Linear Algebra and its Applications 435 (2011) 1029-1033



Subgraphs and the Laplacian spectrum of a graph Yasuo Teranishi

Department of Mathematics, Meijo University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan

ARTICLEINFO

Article history: Received 8 July 2009 Accepted 8 February 2011 Available online 8 March 2011

Submitted by S. Kirkland

AMS classification: 05C50

Keywords: Graph spectra Laplacian matrix Tree

ABSTRACT

Let *G* be a graph and *H* a subgraph of *G*. In this paper, a set of pairwise independent subgraphs that are all isomorphic copies of *H* is called an *H*-matching. Denoting by v(H, G) the cardinality of a maximum *H*-matching in *G*, we investigate some relations between v(H, G) and the Laplacian spectrum of *G*.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let G = (V, E) be a finite simple graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and edge set E(G). Denoting by $d_G(i)$ the degree of vertex *i*, let

 $D(G) = \operatorname{diag}(d_G(1), d_G(2), \ldots, d_G(n))$

be the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees. The Laplacian matrix L(G) of G is defined by L(G) = D(G) - A(G), where A(G) is the adjacency matrix of G. The matrix L(G) is positive semi-definite. The spectrum of L(G) is

 $Spec(G) = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}),$

where $0 = \lambda_0 \le \lambda_1 \le \cdots \le \lambda_{n-1}$ are eigenvalues of L(G) arranged in nondecreasing order. We set $\mu_i = \lambda_{n-i}, \quad 1 \le i \le n;$

 $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_n = 0.$

E-mail address: yasutera@meijo-u.ac.jp

^{0024-3795/\$ -} see front matter @ 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.laa.2011.02.019

When more than one graph is under discussion, we may write $\lambda_i(G)$ (resp. $\mu_i(G)$) instead of λ_i (resp. μ_i).

We now fix some notation and terminology. For an eigenvalue $\lambda \in Spec(G)$, we denote its multiplicity by $m_G(\lambda)$.

We denote by K_n , $K_{1,n-1}$ and P_n , the complete graph, the star graph and the path graph of order n, respectively. A connected subgraph of a tree T will be called a *subtree* of T.

For graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$, $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ with disjoint vertex sets, the *union* of G_1 and G_2 is $G_1 + G_2 = (V_1 \cup V_2, E_1 \cup E_2)$. If G_1 and G_2 are isomorphic, $G_1 + G_2$ may be written as $2G_1$. For graphs G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k , we denote their union by $G_1 + G_2 + \cdots + G_k$.

A set of pairwise independent edges in a graph *G* is called a *matching* in *G*. The maximum cardinality of a matching is called the *matching number* of *G* and we denote it by $\nu(G)$. A perfect matching is a matching that satisfies $2\nu(G) = |V(G)|$.

Subgraphs G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k of a graph G are said to be *independent* if $V(G_i) \cap V(G_j) = \emptyset$ for all $1 \le i < j \le k$. We generalize the notion of matching as follows. For a subgraph H of a graph G, a set of pairwise independent subgraphs that are all isomorphic copies of H is called an H-matching. The maximum cardinality of an H-matching is called the H-matching number of G and we denote it by v(H, G). A perfect H-matching is an H-matching that satisfies |V(H)|v(H, G) = |V(G)|.

The *deficiency* of a maximum *H*-matching of *G* is defined by $\delta(H, G) = |V(G)| - |V(H)|\nu(H, G)$. Evidently *G* has a perfect *H*-matching if and only if $\delta(H, G) = 0$.

It is proved in [3, Theorem 2.5] that, if a tree T has a perfect matching then 2 is a Laplacian eigenvalue of T. We shall prove in Theorem 2.4 that if a tree T has a perfect H-matching by a subtree H of T, then every Laplacian eigenvalue of H is that of G.

For an interval *I* in \mathbb{R} , $m_G(I)$ stands for the number of Laplacian eigenvalues of *G*, counting multiplicities, that belong to *I*.

The multiplicity of zero $m_G(0)$ is equal to the number of connected components of *G*. In particular, *G* is connected if and only if $\lambda_1 > 0$.

We recall some known results used in this paper. The following result is well known and follows from the Courant-Weyl inequalities.

