# On the energy of inviscid singular flows ${ }^{*}$ 

Roman Shvydkoy<br>Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, 851 S. Morgan St., M/C 249, University of Illinois, Chicago, IL 60607, United States

## A R T I C L E I N F O

## Article history:

Received 2 April 2008
Available online 11 September 2008
Submitted by H. Liu

## Keywords:

Euler equation
Weak solutions
Energy conservation
Onsager conjecture
Vortex sheets


#### Abstract

It is known that the energy of a weak solution to the Euler equation is conserved if it is slightly more regular than the Besov space $B_{3, \infty}^{1 / 3}$. When the singular set of the solution is (or belongs to) a smooth manifold, we derive various $L^{p}$-space regularity criteria dimensionally equivalent to the critical one. In particular, if the singular set is a hypersurface the energy of $u$ is conserved provided the one-sided non-tangential limits to the surface exist and the non-tangential maximal function is $L^{3}$ integrable, while the maximal function of the pressure is $L^{3 / 2}$ integrable. The results directly apply to prove energy conservation of the classical vortex sheets in both 2D and 3D at least in those cases where the energy is finite.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we study weak solutions to the Euler equations modeling evolution of inviscid fluid flows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+(u \cdot \nabla) u=-\nabla p  \tag{1}\\
& \nabla \cdot u=0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $u$ is a divergence-free velocity field, and $p$ is the internal pressure. The classical law of energy conservation

$$
\int|u(t)|^{2} d x=\int\left|u_{0}\right|^{2} d x
$$

for smooth rapidly decaying solutions of (1) and (2) is an easy consequence of the antisymmetry of the nonlinear term. Weak solutions to (1) are believed to describe turbulent phenomena at large Reynolds number in the inertial range of frequencies. The Kolmogorov-Obukhov power laws predict solutions to be $\frac{1}{3}$-Hölder continuous in a statistically averaged sense. Moreover, since the energy is not lost within the inertial range the energy flux through inertial scales is to be proportional to the mean energy dissipation rate $\epsilon$ [12]. Experiments show that $\epsilon$ is essentially independent of the viscosity coefficient. So, if in the limit of infinite Reynolds number turbulent solutions converge in some sense to weak solutions of the Euler solutions, then such solutions are expected to be on average energy dissipative.

Onsager [15] stated that all $\left(\frac{1}{3}+\delta\right)$-regular solutions conserve energy, and there may exist solutions exactly $\frac{1}{3}$-regular that do not. The results of Eyink [10] followed by the work of Constantin, E and Titi [5] give Onsager's hypothesis a rigorous proof in the spaces $B_{3, \infty}^{1 / 3+\delta}$, which measures Hölder continuity in the $L^{3}$-averaged sense. The Triebel-Lizorkin version of this result was established by D. Chae in [3]. An example of a vector field exhibited in [9,10] suggests that the exponent $\frac{1}{3}$ may indeed be critical, however no rigorous proof of this fact exists at the moment. An improvement upon [5] by Duchon and

[^0]Robert [8] showed that some solutions conserve energy even in the Onsager-critical case. In recent paper [4] the criterion was established in the dimensionally optimal regularity class $L_{t}^{3} B_{3, c_{0}}^{1 / 3}$ where $c_{0}$ signifies the decay $2^{q}\left\|\Delta_{q} u\right\|_{3}^{3} \rightarrow 0$ of the $\frac{1}{3}$-derivatives of the dyadic parts.

This present paper is motivated by the work of Caflisch, Klapper and Steele [2], where the authors obtain bi-Hölder sufficient conditions for solutions with singularity set located on a smooth submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Although the established conditions are subcritical, they are more practical in applications, for example, to multifractal models of turbulence (see [2,11]). Other important classes of singular weak solutions such as vortex sheets remain unattainable by the results of $[2,4,5]$. Indeed, the analytic vortex sheets in 2D or in 3D fall exactly into the critical class $B_{3, \infty}^{1 / 3} \backslash B_{3, c_{0}}^{1 / 3}$.

In this paper we find several criteria for energy conservation in critical $L^{p}$-spaces under the geometric assumptions on the singular set similar to [2]. In fact, our analysis extends [2] to more sophisticated singular set organization including time dependent families of submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ or their locally finite unions. The critical $L^{p}$-spaces have the same differential dimension as that of $B_{3, \infty}^{1 / 3}$, yet they do not involve calculation of spacial Hölder exponents. In the case of a 3D solution with 0 -dimensional point singularity $s(t)$ at time $t \in[0, T]$ we prove that the energy of $u$ is conserved provided $s \in C^{3 / 5}([0, T])$ and $u \in L_{t}^{3} L_{x}^{9 / 2}$ near the curve $s$ (see also application to viscous flows in [19]). In higher dimensions we use mixed $L^{p_{-}}$ spaces relative to the singular manifold (see Theorem 6 and Section 3.1). The case of hypersurface $S(t)$ is treated separately in Section 4. We will introduce the notion of a slit suitable for subsequent analysis. We assume that the velocity and pressure fields have non-tangential or normal limits and that the non-tangential maximal functions are integrable on the surface. As a consequence of weak formulation of the Euler equations, we show that all slits necessarily satisfy the kinematic condition similar to that of a free surface, so that particles that are initially on the surface stay on the surface at all time (see Lemma 9). This case is radically different from the lower dimensional case where no apparent evolution law is imposed by the equation. Our analysis shows that the energy of a solution $u$ with a slit type of singularity is conserved provided the non-tangential maximal functions of $u$ and the pressure $p$ belong to $L^{3}(S)$ and $L^{3 / 2}(S)$, respectively (see Theorem 10). These conditions are verified for the classical 2D and 3D vortex sheets in Section 5 implying their energy conservation (under zero total circulation in 2D).

Energy non-conservative weak solutions without any apparently organized singularity set have long been constructed by Scheffer [17] and Shnirelman [18], and more recently by De Lellis and Székelyhidi in [6]. Those belong to $L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{2}$ and $L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{\infty}$, respectively, and therefore are considerably Onsager-supercritical. As we mentioned earlier the vector field considered by Eyink [10] with non-vanishing energy flux belongs exactly to $B_{3, \infty}^{1 / 3} \backslash B_{3, c_{0}}^{1 / 3}$, and in fact is not even locally anywhere in $B_{3, c_{0}}^{1 / 3}$. However, no weak solution with this initial condition is known to exist. The example serves to show that the traditional mollification argument used to prove energy conservation is sharp.

