

## A Just-Nonsolvable Torsion-Free Group Defined on the Binary Tree

A. M. Brunner\*

*Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin–Parkside, Kenosha, Wisconsin  
53141-2000*

Said Sidki†

*Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de Brasília, 70910-900 Brasília-DF, Brazil*

and

Ana Cristina Vieira‡

*Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de Minas Gerais, 30161901 Belo Horizonte,  
Minas Gerais, Brazil*

*Communicated by Efim Zelmanov*

Received March 13, 1998

A two-generator torsion-free subgroup of the group of finite-state automorphisms of the binary tree is constructed having the properties of being just-nonsolvable and residually “torsion-free solvable.” A presentation is produced for this subgroup in two generators and two relations together with their images under the iterated application of a certain simple substitution. © 1999 Academic Press

*Key Words:* automorphism groups of one-rooted trees; binary adding machine; finite-state automata; residual properties of groups; just-nonsolvable groups; derived series; lower central series; presentations of groups.

\*E-mail: [brunner@uwp.edu](mailto:brunner@uwp.edu).

†The author acknowledges support from the Brazilian scientific agencies FAPDF and CNPq. E-mail: [sidki@mat.unb.br](mailto:sidki@mat.unb.br).

‡E-mail: [anacris@tupan.mat.ufmg.br](mailto:anacris@tupan.mat.ufmg.br).



## 1. INTRODUCTION

The group of automorphisms of rooted trees has attracted interest for being a source of examples of new phenomena in combinatorial group theory, as well as for its connections with other areas such as automata theory [3, 4] and dynamical systems [2]. This group, together with many of its finitely generated subgroups, exhibits rich recursive structures which reflect the recursiveness of the trees themselves [12]. One form the recursiveness takes is in the closure of the subgroup structure under wreath products by cyclic groups having order equal to the valency of the tree. Thus, if  $L$  is a group defined on the binary tree, then the wreath product of  $L$  by the cyclic group of order 2 is also defined in a canonical manner on the tree.

Of particular interest are the automorphisms of  $n$ -ary trees which correspond to finite-state automata defined on an alphabet of size  $n$ . These constitute the enumerable *group of finite-state automorphisms*. We have shown in [4] that the integral linear group  $GL(m, Z)$  has a faithful representation into the group of finite-state automorphisms of a one-rooted regular  $n$ -ary tree for some  $n$ . Besides representing integral linear groups, finite-state automorphisms also represent finitely generated groups which are not linear, such as the finitely generated infinite Burnside groups constructed in [1, 13, 5, 8] and which enjoy diverse additional remarkable properties such as being just-infinite [9] or having intermediate growth [6]. A fractal-like feature common to many of these groups is that they contain subgroups of finite index which are direct products of two or more copies of the same groups.

This paper grew out of investigating torsion-free subgroups generated by finite-state automorphisms of the binary tree. One special finite-state automorphism is the so-called “binary adding machine” which corresponds to addition by 1 in the binary system; in the notation to be explained later, this automorphism is  $\tau = (e, \tau)\sigma$ . It was proven in [4] that the centralizer of  $\tau$  in the group of automorphisms of the binary tree is isomorphic to the dyadic integers under addition, and, moreover, any automorphism of the tree with a centralizer subgroup isomorphic to the dyadic integers is conjugate to  $\tau$ . We observe that in Bass *et al.* [2, p. 116] there is a treatment of the more general  $q$ -adic adding machine.

The torsion-free group  $H$  which we describe in this paper is generated by  $\tau = (e, \tau)\sigma$ , and by one of its conjugates  $\mu = (e, \mu^{-1})\sigma$ . The generators encapsulate in their definitions the permutations they induce on the different levels of the tree. In general, it is a very difficult task fathoming the structure of a group merely from a recursive definition of how its generators act on the tree. Consequently, it is perhaps surprising to see how much detail about the group can be revealed and understood on this

basis. We prove

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $H$  be the subgroup of the automorphisms of the binary tree generated by  $\mu = (e, \mu^{-1})\sigma$ ,  $\tau = (e, \tau)\sigma$ . Then the following properties hold:*

- (i)  *$H$  is residually a “torsion-free solvable group,” and is just-nonsolvable;*
- (ii)  *$H$  is residually a “finite 2-group,” and every finite quotient  $\bar{H}$  of  $H$  factors as  $\bar{H} = O(\bar{H}) \cdot S$ , where  $O(\bar{H})$  is a nilpotent Hall 2'-subgroup of  $\bar{H}$  having class at most 2 and  $S$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\bar{H}$ .*

The quotients of the lower central series  $\gamma_i(H)$  of  $H$  are studied using techniques developed by Vieira in [14]. Let  $O^{2'}(H)$  denote the odd-order residual  $\bigcap \{K \trianglelefteq H \mid H/K \text{ has odd order}\}$ .

**THEOREM 2.**

- (i) *The cyclic subgroups  $\langle \mu \rangle, \langle \tau \rangle$  are self-centralizing in  $H$ ;*
- (ii) *the direct product group  $\gamma_2(H) \times \gamma_2(H)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\gamma_2(H)$  and the quotient  $\gamma_2(H)/(\gamma_2(H) \times \gamma_2(H))$  is infinite cyclic;*
- (iii) *the quotient group  $H/\gamma_3(H)$  is torsion-free;*
- (iv)  *$\gamma_3(H) = O^{2'}(H)$ ;*
- (v) *the central quotients  $\gamma_i(H)/\gamma_{i+1}(H)$  have exponents divisors of 8, for all  $i \geq 3$ .*

The group  $H$  admits an elegant presentation with two generators and two relators together with their images under the iterated application of an endomorphism of the free group of rank 2.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $F$  be the free group of rank 2 generated by  $a, b$ , and let  $r = [b, b^a]$ ,  $r' = [b, b^{a^2}]$ . Furthermore, let  $\varepsilon$  be the endomorphism of  $F$  determined by  $\varepsilon: a \rightarrow a^2, b \rightarrow a^2 b^{-1} a^2$ . Define the group  $L = \langle a, b \mid \varepsilon^k(r), \varepsilon^k(r'), k \geq 0 \rangle$ . Then the map  $\varphi: a \rightarrow \tau, b \rightarrow \lambda (= \tau \mu^{-1})$  extends to an isomorphism from  $L$  onto  $H$ .*

The preceding presentation is in the same spirit as that given by Lysenok [10] for the Grigorchuk 2-group. The Gupta–Sidki 3-group also has such a presentation; however, the substitutions are more involved [11].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

### 2.1. Notation

The one-rooted binary tree  $T_2$  is labeled by the free monoid  $\mathcal{Y}$ , freely generated by the set  $Y = \{0, 1\}$ , with identity element  $\emptyset$ , ordered by the

relation:  $m \leq m'$  if and only if  $m'$  is a prefix of  $m$ . There is a level function on  $T_2$  arising from  $|m|$ , the number of syllables in  $m \in M$ ; the root vertex  $\emptyset$  has level 0. Let  $A$  denote the automorphism group of  $T_2$ , and let  $\sigma$  be the automorphism of  $T_2$  interchanging  $0m$  and  $1m$  for any  $m$  in the monoid  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

