Classifying modules over K-theory spectra

Jerome J. Wolbert [1]

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Communicated by E.M. Friedlander; received 21 June 1995; revised 15 April 1996

Abstract

The category of modules over an S-algebra (A_∞ or E_∞ ring spectrum) has many of the good properties of the category of spectra. When the homotopy groups of the S-algebra in question form a sufficiently nice ring, it is possible to see the deviation of the category of modules over an S-algebra from the corresponding algebraic module category. In particular, many algebraic modules are realized as homotopy groups of topological modules over S-algebras. Examples studied include real and complex K-theory, both connective and periodic. Further, Bousfield localization by a smashing spectrum is shown to yield a category of modules over the localized sphere. For periodic K-theory, these methods yield an algebraic criterion to determine when a local spectrum is a module over the K-theory S-algebra, real or complex. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V.

AMS Classification: Primary: 55P42, 55N15, 19L41, 55T99; secondary: 55P60, 16E10

1. Introduction

The stable category of spectra is known to have good algebraic properties; this has been expanded and made more precise by Elmendorf et al. [13]. Any strict commutative (E_∞) ring spectrum is essentially a commutative S-algebra, for which the appropriate diagrams commute on the point-set level. Here, all S-algebras are assumed to be commutative.

Let R be an S-algebra. An R-module is defined in terms of diagrams that commute on the point-set level. The classical definition of module spectrum requires that the diagrams which define the module merely commute up to homotopy; here, such a spectrum will be called a naive module spectrum.

The category of R-modules has all the standard constructions used in stable homotopy theory, yielding many stable homotopy theories. The sphere spectrum S is one example

of an S-algebra, and the classical stable homotopy category is equivalent to the derived category of S-modules. The algebra in other examples often involves (known) rings simpler than $\pi_* S$.

Working in the derived category, $\mathscr{D}_R$, of R-modules (weak equivalences inverted), we will not distinguish between an object or map and its weak homotopy type. The derived category is equivalent to the homotopy category of cell modules and cellular maps, so we could alternately assume that all objects are cell modules and all maps are cellular maps. There is a smash product of R-modules, $\wedge_R$, which is analogous to the derived tensor product of R_*-modules. In the context of S-modules, $\wedge$ denotes $\wedge_S$, the smash product over S.

Modules over an S-algebra R can be closely related to algebraic modules over the ring R_* of homotopy groups of R. For example, the derived category of a discrete commutative ring is equivalent to the derived category of modules over the Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum of the ring.

Given an R_*-module M_*, M^* denotes the R^* module obtained by regrading: $M^n = M_{-n}$. Note that, for any naive R-module spectrum X, $\pi_* X$ has a canonical R_*-module structure. *Realization* of an R_*-module refers to finding an R-module with homotopy isomorphic to the given module.

This paper investigates the algebraic classification of modules in these stable homotopy categories of R-modules. The main classification results follow Bousfield [7, 8].

Theorem 6. *Let R be an S-algebra. Then every R_*-module of projective dimension at most two can be realized as the module of homotopy groups of some R-module. Such an R-module is unique up to homotopy if the R_*-module has projective or injective dimension at most one. When M_* is an R_*-module of projective dimension two, there is an equivalence relation finer than homotopy equivalence so that equivalence classes of R-modules with homotopy M_* are in bijective correspondence with the elements of* $\mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{2,-1}(M^*, M^*)$.

Thus, any two modules in a given equivalence class are (weakly) homotopy equivalent, but modules in two distinct classes may also be equivalent. So, isomorphism classes of objects in $\mathscr{D}_R$ with homotopy M^* correspond to elements of a quotient of $\mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{2,-1}(M^*, M^*)$.

Ext is relevant since the main tool used to prove this theorem is a spectral sequence $E_2^{s,t}(M,N) = \mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{s,t}(M^*, N^*) \Rightarrow [M,N]_{-s-t}^R$ converging from algebraic Ext groups to homotopy classes of R-module maps. In the dimension one case, the spectral sequence reduces to a short exact sequence describing the homotopy classes of maps of R-modules. Complex periodic K-theory, KU, is an example of an S-algebra over which this is true.

Further, when R_* has global dimension at most two and is sufficiently sparse, the category of R-modules can be described completely algebraically. One example is complex connective K-theory, ku.

For real K-theory, the classification is more complex: the relevant ring has infinite cohomological dimension; however, generalizing the concept of ring allows construction of an algebraic category with dimension two. Note that, as ko-modules, $ku \simeq ko \wedge C(\eta)$. We also use kt, defined here as $ko \wedge C(\eta^2)$, which is a connective version of self-conjugate K-theory [2, 8].

Let crt be the category with objects ko, ku, and kt and maps all maps of ko-modules. The category of additive functors from crt into the category of abelian groups has many of the properties of a module category: we call this the category of united modules or crt-modules. Any ko-module X gives a crt-module $\pi_*^{crt}(X)$ by smashing with S, $C(\eta)$, and $C(\eta^2)$ respectively, and taking homotopy. When the image of a crt-module fits into certain exact sequences, it is called crt-acyclic. The exactness condition is motivated by the topology and is necessary for realizability.

Theorem 17. *The category of crt-acyclic crt-modules has enough projectives and all objects have projective dimension at most two. Any crt-acyclic crt-module can be realized as $\pi_*^{crt}(X)$ for some ko-module X. This ko-module is unique up to homotopy if the crt-module has projective or injective dimension at most one. For a fixed crt-module M of projective dimension two, there is an equivalence relation finer than homotopy equivalence so that equivalence classes of ko-modules X with $\pi_*^{crt}(X) = M$ are in bijective correspondence with the elements of $\text{Ext}_{crt}^{2,-1}(M,M)$.*

Again, the main tool used to prove the theorem is a spectral sequence $E_2^{s,t}(M,N) = \text{Ext}_{ko_*}^{s,t}(M^*, N^*) \Rightarrow [M,N]_{-s-t}^{ko}$ converging from a generalization of Ext for united modules to homotopy classes of maps of ko-modules.

A similar result holds for the periodic theory, which has dimension one and is thus simpler. The periodic version of crt is called CRT.

The algebraic description of $\mathscr{D}_{KO}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{KU}$ given by the above theorems, together with Bousfield's description of the category of K_*-local spectra in terms of objects called $ACRT$-modules, yields an algebraic criterion for when a K_*-local spectrum can be given the structure of a KO- or KU-module. The notation for localization with respect to periodic K-theory is not ambiguous, since both KO and KU give the same localization functor.

Let U be the right adjoint to the forgetful functor from $ACRT$-modules to CRT-modules. Note that the complexification map $c: KO \rightarrow KU$ is a map of S-algebras, so that any KU-module is a KO-module.

Theorem 18. *Let X be a K_*-local spectrum. Then X is equivalent to a KO-module if and only if $K_*^{CRT}(X) \cong U\pi_*^{CRT}(X)$, where $\pi_*^{CRT}(X)$ must be a CRT-acyclic CRT-module. Further, X is a KU-module if, in addition, X_* can be given the structure of a KU_*-module.*

The above criterion gives the following corollary, where the derived category of a category of spectra or modules is formed by inverting all weak homotopy equivalences.

Corollary 19. *Any naive module spectrum over KO or KU is homotopic to a KO- or KU-module, respectively. Further, the derived category of naive KO- or KU-module spectra is equivalent to the derived category of KO- or KU-modules.*

In fact, Bousfield localization at any smashing spectrum (defined in Section 2) is closely related to topological module categories.

Theorem 2. *Given a smashing R-module E, the derived category $\mathcal{D}_R[E^{-1}]$ of E_*-local R-modules is equivalent to the derived category $\mathcal{D}_{R_E}$ of R_E-modules.*

In particular, this holds for $R = S$ and $E = KU$.

Returning to ko, we show that any ko_*-module M_* can be realized as the homotopy of some ko-module.

Theorem 20. *Given any ko_*-module M_*, it is possible to construct a crt-acyclic crt-module with ko part M_*. Thus, by the classification theorem for ko-modules, M_* can be realized as the homotopy of some ko-module.*

In fact, we can define a general notion of a *united theory*. The result generalizes to any united theory all of whose acyclic objects have dimension at most two.

Theorem 21. *Let R be an S-algebra with a united theory $R\mathscr{F}$. Then given any R_*-module M_* it is possible to construct an acyclic united module M such that the R-part of M is M_*. Thus, by the classification theorem, when the united theory $R\mathscr{F}$ is of dimension at most two, any R_*-module can be realized as the homotopy of some R-module.*

In particular, this can also be done for KO.

In order to use these united theories for calculations, it is useful to understand how to compute Ext in these functor categories. The following is a change of rings theorem.

Let ρ and λ denote the left and right adjoints to the forgetful functor from *crt*-modules to $[ku, ku]_*^{ko}$-modules. For a *crt*-module M, M^U denotes the *ku*-part of M; M^O, the *ko*-part.

Theorem 26. *For crt-acyclic crt-modules L and M with the operation η on M^O equal to zero and $A = [ku, ku]_*^{ko}$, there are natural isomorphisms*

$$\lambda(M^U) \cong M \cong \rho(M^U),$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{crt}^{s,t}(M,L) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_A^{s,t}(M^U, L^U),$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{crt}^{s,t}(L,M) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_A^{s,t}(L^U, M^U).$$

Motivation beyond the desire to reduce all homotopy theory to algebra lies in various places. The focus here is on connective topological K-theory: complex K-theory, for

example, for its relation to C^* algebras; real K-theory, for example, toward greater understanding of the corresponding Adams spectral sequence.

2. Bousfield localization and modules over S-algebras

One method of studying stable homotopy theory is to study the category of spectra through the eyes of a homology theory, smashing all objects with a fixed spectrum. A more sophisticated method is to use Bousfield localization. Bousfield localization at a spectrum E constructs a category in which E-homology isomorphisms are inverted. When Bousfield localization coincides with smashing all objects with the localization of the sphere, E is called smashing.

We recall the basic definitions.

For a spectrum E, a map $f : A \to B$ which induces an isomorphism $E_*A \to E_*B$ is called an E_*-*equivalence*. If $E_*A = 0$, then A is E_*-*acyclic*; X is E_*-*local* if $X^*A = 0$ for any E_*-acyclic A, or equivalently, if any E_* equivalence $f : A \to B$ induces a bijection $f^* : [B,X]_* \to [A,X]_*$.

The E_*-localization of X, X_E, is the terminal E_*-equivalence out of X. In fact, each spectrum X can be decomposed naturally into E_*-local and E_*-acyclic spectra X_E and $_EX$ via the cofibration $_EX \to X \overset{\eta}{\to} X_E \to \Sigma(_EX)$ in the stable category (of S-modules or equivalently of spectra). The E_*-localization functor is sometimes denoted L_E.

There are analogous definitions and localizations in the category of modules over any S-algebra. Bousfield's methods to construct local spectra also generalize to this context.

Note that any R-module X (or even a naive module spectrum over a naive ring spectrum R more generally) is automatically R_*-local since $R_*Y = 0$ implies $X_*Y = 0 = X^*Y$ since any element of X_*Y factors through $S \wedge X \wedge Y \to R \wedge X \wedge Y$ via the module structure map [1].

The Bousfield localization of an S-algebra is again an S-algebra [13]. In particular, this is true of the sphere spectrum. If E_*-localization is equivalent to smashing with the E_*-local sphere, then E is called *smashing*.

Theorem 1. *If E is smashing, then the derived category of E_*-local spectra is equivalent to the derived category of S_E-modules.*

This comparison of categories is a nice observation, but not yet very useful for calculations since S_E is usually quite nasty.

The same holds for localization in the category of R-modules for an arbitrary S-algebra R. For an R-module E, we define $E_*^R(X) = [R, E \wedge_R X]_*^R = \pi_*(E \wedge_R X)$. Now, L_E is replaced by L_E^R, which denotes E_*^R-localization. We denote the localization $L_E^R X$ of an R-module X by X_E. E is *smashing* in $\mathscr{D}_R$ if $X_E \simeq X \wedge_R R_E$.

The notation $\mathscr{D}_R[E^{-1}]$ denotes the category of E_*-local R-modules, which is equivalent to the category $\mathscr{D}_R$ after inverting E_*^R-equivalences.

Theorem 2. *Given a smashing R-module E, the derived category $\mathscr{D}_R[E^{-1}]$ of E_*^R-local R-modules is equivalent to the derived category $\mathscr{D}_{R_E}$ of R_E-modules.*

Proof. Let E be a smashing R-module; the map $X \cong R \wedge_R X \to R_E \wedge_R X$ gives the localization functor, so that any E_*^R-local R-module is homotopic to one of the form $R_E \wedge_R X$. Also, $R_E \wedge_R R_E \to R_E$ is an isomorphism since the idempotent functor L_E^R is smashing: localization gives an inverse to the multiplication map.

Next we show that any R_E-module X has $X \simeq R_E \wedge_R X$ as R_E-modules. First note that $L_E^R = L_{R_E}^R$, that is, R_{E*}-isomorphisms are the same as E_*-isomorphisms: any R_{E*}-isomorphism has an R_{E*}-acyclic cofiber, but any R_{E*}-acyclic has trivial E_*-localization, so it is E_*-acyclic. Thus, X has $X \simeq R_E \wedge_R X$ as R-modules. The forgetful functor and $R_E \wedge_R (-)$ are adjoint functors between $\mathscr{D}_R$ and $\mathscr{D}_{R_E}$; the counit $R_E \wedge_R X \to X$ is by definition a map of R_E-modules, giving the desired weak equivalence on the level of R_E-modules.

