta_{1}\left(e_{11}^{m}\right) \Theta_{2}\left(e_{1 j}^{m}\right)=\sum_{j} \Theta_{2}\left(e_{j j}^{m}\right)=1,
\end{aligned}
$$

so $p_{m}$ is a unitary. Using 2.10 we have $p_{m} \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$. Moreover:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{m} \Theta_{1}\left(e_{r s}^{m}\right) p_{m}^{*} & =\sum_{i, j} \Theta_{2}\left(e_{i 1}^{m}\right) \Theta_{1}\left(e_{1 i}^{m}\right) \Theta_{1}\left(e_{r s}^{m}\right) \Theta_{1}\left(e_{j 1}^{m}\right) \Theta_{2}\left(e_{1 j}^{m}\right) \\
& =\Theta_{2}\left(e_{r 1}^{m}\right) \Theta_{1}\left(e_{11}^{m}\right) \Theta_{2}\left(e_{1 s}^{m}\right)=\Theta_{2}\left(e_{r s}^{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtained $p_{m} \Theta_{1}(x) p_{m}^{*}=\Theta_{2}(x)$ for $x \in N_{m}$. Employing another diagonal argument we construct a permutation $p \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ such that $p \Theta_{1}(x) p^{*}=\Theta_{2}(x)$ for $x \in \bigcup_{m} N_{m}$. Using 1 we are done.

The proof in general case works the same. The only difference is that $\left\{N_{m}\right\}_{m} \geqslant 1$ are finite dimensional algebras instead of matrix algebras, so we need to be more careful when defining $p_{m}$. Assume that $N_{m}=N_{m}^{1} \oplus N_{m}^{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus N_{m}^{t}$, with $N_{m}^{v}$ factors for $v=1, \ldots, t$. Let $\left\{e_{i j ; v}^{m}\right\}$ a set of matrix units for $N_{m}^{v}$. Then define:

$$
p_{m}=\sum_{v=1}^{t} \sum_{j} \Theta_{2}\left(e_{j 1 ; v}^{m}\right) \Theta_{1}\left(e_{1 j ; v}^{m}\right) .
$$

Computations that $p_{m}$ is a unitary and $p_{m} \Theta_{1}\left(e_{r s}^{m}\right) p_{m}^{*}=\Theta_{2}\left(e_{r s}^{m}\right)$ are the same.

### 3.2. Bernoulli shifts

In [7] Elek and Lippner proved that equivalence relations generated by Bernoulli shifts of sofic groups are sofic. We present here the nice proof of Narutaka Ozawa from [17].

Theorem 3.5 (Elek-Lippner). Equivalence relations generated by Bernoulli shifts of sofic groups are sofic.

Proof (Ozawa). Let $G$ be a sofic group. Every Bernoulli shift is a free action. Using 2.18 we just need to prove that each Bernoulli shift of $G$ is a sofic action.

Let $X=\{0,1\}^{G}=\{f: G \rightarrow\{0,1\}\}$. For distinct $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{m}$, define the cylinder set:

$$
c_{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{m}}^{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}}=\left\{f: f\left(g_{j}\right)=i_{j} \forall j=1, \ldots, m\right\},
$$

and let $Q_{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{m}}^{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}}$ be the projection onto this set. Then $\beta$ is the action of $G$ on $X$ such that $\beta(g) c_{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{m}}^{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}}=c_{g g_{1}, g g_{2}, \ldots, g g_{m}}^{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}}$.

Let $\Theta_{0}: G \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ be a sofic embedding of $G$ with $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Theta_{0}(g)\right)=0$ for each $g \neq e$. Write $\Theta_{0}(g)=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} p_{g ; k}$ such that $p_{g ; k} \in P_{n_{k}}$. Define $\Theta: G \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}} \otimes M_{2^{n_{k}}}$ by $\Theta=\Theta_{0} \otimes 1$. Let $Y_{k}$ a set with $n_{k}$ elements and identify $D_{n_{k}}$ with $L^{\infty}\left(Y_{k}\right)$. Also let $Z_{k}=\left\{\eta: Y_{k} \rightarrow\{0,1\}\right\}$ and identify $D_{2^{n} k}$ with $L^{\infty}\left(Z_{k}\right)$. Define now:

$$
c_{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{m} ; k}^{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}}=\left\{(\xi, \eta) \in Y_{n_{k}} \times Z_{n_{k}}: \eta\left(p_{g_{j} ; k}^{-1}(\xi)\right)=i_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m\right\} .
$$

Let $Q_{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{m} ; k}^{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}} \in D_{n_{k}} \otimes D_{2^{n_{k}}}$ be the characteristic function of $c_{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{m} ; k}^{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}}$. Define now $\Theta\left(Q_{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{m}}^{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}}\right)=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} Q_{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{m} ; k}^{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}}$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(g) \Theta\left(Q_{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{m}}^{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}}\right) \Theta(g)^{*} & =\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega}\left(p_{g ; k} \otimes 1\right) Q_{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{m} ; k}^{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}}\left(p_{g ; k}^{-1} \otimes 1\right) \\
& =\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \chi_{\left\{(\xi, \eta):\left(p_{g ; k}^{-1} \otimes 1\right)(\xi, \eta) \in c_{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{m} ; k}\right\}}^{i_{1}, \ldots i_{m}} \\
& =\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \chi_{\left\{(\xi, \eta): \eta\left(p_{g_{j} ; k}^{-1} p_{g ; k}^{-1}(\xi)\right)=i_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m\right\}} \\
& ={ }_{g_{0}} \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \chi_{T_{k}}, \\
\Theta\left(Q_{g g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{2}}^{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}}\right) & =\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \chi_{\left\{(\xi, \eta): \eta\left(p_{g g_{j} ; k}^{-1}(\xi)\right)=i_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m\right\}} \\
& ={ }_{n o t} \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \chi_{S_{k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $(\xi, \eta) \in T_{k} \Delta S_{k}$ then for some $j=1, \ldots, m$ we have $p_{g_{j} ; k}^{-1} p_{g ; k}^{-1}(\xi) \neq p_{g g_{j} ; k}^{-1}(\xi)$. Given the fact that $\Theta_{0}$ is a sofic embedding it follows that $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \chi_{T_{k}}=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \chi_{S_{k}}$.