Theorem 1.1 [1, Theorem 2.1]. For a given graph G let G' = G + e be the graph obtained from G by inserting a new edge e into G. Then the eigenvalues of G and G' interlace:

$$0 = \lambda_0(G) = \lambda_0(G') \le \lambda_1(G) \le \lambda_1(G') \le \cdots \le \lambda_{n-1}(G) \le \lambda_{n-1}(G').$$

In particular,

$$\mu_i(G) \le \mu_i(G'), \quad 1 \le i \le n, \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\lambda_i(G') \le \lambda_{i+1}(G), \quad 0 \le i \le n-2.$$

$$\tag{1.2}$$

Theorem 1.2 [6, Theorem 2.2], [3, Theorem 2.1]. Let λ be a Laplacian eigenvalue of a tree *T*. If λ is not a unit in the ring of algebraic integers, then it is a simple Laplacian eigenvalue of *T*.

2. Matching by a subgraph and Laplacian spectrum

The following theorem is the key result in this paper.

Theorem 2.1

(a) Let H be a connected subgraph of a connected graph G. Then

$$m_G[\mu_i(H), \infty) \ge i\nu(H, G), \quad 1 \le i \le |V(H)|.$$

(b) Let H be a subtree of a tree G. Then

$$m_T(0, \lambda_i(H)] \ge i\nu(H, G), \quad 1 \le i \le |V(H)| - 1.$$

Proof. Set

 $F = \nu(H, G)H + (|V(G)| - \nu(H, G)|V(H)|)K_1,$

where K_1 is the trivial graph.

We now prove (*a*). The graph *G* can be built up from the spanning subgraph *F*, by adding new edges. The Laplacian spectrum of *F* is

$$(\mu_1(H), \ldots, \mu_1(H), \mu_2(H), \ldots, \mu_2(H), \ldots, \mu_r(H), \ldots, \mu_r(H), 0, \ldots, 0),$$

where r = |V(H)| and $\mu_i(H)$ appears $\nu(H, G)$ times for each $1 \le i \le r$. Then by repeated applications of (1.1) in Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\mu_{i\nu(H,G)}(G) \ge \mu_i(H), \quad 1 \le i \le |V(H)|,$$

and this proves (*a*).

We shall prove (b). We consider the spanning forest F of the tree G. Set

 $s = |V(G)| - \nu(H, G)(|V(H)| - 1).$

Then *s* is equal to the number of connected components of *F* and the Laplacian spectrum of *F* is

 $(0,\ldots,0,\lambda_1(H),\ldots,\lambda_1(H),\lambda_2(H),\ldots,\lambda_2(H),\ldots,\lambda_{r-1}(H),\ldots,\lambda_{r-1}(H)),$

where 0 appears *s* times and $\lambda_i(H)$ appears $\nu(H, T)$ times for each $1 \le i \le r - 1$. Then the tree *G* can be built up from the spanning subgraph *F* by adding new s - 1 edges. Therefore by repeated applications of (1.2) in Theorem 1.1 s - 1 times, we find that

 $\lambda_{i\nu(H,G)}(G) \leq \lambda_i(H), \quad 1 \leq i \leq r-1,$

and this proves (b). \Box

Corollary 2.2

1. Let H be a connected subgraph of a connected graph G. Then

 $\mu_i(G) \ge \mu_i(H), \quad 1 \le i \le |V(H)|.$

2. Let H be a subtree of a tree G. Then

 $\lambda_i(G) \leq \lambda_i(H), \quad 0 \leq i \leq |V(H)| - 1.$

Example 2.3

- 1. If a graph *G* has a vertex of degree $d \ge 1$, then, since $\mu_1(K_{1,d}) = d + 1$, we have $\mu_1(G) \ge d + 1$ [2, Corollary 2].
- 2. If *T* is a tree with diameter *d*, then since $\lambda_1(P_{d+1}) = 2(1 \cos(\pi/(d+1)))$, we have $\lambda_1(T) \le 2(1 \cos(\pi/(d+1)))$ [3, Corollary 4.4].