An automorphism  $\alpha$  which fixes the vertices labeled by  $0, 1$  is represented as an ordered pair  $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$  where  $\alpha_i$  is the automorphism of the subtree headed by  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ . Since these subtrees are (standardly) isomorphic to  $T_2$ , we identify the  $\alpha_i$ 's with automorphisms of  $T_2$ . Therefore, a general automorphism  $\alpha$  may be represented inductively as  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \cdot \sigma^{i_\phi}$ , where  $i_\phi = 0, 1$  modulo 2, and similarly  $\alpha_0 = (\alpha_{00}, \alpha_{01})\sigma^{i_0}$ ,  $\alpha_1 = (\alpha_{10}, \alpha_{11})\sigma^{i_1}$ , and so on. Multiplication is determined by  $\sigma \cdot (\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \cdot \sigma = (\alpha_1, \alpha_0)$  and  $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \cdot (\beta_0, \beta_1) = (\alpha_0\beta_0, \alpha_1\beta_1)$ . Successive developments of  $\alpha$  produce for every  $u \in \mathcal{Y}$  an automorphism  $\alpha_u = \alpha_u(\alpha)$  of the tree, together with a permutation  $\sigma_u = \sigma_u(\alpha)$  of  $Y$ .

Define the following involutory automorphisms of  $T_2$ :  $\sigma_0 = \sigma$ ,  $\sigma_1 = (\sigma, e)$ ,  $\sigma_2 = (\sigma_1, e) = ((\sigma, e), (e, e))$ , and, inductively,  $\sigma_{i+1} = (\sigma_i, e)$ . Then the group generated by  $\{\sigma_i | 0 \leq i \leq k\}$  is the wreath product  $\wr_k C_2 = (\dots \wr C_2) \wr C_2$  of the cyclic group  $C_2$ , iterated  $k$  times, while the  $\{\sigma_i | i \geq 0\}$  is the infinitely iterated restricted wreath product  $\wr_\infty C_2$ . We observe that  $A$  induces on the  $k$ th-level vertices a permutation group isomorphic to  $\wr_{k-1} C_2$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $A_k$  denote  $k$ th-level stabilizer subgroup of  $A$ ; that is,  $A_k$  is the kernel of the action of  $A$  on the  $k$ th-level vertices. Then  $A/A_k \cong \wr_{k-1} C_2$ , and  $\bigcap \{A_k | k \geq 0\}$  is trivial. In particular,  $A$  is residually “a finite 2-group.”

Given  $\alpha \in A$ , the set  $Q(\alpha) = \{\alpha_u : u \in \mathcal{Y}\}$  is called the *set of states* of  $\alpha$ . A state  $\alpha_u$  is said to be *inactive* if  $i_u = 0$ ; otherwise it is *active*. It is possible to interpret the automorphism  $\alpha$  as an automaton with alphabet  $Y$ : the set of states is  $Q(\alpha)$ ; when the automaton is in state  $\beta$ , the output function is given by  $y \rightarrow z = (y)\sigma_\phi(\beta)$ , the image of  $y$  under  $\sigma_{\phi(\beta)}$ ; the state transition function is  $\beta \rightarrow \beta_2$ . We call  $\alpha$  a *finite-state automorphism* if the set of states  $Q(\alpha)$  is finite.

For an automorphism  $\alpha$  of the binary tree, we let  $\alpha^{(1)}$  denote  $(\alpha, \alpha)$  and, inductively,  $\alpha^{(k+1)} = (\alpha^{(k)})^{(1)}$ . Then  $\alpha^{(k)} \in A_k$ .

## 2.2. First Facts about the Group $H$

(i) Define  $\lambda = \tau\mu^{-1}$ . Then, as  $\tau = (e, \tau)\sigma$ ,  $\mu = (e, \mu^{-1})\sigma$ ,  $\lambda = (e, \tau)\sigma \cdot (\mu, e)\sigma = (e, \tau)(e, \mu) = (e, \tau\mu) = (e, \tau\lambda^{-1}\tau)$ . Therefore,

$$\lambda = (e, \tau\lambda^{-1}\tau).$$

Clearly,  $H = \langle \tau, \lambda \rangle$ . Then  $H_1 = H \cap A_1$  contains the elements  $\lambda = (e, \tau\lambda^{-1}\tau)$ ,  $\tau^2 = (\tau, \tau) = \tau^{(1)}$ . Therefore,  $H = H_1\langle \tau \rangle$ ,  $[H : H_1] = 2$ , and

$H_1$  projects in its first and second coordinates onto  $H$ . That is,  $H_1$  is a subdirect product of  $\times_2 H = H \times H$  seen as a subgroup of  $A$ . Our group  $H$  itself is a subgroup of  $(\times_2 H)\langle \sigma \rangle$  and the embedding can be continued to produce the chain of subgroups

$$H \leq \left( \times_2 H \right) \cdot \langle \sigma \rangle \leq \dots \leq \left( \times_{2^k} H \right) \cdot \langle \sigma_i | 0 \leq i \leq k \rangle.$$

(ii) Let  $\lambda_i$  denote the conjugates  $\lambda^{\tau^i}$  of  $\lambda$ , for  $i$  any integer. Also define  $r = [\lambda, \lambda_1]$ ,  $r' = [\lambda, \lambda_3]$ . Then  $\lambda_1 = (\lambda^{-1}\tau^2, e)$ ,  $\lambda_3 = (\lambda^{-1}\tau^2, e)^{\tau^2} = (\lambda^{-\tau^2}\tau^2, e)$ , and  $r = e = r'$  follow. Indeed, we observe that  $[\lambda_i, \lambda_j] = e$  whenever  $i, j$  have opposite parities. Let  $\Lambda$  be the normal closure of  $\lambda$  in  $H$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = \langle \lambda_i | i \text{ is odd} \rangle$ , and  $\Lambda_2 = \langle \lambda_i | i \text{ is even} \rangle$ . Therefore,

$$H_1 = \Lambda \langle \tau^2 \rangle, \quad \Lambda = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2.$$

(iii) We calculate  $\tau^{-2}\lambda\tau^{-2} = (\tau^{-2}, \lambda^{-1})$ , thus separating  $\lambda$  from  $\tau$  in the second coordinate. We can separate  $\lambda$  at successively lower levels:  $\tau^2\lambda\tau^2 = (\tau^2, (\tau^2, \lambda))$ ,  $\tau^{-6}\lambda\tau^{-6} = (\tau^{-6}, (\tau^{-2}, (\tau^{-2}, \lambda^{-1})))$ , and so on.

