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#### Abstract

Let $\widehat{H}_{l}$ be the affine Hecke algebra corresponding to the group $G L_{l}$ over a $p$-adic field with residue field of cardinality $q$. We will regard $\widehat{H}_{l}$ as an associative algebra over the field $\mathbb{C}(q)$. Consider the $\widehat{H}_{l+m}$-module $W$ induced from the tensor product of the evaluation modules over the algebras $\widehat{H}_{l}$ and $\widehat{H}_{m}$. The module $W$ depends on two partitions $\lambda$ of $l$ and $\mu$ of $m$, and on two non-zero elements of the field $\mathbb{C}(q)$. There is a canonical operator $J$ acting on $W$; it corresponds to the trigonometric $R$-matrix. The algebra $\widehat{H}_{l+m}$ contains the finite dimensional Hecke algebra $H_{l+m}$ as a subalgebra, and the operator $J$ commutes with the action of this subalgebra on $W$. Under this action, $W$ decomposes into irreducible subspaces according to the Littlewood-Richardson rule. We compute the eigenvalues of $J$, corresponding to certain multiplicity-free irreducible components of $W$. In particular, we give a formula for the ratio of two eigenvalues of $J$, corresponding to the "highest" and the "lowest" components. As an application, we derive the well known $q$-analogue of the hook-length formula for the number of standard tableaux of shape $\lambda$.
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## 1. Introduction

In this article we will work with the affine Hecke algebra corresponding to the general linear group $G L_{l}$ over a local non-Archimedean field. Let $q$ be a formal parameter. Let $H_{l}$ be the finite dimensional Hecke algebra over the field $\mathbb{C}(q)$ of rational functions in $q$, with

[^0]the generators $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{l-1}$ and the relations
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(T_{i}-q\right)\left(T_{i}+q^{-1}\right)=0  \tag{1.1}\\
& T_{i} T_{i+1} T_{i}=T_{i+1} T_{i} T_{i+1}  \tag{1.2}\\
& T_{i} T_{j}=T_{j} T_{i}, \quad j \neq i, i+1 \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

for all possible indices $i$ and $j$. The affine Hecke algebra $\widehat{H}_{l}$ is the $\mathbb{C}(q)$-algebra generated by the elements $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-1}$ and the pairwise commuting invertible elements $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ subject to the relations (1.1)-(1.3) and

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{i} Y_{i} T_{i}=Y_{i+1} ;  \tag{1.4}\\
& T_{i} Y_{j}=Y_{j} T_{i}, \quad j \neq i, i+1 \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

By definition, the affine algebra $\widehat{H}_{l}$ contains $H_{l}$ as a subalgebra. There is also a homomorphism $\pi_{1}: \widehat{H}_{l} \rightarrow H_{l}$ identical on the subalgebra $H_{l} \subset \widehat{H}_{l}$; it can be defined [1, Theorem 3.4] by setting $\pi_{1}\left(Y_{1}\right)=1$. Indeed, then by (1.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}\left(Y_{i}\right)=T_{i-1} \ldots T_{1} T_{1} \ldots T_{i-1} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $i=1, \ldots, l$. Denote by $X_{i}$ the right hand side of the equality (1.6). Using the relations (1.2) and (1.3), one can check that

$$
T_{i} X_{j}=X_{j} T_{i}, \quad j \neq i, i+1
$$

and that the elements $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{l}$ are pairwise commuting. These elements are invertible in $H_{l}$, because the generators $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{l-1}$ are invertible: we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i}^{-1}=T_{i}-q+q^{-1} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to (1.1). The elements $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{l}$ are called the Murphy elements [14] of the Hecke algebra $H_{l}$; they play an important role in the present article.

More generally, for any non-zero $z \in \mathbb{C}(q)$, one can define a homomorphism $\pi_{z}$ : $\widehat{H}_{l} \rightarrow H_{l}$, also identical on the subalgebra $H_{l} \subset \widehat{H}_{l}$, by setting $\pi_{z}\left(Y_{1}\right)=z$. It is called the evaluation homomorphism at $z$. By pulling any irreducible $H_{l}$-module $V$ back through the homomorphism $\pi_{z}$ we obtain a module over the algebra $\widehat{H}_{l}$, called an evaluation module at $z$ and denoted by $V(z)$. By definition, the $\widehat{H}_{l}$-module $V(z)$ is irreducible.

Throughout this article $l$ is a positive integer. For any index $i=1, \ldots, l-1$ let $\sigma_{i}=(i i+1)$ be the adjacent transposition in the symmetric group $S_{l}$. Take any element $\sigma \in S_{l}$ and choose a reduced decomposition $\sigma=\sigma_{i_{1}} \cdots \sigma_{i_{L}}$. As usual put $T_{\sigma}=T_{i_{1}} \cdots T_{i_{L}}$; this element of the algebra $H_{l}$ does not depend on the choice of reduced decomposition of $\sigma$ due to (1.2) and (1.3). The element of maximal length in $S_{l}$ will be denoted by $\sigma_{0}$. We will write $T_{0}$ instead of $T_{\sigma_{0}}$ for short. The elements $T_{\sigma}$ form a basis of $H_{l}$ as a vector space over the field $\mathbb{C}(q)$. We will also use the basis in $H_{l}$ formed by the elements $T_{\sigma}^{-1}$.

The $\mathbb{C}(q)$-algebra $H_{l}$ is semisimple; see [6, Section 4] for a short proof of this well known fact. The simple ideals of $H_{l}$ are labelled by partitions $\lambda$ of $l$, like the equivalence classes of irreducible representations of the symmetric group $S_{l}$. In Section 3 of the present article, for any partition $\lambda$ of $l$ we will construct a certain left ideal $V_{\lambda}$ in the algebra $H_{l}$. Under the action of the algebra $H_{l}$ via left multiplication, the subspace $V_{\lambda} \subset H_{l}$ is irreducible; see Corollary 3.5. The $H_{l}$-modules $V_{\lambda}$ for different partitions $\lambda$ are pairwise
non-equivalent; see Corollary 3.6. At $q=1$, the algebra $H_{n}(q)$ specializes to the group ring $\mathbb{C} S_{l}$. The $H_{n}(q)$-module $V_{\lambda}$ then specializes to the irreducible representation of $S_{l}$, corresponding [18] to the partition $\lambda$. Our construction of $V_{\lambda}$ employs a certain limiting process called fusion procedure [1]; see Section 2 for details, cf. [7, 9].

Using this definition of the $H_{l}$-module $V_{\lambda}$, consider the evaluation module $V_{\lambda}(z)$ over the affine Hecke algebra $\widehat{H}_{l}$. Take a partition $\mu$ of a positive integer $m$ and a non-zero element $w \in \mathbb{C}(q)$, then also consider the evaluation module $V_{\mu}(w)$ over the algebra $\widehat{H}_{m}$. The tensor product $\widehat{H}_{l} \otimes \widehat{H}_{m}$ is naturally identified with the subalgebra in $\widehat{H}_{l+m}$, generated by the elements

$$
T_{1}, \ldots, T_{l-1}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{l} \quad \text { and } \quad T_{l+1}, \ldots, T_{l+m-1}, Y_{l+1}, \ldots, Y_{l+m}
$$

Let us denote by $W$ be the $\widehat{H}_{l+m}$-module induced from the module $V_{\lambda}(z) \otimes V_{\mu}(w)$ over the subalgebra $\widehat{H}_{l} \otimes \widehat{H}_{m} \subset \widehat{H}_{l+m}$. Identify the underlying vector space of the module $W$ with the left ideal in $H_{l+m}$ generated by $V_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu} \subset H_{l} \otimes H_{m}$, so that the subalgebra $H_{l+m} \subset \widehat{H}_{l+m}$ acts on $W$ via left multiplication. Further, denote by $W^{\prime}$ be the $\widehat{H}_{l+m^{-}}$ module induced from the module $V_{\mu}(w) \otimes V_{\lambda}(z)$ over the subalgebra $\widehat{H}_{m} \otimes \widehat{H}_{l} \subset \widehat{H}_{l+m}$. The underlying vector space of $W^{\prime}$ is identified with the left ideal in $H_{l+m}$ generated by $V_{\mu} \otimes V_{\lambda} \subset H_{m} \otimes H_{l}$. Note that then due to (4.5) and (4.6) we have the equality of left ideals $W^{\prime}=W T_{\tau}$, where $\tau$ is the element of the symmetric group $S_{l+m}$ permuting

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1, \ldots, m, m+1, \ldots, l+m) \mapsto(l+1, \ldots, l+m, 1, \ldots, l) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $z^{-1} w \notin q^{2 \mathbb{Z}}$. Then the $\widehat{H}_{l+m}$-modules $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ are irreducible and equivalent; see for instance [20, Remark 8.7]. Hence there is a unique, up to a multiplier from $\mathbb{C}(q)$, non-zero intertwining operator of $\widehat{H}_{l+m}$-modules $I: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$. The existence of this operator does not depend on the choice of realization of the $\widehat{H}_{l+m}$-modules $W$ and $W^{\prime}$. For our choice of $W$ and $W^{\prime}$, we will give an explicit formula for the operator $I$; see Proposition 4.2. This formula fixes the normalization of $I$, in particular.

Let $J: W \rightarrow W$ be the composition of the operator $I: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$, and the operator $W^{\prime} \rightarrow W$ of multiplication by the element $T_{\tau}^{-1}$ on the right. Since the subalgebra $H_{l+m} \subset \widehat{H}_{l+m}$ acts on the left ideals $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ in $H_{l+m}^{\tau}$ via left multiplication, the operator $J$ commutes with this action of $H_{l+m}$. Under this action, the vector space $W$ splits into irreducible components according to the Littlewood-Richardson rule [13, Section I.9]. On every irreducible component appearing with multiplicity one, the operator $J$ acts as multiplication by a certain element of $\mathbb{C}(q)$. In this article, we compute these elements of $\mathbb{C}(q)$ for certain multiplicity-free components of $W$; see Theorems 4.5 and 4.6. Note that without affecting the eigenvalues of the operator $J$, one can replace $V_{\lambda}$ and $V_{\mu}$ in our definition of $W$ by any left ideals in the algebras $H_{l}$ and $H_{m}$ respectively, equivalent to $V_{\lambda}$ and $V_{\mu}$ as modules over these two algebras.

Let us give an example of applying our Theorems 4.5 and 4.6. Write

$$
\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda=\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots\right),
$$

where the parts of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are as usual arranged in the non-increasing order. Consider also the conjugate partitions

$$
\lambda^{*}=\left(\lambda_{1}^{*}, \lambda_{2}^{*}, \ldots\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mu^{*}=\left(\mu_{1}^{*}, \mu_{2}^{*}, \ldots\right)
$$

There are two distinguished irreducible components of the $H_{l+m}$-module $W$ which are multiplicity free. They correspond to the two partitions of $l+m$

$$
\lambda+\mu=\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1}, \lambda_{2}+\mu_{2}, \ldots\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\lambda^{*}+\mu^{*}\right)^{*}
$$

Let us denote by $h_{\lambda \mu}(z, w)$ the ratio of the corresponding two eigenvalues of the operator $J$; this ratio does not depend on the normalization of this operator.

Corollary 1.1. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\lambda \mu}(z, w)=\prod_{a, b} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{-2\left(\mu_{a}+\lambda_{b}^{*}-a-b+1\right)}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2\left(\lambda_{a}+\mu_{b}^{*}-a-b+1\right)}} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the product is taken over all $a, b=1,2, \ldots$ such that $b \leqslant \lambda_{a}, \mu_{a}$.
We will derive this result from Theorems 4.5 and 4.6, using Proposition 4.7. Now consider the Young diagrams of $\lambda$ and $\mu$. For the partition $\lambda$, this is the set (2.5). The condition $b \leqslant \lambda_{a}, \mu_{a}$ in Corollary 1.1 means that the node $(a, b)$ belongs to the intersection of the diagrams corresponding to $\lambda$ and $\mu$. Recall that the number $\lambda_{a}+\lambda_{b}^{*}-a-b+1$ is the hook-length corresponding to the node $(a, b)$ of the Young diagram of $\lambda$. The numbers appearing in (1.9),

$$
\lambda_{a}+\mu_{b}^{*}-a-b+1 \quad \text { and } \quad \mu_{a}+\lambda_{b}^{*}-a-b+1,
$$

may be called the mixed hook-lengths of the first and second kind respectively. Both these numbers are positive for any node $(a, b)$ in the intersection of the Young diagrams of $\lambda$ and $\mu$; hence there are no cancellations of factors in (1.9).

According to the famous formula from [3], the product of the hook-lengths of the Young diagram of $\lambda$ is equal to the ratio $l!/ \operatorname{dim} V_{\lambda}$. We call the equality (1.9) the mixed hook-length formula. Its counterpart for the degenerate, or graded affine Hecke algebras $[2,12]$ which does not involve the parameter $q$ has appeared in [15]. The $q$-analogue of the hook-length formula [3] is also known; see for instance [13, Example I.3.1]. As another application of our Theorem 4.5 we give a new proof of this $q$-analogue; see the end of Section 4.

## 2. Fusion procedure for the algebra $\boldsymbol{H}_{l}$

In this section, for any standard tableau $\Lambda$ of shape $\lambda$ we will construct a certain nonzero element $F_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$. Under left multiplication by the elements of $H_{l}$, the left ideal $H_{l} F_{\Lambda} \subset H_{l}$ is an irreducible $H_{l}$-module. The irreducible $H_{l}$-modules corresponding to two standard tableaux are equivalent if and only if these tableaux have the same shape. The idea of this construction goes back to [1, Section 3] were no proofs were given however. The element $F_{\Lambda}$ is related to the $q$-analogue of the Young symmetrizer in the group ring $\mathbb{C} S_{l}$ constructed in [5]; see the end of next section for details of this relation.

For each $i=1, \ldots, l-1$ introduce the $H_{l}$-valued rational function in two variables $x, y \in \mathbb{C}(q)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}(x, y)=T_{i}+\frac{q-q^{-1}}{x^{-1} y-1} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a direct calculation using (1.1) and (1.2) shows, these functions satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}(x, y) F_{i+1}(x, z) F_{i}(y, z)=F_{i+1}(y, z) F_{i}(x, z) F_{i+1}(x, y) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to (1.3) these rational functions also satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}(x, y) F_{j}(z, w)=F_{j}(z, w) F_{i}(x, y) ; \quad j \neq i, i+1 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1.1) once again, we obtain the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}(x, y) F_{i}(y, x)=1-\frac{\left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{2} x y}{(x-y)^{2}} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our construction of the element $F_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ is based on the following simple observation. Consider the rational function of $x, y, z$ defined as the product on either side of (2.2). The factor $F_{i+1}(x, z)$ on the left hand side of (2.2), and the factor $F_{i}(x, z)$ on the right hand side have singularities at $x=z$. However,

Lemma 2.1. Restriction of the rational function (2.2) to the set of ( $x, y, z$ ) such that $x=q^{ \pm 2} y$, is regular at $x=z \neq 0$.

