brought to you by

KON 1213-DOT Milane 12, Mar January 2017

E

ASIAN JOURNAL PHAR

CEUTICAL SCIENCES

ASIAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES 12 (2017) 105-113



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ajps

Original Research Paper

Development of RP-HPLC method for simultaneous determination of docetaxel and curcumin in rat plasma: Validation and stability



Dong Wuk Kim a,\dagger , Abid Mehmood Yousaf a,b,\dagger , Dong Xun Li^c, Jong Oh Kim^d, Chul Soon Yong ^d, Kwan Hyung Cho^{e,*}, Han-Gon Choi^{a,**}

^a College of Pharmacy & Institute of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Hanyang University, 55 Hanyangdaehak-ro, Sangnok-gu, Ansan 426-791, South Korea

^b Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Central Punjab, 1-Khayaban-e-Jinnah, Johar, Lahore, 54000, Pakistan

^c National Pharmaceutical Engineering Centre for Solid Preparation in Chinese Herbal Medicine, Jiangxi

University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 56 Yangming Road, Nanchang, Jiangxi, 330006, China

^d College of Pharmacy, Yeungnam University, 214-1, Dae-Dong, Gyongsan 712-749, South Korea

^e College of Pharmacy, Inje University, 197, Inje-ro, Gimhae, 621-749, South Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 February 2016 Received in revised form 1 April 2016 Accepted 19 August 2016 Available online 31 August 2016

Keywords: Curcumin Docetaxel HPLC. Plasma-extraction Simultaneous determination Validation

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the present research was to develop a suitable, simple, precise, accurate, robust, and reproducible RP-HPLC method for a reliable simultaneous quantification of docetaxel (DTX) and curcumin (CCM) in rat plasma samples using paclitaxel (PTX) as an internal standard. The samples were assayed by the Agilent 1260 Infinity HPLC instrument using a Capcell Pak C_8 column (4.6 mm \times 150 mm, 5 μ m) under isocratic conditions. The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile and triple distilled water (40/60, v/v) with a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. The eluent was monitored at 230 nm for simultaneous measurement of curcumin and docetaxel. The method was validated by determining system suitability, selectivity, sensitivity, linearity, inter-day and intra-day precision, accuracy, robustness, and stability in accordance with the guidelines of the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The developed chromatographic method proved to be simple, precise, accurate, robust and reproducible. Moreover, the samples showed stability at room temperature over a period of 48 h. Thus, this method would be employed for routine simultaneous quantification of docetaxel and curcumin in rat plasma samples.

© 2017 Shenyang Pharmaceutical University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

* Corresponding author. College of Pharmacy, Inje University, 197, Inje-ro, Gimhae, 621-749, South Korea. Fax: +82 55 320 3940. E-mail address: chokh@inje.ac.kr (K.H. Cho).

** Corresponding author. College of Pharmacy & Institute of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Hanyang University, 55 Hanyangdaehakro, Sangnok-gu, Ansan 426-791, South Korea. Fax: +82 31 400 5958.

E-mail address: hangon@hanyang.ac.kr (H.-G. Choi).

[†] These two authors contributed equally to this work. Peer review under responsibility of Shenyang Pharmaceutical University. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajps.2016.08.002

