



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa)


## Spectral properties for perturbations of unitary operators <sup>☆</sup>

M.A. Astaburuaga <sup>\*</sup>, V.H. Cortés

Facultad de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Santiago, Chile

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 4 March 2010

Available online 2 April 2011

Submitted by J.A. Ball

#### Keywords:

Point spectrum

Unitary operators

### ABSTRACT

Consider a unitary operator  $U_0$  acting on a complex separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . In this paper we study spectral properties for perturbations of  $U_0$  of the type,

$$U_\beta = U_0 e^{iK\beta},$$

with  $K$  a compact self-adjoint operator acting on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\beta$  a real parameter. We apply the commutator theory developed for unitary operators in Astaburuaga et al. (2006) [1] to prove the absence of singular continuous spectrum for  $U_\beta$ . Moreover, we study the eigenvalue problem for  $U_\beta$  when the unperturbed operator  $U_0$  does not have any. A typical example of this situation corresponds to the case when  $U_0$  is purely absolutely continuous. Conditions on the eigenvalues of  $K$  are given to produce eigenvalues for  $U_\beta$  for both cases finite and infinite rank of  $K$ , and we give an example where the results can be applied.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction and notation. Abstract setting

Consider a unitary operator  $U_0$  acting on a complex separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  conjugate linear in the first component. Let us denote by  $\{E_0(\cdot)\}$  the spectral family associated to  $U_0$ ; in other words,

$$\langle \phi, U_0^n \phi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{in\theta} d\langle \phi, E_0(\theta)\phi \rangle$$

for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ .

In what follows we denote by  $\mathfrak{G}_\infty$  the set of compact operators defined on  $\mathcal{H}$ . For  $K \in \mathfrak{G}_\infty$  and self-adjoint we define the perturbed ( $U_0$  the unperturbed) unitary operator  $U_\beta$  as follows

$$U_\beta = U_0 e^{i\beta K} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\beta$  is a real parameter.

The identity  $U_\beta - U_0 = (e^{i\beta K} - I)U_0$  implies that  $U_\beta - U_0 \in \mathfrak{G}_\infty$ , so by Weyl's theorem the essential spectrum of  $U_0$  and  $U_\beta$  coincide, see [9].

In [1] the authors developed a well posed commutator theory for unitary operators (for a general theory about commutators see [3,7]). Precisely, they proved that if  $U$  and  $A$  satisfy

- the first commutator  $U^*AU - A$  is densely defined and it admits a bounded extension satisfying a Mourre's inequality,  $U^*AU - A \geq \alpha I + C$  for some positive constant  $\alpha$ , compact operator  $C$  and self-adjoint operator  $A$ ,
- the second order commutator  $[A, U^*AU]$  is densely defined and it admits a bounded extension,

<sup>☆</sup> Partially supported by Fondecyt # 1080455.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [angelica@mat.puc.cl](mailto:angelica@mat.puc.cl) (M.A. Astaburuaga), [vcortes@mat.puc.cl](mailto:vcortes@mat.puc.cl) (V.H. Cortés).

then the spectrum of  $U$  has no singular continuous component and only a finite number of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity in  $S^1 = \{z: |z| = 1\}$ , see Theorem 3.3 in [1]. Moreover, if (a) holds with  $C = 0$  then the spectrum of  $U$  is purely absolutely continuous in  $S^1$ . We shall apply these results to prove the absence of singular continuous spectrum for  $U_\beta$ . We mention [2] for the instability problem of embedded eigenvalues in the self-adjoint case.

The purpose of Section 2 is to find conditions on the parameters  $\{\lambda_n\}$  and the orthonormal set  $\{u_n\}$ , given by the spectral decomposition of  $K$  (Riesz–Fisher theorem), which guarantees that  $U_\beta$  satisfies (a), (b). For simplicity we only consider the case  $U_0^*AU_0 - A = I$ .

In Section 3 we study the eigenvalue problem for  $U_\beta$  under the assumptions that  $U_0$  does not have any eigenvalues. A typical example of this sort corresponds to the case when  $U_0$  is purely absolutely continuous.

We recall that  $U_0$  is *purely absolutely continuous* if its spectral measure  $d\langle \phi, E_0(\theta)\phi \rangle$  has a Radon–Nikodym derivative, with respect to the Lebesgue measure  $\frac{d\langle \phi, E_0(\theta)\phi \rangle}{d\theta} = F_\phi(\theta)$  belonging to  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ , see [4,8,9] as references.

The eigenvalue problem for  $U_\beta$  consists of finding a vector  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\psi \neq 0$  such that  $U_\beta\psi = z_0\psi$ , with  $z_0 = e^{iE}$ ,  $E \in \mathbb{R}$ . Actually the eigenvalue problem  $U_0, e^{iK\beta}\psi = z_0\psi$  is equivalent to

$$U_0(I - e^{i\beta K})\psi = (U_0 - z_0I)\psi. \quad (1.2)$$

Notice that  $(U_0 - z_0I)\psi \neq 0$  if  $z_0$  is not an eigenvalue for  $U_0$ .