Proof. Let us expand the product on the left hand side of (2.2) in the factor $F_{i+1}(x, z)$. By the definition (2.1) we will get the sum

$$
F_{i}(x, y) T_{i+1} F_{i}(y, z)+\frac{q-q^{-1}}{x^{-1} z-1} F_{i}(x, y) F_{i}(y, z)
$$

Here the restriction to $x=q^{ \pm 2} y$ of the first summand is evidently regular at $x=z$. After the substitution $y=q^{\mp 2} x$, the second summand takes the form

$$
\frac{q-q^{-1}}{x^{-1} z-1}\left(T_{i} \mp q^{ \pm 1}\right)\left(T_{i}+\frac{q-q^{-1}}{q^{ \pm 2} x^{-1} z-1}\right)=\frac{q-q^{-1}}{x^{-1} z-q^{\mp 2}}\left(q^{ \pm 1} \mp T_{i}\right) .
$$

The rational function of $x, z$ at the right hand side of the last displayed equality is also evidently regular at $x=z$.

Let $\Lambda$ be any standard tableau of shape $\lambda$. Here we refer to the Young diagram

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \mid 1 \leqslant a, 1 \leqslant b \leqslant \lambda_{a}\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the partition $\lambda$. Any bijective function on the set (2.5) with values $1, \ldots, l$ is called a tableau. The values of this function are the entries of the tableau. The symmetric group $S_{l}$ acts on the set of all tableaux of given shape by permutations of their entries. The tableau $\Lambda$ is standard if $\Lambda(a, b)<\Lambda(a+1, b)$ and $\Lambda(a, b)<\Lambda(a, b+1)$ for all possible integers $a$ and $b$. If $\Lambda(a, b)=i$ then put $c_{i}(\Lambda)=b-a$. The difference $b-a$ here is the content corresponding to the node ( $a, b$ ) of the Young diagram (2.5).

Now introduce $l$ variables $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l} \in \mathbb{C}(q)$. Equip the set of all pairs $(i, j)$, where $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant l$, with the following ordering. The pair $(i, j)$ precedes another pair $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$ if $j<j^{\prime}$, or if $j=j^{\prime}$ but $i<i^{\prime}$. Take the ordered product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{(i, j)} F_{j-i}\left(q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} z_{i}, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)} z_{j}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

over this set. Consider the product (2.6) as a rational function taking values in $H_{l}$, of the variables $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}$. Denote this function by $F_{\Lambda}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda}$ be the vector subspace in $\mathbb{C}(q)^{\times l}$ consisting of all tuples $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right)$ such that $z_{i}=z_{j}$ whenever the numbers $i$ and $j$ appear in the same column of the tableau $\Lambda$, that is whenever $i=\Lambda(a, b)$ and $j=\Lambda(c, b)$ for some $a, b$ and $c$. Note that the point $(1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbb{C}(q)^{\times l}$ belongs to the subspace $\mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda}$.
Theorem 2.2. The restriction of the rational function $F_{\Lambda}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right)$ to the subspace $\mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda} \subset \mathbb{C}(q)^{\times l}$ is regular at the point $(1, \ldots, 1)$.

Proof. Consider any standard tableau $\Lambda^{\prime}$ obtained from the tableau $\Lambda$ by an adjacent transposition of its entries, say by $\sigma_{k} \in S_{l}$. Using the relations (2.2) and (2.3), we derive the equality of rational functions in the variables $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{\Lambda}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right) F_{l-k}\left(q^{2 c_{k+1}(\Lambda)} z_{k+1}, q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)} z_{k}\right) \\
& \quad=F_{k}\left(q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)} z_{k}, q^{2 c_{k+l}(\Lambda)} z_{k+1}\right) F_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\left(z_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, z_{l}^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where the sequence of variables $\left(z_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, z_{l}^{\prime}\right)$ is obtained from the sequence $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right)$ by exchanging the terms $z_{k}$ and $z_{k+1}$. Observe that

$$
\left(z_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, z_{l}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda^{\prime}} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda}
$$

Also observe that here $\left|c_{k}(\Lambda)-c_{k+1}(\Lambda)\right| \geqslant 2$ because the tableaux $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ are standard. Therefore the functions

$$
F_{k}\left(q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)} z_{k}, q^{2 c_{k+l}(\Lambda)} z_{k+1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad F_{l-k}\left(q^{2 c_{k+1}(\Lambda)} z_{k+1}, q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)} z_{k}\right)
$$

appearing in the equality (2.7), are regular at $z_{k}=z_{k+1}=1$. Moreover, their values at $z_{k}=z_{k+1}=1$ are invertible in the algebra $H_{l}$; see the relation (2.4). Due to these two observations, the equality (2.7) shows that Theorem 2.2 is equivalent to its counterpart for the tableau $\Lambda^{\prime}$ instead of $\Lambda$.

Denote by $\Lambda^{\circ}$ the column tableau of shape $\lambda$. By definition, we have $\Lambda^{\circ}(a+1, b)=$ $\Lambda^{\circ}(a, b)+1$ for all possible nodes $(a, b)$ of the Young diagram (2.5). There is a chain $\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}, \ldots, \Lambda^{\circ}$ of standard tableaux of the same shape $\lambda$, such that each subsequent tableau in the chain is obtained from the previous one by an adjacent transposition of the entries. Due to the above argument, it now suffices to prove Theorem 2.2 only in the case $\Lambda=\Lambda^{\circ}$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{k}-q\right)^{2}=\left(-q-q^{-1}\right)\left(T_{k}-q\right) \quad \text { for } k=1, \ldots, l-1 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the ordered product (2.6) when $\Lambda=\Lambda^{\circ}$. Suppose that the factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{j-i}\left(q^{2 c_{i}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)} z_{i}, q^{2 c_{j}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)} z_{j}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in that product has a singularity at $z_{i}=z_{j}=1$. Then $c_{i}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)=c_{j}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)$. If here $i=\Lambda^{\circ}(a, b)$ then $i+1=\Lambda^{\circ}(a+1, b)<j$. The next factor after (2.9) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{j-i-1}\left(q^{2 c_{i+1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)} z_{i+1}, q^{2 c_{j}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)} z_{j}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i+1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)=c_{i}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)-1$. Due to the relations (2.2) and (2.3), the product of all the factors before (2.9) is divisible on the right by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{j-i-1}\left(q^{2 c_{i}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)} z_{i}, q^{2 c_{i+1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)} z_{i+1}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the restriction of (2.11) to $z_{i}=z_{i+1}$ equals $T_{j-i-1}-q$. Also note that the restriction to $z_{i}=z_{i+1}$ of the ordered product of three factors (2.9)-(2.11) is regular at $z_{i}=z_{j}=1$ due to Lemma 2.1.

Now for every pair $(i, j)$ such that (2.9) is singular at $z_{i}=z_{j}=1$, insert the factor (2.11) divided by $\left(-q-q^{-1}\right)$ immediately before the two adjacent factors (2.9) and (2.10) in the product (2.6) with $\Lambda=\Lambda^{\circ}$. These insertions do not alter the values of restriction of the entire product to $\mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$ due to (2.8). But with these insertions, restriction of the product to $\mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$ is evidently regular.

Due to Theorem 2.2, an element $F_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ can now be defined as the value at the point $(1, \ldots, 1)$ of the restriction to $\mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda}$ of the function $F_{\Lambda}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right)$. Note that for $l=1$ we have $F_{\Lambda}=1$. For any $l \geqslant 1$, take the expansion of the element $F_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ in the basis of the elements $T_{\sigma}$ where $\sigma$ is ranging over $S_{l}$.
Proposition 2.3. The coefficient in $F_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ of the element $T_{0}$ is 1 .
Proof. Expand the product (2.6) as a sum of the elements $T_{\sigma}$ with coefficients from the field of rational functions of $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}$; these functions take values in $\mathbb{C}(q)$. The decomposition in $S_{l}$ with ordering of the pairs $(i, j)$ as in (2.6)

$$
\sigma_{0}=\prod_{(i, j)} \sigma_{j-i}
$$

is reduced, hence the coefficient at $T_{0}=T_{\sigma_{0}}$ in the expansion of (2.6) is 1 . By the definition of $F_{\Lambda}$, the coefficient of $T_{0}$ in $F_{\Lambda}$ must also be 1 .

In particular, Proposition 2.3 shows that $F_{\Lambda} \neq 0$ for any standard tableau $\Lambda$. Denote by $\alpha_{l}$ the involutive antiautomorphism of the algebra $H_{l}$ over the field $\mathbb{C}(q)$, defined by setting $\alpha_{l}\left(T_{i}\right)=T_{i}$ for every index $i=1, \ldots, l-1$. Note that each of the Murphy elements $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{l}$ of the algebra $H_{l}$ is $\alpha_{l}$-invariant.

Proposition 2.4. The element $F_{\Lambda} T_{0}^{-1}$ is $\alpha_{l}$-invariant.
Proof. Any element of the algebra $H_{l}$ of the form $F_{i}(x, y)$ is $\alpha_{l}$-invariant. Hence applying the antiautomorphism $\alpha_{l}$ to an element of $H_{l}$ the form (2.6) just reverses the ordering of the factors corresponding to the pairs $(i, j)$. Using the relations (2.2) and (2.3), we can rewrite the reversed product as

$$
\overrightarrow{\prod_{(i, j)}} F_{l-j+i}\left(q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} z_{i}, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)} z_{j}\right)
$$

where the pairs $(i, j)$ are again ordered as in (2.6). But due to (1.2) and (1.3), we also have the identity in the algebra $H_{l}$

$$
F_{l-i}(x, y) T_{0}=T_{0} F_{i}(x, y)
$$

This identity along with the equality $\alpha_{l}\left(T_{0}\right)=T_{0}$ implies that every value of the function $F_{\Lambda}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right) T_{0}^{-1}$ is $\alpha_{l}$-invariant. So is the element $F_{\Lambda} T_{0}^{-1} \in H_{l}$.

Proposition 2.5. If $k=\Lambda(a, b)$ and $k+1=\Lambda(a+1, b)$ then the element $F_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ is divisible on the left by $T_{k}-q$.

Proof. Using the relations (2.2) and (2.3), one demonstrates that the product (2.6) is always divisible on the left by the function

$$
F_{k}\left(q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)} z_{k}, q^{2 c_{k+l}(\Lambda)} z_{k+1}\right) .
$$

If here $k=\Lambda(a, b)$ and $k+1=\Lambda(a+1, b)$ then restriction of this function to $z_{i}=z_{i+1}$ equals $T_{k}-q$. Hence the required property of the element $F_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ immediately follows from the definition of this element.

Fix any standard tableau $\Lambda$ of shape $\lambda$. Let $\rho \in S_{l}$ be the permutation such that $\Lambda=\rho \cdot \Lambda^{\circ}$, that is $\Lambda(a, b)=\rho\left(\Lambda^{\circ}(a, b)\right)$ for all possible $a$ and $b$. For any $j=1, \ldots, l$ take the subsequence of the sequence $\rho(1), \ldots, \rho(l)$ consisting of all $i<j$ such that $\rho^{-1}(i)>\rho^{-1}(j)$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ the result of reversing this subsequence. Let $\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}\right|$ be the length of sequence $\mathcal{A}_{j}$. We have a reduced decomposition in the symmetric group $S_{l}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\overrightarrow{\prod_{j=1, \ldots, l}}\left(\vec{\prod}_{k=1, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}\right|} \sigma_{j-k}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\sigma_{i_{L}} \cdots \sigma_{i_{1}}$ be the product of adjacent transpositions at the right hand side of (2.12). For each tail $\sigma_{i_{K}} \cdots \sigma_{i_{1}}$ of this product, the image $\sigma_{i_{K}} \cdots \sigma_{i_{1}} \cdot \Lambda^{\circ}$ is a standard tableau. This can easily be proved by induction on the length $K=L, \ldots, 1$ of the tail; see also the proof of Proposition 2.6 below. Note that for any $i \in \mathcal{A}_{j}$ and $k \in\{1, \ldots, l-1\}$ the elements of the algebra $H_{l}$,

$$
F_{k}\left(q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad F_{k}\left(q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}\right)
$$

are well defined and invertible. Indeed, if $i=\Lambda(a, b)$ and $j=\Lambda(c, d)$ for some $a, b$ and $c, d$ then $a<c$ and $b>d$. So $c_{i}(\Lambda)-c_{j}(\Lambda)=b-a-d+c \geqslant 2$ here.

Proposition 2.6. We have the equality in the algebra $H_{l}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\Lambda} \cdot & \overrightarrow{\prod_{j=1, \ldots, l}}\left(\prod_{k=1, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}\right|}^{\overrightarrow{\prod_{l-j+k}}\left(q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}\right)} F^{\longrightarrow}\right) \\
& =\prod_{j=1, \ldots, l}\left(\prod_{k=1, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}\right|}^{\vec{~}} F_{j-k}\left(q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}\right)\right) \cdot F_{\Lambda \circ} \quad \text { where } i=\mathcal{A}_{j}(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We will proceed by induction on the length $L=\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|\mathcal{A}_{l}\right|$ of the element $\rho \in S_{l}$. Let $n$ be the minimal of the indices $j$ such that the sequence $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ is not empty. Then we have $\mathcal{A}_{n}(1)=n-1$. Indeed, if $\mathcal{A}_{n}(1)<n-1$ then $\rho^{-1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{n}(1)\right)>\rho^{-1}(n-1)$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{n}(1) \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}$, which would contradict the minimality of $n$. The tableau $\sigma_{n-1} \cdot \Lambda$ is standard; denote it by $\Lambda^{\prime}$. In our proof of Theorem 2.2 we used the equality (2.7). Setting $k=n-1$ in that equality and then using Theorem 2.2 itself, we obtain the equality in $H_{l}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\Lambda} F_{l-n+1}\left(q^{2 c_{n}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{n-1}(\Lambda)}\right)=F_{n-1}\left(q^{2 c_{n-1}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{n}(\Lambda)}\right) F_{\Lambda^{\prime}} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each index $j=1, \ldots, l$ denote by $\mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime}$ the counterpart of the sequence $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ for the standard tableau $\Lambda^{\prime}$ instead of $\Lambda$. Each of the sequences $\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{n-2}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{n}^{\prime}$ is empty. The sequence $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}^{\prime}$ is obtained from the sequence $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ by removing its first term $\mathcal{A}_{n}(1)=n-1$. By replacing the terms $n-1$ and $n$, whenever any of them occurs, respectively by $n$ and $n-1$ in all the sequences $\mathcal{A}_{n+1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{l}$ we obtain the sequences $\mathcal{A}_{n+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{l}^{\prime}$.