(iv) The relation  $r$  can be produced at the first level as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{-2}\lambda\tau^{-2} &= (\tau^{-2}, \lambda^{-1}), & (\tau^{-2}\lambda\tau^{-2})^{\tau^2} &= \tau^{-4}\lambda = (\tau^{-2}, \lambda_1^{-1}), \\ r'' &= \left[ \tau^{-2}\lambda\tau^{-2}, (\tau^{-2}\lambda\tau^{-2})^{\tau^2} \right] = \left[ \tau^{-2}\lambda\tau^{-2}, \tau^{-4}\lambda \right] = (e, [\lambda^{-1}, \lambda_1^{-1}]) = e. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$r'' = (e, [\lambda, \lambda_1]^{\lambda_1^{-1}\lambda^{-1}}) = (e, r)^{\tau^{-4}\lambda\tau^{-2}\lambda\tau^{-2}}.$$

(v) Let  $F$  be the free group freely generated by  $a, b$ . A word  $w \in F$  is written as  $w = w(a, b) = a^{i_1}b^{j_1}a^{i_2}b^{j_2} \dots a^{i_s}b^{j_s}$  for some integer  $s \geq 0$ , and some integers  $i_t, j_t, 1 \leq t \leq s$ . Let  $w$  be a reduced word. We will use the  $b$ -length  $|w|_b = \sum \{|j_h| | 1 \leq h \leq s\}$  in analyzing word combinatorics. This length function is especially suitable since  $w(\tau, \lambda) = \tau^{i_1}\lambda^{j_1}\tau^{i_2}\lambda^{j_2} \dots \tau^{i_s}\lambda^{j_s} = (w_0(\tau, \lambda), w_1(\tau, \lambda))\sigma^i$  where  $i = \sum \{|i_h| | 1 \leq h \leq s\}$  modulo 2, and where  $w_0(a, b), w_1(a, b)$  are words such that  $|w_0|_b + |w_1|_b \leq |w|_b$ .

(vi) *The group  $\Lambda$  is six generated.* We note that

$$\begin{aligned} r'' &= [\lambda_2\tau^{-4}, \lambda_4\tau^{-4}] = (\lambda_2\tau^{-4})^{-1}(\lambda_4\tau^{-4})^{-1}\lambda_2\tau^{-4}\lambda_4\tau^{-4} \\ &= \lambda_{-2}^{-1}\lambda_{-4}^{-1}\lambda_{-6}\lambda_0 = e. \end{aligned}$$

On conjugating this last equation by  $\tau^6$ , we obtain  $\lambda_4^{-1}\lambda_2^{-1}\lambda_0\lambda_6 = e$ , and so

$$\lambda_6 = \lambda_0^{-1}\lambda_2\lambda_4, \quad \Lambda = \langle \lambda_i | 0 \leq i \leq 5 \rangle.$$

(vii) *The generators  $\tau$  and  $\mu$  are conjugate by  $\theta = \theta^{(2)}\sigma^{(1)}$ . Since  $\theta^2 = (\theta^2)^{(2)}$  and its states  $\theta^2, (\theta^2)^{(1)}$  are inactive, it follows that  $\theta^2 = e$ .*

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}\theta\tau\theta &= (e, \sigma\theta^{(1)}\tau\sigma\theta^{(1)})\sigma, \\ \mu^{-1}\theta\tau\theta &= (\mu, e)\sigma(e, \sigma\theta^{(1)}\tau\sigma\theta^{(1)})\sigma = (\mu\sigma\theta^{(1)}\tau\sigma\theta^{(1)}, e) \\ &= (\mu, e)\sigma(e, \sigma\theta^{(1)}\tau\sigma\theta^{(1)})\sigma = (\mu\sigma\theta^{(1)}\tau\sigma\theta^{(1)}, e),\end{aligned}$$

where the first coordinate is

$$\mu\sigma\theta^{(1)}\tau\sigma\theta^{(1)} = (e, \mu^{-1})(\theta^2, \theta\tau\theta) = (e, \mu^{-1}\theta\tau\theta).$$

Thus,  $\mu^{-1}\theta\tau\theta = ((e, \mu^{-1}\theta\tau\theta), e)$ , and  $\mu^{-1}\theta\tau\theta = e$  follows.

### 2.3. Decomposition of the Subgroups $H', \gamma_3(H), H''$

Let  $H'$  denote the first derived subgroup,  $H''$  the second derived subgroup, and, more generally,  $H^{(k)}$  the  $k$ th derived subgroup of  $H$ . Furthermore, define  $c = [\lambda, \tau] \in H'$  and the sequence of tree automorphisms  $c_1 = (c, e)$ ,  $c_{i+1} = (c_i, e)$  for  $i \geq 1$ .

PROPOSITION 4. *The derived group  $H'$  factors as  $H' = (\times_2 H')\langle c \rangle$ , and  $H'$  is the normal closure of  $\langle c_1, c \rangle$  in  $H$ .*

*Proof.* From  $c = (\lambda^{-1}\tau^2, \tau^{-1}\lambda\tau^{-1})$ ,  $c^\tau = (\tau^{-2}\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\tau^2)$ , we calculate

$$cc^\tau = (e, \tau^{-1}\lambda\tau^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\tau^2) = (e, \lambda^\tau\lambda^{-\tau^2}) = (e, c^{-\tau}).$$

Therefore,

$$cc^\tau = (e, c^{-\tau}).$$

From this we conclude that  $c_1 \in H'$ , for

$$\tau^{(1)}\tau^{-1}\tau^{(1)}(cc^\tau)^{-1}\tau^{-(1)}\tau\tau^{-(1)} = (e, c^\tau)^{\tau^{-(1)}\tau\tau^{-(1)}} = c_1.$$

As the normal closure of  $c_1$  in  $H_1$  is  $H' \times \{e\}$ , we conclude that  $H' \times \{e\} \leq H'$  and  $H'$  is the normal closure of  $\langle c_1, c \rangle$  in  $H$ . Hence,  $\times_2 H'$  is a normal subgroup of  $H$ ,  $c^\tau = c^{-1}$  modulo  $\times_2 H'$ , and we obtain the required factorization of  $H'$ . ■

PROPOSITION 5. *The third term of the lower central series  $\gamma_3(H)$  factors as*

$$\gamma_3(H) = \left( \times_2 \gamma_3(H) \right) \langle [c, \tau^2] \rangle \langle [c, \tau] \rangle$$

and  $[c, \tau^2] \equiv (c^{-1}, c)$  modulo  $\times_2 \gamma_3(H)$ .

*Proof.* From  $c_1 = (c, e) \in H'$  and  $\tau^2 = (\tau, \tau)$ , we obtain

$$[c_1, \tau^2] = ([c, \tau], e) \in \gamma_3(H).$$

The group  $\gamma_3(H)$  is the normal closure of  $[c, \tau]$  in  $H$ , since  $[c, \lambda] = e$ . We conclude that the normal closure of  $[c_1, \tau^2]$  in  $H_1$  is  $\gamma_3(H) \times \{e\}$  and that this is a subgroup of  $\gamma_3(H)$ . Therefore,

$$\times_2 \gamma_3(H) \leq \gamma_3(H).$$

The assertion  $[c, \tau^2] \equiv (c^{-1}, c)$  modulo  $\times_2 \gamma_3(H)$  follows from

$[c, \tau^2] = ([\lambda^{-1}\tau^2, \tau], [\tau^{-1}\lambda\tau^{-1}, \tau]) \equiv ([\lambda, \tau]^{-1}, [\lambda, \tau]) \equiv (c^{-1}, c)$  modulo  $\times_2 \gamma_3(H)$ . We note that modulo  $\times_2 \gamma_3(H)$ , the element  $(c^{-1}, c)$  of  $\gamma_3(H)$  is inverted by  $\tau$  and is centralized by  $\lambda, c, [c, \tau]$ .

