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Abstract

Gas separation membranes, considered among one of the promising emerging capture technologies for post-combustion capture, requires a two-stage process with significant compression work for CO2 capture from a coal fired power plant flue gas. A hybrid membrane–liquefaction process for post-combustion CO2 capture from the power plant flue gas is developed to mitigate the deficiencies of the two-stage membrane process. The energy efficiency of the process depends on the permeate CO2 composition from the membrane unit. The performance envelope of the hybrid process is evaluated and shows that the optimum CO2 concentration in the permeate is 65%–67% depending on the targeted CCR. A techno-economic analysis for 85% CCR was performed and the cost of CO2 avoided is calculated to 48 €2008/tCO2 avoided, that is, 9% more cost-efficient than the baseline MEA absorption process.
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1. Introduction

Gas separation membranes are considered among one of the promising emerging technologies for post-combustion CO2 capture and this process has been studied extensively [1–3]. Membrane technology has a few advantages over amine-based solvent systems in that the process has no steam requirement and therefore is far less complicated to retrofit into existing power plants, and it has no environmental impacts caused by solvent losses and degradation. The disadvantage of this technology is limitations in membrane selectivity and permeability, and multiple-stage processes and significant compression work are required to attain high CO2 capture ratios (CCR) and product purity, while ensuring sufficient driving force. While there is no clear consensus in the literature in comparing performance of amine-based solvent systems and membrane systems, earlier work has shown that membrane processes are more energy intensive than amine-based post-combustion capture [4].
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Due to the low triple point of pure CO₂ (216.58 K, 5.185 bar), separating CO₂ from a gas mixture by liquefaction, especially for gases with high CO₂ partial pressure, is quite attractive and close to commercialization in oxy-combustion carbon capture processes [5]. The permeate from the first stage of a polymeric membrane separation process can reach relatively high CO₂ concentration (around 70%) which is similar to that of oxy-combustion exhaust. The CO₂ separation process by liquefaction can be integrated with a single-stage membrane process to reduce the energy penalty of the capture process.

This paper presents results from investigation of a hybrid membrane process combined with low-temperature CO₂ separation for post-combustion capture from coal-fired power plants. The potential of the hybrid process combining the technologies for reducing the capture energy penalty is investigated, and the hybrid-process operating window is evaluated.

2. Hybrid membrane – liquefaction process

The working principle and sequence of the hybrid membrane-low temperature process is shown in Fig 1. Through this capture process, the CO₂ concentration in the flue gas from a coal-fired power plant is first increased using a single-stage membrane process. Subsequently, this CO₂-enriched gas is compressed, first from vacuum pressure to ambient with a vacuum pump. This step is then followed by further multi-stage compression to the targeted CO₂ liquefaction and separation pressure required by the low-temperature separation process.

The single-stage membrane process is designed to capture 90% of the CO₂ from the exhaust gas. The purity of the "CO₂-rich" permeate stream depends on the membrane properties, driving force and membrane area. The relevant membrane properties, selectivity and permeance, are fixed to 80 (CO₂/N₂) and 5 Nm³/(m²·bar·hr) respectively. The driving force is the CO₂ partial pressure difference across the membrane. This is manipulated by pressurizing the membrane feed and/or operating the permeate side under vacuum. The energy penalty of a membrane process increases with increasing purity of the permeate stream.

The CO₂-rich permeate stream is fed to the low-temperature CO₂ processing unit (CPU). The stream is compressed to targeted separation pressure before it is cooled to separation temperature in a sequence of heat exchangers. A two-stage vapor compression cascade cycle with propane and ethane as refrigerants is assumed to provide the refrigeration duties in the CPU. After cooling to final separation temperature the CO₂-rich liquid phase is separated from the nitrogen-rich vapor phase, then flashed and purified in a secondary drum, and is subsequently conditioned to the specified transport state.

2.1. Operational envelope of the hybrid process
The CO₂ purity of the permeate from the membrane process determines the overall capture process energy penalty and attainable CCR. The higher the CO₂ concentration of the permeate, the higher the attainable CCR and lower the capture penalty in the low-temperature process. An operational envelope for the process can be developed by varying the CO₂ composition in the permeate and for each of the permeate cases, evaluating the liquefaction process for varying CCRs.

The European Benchmarking Task Force (EBTF) report on reference cases for CO₂ capture [6] is used as the basis for the exhaust gas composition from an ASC coal-fired power plant. In order to determine the energy-optimal permeate stream from the membrane part of the capture process, the CPU was run for a broad range of input concentrations, from 50% to 75% CO₂. Moreover, to pinpoint optimal CCR for the CPU and for the capture process as a whole, a relatively wide interval of separation pressures were run for each permeate inlet concentration. The equilibrium CO₂ vapour fraction decreases with increasing separation pressure, and the CCR will therefore increase with pressure for a fixed separation temperature. It should also be noted that the liquid fraction of CO₂ decreases with increasing separation pressure, but as the process utilizes a low-pressure (7–8 bar) flash CO₂ purification unit downstream of the main separator, this effect is mitigated.

The results show that the specific energy penalty for the low-temperature process decreases as the CO₂ concentration in the permeate increases. There is in other words an optimum CCR, from an energy penalty perspective, for each CO₂ concentration in the permeate, and there is a sharp increase in the specific energy penalty as the CCR approaches its highest attainable value. From an overall system perspective, the operational envelope of the energy penalty of the hybrid process is shown in Fig 2. The efficiency penalty increases with decreasing CO₂ permeate composition from 75% to 65% and then starts decreasing as the permeate composition is lowered to 50%.

2.2. Techno-economic performance

The cost of the ASC power plant with hybrid membrane–liquefaction process with 85% CCR is evaluated and compared to the cost of an ASC power plant with and without MEA CO₂ capture. This study assumes costs of a "NOAK" (Nth Of A Kind) plant to be built at some point in the future, when the technology is mature. The costs are based on the EBTF report [6] for the ASC power plants and the MEA
capture facility while the hybrid membrane–low temperature capture process is evaluated following Aspen Process Economic Analyzer for equipment costs and following the EBTF cost methodology in order to obtain consistent and comparable costs estimates.

The cost evaluation shows that the cost of electricity with hybrid membrane–low temperature CO2 capture is 33% more expensive than the plant without capture. It is further 6% cheaper than the reference plant with MEA capture. Regarding the cost of capturing CO2 [7], the results shows that the hybrid membrane–low temperature concept, with a cost of 48 €2008/tCO2,avoided, is 9% more cost-efficient than the MEA process.

3. Conclusions

A hybrid membrane–low temperature process for post-combustion CO2 capture from a coal-fired power plant is developed. The energy efficiency of the process depends on the permeate CO2 composition from the membrane unit. The performance envelope of the hybrid process is evaluated and shows that the optimum CO2 concentration in the permeate is 65%–67% depending on the CCR. A techno-economic analysis for 85% CCR was performed and the cost of CO2 avoided calculated to be 48 €2008/tCO2,avoided. This figure is 9% more cost-efficient than the MEA-based CO2 capture process.
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