The only thing left is to compute the trace of $\Theta\left(Q_{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{m}}^{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots i_{m}}\right)$. For this, let $A_{k}=\left\{\xi \in Y_{k}\right.$ : $p_{g_{j} ; k}^{-1}(\xi)$ are different for $\left.j=1, \ldots, m\right\}$. Because $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Theta_{0}(g)\right)=0$ for $g \neq e$ we have $\lim _{k \rightarrow \omega} \operatorname{Card}\left(A_{k}\right) / n_{k}=1$. Then:

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Theta\left(Q_{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{m}}^{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}}\right)\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \omega} \operatorname{Tr}\left(Q_{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{m} ; k}^{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \omega} \frac{1}{n_{k} 2^{n_{k}}}\left(\sum_{\xi \in A_{k}} 2^{n_{k}-m}+\sum_{\xi \notin A_{k}} v_{\xi}\right)=\frac{1}{2^{m}}
$$

This will prove that $\Theta$ is an embedding of $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\beta} G$, proving the soficity of the action $\beta$.

The proof can be adapted to work for any Bernoulli shift. For a finite uniform Bernoulli shift the proof works the same. A diagonal argument will prove the theorem in case $X=[0,1]^{G}$ (with product of Lebesgue measure). Any other Bernoulli shift will yield a subalgebra of $L^{\infty}\left([0,1]^{G}\right) \rtimes G$.

The next easy proposition will be used in the proof of Corollary 3.7.
Proposition 3.6. Let $G$ act freely on a countable set I. Then the generalized Bernoulli shift of $G$ on $\{0,1\}^{I}$ is sofic.

Proof. If $G$ acts freely on $I$ then $I$ is of the form $G \times I^{\prime}$ and the action is a shift on the first component. The generalized Bernoulli shift on $\{0,1\}^{I}$ is a classical Bernoulli shift on $X^{G}$ where $X=\{0,1\}^{I^{\prime}}$.

A formally weaker version of the following result was first obtain by Benoit Collins and Ken Dykema (see [2]). Independently, Elek and Szabo proved this theorem using different methods (see [11]).

Corollary 3.7. Amalgamated products of sofic groups over amenable groups are sofic.

Proof. Let $G_{1}, G_{2}$ be two sofic groups with a common amenable subgroup $H$. Let $X=$ $\{0,1\}^{G_{1} * H}{ }^{G_{2}}$ equipped with product measure. Then $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ act on $X$ as generalized Bernoulli shifts and this actions coincide on $H$. Using the above proposition (and 2.19) we can construct sofic embeddings $\Theta_{i}: L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes G_{i} \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$ for $i=1$, 2. By proposition (3.4) we can assume $\Theta_{1}=\Theta_{2}$ on $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes H$ (here we use $H$ amenable and the classic result from [4]). Note that now $\Theta_{1}$ acts on $\Theta_{i}\left(L^{\infty}(X)\right)$ by shifting with $G_{1}$ and $\Theta_{2}$ acts on the same space by shifting with $G_{2}$. This will provide a representation $\Theta$ of $G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$ on $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$. Also, $\Theta$ acts on $\Theta_{i}\left(L^{\infty}(X)\right)$ as a classic Bernoulli shift. This implies $\Theta$ is faithful, so $G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$ is sofic.

Corollary 3.8. Let $H$ be an abelian group and $G$ a sofic group. Then $H \imath G$ (wreath product) is sofic.

Proof. The wreath product $H 乙 G$ is the semidirect product of $G$ and $H^{G}$ by the shift action of $G$. We shall work with the following presentation $\langle S \mid R\rangle$ of the wreath product:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S= & \left\{f_{g}^{h}, u_{g}: \text { for every } h \in H \text { and } g \in G\right\} ; \\
R= & \left\{f_{g}^{e}=e: \forall g \in G\right\} \cup\left\{f_{g}^{h_{1}} f_{g}^{h_{2}}=f_{g}^{h_{1} h_{2}}: \forall g \in G, \forall h_{1}, h_{2} \in H\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{f_{g_{1}}^{h_{1}} f_{g_{2}}^{h_{2}}=f_{g_{2}}^{h_{2}} f_{g_{1}}^{h_{1}}: \forall g_{1}, g_{2} \in G, g_{1} \neq g_{2}, \forall h_{1}, h_{2} \in H\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{u_{g_{1}} u_{g_{2}}=u_{g_{1} g_{2}}: \forall g_{1}, g_{2} \in G\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{u_{g_{1}} f_{g_{2}}^{h} u_{g_{1}}^{-1}=f_{g_{1} g_{2}}^{h}: \forall g_{1}, g_{2} \in G, h \in H\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider first the case of $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \imath G$. Apply Elek-Lippner result to embed $L\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{G}\right) \rtimes_{\beta} G \simeq$ $L\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{G} \rtimes G\right)=L\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} \prec G\right)$ in some $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$. Generators $u_{g}$ will be ultraproduct of permutations. Instead, elements of the type $f_{g}^{h}$ are unitaries in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$ with $\pm 1$ entries. Construct
a sofic representation of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ 乙 $G$ in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{2 n_{k}}$ by replacing a 1 entry with $I_{2}$ and a -1 entry with: $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.