1818-0876/© 2017 Shenyang Pharmaceutical University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Docetaxel [4-acetoxy- 2α -benzoyloxy- 5β ,20-epoxy-1,7 β ,10 β trihydroxy-9-oxotax-11-ene-11α-yl-(2R,3S)-3-tert-butoxycarbonylamino-2-hydroxy-3-phenylpropionate] (Fig. 1A) is a semisynthetic chemotherapeutic agent derived from a natural inactive precursor 10-deacetyl baccatin III, extracted from leaves of Taxus baccata [1,2]. Docetaxel is approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of castration resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) [3]. It possesses promising antineoplastic effects against a variety of other tumors as well [4-10]. Docetaxel possesses very poor oral bioavailability [11] due to its practical insolubility in water (4.93 µg/ml) [12] and great affinity to the multidrug efflux pump P-glycoprotein (P-gp) [13]. In long-term therapy, resistance is developed against docetaxel due to excessive activation of PI3K/ AKT signaling in CRPC cells [14,15]. Accordingly, down-regulation of PI3K/AKT signaling in CRPC cells augments the effectiveness of docetaxel [16]. Curcumin is a hydrophobic polyphenolic compound (Fig. 1B) extracted from the rhizomes of Curcuma longa. It is a potent chemoprotective and chemotherapeutic active substance against a broad spectrum of neoplasias [17-20]. Also, it has been reported to have some anti-inflammatory properties. Curcumin inhibits the PI3K/AKT pathway [21,22]. Therefore, curcumin exerts useful synergistic effects when used concomitantly with docetaxel [23,24].

A number of HPLC methods have been described for quantification of docetaxel in plasma samples, alone [25–29] or in combination with other drugs [30]. Moreover, several methods have been described for simultaneous determination of curcumin and other drugs in various pharmaceutical preparations [31–33]. However, simultaneous determination of docetaxel and curcumin in rat plasma samples has not been reported previously. Thus, the present investigation was aimed



Fig. 1 - Chemical structure: (A) docetaxel; (B) curcumin.

to develop a suitable, simple, precise, accurate, robust, and reproducible RP-HPLC method for simultaneous estimation of docetaxel and curcumin in rat plasma. Paclitaxel was used as an internal standard. The validation of the method was accomplished according to Food and Drug Administration (FDA) guidelines [34].

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Analytical standard grade curcumin (assay \geq 98%; Sigma-Aldrich; St. Louis, MO, USA), paclitaxel (assay \geq 98%; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and docetaxel (assay \geq 98%; Bertin Pharma; Montigny le Bretonneux, France) pure forms were kindly provided by Hanmi Pharm. Co. (Hwasung, South Korea). The HPLC grade acetonitrile was purchased from Avantor Performance Materials, Inc. (Center Valley, PA, USA). Deionized water was obtained using Milli-Q system (Millipore; Molsheim, France). All other reagents and solvents used in this study were of analytical grade.

2.2. Instrument and chromatographic conditions

Chromatographic analysis was performed using the Agilent 1260 Infinity HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with ChemStation software, G1311C 1260 Quat Pump, G1314B 1260 VWD VL detector and Capcell Pak C₈ column (Shiseido; Tokyo, Japan, 4.6 mm I.D. \times 150 mm, 5 µm). The column temperature was set at 40 °C. The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile and triple distilled water (40/60, v/v) was used for isocratic elution at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. The injection volume was 10 µl. The eluent was monitored at 230 nm for simultaneous detection of curcumin and docetaxel over a period of 20 min.

2.3. Preparation of standard solutions

Accurately weighed DTX and CCM (100 mg each) were poured into a 100 ml measuring flask. They were dissolved together in 100 ml of acetonitrile to get the stock solution with a final concentration of 1 mg/ml for each analyte. Similarly, 10 mg PTX (internal standard) was dissolved in 100 ml acetonitrile in a measuring flask to get a 100 μ g/ml concentration. Six standards with 3.125, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 μ g/ml concentrations, to be used in the preparation of samples for calibration curves of DTX and CCM, were derived from the stock solution of DTX and CCM. The standards with 6.25, 10, 50, 75 and 100 μ g/ml strengths, to be used in the preparation of samples for testing the other validation parameters, were also derived from the above-mentioned stock solution of DTX and CCM.

2.4. Sample preparation

Male Sprague-Dawley rats, weighing 250–280 g, were acquired from Orient Bio (Seongnam, South Korea). The procedure for the animal study was consistent with NIH Policy and the Animal Welfare Act under the approval of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at Hanyang University. All the blank rat plasma samples were prepared by a protein precipitation technique. Each standard strength (50 μ l) and internal standard (50 μ l) was added to 100 μ l of blank rat plasma in a microtube and vortex-mixed. Then, 1 ml of acetonitrile was added to precipitate the plasma proteins. After vortex-mixing (2 min) and centrifugation (10 min at 3000 g), the supernatant was transferred to a clean microtube and evaporated using a vacuum centrifugal evaporator. The residue was reconstituted with 100 μ l of acetonitrile, vortexed for 1 min and centrifuged again for 5 min at 11,000 g. Then, 10 μ l of the resulting solution was analysed by the HPLC method as described above.

