



On the hypergeometric matrix function

L. Jódar*, J.C. Cortés

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, P.O. Box 22.012 Valencia, Spain

Received 20 October 1997; received in revised form 3 May 1998

Abstract

This paper deals with the study of the hypergeometric function with matrix arguments $F(A, B; C; z)$. Conditions for matrices A, B, C so that the series representation of the hypergeometric function be convergent for $|z| = 1$ and satisfies a matrix differential equation are given. After the study of beta and gamma matrix functions, an integral representation of $F(A, B; C; z)$ is obtained for the case where B, C and $C - B$ are positive stable matrices with $BC = CB$. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: 33C05, 33C25, 34A05, 15A60

1. Introduction

Many special functions encountered in physics, engineering and probability theory are special cases of hypergeometric functions [17–19, 21]. Special matrix functions appear in the literature related to Statistics [1], Lie groups theory [11], and more recently in connection with matrix analogues of Laguerre, Hermite and Legendre differential equations and the corresponding polynomial families [12–14]. Apart from the close relationship with the well-known beta and gamma matrix functions, the emerging theory of orthogonal matrix polynomials [4–6] and its operational calculus suggest the study of hypergeometric matrix function.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with the study of new properties of the beta and gamma matrix functions. We are mainly concerned with the matrix analog of the formula

$$B(p, q) = \frac{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(p+q)} \quad (1)$$

and may be regarded as a continuation of [16]. In Section 3 the Gauss hypergeometric matrix function $F(A, B; C; z)$ is introduced as a matrix power series. Conditions for the convergence on the boundary

* Corresponding author.

of the unit disc are treated. We also prove that if matrices B and C commute then $F(A, B; C; z)$ is a solution of the differential equation

$$z(1 - z)W'' - zAW' + W(C - z(B + I)) - AWB = 0.$$

If A is an arbitrary matrix in $C^{r \times r}$ and C is an invertible matrix whose eigenvalues are not negative integers then we prove that equation

$$z(1 - z)W'' - zAW' + W'(C + z(n - 1)I) + nAW = 0$$

has matrix polynomial solutions of degree n for all integer $n \geq 1$.

Finally in Section 4 an integral representation of the hypergeometric matrix function is given.

Throughout this paper for a matrix A in $C^{r \times r}$ its spectrum $\sigma(A)$ denotes the set of all the eigenvalues of A . The 2-norm of A will be denoted by $\|A\|$ and it is defined by

$$\|A\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2},$$

where for a y in $C^{r \times r}$, $\|y\|_2 = (y^T y)^{1/2}$ is the euclidean norm of y . Let us denote $\alpha(A)$ and $\beta(A)$ the real numbers

$$\alpha(A) = \max\{\text{Re}(z): z \in \sigma(A)\}, \quad \beta(A) = \min\{\text{Re}(z): z \in \sigma(A)\}. \tag{2}$$

If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are holomorphic functions of the complex variable z , which are defined in an open set Ω of the complex plane, and A is a matrix in $C^{r \times r}$ with $\sigma(A) \subset \Omega$, then from the properties of the matrix functional calculus [3, p.558], it follows that

$$f(A)g(A) = g(A)f(A). \tag{3}$$

The reciprocal gamma function denoted by $\Gamma^{-1} = 1/\Gamma(z)$, is an entire function of the complex variable z . Then the image of $\Gamma^{-1}(z)$ acting on A , denoted by $\Gamma^{-1}(A)$ is a well defined matrix. Furthermore, if

$$A + nI \text{ is invertible for every integer } n \geq 0, \tag{4}$$

then $\Gamma(A)$ is invertible, its inverse coincides with $\Gamma^{-1}(A)$, and one gets the formula

$$A(A + I) \cdots (A + (n - 1)I)\Gamma^{-1}(A + nI) = \Gamma^{-1}(A), \quad n \geq 1, \tag{5}$$

see [10, p. 253]. Under condition (4), by (3), Eq. (5) can be written in the form

$$A(A + I) \cdots (A + (n - 1)I) = \Gamma(A + nI)\Gamma^{-1}(A), \quad n \geq 1. \tag{6}$$