Consider now the general case. Let $\Theta: L^{\infty}\left(\{0,1\}{ }^{G}\right) \rtimes G \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$ the sofic embedding constructed in the last proof. Also let $\Lambda: H \rightarrow P_{m_{k}}$ be a sofic embedding of $H$. We shall construct $\Phi: H \imath G \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}} \otimes P_{m_{k}}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(u_{g}\right)=\Theta(g) \otimes 1 \\
& \Phi\left(f_{g}^{h}\right)=c_{g}^{0} \otimes 1+c_{g}^{1} \otimes \Lambda(h)
\end{aligned}
$$

Relations in the set $R$ are easy to check (one needs $H$ abelian for $f_{g_{1}}^{h_{1}} f_{g_{2}}^{h_{2}}=f_{g_{2}}^{h_{2}} f_{g_{1}}^{h_{1}}$ ). Also $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Phi\left(u_{g}\right)\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Phi\left(f_{g}^{h}\right)\right)=1 / 2$. In order to finish the proof we need to see that $\Phi$ is injective.

The generic element of $H \imath G$ is $s=f_{g_{1}}^{h_{1}} f_{g_{2}}^{h_{2}} \ldots f_{g_{n}}^{h_{n}} u_{g}$ with $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}$ distinct. Then:

$$
\Phi(s)=\left(\sum_{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{n}} c_{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}}^{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}} \otimes \Lambda\left(\Pi_{i_{k}=1} h_{k}\right)\right)(\Theta(g) \otimes 1) .
$$

Assume $\Phi(s)=1$. Then for any $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{n}, \Lambda\left(\Pi_{i_{k}=1} h_{k}\right)=1$. This force $h_{k}=e$ for any $k$. Then $\Phi\left(u_{g}\right)=1$, so $u_{g}=e$. It follows that $s=e$.

### 3.3. Sofic actions

The goal would be to prove that every (free) action of a sofic group is sofic. While this remains open we shall prove this fact for a family of groups. Let's first solve this ambiguity: free or general actions.

Theorem 3.9. Let $G$ be a group such that every free action is sofic. Then every action of $G$ is sofic.

Proof. Let $\alpha$ be an action of $G$ on $X$. Let $\beta: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ be a free action (e.g. Bernoulli shift). Define $\alpha \otimes \beta: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(X \times Y)$ by $g(x, y)=(g x, g y)$. With this definition $\alpha \otimes \beta$ is a free action of $G$, so it is sofic. We can embed $L^{\infty}(X \times Y) \rtimes_{\alpha \otimes \beta} G$ in some $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$ satisfying the requirements of sofic action. The space $L^{\infty}(X)$ can be embedded in $L^{\infty}(X \times Y)$ by $i d \otimes 1$. This embedding can be extended to an embedding of $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ in $L^{\infty}(X \times Y) \rtimes_{\alpha \otimes \beta} G$. This will prove $\alpha$ is sofic.

Definition 3.10. Denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the class of groups for which every action is sofic.
While we cannot prove that every sofic group is in $\mathcal{S}$, we will provide some examples. First goal is to deal with amenable groups.

Proposition 3.11. Each action of the integers admits a sofic embedding.
Proof. Let $\alpha: L^{\infty}(X) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(X)$ the automorphism that generates the action. Choose $\Theta$ : $L^{\infty}(X) \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$ an embedding. Apply Proposition 3.3 to $\Theta$ and $\Theta \circ \alpha$ to get a unitary $u \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ such that $A d u \circ \Theta=\Theta \circ \alpha$.

As powers of permutation matrices are still permutation matrices, we have $u^{m} \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$. Also $u^{m} \Theta(f)\left(u^{m}\right)^{*}=\Theta\left(\alpha^{m}(f)\right)$ for any $f \in L^{\infty}(X)$. Now we have an embedding $\Theta$ of the algebraic crossed product $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha}^{\text {alg }} \mathbb{Z}$. In order to have an embedding of the crossed product we need the relation $\operatorname{Tr}\left(u^{m}\right)=0$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}$.

Let $\Lambda$ be an embedding of $\mathbb{Z}$ in some $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{r_{k}}$ using only elements of trace 0 . Define the embedding $\Theta \otimes \Lambda$ of $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k} \cdot r_{k}}$ by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta \otimes \Lambda(T) & =\Theta(T) \otimes 1 \quad \text { for } T \in L^{\infty}(X) \\
\Theta \otimes \Lambda\left(u_{g}\right) & =\Theta\left(u_{g}\right) \otimes \Lambda\left(u_{g}\right) \quad \text { for } g \in \mathbb{Z} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This embedding $\Theta \otimes \Lambda$ of the algebraic crossed product respects the trace of the von Neumann crossed product. Using the unique feature of the type II case the closure of its imagine will be the crossed product.

Proposition 3.12. Amenable groups are in $\mathcal{S}$.
Proof. Let $G$ be an amenable group and let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mu)$ be a free action. Then $E_{\alpha}$ is amenable. By [4] $E_{\alpha}$ is generated by an action $\beta$ of $\mathbb{Z}$. By the previous proposition beta is sofic. Because almost all equivalence classes of $E_{\alpha}$ are non-finite, $\beta$ is free. Using Proposition 2.9 we deduce $\alpha$ is sofic. Combined with Theorem 3.9, we get $G \in \mathcal{S}$.

The next proposition will enlarge the class of groups for which such results hold.
Theorem 3.13. Let $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ be two sofic actions of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ on the same space $X$. Consider $H$, a common amenable subgroup of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. Assume $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ coincide on $H$, and this action of $H$ is free. Then the action $\alpha_{1} *_{H} \alpha_{2}$ of $G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$ is sofic.

Proof. Using 2.19 we can construct sofic embeddings of the two crossed products in the same ultraproduct. So let $\Theta_{i}: L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes G_{i} \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$, $i=1$, 2. By 3.4 we can assume $\Theta_{1}=\Theta_{2}$ on $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes H$ (using the freeness of this action). Now we can construct a representation $\Theta$ of the algebraic crossed product $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes\left(G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}\right)$ on $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$. In order to embed the von Neumann crossed product the trace of each nontrivial $u_{g}, g \in G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$ must be equal to 0 . The groups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ must be sofic, as only sofic groups can admit sofic actions. Then $G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$ is sofic (see 3.7). There exists an embedding $\Lambda$ of $G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$ in some $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{r_{k}}$ using only elements of trace 0 . Define the embedding $\Theta \otimes \Lambda$ of $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha_{1} *_{H} \alpha_{2}} G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$ like in 3.11.