Let  $\hat{D}(H')$  be the normal closure of  $(c^{-1}, c)$  in  $H$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{D}(H') &\leq \gamma_3(H), \\ \left( \times_2 \gamma_3(H) \right) \hat{D}(H') &= \left( \times_2 \gamma_3(H) \right) \langle (c^{-1}, c) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In order to complete the description of  $\gamma_3(H)$ , we will compute the commutators  $[c, \tau, \lambda], [c, \tau, \tau]$  modulo  $\times_2 \gamma_3(H)$ . Another form of  $c^\tau = c^{-1}(e, c^{-\tau})$  is

$$[c, \tau] = c^{-2}(e, c^{-\tau}).$$

Since  $[c, \lambda] = e$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [c, \tau, \lambda] &= [c^{-2}(e, c^{-\tau}), \lambda] \equiv [c^{-2}(e, c^{-1}), \lambda] \\ &\equiv [c^{-2}, \lambda]^{(e, c^{-1})} [(e, c^{-1}), \lambda] \equiv [(e, c^{-1}), \lambda] \\ &\equiv (e, [c^{-1}, \tau\lambda^{-1}\tau]) \equiv e \end{aligned}$$

modulo  $\times_2 \gamma_3(H)$ . Hence,

$$[c, \tau] = c^{-2}(e, c^{-1}) \quad \text{modulo } \times_2 \gamma_3(H),$$

$$[c, \tau, \lambda] \in \times_2 \gamma_3(H).$$

By commutator calculus  $[c, \tau^2] = [c, \tau]^2 [c, \tau, \tau]^{[c, \tau]}$ . Since, by the previous proposition,  $[c, \tau^2] \equiv (c^{-1}, c)$  modulo  $\times_2 \gamma_3(H)$ , we find that  $[c, \tau, \tau]^{[c, \tau]} \equiv [c, \tau]^{-2}(c^{-1}, c)$ , and, therefore,

$$[c, \tau, \tau] \equiv [c, \tau]^{-2}(c^{-1}, c) \quad \text{modulo } \times_2 \gamma_3(H).$$

With this we arrive at the required factorization of  $\gamma_3(H)$ . ■

PROPOSITION 6. *The second term of the derived series  $H''$  factors as*

$$H'' = \times_2 \left\{ \left( \times_2 \gamma_3(H) \right) \langle (c^{-1}, c) \rangle \right\}.$$

*Proof.* We conclude from the factorization of the first term of the derived series

$$H' = \left( \times_2 H' \right) \langle c \rangle$$

that  $H''$  is the normal closure of  $[\times_2 H', c]$  in  $H$ . Therefore, using Proposition 4,  $H''$  is the normal closure of  $[c_1, c], [c_2, c]$  in  $H$ . First, we calculate  $[c_2, c] = ([c_1, \lambda^{-1}\tau^2], e) = (([c, \tau], e), e)$  and conclude that  $H'' \geq \times_4 \gamma_3(H)$ . Now we calculate  $[c_1, c]$  in  $H$  modulo  $\times_4 \gamma_3(H)$ ,

$$[c_1, c] = ([c, \lambda^{-1}\tau^2], e) = ([c, \tau^2], e) \equiv ((c^{-1}, c), e)$$

from which the factorization of  $H''$  follows. ■

#### 2.4. Centralizers

LEMMA 7. *The centralizer of the derived group  $H'$  in  $H$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* Let  $w \in F$  have least  $b$ -length  $|w|_b$  such that  $w(\tau, \lambda) = (w_0, w_1)\sigma^i$  centralizes  $H'$ . Since  $c_1 = (c, e) \in H'$ , clearly,  $i = 0$ , and, therefore,  $w_0, w_1$  also centralize  $H'$ . Hence,  $|w|_b = 0$ , and  $w(\tau, \lambda)$  is a power of  $\tau$ . Since  $c_i = (c_{i-1}, e) \in H'$ , we conclude that  $w = e$ . ■

LEMMA 8. *The generators  $\tau, \mu$  are self-centralizing in  $H$ .*

*Proof.* We will prove the assertion for  $\tau$ . The case for  $\mu$  will be analogous. Suppose  $C_H(\tau) \neq \langle \tau \rangle$ . Let  $w \in F$  have least nonzero  $b$ -length such that  $w(\tau, \lambda) = (w_0, w_1)\sigma^i$  centralizes  $\tau$ . Since  $|w|_b \neq 0$ , it follows that  $|w_0|_b, |w_1|_b < |w|_b$ . If  $i = 1$ , then  $w(\tau, \lambda)\tau = (w_0\tau, w_1)$  commutes with  $\tau^2 = (\tau, \tau)$ . Therefore,  $w_0, w_1$  commute with  $\tau$  and so  $w_0 = \tau^j, w_1 = \tau^k$  for some integers  $j, k$ . Now conjugation of  $w$  by  $\tau$  shows that  $k = j + 1$  and, therefore,  $w = \tau^{2j+1}$ , a contradiction. The proof for the case  $w(\tau, \lambda) = (w_0, w_1)$  proceeds similarly. ■

### 3. DESCENDING CENTRAL SERIES

PROPOSITION 9. *The commutator quotient  $H/H'$  is torsion-free of rank 2 and  $H'/\gamma_3(H)$  is torsion-free cyclic.*



*Proof.*

(i)  $H/H'$  is a torsion-free group of rank 2. Let  $i, j$  be integers such that  $u = \lambda^i \tau^j \in H'$ . Since  $u \in H_1$ , it follows that  $j = 2j_1$ . Therefore,  $u = (\tau^{j_1}, c' \lambda^{-i} \tau^{2i+j_1})$  for some  $c' \in H'$ . On the other hand, from the decomposition of  $H'$ , there exist an integer  $k$  and  $c'', c''' \in H'$  such that  $u = c^k = (c'' \lambda^{-k} \tau^{2k}, c''' \lambda^k \tau^{-2k})$ . Therefore,

$$(\tau^{j_1}, c' \lambda^{-i} \tau^{2i+j_1}) = (c'' \lambda^{-k} \tau^{2k}, c''' \lambda^k \tau^{-2k})$$

from which we conclude

$$\lambda^{-k} \tau^{2k-j_1}, \lambda^{k+i} \tau^{-(2i+2k+j_1)} \in H'.$$

On using  $\lambda^i \tau^j \in H'$  with the later conclusions, we produce  $\tau^{2(i+j)} \in H'$ . Thus, we have