2.5. Validation of the HPLC method

2.5.1. System suitability

The system suitability was assured by determining peak retention time, peak area, theoretical plates and tailing or asymmetry factor for DTX, CCM and PTX. The ideal values for system suitability are CV < 1%, asymmetry factor < 2 and theoretical plates >2000 [35]. The standard concentration of $6.25 \ \mu$ g/ml was used in the preparation of the samples. The sample preparation was accomplished in accordance with the method as described above in Section 2.4; therefore, the final nominal concentration, to be detected by the described method, was 3.125 μ g/ml. Six replicate samples were assayed for determining the system suitability.

2.5.2. Selectivity

The blank samples of rat plasma, prepared according to the method as described in Section 2.4 but without analytes, were analysed in order to test the matrix effect. Selectivity was also ensured at the LLOQ. The plasma was obtained from six rats. Moreover, the plasma samples, obtained from the right femoral artery of the rats after concomitant administration of a dose of DTX and CCM via the oral route (*in vivo* testing), were also extracted according to the method described in Section 2.4, and analysed by the HPLC method as described above. Then, the samples were tested for possible interference of metabolites.

2.5.3. Sensitivity

The sensitivity for simultaneous determination of DTX and CCM was evaluated with respect to CCM peak. The limit of detection (LOD) and lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) were determined by calculating the signal/noise ratio (S/N). The sample preparation was accomplished in accordance with the method as described above in Section 2.4. According to the FDA guidelines, the analyte response (signal) at the LLOQ should be at least 5-times the response compared to blank response (noise). Moreover, the actual concentrations measured should have a precision of <20% of CV and accuracy within 20% of the nominal concentration [34].

2.5.4. Linearity

Six standard concentrations of 3.125, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 μ g/ml were used in the preparation of calibration samples of DTX. Similarly, six standard strengths with 3.125, 6.25, 12.5,

25, 50 and 100 μ g/ml were employed for the preparation of calibration samples of CCM. All the samples were prepared according to the method as described above in Section 2.4. Therefore, the nominal concentrations of DTX, to be analysed by HPLC, were 1.5625, 3.125, 6.25, 12.5, 25 and 50 μ g/ml, respectively. Likewise, the nominal concentrations of CCM after sample preparation were 1.5625, 3.125, 6.25, 12.5, 25 and 50 μ g/ml, respectively. The slope, intercept and correlation coefficient (r^2) were calculated for regression analysis of DTX and CCM. According to the FDA guidelines, the calibrators should not deviate by more than 15% of the nominal concentrations, except at LLOQ where the calibrator should not deviate by more than 20% [34].

2.5.5. Accuracy

The accuracy was determined by the percent recovery method. Three standard concentrations of 10 μ g/ml, 75 μ g/ml and 100 μ g/ml were used in the preparation of samples. The samples were prepared according to the method as described above in Section 2.4; thus, the final nominal concentrations, to be assayed by the described method, were 5 μ g/ml, 37.5 μ g/ml and 50 μ g/ml, respectively. For each sample, the actual concentration was determined and the mean percent recovery was calculated (n = 6). According to the FDA guidelines, the mean value should be within 15% of the nominal value, except at LLOQ where it should not deviate by more than 20% [34].

2.5.6. Precision

For evaluating precision, intra-day and inter-day variances were determined over 1 day and 3 days, respectively. Three standard concentrations of 10, 75 and 100 μ g/ml were used in the preparation of samples. All the samples were prepared in accordance with the method as described above in Section 2.4; thus, the final nominal concentrations to be assayed were 5, 37.5 and 50 μ g/ml, respectively. The %CV was calculated for each sample analysed. According to the FDA guidelines, the precision determined at each concentration should not exceed 15% of the CV, except for the LLOQ where it should not exceed 20% of the CV [34].