Although we have chosen to use $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ as a model case, the local nature of the arguments presented below allows us to apply the results to other boundary problems, such as periodic in all or some spacial directions. This will be especially useful in application to vortex sheets.

## 2. Weak solutions and regular sets

Definition 1. A vector field $u \in C_{w}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ (the space of weakly continuous functions), is a weak solution of the Euler equations with initial data $u_{0} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if for every $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\nabla_{x} \cdot \psi=0$ and $0 \leqslant t \leqslant T$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\{t\}} u \cdot \psi-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\{0\}} u_{0} \cdot \psi-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} u \cdot \partial_{s} \psi=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(u \otimes u): \nabla \psi, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\nabla_{x} \cdot u(t)=0$ in the sense of distributions. We define the operation : by

$$
A: B=\operatorname{Tr}[A B] .
$$

It will be convenient to work with the associated pressure defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=-\sum_{l, k=1}^{n} R_{l} R_{k}\left(u_{l} u_{k}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{l}$ are the classical Riesz projections. With the use of $p$ we can alternatively restate the definition of a weak solution without requiring $\nabla_{x} \cdot \psi=0$. Namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\{t\}} u \cdot \psi-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\{0\}} u \cdot \psi-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} u \cdot \partial_{s} \psi=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(u \otimes u): \nabla \psi+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} p \operatorname{div} \psi \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Since the pressure is only a distribution, the pairing between $p$ and div $\psi$ is to be understood accordingly.

Based on the results of [4] we introduce the global regularity class $\mathcal{R}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times I\right)$ consisting of vector fields $u \in L^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times I\right)$ on a time interval $I \subset[0, T]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|y|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \times I}|u(x-y, t)-u(x, t)|^{3} d x d t=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we define $\mathcal{R}(U \times I)$ as the class of fields $u$ such that $u \phi \in \mathcal{R}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times I\right)$ for all $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(U)$.
Alternatively, we could define $\mathcal{R}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times I\right)$ using Littlewood-Paley decomposition over dyadic shells in the frequency space (see [21])

$$
u=\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \Delta_{q} u
$$

Thus, condition (6) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} \int_{I} 2^{q}\left\|\Delta_{q} u(t)\right\|_{3}^{3} d t=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this form the regularity class was introduced in [4], and the energy conservation was established. A similar but less time-optimal class was considered in [8] as a direct improvement upon [5]. We remark that condition $u \in L^{3}\left([0, T] ; B_{3, c_{0}}^{1 / 3}\right)$ implies (7), where $c_{0}$ stands to indicate

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} 2^{q}\left\|\Delta_{q} u(t)\right\|_{3}^{3}=0
$$

Definition 2. Let $u$ be a weak solution to the Euler equations. A point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, T]$ is called regular if there exists an open neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of $x_{0}$ and a relatively open interval $I \subset[0, T]$ containing $t_{0}$ such that $u \in \mathcal{R}(U \times I)$. An open set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, T]$ is regular if every point in it is regular. The set $S$ of all irregular points is called the singular set of $u$.

The main purpose of this section is to prove the following local energy balance relation inside every regular set. For a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, T]$ we denote by $A(t)$ the slice $A \cap \mathbb{R}^{n} \times\{t\}$.

Lemma 3. Let $D$ be a regular set of a weak solution $u$. Then for every $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(D)$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)}|u|^{2} \phi-\int_{D\left(t^{\prime}\right)}|u|^{2} \phi-\int_{D}|u|^{2} \partial_{t} \phi=\int_{D}\left(|u|^{2}+2 p\right) u \cdot \nabla \phi, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime} \in[0, T]$.
Before we prove this lemma, we need to take another seemingly obvious but not entirely straightforward step by showing that one can substitute a mollified in space solution $u$ into (3) as a test function. The cause of the difficulty is insufficient a priori time regularity of $u$. The difficulty has been removed in a similar situation in [14] by considering mollification both in space and time, however in our case such mollification would introduce unnecessary technical obscurity. So, let us fix a mollifier $h \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\int h=1$ and $h=0$ outside the unit ball. Denote

$$
u_{\delta}(x, t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{\delta}(y) u(x-y, t) d y, \quad h_{\delta}(y)=\delta^{-n} h\left(y \delta^{-1}\right)
$$

We prove the following lemma.
Lemma 4. Let $u$ be a weak solution. Then for each fixed $\delta>0, u_{\delta}:[0, T] \rightarrow W^{s, q}$ is absolutely continuous for all $s \geqslant 0$ and $q \geqslant 2$, and moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u_{\delta}=-\nabla \cdot(u \otimes u)_{\delta}-\nabla p_{\delta}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.
Proof. Substituting test-functions of the form

$$
\psi=\beta(t) \psi(x),
$$

where $\beta \in C_{0}^{\infty}(0, T)$ and $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ into (5) we obtain

$$
\partial_{t} \int u(t) \cdot \psi=\int u(t) \otimes u(t): \nabla \psi+\int p(t) \operatorname{div} \psi
$$

in the distributional sense. Hence, since $u$ is weakly continuous

$$
\int u(t) \cdot \psi=\int u(0) \cdot \psi+\int_{0}^{t} \int u(s) \otimes u(s): \nabla \psi d s+\int_{0}^{t} \int p(s) \operatorname{div} \psi d s
$$

for all $0 \leqslant t \leqslant T$. Let $\psi_{l}$ denote the coordinate components of $\psi$. Taking the Fourier transform we obtain

$$
\int \hat{u}(t) \cdot \overline{\hat{\psi}}=\int \hat{u}(0) \cdot \overline{\hat{\psi}}-i \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(u_{l} u_{k}\right)^{\wedge}(\xi, s) \xi_{k} \overline{\hat{\psi_{l}}}(\xi) d \xi d s-i \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \hat{p}(\xi, s) \xi_{l} \overline{\hat{\psi}_{l}}(\xi) d \xi d s
$$

assuming the usual summation convention. Let us notice that $\left(u_{l} u_{k}\right)^{\wedge}$ and $\hat{p}$ are continuous and bounded functions of $\xi \neq 0$ for every s. Let $\Sigma_{t}$ denote the common Lebesgue set of $\hat{u}(t)$ and $\hat{u}(0)$ not containing the origin, so that $\left|\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Sigma_{t}\right|=0$. Denote by $e_{j}(\xi), j=1, \ldots, n$, the vectors of the standard unit basis. For every $j$ and $\xi \in \Sigma_{t}$ we apply the previous identity to a sequence of functions $\psi$ such that $\overline{\hat{\psi}^{n}}(\xi) \rightarrow e_{j}(\xi) \delta_{0}(\cdot-\xi)$, where $\delta_{0}$ is the Dirac mass. We obtain