$$\lambda^i \tau^j, \tau^{2(i+j)}, \lambda^{-k} \tau^{2k-j_1} \in H'.$$

The fact  $\lambda^{-k} \tau^{2k-j_1} \in H'$  implies that  $2k - j_1$  is even, and, therefore,  $j_1$  is also even;  $j = 4j_2$ . On substituting  $\lambda^i \tau^j \in H'$  by  $\tau^{2(i+j)} \in H'$  in the previous argument, we conclude that  $2(i+j)$  is a multiple of 4, and so  $i = 2i_1$ . Therefore, on considering  $\lambda^{-k} \tau^{2k-j_1} \in H'$ , we conclude that  $k = 2k_1$ . Hence,  $\lambda^{-k} \tau^{2k-j_1} = \lambda^{-2k_1} \tau^{2(2k_1-j_2)}$ . Again, there exists an integer  $k' = 2k'_1$  such that  $\lambda^{-k'} \tau^{2k'-(2k_1-j_2)} \in H'$ . Therefore,  $2k' - (2k_1 - j_2)$  is even, and so  $j = 8j_3$ . Going back to  $\tau^{2(i+j)} \in H'$ , we conclude that  $i = 4i_2$ . This procedure may be repeated to prove that  $2^s | j$  and  $2^{s-1} | i$  for any  $s \geq 1$ . Hence,  $j = i = 0$ .

(ii)  $H'/\gamma_3(H)$  is torsion-free. Clearly,  $c \notin \gamma_3(H)$ . We have from the proof of Proposition 4 that  $cc^\tau = (e, c^{-\tau})$  and, therefore,

$$c^2 = (e, c^{-1}) \quad \text{modulo } \gamma_3(H)$$

from which we conclude  $c^2 = c^{2\tau} = (c^{-1}, e)$  modulo  $\gamma_3(H)$ .

Let  $k$  be the smallest nonnegative integer such that  $c^{2k} \in \gamma_3(H)$ . Then  $(c^k, e) \in \gamma_3(H)$ , and, from the decomposition  $\gamma_3(H) = (\times_2 \gamma_3(H)) \langle (c^{-1}, c) \rangle \langle [c, \tau] \rangle$ , we obtain  $(c^k, e) = (c', c'')(c^{-1}, c)^l [c, \tau]^m$  for some  $c', c'' \in \gamma_3(H)$  and  $l, m$  integers. Since  $[c, \tau] = c^{-2}(e, c^{-\tau})$ ,  $c = (\lambda^{-1} \tau^2, \tau^{-1} \lambda \tau^{-1})$ , we obtain  $(\tau^{-2} \lambda)^{2m} \in H'$  and, therefore,  $m = 0$  follows. Hence,  $c^{k+l}, c^l \in \gamma_3(H)$ , and we conclude that  $c^k \in \gamma_3(H)$ . ■

PROPOSITION 10. *The central quotient  $\gamma_i(H)/\gamma_{i+1}(H)$  has exponent a divisor of 8 for all  $i \geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove the assertion for  $\gamma_3(H)/\gamma_4(H)$ .

We develop the relation  $r'' = [\tau^{-2} \lambda \tau^{-2}, \tau^{-4} \lambda] = e$  in terms of commutators:  $e = [(\tau^{-4} \lambda)^{-1} \cdot \tau^{-2} \lambda \tau^{-2}, \tau^{-4} \lambda] = [[\lambda, \tau^{-2}], \tau^{-4} \lambda] = [\lambda, \tau^{-2}, \lambda] [\lambda, \tau^{-2}, \tau^{-4} \lambda]^\lambda$ , and so we arrive at the dependence equation in  $\gamma_3(H)$ :

$$[\lambda, \tau^{-2}, \tau^{-4} \lambda] = [\lambda, \tau^{-2}, \lambda^{-1}].$$

On considering this equation modulo  $\gamma_4(H)$ , we obtain  $[\lambda, \tau, \tau]^8 \equiv [\lambda, \tau, \lambda]^2 = e$ . Therefore,

$$[c, \tau]^8 \equiv e \quad \text{modulo } \gamma_4(H),$$

and  $\gamma_3(H)/\gamma_4(H)$  is cyclic of exponent a divisor of 8. ■

#### 4. TORSION-FREENESS AND JUST-NONSOLVABILITY

PROPOSITION 11. *The group  $H$  is residually “torsion-free solvable” and is nonsolvable.*

*Proof.* First, we will prove that, for all  $k \geq 0$ ,  $(\times_{2^k} H')/(\times_{2^{k+1}} H')$  is torsion-free. For the case  $k = 0$ , we recall the decomposition  $H' = (H' \times H')\langle c \rangle$  and we suppose that  $c^n \in H' \times H'$  for some integer  $n$ . Then, as  $c^n = ((\lambda^{-1}\tau^2)^n, (\tau^{-1}\lambda\tau^{-1})^n)$ , we conclude that  $(\lambda^{-1}\tau^2)^n \in H'$ , and  $n = 0$  follows from the fact that  $H/H'$  is torsion-free. The general case is treated similarly.

We conclude from the preceding argument that  $H/(\times_{2^k} H')$  is a torsion-free group. Since  $H \leq (\times_{2^k} H) \cdot (\iota_k C_2)$ , it follows that  $H/(\times_{2^k} H')$  is a homomorphic image of the solvable group  $(\times_{2^k} H/H') \cdot (\iota_k C_2)$ . Also, since  $\times_{2^k} H' \leq H_k$ , the  $k$ th stabilizer subgroup,  $\cap\{\times_{2^k} H' | k \geq 0\} \leq \cap\{H_k | k \geq 0\} = \{e\}$ . Hence,  $H$  is residually a “torsion-free solvable” group.

Clearly,  $H''$  is nontrivial. Since  $H'' \geq \times_2 \hat{D}(H')$ , we have that, for all  $k \geq 1$ ,  $H^{(k+1)} \geq (\times_2 \hat{D}(H'))^{(k)}$  which is a subdirect product of  $\times_4 H^{(k)}$ . By induction on  $k$ , we conclude that  $H$  is nonsolvable. ■

PROPOSITION 12. *The group  $H$  is just-nonsolvable.*

*Proof.* Let  $w \in H, w \neq e$ . We will show that the normal closure  $W$  of any nontrivial element  $w$  in  $H$  contains a term of the derived series of  $H$ . There are two cases to consider depending on whether or not  $w \in H_1$ .

*Case 1.* Let  $w = (w_0, w_1)\sigma$ . Then a direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} [w, \lambda] &= (w_1^{-1}\tau^{-1}\lambda\tau w_1, \tau\lambda^{-1}\tau), \\ [w, \lambda, \lambda_2] &= (e, [\tau\lambda^{-1}\tau, \lambda^{-1}\tau^2]) = (e, \lambda_0\lambda_3\lambda_2^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\nu = \lambda_0\lambda_3\lambda_2^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}$  and let  $N$  be the normal closure of  $\nu$  in  $H$ . Then, in  $\Lambda$  modulo  $N$ ,  $\lambda_3 \equiv \lambda_0^{-1}\lambda_1\lambda_2 = \lambda_0^{-1}\lambda_2\lambda_1$ , and so  $[\lambda_3, \lambda_1] \equiv e$ . Therefore,  $\Lambda/N$  is abelian of rank at most 3,  $H/N$  is metabelian, and  $H'' \leq N$ . From the embedding  $H \leq (H \times H)\langle \sigma \rangle$ , we conclude that  $N \times N \leq W, H'' \times H'' \leq W$ , and  $H$  is solvable.