On the other hand,  $K$  is compact and self-adjoint, and hence by the Riesz–Fisher theorem there exist an orthonormal set  $\{u_n\}_n \subset \mathcal{H}$  and a collection of real numbers  $\{\lambda_n\}_n$  such that

$$K = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle u_n, \cdot \rangle u_n, \quad (1.3)$$

with  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n$  tends to infinity, see [8]. Using this representation for  $K$ , the eigenvalue problem (1.2) becomes

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{i\beta\lambda_n}) \langle u_n, \psi \rangle U_0 u_n = (U_0 - z_0I)\psi, \quad (1.4)$$

where the above identity holds in the strong sense in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Our main goal is to give conditions on  $U_0$ , the spectral representation of  $K$ , the real parameter  $\beta$  and  $z_0 = e^{iE}$  that guarantee the existence of non-trivial solution of (1.4), for rank  $K$  finite or infinite. The perturbation of rank one of a purely absolutely continuous  $U_0$  was studied in [6].

### 1.1. Notations

In this paper  $U_0$  represents a unitary operator acting on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $K$  is a self-adjoint compact operator defined on  $\mathcal{H}$  with spectral decomposition (1.3) and  $U_\beta = U_0 e^{i\beta K}$  is called the perturbed operator with  $\beta$  a real parameter. The self-adjoint operator  $A$  is called a *conjugate operator for  $U_0$*  with domain  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ .

We denote by  $l^2$  the Hilbert space of complex sequences  $f = (f(n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)|^2 < \infty$ , with inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{f(n)}g(n)$ . In some example we will work with  $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$ , the Hilbert space of complex sequences  $f = (f(n))_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  such that  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |f(n)|^2 < \infty$ . Also, we denote by  $\mathbb{C}^M$  the corresponding finite dimensional version and by  $\delta_{ij}$  the Kronecker delta.

## 2. Absence of singular continuous spectrum

We start this section by given a briefly introduction to commutator theory for unitary operators and how the existence of these commutators has consequences on the spectral properties of  $U_\beta$ .

For a unitary operator  $U$  and a self-adjoint operator  $A$ , the commutators  $C_1, C_2$  are formally defined by

$$C_1 = U^*AU - A, \quad C_2 = [A, C_1] := AC_1 - C_1A.$$

$C_1$  is called the commutator of first order and  $C_2$  the commutator of second order. Let us called (A), (B), (C) the following hypotheses:

- (A) There exists a self-adjoint operator  $A$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $U_0^*AU_0 - A = I$  on the domain  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ .
- (B) The range of  $K$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ .
- (C) The range of  $K$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{D}(A^2)$ .

Clearly (B) follows from (C). If  $U_0$  and  $A$  satisfy (A) then  $U_0^{*n}AU_0^n - A = nI$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $|\langle \psi, U_0^n \psi \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{c}{n^2} \|A\psi\|^2$ , for all  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ . This inequality proves that the Radon–Nikodym derivative  $F_\psi(\theta)$  belongs to  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  for  $\psi$  on a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Moreover, condition (A) also shows that such  $A$  is not bounded below nor above, since for all positive integers  $n$  we have that

$$\langle U_0^n \psi, AU_0^n \psi \rangle \geq n \|\psi\|^2 + \langle \psi, A\psi \rangle, \quad \langle U_0^{*n} \psi, AU_0^{*n} \psi \rangle \leq \langle \psi, A\psi \rangle - n \|\psi\|^2.$$

Actually, the sequence  $\{\|Au_j\|\}_j$  is frequently unbounded.

Using (A) and (B), it is straightforward to check that the commutator of first order  $C_{1,\beta}$  for the perturbed operator  $U_\beta$  is formally

$$C_{1,\beta} = U_\beta^* AU_\beta - A = (e^{-i\beta K} A e^{i\beta K} - A) + I.$$

If we denote by  $K' = e^{-i\beta K} - I$ , we have that  $K'$  is compact, normal and

$$e^{-i\beta K} A e^{i\beta K} - A = K' A + A K'^* + K' A K'^*.$$

In the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [1], it is shown that if  $AK$  is compact and (B) holds then the operators  $K'A$ ,  $AK'^*$ ,  $K'AK'^*$  are compact. If in addition we impose (C) together with  $A^2K$  bounded then  $C_{2,\beta}$  is also bounded.

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume that conditions (A), (B) are satisfied for the unperturbed operator  $U_0$ . Suppose that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^2 \|Au_j\|^2 < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

Then  $AK$  and  $(e^{-i\beta K} A e^{i\beta K} - A)$  are compact operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  with norm

$$\|(e^{-i\beta K} A e^{i\beta K} - A)\| \leq \gamma |\beta| \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^2 \|Au_j\|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

for some positive constant  $\gamma$ .

**Proof.** By the spectral representation,  $K = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \langle u_j, \cdot \rangle u_j$ . We may define  $K_N = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \langle u_j, \cdot \rangle u_j$ ,  $K'_N = \sum_{j=1}^N (e^{-i\beta \lambda_j} - 1) \langle u_j, \cdot \rangle u_j$ . It is easy to see that

$$\|AK_N\| \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |\lambda_j|^2 \|Au_j\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|AK'_N\| \leq |\beta| \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |\lambda_j|^2 \|Au_j\|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Using (2.1) we obtain that  $AK_N$  and  $AK'_N$  converge, as  $N$  tends to infinity, to the compact operators  $AK$  and  $AK'^*$  respectively (in operator norm) and  $\|AK'^*\| \leq |\beta| (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^2 \|Au_j\|^2)^{1/2}$ .