$$
\hat{u}_{j}(\xi, t)=\hat{u}_{j}(\xi, 0)-i \int_{0}^{t}\left(u_{j} u_{k}\right)^{\wedge}(\xi, s) \xi_{k} d s-i \int_{0}^{t} \hat{p}(\xi, s) \xi_{j} d s
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$ and $\xi \in \Sigma_{t}$. Thus, the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=u_{0}-\int_{0}^{t}[\nabla \cdot(u \otimes u)+\nabla p] d s \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in the sense of distributions for all $t \in[0, T]$. Mollifying (10) with $h_{\delta}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\delta}(t)=u_{\delta}(0)-\int_{0}^{t}\left[\nabla \cdot(u \otimes u)_{\delta}+\nabla p_{\delta}\right] d s \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$. Since $u(0) \in L^{2}$ we have $u_{\delta}(0) \in W^{s, q}$ for all $s \geqslant 0$ and $q \geqslant 2$, and since $u \otimes u \in L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{1}$, we have $\nabla \cdot(u \otimes u)_{\delta}, \nabla p_{\delta} \in L_{t}^{\infty} W_{x}^{s, q}$, for all $s \geqslant 0$ and $q \geqslant 1$. This proves the lemma.

Let us denote

$$
B_{c}=(-c, c)^{n} .
$$

Proof of Lemma 3. First let us observe that $p \in L_{\text {loc }}^{3 / 2}(D)$. Indeed, for a compact subset $K \subset D$ let $\epsilon>0$ be such that $\overline{K+B_{\epsilon}} \subset D$. Let $\alpha \in C_{0}^{\infty}(D)$ be such that $\alpha \equiv 1$ on $\overline{K+B_{\epsilon / 2}}$ and $\alpha \equiv 0$ on $D \backslash K+B_{\epsilon}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=R_{i} R_{j}\left(u_{i} u_{j} \alpha\right)+R_{i} R_{j}\left(u_{i} u_{j}(1-\alpha)\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u_{i} u_{j} \alpha \in L^{3 / 2}$, so is the first term in (12). The second term belongs to $L^{\infty}(K)$ since $1-\alpha \equiv 0$ on $K+B_{\epsilon / 2}, u_{i} u_{j} \in L^{1}$ and the kernel of $R_{i} R_{j}$ is bounded away from the $\epsilon / 2$-neighborhood of the origin. This observation justifies the pressure integral in (8).

Using partition of unity over the support of $\phi$ we reduce the lemma to the case $D=U \times I$, where $U$ is an open ball. So, suppose $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(U \times I)$. Choose $\delta_{0}>0$ so small that

$$
\overline{\operatorname{supp}\left(\phi(\cdot, t)+B_{\delta_{0}}\right)} \subset K \subset U
$$

for all $t \in I$. Let us now use (9) with $\delta<\delta_{0}$. We obtain

$$
\partial_{t} u_{\delta} \cdot u_{\delta} \phi=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{t}\left(\left|u_{\delta}\right|^{2} \phi\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{\delta}\right|^{2} \partial_{t} \phi
$$

Integrating in time on $\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right] \subset[0, T]$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U \times\left\{t^{\prime \prime}\right\}}\left|u_{\delta}\right|^{2} \phi-\int_{U \times\left\{t^{\prime}\right\}}\left|u_{\delta}\right|^{2} \phi-\int_{U \times\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]}\left|u_{\delta}\right|^{2} \partial_{t} \phi=2 \int_{U \times\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]}(u \otimes u)_{\delta}: \nabla\left(u_{\delta} \phi\right)+2 \int_{U \times\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]} p_{\delta} u_{\delta} \cdot \nabla \phi \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the time integration is in fact happening on the interval $\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right] \cap I$. So, we can pass to the limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ on the left-hand side and in the pressure term. The nonlinear term will be treated similar to [5]. First, consider a scalar $\beta \in C_{0}^{\infty}(U)$ with $\beta \equiv 1$ on $K$. We can then replace $u$ by $u \beta$ under the integrals of (13). Without further change of notation we simply assume $u \in \mathcal{R}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times I\right)$. We have

$$
2 \int_{U \times\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]}(u \otimes u)_{\delta}: \nabla\left(u_{\delta} \phi\right)=2 \int_{U \times\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]}(u \otimes u)_{\delta}:\left(u_{\delta} \otimes \nabla \phi\right)+2 \int_{U \times\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]}(u \otimes u)_{\delta}: \nabla\left(u_{\delta}\right) \phi .
$$

Clearly, we can pass to the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \int_{U \times\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]}(u \otimes u)_{\delta}:\left(u_{\delta} \otimes \nabla \phi\right) \rightarrow 2 \int_{U \times\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]}|u|^{2} u \cdot \nabla \phi \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us observe the following identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u \otimes u)_{\delta}=r_{\delta}(u, u)-\left(u-u_{\delta}\right) \otimes\left(u-u_{\delta}\right)+u_{\delta} \otimes u_{\delta} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
r_{\delta}(u, u)(x, t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{\delta}(y)(u(x-y, t)-u(x, t)) \otimes(u(x-y, t)-u(x, t)) d y
$$

Notice

$$
u(x)-u_{\delta}(x)=\int h_{\delta}(y)(u(x)-u(x-y)) d y
$$

and

$$
\nabla u_{\delta}(x)=\frac{1}{\delta} \int(\nabla h)_{\delta}(y) \otimes(u(x)-u(x-y)) d y
$$

So, we can estimate using Hölder and Minkowski inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int\left(u-u_{\delta}\right) \otimes\left(u-u_{\delta}\right): \nabla\left(u_{\delta}\right) \phi\right| \\
& \leqslant\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{\delta}(y)\left(\int_{U \times I}|u(x, t)-u(x-y, t)|^{3} d x d t\right)^{1 / 3} d y\right]^{2} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|(\nabla h)_{\delta}(y)\right|\left(\int_{U \times I}|u(x, t)-u(x-y, t)|^{3} d x d t\right)^{1 / 3} d y \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{o(\delta)}{\delta}\left(\int|y|^{1 / 3} h_{\delta}(y) d y\right)^{2}\left(\int|y|^{1 / 3}\left|(\nabla h)_{\delta}(y)\right| d y\right) \leqslant o(\delta) \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, the term with $r_{\delta}$ vanishes as well. Finally,

$$
2 \int u_{\delta} \otimes u_{\delta}: \nabla\left(u_{\delta}\right) \phi=-\int\left|u_{\delta}\right|^{2} u_{\delta} \cdot \nabla \phi \rightarrow-\int|u|^{2} u \cdot \nabla \phi
$$

This adds up with (14) to produce the corresponding term in (8).