2.5.7. Robustness

The effect of slight deliberate variation in chromatographic parameters such as column temperature, mobile phase flow rate and mobile phase composition on retention time and peak area ratio was observed one by one. The standard strength used in the preparation of the sample for this test was 100 µg/ml. The sample preparation was done according to the method as described above in Section 2.4; therefore, the final nominal concentration to be assayed was 50 µg/ml. The mean retention time and mean peak area ratio were determined for DTX and CCM against each setting (n = 6).

2.6. Stability

The standard with 50 μ g/ml concentration was used in the preparation of samples for testing the stability. The samples were prepared in accordance with the method as described above in Section 2.4; therefore, the final nominal concentration was 25 μ g/ml. The stability of CCM and DTX in the prepared

sample was determined by analysing concentration at 1, 6, 12, 24 and 48 h. The concentration was determined for CCM and DTX at each time point (n = 3).

3. Results and discussion

Docetaxel and curcumin are hydrophobic compounds which are practically insoluble in water [12,36]. On the other hand, they are freely soluble in acetonitrile. In the present HPLC method, acetonitrile and triple distilled water (40/60, v/v) were used as mobile phases A and B, respectively. In a preliminary separate analysis study of CCM and DTX, not C18 but C8 column was successfully used; therefore, C8 column was easily available for their simultaneous determination. The described chromatographic conditions resulted in CCM, DTX and PTX retention at about 10.47 ± 0.29 , 14.26 ± 0.21 and 16.19 ± 0.12 minutes, respectively (Fig. 2).

According to the USP, the HPLC method is considered suitable when the CV of peak area < 1%, the tailing factor < 2 and the theoretical plates > 2000 [35]. The results of system suitability are shown in Table 1. All the measured parameters are within the recommended limits. Thus, our results suggested

Table 1 – System suitability.					
Parameter	Curcumin	Docetaxel	Paclitaxel		
Peak area	53.44 ± 0.19	73.11 ± 0.24	1025.69 ± 0.23		
Retention time	10.47 ± 0.29	14.26 ± 0.21	16.19 ± 0.12		
Theoretical plates	3553.33 ± 0.24	5559.50 ± 0.27	5759.67 ± 0.30		
Tailing factor	0.67 ± 0.59	1.14 ± 0.35	1.15 ± 0.26		
Each value denotes the mean $+ \%$ CV (n = 6).					

that the described method was suitable for the simultaneous determination of DTX and CCM using PTX as an internal standard.

During testing selectivity, the analyses of blank samples generated some peaks within about 4 minutes of detection time. After 4 minutes, prominent signals did not appear in the chromatograms (data not shown). Moreover, the analyses of the analyte-containing samples exhibited well-differentiated peaks of the analytes at their respective retention times, even at the lower concentrations (Figs. 2, 3). Furthermore, the analyses of the plasma samples, withdrawn from rats (via the right femoral artery) after administering a dose of DTX and CCM via the oral route (*in vivo* testing), showed chromatograms similar to those of the samples tested *in vitro*. No additional peak, interfering with the analyte-peaks, was seen in the chromatogram (Fig. 4). Accordingly, our results suggested that the described analytical method demonstrated selectivity in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* samples.

At the same concentration, the described method resulted in a relatively shorter peak corresponding to CCM than that corresponding to DTX; therefore, LOD and LLOQ for simultaneous determination of CCM and DTX were recorded with respect to CCM peak in our study. Fig. 3A and B shows the chromatograms corresponding to $1.5625 \ \mu g/ml$ and $3.125 \ \mu g/ml$ which resulted in an S/N ratio (mean \pm SD, n = 6) of 3.03 ± 0.50 and 10.40 ± 0.87 for CCM, respectively. Accordingly, they were nominated as LOD and LLOQ, respectively. At LLOQ, the value of S/N ratio >5, a precision of the actual concentration of <20% of CV and an accuracy within 80–120% showed that they were within the recommended limits mentioned in the FDA guide-lines [34].

