Right hemothorax: An unusual presentation of a Barrett’s ulcer perforation
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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Despite improvements in detection and surgical techniques perforation of the esophagus are lifethreatening. In this case report a rare presentation esophageal perforation due to Barrett’s ulceration into an aortic vessel is described.

PRESENTATION OF CASE: We report a 42 year old man with known Barrett’s esophagus presenting with abdominal pain. Further investigations showed an active intrathoracal hemorrhage due to esophageal perforation at exactly the same site of the known Barrett’s ulcer one year before. Thoracotomy with evacuation of blood was performed and an aortic branch as bleeding focus was found.

DISCUSSION: Hemothorax due to esophageal perforation of a benign Barrett’s ulcer is rare. The diagnosis of aorto-esophageal fistula’s can be complicated and its presentation is frequently unspecific and is simply confused with other disorders. Acute thoracotomy is necessary and choice of closure depends on the cause and size of the perforation.

CONCLUSION: This case illustrates the need for maintaining a wide-ranging view of potential cases of hemothorax. The key to survival in patients with aorto-esophageal fistula is maintaining awareness of the condition to allow early diagnosis and operative management of this treatable lesion.

© 2013 Surgical Associates Ltd. Published by Elsevier Ltd. Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license.

1. Introduction

Esophageal perforation is a relatively rare but potentially lethal condition. Several factors, including the diversity of clinical symptoms and the proximity of vital structures, contribute to a high morbidity and a mortality rate of about 20%. Prompt radiological and endoscopic evaluation and surgical intervention are critical to minimize morbidity and mortality. Most cases of hemothorax are related to open or closed chest trauma or procedures such as central lines, thoracentesis, pleural biopsy, or catheterization and therefore anamnesis is generally suggestive for diagnosis. This case report presents an unusual presentation of esophageal perforation with hemothorax resulting from benign Barrett’s ulcer perforating into an aortic vessel.

2. Case presentation

A 42-year-old man presented at the emergency room with a history of acute abdominal pain, pallor and sweating for the past 2 h. His history included infantile encephalopathy, a sliding hiatus hernia and Barrett’s esophagus treated with omeprazole 40 mg daily. Due to poor swallowing function the patient received a PEG-tube 5 years previously for feeding. Endoscopy, performed one year before presentation because of melena, revealed esophageal ulceration 28 cm from the dental line, suspicious for malignancy. Biopsies showed a columnar lined (Barrett’s) esophagus with ulceration but no malignant features. The patient received sucralfate in addition to omeprazole. Repeat endoscopy one month later showed complete ulcer healing. Due to buried bumper syndrome the patient received surgical insertion of a new PEG 23 days before presentation. He developed post-procedural vomiting which required placement of a jejunal extension through the PEG. Five days before presentation, the PEG-J was replaced by a PEJ because of gastric luxation of the PEG-J extension. On admission, his heart rate was 128 beats/min, blood pressure 115/72 mmHg, temperature 37.2 °C, and pulse oximetry 99% with 3 L oxygen. Chest examination showed reduced breath sounds on the right side. Abdominal examination revealed no distension but he was diffusely tender on palpation. Laboratory tests showed hemoglobin level of 7.7 mmol/L; hematocrit of 0.39 L/L; white blood cell count 16.7 L−1; thrombocytes 647 L−1, C-reactive protein (CRP) 18 mg/L, and amylase 861 U/L. Liver and renal function were normal. Arterial blood gas analysis was normal although serum lactate was 3.6 mmol/L. Chest X-ray showed a hydrothorax on the right side (Fig. 1).

During admission the patient vomited once, with slight blood visible. Endoscopy demonstrated a small esophageal ulcer at 29 cm with a blood clot. The patient was admitted to intensive care as he developed signs of hemorrhagic shock with a drop in hemoglobin level to 4 mmol/L. Following stabilization in intensive care, a
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computed tomography (CT) scan of the thorax showed pleural fluid on the right side suggestive of blood. A blush from the thoracic aorta suggested active intrathoracic hemorrhage (Figs. 2 and 3). Immediately, a right lateral thoracotomy was performed which revealed 3L of retained blood and clots. Inspection of the left chest revealed a 1-cm anterior esophageal perforation. Next to this perforation a direct branch of the aorta was identified as the bleeding focus. Hemostasis was achieved using Prolene sutures. After passing of a nasogastric tube, the esophageal perforation was closed primarily with polydioxanone and Floseal was left. Active thoracic drainage with negative suction pressure and mediastinal passive drainage was performed. The patient received cefuroxime/metrodinazole for four weeks postoperatively. The patient went home after six weeks. Six weeks after admission an endoscopy revealed a small residual esophageal ulcer. Pathologic examination of biopsy specimens from the ulcer margin revealed again columnar lined (Barret’s) esophagus with benign ulceration. Follow-up after 12 weeks showed healing of the ulcer with some columnar irregular scar tissue without signs of malignancy.

3. Discussion

Esophageal perforations are life-threatening. Leakage of esophageal and gastric contents into the mediastinum creates a necrotizing inflammatory process that can lead to sepsis, multi-organ failure, and death.\(^1,2\) A high index of clinical suspicion is a prerequisite for prompt diagnosis and early therapeutic intervention to reduce morbidity and mortality. Esophageus perforation often is iatrogenic due to endoscopic procedures followed by Boerhaave syndrome and traumatic perforation caused by accidentally swallowed foreign bodies.\(^1,2\) In our patient the gastro-endoscopic procedures could have been a predisposing factor in the development of this severe complication. However this seems a less likely explanation than spontaneous perforation because of presentation of the ulcer at the exact same site one year earlier.

About 30% of esophageal ruptures are spontaneous, which can be related to intense vomiting causing an increase in intra-abdominal pressure.\(^1,2\) This clinico-pathologic entity, described by Hermann Boerhaave in 1724, is called Boerhaave syndrome. Phelan et al. described a 78-year-old man with postemetic esophageal rupture presenting with a massive left hemothorax.\(^3\) In Boerhaave’s syndrome the tear mostly occurs at the left posterolateral aspect of the distal esophagus just superior to the diaphragm and extends for several centimeters.\(^3\)

Barrett’s esophagus is the condition in which a metaplastic columnar epithelium that predisposes to cancer development replaces the stratified squamous epithelium that normally lines the distal esophagus. The metaplastic epithelium is acquired as a consequence of chronic gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). Frequently, bleeding events as complication of Barrett’s ulcer are seen, and range from massive hematemesis to occult bleeding with chronic anemia and iron deficiency. Perforation of Barrett’s ulcer is rare. Guillem et al. reviewed 34 patients with a perforated benign Barrett’s ulcer. They show penetration into mediastinal structures adjacent to the esophagus, such as the pleural cavity, left atrium, tracheobronchial tract, the aorta or its branches, pericardium, and pulmonary vein.\(^4\) In our patient the artery could be aberrant or may have been a segmental esophageal artery possibly hypertrophied from the surrounding inflammation. Aortoesophageal fistulas are mostly caused by thoracic aneurysms or malignancy.\(^5\) Few cases of aortoesophageal fistulas resulting from a perforation of an esophageal ulcer developed within Barrett’s mucosa are described in the literature. The diagnosis can be complicated and
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