## 3. Low-dimensional singular sets

Definition 5. We say that a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, T]$ admits a $k$-dimensional $C^{\gamma, 1}$-cover if for every point ( $x_{0}$, $t_{0}$ ) in the space-time there is an open neighborhood $U$ of $x_{0}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and a relatively open subinterval $I \subset[0, T]$ containing $t_{0}$ for which there exists a family of $C^{1}$-diffeomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{t}: U \rightarrow B_{1}, \quad t \in I \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the following conditions
(a) $S(t) \cap U \subset \varphi_{t}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{k} \times\{0\}^{n-k} \cap B_{1}\right)$, for all $t \in I$;
(b) there is $C>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{x \in U}\left|\varphi_{t^{\prime}}(x)-\varphi_{t^{\prime \prime}}(x)\right| \leqslant C\left|t^{\prime}-t^{\prime \prime}\right|^{\gamma},
$$

for all $t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime} \in I$;
(c) $\sup _{x \in U, t \in I}\left|\nabla_{\chi} \varphi_{t}(x)\right| \leqslant C$.

Theorem 6. Let $u \in L^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, T]\right)$ be a weak solution to the Euler equation on the time interval $[0, T]$. Then $u$ conserves energy provided the singular set $S$ of $u$ admits a $k$-dimensional $C^{\gamma, 1}$-cover and $u \in L^{3} L_{\text {loc }}^{q}$, where the values of $\gamma, n, k, q>0$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \geqslant \frac{q}{(q-2)(n-k)}, \quad n \geqslant k+2, q \geqslant 3 \frac{n-k}{n-k-1} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We claim that in order to prove Theorem 6 it suffices to show that for every coordinate chart $U \times I$ and scalar test-function $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(U)$ independent of time one has the following identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U \times\left\{t^{\prime \prime}\right\}}|u|^{2} \phi-\int_{U \times\left\{t^{\prime}\right\}}|u|^{2} \phi=\int_{U \times\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]}\left(|u|^{2}+2 p\right) u \cdot \nabla \phi, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime} \in I$. Indeed, if this is the case, we fix an arbitrary smooth $\phi$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subset B_{R}$, and $t_{0} \in[0, T]$. By compactness we can find a finite collection of charts $U_{i} \times I_{i}, i=\overline{1, M}$, so that all $I_{i}$ 's contain $t_{0}$ and $U_{i}$ 's cover $B_{R}$. Put $I_{0}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{M} I_{i}$. Consider a partition of unity $\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{M}$ subordinate to the cover, so that supp $g_{i} \subset U_{i}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{M} g_{i}=1$ on $B_{R}$. Since we have (18) for any $\phi g_{i}$ and $t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{0}$ summing up over $i$ we obtain (18) for the given $\phi$ itself. The above construction is carried out for every $t_{0} \in[0, T]$. Thus, we can find a finite cover of $[0, T]$ by intervals such as $I_{0}$, and as a consequence obtain (18) for all $t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime} \in[0, T]$. Letting $\phi=\phi_{0}(x / R)$, where $\phi_{0}=1$ on $B_{1}$ and $\phi_{0}=0$ on $B_{2}$, and letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ we see that the right-hand side of (18) vanishes and we arrive at the desired energy equality.

We will prove (18) with the use of Lemma 3, but first we need to introduce a cut-off of the singular sets $S(t) \cap U$. Let $\varphi_{t}: U \rightarrow B_{1}$ be the coordinate map, for $t \in I$. Denote $\bar{I}=[a, b]$. If $\varphi_{t}$ is not defined at $a$ or $b$, then $t_{0}$ is not that point. In this case we can consider a slightly shorter interval I still containing $t_{0}$ and so that $\varphi_{t}$ is defined at both ends. Let us define an extension of $\varphi_{t}$ as follows

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{t}= \begin{cases}\varphi_{a}, & t \leqslant a  \tag{19}\\ \varphi_{t}, & a<t<b \\ \varphi_{b}, & t \geqslant b\end{cases}
$$

Notice that $\tilde{\varphi}_{t}$ still satisfies condition (b) of Definition 5 on the entire real line. Let $\beta(\tau)$ be a mollifier. Define

$$
\varphi_{t, \epsilon}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \epsilon^{-1} \beta\left(\tau \epsilon^{-1}\right) \tilde{\varphi}_{t-\tau}(x) d \tau
$$

Let us notice the following approximation inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{x \in U, t \in I}\left|\varphi_{t, \epsilon}(x)-\varphi_{t}(x)\right| \leqslant C \epsilon^{\gamma} ;  \tag{20}\\
& \sup _{x \in U, t \in I}\left|\partial_{t} \varphi_{t, \epsilon}(x)\right| \leqslant C \epsilon^{\gamma-1} ;  \tag{21}\\
& \sup _{x \in U, t \in I}\left|\nabla_{\chi} \varphi_{t, \epsilon}(x)\right| \leqslant C . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us fix a non-negative function $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-k}\right)$ with $\eta=1$ on $B_{2 C}$ and $\eta=0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n-k} \backslash B_{3 C}$. We consider the following cut-off function

$$
\chi_{\epsilon}(t, x)=1-\eta\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{\gamma}}\left(\varphi_{t, \epsilon}(x)\right)_{k+1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\epsilon^{\gamma}}\left(\varphi_{t, \epsilon}(x)\right)_{n}\right),
$$

for $x \in U$ and $t \in I$. Notice that as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \chi_{\epsilon} \rightarrow 1$ for all $t$ and a.e. $x$. Furthermore, due to (20), $\operatorname{supp}\left(\chi_{\epsilon} \phi\right)$ does not intersect the set $S$ on the time interval $I$. Finally, put

$$
\phi_{\epsilon}=\chi_{\epsilon} \phi
$$

Due to regularity of $u$ away from $S$, Lemma 3 applied to produce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U \times\left\{t^{\prime \prime}\right\}}|u|^{2} \phi_{\epsilon}-\int_{U \times\left\{t^{\prime}\right\}}|u|^{2} \phi_{\epsilon}-\int_{U \times\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]}|u|^{2} \partial_{t} \phi_{\epsilon}=\int_{U \times\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]}\left(|u|^{2}+2 p\right) u \cdot \nabla \phi_{\epsilon} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us examine the terms in the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Clearly, the first two terms on the right-hand side will converge to their natural limits. As to the third term, we have $\partial_{t} \phi_{\epsilon}=\phi \partial_{t} \chi_{\epsilon}$, and

$$
\partial_{t} \chi_{\epsilon}=-\frac{1}{\epsilon^{\gamma}} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \partial_{t}\left(\varphi_{t, \epsilon}(x)\right)_{j} \partial_{j} \eta\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{\gamma}}\left(\varphi_{t, \epsilon}(x)\right)_{k+1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\epsilon^{\gamma}}\left(\varphi_{t, \epsilon}(x)\right)_{n}\right) .
$$