Assume that the Proposition 2.6 is true for $\Lambda^{\prime}$ instead of $\Lambda$. Write the product on the left hand side of the equality to be proved in Proposition 2.6 as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{\Lambda} F_{l-n+1}\left(q^{2 c_{n}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{n-1}(\Lambda)}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=2, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{A}_{n}\right|}^{\longrightarrow} F_{l-n+k}\left(q^{2 c_{n}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}\right) \\
& \quad \times \prod_{j=n+1, \ldots, l}^{\longrightarrow}\left(\underset{k=1, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}\right|}{\overrightarrow{\prod_{l}}} F_{l-j+k}\left(q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the first line $i=\mathcal{A}_{n}(k)$, while in the second line $i=\mathcal{A}_{j}(k)$. Using the equality (2.13) and the description of the sequences $\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{l}^{\prime}$ as given above, the latter product can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{n-1} & \left(q^{2 c_{n-1}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{n}(\Lambda)}\right) F_{\Lambda^{\prime}} \\
& \times \overrightarrow{\prod_{j=1, \ldots, l}}\left(\prod_{k=1, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime}\right|}^{\vec{~}} F_{l-j+k}\left(q^{2 c_{j}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)}, q^{2 c_{i}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)}\right)\right) \quad \text { where } i=\mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime}(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the inductive assumption, this product equals

$$
F_{n-1}\left(q^{2 c_{n-1}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{n}(\Lambda)}\right) \cdot \prod_{j=1, \ldots, l}^{\overrightarrow{ }}\left(\prod_{k=1, \ldots .,\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime}\right|}^{\overrightarrow{ }} F_{j-k}\left(q^{2 c_{i}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)}, q^{2 c_{j}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)}\right)\right)
$$

times $F_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$, where we keep to the notation $i=\mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime}(k)$. Using the description of the sequences $\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{l}^{\prime}$ once again, the last product can be rewritten as on the right hand side of the equality to be proved in Proposition 2.6.

Proposition 2.7. If $k=\Lambda(a, b)$ and $k+1=\Lambda(a, b+1)$ then the element $F_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ is divisible on the left by $T_{k}+q^{-1}$.

Proof. Given a pair of indices $(a, b)$ such that $\lambda_{a}>b$, it suffices to prove Proposition 2.7 for just one standard tableau $\Lambda$ of shape $\lambda$. Indeed, let $\tilde{\Lambda}$ be another standard tableau of the same shape, such that $\tilde{\Lambda}(a, b)=\tilde{k}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}(a, b+1)=\tilde{k}+1$ for some $\tilde{k} \in\{1, \ldots, l-1\}$. Let $\sigma$ be the permutation such that $\tilde{\Lambda}=\sigma \cdot \Lambda$. There is a decomposition $\sigma=\sigma_{i_{N}} \cdots \sigma_{i_{1}}$ such that for each $K=1, \ldots, N-1$ the tableau $\Lambda_{K}=\sigma_{i_{K}} \cdots \sigma_{i_{1}} \cdot \Lambda$ is standard. Note that this decomposition is not necessarily reduced. Using Theorem 2.2 , we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overleftarrow{\prod_{K=1, \ldots, N} F_{i_{K}}\left(q^{2 c_{i_{K}}\left(\Lambda_{K}\right)}, q^{2 c_{i_{K}+1}\left(\Lambda_{K}\right)}\right) \cdot F_{\Lambda}} \\
& \quad=F_{\tilde{\Lambda}} \cdot \prod_{K=1, \ldots, N} F_{l-i_{K}}\left(q^{2 c_{i_{K}}+1\left(\Lambda_{K}\right)}, q^{2 c_{i_{K}}\left(\Lambda_{K}\right)}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Lambda_{N}=\tilde{\Lambda}$. Note that here for every $K=1, \ldots, N$ the factor

$$
F_{l-i_{K}}\left(q^{2 c_{i_{K}+1}\left(\Lambda_{K}\right)}, q^{2 c_{i_{K}}\left(\Lambda_{K}\right)}\right)
$$

is invertible. Further, we have the equality $\sigma \sigma_{k}=\sigma_{\tilde{k}} \sigma$ by the definition of the permutation $\sigma$. Using the relations (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain the equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overleftarrow{\prod_{K=1, \ldots, N}} F_{i_{K}}\left(q^{2 c_{i_{K}}\left(\Lambda_{K}\right)}, q^{2 c_{i_{K}+1}\left(\Lambda_{K}\right)}\right) \cdot F_{k}\left(q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{k+1}(\Lambda)}\right) \\
& \quad=F_{\tilde{k}}\left(q^{2 c_{\tilde{k}}(\tilde{\Lambda})}, q^{2 c_{\tilde{k}+1}(\tilde{\Lambda})}\right) \cdot \prod_{K=1, \ldots, N} F_{l-i_{K}}\left(q^{2 c_{i_{K}+1}\left(\Lambda_{K}\right)}, q^{2 c_{i_{K}}\left(\Lambda_{K}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality along with the equality (2.14) shows, that Proposition 2.7 implies its counterpart for the tableau $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and the index $\tilde{k}$, instead of $\Lambda$ and $k$ respectively. Here we also use the equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{k}\left(q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{k+1}(\Lambda)}\right)=T_{k}+q^{-1} \\
& F_{\tilde{k}}\left(q^{2 c_{\tilde{k}}(\tilde{\Lambda})}, q^{2 c_{\tilde{k}+1}(\tilde{\Lambda})}\right)=T_{\tilde{k}}+q^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us consider the column tableau $\Lambda^{\circ}$ of shape $\lambda$. Put $m=\Lambda^{\circ}(a, b)$. Also put $n=\Lambda^{\circ}\left(\lambda_{b}^{*}, b\right)$; then $\Lambda^{\circ}(a, b+1)=n+a$. We will prove that the element $F_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \in H_{l}$ is divisible on the left by the product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=m, \ldots, n}\left(\prod_{j=n+1, \ldots, n+a} F_{i+j-n-1}\left(q^{2 c_{i}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)}, q^{2 c_{j}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)}\right)\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Proposition 2.7 will follow. Indeed, put $k=m+a-1$; this is the value of the index $i+j-n-1$ in (2.15) when $i=m$ and $n=n+a$. Let $\Lambda$ be the tableau such that $\Lambda^{\circ}$ is obtained from the tableau $\sigma_{k} \cdot \Lambda$ by the permutation

$$
\prod_{i=m, \ldots, n}\left(\prod_{j=n+1, \ldots, n+a} \sigma_{i+j-n-1}\right)
$$

The tableau $\Lambda$ is standard. Moreover, then $\Lambda(a, b)=k$ and $\Lambda(a, b+1)=k+1$. Note that the rightmost factor in the product (2.15), corresponding to $i=m$ and $n=n+a$, is

$$
F_{m+a-1}\left(q^{2 c_{m}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)}, q^{2 c_{n+a}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)}\right)=T_{k}+q^{-1}
$$

Denote by $F$ the product of all factors in (2.15) but the rightmost one. Further, denote by $G$ the product obtained by replacing each factor in $F$

$$
F_{i+j-n-1}\left(q^{2 c_{i}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)}, q^{2 c_{j}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)}\right)
$$

respectively by

$$
F_{l-i-j+n+1}\left(q^{2 c_{j}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)}, q^{2 c_{i}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)}\right)
$$

The element $F \in H_{l}$ is invertible, and we have $F F_{\Lambda}=F_{\Lambda^{\circ}} G$. Therefore the divisibility of the element $F_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$ on the left by the product (2.15) will imply the divisibility of the element $F_{\Lambda}$ on the left by $T_{k}+q^{-1}$.

Take the tableau obtained from $\Lambda^{\circ}$ by removing the entries $n+a+1, \ldots, l$. This is the column tableau corresponding to a certain partition of $n+a$; let us denote this tableau by $\Upsilon^{\circ}$. The proof of Theorem 2.2 shows that the element $F_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \in H_{l}$ is divisible on the left by the element $F_{\Upsilon^{\prime}} \in H_{n+a}$. Here we use the standard embedding $H_{n+a} \rightarrow H_{l}$ where $T_{i} \mapsto T_{i}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n-a-1$. Hence it suffices to prove the divisibility of the element $F_{\Upsilon^{\circ}} \in H_{n+a}$ on the left by the product (2.15). Therefore it suffices to consider only the case where $n+a=l$. We will actually prove that $F_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$ is divisible on the right by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=m, \ldots, n}\left(\prod_{j=n+1, \ldots, l} F_{l-i-j+n+1}\left(q^{2 c_{i}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)}, q^{2 c_{j}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)}\right)\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The divisibility of $F_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$ on the left by the product (2.15) where $n+a=l$ will then follow by Proposition 2.4.

The element $F_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \in H_{l}$ is the value at the point $(1, \ldots, 1)$ of the restriction to the subspace $\mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \subset \mathbb{C}(q)^{\times l}$ of the rational function $F_{\Lambda^{\circ}}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right)$. This function has been defined as the ordered product (2.6) where $\Lambda=\Lambda^{\circ}$. Let us change the ordering of the pairs ( $i, j$ ) in (2.6) to the lexicographical one, so that now the pair $(i, j)$ precedes another pair $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$ if $i<i^{\prime}$, or if $i=i^{\prime}$ but $j<j^{\prime}$. This reordering does not alter any value of the function $F_{\Lambda^{\circ}}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right)$ due to the relations (2.3). Using the new ordering, we can once again prove that the restriction of $F_{\Lambda^{\circ}}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right)$ to the subspace $\mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$ is regular at the point $(1, \ldots, 1)$. Indeed, take any factor (2.9) in the product (2.6) such that $c_{i}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)=c_{j}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)$. If here $j=\Lambda^{\circ}(a, b)$ then $j-1=\Lambda^{\circ}(a-1, b)>i$. The factor in (2.6) immediately before (2.9) is now

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{j-i-1}\left(q^{2 c_{i}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)} z_{i}, q^{2 c_{j-1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)} z_{j-1}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{j-1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)=c_{j}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)+1$. Due to the relations (2.2) and (2.3), the product of all the factors after (2.9) is divisible on the left by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{j-i-1}\left(q^{2 c_{j-1}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)} z_{j-1}, q^{2 c_{j}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)} z_{j}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The restriction to $z_{j-1}=z_{j}$ of the ordered product of the three factors (2.9), (2.17) and (2.18) is regular at $z_{i}=z_{j}=1$; cf. Lemma 2.1.

With the new ordering, consider the product of all those factors in (2.6) where $i \geqslant m$. Any such factor is regular at $z_{i}=z_{j}=1$, because we are considering only the case $n+a=l$. At the point $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right)=(1, \ldots, 1)$, the product of these factors takes the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=m, \ldots, l-1}\left(\prod_{j=i+1, \ldots, l} F_{j-i}\left(q^{2 c_{i}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)}, q^{2 c_{j}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)}\right)\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The argument given in the previous paragraph not only shows that the restriction of $F_{\Lambda^{\circ}}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right)$ to the subspace $\mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$ is regular at $(1, \ldots, 1)$, it also shows that the element $F_{\Lambda}$ is divisible on the right by the product (2.19). Using (2.2) and (2.3), the product (2.19) is equal to (2.16) multiplied on the left by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \overrightarrow{\prod_{i=m} \ldots, n-1}\left(\underset{j=i+1, \ldots, n}{\left.\overrightarrow{\prod_{j-i}} F_{j-i}\left(q^{2 c_{i}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)}, q^{2 c_{j}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)}\right)\right)}\right. \\
& \quad \times \quad \prod_{i=n+1, \ldots, l-1}^{\longrightarrow}\left(\prod_{j=i+1, \ldots, l}^{\overrightarrow{ }} F_{j-i+n-m+1}\left(q^{2 c_{i}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)}, q^{2 c_{j}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us now regard $F_{\Lambda}$ as an element of the algebra $H_{l+1}$, by using the standard embedding $H_{l} \rightarrow H_{l+1}$ where $T_{i} \mapsto T_{i}$ for any $i=1, \ldots, l-1$.

Proposition 2.8. We have equality of rational functions in $z$, valued in $H_{l+1}$

$$
\prod_{k=1, \ldots, l} F_{k}\left(z, q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)}\right) \cdot F_{\Lambda}=\frac{T_{1} \cdots T_{l}-z T_{1}^{-1} \cdots T_{l}^{-1}}{1-z} \cdot F_{\Lambda} .
$$

Proof. Denote by $F(z)$ the rational function with the values in $H_{l+1}$, defined as the product of the left hand side of the equality to be proved. Note that

$$
F(0)=T_{1} \cdots T_{l} F_{\Lambda} \quad \text { and } \quad F(\infty)=T_{1}^{-1} \cdots T_{l}^{-1} F_{\Lambda}
$$

due to (1.7). It remains to show that $F(z)$ may have a pole only at $z=1$ and that this pole is simple. Since $c_{1}(\Lambda)=0$ the factor $F_{1}\left(z, q^{2 c_{1}(\Lambda)}\right)$ in the product defining $F(z)$ has a simple pole at $z=1$. Take any $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}(q)$. Suppose there is an index $j \in\{2, \ldots, l\}$ such that $z_{0}=q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}$. The factor $F_{j}\left(z, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}\right)$ has a pole at $z=z_{0}$. We shall prove that when we estimate the order of the pole of $F(z)$ at $z=z_{0}$ from above, any factor with $j>1$ does not count.

Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, j-1\}$ be the maximal index such that $\left|c_{i}(\Lambda)-c_{j}(\Lambda)\right|=1$. Note that $i=\Lambda(a, b)$; then either $j=\Lambda(a+1, b)$ or $j=\Lambda(a, b+1)$. Consider the sequence of tableaux of shape $\lambda$,

$$
\Lambda^{\prime}=\sigma_{j-1} \cdot \Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime \prime}=\sigma_{j-2} \cdot \Lambda^{\prime}, \ldots, \Lambda^{(j-i-1)}=\sigma_{i+1} \cdot \Lambda^{(j-i-2)}
$$

Each of these tableaux is standard. Using this sequence, we obtain the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{\Lambda} \cdot & \prod_{k=i+1, \ldots, j-1} F_{l-k}\left(q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)}\right) \\
& =\prod_{k=i+1, \ldots, j-1} F_{k}\left(q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}\right) \cdot F_{\Lambda(j-i-1)} \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

in the algebra $H_{l}$; cf. the proof of Proposition 2.6. Each of the factors

$$
F_{l-k}\left(q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)}\right)
$$

in (2.20) is invertible. The entries $i$ and $i+1$ of the tableau $\Lambda^{(j-i-1)}$ correspond to the same nodes of the Young diagram (2.5) as the entries $i$ and $j$ of the tableau $\Lambda$ respectively. Using either Proposition 2.5 or 2.7 , the element $F_{\Lambda^{(j-i-1)}}$ is divisible on the left by

$$
F_{i}\left(q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}\right)=T_{i} \mp q^{ \pm}
$$

The relation (2.20) now shows that the element $F_{\Lambda}$ is divisible on the left by

$$
\prod_{k=i, \ldots, j-1} F_{k}\left(q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}\right)
$$

Using the relations (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain an equality in the algebra $H_{l+1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\prod_{k=1, \ldots, l} & F_{k}\left(z, q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=i, \ldots, j-1} F_{k}\left(q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}\right) \\
= & \prod_{k=1, \ldots, i-1}^{\longrightarrow} F_{k}\left(z, q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=i+1, \ldots, j-1} F_{k+1}\left(q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}\right) \\
& \times F_{i}\left(z, q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}\right) F_{i+1}\left(z, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}\right) F_{i}\left(q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{k=i+1, \ldots, j-1}^{\longrightarrow} F_{k+1}\left(z, q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=j+1, \ldots, l}^{\longrightarrow} F_{k}\left(z, q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

The product in the line (2.21) above is regular at $z=q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}$; cf. Lemma 2.1.
Now take any other index $j^{\prime} \neq j$ such that $c_{j}(\Lambda)=c_{j^{\prime}}(\Lambda)$. We assume that $j^{\prime}>1$. Let $i^{\prime} \in\left\{1, \ldots, j^{\prime}-1\right\}$ be the corresponding maximal index such that $\left|c_{i^{\prime}}(\Lambda)-c_{j^{\prime}}(\Lambda)\right|=1$. If $j^{\prime}>j$, then also $i^{\prime}>j$ because the tableau $\Lambda$ is standard. Thus the two sets of indices $\left\{i^{\prime}, \ldots, j^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\{i, \ldots, j\}$ are always disjoint. Therefore we can apply the above argument to both factors $F_{j}\left(z, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}\right)$ and $F_{j^{\prime}}\left(z, q^{2 c_{j^{\prime}}(\Lambda)}\right)$ in the product defining $F(z)$ simultaneously, and so on. In this way we show that when estimating from above the order of the pole of the function $F(z)$ at $z=z_{0}$, all the factors $F_{j}\left(z, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}\right)$ where $z_{0}=q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}$ but $j>1$, do not count.

Now denote by $\iota$ the embedding $H_{l} \rightarrow H_{l+1}$ defined by setting $\iota\left(T_{i}\right)=T_{i+1}$.

Proposition 2.9. We have the equality

$$
\prod_{k=1, \ldots, l} F_{k}\left(z, q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)}\right) \cdot F_{\Lambda}=\iota\left(F_{\Lambda}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=1, \ldots, l} F_{l-k+1}\left(z, q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)}\right)
$$

Proof. Take the variables $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l} \in \mathbb{C}(q)$. Using the relations (2.2) and (2.3) and the definition (2.6) of $F_{\Lambda}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right)$ we obtain the equality of rational functions in the variables $z, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overrightarrow{\prod_{k=1, \ldots, l}} F_{k}\left(z, q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)} z_{k}\right) \cdot F_{\Lambda}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right) \\
& \quad=\iota\left(F_{\Lambda}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right)\right) \cdot \prod_{k=1, \ldots, l}^{\overleftarrow{ }} F_{l-k+1}\left(z, q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)} z_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Restricting, in the above displayed equality, the function $F_{\Lambda}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right)$ to $\mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda}$, and then evaluating the restriction at the point $(1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda}$, we derive Proposition 2.9 from Theorem 2.2.

## 3. Young symmetrizers for the algebra $H_{l}$

For every standard tableau $\Lambda$ of shape $\lambda$ we have defined an element $F_{\Lambda}$ of the algebra $H_{l}$. Let us now assign to $\Lambda$ another element of $H_{l}$, which will be denoted by $G_{\Lambda}$. Let $\rho \in S_{l}$ be the permutation such that $\Lambda=\rho \cdot \Lambda^{\circ}$, as it was in Section 2 . For any $j=1, \ldots, l$ denote by $\mathcal{B}_{j}$ the subsequence of the sequence $\rho(1), \ldots, \rho(l)$ consisting of all $i<j$ such that $\rho^{-1}(i)<\rho^{-1}(j)$. Note that we have a reduced decomposition in the symmetric group $S_{l}$,

$$
\rho \sigma_{0}=\prod_{j=1, \ldots, l}\left(\prod_{k=1, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{B}_{j}\right|}^{\overrightarrow{\prod_{j-k}}} \sigma_{j}\right)
$$

where $\left|\mathcal{B}_{j}\right|$ is the length of sequence $\mathcal{B}_{j}$; cf. the reduced decomposition (2.12). Consider the rational function taking values in $H_{l}$, of the variables $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}$

$$
\prod_{j=1, \ldots, l}\left(\prod_{k=1, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{B}_{j}\right|}^{\longrightarrow} F_{j-k}\left(q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} z_{i}, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)} z_{j}\right)\right) \quad \text { where } i=\mathcal{B}_{j}(k)
$$

Denote this rational function by $G_{\Lambda}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right)$. Using induction on the length of the element $\rho \in S_{l}$ as in the proof of Proposition 2.6, one can prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{\Lambda}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right)=G_{\Lambda}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right) \\
& \times \overleftarrow{\prod_{j=1, \ldots, l}\left(\prod_{k=1, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}\right|} F_{l-j+k}\left(q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} z_{i}, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)} z_{j}\right)\right) \quad \text { where } i=\mathcal{A}_{j}(k)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, restriction of $G_{\Lambda}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}\right)$ to the subspace $\mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda} \subset \mathbb{C}(q)^{\times l}$ is regular at the point $(1, \ldots, 1)$ due to Theorem 2.2. The value of that restriction at $(1, \ldots, 1)$ is our
element $G_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ by definition. Moreover, then $F_{\Lambda}$ equals

$$
G_{\Lambda} \cdot \prod_{j=1, \ldots, l}\left(\prod_{k=1, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}\right|} F_{l-j+k}\left(q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}\right)\right) \quad \text { where } i=\mathcal{A}_{j}(k)
$$

Using the relation (2.4), this factorization of $F_{\Lambda}$ implies that the left hand side of the equality in Proposition 2.6 also equals $G_{\Lambda}$ times

$$
\prod_{j=1, \ldots, l}\left(\prod_{k=1, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}\right|}\left(1-\frac{\left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{2} q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)+2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}}{\left(q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}-q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}\right)^{2}}\right)\right) \quad \text { where } i=\mathcal{A}_{j}(k)
$$

Rewriting the factors of the last displayed product, Proposition 2.6 yields
Corollary 3.1. We have the equality in the algebra $H_{l}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{j=1, \ldots, l}\left(\prod_{k=1, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}\right|}\left(1-\frac{\left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{2}}{\left(q^{c_{i}(\Lambda)-c_{j}(\Lambda)}-q^{c_{j}(\Lambda)-c_{i}(\Lambda)}\right)^{2}}\right)\right) \cdot G_{\Lambda} \\
& \quad=\prod_{j=1, \ldots, l}^{\longrightarrow}\left(\prod_{k=1, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}\right|}^{\vec{~}} F_{j-k}\left(q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{j}(\Lambda)}\right)\right) \cdot F_{\Lambda \circ} \quad \text { where } i=\mathcal{A}_{j}(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

Yet arguing like in the proof of Proposition 2.3, the definition of $G_{\Lambda}$ implies
Proposition 3.2. The element $G_{\Lambda}$ equals $T_{\rho \sigma_{0}}$ plus a sum of the elements $T_{\sigma}$ with certain non-zero coefficients from $\mathbb{C}(q)$, where the length of each $\sigma \in S_{l}$ is less than that of $\rho \sigma_{0}$.

Note that $G_{\Lambda^{\circ}}=F_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$ by definition. Denote by $V_{\lambda}$ the left ideal in the algebra $H_{l}$ generated by the element $F_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$. Due to Corollary 3.1 we have $G_{\Lambda} \in V_{\lambda}$ for any standard tableau $\Lambda$ of shape $\lambda$. Proposition 3.2 shows that the elements $G_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ for all pairwise distinct standard tableaux $\Lambda$ of shape $\lambda$ are linearly independent. The next theorem implies, in particular, that these elements also span the vector space $V_{\lambda}$.

For any $k=1, \ldots, l-1$ use the notation $d_{k}(\Lambda)=c_{k}(\Lambda)-c_{k+1}(\Lambda)$. If the tableau $\sigma_{k} \Lambda$ is not standard, then the numbers $k$ and $k+1$ stand next to each other in the same row or in the same column of $\Lambda$; that is $k+1=\Lambda(a, b+1)$ or $k+1=\Lambda(a+1, b)$ for $k=\Lambda(a, b)$. Then we have $d_{k}(\Lambda)=-1$ or $d_{k}(\Lambda)=1$ respectively. But if the tableau $\sigma_{k} \Lambda$ is standard, then we have $\left|d_{k}(\Lambda)\right| \geqslant 2$.

Theorem 3.3. For any standard tableau $\Lambda$ and any $k=1, \ldots, l-1$ we have:
(a)

$$
T_{k} G_{\Lambda}= \begin{cases}q G_{\Lambda} & \text { if } d_{k}(\Lambda)=-1 \\ -q^{-1} G_{\Lambda} & \text { if } d_{k}(\Lambda)=1\end{cases}
$$

(b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{k} G_{\Lambda}= & \frac{q-q^{-1}}{1-q^{2 d_{k}(\Lambda)}} G_{\Lambda}+G_{\sigma_{k} \Lambda} \\
& \times \begin{cases}1-\frac{\left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{2}}{\left(q^{d_{k}(\Lambda)}-q^{-d_{k}(\Lambda)}\right)^{2}} & \text { if } d_{k}(\Lambda) \leqslant-2, \\
1 & \text { if } d_{k}(\Lambda) \geqslant 2 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The element $G_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ is obtained by multiplying $F_{\Lambda}$ on the right by a certain element of $H_{l}$. Hence Part (a) of Theorem 3.3 immediately follows from Propositions 2.5 and 2.7. Now suppose that the tableau $\sigma_{k} \Lambda$ is standard. Moreover, suppose that $d_{k}(\Lambda) \geqslant 2$; in this case we have $k \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}$. Using Corollary 3.1 along with the relations (2.2) and (2.3), one can get the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\frac{\left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{2}}{\left(q^{d_{k}(\Lambda)}-q^{-d_{k}(\Lambda)}\right)^{2}}\right) G_{\Lambda}=F_{k}\left(q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{k+1}(\Lambda)}\right) G_{\sigma_{k} \Lambda} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the relation (2.4), we obtain from (3.1) the equality

$$
F_{k}\left(q^{2 c_{k+1}(\Lambda)}, q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda)}\right) G_{\Lambda}=G_{\sigma_{k} \Lambda}
$$

The last equality implies Part (b) of Theorem 3.3 in the case when $d_{k}(\Lambda) \geqslant 2$; see the definition (2.1). Exchanging the tableaux $\Lambda$ and $\sigma_{k} \Lambda$ in (3.1), so that the resulting equality applies in the case where $d_{k}(\Lambda) \leqslant-2$, we prove Part (b) of Theorem 3.3 in this remaining case.

Thus the elements $G_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ for all pairwise distinct standard tableaux $\Lambda$ of shape $\lambda$ form a basis in the vector space $V_{\lambda}$. This basis is distinguished due to
Proposition 3.4. We have $X_{i} G_{\Lambda}=q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} G_{\Lambda}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, l$.
Proof. We will proceed by induction on $i=1, \ldots, l$. By definition, $X_{1}=1$. On the other hand, $c_{1}(\Lambda)=0$ for any standard tableau $\Lambda$. Thus Proposition 3.4 is true for $i=1$. Now suppose that Proposition 3.4 is true for $i=k$ where $k<l$. To show that it is also true for $i=k+1$, we will use Theorem 3.3. Note that $X_{k+1}=T_{k} X_{k} T_{k}$. If $d_{k}(\Lambda)= \pm 1$, then $T_{k} X_{k} T_{k} G_{\Lambda}$ equals

$$
\mp q^{\mp 1} T_{k} X_{k} G_{\Lambda}=\mp q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda) \mp 1} T_{k} G_{\Lambda}=q^{2 c_{k}(\Lambda) \mp 2} G_{\Lambda}=q^{2 c_{k+1}(\Lambda)} G_{\Lambda}
$$

respectively. If $d_{k}(\Lambda) \geqslant 2$, then the product $T_{k} X_{k} T_{k} G_{\Lambda}$ equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{k} X_{k} & \left(\frac{q-q^{-1}}{1-q^{2 d_{k}(\Lambda)}} G_{\Lambda}+G_{\sigma_{k} \Lambda}\right) \\
= & q^{2 c_{k+1}(\Lambda)} T_{k}\left(\frac{q-q^{-1}}{q^{-2 d_{k}(\Lambda)}-1} G_{\Lambda}+G_{\sigma_{k} \Lambda}\right) \\
= & q^{2 c_{k+1}(\Lambda)}\left(\frac{q-q^{-1}}{q^{-2 d_{k}(\Lambda)}-1}\left(\frac{q-q^{-1}}{1-q^{2 d_{k}(\Lambda)}} G_{\Lambda}+G_{\sigma_{k} \Lambda}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{q-q^{-1}}{1-q^{-2 d_{k}(\Lambda)}} G_{\sigma_{k} \Lambda}+\left(1-\frac{\left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{2}}{\left(q^{d_{k}(\Lambda)}-q^{-d_{k}(\Lambda)}\right)^{2}}\right) G_{\Lambda}\right)=q^{2 c_{k+1}(\Lambda)} G_{\Lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case where $d_{k}(\Lambda) \leqslant-2$, the proof of the equality $X_{k+1} G_{\Lambda}=q^{2 c_{k+1}(\Lambda)} G_{\Lambda}$ is similar and is omitted here.

Let us now consider the left ideal $V_{\lambda} \subset H_{l}$ as the $H_{l}$-module. Here the algebra $H_{l}$ acts via left multiplication.

Corollary 3.5. The $H_{l}$-module $V_{\lambda}$ is irreducible.
Proof. The vectors $G_{\Lambda} \in V_{\lambda}$ where $\Lambda$ is ranging over the set of all standard tableaux of the given shape $\lambda$, form an eigenbasis for the action on $V_{\lambda}$ of the Murphy elements $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{l} \in H_{l}$. Moreover, the ordered collections of the corresponding eigenvalues $q^{2 c_{1}(\Lambda)}, \ldots, q^{2 c_{l}(\Lambda)}$ are pairwise distinct for all different tableaux $\Lambda$. On the other hand, by Corollary 3.1 any basis vector $G_{\Lambda} \in V_{\lambda}$ can be obtained by acting on the element $G_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \in V_{\lambda}$ with a certain invertible element of $H_{l}$.

Corollary 3.6. The $H_{l}$-modules $V_{\lambda}$ for different partitions $\lambda$ of $l$ are pairwise nonequivalent.