The range for constructing the calibration curves of DTX and CCM was 1.5625–50 μ g/ml (Fig. 5A, B). The response was linear throughout the range for both analytes ($r^2 = 0.9999$ and $r^2 = 0.9997$, respectively). The regression analysis is shown in



Fig. 2 - Chromatogram showing retention time of peaks of interest.





Fig. 3 - Sensitivity: (A) LOD (1.5625 µg/ml); (B) LLOQ (3.125 µg/ml).

Table 2. According to the FDA guidelines, the calibrators should not deviate by more than 15% of the nominal concentrations, except at LLOQ, where the calibrator should not deviate by more than 20% [34]. All the concentrations determined were within

Table 2 – Regression analysis.				
	Curcumin	Docetaxel		
Slope	0.0168 ± 0.00004	0.0222 ± 0.00002		
Intercept	0.0002 ± 0.00001	0.0021 ± 0.00009		
Correlation coefficient (r^2)	0.9997 ± 0.00006	0.9999 ± 0.00004		
Each value represents the mean \pm SD (n = 6).				

85–115% of the corresponding nominal concentrations; therefore, the method exhibited linearity.

The accuracy was assessed by the percent recovery method. The accuracy data are shown in Table 3. According to the FDA guidelines, the mean value should be within 15% of the nominal value, except at LLOQ, where it should not deviate by more than 20% [34]. All the accuracy values were within 85–115% of the recovery range. Thus, our results suggested that the described method was accurate.

The precision was determined by %CV. The inter-day and intra-day precision data are shown in Table 4. According to the FDA guidelines, the precision determined at each concentration should not exceed 15% of the CV, except for the LLOQ where



Fig. 4 – Chromatogram of a plasma sample obtained from rat after concomitant administration of a dose of DTX and CCM via the oral route.

Table 3 – Accuracy.				
Nominal concentration (µg/ml)	Measured concentration (µg/ml)ª			% Recovery ^a
	Curcumin	Docetaxel	Curcumin	Docetaxel
5	5.183 ± 0.024	5.068 ± 0.019	103.66 ± 0.48	101.37 ± 0.38
37.5	37.058 ± 0.225	38.275 ± 0.221	98.822 ± 0.601	102.068 ± 0.589
50	47.610 ± 0.236	48.849 ± 0.252	95.22 ± 0.473	97.699 ± 0.504
^a Each value shows the mean \pm SD (n = 6).				

Table 4 – Precision.					
Nominal concentration (μg/ml)	Day	Measured concentration (µg/ml)ª		Precision value ^b	
		Curcumin	Docetaxel	Curcumin	Docetaxel
				Intra-day va	riance (n = 6)
5	1	5.026 ± 0.039	5.066 ± 0.046	0.78	0.90
	2	5.064 ± 0.035	5.081 ± 0.050	0.69	0.98
	3	5.022 ± 0.021	5.069 ± 0.044	0.42	0.87
37.5	1	37.078 ± 0.30	37.092 ± 0.339	0.81	0.91
	2	37.247 ± 0.320	37.268 ± 0.306	0.86	0.82
	3	37.372 ± 0.290	37.275 ± 0.317	0.78	0.85
50	1	50.005 ± 0.303	50.303 ± 0.088	0.60	0.17
	2	50.387 ± 0.216	50.241 ± 0.107	0.43	0.21
	3	50.177 ± 0.139	50.263 ± 0.145	0.28	0.29
				Inter-day varia	ance (n = 6 × 3)
5	-	5.038 ± 0.023	5.072 ± 0.008	0.46	0.16
37.5	-	37.232 ± 0.147	37.212 ± 0.104	0.39	0.28
50	-	50.190 ± 0.191	50.269 ± 0.171	0.38	0.34
^a Each value shows the mean	± SD.				

