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Abstract In this paper, the Nyström method is developed to approximate the solutions for hybrid fuzzy differential equation initial value problems (IVPs) using the Seikkala derivative. A proof of convergence of this method is also discussed in detail. The accuracy and efficiency of the proposed method are demonstrated by applying it to two different numerical experiments.
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1. Introduction

Fuzzy differential equation (FDE) models play a prominent role in a range of application areas, including population models (Guo and Li, 2003; Guo et al., 2003), civil engineering (Oberguggenberger and Pittschmann, 1999), particle systems (El Naschie, 2004a,b, 2005), medicine (Abbod et al., 2001; Barro and Marn, 2002; Helgason and Jobe, 1998; Nieto and Torres, 2003), bioinformatics and computational biology (Bandyopadhyay, 2005; Casasnovas and Rossell, 2005; Chang and Halgamuge, 2002). Particularly, the use of hybrid fuzzy differential equations (HFDEs) is a natural way to model control systems with embedded uncertainty (containing fuzzy valued functions) that are capable of controlling complex systems which have discrete event dynamics as well as continuous time dynamics.

In recent years, many works have been performed by several authors in numerical solutions of fuzzy differential equations (Fard, 2009a,b; Fard et al., 2009, 2010; Fard and Kamyad, 2010; Friedman et al., 1999; Hullermeier, 1999). Furthermore, there are some numerical techniques to solve hybrid fuzzy differential equations, for example, Pederson and Sambandham (2007, 2008) have investigated the numerical solution of HFDEs by using the Euler and Runge–Kutta methods, respectively, and Prakash and Kalaiselvi (2009) have studied the predictor–corrector method for hybrid fuzzy differential equations. In this study, we develop numerical methods for hybrid fuzzy differential equations by an application of the Nyström method (Khasan and Ivaz, 2009). This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we provide some background on ordinary differential equations, fuzzy numbers and fuzzy differential equations. Section 3 contains a brief review of the hybrid fuzzy differential equation IVPs. In Sections 4 and 5, the Nyström method for hybrid fuzzy differential equations, a convergence
The special case

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notations and definitions

Definition 2.1 Khastan and Ivaz (2009). Consider the initial value problem

\[ y'(t) = f(t, y(t)), \quad y(0) = y_0, \]

where \( f : [a, b] \times R^d \rightarrow R^d \). A m-step method for solving Eq. (1) is one whose difference equation for finding \( y_{j+1} \) as approximation of \( y(t) \) at the mesh point \( t_{j+1} \) can be represented by the following equation:

\[ y_{j+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_{m-k} y_{j-k} + b_{m-1} f(t_{j+1}, y_{j+1}) \]

for \( i = m-1, m, \ldots, N = 1 \), such that \( a = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_N = b \), \( b = \sum_{k=0}^{m} b_{m-k} \), \( y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{m-1} \) are constant with the starting values \( y_0 = y_0, y_1 = y_2, \ldots, y_{m-1} = y_{m-1} \).

When \( b_m = 0 \), the method is known as explicit, since Eq. (2) gives \( y_{j+1} \) explicit in terms of previously determined values. Also, when \( b_m \neq 0 \), the method is known as implicit, since \( y_{j+1} \) occurs on both sides of Eq. (2) and is specified only implicitly.

A special case of multistep method is Nyström’s methods (Henrici, 1962). Here, we set

\[ y_{j+1} = y_{j-1} + h \sum_{k=0}^{q} \kappa_m \nabla^m f(t_j, y_j), \quad q = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \]

where the constants

\[ \kappa_m = (-1)^m \int_0^1 \left( -s \right)^m ds \]

are independent of \( f \), \( t = t_0 + sh \), \( \nabla f(t, y) \) is the first backward difference of the \( f(t, y(t)) \) at the point \( t = t \); and higher backward differences are defined by \( \nabla^m f(t, y) = \nabla (\nabla^{m-1} f(t, y)) \). The special case \( q = 0 \) of Nyström method is known as the midpoint rule:

\[ y_{j+1} = y_{j-1} + 2hf(t_j, y_j). \]

Definition 2.2. (Henrici, 1962) Associated with the difference equation

\[ y_{j+1} = a_{m-1} y_{j-1} + a_{m-2} y_{j-2} + \cdots + a_0 y_{j+m} + b_j \]

the following, called the characteristic polynomial of the method is

\[ p(\lambda) = \lambda^m - a_{m-1} \lambda^{m-1} - a_{m-2} \lambda^{m-2} - \cdots - a_1 \lambda - a_0. \]

If \( |\lambda| \leq 1 \) for each \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, m \) and all roots with absolute value 1 are simple roots, then the difference method is side to satisfy the root condition.