Proof. Take any symmetric polynomial $f$ in $l$ variables over the field $\mathbb{C}(q)$. For all standard tableaux $\Lambda$ of the same shape $\lambda$, the values of this polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(q^{2 c_{1}(\Lambda)}, \ldots, q^{2 c_{l}(\Lambda)}\right) \in \mathbb{C}(q) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the same. Hence by Proposition 3.4, the element $f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{l}\right) \in H_{l}$ acts on $V_{\lambda}$ via multiplication by the scalar (3.2). On the other hand, the partition $\lambda$ can be uniquely restored from the values (3.2) where the polynomial $f$ varies. Thus the $H_{l}$-modules $V_{\lambda}$ with different partitions $\lambda$ cannot be equivalent.

Remark. The centre of the algebra $\widehat{H}_{l}$ consists of all the Laurent polynomials in the generators $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{l}$ which are invariant under permutations of these generators; see for instance [12, Proposition 3.11]. In particular, the element $f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{l}\right) \in H_{l}$ is central, as the image of a central element of $\widehat{H}_{l}$ under the homomorphism $\pi$. Moreover, the centre of the algebra $H_{l}$ coincides with the collection of all elements $f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{l}\right)$ where the symmetric polynomial $f$ varies; cf. [8]. However, we do not use any of these facts in this section.

For any $k=1, \ldots, l-1$ consider the restriction of the $H_{l}$-module $V_{\lambda}$ to the subalgebra $H_{k} \subset H_{l}$. We use the standard embedding $H_{k} \rightarrow H_{l}$, where $T_{i} \mapsto T_{i}$ for each index $i=1, \ldots, k-1$.

Corollary 3.7. The vector $G_{\Lambda} \in V_{\lambda}$ belongs to the $H_{k}$-invariant subspace in $V_{\lambda}$, equivalent to the $H_{k}$-module $V_{\kappa}$ where the partition $\kappa$ is the shape of the tableau obtained by removing from $\Lambda$ the entries $k+1, \ldots, l$.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $k=l-1$ only. For each index $a$ such that $\lambda_{a}>\lambda_{a+1}$, denote by $V_{a}$ the vector subspace in $V_{\lambda}$ spanned by the all those vectors $G_{\Lambda}$ where $\Lambda\left(a, \lambda_{a}\right)=l$. By Theorem 3.3, the subspace $V_{a}$ is preserved by the action of the subalgebra $H_{l-1} \subset H_{l}$ on $V_{\lambda}$. Moreover, Theorem 3.3 shows that the $H_{l-1}$-module $V_{a}$ is equivalent to $V_{\kappa}$ where the partition $\kappa$ of $l-1$ is obtained by decreasing the $a$ th part of $\lambda$ by 1 .

The properties of the vector $G_{\Lambda}$ given by Corollary 3.7 for $k=1, \ldots, l-1$, determine this vector in $V_{\lambda}$ uniquely up to a non-zero factor from $\mathbb{C}(q)$. These properties can be restated for any irreducible $H_{l}$-module $V$ equivalent to $V_{\lambda}$. Explicit formulas for the action of the generators $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{l-1}$ of $H_{l}$ on the vectors in $V$ determined by these properties are known; cf. [14, Theorem 6.4].

Setting $q=1$, the algebra $H_{l}$ specializes to the symmetric group ring $\mathbb{C} S_{l}$. The element $T_{\sigma} \in H_{l}$ then specializes to the permutation $\sigma \in S_{l}$ itself. The proof of Theorem 2.2 demonstrates that the coefficients in the expansion of the element $F_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ relative to the basis of the elements $T_{\sigma}$ are regular at $q=1$ as rational functions of the parameter $q$. Thus the specialization of the element $F_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ at $q=1$ is well defined. The same is true for the element $G_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$; see Corollary 3.1. The specializations at $q=1$ of the basis vectors $G_{\Lambda} \in V_{\lambda}$ form the Young seminormal basis in the corresponding irreducible representation of the group $S_{l}$. The action of the generators $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{l-1}$ of $S_{l}$ on the vectors of the latter basis was first given by [19, Theorem IV]. For the interpretation of the elements $F_{\Lambda}$ and $G_{\Lambda}$ using representation theory of the affine Hecke algebra $\widehat{H}_{l}$, see [1, Section 3] and references therein.

Let $\varphi_{\lambda}$ be the character of the irreducible $H_{l}$-module $V_{\lambda}$. Determine a linear function $\delta: H_{l} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(q)$ by setting

$$
\delta\left(T_{\sigma}^{-1}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \sigma=1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

It is known that the function $\delta$ is central; see for instance [4, Lemma 5.1]. At $q=1$, this function specializes to the character of the regular representation of the algebra $\mathbb{C} S_{l}$, normalized so that the value of the character at $1 \in S_{l}$ is 1 . This observation implies that each of the coefficients in the expansion of the function $\delta$ relative to the basis of the characters $\varphi_{\lambda}$ in the vector space of central functions on $H_{l}$ is non-zero. Thus for some scalars $h_{\lambda}(q) \in \mathbb{C}(q)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\sum_{\lambda} h_{\lambda}^{-1}(q) \varphi_{\lambda} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any standard tableau $\Lambda$ of shape $\lambda$, denote by $E_{\Lambda}$ the element $F_{\Lambda} T_{0}^{-1} \in H_{l}$. Recall that the element $F_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ can be obtained by multiplying $G_{\Lambda}$ on the right by some element of $H_{l}$. It follows from Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 3.3, that the element $E_{\Lambda}$ belongs to the simple two-sided ideal of the algebra $H_{l}$ corresponding to the equivalence class of irreducible $H_{l}$-module $V_{\lambda}$. Further, Propositions 2.4 and 3.4 imply the equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i} E_{\Lambda}=E_{\Lambda} X_{i}=q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} E_{\Lambda} \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \ldots, l \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.8. Here $E_{\Lambda}^{2}=h_{\lambda}(q) E_{\Lambda}$ for any standard tableau $\Lambda$ of shape $\lambda$.
Proof. The proofs of Corollaries 3.5 and 3.6 show that the equalities (3.4) determine the element $E_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ uniquely, up to a multiplier from $\mathbb{C}(q)$. Hence $E_{\Lambda}^{2}=h_{\Lambda}(q) E_{\Lambda}$ for some $h_{\Lambda}(q) \in \mathbb{C}(q)$. Note that by Proposition 2.3, the coefficient of 1 in the expansion of the element $E_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ relative to the basis of the elements $T_{\sigma}^{-1}$ is 1 .

To prove that $h_{\Lambda}(q)=h_{\lambda}(q)$, we will employ an argument from [5, Section 3]. At $q=1$, the element $E_{\Lambda}$ specializes to the diagonal matrix element of the irreducible representation of $S_{l}$ parametrized by the partition $\lambda$, corresponding to the vector of the Young seminormal basis parametrized by the tableau $\Lambda$. As a linear combination the elements of the group $S_{l}$, this matrix element is normalized so that its coefficient at $1 \in S_{l}$ is 1 . Therefore $h_{\Lambda}(q) \neq 0$.

The element $h_{\Lambda}^{-1}(q) E_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ is an idempotent, so for any partition $\omega$ of $l$ the value $\varphi_{\omega}\left(h_{\Lambda}^{-1}(q) E_{\Lambda}\right)$ is an integer. In particular, this value does not depend on the parameter $q$, and can be determined by specializing to $q=1$. Thus we get

$$
\varphi_{\omega}\left(h_{\Lambda}^{-1}(q) E_{\Lambda}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \quad \omega=\lambda \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Applying the functions at each side of the equality (3.3) to the element $h_{\Lambda}^{-1}(q) E_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$, we obtain the equality $h_{\Lambda}^{-1}(q)=h_{\lambda}^{-1}(q)$.

Several formulas are known for the scalars $h_{\lambda}(q)$. Two different formulas for each $h_{\lambda}(q)$ were given in [17]; see also [5, Section 3]. Another formula reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\lambda}(q)=\prod_{(a, b)} \frac{1-q^{2\left(\lambda_{a}+\lambda_{b}^{*}-a-b+1\right)}}{1-q^{2}} \cdot q^{\lambda_{1}\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right)+\lambda_{2}\left(1-\lambda_{2}\right)+\cdots} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the product is taken over all nodes $(a, b)$ of the Young diagram (2.5). At $q=1$, the rational function of $q$ on the right hand side of (3.5) specializes to the product of the hook-lengths $\lambda_{a}+\lambda_{b}^{*}-a-b+1$ corresponding to the nodes $(a, b)$ of the Young diagram (2.5). We will give a new proof of (3.5) by using Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 3.8; see the end of Section 4 for the proof.

From now on until the end of this section, we will assume that $\Lambda$ is the row tableau of shape $\lambda$. By definition, here we have $\Lambda(a, b+1)=\Lambda(a, b)+1$ for all possible nodes ( $a, b$ ) of the Young diagram (2.5). According to the notation of Section 2, let $\rho \in S_{l}$ be the permutation such that the row tableau $\Lambda=\rho \cdot \Lambda^{\circ}$. Let $S_{\lambda}$ be the subgroup in $S_{l}$ preserving the collections of numbers appearing in every row of the tableau $\Lambda$; it is called the Young subgroup. Following [5], consider the element $A_{\lambda}=P_{\lambda} T_{\rho^{-1}}^{-1} Q_{\lambda} T_{\rho^{-1}}$ of the algebra $H_{l}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\lambda}=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{\lambda}} q^{-\ell(\sigma)} T_{\sigma}^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad Q_{\lambda}=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{\lambda^{*}}}(-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} T_{\sigma}^{-1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\ell(\sigma)$ is the length of a permutation $\sigma$. At $q=1$, the element $A_{\lambda} \in H_{l}$ specializes [18] to the Young symmetrizer in $\mathbb{C} S_{l}$ corresponding to $\Lambda$.

Proposition 3.9. If $\Lambda$ is the row tableau of shape $\lambda$, then $G_{\Lambda} T_{\rho \sigma_{0}}^{-1}=A_{\lambda}$.
Proof. Let $U$ be the vector subspace in $H_{l}$ formed by all elements $B$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T_{k} B=q B \quad \text { if } & \sigma_{k} \in S_{\lambda} \\
B T_{k}=-q^{-1} B & \text { if } \quad \sigma_{k} \in S_{\lambda^{*}} \tag{3.8}
\end{array}
$$

Then $\operatorname{dim} U=1$; see for instance [5, Section 1]. Using the definition of $A_{\lambda}$, we can verify that $A_{\lambda} T_{\rho^{-1}}^{-1} \in U$. On the other hand, consider the element

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=G_{\Lambda} T_{\rho \sigma_{0}}^{-1} T_{\rho^{-1}}^{-1}=G_{\Lambda} T_{0}^{-1} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It satisfies the condition (3.7) thanks to Part (a) of Theorem 3.3, because here $\Lambda$ is the row tableau of shape $\lambda$. By Proposition 2.7, we also have

$$
T_{k} F_{\Lambda^{\circ}}=-q^{-1} F_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \quad \text { if } \quad \sigma_{k} \in S_{\lambda^{*}}
$$

Due to Corollary 3.1, the element (3.9) can be obtained by multiplying $F_{\Lambda} T_{0}^{-1}$ on the left by a certain element of $H_{l}$. But the element $F_{\Lambda} \circ T_{0}^{-1}$ is $\alpha_{l}$-invariant. Hence the element (3.9) also satisfies the condition (3.8). Thus $G_{\Lambda} T_{0}^{-1} \in U$.

To complete the proof of Proposition 3.9, it suffices to compare the coefficients at $T_{\rho \sigma_{0}}$ in the expansions of the elements $G_{\Lambda}$ and $A_{\lambda} T_{\rho \sigma_{0}}$ of $H_{l}$ relative to the basis of the elements $T_{\sigma}$. For $G_{\Lambda}$ this coefficient is 1 by Proposition 3.2. Let $S_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ be the subgroup $\sigma_{0} S_{\lambda^{*}} \sigma_{0} \subset S_{l}$. Observe that if $\sigma \in S_{\lambda}$ and $\sigma^{\prime} \in S_{\lambda}^{\prime}$, then

$$
\ell\left(\sigma \rho \sigma_{0} \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\ell\left(\rho \sigma_{0}\right)-\ell(\sigma)-\ell\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)
$$

In particular, then we have $\sigma \rho \sigma_{0} \sigma^{\prime}=\rho \sigma_{0}$ only for $\sigma=\sigma^{\prime}=1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\lambda} T_{\rho \sigma_{0}} & =\left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_{\lambda}} q^{-\ell(\sigma)} T_{\sigma}^{-1}\right) T_{\rho^{-1}}^{-1}\left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_{\lambda^{*}}}(-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} T_{\sigma}^{-1}\right) T_{0} \\
& =\left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_{\lambda}} q^{-\ell(\sigma)} T_{\sigma}^{-1}\right) T_{\rho \sigma_{0}}\left(\sum_{\sigma^{\prime} \in S_{\lambda}^{\prime}}(-q)^{\ell\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)} T_{\sigma^{\prime}}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in S_{\lambda}} \sum_{\sigma^{\prime} \in S_{\lambda}^{\prime}} q^{-\ell(\sigma)}(-q)^{\ell\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)} T_{\sigma^{-1} \rho \sigma_{0} \sigma^{\prime-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficient of $T_{\rho \sigma_{0}}$ in the sum displayed in the last line above is 1 .
Remark. One can give another expression for the element $A_{\lambda} \in H_{l}$ defined via (3.6), by using the identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma \in S_{l}} q^{-\ell(\sigma)} T_{\sigma}^{-1}=q^{l(1-l)} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{l}} q^{\ell(\sigma)} T_{\sigma} \\
& \sum_{\sigma \in S_{l}}(-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} T_{\sigma}^{-1}=(-q)^{l(l-1)} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{l}}(-q)^{-\ell(\sigma)} T_{\sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Eigenvalues of the operator $J$

Take any partition $\lambda$ of $l$. For any standard tableau $\Lambda$ of shape $\lambda$ denote by $V_{\Lambda}$ the left ideal in the algebra $H_{l}$, generated by the element $F_{\Lambda}$ defined in Section 2. If $\Lambda=\Lambda^{\circ}$ then $V_{\Lambda}=V_{\lambda}$ in the notation of Section 3. Recall that the element $F_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ can be obtained by multiplying $F_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$ on the left and on the right by certain invertible elements of $H_{l}$; see Proposition 2.6. Hence $V_{\Lambda}$ is equivalent to $V_{\lambda}$ as the $H_{l}$-module. The algebra $H_{l}$ acts on any left ideal $V_{\Lambda} \subset H_{l}$ via left multiplication. Also recall that the element $F_{\Lambda}$ can be obtained by multiplying $G_{\Lambda}$ by certain element of $H_{l}$ on the right. Thus by Proposition 3.4

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i} F_{\Lambda}=q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} F_{\Lambda} \quad \text { for each } \quad i=1, \ldots, l . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any non-zero $z \in \mathbb{C}(q)$, consider the evaluation $\widehat{H}_{l}$-module $V_{\Lambda}(z)$. This is the pullback of the $H_{l}$-module $V_{\Lambda}$ back through the homomorphism $\pi_{z}$; see Section 1. As a vector space $V_{\Lambda}(z)$ is the left ideal $V_{\Lambda} \subset H_{l}$, and the subalgebra $H_{l} \subset \widehat{H}_{l}$ acts on this vector space via left multiplication. By (4.1), in the $\widehat{H}_{l}$-module $V_{\Lambda}(z)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i} \cdot F_{\Lambda}=z q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} F_{\Lambda} \quad \text { for each } \quad i=1, \ldots, l \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that any element of $\widehat{H}_{l}$ can be written as a sum of certain Laurent monomials in $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{l}$ multiplied by some elements of $H_{l}$ on the left. Therefore the action of the generators $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{l}$ on the $\widehat{H}_{l}$-module $V_{\Lambda}(z)$ is determined by (4.2).