On the other hand,  $K'A$  can be extended to a compact operator with the same norm as  $AK'^*$ , thus the norm of  $K'AK'^*$  is bounded by  $\|K\| \|AK'^*\|$ , concluding the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2** (Mourre's inequality). With the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 there exists a compact operator  $\tilde{K}$  such that  $C_{1,\beta}$  satisfies

- (i)  $C_{1,\beta} = I + \tilde{K}$ .
- (ii) There are constants  $\alpha, \beta_0 > 0$  such that  $C_{1,\beta} \geq \alpha I$  for all  $|\beta| < \beta_0$ .

Next, we study the existence of the commutator of second order,  $C_{2,\beta} = [A, C_{1,\beta}] = [A, e^{-i\beta K} A e^{i\beta K}]$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Assume that conditions (A), (B), (C) are satisfied for the unperturbed operator  $U_0$ . Suppose that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^2 \|A^2 u_j\|^2 < \infty. \tag{2.2}$$

Then  $C_{2,\beta}$  is a bounded operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Proof.** In the same way that we proved that  $AK$  is compact, using (2.2), we obtain that  $A^2K$  is compact. Then  $C_{2,\beta}$  is bounded since

$$C_{2,\beta} = AK'A + A^2K'^* + AK'AK'^* - (K'A^2 + AK'^*A + K'AK'^*A). \quad \square$$

We summarize the above results in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.** Assume that  $K$ ,  $A$  and  $U_0$  satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3. Then  $U_\beta = U_0 e^{i\beta K}$  does not have singular continuous spectrum, and it has at most a finite number of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. In addition, if  $|\beta|$  is sufficiently small, then  $U_\beta$  has purely absolutely continuous spectrum.

This theorem says, for the case that  $U_0$  is purely absolutely continuous with spectrum  $S^1$ , that the possible eigenvalues of  $U_\beta$  are embedded in the absolutely continuous spectrum of  $U_\beta$ .

As an example consider the Shift operator  $U_0$  on  $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$  defined in a complete orthonormal basis of  $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$   $\{ \dots, e_{-2}, e_{-1}, e_0, e_1, e_2, \dots \}$  by

$$U_0 e_j = e_{j+1}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Its adjoint becomes  $U_0^* e_j = e_{j-1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let us define the conjugate operator  $A$  for  $U_0$  as follows:  $Ae_j = je_j$ , with domain  $\mathcal{D}(A) = \{u \in l^2(\mathbb{Z}) : \sum_k k^2 |\langle e_k, u \rangle|^2 < \infty\}$ . It is easy to see that  $U_0^{*n} A U_0^n - A = nI$  on  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The corresponding hypotheses of Theorem 2.4 are fulfilled if

$$\sum_{j,k} k^4 |\lambda_j|^2 |\langle e_k, u_j \rangle|^2 < \infty.$$

Equivalently, we may consider  $L^2[0, 2\pi]$  with the usual inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \bar{f}(x)g(x) dx$  and the unitary operator  $(U_0 f)(x) = e^{ix} f(x)$  acting there. In this context,  $A = -i \frac{d}{dx}$  with domain

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \{u : \text{absolutely continuous, } u_x \in L^2[0, 2\pi], u(0) = u(2\pi)\}.$$

It is well known that  $U_0^* A U_0 - A = I$  and  $\sum_j |\lambda_j|^2 \|(u_j)_{xx}\|^2 < \infty$  guarantees that hypotheses of Theorem 2.4 are fulfilled.

Another example is the Floquet operator corresponding to the kicks on the Shift operator. That is,  $U_0$  is the unitary operator  $U_0 : L^2(\mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$  defined as  $U_0 = e^{-iy \cdot \nabla}$  with  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\|y\| = 1$ . The perturbed operator is given by  $U_\beta = U_0 e^{i\beta K}$ , where  $K$  is a self-adjoint compact operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ . Define the conjugate operator  $A$  by  $(Af)(x) = (x \cdot y)f(x)$ , where  $x \cdot y$  stands for the usual dot product on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . It is known that the first commutator for  $U_0$  satisfies  $U_0^* A U_0 - A = I$ .

$U_0$  is purely absolutely continuous with spectrum  $S^1$ , see [7]. If we assume (A) and in addition that  $AK$  is compact, then the first commutator  $C_{1,\beta}$  is bounded and it satisfies Mourre’s inequality. On the other hand, (B) and boundness of  $A^2 K$  assure that the second commutator  $C_{2,\beta}$  is bounded. Finally, it follows that under the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 that all conditions mentioned above hold.

### 3. Eigenvalue problem

In this section we begin to study the eigenvalue problem (1.2). First we mention a result, proven in [6], that says that if  $z = e^{iE}$  is not an eigenvalue of  $U_0$  then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^\pm} (U_0 - e^{iE})(U_0 - r e^{iE})^{-1} = I \tag{3.1}$$

strongly.