Notice that $\partial_{t} \chi_{\epsilon}$ is supported on the set

$$
U \cap\left(\varphi_{t, \epsilon}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{k} \times\left[-3 C \epsilon^{\gamma}, 3 C \epsilon^{\gamma}\right]^{n-k} \cap B_{1}\right)
$$

which is a subset of

$$
A_{\epsilon}=U \cap\left(\varphi_{t}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{k} \times\left[-4 C \epsilon^{\gamma}, 4 C \epsilon^{\gamma}\right]^{n-k} \cap B_{1}\right)
$$

We have $\left|A_{\epsilon}\right| \sim \epsilon^{(n-k) \gamma}$. In view of (17) and (21) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left|\int_{U \times\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]}\right| u\right|^{2} \phi \partial_{t} \chi \epsilon \mid & \leqslant \frac{\left|A_{\epsilon}\right|^{(q-2) / q}}{\epsilon} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left(\int_{A_{\epsilon}}|u|^{q} d x\right)^{2 / q} d t  \tag{24}\\
& \leqslant \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left(\int_{A_{\epsilon}}|u|^{q} d x\right)^{2 / q} d t \underset{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{\longrightarrow} 0 . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us now examine the right-hand side of (23). We have

$$
u \cdot \nabla \phi_{\epsilon}=\phi u \cdot \nabla \chi_{\epsilon}+\chi_{\epsilon} u \cdot \nabla \phi
$$

Clearly we can pass to the limit in the integral containing the second term. As to the first term we have

$$
\nabla \chi_{\epsilon}=-\frac{1}{\epsilon^{\gamma}} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \nabla\left(\varphi_{t, \epsilon}(x)\right)_{j} \partial_{j} \eta\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{\gamma}}\left(\varphi_{t, \epsilon}(x)\right)_{k+1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\epsilon^{\gamma}}\left(\varphi_{t, \epsilon}(x)\right)_{n}\right),
$$

which is supported on the set $A_{\epsilon}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{U \times\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]}\left(|u|^{2}+2 p\right) u \cdot \nabla \phi_{\epsilon}\right| & \leqslant \frac{\left|A_{\epsilon}\right|^{(q-3) / q}}{\epsilon^{\gamma}} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left(\int_{A_{\epsilon}}|u|^{q} d x\right)^{3 / q} d t  \tag{26}\\
& \sim \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left(\int_{A_{\epsilon}}|u|^{q} d x\right)^{3 / q} d t \underset{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{\longrightarrow} 0 . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 6.

### 3.1. An Onsager-critical improvement

Let us fix some units for velocity $-U$, length $-X$ and time $-T$. Then the dimension of the regularity space $\mathcal{R}$ is $T^{1 / 3} U X^{\frac{n-1}{3}}$. We call functional spaces of this dimension Onsager-critical. In the case of point singularities, i.e. $k=0$, Theorem 6 yields the Onsager-critical condition $u \in L^{3} L^{\frac{3 n}{n-1}}$ with $\gamma$ being at least $\frac{3}{n+2}$. Under these circumstances we expect our result to be optimal. However, this is not the case if $k>0$, since the dimension of $L^{3} L^{\frac{3(n-k)}{n-k-1}}$ is $T^{1 / 3} U X^{\frac{n(n-k-1)}{3(n-k)}}$. Onsager-critical spaces for $k>0$ can be defined using mixed $L^{p}$ spaces relative to the slices $S(t)$. Assuming that each $S(t)$ is a $k$-dimensional smooth submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ we consider a local normal fiber bundle $S^{\perp}(t)$. Thus, each fiber $S^{\perp}(x, t)$ is a $\gamma$-smooth in time local tile orthogonal to the surface $S(t)$. We can now define the local space $u \in L_{t}^{3} L_{S}^{p} L_{S^{\perp}}^{q}$ by requiring over coordinate neighborhood $U \times I$ the condition

$$
\int_{I}\left(\int_{S(t) \cap U}\left(\int_{S^{\perp}(x, t) \cap U}|u(x, y, t)|^{q} d \sigma_{t}^{n-k}(y)\right)^{p / q} d \sigma_{t}^{k}(x)\right)^{3 / p} d t<\infty
$$

where $d \sigma_{t}$ indicates the surface measure of the corresponding dimension. Notice that the space $L_{t}^{3} L_{S^{3}}^{\frac{3(n-k)}{n-k-1}}$ is in fact Onsager-critical. In general, Theorem 6 can be restated by requiring

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in L_{t}^{3} L_{S}^{3} L_{S^{\perp}}^{q} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the same assumptions on $n, k, \gamma, q$. In particular, we obtain energy conservation if

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in L_{t}^{3} L_{S}^{3} L_{S^{\perp}}^{\frac{3(n-k)}{n-k-1}} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma \geqslant \frac{3}{n-k+2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to reprove Theorem 6 under new condition (28) one has to apply the Hölder inequality in (24) and in (26) only to the integrals over $S^{\perp}(x, t)$, the rest of the argument being the same. The estimate for the pressure

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \in L_{t}^{3 / 2} L_{S}^{3 / 2} L_{S^{\perp}}^{\frac{3(n-k)}{2(n-k-1)}} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma \geqslant \frac{3}{n-k+2} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

necessary to complete steps (26) and (27) follows from the results of [20].
We leave details for the reader.

### 3.2. Other extensions

Since our argument is local, it is readily extendable to the case of locally finite union of singular sets. Specifically, suppose that in every coordinate neighborhood $V=U \times I$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\bigcup_{j=1}^{N_{V}} S_{j} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{j}$ 's are $k_{j}$-dimensionally $C^{\gamma_{j}, 1}$-covered in $V$. We can use the product of cut-offs

$$
\chi_{\epsilon}=\prod_{j=1}^{N_{V}} \chi_{\epsilon}^{j}
$$

to run the argument. The conclusions of Theorem 6 remains true under the corresponding assumptions on $u$ locally near each $S_{j}$. The result of Section 3.1 can be modified similarly.