Further, for E_*^R-local R-modules $W \simeq R_E \wedge_R X$ and $Z \simeq R_E \wedge_R Y$,

$$[W, Z]_*^R \cong [R_E \wedge_R X, R_E \wedge_R Y]_*^R \cong [X, R_E \wedge_R Y]_*^R$$

$$\cong [R_E \wedge_R X, R_E \wedge_R Y]_*^{R_E} \cong [W, Z]_*^{R_E}$$

where the second isomorphism is by $X \simeq R_E \wedge_R X$ and the third follows from freeness. The isomorphisms are all natural.

Therefore, the derived category of E_*^R-local R-modules is equivalent to that of R_E-modules. $\square$

This theorem is generalized in [13] to describe E_*-local R-modules for arbitrary E: the categories $\mathscr{D}_R[E^{-1}]$ and $\mathscr{D}_{R_E}[(R_E \wedge_R E)^{-1}]$ are equivalent.

Although Bousfield localization does not require E to be a ring spectrum or an S-algebra, it would be interesting to know, for an S-algebra E, how E-modules and S_E-modules are related. The example of $E = KU$ mentioned in the introduction is discussed in the next section.

3. Categories related to the K-theory spectra

The connective complex K-theory spectrum ku is an S-algebra by infinite loop space technology [19]. As shown in [13], KU is an S-algebra by localization in the category of ku-modules; S_K, the K_*-local sphere, is therefore an S-algebra, as noted above.

The K-local sphere, S_K, is closely related to the (periodic) Image of J spectrum. Localized at a prime p, we have the cofibration (where $r = 3$ if $p = 2$ and r generates the units mod p^2 for an odd prime) $J_{(p)} \to KO \xrightarrow{\psi^r - 1} KO \to \Sigma J_{(p)}$ and the $K_{(p)*}$-local sphere is the homotopy fiber of the map $J_{(p)} \to \Sigma^{-1} S\mathbb{Q}$ which is a rational isomorphism on π_{-1}. Thus it is possible to calculate $\pi_* S_K$ [6].

Since localization of S- or ku-modules with respect to KU is smashing, Theorem 1 shows that each local category $\mathscr{D}_S[KU^{-1}]$ and $\mathscr{D}_{ku}[KU^{-1}]$ is a module category; $\mathscr{D}_{ku}[KU^{-1}]$ is actually the derived category of KU-modules.

We have the diagram of categories

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathscr{D}_S & \xrightarrow{\;L^S_{KU}\;} & \mathscr{D}_S[KU^{-1}] \approx \mathscr{D}_{S_K} \\
\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle F} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle F} \\
\mathscr{D}_{ku} & \xrightarrow{\;L^{ku}_{KU}\;} & \mathscr{D}_{ku}[KU^{-1}] \approx \mathscr{D}_{KU}
\end{array}
$$

where each L is a localization functor and each F is a free functor. For an S-module X, the free functor to ku-modules is given by $ku \wedge_S X$; similarly, the free functor from S_K-modules to KU-modules is given by $KU \wedge_{S_K} X$, which is equivalent to $KU \wedge_S X$, since KU is K_*-local. It is shown below that the categories $\mathscr{D}_S$, $\mathscr{D}_{S_K}$, $\mathscr{D}_{ku}$, and $\mathscr{D}_{KU}$ are all distinct.

We have four categories to compare: spectra $(\mathscr{D}_S)$, K_*-local spectra (i.e., S_K-modules), K_*-local ku-modules, and KU-modules, or K^{ku}_*-local ku-modules. Note that, as one would expect, not all ku-modules are K_*-local: $L_K ku \simeq S_K \wedge_S ku \not\simeq ku$ by direct calculation.

Further, since they are not rationally periodic (see [6, Corollary 4.4]), the homotopy groups of $L_K ku$ are not periodic; not all K_*-local ku-modules are KU-modules. This is in sharp contrast to localization in the category of ku-modules, which is simply the free functor from ku-modules to KU-modules.

To see that not all S_K-modules are ku-modules, we note that no element of $\pi_*(S_K)$ of positive degree can be represented by a map of ku-modules. If S_K were a ku-module, then consider any element

$$
\alpha \in [S_K, S_K]^{S_K}_q \cong [ku, S_K]^{ku}_q \cong [S, S_K]_q \subseteq [S, S]_q, \quad q > 0.
$$

The isomorphisms are given directly by freeness; the inclusion is a theorem relying on the relation of S_K to the image of J. The element α would be represented by a map $a: S_K \to S_K$ in $\mathscr{D}_{S_K}$ and also as a map $b: S \to S$ in $\mathscr{D}_S$. Since α is of positive degree, $b: S \to S$ gives the zero map $ku \to ku$ after smashing with ku, so the same is true for a, and $1_{ku} \wedge \alpha$ is null. Now the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
S \wedge S_K & \xrightarrow{\;\eta \wedge 1\;} ku \wedge S_K & \xrightarrow{\;1 \wedge \alpha\;} ku \wedge S_K \\
& \searrow{\scriptstyle \cong} \quad \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{action}} & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{action}} \\
& S_K & \xrightarrow{\;\alpha\;} S_K
\end{array}
$$

would guarantee that α itself be null; but S_{K*} has non-trivial elements in positive degree, so S_K cannot be a ku-module.

A similar result involving real K-theory holds as well.

4. A spectral sequence for R-modules

All algebraic maps from the homotopy of a free R-module to that of any R-module can be realized as the homotopy of some map of naive module spectra. The theory of R-modules actually allows the realization of many more maps. The method is to use a spectral sequence to show that maps with certain algebraic properties exist.

Adjoint to the smash product $X \wedge_R Y$ is a function spectrum $F_R(X, Y)$, which for cellular X satisfies $\pi_*(F_R(X, Y)) \cong [X, Y]_*^R$. As noted above, we are only concerned with weak homotopy type, and we continue to assume all R-modules are cellular R-modules.

There is a spectral sequence [13] (EKMMSS)

$$E_2^{s,t}(X, Y) = \text{Ext}_{R*}^{s,t}(X^*, Y^*) \Rightarrow \pi_{-(s+t)}(F_R(X, Y)),$$

with differentials $d_r^{s,t} : E_r^{s,t} \to E_r^{s+r, t-r+1}$. Here, $\text{Ext}_{R*}^{s,t}(P, Q) = \text{Ext}_{R*}^{s,0}(\Sigma^t P, Q)$, $(\Sigma^t L)_*$ $= L_{*-t}$. The filtration on $\pi_*(F_R(X, Y))$, is given by letting $F^s \pi_*(F_R(X, Y))$ be the image of $\pi_*(F_R(X_s, Y)) \to \pi_*(F_R(X, Y))$, where the X_s are constructed from an R_*-free resolution of X_*. Thus, the (s, t)th term of the associated bigraded group of the filtration is

$$E_0^{s,t} \pi_*(F_R(X, Y)) = F^s \pi_{-s-t}(F_R(X, Y)) / F^{s+1} \pi_{-s-t}(F_R(X, Y)).$$

One can define $\text{Ext}_R^*(X, Y) = \pi_{-*}(F_R(X, Y))$.

Composition pairings are also discussed in [13]. The pairing $F_R(Y, Z) \wedge_R F_R(X, Y) \to F_R(X, Z)$ induces a pairing of spectral sequences of differential R_*-modules that coincides with the algebraic Yoneda pairing on the E_2-level and converges to the pairing induced by composition. This is proven by taking free resolutions of X and Y in the contravariant side of each function spectrum: $F_R(Y_s, Z) \wedge_R F_R(X_s, Y) \to F_R(Y, Z) \wedge_R F_R(X_s, Y) \to F_R(X_s, Z)$. For $a \in \text{Ext}^{s,t}(Y^*, Z^*)$ and $b \in \text{Ext}^{u,v}(X^*, Y^*)$, $d_r(ab) = (d_r a)b + (-1)^{t+s} a(d_r b)$. The EKMMSS is always conditionally convergent; with additional information, it is often strongly convergent, for example, when X has a finite length cellular resolution as an R-module.

This spectral sequence is essential to understanding the difference between R-modules and R_*-modules. When an R_*-module — for example, the homotopy of an R-module — is placed in the E_2 term of this spectral sequence, we regrade it as an R^*-module.

5. Realization of projective and injective modules

5.1. Projective modules

Any projective module P over any ring A is the direct summand of a free module $F \cong P \oplus Q \cong Q \oplus P$. Thus, Eilenberg's swindle gives us a two step free resolution

of P:

$$0 \to P \oplus Q \oplus F^{\oplus\infty} \to P \oplus Q \oplus F^{\oplus\infty} \to P \to 0,$$

where the map between free modules moves each copy of P to the next P-coordinate to the right.

See [18, 21] for a discussion of modules over graded rings.

When A is the ring of homotopy groups R_* for an S-algebra R, any free module is easily realized as the wedge of copies of R (up to suspensions). The EKMMSS (Section 4) shows that any map between free modules can be realized in topology; the cofiber of the realization of this map is the realization of our projective module.

Proposition 3. *Let R be an S-algebra. Then any projective R_*-module P_* can be realized as the R_*-module of homotopy groups of some R-module X : $\pi_*(X) = X_* = P_*$. For any other R-module Y, $[X, Y]_*^R \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{R*}(P^*, Y^*)$.*

Proof. The vanishing of higher Ext groups shows that any map from a projective module is uniquely realizable. □

5.2. Injective modules

For a Noetherian ring A, the direct sum of injective modules is injective, and we can decompose any injective module as the direct sum of certain indecomposables.

Recall that the injective hull of a module is the minimal injective extension of the module; it is unique up to non-canonical isomorphism.

Proposition 4 (Matlis [16], Matsumura [17], Năstăsescu and van Oystaeyen [21]). *Let A be a graded commutative Noetherian ring, $\mathfrak{p}$ a prime ideal in A. Then the injective hull of $A/\mathfrak{p}$ is indecomposable with respect to direct sum. Further, any indecomposable injective A-module is the injective hull of $A/\mathfrak{p}$ for some prime $\mathfrak{p}$.*

Thus, when all elementary injectives can be obtained by a sequence of quotient by regular sequences and localization procedures, the techniques of [13] allow such procedures on the spectrum level and all injectives can be realized.

More generally, obstruction theory similar to that of Costenoble and Waner [11] for equivariant Moore spectra can be used to realize any injective uniquely up to homotopy. This is done in [26].

6. Realization of modules of dimension at most one

Given an R_*-module M_* of projective dimension one, there is a projective resolution $0 \to P_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} P_0 \to M_* \to 0$ and M_* can be realized as the homotopy cofiber of the (unique) realization of α. Given an R_*-module M_*, let $|M_*|$ denote an R-module with

homotopy M_*. In this case, the realization $|M_*|$ is unique up to homotopy since the identity map on M_* lifts to a comparison of resolutions

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & M_* & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & P_1' & \longrightarrow & P_0' & \longrightarrow & M_* & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}
$$

Since the two maps from P_1 to P_0' are the same in algebra, their realizations in topology are the same in homotopy and we get an equivalence between the two realizations of M_*.

When R_* is a Noetherian ring with all primes generated by regular sequences, we can use the dual construction to realize, uniquely up to homotopy, any module of injective dimension at most one.

Note that, without further hypotheses, we can describe the Hom set between two R-modules of dimension at most one only up to extension:

$$
0 \to \mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{1,-1}(X^*, Y^*) \to [X, Y]_0^R \to \mathrm{Hom}_{R*}(X^*, Y^*) \to 0.
$$

The difference of any two maps $X \to Y$ with the same effect in homotopy is measured by an element of $\mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{1,-1}(X^*, Y^*)$. Of course, only one module need be of (projective or injective, depending on the variable) dimension at most one.

Composition is given by the naturality of the EKMMSS and the fact that the product in Ext corresponds to the composition product on the associated graded of the Hom sets in the derived module spectrum category.

In the case where R_* is of global dimension at most one, this is an almost complete algebraic description of the category, including the corollary that an R-module is determined by its homotopy groups as an R_*-module. One example, mentioned above, is periodic K-theory.

Let R be an S-algebra with R_* of global dimension at most one and concentrated in even degrees. Since realizations of R_*-modules are unique up to homotopy, any R-module X is the wedge of its even and odd pieces: $X = X_{\mathrm{even}} \vee X_{\mathrm{odd}}$. Let Y be another R-module. By additivity, we can assume X and Y are each concentrated in either even or in odd degrees. The group $[X, Y]_*^R$ depends on the relative parities of X and Y. Using the suspension functor, it suffices to calculate $[X, Y]_0^R$.