Case 2. Let  $w = (w_0, w_1)$ . We may assume  $w_1 \neq e$ . Let  $W_1$  be the normal closure of  $w_1$  in  $H$ . Therefore, by Lemma 7,  $[w_1, H']$  is nontrivial. Now,  $W \geq [(w_0, w_1), (e, H')] = (e, [w_1, H'])$ , and so  $W \geq (e, [W_1, H'])$ . Clearly, if  $W_1$  contains a derived subgroup  $H^{(l)}$  for some  $l$ , then  $W$  would contain  $H^{(l+1)} \times H^{(l+1)}$ , and, as  $H/(H^{(l+1)} \times H^{(l+1)})$  is solvable, the case would be done. The desired conclusion is reached as there exists a minimum level where  $w$  is active. ■

### 5. FINITE QUOTIENTS OF $H$

PROPOSITION 13.

(i) *The odd-order residual  $O^{2'}(H)$  is equal to  $\gamma_3(H)$ .*

(ii) *Any finite quotient  $\bar{H}$  of  $H$  decomposes as  $\bar{H} = O(\bar{H}) \cdot S$  where  $O(\bar{H})$  is a normal Hall  $2'$ -group of  $\bar{H}$ , which is nilpotent of class at most 2, and where  $S$  is a 2-group.*

*Proof.*

(i) Let  $n$  be an odd number and let  $N$  be the normal closure of  $\tau^n$ . Then, in  $H$  modulo  $N$ ,  $\lambda_n = \lambda_0$  and, therefore,  $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = \Lambda$ , and  $\Lambda$  is an abelian group generated by  $\lambda_0, \lambda_2, \lambda_4$ . The equation  $\lambda_0^{-1}\lambda_2\lambda_4\lambda_6^{-1} = e$  translates additively to  $\lambda_0 \cdot (-1 + \tau^2 + \tau^4 - \tau^6) = 0$ .

Define the polynomials  $l(x) = -1 + x^2 + x^4 - x^6$  and  $m(x) = -1 + x^n$ . Then  $l(x), m(x)$  factor as  $l(x) = (-1 + x)l_1(x)$ , where  $l_1(x) = (1 - x)(1 + x)^2(1 + x^2)$ , and  $m(x) = -1 + x^n = (-1 + x)m_1(x)$ . Let GCD denote the greatest common divisor operator applied to polynomials, with integer coefficients. Then, since  $\text{GCD}(1 + x, m_1(x)) = 1$ ,  $\text{GCD}(1 + x^2, m_1(x)) = 1$ ,  $\text{GCD}((1 - x), m_1(x)) = n$ , we conclude that  $\text{GCD}(l(x), m(x)) = n(-1 + x)$ .

Let  $a(x), b(x)$  be polynomials over the integers such that

$$a(x)(1 + x)^2(1 + x^2) + b(x)m_1(x) = 1.$$

Then, on multiplying the preceding by  $(1 - x)^2$ , we obtain

$$-a(x)l(x) - b(x)m(x) = (1 - x)^2.$$

Therefore, the following equations hold:

$$\lambda_0 \cdot n(-1 + \tau) = 0, \quad \lambda_0(1 - \tau)^2 = 0$$

in  $H$  modulo  $N$ . Returning to multiplicative notation,

$$N\lambda_0^n = N\lambda_2^n = N\lambda_4^n,$$

$$\gamma_3(H) \leq N.$$

Now we reach the conclusion  $O^{2'}(H) = \gamma_3(H)$  since, easily,  $\cap\{H^k | k \text{ odd}\} \leq \gamma_3(H)$ .

(ii) Let  $n = 2^k n'$ ,  $n'$  odd,  $M$  the normal closure of  $\tau^{2^k}$ , and  $N$  the normal closure of  $\tau^n$  in  $H$ .

We assert that  $M = \langle \times_{2^k} H' \rangle \langle \tau^{2^k} \rangle$ . Since  $\tau^{2^k} = \tau^{(k)}$  and there exist integers  $i, j, \dots, m$ , such that  $\xi = (\tau^i, (\tau^j, (\dots, (\tau^m, \lambda) \dots))) \in H$  where  $\lambda$  is isolated at the  $k$ th level, we produce  $[\tau^{2^k}, \xi] = (e, e, \dots, e, [\tau, \lambda]) \in H_k$ . Therefore,  $M$  contains the normal subgroup  $\times_{2^k} H'$ . It is easy to see, by induction on  $k$ , that  $[\tau^{2^k}, \lambda] \in \times_{2^k} H'$ . With this, our assertion is established.

Now  $\tau^n = (\tau^{2^k})^{n'} = (\tau^{n'})^{(k)}$ , and it follows from part (i) that  $N$  contains  $\times_{2^k} \gamma_3(H)$ . Since

$$M / \left( \times_{2^k} \gamma_3(H) \right) = \left( \times_{2^k} H' / \gamma_3(H) \right) \cdot \left\langle \left( \times_{2^k} \gamma_3(H) \right) \tau^{(k)} \right\rangle$$

is clearly a class 2 nilpotent group, therefore  $M/N$  is also nilpotent of class at most 2.

Let  $\tilde{N} = H^n$  be the group generated by  $\{h^n | h \in H\}$  and let  $\tilde{M} = H^{2^k}$ . Then  $H/\tilde{M}$  is a finitely generated solvable group of exponent  $2^k$ , and so it is a finite 2-group. Thus,  $\tilde{M}$  is a finitely generated group. Now  $\tilde{M}/\tilde{N}$  is a finitely generated nilpotent group of class at most 2, and has odd exponent  $n'$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{M}/\tilde{N}$  is a finite group of odd order, and  $H/\tilde{N}$  is a finite group having the type of structure as had been affirmed.

Since any finite quotient group of  $H$  is a quotient of  $H/H^n$  for some integer  $n$ , the proof follows. ■

## 6. A PRESENTATION FOR $H$

Let  $K$  be the subgroup of  $H_1$  generated by  $\tau^2, \lambda$ . Also, let  $\rho: K \rightarrow H$  be the projection on the second coordinate. Then, as  $\tau^2 = (\tau, \tau)$ ,  $\lambda = (e, \tau\lambda^{-1}\tau)$ , we have  $\rho: \tau^2 \rightarrow \tau$ ,  $\lambda \rightarrow \tau\lambda^{-1}\tau$  and, therefore, it is an epimorphism. It is actually an isomorphism. However, first we need to prove the following.