Theorem 2.4 Let μ be a Laplacian eigenvalue of a subtree H in a tree T. If

 $\delta(H,T) \le (m_H(\mu) - 1)\nu(H,T),$

then μ is a Laplacian eigenvalue of T.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\mu_{\delta(H,T)+i\nu(H,G)}(T) \le \mu_i(H) \le \mu_{i\nu(H,T)}(T), \quad 1 \le i \le r.$$
(2.3)

Set $k = m_H(\mu) - 1$ and $\mu = \mu_i(H) = \mu_{i+1}(H) = \cdots = \mu_{i+k}(H)$. Then since $\delta(H, T) \le k\nu(H, T)$, it follows from (2.3) that

$$\mu_{(i+k)\nu(H,T)}(T) \le \mu_{\delta(H,T)+i\nu(H,T)}(T) \le \mu_i(H) = \mu_{i+k}(H) \le \mu_{(i+k)\nu(H,T)}(T),$$

and we have

$$\mu_{(i+k)\nu(H,T)}(T) = \mu_{\delta(H,T)+i\nu(H,T)}(T) = \mu_i(H).$$

This proves the desired result. \Box

From Theorem 2.4 together with (2.3), we obtain the following:

Theorem 2.5 Let H be a subtree of order r of a tree T.

(a) If T has a perfect H-matching, then

 $\mu_i(H) = \mu_{i\nu(H,T)}(T), \quad 1 \le i \le r.$

In particular, if *T* has a perfect *H*-matching, every Laplacian eigenvalue of *H* is that of *T*. (b) If $\delta(H, T) \leq \nu(H, T)$, then every multiple Laplacian eigenvalue of *H* is a Laplacian eigenvalue of *T*.

Example 2.6 Let *T* be a tree. Since $\mu_1(P_2) = 2$, by Theorem 2.4, we recover a result of Guo and Tan [5, Theorem 2] that if *T* has a perfect matching, then $\mu_{\nu(T)}(T) = 2$.

Example 2.7

(1) If a tree *T* has a perfect P_3 -matching, then since $\mu_1(P_3) = 3$ and $\mu_2(P_3) = 1$,

$$\mu_{\nu(P_3,T)}(T) = 3, \quad \mu_{2\nu(P_3,T)}(T) = 1.$$

(2) Let *m* and *n* be positive integers with m|n. Then each Laplacian eigenvalue of P_m is a Laplacian eigenvalue of P_n . This also follows from the explicit formula for the Laplacian eigenvalues of P_n and P_m .

Corollary 2.8 Let T be a tree with a perfect H-matching. If $\mu_i(H)$ is not a unit in the ring of algebraic integers, then

 $m_T[\mu_i(H), \infty) = i\nu(H, T).$

Proof. By Theorem 1.2, $\mu_i(H)$ is a simple Laplacian eigenvalue of *T* and the result follows from Theorem 2.5. \Box

3. Code and Laplacian spectrum

By a code in a graph *G*, we mean a subset of *V*(*G*). The *minimum distance* $\delta(C)$ of a code *C* is the minimum distance between distinct vertices in *C*. For a vertex *v* in *G* we denote by $B_e(v)$ the set of all vertices at distance at most *e* from *v*. The *packing radius* of *C* is the maximum integer *e* such that $B_e(v)$, $v \in C$ are pairwise disjoint. A code *C* is called an e - code if the packing radius of *C* is at least *e*. The following proposition gives a relation between 1-codes and Laplacian spectrum of a graph.

1032

Proposition 3.1

(a) Let C be a 1-code of a connected graph G. Then

$$\mu_{|\mathcal{C}|}(G) \ge \min_{\nu \in \mathcal{C}} d_G(\nu) + 1.$$

(b) Let C be a 1-code of a tree T. If $\min_{v \in C} d_T(v) \ge 2$ then

$$\lambda_{|C|}(T) \leq 1 \quad \lambda_{2|C|}(T) \leq 3$$

Proof. Set $d = \min_{v \in C} d_G(v)$. Then, since $\nu(K_{1,d}, G) \ge |C|, \lambda_1(K_{1,d}) = 1$ and $\mu_1(K_{1,d}) = d + 1$, the result follows from the Theorem 2.1. \Box

References

- [1] D.M. Cvetkovic, M. Doob, H. Sachs, Spectra of Graphs, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [2] R. Grone, R. Merris, The Laplacian spectrum of a graph, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 7 (1994) 221–229.
- [3] R. Grone, R. Merris, V.S. Sunder, The Laplacian spectrum of a graph, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 11 (1990) 218–238.
- [4] R. Merris, The number of eigenvalues greater than two in the Laplacian spectrum of a graph, Port. Math. 48 (1991) 345–349.
- [5] J.-M. Guo, S.-W. Tan, A relation between the matching number and Laplacian spectrum of a graph, Linear Algebra Appl. 325 (2001) 71–74.
- [6] Y. Teranishi, The Hoffman number of a graph, Discrete Math. 260 (2003) 255–265.