If X and Y are both even (or both odd), then note that $\mathrm{Ext}^{1,-1}(X^*, Y^*)$ is zero. If the parities of X and Y differ, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{R*}(X^*, Y^*) = 0$ and $[X, Y]_0^R \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{1,-1}(X^*, Y^*)$. More generally, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *For an S-algebra R of global dimension at most one with R_* concentrated in degrees congruent to zero mod k, $k > 1$, each R-module X splits as the wedge of k pieces $X = \bigvee_{j=1}^k X_j$ such that X_{j*} is concentrated in degrees congruent to j mod k.*

For two R-modules X and Y,

$$[X_i, Y_j]_0^R \cong \begin{cases} \mathrm{Hom}_{R*}(X_i^*, Y_i^*) & i = j, \\ \mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{1,-1}(X_i^*, Y_j^*) & i + 1 = j, \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Proof. There are no non-trivial maps between two modules X and Y if X is concentrated in degrees congruent to m mod k, Y in n mod k, $m \neq n$. Similarly, there are no non-trivial extensions $0 \to Y_* \to M \to \Sigma^{-1}X_* \to 0$ when $m + 1 \neq n$. $\square$

7. Classification of R-modules of projective dimension at most two

The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *Let R be an S-algebra. Then every R_*-module of projective dimension at most two can be realized as the module of homotopy groups of some R-module. Such an R-module is unique up to homotopy if the R_*-module has projective or injective dimension at most one. When M_* is an R_*-module of projective dimension two, there is an equivalence relation finer than homotopy equivalence so that equivalence classes of R-modules with homotopy M_* are in bijective correspondence with the elements of $\mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{2,-1}(M^*, M^*)$.*

7.1. Realization

The previous sections showed how to realize any R_*-module of projective dimension at most one as the homotopy of an R-module. When the projective dimension of M_* is two, M_* has a projective resolution $0 \to P_2 \to P_1 \to P_0 \to M_* \to 0$, which can be split into two short exact sequences

$$0 \to P_2 \to P_1 \to K \to 0,$$

$$0 \to K \to P_0 \to M_* \to 0,$$

where $K = \ker(P_0 \to M_*)$. Using the EKMMSS, any R_*-module of projective dimension two can be realized as the homotopy of some R-module: the modules K and P_0, as well as the map whose cokernel is M_*, can be realized as in the above section. One realization of M_* is the cofiber of the realization of the map $K \to P_0$.

Now, when R_* has global dimension at most 2, $\mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{s,t}(M^*, N^*)$ vanishes for $s > 2$. Thus the only possible non-trivial differentials are

$$d_2 : \mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{0,t}(M^*, N^*) \to \mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{2,t-1}(M^*, N^*),$$

the spectral sequence collapses at E_3, and a map $\theta: M_* \to N_*$ of R_*-modules yields an obstruction $d_2(\theta) \in \mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{2,-1}(M^*, N^*)$ which vanishes if and only if θ can be realized as the effect in homotopy of a map $X \to Y$ of R-modules with $\pi_* X \cong M_*$ and $\pi_* Y = N_*$.

7.2. The difference between two realizations of an R_*-module

A realization of an R_*-module M_* consists of an R-module X and an isomorphism $\alpha: \pi_*(X) = X_* \xrightarrow{\sim} M_*$.

Following Bousfield, two realizations (X, α) and (Y, β) are said to be *strictly equivalent* if there is an equivalence $f: X \simeq Y$ with $\beta f_* = \alpha$. Then $D(\alpha, \beta) = \beta d_2(\beta^{-1}\alpha)\alpha^{-1}$ defines the *difference* in $\mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{2,-1}(M^*, M^*)$. This difference satisfies:

  (i) $D(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$;
  (ii) $D(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ iff α is strictly equivalent to β;
  (iii) $D(\alpha, \gamma) = D(\alpha, \beta) + D(\beta, \gamma)$;
  (iv) $D(\alpha, \beta) = -D(\beta, \alpha)$;
  (v) $D(g\alpha, g\beta) = gD(\alpha, \beta)g^{-1}$ for each $g \in \mathrm{Aut}\, M_*$.

Let $\mathscr{R}(M_*)$ denote the collection of all strict equivalence classes of realizations of M_*. Adapting the methods of Bousfield [8], $\mathscr{R}(M_*)$ can be determined algebraically.

Theorem 7. *For each R_*-module M_* and realization $\alpha: X_* \xrightarrow{\sim} M_*$, the difference function gives a bijection $D(\alpha, -): \mathscr{R}(M_*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{2,-1}(M^*, M^*)$.*

Proof. Injectivity of the difference function follows from the above properties; only surjectivity needs proof. Let $0 \to K \to P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M_* \to 0$ be a short exact sequence, where P_0 is projective and K is the kernel of ε. The map ε can be chosen so that X is the cofiber of the realization of the map $K \to P_0$. Identify X_* with M_* by the isomorphism α.

Any element $u \in \mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{2,-1}(M^*, M^*) = \mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{1,-1}(K, M^*)$ lifts to some $\bar{u} \in \mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{1,-1}(K, P_0)$, since K has projective dimension at most 1; the EKMMSS then yields a (unique) map $\bar{u}: |K| \to |P_0|$, where $|J|$ is a realization of the R_*-module J. If the cofibration $\Sigma^{-1}X \to |K| \xrightarrow{f} |P_0| \to X$ gives the realization α, then $\Sigma^{-1}Y \to |K| \xrightarrow{f+\bar{u}} |P_0| \to Y$ yields a realization $\beta: Y_* \xrightarrow{\sim} M_*$ with $D(\alpha, \beta) = u$. $\square$

This strict equivalence of realizations is a finer equivalence than homotopy type; $\mathrm{Aut}(M_*)$ acts on $\mathscr{R}(M_*)$ by composition. There is, however, a forgetful bijection from the orbit set $\mathscr{R}(M_*)/\mathrm{Aut}(M_*)$ to the set of realizations of M_*. A *crossed homomorphism* $d: G \to B$ for a group G acting on an abelian group B is a function with $d(gh) = d(g) + g \cdot d(h)$; the associated crossed homomorphism action $G \times B \to B$ carries (g, b) to $d(g) + g \cdot b$. Now, $\mathrm{Aut}(M_*)$ acts on $\mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{2,-1}(M^*, M^*)$ by $g \cdot u = gug^{-1}$; given a realization α of M^*, we have a crossed homomorphism $d: \mathrm{Aut}(M^*) \to \mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{2,-1}(M^*, M^*)$ given by $d(g) = D(\alpha, g\alpha)$. The associated crossed homomorphism action of $\mathrm{Aut}(M_*)$ on the Ext group corresponds to the composition action of $\mathrm{Aut}(M_*)$ on $\mathscr{R}(M_*)$ by the bijection of the above theorem.

Thus, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 8. *The homotopy types of R-modules with homotopy M_* are in bijective correspondence with the elements of $\mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{2,-1}(M^*, M^*)/\mathrm{Aut}(M_*)$.*

This classification is *not* purely algebraic, however, since in general we lack an algebraic description of the d_2 differential of the EKMMSS. One possible approach toward a more algebraic classification is to use Toda brackets. Alternatively, given a functorial realization of R-modules with zero differential in the EKMMSS, the methods of [7] give an easier way to construct a category equivalent to the derived category of R-modules. We describe these next.

7.3. Sparse graded rings

Let R be an S-algebra of global dimension at most two with R_* concentrated in degrees congruent to zero mod k, $k \geq 2$. Examples of such S-algebras are ku and $ko_{(p)}$ for an odd prime p; since η is null after inverting 2, $\pi_* ko_{(p)} = \mathbb{Z}[u]$, $|u| = 4$. Any R_*-module M_* is then the homotopy of a wedge $X = \bigvee_{i=1}^m X_i$ with the homotopy of X_i concentrated in degrees congruent to $i \bmod m$. Call this the *wedge realization* of M_*. By the classification of R-modules, each wedge summand is unique up to homotopy, since any N_* concentrated in degrees congruent to $i \bmod m$ can be resolved by modules concentrated in the same degrees mod m.

The analysis of [7] applies to this situation; the category of R-modules has a completely algebraic structure. The key is to note that there is a functorial realization of any R_*-module as an R-module for which the differentials in the EKMMSS between any two such R-modules are all zero, as follows. Realize any R_*-modules M_*, N_* as wedges X and Y as above. Since the wedge realization is homotopy unique, there is a right inverse to the map $[X, Y]_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{R^*}(M^*, N^*)$ given by the natural realizations of maps between the wedge summands of like degree together with the zero map between non-matching summands. Thus, the map of Hom sets is onto, and the differential is necessarily zero.

The next step is to construct a *Bousfield k-invariant* to measure the difference between a given R-module and these realizations with trivial EKMMSS differential. Let X be an R-module. Define $k_X \in E_2^{2,-1}(X,X) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{R*}^{2,-1}(X^*, X^*)$ as follows: let X' be an R-module with homotopy isomorphic to X_*, equivalent to the wedge realization above. Choose an isomorphism $\alpha: X'_* \rightarrow X_*$. Define $k_X = (d_2\alpha)\alpha^{-1}$; $\alpha \in E_2(X', X)$, $\alpha^{-1} \in E_2(X, X')$. The element k_X is independent of the choice of X' and α, for if X'' is another choice of X', with β an isomorphism from X'' to X, choose an isomorphism $\gamma: X''_* \rightarrow X_*$ such that $\beta = \alpha\gamma$. Since X'' and X' are equivalent to wedge realizations, $d_2(\gamma) = 0$, with $d_2(\beta)\beta^{-1} = d_2(\alpha)\gamma\gamma^{-1}\alpha^{-1} = d_2(\alpha)\alpha^{-1}$. Thus, k_X is well-defined.

The differential in the EKMMSS can now be expressed algebraically.

Proposition 9. *Let R be an S-algebra of global dimension at most two with R_* concentrated in degrees congruent to zero mod m, $m \geq 2$. For R-modules X and Y, the EKMMSS differential*

$$d_2: E_2^{0,t}(X,Y) \rightarrow E_2^{2,t-1}(X,Y)$$

is given by $d_2 f = k_Y f + (-1)^{t-1} f k_X$ for each $f \in E_2^{0,t}(X,Y)$.

Proof. The proof is exactly as in [7, Proposition 8.10]. Let X' denote the wedge realization of X_*. For isomorphisms $\alpha: X'_* \to X_*$ and $\beta: Y'_* \to Y_*$, consider α and β as elements of the E_2 term: $\alpha \in E_2^{0,0}(X',X)$, $\alpha^{-1} \in E_2^{0,0}(X,X')$, $\beta \in E_2^{0,0}(Y',Y)$. Choose $f' \in E_2^{0,t}(X',Y')$ such that $\beta f' \alpha^{-1} = f \in E_2^{0,t}(X,Y)$. Since $d_2 f' = 0$,

$$d_2 f = d_2(\beta f' \alpha^{-1}) = (d_2\beta)f'\alpha^{-1} + (-1)^t \beta f'(d_2\alpha^{-1})$$

$$= (d_2\beta)\beta^{-1}\beta f'\alpha^{-1} + (-1)^t \beta f'\alpha^{-1}\alpha(d_2\alpha^{-1})$$

$$= k_Y f + (-1)^t f\alpha(d_2\alpha^{-1}).$$

Now, $\alpha(d_2\alpha^{-1}) = d_2(\alpha\alpha^{-1}) - (d_2\alpha)\alpha^{-1} = d_2(1) - k_X = -k_X$ and this proves the result. $\square$

This allows us to prove the following characterization of maps in the R-module category.

Corollary 10. *Let R be an S-algebra of global dimension at most two with R_* concentrated in degrees congruent to zero $\mathrm{mod}\, m$, $m \geq 2$. For R-modules X and Y, a homomorphism f of degree t from X_* to Y_* is the homotopy of a map of R-modules if and only if $k_Y f = (-1)^t f k_X$.*

Now let $\mathbb{M}k$ denote the category of pairs (M_*, k), with M_* an R_*-module and k in $\mathrm{Ext}_{R_*}^{2,-1}(M^*, M^*)$; morphisms f from (M_*, k) to (N_*, k') satisfy $k'f = fk$ in $\mathrm{Ext}_{R_*}^{2,-1}(M^*, N^*)$.

Theorem 11. *Let R be an S-algebra of global dimension at most two, with R concentrated in degrees congruent to zero $\mathrm{mod}\, m$, $m \geq 2$. Then for any (M_*, k) in $\mathbb{M}k$, there is an R-module Y such that $(M_*, k) = (Y_*, k_Y)$. Thus, the homotopy types of R-modules correspond to isomorphism types in $\mathbb{M}k$.*

Proof. This is essentially the same as [7, Theorem 9.1]. We already have a full additive functor from R-modules to $\mathbb{M}k$, as noted above. The wedge realization provides a realization of any R_*-module with zero k-invariant. That any k-invariant can be obtained can be seen by lifting Ext elements, as in the proof of the more general classification above. Again, let X' denote the wedge realization of X_*. Given (M_*, k) in $\mathbb{M}k$, let $0 \to P_2 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_0} P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M_* \to 0$ be a projective resolution of M_*. Let $K = \ker \varepsilon$; the exact sequence splits into two short exact sequences

$$0 \to P_2 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_1 \xrightarrow{a} K \to 0, \qquad 0 \to K \xrightarrow{b} P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M_* \to 0.$$

Given any $\xi : K' \to P_0'$ such that $\xi_* = 0$, the homotopy cofiber of $b + \xi$ gives a realization Y_ξ of M_*. It suffices to choose ξ so that $k_{Y_\xi} = k$; Y_ξ is then the desired realization of (M_*, k).