Taking into account the Pochhammer symbol or shifted factorial defined by

$$(z)_n = z(z + 1) \cdots (z + n - 1), \quad n \geq 1, \quad (z)_0 = 1,$$

by application of the matrix functional calculus to this function, for any matrix A in $C^{r \times r}$ one gets

$$(A)_n = A(A + I) \cdots (A + (n - 1)I), \quad n \geq 1, \quad (A)_0 = I. \tag{7}$$

Taking into account the Schur decomposition of A , by [8, pp. 192–193] it follows that

$$\|e^{tA}\| \leq e^{t\alpha(A)} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{(\|A\|r^{1/2}t)^k}{k!}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (8)$$

2. On the beta and gamma matrix functions

Beta and gamma matrix functions are frequent in statistics [1, 11], Lie groups theory [11] and in the solution of matrix differential equations [12–14]. Beta function of two diagonal matrix arguments has been used in [1], and in [13] for the case where one of the two matrix arguments is a scalar multiple of the identity matrix.

In this section we address the extension to the matrix framework formula (1) that will be used in Section 4 to obtain an integral representation of the hypergeometric matrix function. For the sake of clarity in the presentation we state the following result recently proved in [16].

Theorem 1 (Jódar and Cortés [16]). *If M is a positive stable matrix in $C^{r \times r}$ and $n \geq 1$ is an integer, then*

$$\Gamma(M) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n-1)! (M)_n^{-1} n^M.$$

In accordance with [16], if P and Q are positive stable matrices in $C^{r \times r}$ the beta function is well defined by

$$B(P, Q) = \int_0^1 t^{P-I} (1-t)^{Q-I} dt. \quad (9)$$

Hence one gets that if P and Q are commuting positive stable matrices then $B(P, Q) = B(Q, P)$, and commutativity is a necessary condition for the symmetry of the beta function, see [16].

Lemma 1. *Let P, Q be positive stable matrices in $C^{r \times r}$ such that $PQ = QP$ and satisfy the condition*

$$P + Q + mI \quad \text{is invertible for all integer } m \geq 0. \quad (10)$$

If $n \geq 0$ is an integer, then the following identities hold:

- (i) $B(P, Q + nI) = (P + Q)_n^{-1} (Q)_n B(P, Q)$,
- (ii) $B(P + nI, Q + nI) = (P)_n (Q)_n (P + Q)_{2n}^{-1} B(P, Q)$.

Proof. (i) For $n=0$ the equality is evident. Using that $PQ = QP$ and (9) for $m \geq 1$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} B(P, Q + mI) &= \int_0^1 t^{P-I} (1-t)^{Q+(m-1)I} dt = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} t^{P-I} (1-t)^{Q+(m-1)I} dt \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} t^{P+Q+(m-2)I} (1-t)^{Q+(m-1)I} t^{-(Q+(m-1)I)} dt = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} u(t) v'(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u(t) = (1 - t)^{Q+(m-1)I} t^{-(Q+(m-1)I)} t^P; \quad v'(t) = t^{P+Q+(m-2)I}.$$

Integrating by parts in the last integral one gets

$$\begin{aligned} B(P, Q + mI) &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} [(P + Q + (m - 1)I)^{-1} (1 - t)^{Q+(m-1)I} t^P]_{t=\delta}^{t=1-\delta} \\ &\quad + \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} (P + Q + (m - 1)I)^{-1} \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} \{(Q + (m - 1)I)(1 - t)^{Q+(m-2)I} t^P \\ &\quad + (Q + (m - 1)I)(1 - t)^{Q+(m-1)I} t^{P-1}\} dt \\ &= (P + Q + (m - 1)I)^{-1} (Q + (m - 1)I) \int_0^1 t^{P-1} (1 - t)^{Q+(m-2)I} dt \\ &= (P + Q + (m - 1)I)^{-1} (Q + (m - 1)I) B(P, Q + (m - 1)I). \end{aligned}$$