Also we will use the following result, see [9] for details. If  $U_0$  is absolutely continuous,  $d\langle \psi, E_0(\theta)\phi \rangle = F_{\psi,\phi}(\theta) d\theta$  with  $F_{\psi,\phi}(\theta) = \frac{d\langle \psi, E_0(\theta)\phi \rangle}{d\theta}$  belonging to  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$  for any  $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Now we state a lemma that will be used later.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $U_0$  be unitary,  $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\|u\| = 1$  and  $E \in [0, 2\pi)$ . Assume that  $z = e^{iE}$  is not an eigenvalue of  $U_0$  and

$$v = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} (U_0 - r e^{iE})^{-1} u \quad \text{in the strong sense.}$$

Then

- (i)  $(U_0 - e^{iE})v = u$ .
- (ii) For all  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$d\langle \psi, E_0(\theta)u \rangle = (e^{i\theta} - e^{iE})d\langle \psi, E_0(\theta)v \rangle.$$

- (iii) If in addition  $U_0$  is purely absolutely continuous

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \cot((E - \theta)/2) d\langle \psi, E_0(\theta)u \rangle \quad \text{exists.}$$

**Proof.** By (3.1) part (i) follows at once. The second statement is a direct consequence of item (i) and the spectral theorem.

To prove (iii) we apply (ii) and notice that  $U_0$  is purely absolutely continuous, so the corresponding Radon–Nikodym derivative  $G_{\psi,v}(\theta) = \frac{d\langle \psi, E_0(\theta)v \rangle}{d\theta}$  is an  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$  function and  $(e^{i\theta} - e^{iE}) \cot((E - \theta)/2)$  is uniformly bounded.  $\square$

Let us remind that  $\{u_j\}_j$  and  $\{\lambda_j\}_j$  are the corresponding vectors and real numbers coming from the spectral representation (1.3) of the compact self-adjoint operator  $K$ . Let us enumerate the following hypotheses.

- (H1) There is  $E \in [0, 2\pi)$  such that  $e^{iE}$  is not an eigenvalue of  $U_0$  and  $v_j := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (U_0 - re^{iE})^{-1} u_j$  exists in the strong sense for each  $j$ .
- (H2)  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\lambda_j|^2 \|v_j\|^2 < \infty$ , if rank of  $K$  is not finite.

Assume that (H1), (H2) hold for  $U_0$  and  $K$ . Thus the eigenvalue problem (1.4) can be written as

$$\sum_{j=1}^M (1 - e^{i\beta\lambda_j}) \langle u_j, \psi \rangle U_0 v_j = \psi, \tag{3.2}$$

where  $M$  is the rank of  $K$ .

By taking product with  $\langle u_p, \cdot \rangle$  in the above identity, we may represent Eq. (3.2) in  $\mathbb{C}^M$  ( $M = \infty$  is allowed; in that case the Hilbert space is just  $l^2$ ). In this framework Eq. (3.2) becomes

$$\sum_{j=1}^M (1 - e^{i\beta\lambda_j}) \langle u_p, U_0 v_j \rangle f(j) = f(p), \tag{3.3}$$

where  $v_j = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (U_0 - re^{iE})^{-1} u_j$ , and  $f \in \mathbb{C}^M$  with  $f(j) = \langle u_j, \psi \rangle$ .

By Lemma 3.1, we know that  $U_0 v_j = u_j + e^{iE} v_j$  and since  $\{u_j\}$  is an orthonormal set, (3.3) becomes

$$\sum_{j=1}^M (1 - e^{i\beta\lambda_j}) \langle u_p, e^{iE} v_j \rangle f(j) = e^{i\beta\lambda_p} f(p). \tag{3.4}$$

Clearly, if  $M$  is finite,  $(T_M f)(p) = \sum_{j=1}^M (1 - e^{i\beta\lambda_j}) \langle u_p, e^{iE} v_j \rangle f(j)$  is well defined on  $\mathbb{C}^M$ . If  $M = \infty$  we assume (H2). Anyway, conditions (H1), (H2) imply that  $T := T_\infty$  is compact in  $l^2$  and  $\|T_\infty\|^2 \leq |\beta|^2 \sum_{j,p=1}^\infty |\lambda_j|^2 |\langle u_p, v_j \rangle|^2 < \infty$ .

Under these assumptions the corresponding characteristic equation (1.4), in the  $l^2$  framework, is

$$(Tf)(p) = e^{i\beta\lambda_p} f(p), \quad \text{for all } p \geq 1. \tag{3.5}$$

We will see that Eq. (3.5) sometimes admits only the trivial solution in  $l^2$ , more precisely, for  $\beta$  sufficiently small  $f = 0$  is the only solution.

**Proposition 3.2.** Assume that conditions (H1) and (H2) are fulfilled and choose  $\beta$  such that  $\|T_M\| < 1$  ( $M = \infty$  is included). Then in  $\mathbb{C}^M$  (or  $l^2$  for  $M = \infty$ ) the only solution of (3.5) is the trivial one.

