

EDITORIAL COMMENT

Another Look at the Age-Old Question

Which Came First, the Elevated C-Reactive Protein or the Atherothrombosis?*

Marc S. Sabatine, MD, MPH,
Eugene Braunwald, MD, FACC
Boston, Massachusetts

Multiple histologic studies of atheroma specimens have illustrated the critical role of inflammation in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and plaque rupture (1). Proinflammatory cytokines from T cells and macrophages recruit smooth muscle cells and stimulate the production of interstitial collagens and proteoglycans, major components of the extracellular matrix that builds up in atheroma. Competing with plaque formation, however, is plaque degradation, a process that also is driven by inflammation. Specifically, when macrophages accumulate at the shoulders of atherosclerotic lesions and become activated, they release matrix metalloproteinases that can weaken and eventually disrupt the fibrous cap. This results in plaque rupture, intracoronary thrombosis, and an acute coronary syndrome (ACS).

See page 238

There are several circulating biomarkers of inflammation, including cytokines such as interleukin (IL)-6, acute phase reactants such as C-reactive protein (CRP), and leukocyte-derived enzymes such as myeloperoxidase. Multiple clinical studies have demonstrated the association between elevated levels of these inflammatory markers and the risk of myocardial infarction (2–4). Yet it has been debated whether the inflammatory state detected by these markers is a primary process that predisposes to atherothrombosis or a consequence of existing subclinical atherosclerosis.

In this issue of the *Journal*, Monaco et al. (5) present the results of an important study in which they compared the atherosclerotic burden and levels of thrombotic and inflammatory markers in patients with unstable angina (UA) to those in patients with peripheral arterial disease who were admitted for elective revascularization. They found that patients with UA had fewer significant arterial stenoses and

lower levels of thrombotic markers but much higher levels of circulating inflammatory markers than patients with peripheral arterial disease. On the basis of this discordance, they conclude that inflammation in ACS is unlikely to simply reflect underlying atherosclerosis but, rather, represents a primary systemic process.

Given that the iliac and femoral arterial beds are more extensive than the coronary bed, it is not surprising that peripheral angiography revealed more lesions than coronary angiography. To that end, it would have been helpful to have angiographic confirmation of the true atherosclerotic burden in both vascular beds in both groups of patients. In addition, Liuzzo et al. (6) and Biasucci et al. (7) have previously shown that CRP and IL-6 levels are higher during the index admission in patients with UA than in patients with stable angina (6,7). Moreover, serial studies in patients with UA have demonstrated that CRP and IL-6 levels fall by approximately 50% in the following weeks (8). Thus, the observation in this study that inflammatory markers were nearly twice as high in patients with UA than in patients with stable atherosclerosis, is not unexpected, but does underscore the marked difference between stable and unstable atherothrombotic disease, irrespective of the involved arterial bed.

In support of these observations, several large angiographic studies have shown that no significant correlation exists between the extent of coronary atherosclerosis and the concentration of circulating CRP (9,10). However, follow-up in these cohorts has demonstrated that an elevated CRP predicts death or myocardial infarction independent of the extent of baseline coronary artery disease. These observations emphasize that it tends to be the non-flow-limiting, so-called “vulnerable” lipid-rich lesions with thin fibrous caps and dense inflammatory cell infiltrates that are the culprits in ACS (11).

Once patients present with ACS, there also is a direct contribution to the systemic inflammatory state from the heart. CRP is generated in atherosclerotic plaques and has been shown to be released into the coronary circulation in patients with UA (12). In a series of experiments, Buffon et al. (13) have shown previously that there is neutrophil activation and myeloperoxidase release across the coronary circulation in patients with UA but not in those with chronic stable angina. Intriguingly, this activation was observed across coronary arteries regardless of whether they contained the culprit lesion. Whether this inflammation originates in the coronary vasculature or the myocardium remains unclear (14).

What also is unclear is whether the widespread heightened cardiac inflammation is the culmination of an inflammatory process leading to plaque rupture, a consequence of plaque rupture, or both. Thus, akin to the ancient riddle of which came first, the chicken or the egg, the answer to the question posed in the title of the editorial may be that CRP comes both before *and* after atherothrombosis. Genetic

*Editorials published in the *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of *JACC* or the American College of Cardiology.

From the TIMI Study Group, Cardiovascular Division, Brigham and Women's Hospital, and the Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts. Dr. Sabatine has received research support from Bristol-Myers Squibb. Dr. Braunwald has received research support from Bristol-Myers Squibb and Merck.

predisposition and environmental stimuli may lead to a more vigorous inflammatory state in certain individuals, a state detectable using CRP and other markers. Inflammation places patients with coronary plaques, even non-obstructive ones, at higher risk for rupture and the consequent development of ACS. The latter may then serve as an additional inflammatory stimulus, resulting in a further transient increase in CRP and other inflammatory markers. By underscoring the disproportionate degree of inflammation in the setting of an ACS compared with extensive but stable arterial disease, the authors of this study help to remind us of why anti-inflammatory therapy, currently by statins but hopefully soon by more potent agents, is critical in preventing future cardiac ischemic events in patients without known coronary disease (15), with stable coronary disease (16), and with ACS (17).