Adapting the same methods we can prove this result for a countable family of actions.
Proposition 3.14. Let $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of sofic actions of $\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ on the same space. Assume $H$ is an amenable common subgroup of $G_{i}$ and the actions $\alpha_{i}$ coincide on $H$. Then $*_{H} \alpha_{i}$ is sofic.

Corollary 3.15. Each action of a free group, including $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ is sofic.
Proof. Corollary of 3.11 and 3.14.
We now recover with our methods the result of Elek and Lippner that any treeable equivalence relation is sofic. A good reference for treeable equivalence relation is [16].

Proposition 3.16. Every treeable equivalence relation is sofic.

Proof. Well, treeable is some kind of freeness and freeness in general goes well with soficity.
Let $E$ be a treeable equivalence relation on $(X, \mu)$. Fix a treeing of $E$, i.e. a countable set of partial Borel isomorphism $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{*}} \subset[[E]]$. For each $i$ we have $\phi_{i}=a_{i} \lambda_{i}$, where $a_{i}$ is a projection in $L^{\infty}(X)$ and $\lambda_{i} \in[E]$.

Define an action $\alpha$ of $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ on $X$ such that $\alpha\left(\gamma_{i}\right)=\lambda_{i}$ (where $\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}_{i}$ are the generators of $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ ). Being an action of $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}, \alpha$ is sofic.

The von Neumann subalgebra of $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ generated by $a_{i} u_{\gamma_{i}}$ is naturally isomorphic to $M(E)$. Hence every sofic embedding of $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ can be restricted to a sofic embedding of $M(E) \subset L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_{\infty}$.

We end this section with the following theorem.

Theorem 3.17. Class $\mathcal{S}$ is closed under amalgamated product over amenable groups. It is strictly larger than the class of treeable groups.

Proof. First part of the theorem is 3.13 and 3.9. By 3.16 (and again 3.9) every treeable group is in $\mathcal{S}$.

Consider now the group $G=\mathbb{Z} *_{(2,3) \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$. It is not treeable but $G \in \mathcal{S}$. This example is from [13].

Relation $G \in \mathcal{S}$ is just 3.11 and 3.13. By general theory of Gaboriau, the cost of $G$ is $1+1-$ $1=1$. If amalgamation is done with good morphism (multiplication by 2 and 3 ) then $G$ is not amenable. This implies $G$ is not treeable.

### 3.4. Sofic equivalence relations

Now we shall present from [7] the original definition of Elek and Lippner of soficity for actions and equivalence relations.

Definition 3.18. We call a basic sequence of projections for $L^{\infty}(X)$ a collection $\left\{e_{i, m}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{m}, m \geqslant 0} \subset L^{\infty}(X)$ with the following properties:

1. $\overline{\operatorname{span}}^{w}\left\{e_{i, m}\right\}_{i, m}=L^{\infty}(X)$;
2. $\mu\left(e_{i, m}\right)=2^{-m}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{m}, m \geqslant 0$;
3. $e_{2 i-1, m}+e_{2 i, m}=e_{i, m-1}, m \geqslant 1$.

Let $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}=\left\langle\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots\right\rangle$. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $W_{r}$ the subset of reduced words of length at most $r$ containing only the first $r$ generators and their inverses. We have $W_{0} \subset W_{1} \subset \cdots$ and $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}=\bigcup_{r \geqslant 0} W_{r}$.

Let $\alpha: \mathbb{F}_{\infty} \curvearrowright X$ be a Borel action and fix $\left\{e_{i, r}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{r}}$ a basic sequence of projections for $L^{\infty}(X)$. The following definition will allow us to keep track of the position of a point $x \in X$ relative to sets $\left\{e_{i, r}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{r}}$ under the action of $W_{r} \subset \mathbb{F}_{\infty}$.

Definition 3.19. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. A $r$-labeled, $r$-neighborhood is a finite oriented multi-graph containing:

1. a root vertex such that any vertex is connected to the root by a path of length at most $r$;
2. ever vertex has a label from the set $\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{r}\right\}$;
3. out-edges of every vertex have different colors from the set $\left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}, \gamma_{r}^{-1}\right\}$;
4. if edge $x y$ is colored with $\gamma_{i}$ then $y x$ is colored by $\gamma_{i}^{-1}$.

Isomorphism classes of such objects form a finite set that we shall denote by $U^{r, r}$.
For $G \in U^{r, r}$, denote by $R_{G}$ the root vertex in $G$. For $\gamma \in W_{r}$ let $\gamma R_{G}$ be the vertex in $G$ obtained by starting from $R_{G}$ and following the path given by $\gamma$ (if such a path exists). Finally, let $l\left(\gamma R_{G}\right)$ be the label of the vertex $\gamma R_{G}$ in the set $\left\{1,2, \ldots, 2^{r}\right\}$.

Let $X$ be a space together with a basic sequence of projections. For an action $\alpha: \mathbb{F}_{\infty} \curvearrowright X$ and $x \in X$ we can define $B_{r}^{r}(x) \in U^{r, r}$ by taking the imagines of $x$ under $W_{r}$ and their labels with respect to $\left\{e_{i, r}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{r}}$. For $G \in U^{r, r}$ let $T(\alpha, G)=\left\{x \in X: B_{r}^{r}(x) \equiv G\right\}$. Define also $p_{G}(\alpha)=$ $\mu(T(\alpha, G))$.

If $\alpha$ is an action on a finite space $Y$ (having the normalized cardinal measure) we have the same definitions provided that we still have some subsets $\left\{e_{i, r}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{r}, r \geqslant 0}$ of $Y$ satisfying the same summation relations. This are needed to give labels to our vertices. Finite spaces with this kind of partitions are called $X$-sets. We are now ready to give the definition.