Theorem 2.3. A multistep method of the form (2) is stable if and only if satisfies the root condition.


Definition 2.4. A fuzzy number \( u \) is a fuzzy subset of the real line with a normal, convex and upper semicontinuous membership function of bounded support. The family of fuzzy numbers will be denoted by \( E \). An arbitrary fuzzy number is represented by an ordered pair of functions \( (\mu(x), \nu(x)), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1 \) that, satisfies the following requirements:

- \( \mu(x) \) is a bounded left continuous nondecreasing function over \([0, 1], \) with respect to any \( x \).
- \( \nu(x) \) is a bounded left continuous nonincreasing function over \([0, 1], \) with respect to any \( x. \)

Then, the \( x \)-level set

\[ [u]^x = \{ s | \mu(s) \geq x \} \]

is a closed bounded interval, denoted

\[ [u]^x = [a^x, b^x]. \]

Definition 2.5. A triangular fuzzy number is any fuzzy set \( u \) in \( E \) that is characterized by an ordered triple \( (u_l, u_c, u_r) \in E^3 \) with \( u_l \leq u_c \leq u_r \), such that \( [u]^0 = [u_l, u_c] \) and \( [u]^1 = [u_r] \).

The \( x \)-level set of a triangular fuzzy number \( u \) is given by

\[ [u]^x = [u_l - (1 - x)(u_r - u_l), u_c + (1 - x)(u_r - u_l)] \]

for any \( x \in [0, 1] \).

Definition 2.6 (Dubois and Prade, 2000). Let \( A, B \) two non-empty bounded subsets of \( R \). The Hausdorff distance between \( A, B \) is

\[ d_{H}(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} |a - b|, \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} |a - b| \right\}. \]

The supremum metric \( D \) on \( E \) is as follows:

\[ D(u, v) = \sup \{ d_{H}([u]^x, [v]^x) : x \in [0, 1] \}. \]

With the supremum metric, the space \( (E, D) \) is a complete metric space.

Definition 2.7 (Dubois and Prade, 2000). A fuzzy set-valued mapping \( F : T \rightarrow E \) is continuous at \( t_0 \in T \) if for every \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists a \( \delta = \delta(t_0, \epsilon) > 0 \) such that \( D(F(t), F(t_0)) < \epsilon \), for all \( t \in T \) with \( |t - t_0| < \delta \).

Definition 2.8 (Dubois and Prade, 2000). A mapping \( F : T \rightarrow E \) is Hukuhara differentiable at \( t_0 \in T \) if for some \( h_0 > 0 \), the Hukuhara differences \( F(t_0 + \Delta t) - \Delta F(t_0) \) and \( F(t_0) - \Delta F(t_0 - \Delta t) \) exist in \( E \), for all \( 0 < \Delta t < h_0 \) and if there exists an \( F'(t_0) \in E \) such that

\[ \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \left( \frac{F(t_0 + \Delta t) - \Delta F(t_0) - F'(t_0)}{\Delta t} \right) = 0. \]
and
\[
\lim_{\Delta t \to 0} D \left( \frac{F(t_0) - F(t_0 + \Delta t)}{\Delta t} - F(t_0) \right) = 0.
\]

The fuzzy set \( F(t_0) \) is called the Hukuhara derivative of \( F \) at \( t_0 \).

**Definition 2.9** (Dubois and Prade, 2000). The fuzzy integral
\[
\int_a^b y(t)dt, \quad 0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1,
\]

is defined by
\[
\left[ \int_a^b y(t)dt \right]^A = \left[ \int_a^b y^A dt, \int_a^b y^B dt \right],
\]

provided the Lebesgue integrals on the right exist.

**Remark 2.10** (Kaleva, 1987). If \( F : T \to E \) is Hukuhara differentiable and its Hukuhara derivative \( F' \) is integrable over \([0, 1]\), then
\[
F(t) = F(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t F'(s)ds
\]

for all values of \( t_0, t \) where \( 0 \leq t_0 \leq t \leq 1 \).