LEMMA 14. *Let  $s$  be an integer,  $s \geq 1$ . Then the tree automorphisms defined by  $(e, \tau)^s, (\tau, e)^s$  do not belong to  $H$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\tau^{2^s} = (\tau^s, \tau^s) \in H$ , it is sufficient to prove the assertion for  $\alpha = (e, \tau)^s$ . Suppose that  $\alpha \in H$ . Since  $H/H'$  is 2-generated, there exist integers  $i, j$  such that  $\alpha\lambda^i\tau^j \in H'$ . Therefore,  $j = 2j'$ ,

$$\alpha\lambda^i\tau^j = \left( \tau^{j'}, \tau^s(\tau\lambda^{-1}\tau)^i \tau^{j'} \right),$$

and, from the decomposition  $H' = (H' \times H')\langle c \rangle$ , we obtain the second expression

$$\alpha\lambda^i\tau^j = (c', c'')c^k$$

for some  $c', c'' \in H'$  and some integer  $k$ . However, on substituting

$$c^k = \left( (\lambda^{-1}\tau^2)^k, (\tau^{-1}\lambda\tau^{-1})^k \right)$$

in the previous equation and on comparing first coordinates, we arrive at  $\tau^{j'} = c'(\lambda^{-1}\tau^2)^k$ . Since  $H/H'$  is freely generated by  $H'\lambda, H'\tau$ , we conclude that  $k = 0 = j' = j$ . Thus,  $\alpha\lambda^i = (e, \tau^{s'}(\tau\lambda^{-1}\tau^i)) = (c', c'')$ ,  $\tau^{s'+2i}\lambda^{-i} \in H'$ , and  $i = 0$  follows. ■

Let  $w$  be a word in  $\tau^2, \lambda$ . Then  $w = w(\tau^2, \lambda) = (w(\tau, e), \rho(w))$ . If  $\rho(w) = e$ , then  $w = (w(\tau, e), e) = (\tau^s, e)$  for some integer  $s$ . Thus,  $(\tau^s, e)$  is an element of  $H$ , and  $s = 0$  by Lemma 14; hence,  $w = e$ . Define  $\varepsilon: H \rightarrow K$  to be the inverse map of  $\rho$ . Then  $\varepsilon: \tau \rightarrow \tau^2, \lambda \rightarrow \tau^2\lambda^{-1}\tau^2$  determines an isomorphism from  $H$  onto  $K$ .

PROPOSITION 15. *Let  $r = [b, b^a], r' = [b, b^{a^3}]$  be words in the free group  $F$  freely generated by  $a, b$ . Also consider the endomorphism of  $F$  determined by  $\varepsilon: a \rightarrow a^2, b \rightarrow a^2b^{-1}a^2$ , and define the group*

$$L = \langle a, b | \varepsilon^k(r), \varepsilon^k(r'), k \geq 0 \rangle.$$

Then the map  $\varphi: a \rightarrow \tau, b \rightarrow \lambda$  extends to a homomorphism from  $L$  onto  $H$ .

*Proof.* Explicitly,  $\varepsilon^k: a \rightarrow a^{2^k}, b \rightarrow a^{l_k}b^{\delta_k}a^{l_k}$ , where  $\delta_k = (-1)^k, l_k = \frac{2}{3}(2^k - \delta_k)$ . In order to write down  $\varepsilon^k(r), \varepsilon^k(r')$ , we define  $b_s = b^{a^s}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^k(b) &= b_{-l_k}^{-1}a^{2l_k}, \\ \varepsilon^k(r) &= b_{l_k}^{-\delta_k}b_{3l_k+2^k}^{-\delta_k}b_{3l_k}^{\delta_k}b_{l_k+2^k}^{\delta_k}, \\ \varepsilon^k(r') &= b_{l_k}^{-\delta_k}b_{3(l_k+2^k)}^{-\delta_k}b_{3l_k}^{\delta_k}b_{l_k+3 \cdot 2^k}^{\delta_k} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $k \geq 0$ . We note that, for  $k = 1, \delta_k = -1, l_k = 2$ , and, thus,

$$\varepsilon(r) = b_2b_8b_6^{-1}b_4^{-1}, \quad \varepsilon(r') = b_2b_{12}b_6^{-1}b_8^{-1}.$$

Let  $B$  be the normal closure of  $b$  in  $L, B_1 = \langle b_k | k \text{ odd} \rangle, B_2 = \langle b_m | m \text{ even} \rangle$ . The relation  $r = e$  means that  $b_0$  commutes with  $b_1$ , and, by conjugation by  $a$ , we conclude that  $b_1$  commutes with  $b_0, b_2$ . Now  $r' = e$  implies that  $b_1$  commutes with  $b_4$  as well. Since  $\varepsilon(r) = b_2b_8b_6^{-1}b_4^{-1} = e$ , we have  $b_0b_6b_4^{-1}b_2^{-1} = e$ , and, therefore,  $b_1$  commutes with  $b_0, b_2, b_4, b_6$ .

Furthermore, since  $b_2 b_8 b_6^{-1} b_4^{-1} = e$ , we deduce that  $b_1$  commutes with  $b_0, b_2, b_4, b_6, b_8$ . It is clear that on repeating this argument we obtain that  $b_1$  commutes with  $b_i$  for all  $i$  even. Thus,  $L$  factors as  $L = B\langle a \rangle$ , and  $B = B_1 + B_2$ .

An element  $w(a, b)$  of  $L$  can be written as  $w(a, b) = w_1(a, b)w_2(a, b)a^n$  where  $w_1(a, b) = b_{k_1}^{j_1} b_{k_2}^{j_2} \cdots b_{k_s}^{j_s} \in B_1$ ,  $k_t$  odd for  $1 \leq t \leq s$ , and where  $w_2(a, b) = b_{m_1}^{j_1} b_{m_2}^{j_2} \cdots b_{m_q}^{j_q} \in B_2$ ,  $m_p$  even for  $1 \leq p \leq q$ ; note that  $|w_1|_b + |w_2|_b = |w|_b$ . On applying the  $\varphi$  map, we have  $\varphi w(a, b) = (w'_2(\tau, \lambda), w'_1(\tau, \lambda))\tau^n$ . Here  $w'_1(a, b) = (b_{k_1}^{-1} a^2)^{j_1} (b_{k_2}^{-1} a^2)^{j_2} \cdots (b_{k_s}^{-1} a^2)^{j_s}$ , where  $k'_h = k_h/2 - 1$  for  $1 \leq h \leq s$ , and  $w'_2(a, b) = (b_{m_1}^{-1} a^2)^{j_1} (b_{m_2}^{-1} a^2)^{j_2} \cdots (b_{m_q}^{-1} a^2)^{j_q}$ , where  $m'_h = (m_h - 1)/2$  for  $1 \leq h \leq q$ . Thus,  $|w'_1|_b = |w_1|_b$ ,  $|w'_2|_b = |w_2|_b$ .