Strong support for this position comes from a comparison between two recently published statin trials in patients with ACS. The Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy: Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction (PROVE-IT TIMI)-22 trial compared standard lipid lowering with 40 mg of pravastatin to intensive lipid lowering with 80 mg of atorvastatin (18). The clinical benefit at 30 days observed with the latter regimen was accompanied by a significantly lower CRP (19). In contrast, in the A to Z trial, neither differences in clinical outcome nor in CRP levels at 30 days were observed in patients assigned to 40 mg of simvastatin versus placebo. Yet, later in the trial, when the dose of simvastatin was raised to 80 mg/day, CRP was reduced and a clinical benefit emerged (20). Thus, in the early management of patients with ACS, it may not be sufficient simply to lower the level of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, as all three statins did in these trials; the goal also must be to reduce inflammation, as reflected by lowering CRP.

Reprint requests and correspondence: Dr. Eugene Braunwald, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Department of Medicine, 75 Francis Street, 350 Longwood Avenue, Office Level One, Boston, Massachusetts 02115. E-mail: ebraunwald@partners.org.

REFERENCES

1. Libby P, Ridker PM, Maseri A. Inflammation and atherosclerosis. *Circulation* 2002;105:1135-43.
2. Ridker PM, Cushman M, Stampfer MJ, Tracy RP, Hennekens CH. Inflammation, aspirin, and the risk of cardiovascular disease in apparently healthy men. *N Engl J Med* 1997;336:973-9.
3. Ridker PM, Rifai N, Stampfer MJ, Hennekens CH. Plasma concentration of interleukin-6 and the risk of future myocardial infarction among apparently healthy men. *Circulation* 2000;101:1767-72.
4. Zhang R, Brennan ML, Fu X, et al. Association between myeloperoxidase levels and risk of coronary artery disease. *JAMA* 2001;286:2136-42.
5. Monaco C, Rossi E, Milazzo D, et al. Persistent systemic inflammation in unstable angina is largely unrelated to the atherothrombotic burden. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;45:238-43.
6. Liuzzo G, Biasucci LM, Gallimore JR, et al. The prognostic value of C-reactive protein and serum amyloid A protein in severe unstable angina. *N Engl J Med* 1994;331:417-24.
7. Biasucci LM, Vitelli A, Liuzzo G, et al. Elevated levels of interleukin-6 in unstable angina. *Circulation* 1996;94:874-7.
8. Kinlay S, Schwartz GG, Olsson AG, et al. High-dose atorvastatin enhances the decline in inflammatory markers in patients with acute coronary syndromes in the MIRACL study. *Circulation* 2003;108:1560-6.
9. Zebrack JS, Muhlestein JB, Horne BD, Anderson JL. C-reactive protein and angiographic coronary artery disease: independent and additive predictors of risk in subjects with angina. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2002;39:632-7.
10. Arroyo-Espiguero R, Avanzas P, Cosin-Sales J, Aldama G, Pizzi C, Kaski JC. C-reactive protein elevation and disease activity in patients with coronary artery disease. *Eur Heart J* 2004;25:401-8.
11. Mann JM, Davies MJ. Vulnerable plaque. Relation of characteristics to degree of stenosis in human coronary arteries. *Circulation* 1996;94:928-31.
12. Ishikawa T, Imamura T, Hatakeyama K, et al. Possible contribution of C-reactive protein within coronary plaque to increasing its own plasma levels across coronary circulation. *Am J Cardiol* 2004;93:611-4.
13. Buffon A, Biasucci LM, Liuzzo G, D'Onofrio G, Crea F, Maseri A. Widespread coronary inflammation in unstable angina. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347:5-12.
14. Cusack MR, Marber MS, Lambiase PD, Bucknall CA, Redwood SR. Systemic inflammation in unstable angina is the result of myocardial necrosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2002;39:1917-23.
15. Ridker PM, Rifai N, Clearfield M, et al. Measurement of C-reactive protein for the targeting of statin therapy in the primary prevention of acute coronary events. *N Engl J Med* 2001;344:1959-65.
16. Pitt B, Waters D, Brown WV, et al. Aggressive lipid-lowering therapy compared with angioplasty in stable coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med* 1999;341:70-6.
17. Schwartz GG, Olsson AG, Ezekowitz MD, et al. Effects of atorvastatin on early recurrent ischemic events in acute coronary syndromes: the MIRACL study: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2001;285:1711-8.
18. Cannon CP, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, et al. Intensive versus moderate lipid lowering with statins after acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med* 2004;350:1495-504.
19. Ridker PM, Morrow DA, Cannon CP, et al. Interrelationships of LDL cholesterol and hsCRP in the PROVE-IT clinical trial comparing intensive versus moderate lipid-lowering strategies among patients with acute coronary syndromes (abstr). *Circulation* 2004;110 Suppl III:III499.
20. de Lemos JA, Blazing MA, Wiviott SD, et al. Early intensive vs a delayed conservative simvastatin strategy in patients with acute coronary syndromes: phase Z of the A to Z trial. *JAMA* 2004;292:1307-16.