**Definition 2.11** (Seikkala, 1987). Let \( I \) be a real interval. A mapping \( y : I \to E \) is called a fuzzy process, and its \( \alpha \)-level set is denoted by
\[
[y(t)]^\alpha = [y(t, \alpha), \bar{y}(t, \alpha)], \quad t \in I, \alpha \in [0, 1].
\]

The Seikkala derivative \( y'(t) \) of a fuzzy process \( y \) is defined by
\[
[y'(t)]^\alpha = [y'(t, \alpha), \bar{y}'(t, \alpha)], \quad t \in I, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1
\]

provided the equation defines a fuzzy number \( y'(t) \in E \).

**Remark 2.12** (Seikkala, 1987). If \( y : I \to E \) is Seikkala differentiable and its Seikkala derivative \( y' \) is integrable over \([0, 1]\), then
\[
y(t) = y(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t y'(s)ds,
\]

for all values of \( t_0, t \) where \( t, t_0 \in I \).

### 2.2. Interpolation of fuzzy number

The problem of interpolation for fuzzy sets is as follows:

Suppose that at various time instant \( t \) information \( f(t) \) is presented as fuzzy set. The aim is to approximate the function \( f(t) \), for all \( t \) in the domain of \( f \). Let \( t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n \) be \( n + 1 \) distinct points in \( R \) and let \( u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_n \) be \( n + 1 \) fuzzy sets in \( E \). A fuzzy polynomial interpolation of the data is a fuzzy-value continuous function \( f : R \to E \) satisfying:

(i) \( f(t_i) = u_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \).
(ii) If the data is crisp, then the interpolation \( f \) is a crisp polynomial.

A function \( f \) which fulfilling these condition may be constructed as follows. Let \( C_i = [u_i]^A \) for any \( x \in [0, 1], \; i = 0, 1, \ldots, n \). For each \( X = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in R^{n+1} \), the unique polynomial of degree \( \leq n \) denoted by \( P_X \), such that
\[
P_X(t_i) = x_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n
\]

and
\[
P_X(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \left( \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{t - t_j}{t_i - t_j} \right).
\]

Finally, for each \( t \in R \) and all \( \xi \in R \) is defined by \( f(t) \in E \) by
\[
(f(t))(\xi) = \sup \{ x \in [0, 1] : \exists X \in C_0 \times C_1 \times \cdots \times C_n \text{ such that } P_X(t) = \xi \}.
\]

The interpolation polynomial can be written level setwise as
\[
(f(t))^\alpha = \{ y \in R : y = P_X(t), x_i \in [u_i]^\alpha, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \}
\]

for \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \)

when the data \( u_i \) presents as triangular fuzzy numbers, values of the interpolation polynomial are also triangular fuzzy numbers. Then \( f(t) \) has a particular simple form that is well suited to computation.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let \( (t_i, u_i), \; i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n \) be the observed data and suppose that each of \( u_i = (u_i^A, u_i^B, u_i^-A) \) is an element of \( E \). Then for each \( t \in [t_0, t_n] \),
\[
(f(t)) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} l_i(t)u_i^A + \sum_{i=0}^{n} l_i(t)u_i^B,
\]

\[
(f(t)) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} l_i(t)u_i^A + \sum_{i=0}^{n} l_i(t)u_i^-A,
\]

where \( l_i(t) = \prod_{\alpha \neq i} \frac{t - t_\alpha}{t_i - t_\alpha} \).

**Proof.** See Kaleva (1994).

### 3. The hybrid fuzzy differential system

Consider the hybrid fuzzy differential system
\[
\begin{aligned}
x'(t) &= f(t, x(t), \lambda(x), x(t)), \quad t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}], \\
x(t_k) &= x_k,
\end{aligned}
\]

(5)

where \( 0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k < \cdots, \to \infty, f \in C[R^+ \times E \times E, E], \)

\( \lambda \in C[E, E] \).

Here, we assume that the existence and uniqueness of solution of the hybrid system hold on each \([t_k, t_{k+1}]\) to be specific the system would look like:

\[
x'_0(t) = f(t, x_0(t), \lambda_0(x_0)), \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \quad t \in [t_0, t_1],
\]

\[
x'_1(t) = f(t, x_1(t), \lambda_1(x_1)), \quad x(t_1) = x_1, \quad t \in [t_1, t_2],
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
x'_k(t) = f(t, x_k(t), \lambda_k(x_k)), \quad x(t_k) = x_k, \quad t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}],
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

By the solution of (5) we mean the following function:
In this section, for a hybrid fuzzy differential equation (5), we develop the Nyström method via an application of the Nyström method for fuzzy differential equations in (Khastan and Ivaz, 2009) when \( f \) and \( \lambda_k \) in Eq. (5) can obtained via the Zadeh extension principle form \( f \in C[R^* \times R \times R, R] \) and \( \lambda_k \in C[R, R] \). We assume that the existence and uniqueness of solutions of Eq. (5) hold for each \([t_k, t_{k+1}]\).