 $^{\rm b}$ Each value shows the %CV.

Table 5 – Robustness testing.					
Parameter	Setting	Curcumin		Docetaxel	
		Retention time ^a	Peak area ratioª	Retention time ^a	Peak area ratio ^a
Column temperature (°C)	38	10.473 ± 0.160	0.842 ± 0.513	14.284 ± 0.203	1.113 ± 0.198
	40	10.595 ± 0.322	0.840 ± 0.277	14.294 ± 0.215	1.112 ± 0.265
	42	10.603 ± 0.398	0.837 ± 0.421	14.297 ± 0.209	1.112 ± 0.195
Mobile phase flow rate (ml/min)	0.95	10.609 ± 0.261	0.840 ± 0.397	14.285 ± 0.200	1.112 ± 0.196
	1.00	10.595 ± 0.322	0.840 ± 0.277	14.294 ± 0.215	1.112 ± 0.265
	1.05	10.460 ± 0.225	0.838 ± 0.255	$14.300\ \pm 0.207$	1.111 ± 0.236
Mobile phase composition (%, v/v)	39:61	10.451 ± 0.156	0.838 ± 0.222	$14.284\ \pm 0.203$	1.113 ± 0.231
	40:60	10.595 ± 0.322	0.840 ± 0.277	$14.294\ \pm 0.215$	1.112 ± 0.265
	41:59	10.609 ± 0.241	0.836 ± 0.267	$14.304\ \pm 0.198$	1.112 ± 0.264
^a Each value shows the mean \pm %CV of each setting (n = 6).					

it should not exceed 20% of the CV [34]. All the tested samples showed the %CV within the accepted limits, suggesting that the described method was precise.

The effect of minor intentional changes in the described chromatographic conditions is shown in Table 5. According to the FDA guideline, "system suitability" and "robustness" are not



Fig. 5 - Calibration curve: (A) docetaxel; (B) curcumin.

Table 6 – Stability.			
Time (h)	Concentration (µg/ml) ª		
	Curcumin	Docetaxel	
1	24.863 ± 0.287	25.063 ± 0.305	
6	24.984 ± 0.393	25.180 ± 0.322	
12	25.053 ± 0.285	25.554 ± 0.282	
24	25.371 ± 0.434	25.902 ± 0.513	
48	25.869 ± 0.552	25.955 ± 0.503	
a Each value represents the mean \pm %CV (n = 3).			

necessary for the validation of bioanalytical method [34]; however, these tests were performed to further ensure the reliability of our method. Sometimes fluctuation in column temperature alters the retention time of analytes which may affect the reliability of analysis. The slight variations in the column temperature, mobile phase flow rate and mobile phase composition did not result in considerable differences in the retention time and peak area ratio of the analytes. Thus, the described method exhibited robustness.

The stability test of DTX and CCM in the samples placed in the autosampler was performed at 1, 6, 12, 24 and 48 h (Table 6). The test was carried out in triplicate at each time point. The concentration of the sample increased slightly with time due to a little evaporation of acetonitrile. However, the concentration results at various time points were not significantly different from one another.

4. Conclusion

The present HPLC method for simultaneous evaluation of DTX and CCM in rat plasma using PTX as an internal standard proved to be simple, sensitive, precise, accurate, robust and reproducible in accordance with the FDA guidelines. Furthermore, the analytes were stable in the samples placed at room temperature over a period of 48 h. Thus, the developed method can be used for routine analysis of DTX and CCM in rat plasma samples. Further study on pharmacokinetics after oral administration of a novel DTX/CCM-loaded oral product to rats will be performed with this developed method.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning (NRF-2015R1C1A1A01051698).