Definition 3.20. An action $\alpha$ of $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ is called sofic (in Elek-Lippner sense) if there exists a sequence of actions $\alpha_{k}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ on $X$-sets such that for any $r \geqslant 1$, for any $G \in U^{r, r}$ we have $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} p_{G}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=p_{G}(\alpha)$.

Definition 3.21. An equivalence relation is called sofic if it is generated by a sofic action of $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ (all this in Elek-Lippner sense).

For actions of $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ the two notions of soficity are different. With our definition every action of $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ is sofic (see 3.15). Instead for equivalence relations the two notions are the same. This is what we shall prove now.

Proposition 3.22. Let $E \subset X^{2}$ be an equivalence relation. Then $E$ is sofic in sense of ElekLippner if and only if $E$ is sofic $\left(M(E)\right.$ admits a sofic embedding in some $\left.\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha: \mathbb{F}_{\infty} \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ be a sofic action in the sense of Elek-Lippner such that $E=E_{\alpha}$. Let $\alpha_{k}$ a sequence of actions on X-sets $Y_{k}$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} p_{G}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=p_{G}(\alpha)$. Finally let $n_{k}$ be the cardinal of $Y_{k}$. We shall embed $M(E)$ in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$ in a sofic way. For this we need:

1. an embedding $L^{\infty}(X) \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$;
2. a representation $\Theta$ of $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ on $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$;
3. the formula $\operatorname{Tr}(f \Theta(\gamma))=\int_{X_{\gamma}} f d \mu$ for every $f \in L^{\infty}(X)$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{\infty}$, where $X_{\gamma}=\{x \in$ $X: \gamma x=x\}$.

By hypothesis $Y_{k}$ are $X$-sets, so they come together with projections $\left\{e_{i, r}^{k}\right\}_{i, r}$. Construct $e_{i, r}=$ $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} e_{i, r}^{k}$. We claim that $\left\{e_{i, r}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{r}, r \geqslant 0}$ form a basic sequence of projections for the algebra they generate. Relations $e_{i, r}=e_{2 i-1, r+1}+e_{2 i, r+1}$ are automatic, we just need to prove that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(e_{i, r}\right)=2^{-r}$.

Let $\left\{f_{i, r}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{r}, r \geqslant 0} \subset L^{\infty}(X)$ be the basic sequence of projections used in the construction of numbers $p_{G}(\alpha)$. Fix $i$ and $r$. Let $U_{i}^{r, r}=\left\{G \in U^{r, r}: l\left(R_{G}\right)=i\right\}$, i.e. graphs such that the root has label $i$. Then $T(\alpha, G) \subset f_{i, r}$ for each $G \in U_{i}^{r, r}$. Moreover: $f_{i, r}=\bigsqcup_{G \in U_{i}^{r, r}} T(\alpha, G)$. In the same way we have $e_{i, r}^{k}=\bigsqcup_{G \in U_{i}^{r, r}} T\left(\alpha_{k}, G\right)$. Because $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} p_{G}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=p_{G}(\alpha)$ we have $\operatorname{Tr}\left(e_{i, r}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \omega} \operatorname{Tr}\left(e_{i, r}^{k}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(f_{i, r}\right)=2^{-r}$.

By identifying $e_{i, r}$ with $f_{i, r}$ we get an embedding of $L^{\infty}(X)$. Now we construct the representation $\Theta$ of $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$. We identified set $Y_{k}$ with diagonal $D_{n_{k}}$ and we have actions $\alpha_{k}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ that are defined on $Y_{k}$. This will construct a representation. We need to make sure $\Theta$ acts the same way as $\alpha$.

Let $\gamma$ be one of the generators of $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}$. Fix $i, j$ and $r$. Let $U_{i, \gamma j}^{r, r}=\left\{G \in U^{r, r}: l\left(R_{G}\right)=i\right.$, $\left.l\left(\gamma R_{G}\right)=j\right\}$, the set of graphs such that the root has label $i$ and the vertex connected with the root by the $\gamma$ edge has label $j$ (the existence of such an edge is a requirement we ask now for $G)$. It is easy to see that $f_{i, r} \cap \alpha\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)\left(f_{j, r}\right)=\bigsqcup_{G \in U_{i, \gamma j}^{r, r}} T(\alpha, G)$. In the same way $e_{i, r}^{k} \cap \alpha_{k}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)\left(e_{j, r}^{k}\right)=\bigsqcup_{G \in U_{i, \gamma j}^{r, r}} T\left(\alpha_{k}, G\right)$. Using the hypothesis we get $\operatorname{Tr}\left(e_{i, r} \cdot \Theta\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)\left(e_{j, r}\right)\right)=$ $\mu\left(f_{i, r} \cap \alpha\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)\left(f_{j, r}\right)\right)$. This is enough to deduce that the action that $\Theta$ induce on our embedding of $L^{\infty}(X)$ is equal to $\alpha$.

For the third requirement let now $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ be an arbitrary element. It is of course sufficient to assume that $f$ is one of the projections $e_{i, r}$. We need to prove that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(e_{i, r} \Theta(\gamma)\right)=$ $\mu\left(X_{\gamma} \cap e_{i, r}\right)$. Lets say that in our construction we have $\Theta(\gamma)=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \gamma_{k}$. Then $\operatorname{Tr}\left(e_{i, r} \Theta(\gamma)\right)=$ $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left(e_{i, r}^{k} \gamma_{k}\right)$. Let $U_{i, \gamma}^{r, r}=\left\{G \in U^{r, r}: l\left(R_{G}\right)=i, \gamma R_{G}=R_{G}\right\}$, i.e. the set of $G \in U^{r, r}$ such that the root has label $i$ and the path in $G$ described by $\gamma$, starting from the root, returns to the root. Then $X_{\gamma} \cap e_{i, r}=\bigsqcup_{G \in U_{i, \gamma}^{r, r}} T(\alpha, G)$. A similar formula with fixed points of $\gamma_{k}$ and $e_{i, r}^{k}$ takes place. By $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} p_{G}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=p_{G}(\alpha)$ we get $\operatorname{Tr}\left(e_{i, r} \Theta(\gamma)\right)=\mu\left(X_{\gamma} \cap e_{i, r}\right)$ and we are done.