Any element $k \in \text{Ext}_{R*}^{2,-1}(M^*, M^*) = \text{Ext}_{R*}^{1,-1}(K, M^*)$ lifts to some $\overline{k} \in \text{Ext}_{R*}^{1,-1}(K, P_0)$, the group which classifies maps from $|K|$ to $|P_0|$ which are zero on homotopy groups. Any such $\overline{k}$ is equivalent to a map ξ as above, since K has projective dimension at most one. If b is the map which gives the wedge realization Y' of M_*, then $b + \overline{k}$ yields the desired Y_ξ. □

It is possible to construct additive bigraded categories of R-modules and of R_*-modules paired with k-invariants. These categories are additively equivalent, as in [7].

Note that this analysis requires only a natural realization of R_*-modules as R-modules with zero differential in the EKMMSS, which is a weaker condition than sparseness.

8. Modules over additive categories

In order to use algebra to classify modules over the real K-theory S-algebras ko and KO, it is necessary to generalize the algebraic concept of a module to that of a functor over an appropriate generalization of a ring.

A ring can be considered [20] as a suitably structured category with only one object. Much homological algebra generalizes to "modules" over a small additive category $\mathscr{C}$, specifically, additive functors from $\mathscr{C}$ to abelian groups; the morphisms are the natural transformations. One can consider the Hom sets in $\mathscr{C}$ as giving additive operations on the modules. The category $\mathscr{A}b^{\mathscr{C}}$ of additive functors from $\mathscr{C}$ to $\mathscr{A}b$ is actually equivalent to a category of modules over an honest ring; we will, however, keep our additive category while also considering our functors naively as sets of modules with operations.

As an example, a graded ring $R = \{R_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an additive category $\mathscr{C}$ with object set $\mathbb{Z}$ and morphisms $\mathscr{C}(m, n) = R_{n-m}$; composition is given by multiplication in the ring. Note that it only makes sense to add elements of the same degree, as is standard for topologists. Then, $\mathscr{A}b^{\mathscr{C}}$ is the category of graded R-modules.

Since our functor category is abelian, we have kernels and cokernels and can define exact sequences and resolutions. There is an abelian group-valued Hom functor satisfying $\text{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathscr{C}(X, -), F(-)) = F(X) = \mathscr{A}b^{\mathscr{C}}(\mathscr{C}(X, -), F(-))$, with a left adjoint $\otimes_{\mathscr{C}} : \mathscr{A}b^{\mathscr{C}} \otimes \mathscr{A}b^{\mathscr{C}^{\text{op}}} \to \mathscr{A}b$. Ext and Tor can be defined via resolutions, which always exist. See [20] for further details.

9. United homology theories

Bousfield, in [8, 9], defines an additive category CRT (which he calls Alg(CRT)) and the corresponding category of CRT-modules. Bousfield's method generalizes to other united homology theories such as the one below, as well as to united cohomology theories.

9.1. United homology theories

Let R be an S-algebra and $\mathscr{F}$ some collection of finite spectra. Define the category $R\mathscr{F}$ to be the category with objects $\{R \wedge F_\alpha \,|\, F_\alpha \in \mathscr{F}\}$ and morphisms all homotopy classes of R-module maps between any pair of objects. $R\mathscr{F}$ is an additive category which yields two united homology theories: first, let $M = R^{\mathscr{F}}_*(X)$ denote the united theory on spectra X given by the functors from $R\mathscr{F}$ to abelian groups with $M^F = M(R \wedge F) = \pi_*(R \wedge F \wedge X)$. Second, there is a united theory on R-modules X given by the functors $N = \pi^{\mathscr{F}}_*(X)$ from $R\mathscr{F}$ to abelian groups with $N^F = N(R \wedge F) = \pi_*(X \wedge_R (R \wedge F)) \cong \pi_*(X \wedge F)$. This has a naive variant. If R is merely a ring spectrum, then the maps in $R\mathscr{F}$ should be all maps in the derived category of naive module spectra. The theory $R^{\mathscr{F}}_*(X)$ is as defined above; $N = \pi^{\mathscr{F}}_*(X)$ is defined by $N^F = \pi_*(X \wedge F)$.

The first type of united theory is used by Bousfield [8] to classify K_*-local spectra. The second type is used below to classify both KO-modules and ko-modules.

Since $[R \wedge F, R \wedge F']^R_* \cong [S, R \wedge F' \wedge DF]_*$, the Spanier–Whitehead duals of the finite spectra in $\mathscr{F}$ yield the representable functors (free objects). Thus the free objects under such a theory are given by the united homology of all suspensions of the Spanier–Whitehead duals of the finite spectra in $\mathscr{F}$. Note that the objects of $R\mathscr{F}$ need only be semi-finite as R-modules when R is an S-algebra, that is, $D^2_R F \simeq F$ for F in $R\mathscr{F}$.

A united module, or $R\mathscr{F}$-module, M is called $\mathscr{F}$-*acyclic* if it takes cofibrations of R-modules in $R\mathscr{F}$ to long exact sequences.

9.2. Connective united K-theory

This is described in more detail in the next section. The obstacle to using the same techniques as for ku to classify ko-modules is precisely that ko_* has infinite global dimension. By killing nilpotent elements, we obtain a theory $R\mathscr{F} = crt$ with global dimension 2 and it suffices to construct an appropriate spectral sequence in order to obtain the desired classification. An appropriate choice of finite spectra is S, $C(\eta)$, and $C(\eta^2)$, yielding ko, ku, and kt.

One can check that using only S and $C(\eta)$ over ko yields an algebraic category with infinite homological dimension; adding $C(\eta^2)$, or kt, eliminates this difficulty.

9.3. Periodic united K-theory

The inspiration for studying connective united K-theory came from Bousfield's use of a periodic version, CRT, in [8]; the categories crt and CRT use the same finite spectra S, $C(\eta)$, and $C(\eta^2)$. The periodic theory has global dimension one. Bousfield adjusts the category of modules by adding Adams operations to classify K_*-local spectra. The united category without operations classifies KO-modules.

10. The operation algebra for connective united K-theory

10.1. Anderson cofibrations with spheres

To calculate the algebra of operations, we use the cofibration sequences of [2, 8] (η denotes the Hopf map)

$$\Sigma S \xrightarrow{\eta} S \xrightarrow{c} C(\eta) \xrightarrow{r} \Sigma^2 S,$$

$$\Sigma^2 S \xrightarrow{\eta^2} S \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} C(\eta^2) \xrightarrow{k} \Sigma^3 S,$$

$$C(\eta^2) \xrightarrow{h} C(\eta) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Sigma^2 C(\eta) \xrightarrow{j} \Sigma C(\eta^2),$$

which, when smashed with ko, give cofibration sequences relating ko, ku, and kt.

First, describe and fix names for maps among S, $C(\eta)$, and $C(\eta^2)$:

- $\eta: S^1 \to S$ is the Hopf map, the non-zero element of $\pi_1 S$;
- $c: S \to C(\eta)$, $\varepsilon: S \to C(\eta^2)$ are given by inclusion of the zero cell;
- $h: C(\eta^2) \to C(\eta)$ is any map of degree one on the zero cells;
- $j: C(\eta) \to \Sigma^{-1} C(\eta^2)$ is degree one on the 2-cells;
- $k: \Sigma^{-1} C(\eta^2) \to S^2$ is degree one on the 2-cells;
- $r: C(\eta) \to S^2$ is top cell projection;
- $\psi_1 = \psi: C(\eta) \to C(\eta)$ and $\psi_2 = \psi: C(\eta^2) \to C(\eta^2)$ are degree one on 0-cells and degree -1 on top cells;
- $\varphi: C(\eta) \to \Sigma^2 C(\eta)$ kills the zero cell and sends the 2-cell to the bottom cell of $\Sigma^2 C(\eta)$ by a degree one map, as $C(\eta) \xrightarrow{1-\psi} S^2 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \Sigma^2 C(\eta)$.

Note that the definitions of h and r imply that the diagrams

commute.

10.2. Anderson cofibrations of ko-modules

The maps above induce, upon smashing with the identity on ko,

- $\eta: \Sigma ko \to ko$;
- $c: ko \to ku$ and $\varepsilon: ko \to kt$, unit maps;
- $\zeta: kt \to ku$, with $c = \zeta \varepsilon$;
- $\gamma: ku \to \Sigma^{-1} kt$, from j;
- $\tau: \Sigma^{-1} kt \to \Sigma^2 ko$, from k;
- $r: ku \to \Sigma^2 ko$;
- $\psi_U = \psi: ku \to ku$ and $\psi_T = \psi: kt \to kt$;
- $\varphi: ku \to \Sigma^2 ku$.

Note that some of the degrees of maps differ from those of the same name in Bousfield's periodic version [8]; the difference comes from the lack of an inverse to the Bott element. To simplify the number of generating operations, note that $r = \tau\gamma$ and $c = \zeta\varepsilon$. The map c is complexification; r is realification.

The following cofibrations are obtained:

$$\Sigma ko \xrightarrow{\eta} ko \xrightarrow{c} ku \xrightarrow{r} \Sigma^2 ko,$$

$$\Sigma^2 ko \xrightarrow{\eta^2} ko \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} kt \xrightarrow{\Sigma\tau} \Sigma^3 ko,$$

$$kt \xrightarrow{\zeta} ku \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Sigma^2 ku \xrightarrow{\Sigma^2\gamma} \Sigma kt.$$

Here, ku is merely shorthand for $ko \wedge C(\eta)$ and similarly for kt, however it is possible to prove that these are equivalent as ko-modules to the usual ku and kt by the classification below (Theorem 17). There are other proofs of this fact as well, for example, using the homology of ko and ku (R. Bruner, personal correspondence).

These cofibrations can be viewed as parts of the Verdier braid diagram generated by the triangle two sides of which are η, the third given by η^2. One important relation seen in this braid and which can also be derived from relations listed in Section 10.4 is $\varphi = cr$.

10.3. Determining the operations

Recall the ring structures of ko_*, ku_*, and kt_*:

$$ko_* = \mathbb{Z}[\eta, \omega, \beta_O]/(2\eta, \eta^3, \eta\omega, \omega^2 - 4\beta_O), \quad |\eta| = 1, \quad |\omega| = 4, \quad |\beta_O| = 8;$$

$$ku_* = \mathbb{Z}[\beta_U], \quad |\beta_U| = 2;$$

$$kt_* = \mathbb{Z}[\eta, \xi, \beta_T]/(2\eta, \eta^2, \eta\xi, \xi^2), \quad |\eta| = 1, \quad |\xi| = 3, \quad |\beta_T| = 4.$$

In the world of ko-modules, $X_* = [ko, X]_*^{ko}$, so these rings give all maps from ko to each of the three objects. Explicitly, for $n \geq 0$ (other groups are all zero),

$$[ko, ko]_{8n}^{ko} = \langle \beta_O^n \rangle = \mathbb{Z}, \qquad\qquad [ko, ko]_{8n+1}^{ko} = \langle \beta_O^n \eta \rangle = \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

$$[ko, ko]_{8n+2}^{ko} = \langle \beta_O^n \eta^2 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}/2, \qquad [ko, ko]_{8n+4}^{ko} = \langle \beta_O^n \omega \rangle = \mathbb{Z},$$

$$[ko, ko]_{8n+i}^{ko} = 0, \quad i \in \{3, 5, 6, 7\},$$

$$[ko, ku]_{2n}^{ko} = \langle \beta_U^n \rangle = \mathbb{Z}, \qquad\qquad [ko, ku]_{2n+1}^{ko} = 0,$$

$$[ko, kt]_{4n}^{ko} = \langle \beta_T^n \rangle = \mathbb{Z}, \qquad\qquad [ko, kt]_{4n+1}^{ko} = \langle \beta_T^n \eta \rangle = \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

$$[ko, kt]_{4n+2}^{ko} = 0, \qquad\qquad\qquad [ko, kt]_{4n+3}^{ko} = \langle \beta_T^n \xi \rangle = \mathbb{Z},$$

Except where noted, the maps given below are proven to be generators by looking at the action on homotopy groups.