REFERENCES

- [1] Thapa RK, Youn YS, Jeong JH, et al. Graphene oxide-wrapped PEGylated liquid crystalline nanoparticles for effective chemo-photothermal therapy of metastatic prostate cancer cells. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 2016;143:271–277.
- [2] Denis JN, Greene AE, Guenard D, et al. Highly efficient, practical approach to natural taxol. J Am Chem Soc 1988;110(17):5917–5919.
- [3] Galsky M, Vogelzang N. Docetaxel-based combination therapy for castration-resistant prostate cancer. Ann Oncol 2010;21(11):2135–2144. mdq050.
- [4] Huizing M, Misser VS, Pieters R, et al. Taxanes: a new class of antitumor agents. Cancer Invest 1995;13(4):381–404.
- [5] Chevallier B, Fumoleau P, Kerbrat P, et al. Docetaxel is a major cytotoxic drug for the treatment of advanced breast cancer: a phase II trial of the Clinical Screening Cooperative Group of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer. J Clin Oncol 1995;13(2):314–322.
- [6] Bissett D, Setanoians A, Cassidy J, et al. Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of Taxotere (RP 56976) administered as a 24-hour infusion. Cancer Res 1993;53(3):523–527.
- [7] Tomiak E, Piccart M, Kerger J, et al. Phase I study of docetaxel administered as a 1-hour intravenous infusion on a weekly basis. J Clin Oncol 1994;12(7):1458–1467.
- [8] Piccart MJ, Gore M, Huinink WTB, et al. Docetaxel: an active new drug for treatment of advanced epithelial ovarian cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 1995;87(9):676–681.
- [9] Burris H, Irvin R, Kuhn J, et al. Phase I clinical trial of Taxotere administered as either a 2-hour or 6-hour intravenous infusion. J Clin Oncol 1993;11(5):950–958.
- [10] Extra JM, Rousseau F, Bruno R, et al. Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of Taxotere (RP 56976; NSC 628503) given as a short intravenous infusion. Cancer Res 1993;53(5):1037–1042.
- [11] Kuppens IELM, Bosch TM, van Maanen MJ, et al. Oral bioavailability of docetaxel in combination with OC144-093 (ONT-093). Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2005;55(1):72–78.
- [12] Gao K, Sun J, Liu K, et al. Preparation and characterization of a submicron lipid emulsion of docetaxel: submicron lipid emulsion of docetaxel. Drug Dev Ind Pharm 2008;34(11):1227–1237.
- [13] Wils P, Phung-Ba V, Warnery A, et al. Polarized transport of docetaxel and vinblastine mediated by P-glycoprotein in human intestinal epithelial cell monolayers. Biochem Pharmacol 1994;48(7):1528–1530.
- [14] Kosaka T, Miyajima A, Shirotake S, et al. Long-term androgen ablation and docetaxel up-regulate phosphorylated Akt in castration resistant prostate cancer. J Urol 2011;185(6):2376–2381.
- [15] Qian DZ, Rademacher BL, Pittsenbarger J, et al. CCL2 is induced by chemotherapy and protects prostate cancer cells from docetaxel-induced cytotoxicity. Prostate 2010;70(4):433–442.
- [16] Tang Y, Parmakhtiar B, Simoneau AR, et al. Lycopene enhances docetaxel's effect in castration-resistant prostate

cancer associated with insulin-like growth factor I receptor levels. Neoplasia 2011;13(2):108–119.