## 4. The case of hypersurface: slits

In this section we will study the case $k=n-1$. We will assume special geometric properties of the singular set $S$. Namely, let $S$ be a $C^{1}$-family of closed orientable $C^{2}$-submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For every $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in S$ there exist $U, I$ and a local parametrization $r=r(\bar{y}, t)$ of $S(t) \cap U$ for all $t \in I$, where $r \in C_{\bar{y}, t}^{2,1}$, and $\bar{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right) \in B_{1}^{n-1}$. Let $\vec{v}(x, t)$ be the positively oriented unit normal to $S(t)$. We consider a coordinate system on a smaller neighborhood that is most suitable for dealing with normal limits. For $\epsilon_{0}>0$ small we define

$$
\psi_{t}\left(\bar{y}, y_{n}\right)=r(\bar{y}, t)+\epsilon_{0} y_{n} \vec{v}(r(\bar{y}, t), t)
$$

for $\left|y_{n}\right|<1$. Since $S$ is sufficiently smooth, this defines a diffeomorphism of $B_{1}^{n}$ onto an open neighborhood $U(t)$ with $S(t) \cap U(t)=S(t) \cap U$ for all $t \in I$. It will be convenient in the future to deal with $U$ independent of $t$. So, reducing the time interval if necessary we can find a new neighborhood $U \subset U(t)$ for all $t \in I$, such that

$$
\psi_{t}\left((-1,1)^{n-1} \times\left(-\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{1}\right)\right) \subset U \subset \psi_{t}\left((-1,1)^{n-1} \times\left(-\epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{2}\right)\right)
$$

for all $t \in I$ and some $\epsilon_{2}>\epsilon_{1}>0$. The direct product $V=U \times I$ along with the map $\varphi_{t}=\psi_{t}^{-1}$ define a new coordinate chart containing $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$. Let us also define the normal segments for every $(x, t) \in V$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{+}(x, t)=(x, t)+\vec{v}(x, t)\left[0, \epsilon_{1}\right] \\
& \Gamma_{-}(x, t)=(x, t)+\vec{v}(x, t)\left[-\epsilon_{1}, 0\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We may further truncate the segments to ensure that for some open neighborhood $W$ of $S$ we have $\bigcup_{S} \Gamma_{ \pm} \subset W$. For a function or field $f$ on $W$ we denote by $f_{ \pm}^{*}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the normal maximal function defined by

$$
f_{ \pm}^{*}(x, t)=\sup _{x^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{ \pm}(x, t)}\left|f\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)\right|,
$$

and by $f_{ \pm}$the limits

$$
f_{ \pm}(x, t)=\lim _{\substack{x^{\prime} \rightarrow x \\ x^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{ \pm}(x, t)}} f\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)
$$

provided the latter exist. By $L^{q}(S)_{\text {loc }}$ we understand the local $L^{q}$-space with respect to the measure $d \sigma_{t} d t$, where $d \sigma_{t}$ is the surface measure on $S(t)$.

We now introduce a measure on each $S(t)$ whose role will be clear in a moment. We start by defining it locally on every chart $U \cap S(t)$. For this purpose let us fix a scalar-valued function $H(x, t) \in C^{1}$ with level surface $\{H(x, t)=0\}=S(t) \cap U$ for all $t \in I$, and such that $\nabla_{x} H \neq 0$ agrees with $\vec{v}$. For instance, $H=\left(\varphi_{t}(x)\right)_{n}$. Let us consider the measure

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mu_{t}^{U}(x)=\frac{\partial_{t} H}{\left|\nabla_{x} H\right|} d \sigma_{t}(x) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice the following identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(r(\bar{y}, t), t)=0, \\
& \partial_{t} H(x, t)=-\partial_{t} r(\bar{y}, t) \cdot \nabla_{x} H(x, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x=r(\bar{y}, t) \in S(t) \cap U$. Thus, in local coordinates,

$$
d \mu_{t}^{U}(x)=-\partial_{t} r(\bar{y}, t) \cdot \vec{v}(r(\bar{y}, t), t) J_{t}(\bar{y}) d \bar{y}
$$

where $J_{t}(\bar{y})$ is the volume element. We see that the definition of $d \mu_{t}^{U}$ is independent of $H$. Yet (32) shows that it is also independent of particular parametrization of $S(t)$. Now, let $f \in C_{0}(S(t))$ be a continuous function with compact support on $S(t)$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 6 we find a finite cover of $\operatorname{supp}(f)$ by $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{M}$ with the corresponding partition of unity $\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{M}$ over $\operatorname{supp}(f)$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S(t)} f d \mu_{t}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{M} \int_{S(t)} f g_{i} d \mu_{t}^{U_{i}}(x) . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a well-defined measure over $S(t)$. For instance, if $S(t)$ is given by the graph of a periodic in spacial variables function $x_{n}=z\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, t\right)$, then

$$
d \mu_{t}=-\partial_{t} z\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, t\right) d x_{1} \ldots d x_{n-1}
$$

The measure $d \mu_{t}$ arises naturally in the following calculation. Let us fix a coordinate chart $\left(V, \varphi_{t}\right)$ as above, define $\eta$ as in the previous section with $k=n-1$, and denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\epsilon}(x, t)=1-\eta\left(\epsilon^{-1}\left(\varphi_{t}(x)\right)_{\eta}\right), \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\epsilon<\epsilon_{1}$.
Lemma 7. Let $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $u: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be such that the limits $f_{ \pm}(x, t)$ and $u_{ \pm}(x, t)$ exist for a.e. $t \in I$ and a.e. $x \in S(t)$ with respect to $d \sigma_{t}$, and $f_{ \pm}^{*}, u_{ \pm}^{*} \in L^{1}(V \cap S)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{V} f \partial_{t} \chi_{\epsilon} d x d t=\int_{I} \int_{S(\tau)}\left(f_{+}-f_{-}\right) d \mu_{\tau} d \tau \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{V} u \cdot \nabla_{x} \chi_{\epsilon} d x d t=\int_{I} \int_{S(\tau)}\left(u_{+}-u_{-}\right) \cdot \vec{v} d \sigma_{\tau} d \tau \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us denote $H(x, t)=\left(\varphi_{t}(x)\right)_{n}$. To prove (35) let us observe

$$
\int_{V} f \partial_{t} \chi_{\epsilon} d x d t=-\int_{V} \epsilon^{-1} \eta^{\prime}\left(\epsilon^{-1} H(x, t)\right) \partial_{t} H(x, t) f(x, t) d x d t
$$