For a fixed \( r \), we replace each interval \([t_k, t_{k+1}]\) by a set of \( N_k + 1 \) discrete equally spaced grid points, \( t_k = t_k, 0 < t_{k,1} < \cdots < t_{k,N_k} = t_{k+1} \) (including the endpoints) at which the exact solution \( x(t) \) is approximated by some \( y_k(t) \).

Fix \( k \in Z^* \). The fuzzy initial value problem
\[
\begin{align*}
 x(t) &= x(t_0, x_0) = \begin{cases}
 x_0(t), & t \in [t_0, t_1], \\
 x_1(t), & t \in [t_1, t_2], \\
 \vdots & \\
 x_k(t), & t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}], \\
 \vdots & 
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

We note that the solutions of (5) are piecewise differentiable in each interval for \( t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}] \) for a fixed \( x_k \in E \) and \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \).

4. Nyström methods

Regarding to the sign of \( I(t) \), we have from Eq. (7)
\[
y(t_{k+1}) = y(t_{k+1}) + \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} f(t, y_k(t), \lambda_k(y_k))dt.
\]

The sign of \( I(t) \) depends on \( q \) that is even or odd. We suppose \( q \) is even. Also for the \( q \) is odd, we can proceed similarly.

For \( t_k \leq t \leq t_{k+1} \), by definition of \( I(t) \), we can write:
\[
\begin{align*}
 f_k(t, y_k(t), \lambda_k(y_k)) &= \sum_{j \in M} I(t)^f(t_{k,j-1}, y_{k,j-1}, \lambda_{k,j-1}(y_{k,j-1})), y_{k,j-1}(t_{k,j-1}) \\
 &+ \sum_{j \in N} I(t)^f(t_{k,j+1}, y_{k,j+1}, \lambda_{k,j+1}(y_{k,j+1})).
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, for \( t_k \leq t \leq t_{k+1} \), we have:
\[
\begin{align*}
 f_k(t, y_k(t), \lambda_k(y_k)) &= \sum_{j \in M} I(t)^f(t_{k,j-1}, y_{k,j-1}, \lambda_{k,j-1}(y_{k,j-1})), y_{k,j-1}(t_{k,j-1}) \\
 &+ \sum_{j \in N} I(t)^f(t_{k,j+1}, y_{k,j+1}, \lambda_{k,j+1}(y_{k,j+1})).
\end{align*}
\]

From (4) to (7) it follows that:
\[
y^q(t_{k+1}) = [y^q(t_{k+1}), y^q(t_{k+1})]
\]

where
\[
\begin{align*}
 y^q(t_{k+1}) &= \sum_{j \in M} I(t)^f(t_{k,j-1}, y_{k,j-1}, \lambda_{k,j-1}(y_{k,j-1})), y_{k,j-1}(t_{k,j-1}) \\
 &+ \sum_{j \in N} I(t)^f(t_{k,j+1}, y_{k,j+1}, \lambda_{k,j+1}(y_{k,j+1})).
\end{align*}
\]

According to Eq. (11), if (12), (13), (15), (16) are situated in (18) and (13), (14), (16) and (17) in (19), we obtain
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Therefore, Nyström method is obtained as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{y}_{k+1}^y &= \mathbf{y}_{k-1}^y + \sum_{j \in M} f(t_{k-j}, y_{k-j}, \lambda_k(y_k)) y_j + \sum_{j \in N} p(t_{k-j}, y_{k-j}, \lambda_k(y_k)) y_j \\
&\quad + \sum_{j \in N} p(t_{k-j}, y_{k-j}, \lambda_k(y_k)) \delta_j
\end{align*}
\]

Worthy of note is the especial case \( q = 0 \). Here \( \gamma_0 = h, \delta_0 = h \) and (22) becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{y}_{k+1}^y &= \mathbf{y}_{k-1}^y + 2h f(t_k, y_k) + \sum_{j \in N} p(t_k, y_k) \delta_j \\
\mathbf{y}_{k+1}^y &= \mathbf{y}_{k-1}^y + 2h f(t_k, y_k) + \sum_{j \in N} p(t_k, y_k) \delta_j
\end{align*}
\]

This is the so-called Midpoint rule.