**Proof.** Assume that  $Tf = Df$ , with  $D$  the unitary operator defined as  $(Df)(p) = e^{i\beta\lambda_p} f(p)$ . Thus,  $\|Tf\| = \|Df\| = \|f\|$ , so if  $f \neq 0$  contradicts the fact that  $\|T\| < 1$ .  $\square$

We shall see in the next section that if  $K$  has finite rank then the eigenvalue problem can be reduced to an eigenvalue problem for matrices in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ , where  $m$  is the rank of  $K$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Consider  $U_0$  an absolutely continuous operator and  $E \in [0, 2\pi)$ . Let  $\{u_j\}_j$  be an orthonormal set on  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying hypothesis (H1). Then

$$\langle u_p, U_0 v_j \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{pj} + \frac{i}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \cot((E - \theta)/2) d\langle u_p, E_0(\theta) u_j \rangle \tag{3.6}$$

and  $\langle u_p, U_0 v_j \rangle = -\overline{\langle u_j, U_0 v_p \rangle}$ .

**Proof.** By (H1) and the spectral theorem one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_p, U_0 v_j \rangle &= \left\langle u_p, U_0 \left( \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (U_0 - r e^{iE})^{-1} u_j \right) \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \langle u_p, U_0 (U_0 - r e^{iE})^{-1} u_j \rangle \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta}}{e^{i\theta} - r e^{iE}} d \langle u_p, E_0(\theta) u_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

But it is well known that  $\frac{e^{i\theta}}{e^{i\theta} - r e^{iE}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2} \cot((E - \theta)/2)$ , for  $\theta \neq E$ . So, it remains to prove that the limit can be carried inside the integral.

Let us write  $F_{p,j}(\theta) = \frac{d \langle u_p, E_0(\theta) u_j \rangle}{d\theta}$  and  $G_{p,j}(\theta) = \frac{d \langle u_p, E_0(\theta) v_j \rangle}{d\theta}$ . Note that  $F_{p,j}, G_{p,j} \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  and by Lemma 3.1,  $F_{p,j}(\theta) = (e^{i\theta} - e^{iE}) G_{p,j}(\theta)$ . Thus,

$$\left| \frac{e^{i\theta}}{e^{i\theta} - r e^{iE}} \right| |F_{p,j}(\theta)| = \left| \frac{e^{i\theta} - e^{iE}}{e^{i\theta} - r e^{iE}} \right| |G_{p,j}(\theta)| \leq 2 |G_{p,j}(\theta)|.$$

Thus, using the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we get (3.6).

The conjugate property follows easily from the facts that  $\cot((E - \theta)/2)$  is real and  $\overline{d \langle u_j, E_0(\theta) u_p \rangle} = d \langle u_p, E_0(\theta) u_j \rangle$ . Actually, weaker conditions can be imposed to get the identity (3.6), see [5].  $\square$

### 3.1. $K$ of finite rank

In this section we shall study the eigenvalue problem (1.2) for the perturbed operator  $e^{i\beta K}$ ,  $K$  a finite rank operator. We will see, as expected, that the eigenvalue problem (1.2) is essentially an eigenvalue problem for finite matrices.

Assuming that  $K$  has rank  $m$ , as we mentioned, the eigenvalue problem to solve is

$$\sum_{j=1}^m (1 - e^{i\beta \lambda_j}) \langle u_p, U_0 v_j \rangle f(j) = f(p), \quad \text{for all } p = 1, \dots, m.$$

Let us represent the above identities by

$$A \hat{x} = \hat{x}, \tag{3.7}$$

where  $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{C}^m$  is the column vector  $\hat{x} = [\langle u_1, \psi \rangle \dots \langle u_m, \psi \rangle]^t$ , and  $A = (a_{pj})$  is an  $m \times m$  matrix with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$a_{pj} = (1 - e^{i\beta \lambda_j}) \langle u_p, U_0 v_j \rangle.$$

The matrix  $A$  can be decomposed as  $A = BD$ , so the eigenvalue problem (3.7) becomes

$$(BD - I) \hat{x} = \hat{0}, \tag{3.8}$$

where  $D$  is an  $m \times m$  diagonal matrix with entries  $d_j = 1 - e^{i\lambda_j \beta}$  and  $B$  is the  $m \times m$  matrix given by

$$B = (b_{pj}), \quad \text{with } b_{pj} = \langle u_p, U_0 v_j \rangle. \tag{3.9}$$

Note that  $D$  depends on the parameters  $\beta$  and  $\lambda_j$ , the corresponding eigenvalues of  $K$ . In addition, if the matrix  $D$  is invertible then  $\text{Null}(A - I) \neq \{0\}$  if and only if  $\text{Null}(B - D^{-1}) \neq \{0\}$ .

In the case that  $D$  is not invertible, some columns of  $A$  are zero, so the rank of  $K$  is less than  $m$  or  $\lambda_j \beta$  is a multiple of  $2\pi$  for some  $j$ . From now on we assume that  $D$  is invertible.

The next proposition summarizes some properties of the matrix  $A = BD$ . We assume that  $U_0$  is purely absolutely continuous, so Theorem 3.3 is valid.