- [17] Moorthi C, Krishnan K, Manavalan R, et al. Preparation and characterization of curcumin–piperine dual drug loaded nanoparticles. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 2012;2(11):841–848.
- [18] Moorthi C, Kathiresan K. Curcumin–piperine/curcumin– quercetin/curcumin–silibinin dual drug-loaded nanoparticulate combination therapy: a novel approach to target and treat multidrug-resistant cancers. J Med Hypotheses Idea 2013;7(1):5–20.
- [19] Yang CL, Liu YY, Ma YG, et al. Curcumin blocks small cell lung cancer cells migration, invasion, angiogenesis, cell cycle and neoplasia through Janus kinase-STAT3 signalling pathway. PLoS ONE 2012;7(5):e37960.
- [20] Shishodia S, Chaturvedi MM, Aggarwal BB. Role of curcumin in cancer therapy. Curr Probl Cancer 2007;31(4):243–305.
- [21] Woo JH, Kim YH, Choi YJ, et al. Molecular mechanisms of curcumin-induced cytotoxicity: induction of apoptosis through generation of reactive oxygen species, downregulation of Bcl-XL and IAP, the release of cytochrome c and inhibition of Akt. Carcinogenesis 2003;24(7):1199–1208.
- [22] Lin SS, Huang HP, Yang JS, et al. DNA damage and endoplasmic reticulum stress mediated curcumin-induced cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in human lung carcinoma A-549 cells through the activation caspases cascade-and mitochondrial-dependent pathway. Cancer Lett 2008;272(1):77–90.
- [23] Yin H, Guo R, Xu Y, et al. Synergistic antitumor efficiency of docetaxel and curcumin against lung cancer. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai) 2011;44(2):147–153. gmr106.
- [24] Mathur A, Elmageed ZYA, Liu X, et al. Subverting ER-stress towards apoptosis by nelfinavir and curcumin coexposure augments docetaxel efficacy in castration resistant prostate cancer cells. PLoS ONE 2014;9(8):e103109.
- [25] Garg MB, Ackland SP. Simple and sensitive highperformance liquid chromatography method for the determination of docetaxel in human plasma or urine. J Chromatogr B Biomed Sci Appl 2000;748(2):383–388.
- [26] Loos W, Verweij J, Nooter K, et al. Sensitive determination of docetaxel in human plasma by liquid–liquid extraction and reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. J Chromatogr B Biomed Sci Appl 1997;693(2):437–441.
- [27] Ciccolini J, Catalin J, Blachon M, et al. Rapid highperformance liquid chromatographic determination of docetaxel (Taxotere) in plasma using liquid–liquid extraction. J Chromatogr B Biomed Sci Appl 2001;759(2):299– 306.
- [28] Rouini M, Lotfolahi A, Stewart D, et al. A rapid reversed phase high performance liquid chromatographic method for the determination of docetaxel (Taxotere®) in human plasma using a column switching technique. J Pharm Biomed Anal 1998;17(8):1243–1247.
- [29] Vergniol J, Bruno R, Montay G, et al. Determination of Taxotere in human plasma by a semi-automated highperformance liquid chromatographic method. J Chromatogr B Biomed Sci Appl 1992;582(1):273–278.
- [30] López LZ, Pastor AA, Beitia JMA, et al. Determination of docetaxel and paclitaxel in human plasma by highperformance liquid chromatography: validation and application to clinical pharmacokinetic studies. Ther Drug Monit 2006;28(2):199–205.
- [31] Moorthi C, Kathiresan K. Reversed phase high performance liquid chromatographic method for simultaneous estimation of curcumin and quercetin in pharmaceutical nanoformulation. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci 2013;5(3):622–625.
- [32] Moorthi C, Kathiresan K. Simultaneous estimation of curcumin and silibinin using validated RP-HPLC-PDA

method and its application in pharmaceutical nanoformulation. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci 2013;5(3):475–478.

- [33] Moorthi C, Senthil Kumar C, Mohan S, et al. Application of validated RP-HPLC-PDA method for the simultaneous estimation of curcumin and piperine in Eudragit E 100 nanoparticles. J Pharm Res 2013;7(3):224–229.
- [34] Health UDO, Services H. Guidance for industry, bioanalytical method validation, http://www.fda.gov/downloads/drugs/ guidancecomplianceregulatoryinformation/guidances/ ucm368107.pdf; 2013 [accessed 29.03.16].
- [35] Shabir GA. Validation of high-performance liquid chromatography methods for pharmaceutical analysis: understanding the differences and similarities between validation requirements of the US Food and Drug Administration, the US Pharmacopeia and the International Conference on Harmonization. J Chromatogr A 2003;987(1):57–66.
- [36] Bisht S, Feldmann G, Soni S, et al. Polymeric nanoparticleencapsulated curcumin ("nanocurcumin"): a novel strategy for human cancer therapy. J Nanobiotechnol 2007;5(3):1–18.