Take a partition $\mu$ of $m$, and any standard tableau $M$ of shape $\mu$. Also take any non-zero element $w \in \mathbb{C}(q)$. Let us realize the $\widehat{H}_{l+m}$-module $W$ induced from the $\widehat{H}_{l} \otimes \widehat{H}_{m}$-module $V_{\Lambda}(z) \otimes V_{M}(w)$ as the left ideal in $H_{l+m}$ generated by the product $F_{\Lambda} \bar{F}_{M}$. Here $\bar{F}_{M}$ denotes the image of the element $F_{M} \in H_{m}$ under the embedding $H_{m} \rightarrow H_{l+m}: T_{j} \mapsto T_{l+j}$. The action of the generators $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{l+m} \in \widehat{H}_{l+m}$ on this left ideal is then determined by setting

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{i} \cdot F_{\Lambda} \bar{F}_{M}=z q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} F_{\Lambda} \bar{F}_{M} \quad \text { for each } \quad i=1, \ldots, l ;  \tag{4.3}\\
& Y_{l+j} \cdot F_{\Lambda} \bar{F}_{M}=w q^{2 c_{j}(M)} F_{\Lambda} \bar{F}_{M} \quad \text { for each } \quad j=1, \ldots, m .
\end{align*}
$$

Further, consider $\widehat{H}_{l+m}$-module $W^{\prime}$ induced from the $\widehat{H}_{m} \otimes \widehat{H}_{l}$-module $V_{M}(w) \otimes V_{\Lambda}(z)$. Let us realize $W^{\prime}$ as the left ideal in $H_{l+m}$ generated by the product $F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda}$, where $\bar{F}_{\Lambda}$ denotes the image of $F_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ under the embedding $H_{l} \rightarrow H_{l+m}: T_{i} \mapsto T_{i+m}$. The generators $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{l+m}$ act on $W^{\prime}$ so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{i+m} \cdot F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda}=z q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda} \quad \text { for each } \quad i=1, \ldots, l ;  \tag{4.4}\\
& Y_{j} \cdot F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda}=w q^{2 c_{j}(M)} F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda} \quad \text { for each } \quad j=1, \ldots, m .
\end{align*}
$$

Consider the element $\tau$ of the symmetric group $S_{l+m}$, which was defined as the permutation (1.8). We will use one reduced decomposition of this element,

$$
\tau=\prod_{i=1, \ldots, l}^{\longleftrightarrow}\left(\prod_{j=1, \ldots, m} \sigma_{i+j-1}\right)
$$

The corresponding element $T_{\tau}$ of the algebra $H_{l+m}$ satisfies the relations

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
T_{i} T_{\tau}=T_{\tau} T_{i+m} & \text { for each } & i=1, \ldots, l-1 \\
T_{l+j} T_{\tau}=T_{\tau} T_{j} & \text { for each } & j=1, \ldots, m-1 \tag{4.6}
\end{array}
$$

In particular, these relations imply the equality in $H_{l+m}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\Lambda} \bar{F}_{M} T_{\tau}=T_{\tau} F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now introduce two elements of the algebra $\widehat{H}_{l+m}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.S_{\Lambda M}(z, w)=\underset{\prod_{i=1, \ldots, l}}{\longrightarrow} \prod_{j=1, \ldots, m} F_{l+m-i-j+1}\left(q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} z, q^{2 c_{j}(M)} w\right)\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
S_{\Lambda M}^{\prime}(z, w)=\overleftarrow{\prod_{i=1, \ldots, l}}\left(\prod_{j=1, \ldots, m}^{\overrightarrow{ }} F_{i+j-1}\left(q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} z, q^{2 c_{j}(M)} w\right)\right)
$$

We have assumed that $z^{-1} w \notin q^{2 \mathbb{Z}}$ so that these two elements are well defined; see (2.1). Using the relations (2.2) and (2.3) together with the definitions of the elements $F_{\Lambda} \in H_{l}$ and $F_{M} \in H_{m}$, we obtain the relation in the algebra $H_{l+m}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\Lambda} \bar{F}_{M} S_{\Lambda M}(z, w)=S_{\Lambda M}^{\prime}(z, w) F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will use one more expression for the element of $H_{l+m}$, appearing on either side of the equality (4.9). For each $i=1, \ldots, l$ denote by $\bar{X}_{i}$ the image of the Murphy element $X_{i} \in H_{l}$ under the embedding $H_{l} \rightarrow H_{l+m}: T_{i} \mapsto T_{m+i}$.

Proposition 4.1. The element of the algebra $H_{l+m}$ in (4.9) equals $T_{\tau}$ times

$$
\prod_{i=1, \ldots, l} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} \bar{X}_{i} X_{i+m}^{-1}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}} \cdot F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda}
$$

Proof. Using Propositions 2.8 and 2.9 repeatedly, for the standard tableau $M$ instead of $\Lambda$ and for the element $q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} z w^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}(q)$ instead of $z$ where $i=1, \ldots, l$, one shows that the element of the algebra $H_{l+m}$ on the right hand side of (4.9) equals the product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1, \ldots, l} \frac{z^{-1} w T_{i} \cdots T_{i+m-1}-q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} T_{i}^{-1} \cdots T_{i+m-1}^{-1}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}} \cdot F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ordered product of the factors in (4.10) corresponding to $i=l, \ldots, 1$ can be rewritten as $T_{\tau}$, multiplied on the right by the product over $i=l, \ldots, 1$ of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\quad \prod_{k=1, \ldots, i-1} & \left(T_{k} \cdots T_{k+m-1}\right)^{-1} \\
& \times \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} T_{i+m-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{i}^{-1} T_{i}^{-1} \cdots T_{i+m-1}^{-1}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}} \\
& \times \prod_{k=1, \ldots, i-1}^{\overleftarrow{m}}\left(T_{k} \cdots T_{k+m-1}\right) \\
= & T_{i+m-1} \cdots T_{m+1} \cdot \prod_{k=1, \ldots, i-1}^{\longrightarrow}\left(T_{k} \cdots T_{k+m}\right)^{-1} \\
& \times \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} T_{i+m-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{i}^{-1} T_{i}^{-1} \cdots T_{i+m-1}^{-1}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}} \\
& \times \underset{\prod_{k=1, \ldots, i-1}\left(T_{k} \cdots T_{k+m-1}\right) \cdot T_{m+1}^{-1} \cdots T_{i+m-1}^{-1} .}{ }
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now complete the proof of Proposition 4.1 by using the definitions of $X_{i} \in H_{l}$ and $X_{m+i} \in H_{l+m}$, along with the relations for all $j=1, \ldots, m$

$$
\prod_{k=1, \ldots, i-1}\left(T_{k} \cdots T_{k+m}\right)^{-1} \cdot T_{i+j-1}^{-1} \cdot \prod_{k=1, \ldots, i-1}\left(T_{k} \cdots T_{k+m}\right)=T_{j}^{-1}
$$

It follows from the relation (4.9) that the right multiplication in $H_{l+m}$ by the element $S_{\Lambda M}(z, w)$ determines a linear operator $I: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$.
Proposition 4.2. The operator $I: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ is an $\widehat{H}_{l+m}$-intertwiner.
Proof. The subalgebra $H_{l+m} \subset \widehat{H}_{l+m}$ acts on $W$, $W^{\prime}$ via left multiplication; so the operator $I$ commutes with this action by definition. The left ideal $W$ in $H_{l+m}$ is generated by the element $F_{\Lambda} \bar{F}_{M}$; therefore it suffices to check that

$$
Y_{i} \cdot I\left(F_{\Lambda} \bar{F}_{M}\right)=I\left(Y_{i} \cdot F_{\Lambda} \bar{F}_{M}\right) \quad \text { for each } \quad i=1, \ldots, l+m
$$

Firstly, consider the case where $i \leqslant l$. In this case by using (4.3), (4.4) and (4.9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{i} \cdot I\left(F_{\Lambda} \bar{F}_{M}\right)=Y_{i} \cdot\left(S_{\Lambda M}^{\prime}(z, w) F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda}\right)=S_{\Lambda M}^{\prime}(z, w) \\
& \quad \times\left(Y_{m+i} \cdot F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda}\right)=z q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} S_{\Lambda M}^{\prime}(z, w) F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda}=I\left(Y_{i} \cdot F_{\Lambda} \bar{F}_{M}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we also used the defining relations (1.4) and (1.5) of the algebra $\widehat{H}_{l+m}$; for more details of this argument see [16, Section 2]. The case $i>l$ can be considered similarly.

Consider the operator of the right multiplication in $H_{l+m}$ by the element

$$
R_{\Lambda M}(z, w)=S_{\Lambda M}(z, w) T_{\tau}^{-1}
$$

Because of the relations (4.7) and (4.9), this operator preserves the subspace $W \subset H_{l+m}$. Restriction of this operator to the subspace $W$ will be denoted by $J$. The subalgebra $H_{l+m} \subset \widehat{H}_{l+m}$ acts on the $\widehat{H}_{l+m}$-module $W$ via left multiplication, so the operator $J: W \rightarrow W$ commutes with this action. Now regard $W$ as a $H_{l+m}$-module only. Let $v$ be any partition of $l+m$ such that the $H_{l+m}$-module $W$ has exactly one irreducible component equivalent to $V_{v}$. The operator $J$ preserves this component, and acts thereon as multiplication by a certain element of $\mathbb{C}(q)$. Denote this element by $r_{v}(z, w)$; it depends on the parameters $z$ and $w$ as a rational function of $z^{-1} w$, and does not depend on the choice of the tableaux $\Lambda$ and $M$ of the given shapes $\lambda$ and $\mu$. In this section, we compute the eigenvalues $r_{v}(z, w)$ of $J$ for certain partitions $v$.

Choose any sequence $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\lambda_{1}^{*}} \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$ of pairwise distinct indices; this sequence needs not to be increasing. Recall that $\lambda_{1}^{*}$ is the number of non-zero parts in the partition $\lambda$. Consider the partition $\mu$ as an infinite sequence with finitely many non-zero terms. Define an infinite sequence $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots\right)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{i_{a}}=\mu_{i_{a}}+\lambda_{a}, \quad a=1, \ldots, \lambda_{1}^{*} \\
& \xi_{i}=\mu_{i}, \quad i \neq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\lambda_{1}^{*}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose we get the inequalities $\xi_{1} \geqslant \xi_{2} \geqslant \cdots$ so that $\xi$ is a partition of $l+m$. Then the $H_{l+m}$-module $W$ has exactly one irreducible component equivalent to $V_{\xi}$. This follows from the Littlewood-Richardson rule [13, Section I.9]. We will compute the eigenvalue
$r_{\xi}(z, w)$ by applying the operator $J$ to a certain vector in that irreducible component. For the purposes of this computation, assume that $\Lambda$ is the column tableau $\Lambda^{\circ}$; the tableau $M$ will remain arbitrary.

The image of the action of the element $F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$ in the irreducible $H_{l+m}$-module $V_{\xi}$ is a one-dimensional subspace. Let us describe this subspace explicitly. Let $\Xi$ be the tableau of shape $\xi$, defined as follows. Firstly, put $\Xi(c, d)=M(c, d)$ for all nodes $(c, d)$ of the Young diagram of $\mu$. Further, for any positive integer $j$ consider all those parts of $\lambda$ which are equal to $j$. These are the parts $\lambda_{a}$ where the index $a$ belongs to the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j+1}^{*}+1, \lambda_{j+1}^{*}+2, \ldots, \lambda_{j}^{*} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The length $\lambda_{j}^{*}-\lambda_{j+1}^{*}$ of this sequence is the multiplicity of the part $j$ in the partition $\lambda$; let us denote this multiplicity by $n$ for short. Rearrange the sequence (4.11) to the sequence $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ such that the inequalities $i_{a_{1}}<\cdots<i_{a_{n}}$ hold. Then for every term $a=a_{k}$ of the rearranged sequence put

$$
\Xi\left(i_{a}, \mu_{i_{a}}+b\right)=m+\Lambda^{\circ}\left(\lambda_{j+1}^{*}+k, b\right) \quad \text { where } b=1, \ldots, \lambda_{a}
$$

Proposition 4.3. The tableau $\Xi$ is standard.
Proof. For any possible integers $c$ and $d$, the condition $\Xi(c, d)<\Xi(c, d+1)$ is satisfied by definition, because the tableaux $\Lambda^{\circ}$ and $M$ are standard. For any node $(c, d)$ of the Young diagram of $\mu$, the condition $\Xi(c, d)<\Xi(c+1, d)$ is also satisfied by definition. Now suppose there are two different numbers $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ greater than $m$ that appear in the same column of the tableaux $\Xi$. Let $i$ and $i^{\prime}$ be the corresponding rows of $\Xi$; assume that $i<i^{\prime}$. Here $i=i_{a}$ and $i^{\prime}=i_{a^{\prime}}$ for certain indices $a, a^{\prime} \in\left\{1, \ldots, \lambda_{1}^{*}\right\}$. If $\lambda_{a} \geqslant \lambda_{a^{\prime}}$ then $k<k^{\prime}$ because the tableau $\Lambda^{\circ}$ is standard. Here we also use the definition of $\Xi$. Now suppose that $\lambda_{a}<\lambda_{a^{\prime}}$. Then $\mu_{i}>\mu_{i^{\prime}}$, because the assumption $i<i^{\prime}$ implies

$$
\mu_{i}+\lambda_{a} \geqslant \mu_{i^{\prime}}+\lambda_{a^{\prime}}
$$

Let $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ be the columns of the tableau $\Lambda^{\circ}$ corresponding to its entries $k-m$ and $k^{\prime}-m$. Since $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ appear in the same column of the tableau $\Xi$ while $\mu_{i}>\mu_{i^{\prime}}$, we have $b<b^{\prime}$. Then $k<k^{\prime}$ by the definition of $\Lambda^{\circ}$.