Since $ku_*(\eta) = 0$ and the duals of $C(\eta)$ and $C(\eta^2)$ are $DC(\eta) = \Sigma^{-2}C(\eta)$ and $DC(\eta^2) = \Sigma^{-3}C(\eta^2)$, the cofibrations in Section 10.2 give

$$[ku, ku]_n^{ko} \cong ku_{n+2}(C(\eta)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} = \langle \varphi \rangle & n = -2, \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \langle \beta_U^k, \beta_U^k \psi \rangle & n = 2k, \ k \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

using the fact that $ku_{n+2}(C(\eta)) = ku_{n+2} \oplus ku_n$. Similarly, since $kt_{n+2}(C(\eta)) \cong ku_{n+2}$ $(C(\eta^2)) = ku_{n+2} \oplus ku_{n-1}$,

$$[ku, kt]_n^{ko} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} = \langle \varepsilon r \beta_U^k \rangle & n = 2(k-1), \ k \geq 0, \\ \mathbb{Z} = \langle \gamma \beta_U^k \rangle & n = 2k + 1, \ k \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$[kt, ku]_n^{ko} \cong ku_{n+3}(C(\eta^2)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} = \langle \beta_U^k \zeta \varepsilon \tau \rangle & n = 2k - 3, \ k \geq 0, \\ \mathbb{Z} = \langle \beta_U^k \zeta \rangle & n = 2k, \ k \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and since $kt_*(\eta^2) = 0$, $kt_{n+3}(C(\eta^2)) = kt_{n+3} \oplus kt_n$,

$$[kt, kt]_n^{ko} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} = \langle \varepsilon \tau \rangle & n = -3, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 = \langle \beta_T^k \eta \varepsilon \tau \rangle & n = 4k - 2, \ k \geq 0, \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \langle \beta_T^k, \beta_T^k \psi_T \rangle & n = 4k, \ k \geq 0, \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 = \langle \beta_T^{k+1} \varepsilon \tau, \beta_T^k \eta \rangle & n = 4k + 1, \ k \geq 0, \\ \mathbb{Z} = \langle \beta_T^k \xi \rangle & n = 4k + 3, \ k \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $[kt, kt]_{4k-2}^{ko}$, note that it suffices to prove that $\eta \varepsilon \tau$ is essential. But this is clear: replace S-cells in $\eta \varepsilon k: C(\eta^2) \to \Sigma^2 C(\eta^2)$ with ko-cells; one sees the composition is not null.

10.4. Relations between operations

Note that $\omega = \tau \beta_T \gamma \beta_U \zeta \varepsilon$ and $\xi = \gamma \beta_U \zeta$, further reducing our generating maps.

The effect of the various maps from ko on homotopy is clear from the above ring structure; for example, $\eta f = f \eta$ for any map f (when the composition makes sense).

Composing these maps with those in Section 10.2, we obtain the following relations:

$$\psi_U\psi_U = 1, \qquad \psi_T\psi_T = 1, \qquad \psi_T\varepsilon = \varepsilon,$$
$$\psi_U\beta_U = -\beta_U\psi_U, \qquad \psi_T\beta_T = \beta_T\psi_T, \qquad \psi_T\zeta = -\zeta,$$
$$\psi_T\eta = \eta, \qquad \psi_U\zeta = \zeta\psi_T = \zeta, \qquad \beta_U\varphi = 1 - \psi_U$$
$$\psi_T\gamma = -\gamma\psi_U = \gamma, \qquad \tau\psi_T = -\tau, \qquad \varepsilon\beta_O = \beta_T^2\varepsilon,$$
$$\zeta\beta_T = \beta_U^2\zeta, \qquad \beta_T\gamma = \gamma\beta_U^2, \qquad \tau\beta_T^2 = \beta_O\tau,$$
$$\tau\beta_T\varepsilon = \eta, \qquad \tau\varepsilon = 0, \qquad \zeta\gamma = 0,$$
$$\gamma\zeta = \eta, \qquad \beta_T\varepsilon\tau = \varepsilon\tau\beta_T + \eta, \qquad \zeta\varepsilon\tau\gamma\beta_U = 1 + \psi_U,$$
$$\varepsilon\tau\gamma\beta_U\zeta = 1 + \psi_T, \qquad \tau\gamma\beta_U\zeta\varepsilon = 2, \qquad \gamma\beta_U\zeta\varepsilon\tau = 1 - \psi_T,$$

with additional relations involving other elements of ko_*, ku_*, and kt_*. These relations are more than sufficient, however, to prove the desired results. The proof that the *crt*-module category has projective dimension two relies on a reduction to the complex part of the module, regarded as a module over ku_*. Knowing some of the relations in the operation algebra is useful, but it is not necessary to know all of them to use the united theory.

10.5. Operations on united modules

Rephrasing the terminology of functors in terms of modules, the algebra of operations on a triple $M = \{M_*^O, M_*^U, M_*^T\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded abelian groups which form a module over connective united K-theory is generated by homomorphisms

$$\beta_O: M_*^O \to M_{*+8}^O, \qquad \beta_U: M_*^U \to M_{*+2}^U, \qquad \beta_T: M_*^T \to M_{*+4}^T,$$
$$\eta: M_*^O \to M_{*-1}^O, \qquad \psi_U: M_*^U \xrightarrow{\simeq} M_*^U, \qquad \psi_T: M_*^T \xrightarrow{\simeq} M_*^T,$$
$$\eta: M_*^T \to M_{*+1}^T, \qquad \varepsilon: M_*^O \to M_*^T, \qquad \zeta: M_*^T \to M_*^U,$$
$$\gamma: M_*^U \to M_{*+1}^T, \qquad \tau: M_*^T \to M_{*-3}^O, \qquad \varphi: M_*^U \to M_{*-2}^U,$$

satisfying appropriate relations as in Section 10.4.

Note that unlike *CRT*, objects in *crt* need not have periodicity; β_O, β_U, and β_T need not be isomorphisms.

Define ΣM by $(\Sigma M)_*^X = \Sigma(M_*^X) = M_{*-1}^X$ for X one of O, U, or T. A *crt*-module M is defined to be *crt-acyclic* if its operations give rise to long exact sequences analogous to those of Section 10.2:

$$M_{n-1}^O \xrightarrow{\eta} M_n^O \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M_n^U \xrightarrow{r} M_{n-2}^O,$$
$$M_{n-2}^O \xrightarrow{\eta^2} M_n^O \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M_n^T \xrightarrow{\Sigma\tau} M_{n-3}^O,$$
$$M_n^T \xrightarrow{\zeta} M_n^U \xrightarrow{\varphi} M_{n-2}^U \xrightarrow{\Sigma^2\gamma} M_{n-1}^T.$$

Note that $\pi_*^{crt}X$ is *crt*-acyclic for any *ko*-module X. A *CRT*-module is *CRT*-acyclic if the same sequences are exact [8].

11. A spectral sequence for united homology

The object of this section is to construct a spectral sequence analogous to that of [13]. Let R be an S-algebra; $R\mathcal{F}$, a united homology theory over R (Section 9.1); M and N, R-modules. This spectral sequence $E_2 = \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^{s,t}(\pi_*^{\mathcal{F}}(M), \pi_*^{\mathcal{F}}(N)) \Rightarrow E_0^{s,t}\pi_*(F_R(M,N))$ converges from Ext over the united theory to the R_*-module of homotopy classes of R-module maps from M to N. Notation, in fact most of the exposition, follows that of [13].

When the united theory is small, the algebraic category is abelian, so that derived functors such as Ext are well known. We present an explicit description here which is useful for understanding the realization of united modules and maps between them.

11.1. Realizing Hom-sets of free objects

As noted above, the monogenic free objects for united $\mathcal{F}$-theory are suspensions of $\pi_*^{\mathcal{F}}(R \wedge DF)$ for $F \in \mathcal{F}$, where D denotes the Spanier–Whitehead dual. Now, the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}} : (\mathcal{A}b^{\mathcal{F}})^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathcal{A}b^{\mathcal{F}} \to \mathcal{A}b$ satisfies the Yoneda relation $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{F}(R \wedge F, -), M(-)) = M^F$. Thus, for any functor M realizing the united $\mathcal{F}$-theory of an R-module X,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(R_*(-), M(-)) = M^S = X_* = \pi_* F_R(R, X),$$
$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}((R \wedge DF)_*(-), M(-)) = M^F = \pi_*(X \wedge F) = \pi_* F_R(R \wedge DF, X).$$

It is thus clear that, for an R-module X and a representable functor M, any element of the Hom set $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(M, \pi_*^{\mathcal{F}}(X))$ is realizable as a map of R-modules. The $R \wedge DF$ become the analogs of spheres in a new cellular theory for R-modules.

11.2. Constructing the spectral sequence

Once we can realize all free *crt*-modules, the construction of the spectral sequence mirrors that of [13].

Given a (cell) R-module M, choose a free resolution of $\pi_*^{\mathcal{F}}M$ by $\mathcal{F}$-modules

$$\cdots \to F_s \xrightarrow{d_s} F_{s-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \pi_*^{\mathcal{F}}M \to 0.$$

This free resolution gives rise to an exact couple

$$D_1^{s,t} := \pi_{-s-t}(F_R(M_s, N)), \qquad E_1^{s,t} := \pi_{-s-t}(F_R(FK_s, N)).$$

whose spectral sequence has E_2-term $E_2^{s,t} = \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^{s,t}(\pi_*^{\mathcal{F}}M, \pi_*^{\mathcal{F}}N)$. Exactly the same words used in [13] describe the convergence and yield the naturality of this spectral sequence. Also, there is a Yoneda product on E_2 which converges to the composition product.

11.3. Naive module spectra

Since much of this theory relies only on free objects and extended module spectra, and in particular since the definition of united homology theory only requires a ring spectrum and not an S-algebra, one might hope for this spectral sequence to generalize to that wider setting. This, however, is unlikely: the point-set level category is necessary to construct the free resolutions of the R-modules; since the homotopy cofiber or fiber of a map of naive module spectra cannot be given an obvious module structure, we cannot make resolutions in the naive module spectrum category.

11.4. A dual spectral sequence

For an S-algebra R, a united theory $R\mathscr{F}$ has a dual category $DR\mathscr{F}$ given by taking the Spanier–Whitehead dual in the category of R-modules of every object and morphism in $R\mathscr{F}$. Note that this satisfies all the properties of $R\mathscr{F}^{op}$: $DR\mathscr{F}$ is equivalent to the opposite category of $R\mathscr{F}$. Thus, we can understand the tensor product as a functor $\otimes_{\mathscr{F}} : \mathscr{A}b^{R\mathscr{F}} \otimes \mathscr{A}b^{DR\mathscr{F}} \to \mathscr{A}b$ with derived functor $\mathrm{Tor}(M,N)$ defined on a pair of functors M covariant on $R\mathscr{F}$ and N covariant on $DR\mathscr{F}$.

Let $M_*\mathscr{F}$ denote $\pi_*^{\mathscr{F}}(M)$ and $N^*\mathscr{F}$, the cohomological version obtained from the modules $[R\wedge F, N]$, $F \in \mathscr{F}$. Thus, $N^*\mathscr{F} \cong N_*D\mathscr{F}$. To construct the spectral sequence, let M be a right (cell) R-module, N a left (cell) R-module. The exact couple defined by

$$D_{s,t}^1 := \pi_{s+t+1}(M_{s+1} \wedge_R N), \qquad E_{s,t}^1 := \pi_{s+t}(FK_s \wedge_R N)$$

yields a spectral sequence with $E_{s,t}^2 = \mathrm{Tor}_{s,t}^{\mathscr{F}}(M_*\mathscr{F}, N^*\mathscr{F})$, converging to $E_{s,t}^\infty = E_0^{s,t}\pi_*(M \wedge_R N)$. The filtration on $\pi_*(M \wedge_R N)$ is given by the resolution of M.

Alternately, one can resolve in the N variable. The E^2 term can be identified by noting that $E_{s,t}^1 \cong F_s \otimes_{\mathscr{F}} N$ by construction. Convergence and functoriality are proven as for the Ext spectral sequence. The Tor spectral sequence always converges strongly: see [13].

12. Classification of modules over real connective K-theory

For an S-algebra R with homotopy groups forming a Noetherian ring of dimension at most two, the R-modules are classified by their homotopy groups and a difference in Ext, as seen above. For other ring spectra, the same method can be used once an algebraic category with sufficient structure and global dimension at most two is built [9]. As noted above, additional free objects provided by a united homology theory can lower the dimension of the algebraic category. This classification is carried out here for ko.

12.1. Homological dimension of crt-modules

The following theorems rely on work of Bousfield [8] regarding abelian groups with involution, and essentially parallel his similar theorems for the periodic case. In the category of crt-modules, all projectives are free and all crt-acyclic objects have projective dimension at most two.

Theorem 12. *Given a crt-module M, the following are equivalent:*
  (i) *M has projective dimension (as a crt-module) at most 2,*
  (ii) *M has finite projective dimension, and*
  (iii) *M is crt-acyclic.*

This theorem relies on the following determining property of projectives.

Theorem 13. *Given a crt-module M, the following are equivalent:*
  (i) *M is projective (as a crt-module),*
  (ii) *M is crt-acyclic and M^U is free over $\mathbb{Z}[\beta_U] = [ko, ku]_*^{ko}$,*
  (iii) *M is free.*

Proof of Theorem 12. Since η is nilpotent, equivalences under ko-, ku-, and kt-homology are all the same. Thus, the projective dimension of a crt-module is bounded by the ku_*-projective dimension of its U-part. Thus, Theorem 12 follows from Theorem 13 and the fact that whenever two crt-modules in a short exact sequence are crt-acyclic, the third is as well. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 13. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Given M projective, there is another projective P such that $M \oplus P \cong F$, where F is free, hence the π_*^{crt} of a wedge of suspensions of ko, ku, and kt. Since free objects are all crt-acyclic, so are their direct summands. Now, M^U is a direct summand of F^U, hence projective over $ku_* \subseteq [ku, ku]_*^{ko}$ since F^U is. If M^U is finitely generated over ku_*, then it is free. If not, note that ku_* is commutative and Noetherian and has no non-zero idempotents; by [3] this implies that any non-finitely generated projective is also free.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Given M satisfying (ii), we want to decompose M as the direct sum of free objects. By Proposition 3.7 of [8] (Lemma 14 below), each M_n^U decomposes as $M_n^U = G_n \oplus \psi G_n \oplus i^+ H_n \oplus i^- I_n$ for G_n, H_n, I_n free abelian, where ψ interchanges summands on $G \oplus \psi G$, $\psi = 1$ on $i^+ G$, and $\psi = -1$ on $i^- G$.