**Proposition 3.4.** Assume that the  $m \times m$  diagonal matrix  $D$  with entries  $d_j = 1 - e^{i\beta \lambda_j}$  is invertible and define  $C = B - D^{-1}$  with  $B$  defined in (3.9). Then  $C = iR$  with  $R$  hermitian. Moreover, the eigenvalues of  $B$  lie on the line  $\{\frac{1}{2} + it : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

**Proof.** The first part follows using Theorem 3.3 and the identity  $d_j^{-1} = 1/2 + i/2 \cot(\lambda_j \beta/2)$ .

Consider  $\alpha$  an eigenvalue for  $B$  with  $Bw = \alpha w$ ,  $w = [w_1 \dots w_m]^t$ . Using that  $B = D^{-1} + iR$ ,

$$\langle w, D^{-1} w \rangle + i \langle w, R w \rangle = \langle w, B w \rangle = \alpha \|w\|^2.$$

But,  $\langle w, D^{-1} w \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m |w_j|^2 \cot(\lambda_j \beta/2)$  and  $\langle w, R w \rangle$  is real. By taking the real part in the above identities we obtain that  $\Re \alpha = 1/2$ .  $\square$

Thus, we have proved that  $A\hat{x} = \hat{x}$  has a non-trivial solution if and only if 0 is an eigenvalue for the hermitian matrix  $R$ . Now to go further one needs to look at the entries of  $R$ . Let us denote as  $G(x)$  the kernel  $G(x) = \frac{1}{2} \cot(x/2)$  for  $0 < x < 2\pi$ . By hypothesis (H1) and since  $U_0$  is purely absolutely continuous we know that

$$c_j(E) = \int_0^{2\pi} G(E - \theta) d\langle u_j, E_0(\theta)u_j \rangle \tag{3.10}$$

is well defined.

The principal diagonal of  $R$  has real entries given by

$$r_{jj} = \int_0^{2\pi} G(E - \theta) d\langle u_j, E_0(\theta)u_j \rangle - G(\lambda_j\beta/2) = c_j(E) - G(\lambda_j\beta/2). \tag{3.11}$$

On the other hand, the off diagonal entries of  $R$  do not depend on the real parameter  $\beta$ .

**Example.** Let  $K$  be a real finite linear combination of rank one orthogonal projectors, that is,  $K = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \langle u_j, \cdot \rangle u_j$ . Using (3.8), the equation  $BD\hat{x} = \hat{x}$  becomes

$$B\hat{x} = \frac{1}{1 - e^{i\lambda\beta}} \hat{x} = \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2} \cot(\lambda\beta/2) \right) \hat{x}.$$

By Proposition 3.4, if  $B\hat{w} = \alpha_0 \hat{w}$  then  $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2} + it_0$ , for some real  $t_0$ . The image of cotangent is the whole real line, thus for every eigenvalue  $\alpha$  of  $B$  we can choose a unique  $\beta$ , depending on  $\alpha$ , with  $0 < \beta < 2\pi/\lambda$  such that  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} + it = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2} \cot(\lambda\beta/2)$ .

In this way we have proved that for such  $K$  and  $E$  there exists  $\beta$  restricted to the open interval  $]0, 2\pi/\lambda[$  such that  $U_\beta\psi = e^{iE}\psi$  has a non-trivial solution.

Consider the eigenvalue problem  $U_\beta\psi = z\psi$  with  $z = e^{iE}$  and  $K = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \langle u_j, \cdot \rangle u_j$ . We associate to this problem the following Hermitian  $m \times m$  matrix

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \dots & r_{1n} \\ \overline{r_{12}} & r_{22} & \dots & r_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \overline{r_{1n}} & \overline{r_{2n}} & \dots & r_{mm} \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.12}$$

where  $r_{pj} = -ib_{pj}$  are given by (3.9) for  $p \neq j$  and by (3.11) for  $p = j$ . Note that for  $p \neq j$ ,  $r_{pj}$  depend on  $E$  but not on  $\beta$  or  $\lambda_j$ . So far, we have proved the following result.

**Proposition 3.5.** Assume that the entries of the matrix  $R$  are well defined for a given real number  $E$ . Then  $z = e^{iE}$  is an eigenvalue of  $U_\beta$  if and only if  $\det(R) = 0$ .

Our next result shows that the rank two case is solvable in a rather general context.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $K$  be a rank two operator defined by  $K = \lambda_1 \langle u_1, \cdot \rangle u_1 + \lambda_2 \langle u_2, \cdot \rangle u_2$  with  $u_1, u_2$  orthogonal and satisfying (H1) for a real number  $E$ ,  $0 \leq E \leq 2\pi$ . Assume that  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  have the same sign. Then there exists  $\beta_0$  such that  $e^{iE}$  is an eigenvalue for the perturbed operator  $U_{\beta_0} = U_0 e^{i\beta_0 K}$ .

**Proof.** Let us write  $D := D(\beta)$ . It is enough to consider  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 > 0$ . It is more convenient to work with the matrix equation  $(B - D^{-1}(\beta))\hat{x} = \hat{0}$ , which has a non-trivial solution if and only if there exists  $\beta$  such that  $\det(B - D^{-1}(\beta)) = 0$ .

By Proposition 3.4 we have that  $\det(B - D^{-1}(\beta)) = 0$  if and only if  $\det(R) = 0$ ,  $R$  hermitian. That is,  $r_{11}r_{22} - |r_{12}|^2 = 0$ . Note that  $r_{12}$  does not depend on  $\beta$ . Also,  $\det(R) = 0$  if and only if

$$\left( c_1(E) - \frac{1}{2} \cot(\lambda_1\beta/2) \right) \cdot \left( c_2(E) - \frac{1}{2} \cot(\lambda_2\beta/2) \right) = |r_{12}|^2, \tag{3.13}$$

where  $c_1(E), c_2(E)$  do not depend on  $\beta$ . So, we need to prove that for a given positive number  $|r_{12}|^2$  there exists a solution  $\beta$  for Eq. (3.13).