As a guiding point we recall the classical microlocal limit

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{0 \leqslant H \leqslant \epsilon} g d x \rightarrow \int_{H=0} \frac{g}{|\nabla H|} d \sigma
$$

By changing the variables we obtain the integral

$$
\int_{V} f \partial_{t} \chi_{\epsilon} d x d t=-\int_{I \times B_{1}^{n-1}} F_{\epsilon}(\bar{y}, t) d \bar{y} d t
$$

where

$$
F_{\epsilon}(\bar{y}, t)=-\int_{\left|y_{n}\right|<\epsilon_{1}} f\left(\psi_{t}\left(\bar{y}, y_{n}\right), t\right) \partial_{t} H\left(\psi_{t}\left(\bar{y}, y_{n}\right), t\right) \epsilon^{-1} \eta^{\prime}\left(\epsilon^{-1} y_{n}\right) \Omega_{t}\left(\bar{y}, y_{n}\right) d y_{n} d t
$$

and

$$
\Omega_{t}(y)=\left|\operatorname{det} \frac{D \psi_{t}}{D y}\right|
$$

Given our choice of $H$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& H\left(\psi_{t}\left(\bar{y}, y_{n}\right), t\right)=y_{n}  \tag{37}\\
& \partial_{t} H+\nabla_{x} H \cdot \partial_{t} \psi_{t}\left(\bar{y}, y_{n}\right)=0 \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

So, as $y_{n} \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$
\partial_{t} H \rightarrow-\partial_{t} r(\bar{y}, t) \cdot \nabla_{x} H(r(\bar{y}, t))
$$

uniformly in $\bar{y} \in B_{1}^{n-1}$. Moreover,

$$
\Omega_{t}(y) \rightarrow \epsilon_{0} J_{t}(\bar{y})
$$

Using that $\nabla_{x} H(x, t)=\epsilon_{0}^{-1} \vec{v}(x, t)$ we obtain the uniform convergence

$$
\partial_{t} H \Omega_{t} \rightarrow-\partial_{t} r \cdot \vec{v} J_{t}(\bar{y}) .
$$

Let us observe now that as $\epsilon$ gets sufficiently small, we have

$$
\psi_{t}\left(\bar{y}, y_{n}\right) \in \Gamma_{\operatorname{sgn}\left(y_{n}\right)}\left(\psi_{t}(\bar{y}, 0), t\right)
$$

for all $\bar{y} \in B_{1}^{n-1}$, and $\psi_{t}\left(\bar{y}, y_{n}\right)$ approaches the surface orthogonally. The condition $f_{ \pm}^{*} \in L^{1}(V \cap S)$ implies that all $F_{\epsilon}$ have a common integrable majorant. This enables us to pass to the limit and arrive at (35). The proof of (36) is similar.

Definition 8. Let $u$ be a weak solution to the Euler equations. The surface $S$ is called a slit of $u$ if
(1) The limits $u_{ \pm}, p_{ \pm}$exist for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ and a.e. $x \in S(t)$,
(2) $u_{ \pm}^{*} \in L^{2}(S)_{\text {loc }}$ and $p_{ \pm}^{*} \in L^{1}(S)_{\text {loc }}$.

Lemma 9. Let u be a weak solution to the Euler equations, and $S$ be a slit. Then the following is true:
(1) $u_{+} \cdot \vec{v}=u_{-} \cdot \vec{v}:=u_{v}$ and $p_{+}=p_{-}$for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ and a.e. $x \in S(t)$;
(2) $d \mu_{t}+u_{\nu} d \sigma_{t}=0$ for a.e. $t$ on the set $u_{+} \neq u_{-}$.

Proof. As before we reduce the statements of the lemma to the local coordinate neighborhood $V=U \times I$ defined earlier. Let us consider an arbitrary scalar function $g \in C_{0}^{\infty}(V)$. From the divergence-free condition on $u$ we obtain

$$
\int_{V} u \cdot \nabla\left(g \chi_{\epsilon}\right)=0
$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain from Lemma 7

$$
\int_{V} u \cdot \nabla g+\int_{I} \int_{S(\tau)} g\left(u_{+} \cdot \vec{v}-u_{-} \cdot \vec{v}\right) d \sigma_{\tau} d \tau=0
$$

Using the divergence-free condition again and the free choice of $g$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{+} \cdot \vec{v}=u_{-} \cdot \vec{v} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider an arbitrary vector-valued function $a \in C_{0}^{1}(V)$, and $\psi=a \chi_{\epsilon}$. By continuity, the regularity of $\psi$ is sufficient to substitute $\psi$ into (5). We obtain the following identity:

$$
-\int_{V} u \cdot \partial_{\tau} a \chi_{\epsilon}-\int_{V} u \cdot a \partial_{\tau} \chi_{\epsilon}=\int_{V}(u \otimes u): \nabla a \chi_{\epsilon}+\int_{V}(u \cdot a)\left(u \cdot \nabla \chi_{\epsilon}\right)+\int_{V} p\left(a \cdot \nabla \chi_{\epsilon}+\chi_{\epsilon} \operatorname{div} a\right) .
$$

Using (35) and (36) we obtain in the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int_{V} u \cdot \partial_{\tau} a-\int_{I} \int_{S(\tau)}\left(u_{+}-u_{-}\right) \cdot a d \mu_{\tau} d \tau= & \int_{V}(u \otimes u): \nabla a+\int_{I} \int_{S(\tau)}\left(u_{+}-u_{-}\right) \cdot a u_{v} d \sigma_{\tau} d \tau \\
& +\int_{I} \int_{S(\tau)}\left(p_{+}-p_{-}\right) a_{v} d \sigma_{\tau} d \tau+\int_{V} p \operatorname{div} a .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the identity for the weak solutions (5) with $\psi=a$ we see that only the boundary terms remain:

$$
-\int_{I} \int_{S(\tau)}\left(u_{+}-u_{-}\right) \cdot a d \mu_{\tau} d \tau=\int_{I} \int_{S(\tau)}\left(u_{+}-u_{-}\right) \cdot a u_{v} d \sigma_{\tau} d \tau+\int_{I} \int_{S(\tau)}\left(p_{+}-p_{-}\right) a_{v} d \sigma_{\tau} d \tau
$$

Let us choose $a$ of the form $a=\vec{v} g$, where $g \in C_{0}^{1}(V)$. Using (39) we have

$$
\int_{I} \int_{S(\tau)}\left(p_{+}-p_{-}\right) g d \sigma_{\tau} d \tau=0
$$

This readily implies $p_{+}=p_{-}$a.e. Going back to the previous identity we notice that (2) holds as well due to arbitrariness of $g$.