Using Proposition 4.3, consider the vector $G_{\Xi} \in V_{\xi}$ as defined in Section 3. Take the element $Q_{\lambda} \in H_{l}$ as defined in (3.6). Denote by $\bar{Q}_{\lambda}$ the image of this element under the embedding $H_{l} \rightarrow H_{l+m}: T_{i} \mapsto T_{i+m}$.
Proposition 4.4. The image of the action of the element $F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \in H_{l+m}$ on the $H_{l+m^{-}}$ module $V_{\xi}$ is spanned by the vector $\bar{Q}_{\lambda} G_{\Xi}$.
Proof. Put $V=F_{M} V_{\xi}$. The subspace $V \subset V_{\xi}$ is spanned by all those vectors $G_{\tilde{\Xi}}$ where, for every node $(c, d)$ of the Young diagram of $\mu$, the standard tableau $\tilde{\Xi}$ of shape $\xi$ satisfies the condition $\tilde{\Xi}(c, d)=M(c, d)$. The action of the element $\bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \in H_{l+m}$ on $V_{\xi}$ preserves the subspace $V \subset V_{\xi}$, and the image $\bar{F}_{\Lambda} \circ V$ is one-dimensional. Moreover, we have $\bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}} V=\bar{Q}_{\lambda} V$; see [5, Section 1].

It now remains to check that $\bar{Q}_{\lambda} G_{\Xi} \neq 0$. Due to our choice of the tableau $\Xi$, it suffices to consider the case where each non-zero part of $\lambda$ equals 1 . In this case, the element
$Q_{\lambda} \in H_{l}$ is central. On the other hand, any vector of $V$ has the form $\bar{C} G_{\Xi}$ where $\bar{C}$ is the image of some element $C \in H_{l}$ under the embedding $H_{l} \rightarrow H_{l+m}: T_{i} \mapsto T_{i+m}$. So $\bar{Q}_{\lambda} V \neq\{0\}$ implies $\bar{Q}_{\lambda} G_{\Xi} \neq 0$.
Theorem 4.5. We have the equality

$$
r_{\xi}(z, w)=\prod_{(a, b)} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{-2\left(\mu_{i a}+\lambda_{b}^{*}-i_{a}-b+1\right)}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2 b-2 a}}
$$

where the product is taken over all nodes $(a, b)$ of the Young diagram (2.5).
Proof. First consider the case where each non-zero part of $\lambda$ equals 1 . In this case, $\Lambda^{\circ}$ is the only one standard tableau of shape $\lambda$ and we have $c_{i}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)=1-i$ for any $i=1, \ldots, l$. The product displayed in Proposition 4.1 then equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1, \ldots, l} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 i} \bar{X}_{i} X_{i+m}^{-1}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 i}} \cdot F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove by induction on $l=1,2, \ldots$ that the product (4.12) equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1, \ldots, l} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 l} X_{i+m}^{-1}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 i}} \cdot F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elements $X_{m+1}, \ldots, X_{l+m} \in H_{l+m}$ pairwise commute; hence the ordering of the factors corresponding to $i=1, \ldots, l$ in the product (4.13) is irrelevant. Theorem 4.5 will then follow in our special case. Indeed, let $Z_{\xi}$ be the minimal central idempotent in the algebra $H_{l+m}$ corresponding to the partition $\xi$. Using Proposition 4.1 together with the equality between (4.12) and (4.13), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(Z_{\xi}\right. & \left.F_{\Lambda} \bar{F}_{M}\right)=Z_{\xi} S_{\Lambda^{\circ} M}^{\prime}(z, w) F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda} T_{\tau}^{-1} \\
= & T_{\tau} Z_{\xi} \cdot \prod_{i=1, \ldots, l} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 l} X_{i+m}^{-1}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 i}} \cdot F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}} T_{\tau}^{-1} \\
= & T_{\tau} Z_{\xi} \cdot \prod_{i=1, \ldots, l} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 l} X_{i+m}^{-1}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 i}} \\
& \times \prod_{j=1, \ldots, m} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 l} X_{j}^{-1}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 l-2 c_{j}(M)}} \cdot F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}} T_{\tau}^{-1} \\
= & T_{\tau} Z_{\xi} \cdot \prod_{i=1, \ldots, l} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 l-2 c_{i+m}(\Xi)}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 i}} \\
& \times \prod_{j=1, \ldots, m} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 l-2 c_{j}(\Xi)}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 l-2 c_{j}(M)}} \cdot F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}} T_{\tau}^{-1} \\
= & \prod_{a=1, \ldots, l} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2 i_{a}-2 l-2 \mu_{i_{a}}}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 a}} \cdot Z_{\xi} F_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \bar{F}_{M}=r_{\xi}(z, w) Z_{\xi} F_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \bar{F}_{M}, \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

as Theorem 4.5 claims. Here we used the counterparts of the relations (4.1) for the standard tableau $M$ and $\Xi$ instead of $\Lambda$; cf. our proof of Corollary 3.6.

Now let us prove the equality between (4.12) and (4.13). We have $X_{1}=1$ by definition; hence that equality is obvious when $l=1$. Suppose that $l>1$. The numerator of the fraction in (4.12) corresponding to the index $i=1$ equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{-1} w-X_{m+1}^{-1}=z^{-1} w-T_{m}^{-1} \cdots T_{1}^{-1} T_{1}^{-1} \cdots T_{m}^{-1} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our special case, we have the relations in the algebra $H_{l+m}$

$$
T_{m+i} \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}}=-q^{-1} \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \ldots, l-1
$$

Using these relations along with the equality (4.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(z^{-1} w-X_{m+1}^{-1}\right) \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \\
& \quad=(-q)^{l-1} T_{m+1} \cdots T_{l+m-1}\left(z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 l} X_{l+m}^{-1}\right) \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, for any $i=2, \ldots, l$ the elements $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{i-2}$ commute with the Murphy element $X_{i} \in H_{l}$. So the elements $T_{m+1}, \ldots, T_{i+m-2}$ commute with $\bar{X}_{i} \in H_{l+m}$; they also commute with $X_{i+m}$. Therefore for $i=2, \ldots, l$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{X}_{i} X_{i+m}^{-1} & T_{m+1} \cdots T_{l+m-1} \\
= & T_{m+1} \cdots T_{i+m-2} \bar{X}_{i} X_{i+m}^{-1} T_{i+m-1} \cdots T_{l+m-1}=T_{m+1} \cdots T_{i+m-2} \\
& \times T_{i+m-1} \cdots T_{m+1} T_{m}^{-1} \cdots T_{1}^{-1} T_{1}^{-1} \cdots T_{i+m-1}^{-1} T_{i+m-1} T_{i+m} \cdots T_{l+m-1} \\
= & T_{m+1} \cdots T_{l+m-1} T_{i+m-2} \cdots T_{m+1} T_{m}^{-1} \cdots T_{1}^{-1} T_{1}^{-1} \cdots T_{i+m-2}^{-1} \\
= & T_{m+1} \cdots T_{l+m-1} \bar{X}_{i-1} X_{i+m-1}^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore by using the equality (4.16), the product (4.12) equals

$$
\begin{align*}
&(-q)^{l-1} T_{m+1} \cdots T_{l+m-1} \cdot \overleftarrow{\prod_{i=2, \ldots, l}} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 i} \bar{X}_{i-1} X_{i+m-1}^{-1}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 i}} \\
& \times \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 l} X_{m+l}^{-1}}{z^{-1} w-1} \cdot F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}}=(-q)^{l-1} T_{m+1} \cdots T_{l+m-1} \\
& \times \prod_{i=2, \ldots, l} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 l} X_{i+m-1}^{-1}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 i}} \cdot \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 l} X_{m+l}^{-1}}{z^{-1} w-1} \cdot F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \\
&=(-q)^{l-1} T_{m+1} \cdots T_{l+m-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1, \ldots, l} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 l} X_{i+m}^{-1}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2-2 i}} \cdot F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we used the equality between the counterparts of the products (4.12) and (4.13) for $l-1$ instead of $l$ and for $q^{2} z^{-1} w$ instead of $z^{-1} w$, which we have by the inductive assumption. We also used commutativity of the Murphy element $X_{l+m}$ with $T_{m+1}, \ldots, T_{l+m-2}$. To establish the equality between the products (4.12) and (4.13) themselves, it now remains to observe that the product over $i=1, \ldots, l$ in the line (4.17) is symmetric in $X_{m+1}, \ldots, X_{l+m}$ and therefore commutes with $T_{m+1}, \ldots, T_{l+m-1}$; cf. the remark after our proof of Corollary 3.6.

Thus we have proved Theorem 4.5 when each non-zero part of $\lambda$ is 1 . Now let $\lambda$ be an arbitrary partition of $l$. Consider the element $\bar{Q}_{\lambda} G_{\Xi} \in H_{l+m}$. Due to Proposition 4.4, this element is divisible on the left by $F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$. The element

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{l+m}\left(\bar{Q}_{\lambda} G_{\Xi}\right) F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}} T_{\tau}^{-1}=\alpha_{l+m}\left(G_{\Xi}\right) \bar{Q}_{\lambda} T_{\tau}^{-1} F_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \bar{F}_{M} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

is non-zero, and belongs to the left ideal $W \subset H_{l+m}$. Further, the element (4.18) belongs to the irreducible component of the $H_{l+m}$-module $W$ equivalent to $V_{\xi}$. Thus (4.18) is an eigenvector of the operator $J: W \rightarrow W$ with the eigenvalue $r_{\xi}(z, w)$. On other hand, due to Proposition 4.1 the image of (4.18) under the operator $J$ equals

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{l+m}\left(G_{\Xi}\right) \bar{Q}_{\lambda} \cdot \prod_{i=1, \ldots, l} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)} \bar{X}_{i} X_{i+m}^{-1}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}} \cdot F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \\
& \quad=\alpha_{l+m}\left(G_{\Xi}\right) \bar{Q}_{\lambda} \cdot \prod_{i=1, \ldots, l} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{4 c_{i}(\Lambda)} X_{i+m}^{-1}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2 c_{i}(\Lambda)}} \cdot F_{M} \bar{F}_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

To obtain the latter equality we used the relations (3.4), the divisibility of the element $\alpha_{l+m}\left(G_{\Xi}\right) \bar{Q}_{\lambda}$ on the right by $\bar{E}_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$, and the commutativity of the element $\bar{X}_{i}$ with the Murphy elements $X_{i+m+1}, \ldots, X_{l+m}$ for any $i=1, \ldots, l$. Here $\bar{E}_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$ denotes the image of the element $E_{\Lambda^{\circ}} \in H_{l}$ under the embedding $H_{l} \rightarrow H_{l+m}: T_{i} \mapsto T_{i+m}$. The factors in the product (4.19) corresponding to the indices $i=1, \ldots, l$ pairwise commute; hence their ordering is irrelevant.

Due to Theorem 3.3, the vector $\bar{Q}_{\lambda} G_{\Xi} \in V_{\xi}$ is a linear combination of the vectors $Q_{\tilde{\Xi}}$ where $\tilde{\Xi}$ is any standard tableau of shape $\xi$, obtained from $\Xi$ by a permutation $\tau^{-1} \sigma \tau \in S_{l+m}$ such that $\sigma \in S_{\lambda^{*}} \subset S_{l} \subset S_{l+m}$. Now the expression (4.19) for the $J$-image of (4.18) shows that the eigenvalue $r_{\xi}(z, w)$ is multiplicative relative to the columns of the tableau $\Lambda^{\circ}$. That is, by using Theorem 4.5 consecutively for the partitions of $\lambda_{1}^{*}, \lambda_{2}^{*}, \ldots$ with each non-zero part being equal to 1 , we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\xi}(z, w)=\prod_{b=1}^{\lambda_{1}} \prod_{a=1}^{\lambda_{b}^{*}} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{-2\left(\mu_{i_{a}}+\lambda_{b}^{*}-i_{a}-b+1\right)}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2 b-2 a}} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

as required. According to (4.19), the numerator in (4.20) is obtained from the numerator in (4.14) by changing $l, \mu_{i_{a}}$ to $\lambda_{b}^{*}, \mu_{i_{a}}+b-1$ respectively, and by increasing the exponential by $4(b-1)=4 c_{k}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\right)$ where $k=\Lambda^{\circ}(1, b)$.

Our next theorem is essentially a reformulation of Theorem 4.5. Choose any sequence $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\lambda_{1}} \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$ of pairwise distinct indices; this sequence needs not to be increasing. Consider the partition $\mu^{*}$ conjugate to $\mu$. Define a sequence $\eta^{*}=\left(\eta_{1}^{*}, \eta_{2}^{*}, \ldots\right)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{j_{b}}^{*}=\mu_{j_{b}}^{*}+\lambda_{b}^{*}, \quad b=1, \ldots, \lambda_{1} ; \\
& \eta_{j}^{*}=\mu_{j}, \quad j \neq j_{1}, \ldots, i_{\lambda_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose we have the inequalities $\eta_{1}^{*} \geqslant \eta_{2}^{*} \geqslant \ldots$, so that $\eta^{*}$ is a partition of $l+m$. Then define $\eta$ as the partition conjugate to $\eta^{*}$. The $H_{l+m}$-module $W$ has exactly one irreducible component equivalent to $V_{\eta}$; this follows from the Littlewood-Richardson
rule [13, Section I.9]. Consider the corresponding eigenvalue $r_{\eta}(z, w)$ of the operator $J: W \rightarrow W$.