5. Convergence

By Theorem 5.2 in Kaleva (1987), we may replace (5) by an equivalent system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{x}(t) &= \mathbf{x}(t, \lambda_k(x_k)) = F_1(t, x, \lambda_k(x_k)), \\
\mathbf{x}(t) &= \mathbf{x}(t, \lambda_k(x_k)) = F_2(t, x, \lambda_k(x_k)), \\
\mathbf{x}(t) &= \mathbf{x}(t, \lambda_k(x_k)) = G_1(t, x, \lambda_k(x_k)), \\
\mathbf{x}(t) &= \mathbf{x}(t, \lambda_k(x_k)) = G_2(t, x, \lambda_k(x_k)),
\end{align*}
\]

which possesses a unique solution \((x, \lambda)\) which is a fuzzy function. That is for each \( t \), the pair \((x(t, r), \lambda(t, r))\) is a fuzzy number, where \(x(t, r), \lambda(t, r)\) are respectively the solutions of the parametric form given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
x(t, r) &= F_1(t, x(t), \lambda(t)), \\
\lambda(t, r) &= \lambda(t), \\
\chi(t, r) &= \chi(t), \\
\chi(t, r) &= \chi(t),
\end{align*}
\]

for \( r \in [0, 1] \).

For a fixed \( r \), to integrate the system in (25) in \([t_0, t_1], [t_1, t_2], \ldots, [t_{N_k}, t_{N_k+1}], \ldots\) we replace each interval by a set of \( N_k + 1 \) discrete equally spaced grid points (including the end points) at which the exact solution \((x(t, r), \lambda(t, r))\) is approximated by some \((y(t, r), y(t, r))\). For the chosen grid points on \([t_n, t_{n+1}]\) at \( t_n = t_0 + nh, h = \frac{t_{n+1} - t_n}{N_k}, n \leq N_k\), let \((x(t, r), \lambda(t, r)) \equiv (\mathbb{x}(t, r), \mathbb{x}(t, r))\), \((x(t, r), \lambda(t, r)) \equiv (\mathbb{\chi}(t, r), \mathbb{\chi}(t, r))\), \((y(t, r), \lambda(t, r)) \equiv (\mathbb{y}(t, r), \mathbb{\lambda}(t, r))\) and \((y(t, r), \lambda(t, r)) \equiv (\mathbb{Y}(t, r), \mathbb{\Lambda}(t, r))\) may be denoted respectively by \((\mathbb{x}(t, r), \mathbb{y}(t, r))\) and \((\mathbb{\chi}(t, r), \mathbb{\lambda}(t, r))\). For example, the Midpoint rule approximations \((\mathbb{x}(t, r), \mathbb{y}(t, r))\) and \((\mathbb{\chi}(t, r), \mathbb{\lambda}(t, r))\) to \((\mathbb{x}(t, r), \mathbb{\lambda}(t, r))\) and \((\mathbb{\chi}(t, r), \mathbb{\lambda}(t, r))\) may be written as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{y}_{k+1}^y &= \mathbb{y}_{k+1}^y + 2h F_1(t_k, y_k, x_k), \\
\mathbb{\lambda}_{k+1}^y &= \mathbb{\lambda}_{k+1}^y + 2h G_1(t_k, y_k, x_k),
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{y}_{k+1}^y &= \mathbb{y}_{k+1}^y + 2h F_1(t_k, y_k, x_k), \\
\mathbb{\lambda}_{k+1}^y &= \mathbb{\lambda}_{k+1}^y + 2h G_1(t_k, y_k, x_k),
\end{align*}
\]

However, (26) we will use

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{y}_{k+1}^y &= \mathbb{y}_{k+1}^y + 2h F_1(t_k, y_k, x_k), \\
\mathbb{\lambda}_{k+1}^y &= \mathbb{\lambda}_{k+1}^y + 2h G_1(t_k, y_k, x_k),
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[ y_{kj} = y_{k-1,N_i+j}(x), \quad \varphi_{kj} = \varphi_{k-1,N_i+j}(x), \quad j = 0, 1 \]
for \( k \geq 1 \). Then (26) represents an approximation of \( y_k(t, r), \varphi_k(t, r) \) for each of the intervals \( t_0 \leq t \leq t_1, t_1 \leq t \leq t_2, \ldots, t_k \leq t_{k+1} \).

For a prefixed \( k \) and \( r \in [0, 1] \), the proof of convergence of the approximations in (22), i.e.
\[ \lim_{h_{0-k}, h_{-1-k} \to 0} \varphi_{k,0}(r) = \varphi(t_{k+1}, r) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{h_{0-k}, h_{-1-k} \to 0} \varphi_{k,0}(r) = \varphi(t_{k+1}, r), \]
is a application of Theorem 4.2, in Khasian and Iyaz (2009) and Lemma 5.1 below. The convergence is pointwise in \( r \) for a fixed \( k \).