The period of  $g_j(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} \cot(\lambda_j\beta/2)$  is  $T_j = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_j}$  for each  $j = 1, 2$ , and the condition  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 > 0$  implies that  $T_1 \leq T_2$ .

Let  $h_j(x)$  denote the periodic functions  $h_j(x) = c_j(E) - g_j(x)$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . Since  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  have the same signs both functions go to  $-\infty$  as  $\beta \rightarrow 0^+$ . On the other hand,  $g_1(]0, T_1[) = \mathbb{R}$ , which assures that the range of  $h_1(x)$  as  $x$  runs over the interval  $]0, T_1[$  is the whole real line. Since  $h_1$  is continuous in the branch  $]0, T_1[$  there exists  $x_1 \in ]0, T_1[$  with  $h_1(x_1) = 0$ .

Thus,  $H(x) = h_1(x)h_2(x)$  approaches  $+\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  and  $H(x_1) = 0$ . Also, since  $T_2 \geq T_1$ ,  $H$  is continuous in  $]0, T_1[$ . Therefore, the product function  $H(x) = (c_1(E) - g_1(\beta)) \cdot (c_2(E) - g_2(\beta))$  attains any nonnegative number when  $x$  runs over  $]0, T_1[$ .  $\square$

Next, we settle a sort of converse result.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $U_0$  be a unitary operator without eigenvalues, and  $m$  be a positive integer. Consider  $E$  a real number and  $\{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$  an orthonormal set satisfying condition (H1). Then for any real  $t$  there exists a compact self-adjoint operator  $K$  of rank  $m$ ,  $K = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \langle u_j, \cdot \rangle u_j$ , such that  $e^{iE}$  is an eigenvalue of  $U_t = U_0 e^{itK}$ .*

**Proof.** Let us define the function  $\Delta : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \det(M)$ , where

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} c_1(E) - x_1 & r_{12} & \dots & r_{1n} \\ \overline{r_{12}} & c_2(E) - x_2 & \dots & r_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \overline{r_{1n}} & \overline{r_{2n}} & \dots & c_m(E) - x_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let us write  $\mathcal{N} = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : \Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 0\}$ . Taking  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_m = x$  one gets  $M = Q - xI$ , with  $Q$  hermitian. So,  $\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_m) = p_Q(x)$  is the characteristic polynomial associated to  $Q$ . Since  $Q$  is hermitian the roots of  $p_Q(x)$  must be real, so  $\mathcal{N}$  is not empty.

Consider  $(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  a solution of  $\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$ . Let us recall that  $\cot(x/2)$  is a bijection between  $]0, 2\pi[$  and  $\mathbb{R} = ]-\infty, \infty[$ . Then for a given real number  $t$  it is possible to choose a vector  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$  with  $0 < \lambda_j \frac{t}{2} < \pi$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , and such that  $x_j = \frac{1}{2} \cot(\lambda_j t/2)$ . With these choices  $R = M$  and  $\det(R) = 0$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3.2. Eigenvalues for $K$ of infinite rank

We now study the eigenvalue problem  $U_\beta \psi = z\psi$ , where  $U_\beta = U_0 e^{i\beta K}$  with  $K$  of infinite rank.

Suppose that conditions (H1), (H2) hold for  $K$  and  $z = e^{iE}$ . Define the linear operator  $S_K$  by  $S_K \psi = \sum_j \lambda_j \langle u_j, \psi \rangle v_j$ .

By conditions (H1), (H2)  $S_K$  is a bounded linear operator in  $\mathcal{H}$  with norm  $\|S_K\| \leq \sum_j |\lambda_j|^2 \|v_j\|^2$ .

Following the same directions as we developed for the finite rank case, the eigenvalue problem for  $U_\beta \psi = z\psi$  may be represented in the Hilbert space  $l^2$  by

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{i\beta \lambda_j}) \langle u_j, \psi \rangle \langle u_p, U_0 v_j \rangle = \langle u_p, \psi \rangle, \tag{3.14}$$

with  $\lambda_j \rightarrow 0$  and  $v_j = S_K u_j$ . Recall that  $U_0 v_j = u_j + z v_j$  for all  $j$ .

Let us define the operator  $T_z$  as follows

$$(T_z f)(p) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{i\beta \lambda_j}) \langle u_p, z v_j \rangle f(j).$$

Under conditions (H1), (H2),  $T_z$  is compact on  $l^2$  and the eigenvalue problem  $U_\beta \varphi = z\varphi$  may be written as the following problem in  $l^2$ ,

$$(T_z f)(p) = e^{i\beta \lambda_p} f(p). \tag{3.15}$$

Notice that  $v_j$  depend on  $z$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *Assume that conditions (H1), (H2) are fulfilled. Then  $f \in l^2$  is a solution of (3.15) if and only if  $\psi = \sum_j (1 - e^{i\beta \lambda_j}) f(j) U_0 v_j$  is a solution of the eigenvalue problem  $U_\beta \psi = z\psi$  with  $f(j) = \langle u_j, \psi \rangle$  for all  $j$ .*

**Proof.** It only remains to prove that if  $f \in l^2$  then  $\psi = \sum_j (1 - e^{i\beta \lambda_j}) f(j) U_0 v_j$  is a vector belonging to  $\mathcal{H}$ , but this is a consequence of condition (H2) since

$$\sum_j |\lambda_j| |f(j)| \|U_0 v_j\| \leq \|f\|_{l^2} \left( \sum_j |\lambda_j|^2 \|v_j\|_{l^2}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad \square$$



### 3.3. The shift operator

Now we shall apply the general framework developed above to the shift operator.