For a of degree n, let $FU(a)$ denote the monogenic free crt-module generated by a isomorphic to $\Sigma^n \pi_*^{crt}(Dku)$. Similarly, $FO \cong \pi_*^{crt}(Dko)$ and $FT \cong \pi_*^{crt}(Dkt)$.

First, we find a direct summand of M which is isomorphic to the direct sum of copies of FU. Select elements $\{a_{\alpha,n}\}$ with $a_{\alpha,n} = a_\alpha$ in $i^+ H_n \subseteq M_n^U$, a_α not in the image of β_U, and such that $\{a_{\alpha,n}\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for the set of elements x in $i^+ H_n$ with $\beta_U x$ in $G_{n+2} \oplus \psi G_{n+2}$. That such a basis is possible (disjoint from image β_U) is shown in Lemma 15. Let K be the kernel of the map $\bigoplus_\alpha \Sigma^n FU(a_{\alpha,n}) \to M$. $K^U = 0$, since

each element a generates a copy of $\mathbb{Z}[\beta_U]a \oplus \Sigma^2\mathbb{Z}[\beta_U]b$ in M^U with ψ-action given by $\psi^2 b = \psi(\beta_U a - b) = b$, isomorphic to the ψ-action on FU. Since K is a submodule of a direct sum of copies of FU, $\eta K^O = 0$, which gives $K^O = 0$. The Anderson cofibration relating K^O and K^T now gives that $K^T = 0$. Thus, $K = 0$ and the map is monic. In fact (see Lemma 15), the free module surjects onto a direct summand of M^U, so the cokernel N also satisfies (ii). Further, $N^U = (N^U)^+ \oplus (N^U)^-$ as graded abelian groups, where $G^+ = \{x \in G : \psi x = x\}$ and $G^- = \{x \in G : \psi x = -x\}$. Next, we find a direct summand of N which is the direct sum of copies of FO. Note that $\eta^2 = r\beta_U^2 c$ by the definitions of the maps in Section 10.2 and the relations in Section 10.4. Thus, η^2 factors as the composition $N_n^O \xrightarrow{c} (N_n^U)^+ \xrightarrow{r\beta^2} r\beta_U^2(N_n^U)^+ \subseteq N_{n+2}^O$. The identities $cr\beta_U = 1 + \psi$ and $\beta_U \psi = -\psi\beta_U$ give $cr\beta_U^2(N_n^U)^+ = (1+\psi)(N_{n+2}^U)^- = 0$, so $r\beta_U^2(N_n^U)^+$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-module (i.e., is contained in ηN^O).

Choose a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{b_\beta\} \cup \{c_\gamma\} \cup \{d_\delta\} \cup \{e_\varepsilon\}$ for N^U by extending a basis for the image of β_U to the whole of N^U such that the b's project to a basis for $r\beta_U^2(N^U)^+/\eta^2 N^O$, the c's project to a basis for $\eta^2 N^O$, the d's are trivial in $r\beta_U^2(N^U)^+$, and the e's are in $(N^U)^-$.

For any $c_{\gamma,n}$ not in the image of β_U, define $m_{\gamma,n}$ as follows: since $2(N^U)^+ \subseteq c(N^O)$ and $\eta^2 = r\beta_U^2 c$, there is an $m_{\gamma,n} \in N_n^O$ with $c(m_\gamma) - c_\gamma \in \text{Span}\{d_\delta\}$, that is, $\eta^2 m_\gamma = r\beta_U^2 c_\gamma$. Then $c_{\gamma,n}$ can be replaced by $c(m_{\gamma,n})$. The construction of the m_γ implies that $\bigoplus_\gamma \Sigma^n FO(m_{\gamma,n}) \to N$ is monic with crt-acyclic cokernel P such that P^U is free over $\mathbb{Z}[\beta_U]$, $P^U = (P^U)^+ \ominus (P^U)^-$ as graded abelian groups, and $\eta^2 P^O = 0$. The map is monic since the m_γ hit elements which are non-zero under η^2; everything else is forced by $\beta_O \neq 0$ on N^O, since N^U is free over $\mathbb{Z}[\beta_U]$. Also, the map from the free module generated by the m_γ hits generators $c(m_\gamma)$ in a basis for N^U, so the cokernel has U-part free over $\mathbb{Z}[\beta_U]$. Since $\eta^2 N^O \subseteq r\beta_U^2(N^U)^+$, all of $\eta^2 N^O$ is hit; $\eta^2 P^O = 0$.

Lastly, we see that P is a direct sum of copies of FT by using the exact sequence

$$\cdots \to P_{*-1}^U \xrightarrow{\gamma} P_*^T \xrightarrow{\zeta} P_*^U \xrightarrow{\varphi} P_{*-2}^U \xrightarrow{\gamma} P_{*-1}^T \to \cdots.$$

Since β_U is injective on P^U, $0 = 1 - \psi_U = \beta_U\varphi$ implies $\varphi = 0$. So, since P^U is free over $\mathbb{Z}[\beta_U]$, the exact sequence

$$0 \to (P_{*-1}^U)^+ \oplus (P_{*-1}^U)^-/2 \xrightarrow{\gamma} P_*^T \xrightarrow{\zeta} (P_*^U)^+ \to 0$$

reduces mod torsion to

$$0 \to (P_{*-1}^U)^+ \xrightarrow{\gamma} P_*^T/\text{tors} \xrightarrow{\zeta} (P_*^U)^+ \to 0,$$

with $\gamma(P_{*-1}^U)^+ = (P_*^T/\text{tors})^-$ (to see this, look at the relations between ψ_T, ψ_U, and γ). The exact sequence for η^2 gives the short exact sequence

$$0 \to P_*^O/\text{tors} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} P_*^T/\text{tors} \xrightarrow{\tau} P_{*-3}^O/\text{tors} \to 0,$$

since $\text{tors}(P_{*-3}^O) = \ker(c: P_{*-3}^O \to P_{*-3}^U) = \eta P_{*-4}^O$ and $\text{tors}(P_*^T) = \gamma(P_{*-1}^U)^- = \gamma\zeta P_{*-1}^T = \eta P_{*-1}^T$ by the above short exact sequence and the effect of ζ. Thus, since $\psi_T \varepsilon = \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon(P_*^O/\text{tors}) = (P_*^T/\text{tors})^+$.

Note that $P_*^T/$tors is free abelian in each degree, so that we have decompositions $P_n^T/\text{tors} = (G_n \oplus \psi G_n) \oplus i^+ H_n \oplus i^- I_n$. Since any torsion is killed by η, there is an epimorphism $P_n^T \twoheadrightarrow \eta \varepsilon \tau \beta_T(P_n^T)$. Now, $\eta \varepsilon \tau \beta_T \varepsilon = \eta \varepsilon \eta = 0$, so η kills $\varepsilon(P_*^O/\text{tors}) = (P_*^T/\text{tors})$; η also kills $\gamma_*(\beta_U^{2n}) = \beta_T^{n-1}\xi$ and $\gamma_*(\beta_U^{2n-1}) = \beta_T^n \eta$, hence $\eta \varepsilon \tau \beta_T \gamma = 0$ and $\eta \gamma (P_{*-1}^U)^+ = \eta(P_*^T/\text{tors})^- = 0$. Lastly, $\tau \psi = -\tau$ and $\psi \beta_T = \beta_T \psi$ imply that $\eta \varepsilon \tau \beta_T \psi = \eta \varepsilon \tau \beta_T$. Thus, the restriction to G_n is a surjection $G_n \twoheadrightarrow \eta \varepsilon \tau \beta_T(P_n^T)$.

Choose a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{r_\rho\} \cup \{s_\sigma\} \cup \{t_\tau\}$ of P_*^T such that $\{r_\rho\} \cup \{s_\sigma\}$ gives a basis for $\eta \varepsilon \tau \beta_T P^T$, extending a basis $\{s_\sigma\}$ for the sum of the images of β_T and ξ, and satisfying $\{t_\tau\} \to 0$ in $\eta \varepsilon \tau \beta_T P^T$. Lift each element $r_{\rho,n}$ to $\tilde{r}_\rho$ in P_n^T, giving a monic map

$$\bigoplus_\rho \Sigma^n FT(\tilde{r}_{\rho,n}) \to P$$

with *crt*-acyclic cokernel Q; again, Q^U is free over $\mathbb{Z}[\beta_U]$ (use the short exact sequence relating $(P_*^U)^+$ and P_*^T/tors), $Q^U = (Q^U)^+ \oplus (Q^U)^-$, $\eta^2(Q^O) = 0$ (true for P, FT), and further, $\eta \varepsilon \tau(Q^T) = 0$, since any element in the image of $\eta \varepsilon \tau$ is in the image of the FT's. Note that there is no need to kill the s_σ; they are hit by linear combinations of the $\beta_T^\eta \xi^? r_\rho$'s.

Lemma 16, the analog of [8, Proposition 3.11] for *crt*-acyclics, then gives that $Q = 0$, so M is a free *crt*-module. $\quad \square$

12.2. *Technical lemmas used in the proof of Theorem 13*

First we define the abelian category *Inv* of involution modules, as in [8], to be the category of $\mathbb{Z}\{1, \psi\}$ modules where $\psi^2 = 1$; this is the category of abelian groups with involution. For an abelian group G, $G \oplus \psi G$ denotes the involution module in which ψ interchanges summands; $i^+ G$ denotes G with involution $\psi = 1$; $i^- G$ has involution $\psi = -1$. For an involution module M, $M^+ = \{x \in M | \psi x = x\}$ and $M^- = \{x \in M | \psi x = -x\}$.

Lemma 14. *Any object M of* Inv *which is free as an abelian group is isomorphic to $(G \oplus \psi G) \oplus i^+ H \oplus i^- I$ for free abelian groups G, H, and I.*

Proof. This is Proposition 3.7 of [8]. $\quad \square$

Lemma 15. *Given a crt-module M such that M^U is free over $\mathbb{Z}[\beta_U]$, with decomposition as abelian groups*

$$M_n^U = G_n \oplus \psi G_n \oplus i^+ H_n \oplus i^- I_n,$$

any element in $G_n \oplus \psi G_n$ must be in a submodule generated under ψ and β_U by a generator of a copy of $ku_*(C(\eta))$ taken as a $[ku, ku]_*^{ko}$-module. Such a generator is necessarily in $i^+ H_n$ for some n. Further, the image of $ku_*(C(\eta))$ in M^U is a direct summand over $\mathbb{Z}[\beta_U, \psi]$.

Proof of Lemma 15. We want to prove that any element of $G_n \oplus \psi G_n$ must be generated under ψ and β_U by a generator of a copy of $ku_*(C(\eta))$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}[\beta_U]x$ be a $\mathbb{Z}[\beta_U]$-free submodule and direct summand of M^U generated by x and closed under ψ. Note that the united operation φ requires $\psi x = x$, so that x can be in the kernel of φ.

For a module with $M^U = \mathbb{Z}[\beta_U]x \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\beta_U]y$ as $\mathbb{Z}[\beta_U]$-modules and indecomposable over $\mathbb{Z}[\beta_U, \psi]$, x and y must have different degrees of the same parity, since any group $\mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \psi \mathbb{Z}e_2$ has an element $e_1 - e_2$ in the image of β_U. Let $|y| > |x|$ and suppose $|x| = 0$, so $|y| \geq 2$. To rule out $|y| \geq 4$, use the η exact sequence and $\eta^3 = 0$ to show that M^O is (-2)-connected, since M^U is (-1)-connected. Then use the exact sequence with φ to see that M^T_{-1} includes torsion $\mathbb{Z}/2$ (coker φ); the ε exact sequence shows then that $M^O_{-1} = \mathbb{Z}/2$. The η exact sequence now forces $M^U_{-1} = \mathbb{Z}/2$, a contradiction.

This covers all possible cases, since $\psi^2 = 1$ and $\psi \beta_U = -\beta_U \psi$.

Let $A = [ku, ku]^{ko}_*$. For any crt-module M, M^U is an A-module. Call an A-module φ-acyclic if the complex

$$\cdots \to M^U_{*+2} \xrightarrow{\varphi} M^U_* \xrightarrow{\varphi} M^U_{*-2} \to \cdots$$

given by $\varphi \in A_{-2}$ is exact. $\square$

Lemma 16. *Let M be a crt-acyclic crt-module. The following are equivalent*:
  (i) M^U *is φ-acyclic*,
  (ii) $\eta = 0$ *in M^O*,
  (iii) $\eta^2 = 0$ *in M^O and $\eta \varepsilon \tau = 0$ in M^T*.

Proof. This is the *crt* analog of Proposition 3.11 of [8].