In the following, we show the convergence of the Midpoint rule, i.e., the Nystrom method with \( q = 0 \). For the other values of \( q \), the proof can be done similarly.

**Lemma 5.1.** Suppose \( i \in \mathbb{Z}^* \), \( \epsilon_i > 0, r \in [0, 1] \), and \( h_i < 1 \) are fixed. Let \( \{z_i(r)\}_{i=0}^N \) be the Midpoint approximation with \( N = N_i \) to the fuzzy IVP:
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) &= f(t, x(t), a_i(x_i)), \quad t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}] \\
x(t_i) &= x_i,
\end{align*}
\]
(27)

If \( \{y_{i,0}(r)\}_{i=0}^N \) denotes the result of (26) from some \( y_{i,0}(r) \), then there exists a \( \delta_i > 0 \) such that
\[
|z_i(r) - y_{i,0}(r)| < \delta_i, \quad |z_i(r) - y_{i,0}(r)| < \delta_i.
\]

implies
\[
|z_i(r) - z_i(r)| < \epsilon_i, \quad |z_i(r) - z_i(r)| < \epsilon_i.
\]

**Proof.** Fix \( i \in \mathbb{Z}^* \), \( \epsilon_i > 0, r \in [0, 1] \), and \( h_i < 1 \). Let \( \{z_i(r)\}_{i=0}^N \) be the Nystrom approximation with \( N = N_i \) to the fuzzy IVP (27). Suppose \( \{y_{i,0}(r)\}_{i=0}^N \) denotes the result of (26) from some \( y_{i,0}(r) \). By (26), for each \( i = 0, \ldots, N_i - 1 \),

\[
|y_{i+1}(r) - y_{i,0}(r)| = |y_{i,0}(r) + 2h_i f_i(t_i, y_{i,0}(r), z_i(r)) - y_{i,0}(r) - 2h_i f_i(t_i, y_{i,0}(r), y_{i,0}(r))| \\
\leq |y_{i,0}(r) - y_{i,0}(r)| + 2h_i |f_i(t_i, y_{i,0}(r), z_i(r)) - y_{i,0}(r) - f_i(t_i, y_{i,0}(r), y_{i,0}(r))|,
\]

and

\[
|z_i(r) - y_{i,0}(r)| = |z_i(r) + 2h_i g_i(t_i, z_i(r), y_{i,0}(r)) - y_{i,0}(r) - 2h_i g_i(t_i, y_{i,0}(r), y_{i,0}(r))| \\
\leq |z_i(r) - y_{i,0}(r)| + 2h_i |g_i(t_i, z_i(r), y_{i,0}(r)) - g_i(t_i, y_{i,0}(r), y_{i,0}(r))|.
\]

Let \( z_{N_i} = \epsilon_i \). Since \( F_i \) and \( G_i \) are continuous, there exists a \( \eta_{N_i} > 0 \) such that \( |z_{N_i}(r) - y_{N_i}(r)| < \eta_{N_i} \) and \( |z_{N_i}(r) - y_{N_i}(r)| < \eta_{N_i} \) imply
\[
|F_i(t_{N_i}, z_{N_i}(r), y_{N_i}(r)) - F_i(t_{N_i}, y_{N_i}(r), y_{N_i}(r))| < \frac{2\eta_{N_i}}{4},
\]
(30)
\[
|G_i(t_{N_i}, z_{N_i}(r), y_{N_i}(r)) - G_i(t_{N_i}, y_{N_i}(r), y_{N_i}(r))| < \frac{2\eta_{N_i}}{4},
\]
(31)

Let \( \eta_{N_i} = \epsilon_i \). If \( |z_{N_i-1}(r) - y_{N_i-1}(r)| \leq \eta_{N_i} \) and \( |z_{N_i-1}(r) - y_{N_i-1}(r)| \leq \eta_{N_i} \) then by (28) and (29) with \( l = N_i - 1 \) and (2) and (31) we have
\[
|y_{N_i-1}(r) - y_{N_i}(r)| < 2\eta_{N_i} < 2\epsilon_i.
\]

(32)