For the reverse implication we shall assume that $M(E)$ embeds in some $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$. We want to prove that $E$ is also sofic in the sense of Elek-Lippner.

By 2.17 we have a sofic embedding $M(E) \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$ such that $L^{\infty}(X)=A \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_{k}}$ and $u_{\theta} \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$ for any $\theta \in[E]$.

We shall denote by $d$ the normalized Hamming distance on $P_{n_{k}}$. In general $\gamma, \delta$ will denote elements in $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ and $\gamma_{i}, \delta_{i}$ will denote generators of $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}$. Let $\alpha: \mathbb{F}_{\infty} \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ an action that generates the equivalence relation $E$ on $X$. For any element $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{\infty}, \alpha(\gamma)$ induce an element $u_{\gamma} \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ and $u_{\gamma} u_{\delta}=u_{\gamma \delta}$. We shall write $u_{\gamma}=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} u_{\gamma}^{k} \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_{k}}$.

Let $Y_{k}$ be a set with $n_{k}$ elements and identify algebra $D_{n_{k}}$ with $L^{\infty}\left(Y_{k}\right)$. For any generator $\gamma_{i}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}, u_{\gamma_{i}}^{k} \in P_{n_{k}}$ induce an automorphism of $Y_{k}$. Denote it by $\alpha_{k}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)$ and extend $\alpha_{k}$ by multiplicity to an action of $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}$.

Let $\left\{e_{i, m}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{m}, m \geqslant 0} \subset L^{\infty}(X)$ a basic sequence of projections. Use it in order to construct sets $T(\alpha, G)$. Write $e_{i, m}=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} e_{i, m}^{k}$ such that $\left\{e_{i, m}^{k}\right\}_{i, m}$ respect the same summation relations. Now elements in $Y_{k}$ are labeled by projections $\left\{e_{i, m}^{k}\right\}_{i, m}$ so we have ingredients for constructing sets $T\left(\alpha_{k}, G\right)$.

We need to show that out of this actions we can find a subsequence satisfying the definition of soficity, namely that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n_{k}}\left(T\left(\alpha_{k}, G\right)\right)=\mu(T(\alpha, G))$ for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $G \in U^{r, r}$ (denote by $\mu_{n_{k}}$ the normalized cardinal measure on a set with $n_{k}$ elements). The subsequence is just to get rid of the ultrafilter and obtain classical limit for the countable set of objects that we are working with.

Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Let us see that it is enough to find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mid \mu_{n_{k}}\left(T\left(\alpha_{k}, G\right)\right)-$ $\mu(T(\alpha, G)) \mid<\varepsilon$ for any $G \in U^{r, r}$. The phenomena here is that, if we fix a $G \in U^{r, r}$, when we
pass from step $r$ to $r+1$ we have $T(\alpha, G)=\bigcup_{G^{\prime} \in U^{r+1, r+1} ; G<G^{\prime}} T\left(\alpha, G^{\prime}\right)$ (relation $G<G^{\prime}$ is defined in an obvious way). So $\mu\left(T\left(\alpha_{k^{\prime}}, G\right)\right)$ is a sum of other $\mu\left(T\left(\alpha_{k^{\prime}}, G^{\prime}\right)\right)$, but a finite sum. When we choose our sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{r}\right\}$ we have to make sure that it compensates this growth.

Sets $\left\{T(\alpha, G): G \in U^{r, r}\right\}$ form a partition of $X$. Let $T(\alpha, G)=\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} T(\alpha, G)^{k}$ such that $\left\{T(\alpha, G)^{k}: G \in U^{r, r}\right\}$ is a partition of $Y_{k}$. We also have in $D_{n_{k}}$ projections $T\left(\alpha_{k}, G\right)$. We know that $\mu_{n_{k}}\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k}\right) \rightarrow_{k} \mu(T(\alpha, G))$ and we want to show that $\mu_{n_{k}}\left(T\left(\alpha_{k}, G\right)\right) \rightarrow_{k} \mu(T(\alpha, G))$.

Now fix $G \in U^{r, r}$. We need to understand equations that describe points in $T(\alpha, G)$. Remember that $R_{G}$ is the root vertex in $G$; for $\gamma \in W_{r}, \gamma R_{G}$ is the vertex in $G$ obtained by starting from $R_{G}$ and following the path given by $\gamma$ (if such a path exists). Finally, $l\left(\gamma R_{G}\right)$ is the label of the vertex $\gamma R_{G}$ in the set $\left\{1,2, \ldots, 2^{r}\right\}$. We can now state our characterization of $T(\alpha, G)$.

A point $x \in X$ is an element of the set $T(\alpha, G)$ iff:

1. $\alpha(\gamma)(x) \in e_{l\left(\gamma R_{G}\right), r}$ for any $\gamma \in W_{r}$ for which $\gamma R_{G}$ exists;
2. $\alpha(\gamma)(x)=\alpha(\delta)(x) \forall \gamma, \delta \in W_{r}, \gamma R_{G}=\delta R_{G}$;
3. $\alpha(\gamma)(x) \neq \alpha(\delta)(x) \forall \gamma, \delta \in W_{r}, \gamma R_{G} \neq \delta R_{G}$.