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Note that $\varphi = cr$. Now, an element $x \in M^O$ is in the image of r if and only if $\eta x = 0$. Also, for any $x \in M^O$, $\varphi(cx) = 0$; by φ-acyclicity, there is an element $y \in \varphi^{-1}(cx)$. Suppose x is not in the image of r. Then $ry \neq x$, but $cry = \varphi y = cx$, so $x - ry = \eta z$ (ker c = im η). Since $ry \neq x$, $\eta z \neq 0$ and z is not in the image of r. Thus, we can do for $z = x_1$ what we just did for $x = x_0$, obtaining a sequence $x_n \in M^O$ such that $\eta x_n \neq 0$ and $x_{n-1} - \eta x_n$ is in the image of r. Since $\eta r = 0$, $\eta x_0 = \eta^2 x_1 = \eta^3 x_2 = 0$, so we must have had x in the image of r (kernel of η) after all: $\eta = 0$ in M^O.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): If $\eta = 0$, then c is monic and r is epic, so that ker φ = ker (cr) = ker (r) = im (c) = im (cr) = im (φ). Thus, M^U is φ-acyclic.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Now, $\eta = 0$ in M^O implies $\eta^2 = 0$ in M^O. Since the composition

$$M^T_* \xrightarrow{\tau} M^O_{*-3} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M^T_{*-3} \xrightarrow{\eta} M^T_{*-2}$$

equals the composition

$$M^T_* \xrightarrow{\tau} M^O_{*-3} \xrightarrow{\eta} M^O_{*-2} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M^T_{*-2},$$

we also get $\eta \varepsilon \tau = 0$ in M^T.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): If $\eta^2 = 0$ in M^O, then

$$0 \to M_*^O \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M_*^T \xrightarrow{\tau} M_{*-3}^O \to 0$$

is exact, but $0 = \eta\varepsilon\tau = \varepsilon\eta\tau$ in M^T. Since ε is monic, $\eta\tau = 0$. Now, τ surjects onto M^O and also maps into the kernel of η, so $\eta = 0$ in M^O. $\square$

12.3. Classifying ko-modules

As in the dimension two case for rings above (Theorem 7), all *crt*-acyclic *crt*-modules can be realized as π_*^{crt} of some *ko*-module, again using projective resolutions.

The analysis is completed after verifying that the classification of *ko*-modules with the same π_*^{crt} M is given by a quotient of $\mathrm{Ext}_{crt}^{2,-1}(M,M)$, exactly as in [8] and in Theorem 7.

Theorem 17. *The category of crt-acyclic crt-modules has enough projectives and all objects have projective dimension at most two. Any crt-acyclic crt-module can be realized as $\pi_*^{crt}(X)$ for some ko-module X. This ko-module is unique up to homotopy if the crt-module has projective or injective dimension at most one. For a fixed crt-module M of projective dimension two, there is an equivalence relation finer than homotopy equivalence so that equivalence classes of ko-modules X with $\pi_*^{crt}(X) = M$ are in bijective correspondence with the elements of $\mathrm{Ext}_{crt}^{2,-1}(M,M)$.*

13. Modules over periodic K-theory and K_*-local spectra

A simpler classification results when $\mathscr{F}$-modules have $\mathscr{F}$-projective dimension at most one. One example is Bousfield's *CRT*-theory [8], noted above. This classification yields an algebraic criterion for when a K_*-local spectrum can be given the structure of a *KO*-module or *KU*-module.

13.1. Classifying KO-modules

Since Bousfield proves that all *CRT*-acyclic *CRT*-modules have projective dimension at most one and that all projectives are free (hence easily realized), we obtain a classification of *CRT*-modules using the united *CRT*-homology spectral sequence. A *KO*-module M is determined by $\pi_*^{CRT}(M)$ and the group of *KO*-module maps between two *KO*-modules M and N is given by the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}_{CRT}^{1,-1}(\pi_*^{CRT}(M), \pi_*^{CRT}(N)) \to [M,N]_0^{KO}$$

$$\to \mathrm{Hom}_{CRT}(\pi_*^{CRT}(M), \pi_*^{CRT}(N)) \to 0.$$

Note that we have now classified R-modules where R is real or complex K-theory, either connective or periodic.

13.2. Local spectra with module structures

If X is a K_*-local spectrum (or S-module), it would be good to know when $X \simeq M$ as spectra for some KO- or KU-module M. Note that X_* for a spectrum X has only the structure of an S_*-module; here, we need consider it only as a graded abelian group.

A CRT-module enriched with Adams operations is called an $ACRT$-module [8]. These $ACRT$-modules classify K_*-local spectra by taking $K_*^{CRT}(X) \cong \pi_*^{CRT}(KO \wedge X)$ as CRT-modules for X K_*-local, together with Adams operations induced by those on KO.

Note that $\pi_*^{CRT}(X)$ is not in general a CRT-module, though the acyclicity condition always holds. If X has the structure of a naive KO-module spectrum, however, then $\pi_*^{CRT}(X)$ is a CRT-module.

Let U be the right adjoint to the forgetful functor from $ACRT$-modules to CRT-modules. Note that the complexification map $c: KO \to KU$ is a map of S-algebras: any KU-module is a KO-module.

Theorem 18. *Let X be a K_*-local spectrum or S-module. Then X is equivalent to a KO-module if and only if $K_*^{CRT}(X) \cong U\pi_*^{CRT}(X)$ as $ACRT$-modules, where $\pi_*^{CRT}(X)$ must be a CRT-acyclic CRT-module. Further, X is a KU-module if and only if, in addition, X_* can be given the structure of a KU_*-module.*

Proof. Necessity is clear: given a K_*-local spectrum X which is equivalent to a KO-module, $\pi_*^{CRT}(X)$ must be a CRT-acyclic CRT-module, and by [8], $K_*^{CRT}(X) \cong U\pi_*^{CRT}(X)$ as $ACRT$-modules.

If $\pi_*^{CRT}(X)$ is CRT-acyclic, then by the classification theorem for KO-modules, there is a KO-module Y, unique up to homotopy, such that $\pi_*^{CRT}(X) \cong \pi_*^{CRT}(Y)$.

For X and Y to have the same homotopy type as spectra, it suffices to check their K_*-local type, since both are K_*-local. The question is now answered by the analysis in [8] relating the categories of CRT-modules and CRT-modules with Adams operations, or $ACRT$-modules.

Bousfield constructs the right adjoint U to the forgetful functor from $ACRT$-modules to CRT-modules. Since U is a right adjoint, it preserves injectives; in fact, this is how he shows that the category of $ACRT$-modules has enough injectives. Further, given a naive KO-module spectrum Y, $K_*^{CRT}(Y) \cong U\pi_*^{CRT}(Y)$ as $ACRT$-modules. Thus, X is a KO-module if $K_*^{CRT}(X) \cong U\pi_*^{CRT}(Y)$. In particular, although the category of $ACRT$-modules has global injective dimension two, since U preserves injectives, our prospective KO-module X must have $K_*^{CRT}(X)$ with injective dimension at most one as an $ACRT$-module.

In order for a K_*-local spectrum X to be a KU-module, X must be a KO-module by neglect of structure and X_* must have a KU_*-module structure; in fact, since the Bott element must be an isomorphism, any KU_*-module structures on X_* are isomorphic. Let Y_* denote X_* with a KU_*-module structure. Y_* has an injective resolution $0 \to Y_* \to I_0 \to I_1 \to 0$ of KU_*-modules, which we realize uniquely up to homotopy as a cofibration $Y \to |I_0| \to |I_1| \to \Sigma Y$ of KU-modules; $\pi_*(Y) \cong Y_*$.

By neglect of structure, Y is a KO-module, hence X and Y have the same homotopy type if they satify the condition $K_*^{CRT}(X) \cong U\pi_*^{CRT}(Y)$. In this case, X is homotopy equivalent to the KU-module Y. $\quad\square$

Note that this shows readily that any naive KU-module spectrum is equivalent to a KU-module; thus, any naive KU-module spectrum can be given the structure of a KU-module. Further, since any map of naive module spectra is a map between modules of dimension at most one, it can be realized as a strict map. Thus, the homotopy category of naive module spectra over KU (weak equivalences inverted) is equivalent to the derived category of KU-modules.

Corollary 19. *The derived category of KU-modules is equivalent to the derived category of naive KU-module spectra. The same is true for KO.*

This answers a question of Mark Hovey (personal communication) of when a K_*-local spectrum has the structure of a KU-module spectrum.

14. Realizing modules of dimension higher than two

Given an S-algebra R with a united theory $R\mathscr{F}$ (the *crt* and *CRT* theories, for example), and given any R_*-module M_* it is possible to construct an $R\mathscr{F}$-module with M_* as its value at $R \wedge S$ (Section 9.1). For the sake of clarity, the exposition here will focus on the example of *ko*.

Theorem 20. *Given any ko$_*$-module M_*, it is possible to construct a crt-acyclic crt-module with ko part M_*. Thus, by the classification theorem for ko-modules, M_* can be realized as the homotopy of some ko-module.*

The general case is stated at the end of this section. The method of proof will be clear from the example of *ko*; essentially, it is to build a complex of copies of $\pi_*^{crt}(ko)$ from a free resolution of the module, then to see that the homology of the complex is concentrated in degree zero and is our desired *crt*-module.

14.1. The construction

Let M_* denote a (graded) ko_*-module. Take a free resolution $F_\bullet^O \to M_* \to 0$ of M_*; each F_n^O is a free, graded ko_*-module. Let F_n^U be a free graded ku_*-module on

generators corresponding to those of F_n^O. Now construct a bicomplex $\mathbb{F}$

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\cdots \longrightarrow & \Sigma F_n^O & \xrightarrow{\eta} & F_n^O & \xrightarrow{c} & F_n^U & \xrightarrow{r} & \Sigma^2 F_n^O & \longrightarrow \cdots \\
& \Big\downarrow{d_n} & & \Big\downarrow{d_n} & & \Big\downarrow{d_n^U} & & \Big\downarrow{d_n} & \\
\cdots \longrightarrow & \Sigma F_{n-1}^O & \xrightarrow{\eta} & F_{n-1}^O & \xrightarrow{c} & F_{n-1}^U & \xrightarrow{r} & \Sigma^2 F_{n-1}^O & \longrightarrow \cdots \\
& \Big\downarrow{d_{n-1}} & & \Big\downarrow{d_{n-1}} & & \Big\downarrow{d_{n-1}^U} & & \Big\downarrow{d_{n-1}} & \\
& \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\
& \Big\downarrow & & \Big\downarrow & & \Big\downarrow & & \Big\downarrow & \\
\cdots \longrightarrow & \Sigma F_0^O & \xrightarrow{\eta} & F_0^O & \xrightarrow{c} & F_0^U & \xrightarrow{r} & \Sigma^2 F_0^O & \longrightarrow \cdots
\end{array}
$$

where each X_k has an additional internal grading. Suspension affects only this internal grading: $\Sigma X_{k,*} = X_{k,*-1}$. The maps η, c, r are induced by the maps

$$
\Sigma ko_* \xrightarrow{\eta} ko_* \xrightarrow{c} ku_* \xrightarrow{r} \Sigma^2 ko_*;
$$

and the differentials of $F_\bullet^U$ are given by the following method: $F_n^O \to F_{n-1}^O$ has a unique realization as a map of ko-modules; smash with the identity on $C(\eta)$.

This is equivalent to defining $F_\bullet^U$ as $F_\bullet^O \otimes_{ko_*} ku_*$, since the modules in $F_\bullet^O$ are all free over ko_*. Thus, we can construct a complex F of free crt-modules as $F = F_\bullet^O \otimes_{ko_*} \pi_*^{crt}(ko)$, where $F_\bullet^X = F_\bullet^O \otimes_{ko_*} \pi_*(kX)$. We obtain diagrams similar to the one above corresponding to the other long exact sequences defining crt-acyclicity. The rows are exact complexes by construction. Since free modules are flat, each complex $F_\bullet^X$ is a resolution. Define a crt-module M by $M^O = M_*$, $M^U = H_\bullet(F_\bullet^U)$, and $M^T = H_\bullet(F_\bullet^T)$, operations induced by those on the resolutions $F_\bullet^X$.

Now M can be realized in topology (since crt-theory has dimension two) if and only if M is crt-acyclic. To see that M is crt-acyclic, recall that a bicomplex $\mathbb{F}$ has two spectral sequences [14] (where ∂ denotes the horizontal differential given by η, c, and r; and d, the vertical)

$$
E_2^{p,q} = H_p(H_{q-p}(\mathbb{F},d),\partial), \quad \text{and} \quad E_2'^{p,q} = H_p(H_{q-p}(\mathbb{F},\partial),d)
$$

each converging to the homology of the associated total complex (appropriately filtered). In our case, the spectral sequences are half-plane spectral sequences. Since they are spectral sequences from a bicomplex, they automatically converge conditionally [5]. Further, only finitely many differentials are non-zero since each spectral sequence has differentials which go from the groups in the free resolutions downward (in the above diagram) eventually into the lower half-plane, which is all zero.

In this case, the one spectral sequence converges to zero and the other, since it is a single line, converges from the homology of the complex

$$
\cdots \to \Sigma M^O \to M^O \to M^U \to \Sigma^2 M^O \to \cdots
$$

to zero, forcing the complex in question to be exact. Similar analysis yields exactness for the M^O-M^T and M^U-M^T complexes. Thus, M is *crt*-acyclic and can be realized as $\pi_*^{crt}(X)$ for some *ko*-module X.