Continue inductively for each \( j = 2, \ldots, N_i \) as follows. Since \( F_i \) and \( G_i \) are continuous, there exists a \( \eta_{N_i-j} > 0 \) such that \( |z_{N_i-j}(r) - y_{N_i-j}(r)| < \eta_{N_i-j} \) and \( |z_{N_i-j}(r) - y_{N_i-j}(r)| < \eta_{N_i-j} \) imply
\[
|F_i(t_{N_i-j}, z_{N_i-j}(r), y_{N_i-j}(r)) - F_i(t_{N_i-j}, y_{N_i-j}(r), y_{N_i-j}(r))| < \frac{2\eta_{N_i-j}}{4},
\]
(34)
\[
|G_i(t_{N_i-j}, z_{N_i-j}(r), y_{N_i-j}(r)) - G_i(t_{N_i-j}, y_{N_i-j}(r), y_{N_i-j}(r))| < \frac{2\eta_{N_i-j}}{4},
\]
(35)

where \( \eta_{N_i-j} \) was defined in the previous step.

Let \( x_{N_i-j} = \min\{\frac{2\eta_{N_i-j}}{4}, \frac{2\eta_{N_i-j}}{4}\} \). If \( |z_{N_i-j}(r) - y_{N_i-j}(r)| < x_{N_i-j} \) and \( |z_{N_i-j}(r) - y_{N_i-j}(r)| < x_{N_i-j} \) then by (28) and (29) with \( l = N_i - j \) and (34) and (35) we have
\[
|y_{N_i-j}(r) - y_{N_i-j}(r)| < x_{N_i-j} < x_{N_i-j}.
\]

(36)

\[
|y_{N_i-j}(r) - y_{N_i-j}(r)| \leq |y_{N_i-j}(r) - y_{N_i-j}(r)| < x_{N_i-j} < x_{N_i-j}.
\]

(37)

Then, for \( j = N_i \) we see \( |y_{N_i}(r) - y_{N_i}(r)| < 0 \) and \( |y_{N_i}(r) - y_{N_i}(r)| < 0 \) imply
\[
|z_{N_i}(r) - y_{N_i}(r)| < x_{N_i-j} \quad \text{and} \quad |z_{N_i}(r) - y_{N_i}(r)| < x_{N_i-j}.
\]

For \( j = N_i-1 \) we see \( |z_{N_i-1}(r) - y_{N_i-1}(r)| < x_{N_i-j} \) and \( |z_{N_i-1}(r) - y_{N_i-1}(r)| < x_{N_i-j} \) imply
\[
|z_{N_i-2}(r) - y_{N_i-2}(r)| < x_{N_i-j} \quad \text{and} \quad |z_{N_i-2}(r) - y_{N_i-2}(r)| < x_{N_i-j}.
\]

Continue decreasing to \( j = 2 \) to see \( |z_{N_i-2}(r) - y_{N_i-2}(r)| < x_{N_i-j} \) and \( |z_{N_i-2}(r) - y_{N_i-2}(r)| < x_{N_i-j} \) imply
exists a

Therefore if $h_i < \delta_i^*$ then

We may assume $\delta_i^* < 1$. Then $h_k < 1$. By Lemma 5.1 there exists a $\delta_k > 0$ such that

implies

Therefore if $h_i < \delta_i^*$ and (40) holds then

By Theorem 4.2. in Khastan and Ivaz (2009), there exists a $\delta_i^* > 0$ such that if $h_{i-1} < \delta_i^*$ then

We may assume $\delta_i^* < 1$. Then $h_{i-1} < 1$. By Lemma 5.1 there exists a $\delta_{i-1} > 0$ such that

implies

Therefore if $h_{i-1} < \delta_{i-1}^*$ and (43) holds then

6. Numerical illustration

To give a clear overview of our study and to illustrate the above discussed technique, we consider the following examples.

Example 6.1. Consider the following hybrid fuzzy IVP,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x'(t) &= x(t) + m(t)x_h(x(t)), & t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}], \\
& \quad t_k = k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \\
x(0) &= [0.75, 1, 1.125], \\
x(0.1) &= [0.75^{0.1}, e^{0.1}, 1.125^{0.1}],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t_k, r) - \xi_{i-1,N}(r) &\leq |\xi(t_k, r) - \xi_{i-1,N}(r)| \\
&\quad + |\xi_{i-1,N}(r) - \xi_{i-1,N}(r)| \\
&\quad < \frac{\delta_{i-1}}{2} + \frac{\delta_{i-1}}{2} = \delta_{i-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