Theorem 10. Suppose that $u \in L^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, T]\right)$ is a weak solution to the Euler equations and the singular set $S$ of $u$ is a slit. Suppose further that $u_{ \pm}^{*} \in L^{3}(S)_{\mathrm{loc}}, p_{ \pm}^{*} \in L^{3 / 2}(S)_{\mathrm{loc}}$. Then $u$ conserves energy.

In view of our discussion in Section 3.1 we notice that the conditions of Theorem 10 are Onsager-critical. We therefore expect these conditions to be optimal as far as our argument in concerned.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 6 we reduce the problem to proving the local energy equality (18). As before $H(x, t)=$ $\left(\varphi_{t}(x)\right)_{n}$ and $\phi_{\epsilon}$ is defined by (34). The regularity of $u$ away from the slit $S$ enables us to use Lemma 3 with $\phi_{\epsilon}$. Using the results of Lemmas 7 and 9 we can pass to the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and obtain

$$
\int_{U \times\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]}|u|^{2} \partial_{t} \phi_{\epsilon} \rightarrow \int_{U \times\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]}|u|^{2} \phi+\int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}} \int_{S(\tau)}\left(\left|u_{+}\right|^{2}-\left|u_{-}\right|^{2}\right) \phi d \mu_{\tau} d \tau
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{U \times\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]}\left(|u|^{2}+2 p\right) u \cdot \nabla \phi_{\epsilon} \rightarrow & \int_{U \times\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]}\left(|u|^{2}+2 p\right) u \cdot \nabla \phi+\int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}} \int_{S(\tau)}\left(\left|u_{+}\right|^{2}-\left|u_{-}\right|^{2}\right) u_{v} d \sigma_{\tau} d \tau \\
& +2 \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}} \int_{S(\tau)}\left(p_{+}-p_{-}\right) u_{v} d \sigma_{\tau} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Lemma 9 the surface integral terms sum up to zero, and (18) follows.
Arguing as in Section 3.2 we can include the result of Theorem 10 in obtaining more general singular set configurations. Thus, the union (31) may involve finitely many slits accompanied by the corresponding conditions on $u$ and $p$.

We remark that one can also state the conditions of Theorem 10 and Definition 8 in terms of more conventional nontangential limits and maximal functions. It would be interesting to know whether the condition $u_{ \pm}^{*} \in L^{2 q}(S)_{\text {loc }}$ automatically implies $p_{ \pm}^{*} \in L^{q}(S)_{\text {loc }}$.

## 5. Energy of vortex sheets

Naturally, the conditions of Theorem 10 apply to vortex sheet solutions. Vortex sheets in the classical sense (as opposed to those defined by Delort [7]) are singular solutions to the Euler equations with vorticity concentrated on a hypersurface (see [16]). For notational convenience we will consider the two-dimensional case, although all what follows holds true in three dimensions as well. In 2D a vortex sheet is described by the graph of a regular function $\zeta(\alpha, t)=(\alpha, h(\alpha, t))$ and vorticity density $\gamma=\gamma(\alpha, t)$ on the graph. Typically, one assumes $2 \pi$-periodicity on $h$ and $\gamma$. Thus, in complex variable notation the velocity field off the sheet is given by the Biot-Savart law

$$
\bar{u}(z, t)=\frac{1}{4 \pi i} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cot \left(\frac{z-\zeta(\alpha, t)}{2}\right) \gamma(\alpha, t) d \alpha
$$

Provided $\gamma$ has enough smoothness on a time interval $[0, T]$, the standard potential theoretical considerations imply that $u \in L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{\infty}$, the non-tangential, and hence normal, limits exist and are given by

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha, t)=-\frac{1}{4 \pi i} P V \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{\cot }\left(\frac{\zeta(\alpha, t)-\zeta\left(\alpha^{\prime}, t\right)}{2}\right) \gamma\left(\alpha^{\prime}, t\right) d \alpha^{\prime} \mp \gamma(\alpha, t) \vec{s}
$$

where $\vec{s}$ is the unit tangent vector oriented in the positive direction of the $x$-axis. The pressure can be recovered from Bernoulli's function, and is given by the double-layer potential formula

$$
p=-\frac{1}{2}|u|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}\left(\left|u_{+}\right|^{2}-\left|u_{-}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

From the classical jump relations for the double-layer potential $\mathcal{D}$ we conclude that the limits $p_{ \pm}$exist, $p_{+}=p_{-}=$ $\frac{1}{4}\left(\left|u_{+}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{-}\right|^{2}\right)$ and $p_{ \pm}^{*} \in L^{q}(S)_{\text {loc }}$ for all $1 \leqslant q<\infty$. Thus, according to Definition 8 the classical vortex sheet is a slit. The equation (2) in Lemma 9 is nothing but the well-known evolution law of the sheet:

$$
\partial_{t} h=-U_{1} \partial_{\alpha} h+U_{2}
$$

where $U=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{+}+u_{-}\right)$. In order for the total kinetic energy of the vortex sheet to be finite we assume vanishing of the total circulation:

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \gamma(\alpha, t) d \alpha=0
$$

Under this condition, $u \in L^{\infty} L^{2}$. By interpolation with $u \in L^{\infty} L^{\infty}$ we obtain $u \in L^{3} L^{3}$. Therefore, the conditions of Theorem 10 are satisfied and we arrive at the following corollary.

Corollary 11. Suppose that $\gamma, h \in C^{\infty}([0, T] \times[-\pi, \pi])$, and the total circulation of $\gamma$ is zero. Then the energy of the vortex sheet is conserved.

Vortex sheets of this nature are known to exist in 2D and 3D locally in time in spaces of functions that admit analytic extension to a complex strip (see [1,22]). In general, the global existence is precluded by occurrence of the roll-up singularity (see [13]). The conditions on Cauchy data stated in [22] that guarantee local existence allow for sheets with zero circulation. Thus, Corollary 11 applies to a variety of existing vortex sheets. However, the proof of Theorem 10 applies to obtain local energy balance relation for sheets with infinite energy as well.
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