Let us take  $f_n \in l^2$  with  $f_n(k) = \delta_{nk}$  (Kronecker delta) and consider  $g \in l^2$  a finite linear combination of  $f_n$ 's. After reordering we may assume that  $g = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n f_n$ . First, we find conditions on  $K, \beta, E$  in such a way that  $g$  is a solution of (3.15).

Clearly,  $(Tf_n)(p) = (1 - e^{i\beta\lambda_n})\langle u_p, zv_n \rangle$  and

$$(1 - e^{i\beta\lambda_n})\langle u_p, zv_n \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \neq n, \\ e^{i\beta\lambda_n} & \text{if } p = n. \end{cases}$$

Then  $g$  is a solution of (3.15) if

$$\sum_{n=1}^N a_n (1 - e^{i\beta\lambda_n})\langle u_p, zv_n \rangle = \begin{cases} a_p e^{i\beta\lambda_p} & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq N, \\ 0 & \text{if } p > N. \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

If  $\langle u_p, v_n \rangle = 0$  for all  $p > N$  and  $1 \leq n \leq N$ , we obtain that

$$\sum_{n=1}^N a_n (1 - e^{i\beta\lambda_n})\langle u_p, zv_n \rangle = a_p e^{i\beta\lambda_p},$$

which is just the equation given in (3.4) for the finite dimensional case.

Let  $U_0$  be the shift operator on  $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$ . The operator  $U_0$  is unitary, has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, and  $\sigma(U_0) = \mathbb{T}$ . Our goal is to define a compact operator  $K$  having infinite rank such that the perturbed operator  $U_\beta = U_0 e^{i\beta K}$  has an eigenvalue  $z = e^{iE}$ .

Let us construct an orthonormal set  $\{u_j\}_j$  and their corresponding  $\{v_j\}_j$ ,  $v_j = (U_0 - e^{iE})^{-1}u_j$ , such that conditions (H1), (H2) are fulfilled.

Define the vector  $u_j \in l^2$  as follows

$$u_j = ae_{3j+2} - zae_{3j+1}, \tag{3.17}$$

with  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{B} = \{u_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is an orthogonal set in  $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$  and since  $|z| = 1$ ,  $\|u_j\|^2 = 2|a|^2$ . Choosing  $a$  such that  $|a|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ , the set  $\mathfrak{B}$  is orthonormal.

It is easy to see that  $v_j = ae_{3j+1}$  satisfies  $(U_0 - z)v_j = u_j$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so (H1) holds. A direct computing shows that  $\langle u_j, v_p \rangle = 0$  for all  $j \neq p$  and  $\langle u_j, zv_j \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}$  and then its imaginary part is zero, so  $\cot(\lambda\beta/2) = 0$ .

Applying Theorem 3.8,  $z$  will be an eigenvalue if  $|a|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $\beta, \lambda$  must satisfy  $\beta\lambda = \pi$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** Consider the orthonormal set  $\mathfrak{B} = \{u_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  where the vectors  $u_j$  are given by (3.17) with  $|a|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ . Define the compact self-adjoint operator  $K = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_j \langle u_j, \cdot \rangle u_j$  and  $U_\beta = U_0 e^{i\beta K}$ , with  $U_0$  the shift operator acting on  $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Assume that  $\beta$  and  $\lambda_n$  satisfy  $\beta\lambda_n = \pi$ . Then  $\psi = (1 - e^{i\beta\lambda_n})U_0 v_n$  satisfies  $U_\beta \psi = e^{iE} \psi$ .

### References

- [1] M.A. Astaburuaga, O. Bourget, V.H. Cortés, C. Fernández, Floquet operators without singular continuous spectrum, J. Funct. Anal. 238 (2006) 489–517.
- [2] Laura Cataneo, Mourre's inequality and embedded bound states, Bull. Sci. Math. 129 (2005) 591–614.
- [3] H.L. Cycon, R.G. Froese, W. Kirsch, B. Simon, Schrödinger Operators, Springer, 1987.
- [4] T. Kato, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1966.
- [5] Y. Katznelson, An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis, Dover, New York, 1976.
- [6] Rodrigo Perez Arancibia, Dos modelos que ilustran el fenómeno de resonancia en Mecánica Cuántica, Thesis (Doctorado en Matemáticas), Santiago, Chile, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Facultad de Matemáticas, 2006.
- [7] C.R. Putnam, Commutation Properties of Hilbert Space Operators and Related Topics, Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [8] M. Reed, B. Simon, Method of Modern Mathematical Physics I, Functional Analysis, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [9] D.R. Yafaev, Mathematical Scattering Theory: General Theory, Transl. Math. Monogr., vol. 105, Amer. Math. Soc., 1992.