Retrograde trans-mesoappendicular selective subserosal laparoscopic appendicectomy
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Abstract
Pediatric laparoscopic appendicectomy in the presence of an appendicular mass following recurrent appendicitis can represent an operative challenge. To address this problem, we describe a laparoscopic technique, which involves a retrograde appendicectomy combined with trans-mesoappendicular and selective subserosal dissection thus preventing the possible damage to the surrounding anatomical structures. This technique has been reported in open appendectomy. However, to the best of our knowledge, it has never been described using the laparoscopic approach.

1. Case report
A 15-year-old boy was admitted to our hospital with a 2-day history of constant right iliac fossa pain, which was 6/10 in severity. It was aggravated by movements and ameliorated by analgesia. He had a previous similar episode of suspected appendicitis, which occurred about 4–6 years ago and was treated conservatively at a different hospital.

On examination, he was afebrile and his observations were all stable. His abdomen was tender on deep palpation in the right iliac fossa. There was no palpable abdominal mass. The patient’s white cell count (WCC) was 10.10\(\times\)10\(^9\)/L with 8.4\(\times\)10\(^9\)/L neutrophils and C-reactive protein (CRP) was 19 mg/L. All the other laboratory results which included liver function test, urea and electrolytes, amylase and lipase were within normal range. It was not a clear clinical picture of appendicitis. Therefore, the child was admitted for close observation. On the next day of his hospital stay, the abdominal pain did not improve and he had guarding in the right iliac fossa. At that time, a provisional diagnosis of appendicitis was made and we decided to proceed surgically.

At laparoscopy, an acutely inflamed and perforated appendix was seen along with free pus in the pelvis and right paracolic gutter. In addition, an inflammatory mass composed of small bowel loops, omentum, terminal ileum and cecum was found in the right lower quadrant of the abdomen. The mass was dismantled with careful laparoscopic dissection to expose the inflamed appendix. This was pelvic in position and also firmly stuck to the right lateral pelvic wall more distally with a gangrenous tip. No obvious faecolith was found.
Due to the remarkable difficulties in separating the adhesions in the distal part of the appendix, a classical retrograde appendicectomy with laparoscopic approach was commenced. The less inflamed looking base of the appendix was dissected, transfixed, transected and then stitched with intracorporeal laparoscopic 2–0 vicryl suture. Despite having attempted the classical (extraserosal) retrograde approach laparoscopically, the dense adhesions between appendix and the surrounding retroperitoneal anatomical structures (right vas deferens, ureter and iliac vessels) made the dissection on that plane unsafe.

To overcome this pathological adversity, the subserosal plane was entered in order to remove the appendix avoiding accidental injury to these structures. We could clearly visualize the plane between two leaves of the mesoappendix close to the appendicular base and this enabled us to dissect the appendix subserosally and circumferentially using bipolar diathermy (Fig. 1). This trans-mesoappendicular approach provided better access and the appendicular vessels where cauterized using bipolar diathermy. However, the distal part was still firmly stuck and at this stage the procedure was continued extraserosally in order to safely dissect the gangrenous tip. There was no bleeding from the remaining serosal layer. After removal of the appendix, the peritoneal cavity was thoroughly washed with 0.9% sodium chloride.

The patient's post-operative period was uneventful and he made a smooth recovery. Histopathology confirmed the presence of a pyogenic necrotizing appendicitis with serositis and florid suppuration in the adjacent connective tissue.

2. Discussion

There are divergent opinions on the management of an appendicular mass. In case of a difficult appendicular mass, initial conservative management followed by interval appendicectomy was proposed by Ochsner and Sherren back in 1901 and it is still preferred by many surgeons nowadays. This modality of treatment is thought to decrease the risk of surgical complications and also to avoid recurrence, which may happen once the acute inflammatory process terminates following medical treatment [6].

On the other hand, there is a school of thought in favor of immediate appendicectomy in the presence of an appendicular mass as opposed to initial conservative treatment followed by interval appendicectomy. In a prospective, non-randomized study conducted on 46 patients who presented with an appendicular mass and underwent surgery within 24 h from admission, difficulties in adhesiolysis and localization of the appendix were recorded only in 10% of the cases and no major complications occurred [7]. Another recent retrospective study, which included 47 patients with an appendicular mass showed that 80.9% of the cases which underwent immediate appendicectomy had no complications [8]. Both these studies, advocate the immediate surgical approach to be safe and an effective alternative to conservative management [7,9].

In the absence of appendicular perforation, the mucosa and submucosa are the first tissue layers to be affected as a result of the compromised vessels, leaving the serosal layer intact [1,10]. Therefore, our modality of removing the appendix by dissecting it from the serosal layer does not cause complications that could follow incomplete appendicectomy where part of the diseased organ is left in situ. This novel technique was described as subserosal appendicular stripping by Sebastian et al. in a study that included 49 patients who presented with recurrent appendicitis and underwent delayed open appendicectomy. Similarly, in their study the appendix was highly inflamed and stuck with numerous serosal adhesions. There were no surgical complications apart from one case of minimal serosal bleeding which was successfully managed with cauteryization. All patients were discharge 48 h post-operatively and their routine follow up at three months was uneventful [1]. In our case, we limited the subserosal technique only to the main body of the appendix, which was densely adherent to the right pelvic structures, as opposed to a complete subserosal open appendicectomy.

Recently, Hannan and Hoque have described a laparoscopic submucosal appendectomy for difficult and adherent cases to minimize complications in 19 pediatric patients [11]. They used submucosal method similar to Soave pull-through technique for Hirschsprung's disease [12]. However, we among other authors believe that appendicitis is a disease primarily affecting the mucosal layer [1,10]. They also used anti-mesenteric approach to initiate the dissection while we preferred Bianchi’s ‘triangle of dissection’ between the two leaves of the mesoappendix to facilitate dissection in the bloodless plane [13].

To the best of our knowledge, this technique has not yet been described in the Pediatric literature using the laparoscopic approach for the management of an appendicular mass found intraoperatively when performing immediate appendicectomy.

3. Conclusion

Recurrent appendicitis after initial conservative treatment may present a difficult and challenging complexity of diagnosis and surgical management. Retrograde trans-mesoappendicular selective subserosal laparoscopic appendicectomy when the appendix is stuck and located in the retroperitoneal space could represent the preferred surgical approach as opposed to traditional total extraserosal laparoscopic appendicectomy in children. This technique allows the operating surgeon to perform the dissection in a safe plane away from vulnerable retroperitoneal anatomical structures.

---

![Fig. 1. Laparoscopic selective subserosal appendicular dissection to separate the appendix from the right pelvic structures.](image-url)
which might be injured during the procedure, minimizing the risk of iatrogenic intraoperative complications.
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