First condition gives the coloring of vertices. The other two give the structure of the graph $G$. Let $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ such that $2\left|U^{r, r}\right|\left(\left|W_{r}\right|+2\left|W_{r}\right|^{2}\right) \varepsilon_{1}<\varepsilon$. We want to find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $G \in U^{r, r}$ we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{n_{k}}\left(\alpha_{k}(\gamma)\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k}\right) \backslash e_{l\left(\gamma R_{G}\right), r}^{k}\right)<\varepsilon_{1} \quad \forall \gamma \in W_{r} ;  \tag{2}\\
& \mu_{n_{k}}\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k} \backslash\left\{y \in Y_{k}: \alpha_{k}(\gamma)(y)=\alpha_{k}(\delta)(y)\right\}\right)<\varepsilon_{1} \quad \forall \gamma, \delta \in W_{r}, \gamma R_{G}=\delta R_{G} ;  \tag{3}\\
& \mu_{n_{k}}\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k} \backslash\left\{y \in Y_{k}: \alpha_{k}(\gamma)(y) \neq \alpha_{k}(\delta)(y)\right\}\right)<\varepsilon_{1} \quad \forall \gamma, \delta \in W_{r}, \gamma R_{G} \neq \delta R_{G} ;  \tag{4}\\
& \left|\mu_{n_{k}}\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k}\right)-\mu(T(\alpha, G))\right|<\varepsilon / 2 . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

First we shall prove that this four conditions are enough to guarantee $\mid \mu_{n_{k}}\left(T\left(\alpha_{k}, G\right)\right)-$ $\mu(T(\alpha, G)) \mid<\varepsilon$ for every $G \in U^{r, r}$. Using (5) we just need to prove $\mid \mu_{n_{k}}\left(T\left(\alpha_{k}, G\right)\right)-$ $\mu_{n_{k}}\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k}\right) \mid<\varepsilon / 2$.

Take $x \in\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k} \backslash T\left(\alpha_{k}, G\right)\right)$ for some $G \in U^{r, r}$. Following our characterization of $T(\alpha, G)$, we have:

1. $\exists \gamma \in W_{r}$ such that $\alpha_{k}(\gamma)(x)$ does not have the right label, namely $l\left(\gamma R_{G}\right)$;
2. or $\exists \gamma, \delta \in W_{r}$ such that $\gamma R_{G}=\delta R_{G}$ and $\alpha_{k}(\gamma)(x) \neq \alpha_{k}(\delta)(x)$;
3. or $\exists \gamma, \delta \in W_{r}$ such that $\gamma R_{G} \neq \delta R_{G}$ and $\alpha_{k}(\gamma)(x)=\alpha_{k}(\delta)(x)$.

Using (2)-(4) we get:

$$
\mu_{n_{k}}\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k} \backslash T\left(\alpha_{k}, G\right)\right)<\left|W_{r}\right| \varepsilon_{1}+2\left|W_{r}\right|^{2} \varepsilon_{1}
$$

Because both $\left\{T\left(\alpha_{k}, G\right)\right\}_{G}$ and $\left\{T(\alpha, G)^{k}\right\}_{G}$ are partitions of $Y_{k}$ and the above formula holds for any $G \in U^{r, r}$ we have:

$$
\left|\mu_{n_{k}}\left(T\left(\alpha_{k}, G\right)\right)-\mu_{n_{k}}\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k}\right)\right|<\left|U^{r, r}\right|\left(\left|W_{r}\right| \varepsilon_{1}+2\left|W_{r}\right|^{2} \varepsilon_{1}\right)<\varepsilon / 2
$$

Now back to the choice of $k$. Let $\gamma=\gamma_{i_{1}} \gamma_{i_{2}} \ldots \gamma_{i_{s}} \in W_{r}$. We should in fact take $\gamma=$ $\gamma_{i_{1}}^{\zeta_{1}} \gamma_{i_{2}}^{\zeta_{2}} \ldots \gamma_{i_{s}}^{\zeta_{s}}$, where $\zeta_{j} \in\{ \pm 1\}$. The inverses will change nothing in our arguments and will only overload our notations. Due to Feldman-Moore construction we know that $u_{\gamma}=$ $u_{\gamma_{i_{1}}} u_{\gamma_{i_{2}}} \ldots u_{\gamma_{i_{s}}}$. Next, combine $\alpha(\gamma)(T(\alpha, G)) \subset e_{l(\gamma R), r}$ and $\alpha(\gamma)(T(\alpha, G))=u_{\gamma} T(\alpha, G) u_{\gamma}^{*}$ to get $u_{\gamma} T(\alpha, G) u_{\gamma}^{*} \subset e_{l(\gamma R), r}$.

Consider now $\gamma, \delta \in W_{r}$. If $\gamma R_{G}=\delta R_{G}$ then $\left.\alpha(\gamma)\right|_{T(\alpha, G)}=\left.\alpha(\delta)\right|_{T(\alpha, G)}$ so $\operatorname{Tr}\left(T(\alpha, G) u_{\delta}^{*} u_{\gamma}\right)=\mu(T(\alpha, G))$ (here we consider $T(\alpha, G)$ to be a projection of $L^{\infty}(X) \subset$ $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_{k}}$ ). If $\gamma R_{G} \neq \delta R_{G}$ then $\operatorname{Tr}\left(T(\alpha, G) u_{\delta}^{*} u_{\gamma}\right)=0$. Find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (5) holds and:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{\gamma}^{k}-u_{\gamma_{1}}^{k} u_{\gamma_{i_{2}}}^{k} \ldots u_{\gamma_{i}}^{k}\right\|_{2}<\varepsilon_{1} / 4 \quad \forall \gamma=\gamma_{i_{1}} \gamma_{i_{2}} \ldots \gamma_{i_{s}} \in W_{r} ;  \tag{6}\\
& \mu_{n_{k}}\left(u_{\gamma}^{k} T(\alpha, G)^{k} u_{\gamma}^{k *} \backslash e_{l\left(\gamma R_{G}\right), r}^{k}\right)<\varepsilon_{1} / 2 \quad \forall \gamma \in W_{r}, \forall G \in U^{r, r} ;  \tag{7}\\
& \mu_{n_{k}}\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k}\right)-\operatorname{Tr}\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k} u_{\delta}^{k *} u_{\gamma}^{k}\right)<\varepsilon_{1} / 2 \quad \forall G \in U^{r, r}, \forall \gamma, \delta \in W_{r}, \gamma R_{G}=\delta R_{G} ;  \tag{8}\\
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k} u_{\delta}^{k *} u_{\gamma}^{k}\right)<\varepsilon_{1} / 2 \quad \forall G \in U^{r, r}, \forall \gamma, \delta \in W_{r}, \gamma R_{G} \neq \delta R_{G} . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