Theorem 4.6. We have the equality

$$
r_{\eta}(z, w)=\prod_{(a, b)} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2\left(\lambda_{a}+\mu_{j_{b}}^{*}-a-j_{b}+1\right)}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2 b-2 a}}
$$

where the product is taken over all nodes $(a, b)$ of the Young diagram (2.5).
Proof. For any positive integer $l$, the $\mathbb{C}(q)$-algebra $H_{l}$ may be also regarded as an algebra over the field $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}(q)$. The assignments $q \mapsto q^{-1}$ and $T_{i} \mapsto-T_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, l-1$ determine an involutive automorphism of $H_{l}$ as a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. Denote by $\beta_{l}$ this automorphism. For the minimal central idempotent $Z_{\lambda}$ of the semisimple $\mathbb{C}(q)$ algebra $H_{l}$ we have $\beta_{l}\left(Z_{\lambda}\right)=Z_{\lambda^{*}}$; this can be proved by specializing $H_{l}$ at $q=1$ to the symmetric group ring $\mathbb{C} S_{l}$. Further, for any standard tableau $\Lambda$ of shape $\lambda$, define the standard tableau $\Lambda^{*}$ of shape $\lambda^{*}$ by setting $\Lambda^{*}(b, a)=\Lambda(a, b)$ for all nodes $(a, b)$ of the Young diagram (2.5). Then

$$
\beta_{l}\left(F_{\Lambda}\right)=(-1)^{l(l-1) / 2} F_{\Lambda^{*}} .
$$

Indeed, the counterparts of the equalities (3.4) for $F_{\Lambda^{*}}$ instead of $F_{\Lambda}$ determine the element $F_{\Lambda^{*}} \in H_{l}$ uniquely up to a factor from $\mathbb{C}(q)$, while $c_{i}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=-c_{i}(\Lambda)$ and $\beta_{l}\left(X_{i}\right)=X_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, l$. We also use Proposition 2.3 and the equality

$$
\beta_{l}\left(T_{0}\right)=(-1)^{l(l-1) / 2} T_{0}
$$

Now consider the automorphism $\beta_{l+m}$ of the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $H_{l+m}$. Both sides of the equality to be proved in Theorem 4.6 depend on $z, w$ as rational functions of $z^{-1} w$. Hence it suffices to prove that equality only when $\beta_{l+m}\left(z^{-1} w\right)=z^{-1} w$. Our argument will be somewhat simpler then. By using (4.8), we then get

$$
\beta_{l+m}\left(S_{\Lambda M}(z, w)\right)=(-1)^{l m} S_{\Lambda^{*} M^{*}}(z, w)
$$

Note that we also have $\beta_{l+m}\left(T_{\tau}^{-1}\right)=(-1)^{l m} T_{\tau}^{-1}$. For any standard tableaux $\Lambda$ and $M$ of shapes $\lambda$ and $\mu$ respectively, by definition we have the equality

$$
Z_{\eta} F_{\Lambda} \bar{F}_{M} S_{\Lambda M}(z, w) T_{\tau}^{-1}=r_{\eta}(z, w) Z_{\eta} F_{\Lambda} \bar{F}_{M}
$$

By applying the automorphism $\beta_{l+m}$ to both sides of this equality, we get

$$
Z_{\eta^{*}} F_{\Lambda^{*}} \bar{F}_{M^{*}} S_{\Lambda^{*} M^{*}}(z, w) T_{\tau}^{-1}=\beta_{l+m}\left(r_{\eta}(z, w)\right) Z_{\eta^{*}} F_{\Lambda^{*}} \bar{F}_{M^{*}}
$$

Hence by using Theorem 4.5 for the partitions $\lambda^{*}, \mu^{*}$ and $\eta^{*}$ instead of $\lambda, \mu$ and $\xi$ respectively, we get

$$
\beta_{l+m}\left(r_{\eta}(z, w)\right)=\prod_{(a, b)} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{-2\left(\mu_{j a}^{*}+\lambda_{b}-j_{a}-b+1\right)}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2 b-2 a}}
$$

where the product is taken over all nodes $(a, b)$ of the Young diagram of $\lambda^{*}$. Equivalently, this product may be also taken over all nodes $(b, a)$ of the Young diagram of $\lambda$. Exchanging
the indices $a$ and $b$ in the last displayed equality, we then obtain Theorem 4.6 due to the involutivity of the mapping $\beta_{l+m}$.

Let us now derive Corollary 1.1 as stated in the beginning of this article. We will use Theorems 4.5 and 4.6 in the simplest situation when $i_{a}=a$ for every $a=1, \ldots, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ and $j_{b}=j$ for every $b=1, \ldots, \lambda_{1}$. Then we have

$$
\xi=\lambda+\mu \quad \text { and } \quad \eta=\left(\lambda^{*}+\mu^{*}\right)^{*}
$$

By Theorems 4.5 and 4.6, the ratio $r_{\xi}(z, w) / r_{\eta}(z, w)=h_{\lambda \mu}(z, w)$ equals the product of the fractions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z^{-1} w-q^{-2\left(\mu_{a}+\lambda_{b}^{*}-a-b+1\right)}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2\left(\lambda_{a}+\mu_{b}^{*}-a-b+1\right)}} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

taken over all nodes $(a, b)$ of the Young diagram (2.5) of $\lambda$. Consider those nodes of (2.5) which do not belong to the Young diagram of $\mu$. Those nodes form the skew Young diagram

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \mid 1 \leqslant a, \mu_{a}<b \leqslant \lambda_{a}\right\} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain Corollary 1.1, it now suffices to prove the following:
Proposition 4.7. The product of the fractions (4.21) over all the nodes $(a, b)$ of the skew Young diagram (4.22) equals 1.
Proof. For any integer $c$, let us write $\langle c\rangle$ instead of $z^{-1} w-q^{2 c}$ for short. We will proceed by induction on the number of nodes in the skew Young diagram (4.22). When the set (4.22) is empty, there is nothing to prove. Let $(i, j)$ be any node of (4.22) such that by removing it from (2.5) we again obtain a Young diagram. Then $\lambda_{i}=j$ and $\lambda_{j}^{*}=i$. By applying the inductive assumption to this Young diagram instead of (2.5), we have to show that the product

$$
\frac{\left\langle j-\mu_{i}-1\right\rangle}{\left\langle\mu_{j}^{*}-i+1\right\rangle} \prod_{a=\mu_{j}^{*}+1}^{i-1} \frac{\left\langle a+j-\mu_{a}-i-1\right\rangle}{\left\langle a+j-\mu_{a}-i\right\rangle} \prod_{b=\mu_{i}+1}^{j-1} \frac{\left\langle j+\mu_{b}^{*}-i-b\right\rangle}{\left\langle j+\mu_{b}^{*}-i-b+1\right\rangle}
$$

equals 1 . Denote this product by $p$. Note that here $\mu_{i}<\lambda_{i}$ and $\mu_{j}^{*}<\lambda_{j}^{*}$.
Suppose there is a node $(c, d)$ in (4.22) with $\mu_{i}<d<\lambda_{i}$ and $\mu_{j}^{*}<c<\lambda_{j}^{*}$ such that by adding this node to the Young diagram of $\mu$ we again obtain a Young diagram. Then we have $\mu_{c}=d-1$ and $\mu_{d}^{*}=c-1$. The counterpart of the product $p$ for the last Young diagram, instead of that of $\mu$, equals 1 by the inductive assumption. The equality $p=1$ then follows, by using the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\langle j+c-i-d-1\rangle}{\langle j+c-i-d\rangle} \frac{\langle j+c-i-d+1\rangle}{\langle j+c-i-d\rangle} \\
& \quad \times \frac{\langle j+c-i-d\rangle}{\langle j+c-i-d+1\rangle} \frac{\langle j+c-i-d\rangle}{\langle j+c-i-d-1\rangle}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to consider the case where there is no node $(c, d)$ in (4.22) with the properties listed above. Then we have $\mu_{b}^{*}=i-1$ for all $b=\mu_{i}+1, \ldots, j-1$ and $\mu_{a}=j-1$ for
all $a=\mu_{j}^{*}+1, \ldots, i-1$. The product $p$ then equals

$$
\frac{\left\langle j-\mu_{i}-1\right\rangle}{\left\langle\mu_{j}^{*}-i+1\right\rangle} \frac{\langle 0\rangle}{\left\langle j-\mu_{i}-1\right\rangle} \frac{\left\langle\mu_{j}^{*}-i+1\right\rangle}{\langle 0\rangle}=1
$$

Finally, let us show how the formula (3.5) can be derived from Theorem 4.5. The element $h_{\lambda}(q) \in \mathbb{C}(q)$ on the left hand side of (3.5) will be determined by the relation $E_{\Lambda}^{2}=h_{\lambda}(q) E_{\Lambda}$ in $H_{l}$, where $\Lambda$ is any standard tableau of shape $\lambda$. Below we actually prove another formula for $h_{\lambda}(q)$ which is equivalent to (3.5).

Corollary 4.8. We have the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\lambda}(q)=\prod_{(a, b)} \frac{1-q^{-2\left(\lambda_{a}+\lambda_{b}^{*}-a-b+1\right)}}{1-q^{-2}} \cdot q^{\lambda_{1}^{*}\left(\lambda_{1}^{*}-1\right)+\lambda_{2}^{*}\left(\lambda_{2}^{*}-1\right)+\cdots} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the product is taken over all nodes $(a, b)$ of the Young diagram (2.5).
Proof. We will use induction on $\lambda_{1}$, the longest part of the partition $\lambda$. First, suppose that $\lambda_{1}=1$. Then each non-zero part of $\lambda$ equals 1 , and there is only one standard tableau $\Lambda$ of shape $\lambda$. In this case, let us write $h_{l}(q)$ and $E_{l}$ instead of $h_{\lambda}(q)$ and $E_{\Lambda}$ respectively. Using (2.1) and Theorem 2.2,

$$
E_{l}=\prod_{(i, j)}^{\longrightarrow}\left(T_{j-i}+\frac{q-q^{-1}}{q^{2 i-2 j}-1}\right) \cdot T_{0}^{-1}
$$

where the pairs $(i, j)$ with $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant l$ are ordered lexicographically. By Proposition 2.5, we have $T_{k} E_{l}=-q^{-1} E_{l}$ for each index $k=1, \ldots, l-1$. So

$$
h_{l}(q)=\prod_{(i, j)}\left(\frac{q-q^{-1}}{q^{2 i-2 j}-1}-q^{-1}\right) \cdot(-q)^{l(l-1) / 2}=q^{l(l-1)} \prod_{k=1}^{l} \frac{1-q^{-2 k}}{1-q^{-2}} .
$$

Thus we now have the induction base. To make the induction step, suppose that (4.23) is true for some partition $\lambda$ of $l$. Take any positive integer $m$ such that $m \leqslant \lambda_{b}^{*}$ for every $b=1, \ldots, \lambda_{1}$. Let us show that then the counterpart of the equality (4.23) is true for the partition of $l+m$

$$
\theta=\left(\lambda_{1}+1, \ldots, \lambda_{m}+1, \lambda_{m+1}, \lambda_{m+2}, \ldots\right)
$$

Choose any standard tableau $\Lambda$ of shape $\lambda$. Put $\mu=(1, \ldots, 1,0,0, \ldots)$ so that $\theta=\lambda+\mu$. In this case, there is only one standard tableau $M$ of shape $\mu$. Let $\theta$ be the unique standard tableau of shape $\theta$ agreeing with $\Lambda$ in the entries $1, \ldots, l$; the numbers $l+1, \ldots, l+m$ then appear in the column $\lambda_{1}+1$ of the tableau $\Theta$. Consider the eigenvalue $r_{\theta}(z, w)$ of the operator $J$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\Theta} F_{\Lambda} \bar{F}_{M} S_{\Lambda M}(z, w)=r_{\theta}(z, w) E_{\Theta} F_{\Lambda} \bar{F}_{M} T_{\tau} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Theorem 2.2 and its counterpart for the tableau $\Theta$ instead of $\Lambda$, the element $F_{\Theta} \in H_{l+m}$ is divisible on the left by the element $F_{\Lambda}$. Therefore the element $E_{\Theta} \in H_{l+m}$ is divisible on the right by the element $E_{\Lambda}$. Similarly, $E_{\Theta}$ is divisible on the right by the
image $\bar{E}_{M}$ of the element $E_{M} \in H_{m}$ under the embedding $H_{l} \rightarrow H_{l+m}: T_{i} \mapsto T_{i+m}$. So the right hand side of (4.24) equals

$$
h_{\lambda}(q) h_{m}(q) r_{\theta}(z, w) F_{\Theta}
$$

But, by again using Theorem 2.2 and its counterpart for the tableau $\Theta$ instead of $\Lambda$, the left hand side of (4.24) takes at $z=1$ and $w=q^{2 \lambda_{1}}$ the value

$$
E_{\Theta} F_{\Theta}=h_{\theta}(q) F_{\Theta}
$$

Hence the equality (4.24) of rational functions in $z$ and $w$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\theta}(q)=h_{\lambda}(q) h_{m}(q) r_{\theta}\left(1, q^{2 \lambda_{1}}\right) . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factor $r_{\theta}\left(1, q^{2 \lambda_{1}}\right)$ in (4.25) can be computed by using Theorem 4.5 when $i_{a}=a$ for each $a=1, \ldots, \lambda_{1}^{*}$. The rational function $r_{\theta}(z, w)$ of $z$ and $w$ can then be written as the product over $b=1, \ldots, \lambda_{1}$ of the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{a=1}^{m} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2\left(a+b-\lambda_{b}^{*}-2\right)}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2(b-a)}} \prod_{a=m+1}^{\lambda_{b}^{*}} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2\left(a+b-\lambda_{b}^{*}-1\right)}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2(b-a)}} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

After changing the running index $a$ to $\lambda_{b}^{*}-a+1$ in both denominators in (4.26), the product over $a=m+1, \ldots, \lambda_{b}^{*}$ in (4.26) cancels. Therefore

$$
r_{\theta}(z, w)=\prod_{a=1}^{m} \prod_{b=1}^{\lambda_{1}} \frac{z^{-1} w-q^{2\left(a+b-\lambda_{b}^{*}-2\right)}}{z^{-1} w-q^{2\left(a+b-\lambda_{b}^{*}-1\right)}}
$$

Using (4.25) together with the last expression for the function $r_{\theta}(z, w)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\theta}(q) & =h_{\lambda}(q) h_{m}(q) \prod_{a=1}^{m} \prod_{b=1}^{\lambda_{1}} \frac{1-q^{-2\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{b}^{*}-a-b+2\right)}}{1-q^{-2\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{b}^{*}-a-b+1\right)}} \\
& =\prod_{(c, d)} \frac{1-q^{-2\left(\theta_{c}+\theta_{d}^{*}-c-d+1\right)}}{1-q^{-2}} \cdot q^{\theta_{1}^{*}\left(\theta_{1}^{*}-1\right)+\theta_{2}^{*}\left(\theta_{2}^{*}-1\right)+\cdots}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(c, d)$ is ranging over all nodes of the Young diagram of the partition $\theta$. Here we used the expression for $h_{m}(q)$ provided by the induction base, and the formula (4.23) for $h_{\lambda}(q)$ which is true by the inductive assumption. Thus we have made the induction step.

Remark. Corollary 1.1 shows that the $H_{l+m}$-module $W$ is reducible, if

$$
z^{-1} w=q^{-2\left(\mu_{a}+\lambda_{b}^{*}-a-b+1\right)} \quad \text { or } \quad z^{-1} w=q^{2\left(\lambda_{a}+\mu_{b}^{*}-a-b+1\right)}
$$

for some node $(a, b)$ in the intersection of the Young diagrams of $\lambda$ and $\mu$. The irreducibility criterion for the $\widehat{H}_{l+m}$-module $W$ was given in [10]. That is, the $\widehat{H}_{l+m^{-}}$ module $W$ is reducible if and only if $z^{-1} w \in q^{2 \mathcal{S}}$ for some finite subset $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ explicitly described in [11]. It would be interesting to point out for each $z^{-1} w \in q^{2 \mathcal{S}}$ a partition $v$ of $l+m$, such that $W$ as the $H_{l+m}$-module has exactly one irreducible component equivalent to $V_{v}$, and that the rational function value $r_{\lambda+\mu}(z, w) / r_{v}(z, w)$ is either 0 or $\infty$.
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