The details of the generalization of this construction are in the section below. The guarantee that any $\mathscr{F}$-module can be realized holds only for theories of dimension at most two; in such a case, the analysis realizing and classifying such modules is exactly as in the *crt* case.

14.2. General united theories

Let $R\mathscr{F}$ be a united theory for an S-algebra R, with X an R-module in $R\mathscr{F}$. Set $A = [X,X]_*^R$. Note that $\pi_*^{\mathscr{F}}(DX)$ consists of A-modules and A-module homomorphisms. Given any A-module M_* with A-free resolution $F_\bullet^X \to M_* \to 0$, we can form $F = F_\bullet^X \otimes_A \pi_*^{\mathscr{F}}(DX)$, which is a complex of $R\mathscr{F}$-modules such that F^X is the complex $F_\bullet^X$. The acyclicity conditions are proven as in the *crt*-case above, and we define the $R\mathscr{F}$-module M by $M^Y = H_\bullet(F_\bullet^Y)$ for Y in $R\mathscr{F}$, operations induced by those on F. Thus we obtain an $\mathscr{F}$-acyclic $R\mathscr{F}$-module M. When M has projective dimension at most two, we can use the techniques of earlier sections to realize M as $\pi_*^{\mathscr{F}}(Z)$ for an R-module Z. This finishes the proof of Theorem 20 and its generalization:

Theorem 21. *Let R be an S-algebra with a united theory $R\mathscr{F}$, X an R-module in $R\mathscr{F}$. Let $A = [X,X]_*^R$. Then given any A-module M_* it is possible to construct an $\mathscr{F}$-acyclic united module M such that $M^X = M_*$. Thus, by the techniques preliminary to the classification theorem, when the united theory $R\mathscr{F}$ is of dimension at most two, any A-module can be realized as the homotopy of $DX \wedge_R Z$ for some R-module Z. In particular, any R_*-module is the homotopy of some R-module.*

In particular, any ko_*-module is the homotopy of some *ko*-module, and the same is true for KO.

Note also that any $[ku,ku]_*^{ko}$-module can be realized as $\pi_*(ku \wedge_{ko} Z)$ for some *ko*-module Z, since *ku* is self-dual up to suspension as a *ko*-module.

15. A change of rings isomorphism

This section gives a change of categories isomorphism analogous to the standard change of rings isomorphisms for calculating Ext.

Let $A = [ku,ku]_*^{ko}$. Recall the definition of φ-acyclic preceding Lemma 16.

15.1. Universal functors between A-modules and crt-modules

The forgetful functor $M \mapsto M^U$ from *crt*-modules to (graded) A-modules has both a left adjoint and a right adjoint.

Lemma 22. *The left adjoint λ to the forgetful functor from crt-modules to (graded) A-modules is given by*

$$(\lambda M)^U_* = M_*, \qquad (\lambda M)^O_* = N_*, \qquad (\lambda M)^T_* = N_* \oplus N_{*+1},$$

where N_* is the double desuspension of the cokernel of φ. The operations on λM are given by $\eta = 0$, $\psi_T[x, y] = [x, -y]$, $\beta_O[x] = [\beta_U^4 x]$, $\beta_T[x, y] = [\beta_U^2 x, \beta_U^2 y]$, $\varepsilon[x] = [x, 0]$, $\zeta[x, y] = [x + \psi x]$, $\gamma x = [0, x]$, and $\tau[x, y] = [y]$.

The right adjoint ρ to the forgetful functor is given by

$$(\rho M)^U_* = M_*, \qquad (\rho M)^O_* = L_*, \qquad (\rho M)^T_* = L_* \oplus L_{*+1},$$

where L_* is the kernel of φ. The operations on ρM are given by $\eta = 0$, $\psi_T(x, y) = (x, -y)$, $\beta_O(x) = \beta_U^4 x$, $\beta_T(x, y) = (\beta_U^2 x, \beta_U^2 y)$, $\varepsilon(x) = (x, 0)$, $\zeta(x, y) = x$, $\gamma x = (0, x + \psi x)$, and $\tau(x, y) = y$.

Proof. The adjunctions are verified by using the operation sequences, noting that maps must commute with all *crt*-operations: given an *A*-module map $\alpha: M_* \to X^U_*$, where X is a *crt*-module, there should be a unique map $\alpha^{crt}: \lambda(M) \to X$ with $\alpha^U = \alpha$. The definition of λ and the *crt*-operations require that $\alpha^U = \alpha$, α^T is determined by α^O (because of the *O-T* and *U-T* sequences), and $\alpha^O[y] = r\alpha(y)$, which is well-defined since $[y] = [z]$ if and only if $\varphi(y - z) = 0$, and $r\varphi = 0$. Similarly for $\alpha': X^U_* \to M_*$, we need a unique map $\alpha'^{crt}: X \to \rho(M)$. This time, $\alpha^O(x) = \alpha c(x) \in \rho(M) = \ker \varphi$ since α is a map of *A*-modules, so $\varphi \alpha c(x) = \alpha \varphi c(x) = \alpha(0)$. $\square$

Lemma 23. *If M is a projective A-module, then λM is a projective crt-module. If M is an injective A-module, then ρM is an injective crt-module.*

Lemma 24. *Let $0 \to L \to M \to N \to 0$ be an exact sequence of A-modules. If N is φ-acyclic, then $0 \to \lambda L \to \lambda M \to \lambda N \to 0$ is an exact sequence of crt-modules. If L is φ-acyclic, then $0 \to \rho L \to \rho M \to \rho N \to 0$ is an exact sequence of crt-modules.*

Proof. Any right adjoint preserves limits, hence is left exact and preserves monics; dually for any left adjoint and epis. Thus, it suffices to check the exactness of $0 \to \lambda L \to \lambda M$ and $\rho M \to \rho N \to 0$ where N and L are φ-acyclic. But this is clear from the definitions of ρ and λ and the fact that *A*-module maps commute with φ. $\square$

Lemma 25. *For any φ-acyclic A-module M, λM and ρM are crt-acyclic.*

Proof. Again, by direct calculation from the definitions of the adjoint functors. $\square$

Theorem 26. *For crt-acyclic crt-modules L and M with $\eta = 0$ in M^O, there are natural isomorphisms*

$$\lambda(M^U) \cong M \cong \rho(M^U),$$
$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{crt}(M, L) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_A(M^U, L^U), \qquad \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{crt}(L, M) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_A(L^U, M^U).$$

Proof. We use the fact that M^U is φ-acyclic (Lemma 16), the lemmas above, and the long exact sequences which link the *crt*-operations. Since $\eta = 0$, we have the isomorphisms $\lambda(M^U)^O = \operatorname{coker}\varphi = M^U/\operatorname{im}\varphi = M^U/\operatorname{ker}(r) \xrightarrow{r} \Sigma^2 M^O$ and $M^O \cong \operatorname{im}(c) \cong \ker\varphi = \rho(M^U)^O$. Given these isomorphisms and that, by adjointness, Hom_{crt} is determined by Hom_A, the isomorphism between U-pieces lifts to a *crt*-isomorphism.

Taking a free or injective A-resolution of M, depending on whether M is in the contravariant or covariant variable, we obtain the desired change of rings isomorphisms. □

16. Future directions

16.1. C^*-algebras and connective K-theory

Module spectra (in the classical, naive sense) over connective K-theory which are of the form $ku \wedge X$ for a compact space X are closely related to C^*-algebras. For X and Y compact spaces,

$$[\mathscr{K} \otimes CX, \mathscr{K} \otimes CY] \cong [X, ku \wedge Y]^{\text{Stable}} = [ku \wedge X, ku \wedge Y]^{ku},$$

where $\mathscr{K}$ denotes compact operators and CZ is the space of all maps $Z \to \mathbb{C}$. Dădărlat [12] and Blackadar [4] give more details on this relation between connective K-theory and C^*-algebras. Segal [23] has given a more geometric construction of the connective K-theory spectrum.

Thus, it would be good to know which module spectra over ku are actually of the form $ku \wedge X$, at least for X a finite CW-complex.

16.2. Extended modules

The ko Adams spectral sequence is useful for its quick convergence. This leads one to a desire to understand ko-modules better, for example, which ko_*-modules can occur as the ko-homology of a space or spectrum.

Note that, since ku and kt are finite ko-modules, the result above on finitely generated R_*-modules generalizes to finitely generated *crt*-modules.

In a different vein, a theorem of Jung and Stolz [24] states that a manifold admits a positive scalar curvature metric if and only if the image in ko-theory of the spin bordism class given by the classifying map $M \to B\pi_1 M$ of the universal cover lies in a certain subgroup of $ko_n B\pi_1 M$ ($n = \dim M \geq 5$). Thus, the study of $ko \wedge B\pi$ would be interesting. The periodic case reduces to representation theory. While there is no general description of $H\mathbb{Z} \wedge B\pi$, connective K-theory may be a middle ground between this and the periodic K-theory of $B\pi$.

16.3. Questions

Among other interesting questions is one suggested by Neil Strickland: when is a module over MU_* the homotopy of an MU-module? Here we have realized all modules

of projective dimension at most two, but the question remains for all higher and infinite MU_*-dimensional modules.

Perhaps more tractable would be to investigate other rings of finite homological dimension first. This might require a better understanding of the relation between the associated graded of the Hom groups from the EKMMSS and the actual Hom groups.

Along another tack is the investigation of other united homology theories. It would be interesting to find situations apart from K-theory where these theories are useful.

The work of T.-Y. Lin included results about modules over S_*. One hope would be that we could determine S_*-injectives or obtain more information about maps between 2-cell complexes. Since S_* is not concentrated in even (or otherwise sparse) degrees, the algebra over this uncalculated ring is likely to be difficult to approach, but it should be possible to see part of the picture.

The categories $\mathscr{D}_R$ of R-modules give alternate worlds of homotopy theory. As this paper shows, these worlds are often simpler than the usual stable category. It would be interesting to investigate, for general S-algebras R, whether there is a choice of R such that the every Bousfield class (R-modules with the same localization functor) has a complement in the algebra of Bousfield classes of R-modules. Also possibly interesting would be analogs of the chromatic filtration and whether the telescope conjecture might be true over some choice of R. This might aid in determining the deviation of the telescope conjecture from the truth.

Acknowledgements

References

[1] J.F. Adams, Stable Homotopy and Generalized Homology (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1974).
[2] D. Anderson, Ph.D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 1964.
[3] H. Bass, Big projective modules are free, Illinois J. Math. 7 (1963) 24–31.
[4] B. Blackadar, Matricial and ultramatricial topology, University of Nevada, Preprint, 1991.
[5] J.M. Boardman, Conditionally convergent spectral sequences, Johns Hopkins University, Preprint, 1981.
[6] A.K. Bousfield, The localization of spectra with respect to homology, Topology 18 (1979) 257–281.
[7] A.K. Bousfield, On the homotopy theory of K-local spectra at an odd prime, Amer. J. Math. 107 (1985) 895–932.
[8] A.K. Bousfield, A classification of K_*-local spectra, J. Pure Appl. Algebra. 66 (1990) 121–163.
[9] A.K. Bousfield, On K_*-local stable homotopy theory, in: Adams Memorial Symposium on Algebraic Topology 2 (Cambridge, 1992) 23–33.
[10] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, Homological Algebra (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1956).

[11] S. Costenoble and S. Waner, Generalized Toda brackets and equivariant Moore spectra, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 333 (1992) 849–860.
[12] M. Dădărlat and A. Némethi, Shape theory and (connective) K-theory, J. Operator Theory 23 (1990) 207–291.
[13] A. Elmendorf, I. Kriz, M. Mandell and J.P. May, Commutative algebra in stable homotopy theory, Preprint, 1995.
[14] P. Hilton and U. Stammbach, A Course in Homological Algebra (Springer, Berlin, 1971).
[15] T.-Y. Lin, Adams type spectral sequences and stable homotopy modules, Indiana Math. J. 25 (1976) 135–158.
[16] E. Matlis, Injective modules over Noetherian rings, Pacific J. Math. 8 (1958) 511–528.
[17] H. Matsumura, Commutative Ring Theory, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 8 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986).
[18] H. Matsumura, Graded rings and modules, in: Commutative Ring Theory, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 153 (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1994) 193–203.
[19] J.P. May (with contributions from F. Quinn, N. Ray and J. Tornehave), E_∞ Ring Spaces and E_∞ Ring Spectra, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 577 (Springer, Berlin, 1977).
[20] B. Mitchell, Rings with several objects, Adv. in Math. 8 (1972) 1–161.
[21] C. Năstăsescu and F. van Oystaeyen, Graded Ring Theory (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982).
[22] D.C. Ravenel, Localization with respect to certain periodic homology theories, Amer. J. Math. 106 (1984) 351–414.
[23] G. Segal, K-homology theory and algebraic K-theory, in: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 575 (Springer, Berlin, 1977) 113–127.
[24] S. Stolz, Splitting certain MSpin-module spectra, Topology 33 (1994) 159–180.
[25] J. Wolbert, Classifying modules over E_∞ ring spectra, Preprint, 1994.
[26] J. Wolbert, Ph.D. dissertation, University of Chicago, 1996.