By definition $\alpha_{k}(\gamma)=\alpha_{k}\left(\gamma_{i_{1}}\right) \alpha_{k}\left(\gamma_{i_{2}}\right) \ldots \alpha_{k}\left(\gamma_{i_{r}}\right)$ and $\alpha_{k}\left(\gamma_{i_{j}}\right)(P)=u_{\gamma_{i_{j}}}^{k} P u_{\gamma_{i_{j}}}^{k *}$ for any projection $P \in D_{n_{k}}$. Then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{k}(\gamma)\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k}\right)=\left(u_{\gamma_{i_{1}}}^{k} u_{\gamma_{i_{2}}}^{k} \ldots u_{\gamma_{i_{r}}}^{k}\right) T(\alpha, G)^{k}\left(u_{\gamma_{i_{1}}}^{k} u_{\gamma_{i_{2}}}^{k} \ldots u_{\gamma_{i_{r}}}^{k}\right)^{*} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both $u_{\gamma}^{k}$ and $u_{\gamma_{i_{1}}}^{k} u_{\gamma_{i_{2}}}^{k} \ldots u_{\gamma_{i_{s}}}^{k}$ are elements in $P_{n_{k}}$ so by (6):

$$
d\left(u_{\gamma}^{k}, u_{\gamma_{i_{1}}}^{k} u_{\gamma_{i_{2}}}^{k} \ldots u_{\gamma_{i s}}^{k}\right)<\varepsilon_{1} / 4
$$

Combined with (10), we have $\mu_{n_{k}}\left(\alpha_{k}(\gamma)\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k}\right) \backslash u_{\gamma}^{k} T(\alpha, G)^{k} u_{\gamma}^{k *}\right)<\varepsilon_{1} / 4$. Use (7) to get $\mu_{n_{k}}\left(\alpha_{k}(\gamma)\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k}\right) \backslash e_{l(\gamma R), r}^{k}\right)<\varepsilon_{1} / 4+\varepsilon_{1} / 2<\varepsilon_{1}$, so we have (2).

Let now $\gamma=\gamma_{i_{1}} \gamma_{i_{2}} \ldots \gamma_{i_{s}}$ and $\delta=\delta_{j_{1}} \delta_{j_{2}} \ldots \delta_{j_{t}}$ such that $\gamma R_{G}=\delta R_{G}$. Use (6) both for $\gamma$ and $\delta$ to get:

$$
\left\|u_{\delta}^{k *} u_{\gamma}^{k}-\left(u_{\delta_{j_{1}}}^{k} u_{\delta_{j_{2}}}^{k} \ldots u_{\delta_{j_{t}}}^{k}\right)^{*}\left(u_{\gamma_{i_{1}}}^{k} u_{\gamma_{i_{2}}}^{k} \ldots u_{\gamma_{i_{r}}}^{k}\right)\right\|_{2}<\varepsilon_{1} .
$$

As before, $u_{\delta}^{k *} u_{\gamma}^{k}$ and $\left(u_{\delta_{j_{1}}}^{k} \ldots u_{\delta_{j_{t}}}^{k}\right)^{*}\left(u_{\gamma_{i_{1}}}^{k} \ldots u_{\gamma_{i_{r}}}^{k}\right)$ are elements in $P_{n_{k}}$, so:

$$
d\left(u_{\delta}^{k *} u_{\gamma}^{k},\left(u_{\delta_{j_{1}}}^{k} u_{\delta_{j_{2}}}^{k} \ldots u_{\delta_{j_{t}}}^{k}\right)^{*}\left(u_{\gamma_{i_{1}}}^{k} u_{\gamma_{i_{2}}}^{k} \ldots u_{\gamma_{i_{r}}}^{k}\right)\right)<\varepsilon_{1} / 2 .
$$

Restricting this inequality just to fixed points in set $T(\alpha, G)^{k}$, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{Tr}\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k} u_{\delta}^{k *} u_{\gamma}^{k}\right)-\operatorname{Tr}\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k}\left(u_{\delta_{j_{1}}}^{k} u_{\delta_{j_{2}}}^{k} \ldots u_{\delta_{j_{t}}}^{k}\right)^{*}\left(u_{\gamma_{i_{1}}}^{k} u_{\gamma_{i_{2}}}^{k} \ldots u_{\gamma_{i_{r}}}^{k}\right)\right)\right|<\varepsilon_{1} / 2 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Apply (10) for $\gamma$ and $\delta$ to get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{n_{k}}\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k} \backslash\left\{y \in Y_{k}: \alpha_{k}(\gamma)(y)=\alpha_{k}(\delta)(y)\right\}\right) \\
& \quad=\mu_{n_{k}}\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k}\right)-\operatorname{Tr}\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k}\left(u_{\delta_{j_{1}}}^{k} u_{\delta_{j_{2}}}^{k} \ldots u_{\delta_{j_{t}}}^{k}\right)^{*}\left(u_{\gamma_{i_{1}}}^{k} u_{\gamma_{i_{2}}}^{k} \ldots u_{\gamma_{i_{r}}}^{k}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This combined with (11) and (8) yields (3).

Assume now that $\gamma R_{G} \neq \delta R_{G}$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{n_{k}}\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k} \backslash\left\{y \in Y_{k}: \alpha_{k}(\gamma)(y) \neq \alpha_{k}(\delta)(y)\right\}\right) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{Tr}\left(T(\alpha, G)^{k}\left(u_{\delta_{j_{1}}}^{k} u_{\delta_{j_{2}}}^{k} \ldots u_{\delta_{j_{t}}}^{k}\right)^{*}\left(u_{\gamma_{i_{1}}}^{k} u_{\gamma_{i_{2}}}^{k} \ldots u_{\gamma_{i_{r}}}^{k}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Inequalities (11) and (9) will imply (4).
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