(44)
Consider the following hybrid fuzzy IVP,

\[ m(t) = \begin{cases} 2(t \mod 1), & \text{if } t \mod 1 \leq 0.5 \\ 2(1 - t \mod 1), & \text{if } t \mod 1 > 0.5 \end{cases} \quad (50) \]

and

\[ \hat{h}_k(\mu) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \mu, & \text{if } k \in \{1, 2, \ldots \}. \end{cases} \quad (51) \]

For the which \( \hat{0} \in E \) define as \( \hat{0}(x) = 1 \) if \( x = 0 \) and \( \hat{0}(x) = 0 \) if \( x \neq 0 \). The hybrid fuzzy initial value problem (49) is equivalent to the following system of fuzzy initial value problems:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_k(t) &= x_0(t), \quad t \in [0, 1], \\
x(0) &= [0.75 + 0.25 \xi, 1.125 - 0.125 \xi], \\
x(0.1) &= [(0.75 + 0.25 \xi)^{d_{0,1}}, (1.125 - 0.125 \xi)^{d_{0,1}}], \\
x_k(t) &= x(t) + m(t) x(t), \quad t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}], \\
x_i(t_i) &= x_{i-1}(t_{i-1}), x_i(t_{i+1}) = x_i(t_{i-1} + \delta_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\end{align*}
\]

In (49), \( x(t) + m(t) \hat{h}_k(x(t)) \) is a continuous function of \( t, x \) and \( \hat{h}_k(x(t)) \). Therefore by Example 6.1 of Kaleva (1987), for each \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), the fuzzy IVP

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) &= x(t) + m(t) \hat{h}_k(x(t)), \quad t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}], \quad t_k = k, \\
x(t_k) &= x_k,
\end{align*}
\]

has a unique solution on \([t_k, t_{k+1}]\). To numerically solve the hybrid fuzzy IVP (49) we will apply the Midpoint rule method for this hybrid fuzzy differential equations with \( N = 10 \). For \([0, 1]\), the exact solution of (49) satisfies

\[ x(t) = [0.75e^{t^2}, 1.125e^{t^2}] \]

For \([1, 1.5]\), the exact solution of (49) satisfies

\[ x(t) = x(1)(3e^{1-2t}) \]

For \([1.5, 2]\), the exact solution of (49) satisfies

\[ x(t) = x(1)(2t - 2 + e^{-1.5}(3\sqrt{e} - 4)) \]

The comparison between the exact and numerical solutions on \([0, 2]\) is shown in Fig. 1.

**Example 6.2.** Consider the following hybrid fuzzy IVP,

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) &= -x(t) + m(t) \hat{h}_k(x(t)), \quad t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}], \\
t_k &= k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \\
x(0) &= [0.75, 1.125], \\
x(0.1) &= [-0.1875e^{d_{0,1}} + 0.9375e^{-0.1}, e^{-0.1}, 0.1875e^{d_{0,1}} + 0.9375e^{-0.1}],
\end{align*}
\]

(53)

where

\[ m(t) = |\sin(\pi t)|, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

and

\[ \hat{h}_k(\mu) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \mu, & \text{if } k \in \{1, 2, \ldots \}. \end{cases} \]

The hybrid fuzzy initial value problem (53) is equivalent to the following system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_0(t) &= -x_0(t), \\
x_0(t) &= -x_0(t), \\
x_0(t) &= -x_0(t), \\
x(t) &= x(t) + m(t) x(t), \\
x(t) &= x(t) + m(t) x(t), \\
x(t) &= x(t) + m(t) x(t), \\
x(t) &= x(t) + m(t) x(t), \\
x_i(t_i) &= x_{i-1}(t_{i-1}), x_i(t_{i+1}) = x_{i-1}(t_{i-1} + \delta_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\end{align*}
\]

(56)

For \([0, 1]\), the exact solution of Eq. (53) satisfies

\[ x(t) = [-0.1875e^{t} + 0.9375e^{-t}, e^{-t}, 0.1875e^{t} + 0.9375e^{-t}]. \]

For \([1, 2]\), the exact solution of Eq. (53) satisfies,

\[ x(t) = (-0.2417e^{t} + 1.2085e^{-t} - 0.0152\sin(\pi t)\pi - 0.0786 \times \sin(\pi t)1.2890e^{-t} + 0.0338\cos(\pi t)\pi - 0.0338 \times \sin(\pi t)0.2417e^{t} + 1.2085e^{-t} + 0.0786\cos(\pi t)\pi + 0.0152\sin(\pi t)) \]

The comparison between the exact and numerical solutions on \([0, 2]\) is shown in Fig. 2.
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