Suppose that  $w(a, b)$  is a nontrivial element in the kernel of  $\varphi$ , and let it be of minimum  $b$ -length  $|w|_b$ . Then  $\varphi w(a, b) = (w'_2(\tau, \lambda), w'_1(\tau, \lambda))\tau^n = e$ , and so  $n = 2n_0$ , and  $(w'_2(\tau, \lambda)\tau^{n_0}, w'_1(\tau, \lambda)\tau^{n_0}) = e$ . Hence,  $w'_1(a, b)a^{n_0}$ ,  $w'_2(a, b)a^{n_0}$  are also in the kernel of  $\varphi$ , and

$$|w'_1(a, b)a^{n_0}|_b = |w_1|_b, \quad |w'_2(a, b)a^{n_0}|_b = |w_2|_b.$$

If both  $w_1, w_2$  have  $b$ -lengths shorter than  $w$ , then  $w'_1(a, b)a^{n_0} = e = w'_2(a, b)a^{n_0}$ ,  $w_1(a, b) = e = w_2(a, b)$ , and, consequently,  $n = 0$ ,  $w = e$ , a contradiction. Thus, we may assume  $w(a, b) = w_2(a, b)a^n$ ,  $q > 1$ . Then  $n = 2n_0$ , and both  $w_2(a, b)a^n, w'_2(a, b)a^{n_0}$  are in the kernel of  $\varphi$ . Now we replace in the preceding argument  $w$  by

$$w'_2(a, b)a^{n_0} = (b_{m_1}^{-1} a^2)^{j_1} (b_{m_2}^{-1} a^2)^{j_2} \cdots (b_{m_q}^{-1} a^2)^{j_q} a^{n_0} = w''_2(a, b)a^{n_1},$$

where  $n_1 = 2j_1 + \cdots + 2j_q + n_0$ . Thus, again,  $w''_2(a, b) \in B_1$  or  $B_2$ . That is,  $m'_h$  has the same parity as  $m'_1$  for all  $1 \leq h \leq q$ . Hence,

$$m'_h - m'_1 = \frac{m_h - 1}{2} - \frac{m_1 - 1}{2} = \frac{m_h - m_1}{2}$$

is even, and so  $4|m_h - m_1$ . If  $j_1 > 1$  then, since  $(b_{m_1}^{-1} a^2)^{j_1} = b_{m_1}^{-1} b_{m_1-2}^{-1} \cdots$ , the consecutive indices cannot be congruent modulo 4. Therefore,  $|j_h| = 1$  for all  $1 \leq h \leq q$ . As  $q > 1$ , it follows that  $j_{h+1} = -j_h$ , and  $q$  is even. We may assume  $j_1 = 1$ . Thus,  $w'_2(a, b)a^{n_0} = b_{m_1}^{-1} b_{m_2}^{j_2} \cdots b_{m_q}^{-1} b_{m_{q'+1}}^{j_{q'+1}} a^{n_0}$ . Once more, we obtain that  $2|n_0|, 4|m'_h - m'_1$ . Therefore,  $8|m_h - m_1$ . A repetition of this argument produces  $m_h = m_1$ ,  $n = 0$ . Hence,  $w_2(a, b) = b_{m_1}^{j_1} b_{m_2}^{j_2} \cdots b_{m_q}^{j_q} = e$ ,  $a^n = e$ ,  $w = e$ , a contradiction. ■

## 7. FINAL COMMENTS

(i) On changing  $b$  by its conjugate  $\dot{b} = b^{a^2}$  in the previous presentation for  $H$ , the definition of the endomorphism becomes  $\varepsilon: a \rightarrow a^2, \dot{b} \rightarrow \dot{b}^{-1}a^4$ . The new relators may still be chosen to be  $\dot{r} = [\dot{b}, \dot{b}^a], \dot{r}' = [\dot{b}, \dot{b}^{a^3}]$  together with their images under repeated applications of  $\varepsilon$ .

(ii) It was shown in Section 2 that conjugation by  $\theta = \theta^{(2)}\sigma^{(1)}$  is an automorphism of  $H$  of order 2 which interchanges  $\tau$  and  $\mu$ . We note that conjugation by  $\delta = \delta^{(1)}\sigma$  inverts both  $\tau$  and  $\mu$ . It appears that the 4-group  $\langle \delta, \theta \rangle$  is the group of outer automorphisms of  $H$ .

(iii) Define  $R_l$  to be the normal closure of  $\langle \varepsilon^k(r), \varepsilon^k(r') \mid 0 \leq k \leq l \rangle$  in the free group  $F$ . It can be proven that  $\{R_l \mid l \geq 0\}$  is a strictly ascending chain of subgroups and, therefore,  $H$  is not finitely presentable.

(iv) The proof of Proposition 14 provides an algorithm for solving the word problem in  $H$ . It would be interesting to see if the approach of Wilson and Zalesskii [15] leads to the solution of the conjugacy problem for this group.

(v) A torsion-free group which is an extension of an abelian group of infinite rank by the Grigorchuk 2-group has been defined to act on a tree with infinite valency and was shown to have intermediate growth [7]. This raises the question about the growth function of our group.

## REFERENCES

1. S. V. Aleshin, Finite automata and the Burnside problem for periodic groups, *Math. Notes* **11** (1972), 199–203.
2. H. Bass, M. Otero-Espinar, D. Rockmore, and C. P. L. Tresser, “Cyclic Renormalization and Automorphism Groups of Rooted Trees,” *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 1621, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
3. A. M. Brunner and S. Sidki, On the automorphism group of the one-rooted binary tree, *J. Algebra* **195** (1997), 465–486.
4. A. M. Brunner and S. Sidki, The generation of  $GL(n, Z)$  by finite automata, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **8**, (1998), 127–139.
5. R. I. Grigorchuk, On the Burnside problem for periodic groups, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **14** (1980), 41–43.
6. R. I. Grigorchuk, Degrees of growth of finitely generated groups and the theory of invariant means, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **48** (1984), 939–985.
7. R. I. Grigorchuk, On degrees of growth of  $p$ -groups and torsion-free groups, *Mat. Sb.* **126** (1985), 194–214.
8. N. Gupta and S. Sidki, On the Burnside problem for periodic groups, *Math. Z.* **182** (1983), 385–388.
9. N. Gupta and S. Sidki, Extensions of groups by tree automorphisms, “Contributions to Group Theory,” *Contemporary Mathematics*, Vol. 33, 232–246, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1984.

10. I. G. Lysenok, A system of defining relations for a Grigorchuk group, *Math. Notes* **25** (1985), 784–792.
11. S. Sidki, On a 2-generated infinite 3-group: the presentation problem, *J. Algebra* **110** (1987), 13–23.
12. S. Sidki, “Regular Trees and Their Automorphisms,” *Monografias de Matematica*, Vol. 56, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, 1998.
13. V. I. Suschanskii, Periodic  $p$ -groups of permutations and the unrestricted Burnside problem, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **20** (1979), 776–770.
14. A. C. Vieira, On the lower central series and the derived series of the Gupta–Sidki 3-group, *Comm. Algebra* **26** (1998), 1319–1333.
15. J. S. Wilson and P. A. Zalesskii, Conjugacy separability of certain torsion groups, *Arch. Math.* **68** (1997), 441–449.