Hence using an induction argument part (i) is established. In order to prove (ii) let us apply (i) taking $\hat{P} = P + nI, n \geq 1$. By (i) it follows that

$$B(\hat{P}, Q + nI) = (\hat{P} + Q)_n^{-1} (Q)_n B(\hat{P}, Q). \tag{11}$$

Since $PQ = QP$ we also have $\hat{P}Q = Q\hat{P}$ and $B(\hat{P}, Q) = B(Q, \hat{P})$. By (11) it follows that

$$B(\hat{P}, Q + nI) = (\hat{P} + Q)_n^{-1} (Q)_n B(Q, \hat{P}). \tag{12}$$

By (i) we have

$$B(Q, P + nI) = (Q + P)_n^{-1} (P)_n B(Q, P) = (Q + P)_n^{-1} (P)_n B(P, Q). \tag{13}$$

By (12) and (13) one gets

$$B(P + nI, Q + nI) = B(\hat{P}, Q + nI) = (P + Q + nI)_n^{-1} (Q)_n (Q + P)_n^{-1} (P)_n B(P, Q).$$

By definition $(P + Q + nI)_n (P + Q)_n = (P + Q)_{2n}$ and by the last expression it follows that

$$B(P + nI, Q + nI) = (P + Q)_{2n}^{-1} (P)_n (Q)_n B(P, Q).$$

Hence the result is established. \square

Part (ii) of Lemma 1 permits to extend the definition of the beta function of two not necessarily positive stable matrix arguments.

Definition 1. Let P, Q be commuting matrices in $C^{r \times r}$ such that for all integer $n \geq 0$ one satisfies the condition

$$P + nI, \quad Q + nI \quad \text{and} \quad P + Q + nI \quad \text{are invertible.} \tag{14}$$

Let $\alpha(P, Q) = \min\{\alpha(P), \alpha(Q), \alpha(P + Q)\}$ and let $n_0 = n_0(P, Q) = [|\alpha(P, Q)|] + 1$, where $[\]$ denotes the entire part function. Then we define $B(P, Q)$ by the formula

$$B(P, Q) = (P)_{n_0}^{-1} (Q)_{n_0}^{-1} (P + Q)_{2n_0} B(P + n_0I, Q + n_0I). \tag{15}$$

Remark 1. Note that previous definition agrees with the one given in [16], because by Lemma 1 formula (15) holds true for the case where P and Q are positive stable matrices.

Lemma 2. Let \hat{P}, \hat{Q} be commuting matrices in $C^{r \times r}$ such that \hat{P}, \hat{Q} and $\hat{P} + \hat{Q}$ are positive stable. Then

$$B(\hat{P}, \hat{Q}) = \Gamma(\hat{P})\Gamma(\hat{Q})\Gamma^{-1}(\hat{P} + \hat{Q}).$$

Proof. By the hypothesis of positive stability of \hat{P}, \hat{Q} and the commutativity $\hat{P}\hat{Q} = \hat{Q}\hat{P}$ we can write

$$\Gamma(\hat{P})\Gamma(\hat{Q}) = \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{\hat{P}-I} du \right) \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-v} v^{\hat{Q}-I} dv \right) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{\hat{P}-I} e^{-v} v^{\hat{Q}-I} du dv.$$

Considering the change of variables $x = u/(u + v), y = u + v$ in the above integral, the positive stability of $\hat{P} + \hat{Q}$ one gets $J(x, y) = y$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\hat{P})\Gamma(\hat{Q}) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 e^{-xy} (xy)^{\hat{P}-I} e^{-y(1-x)} [y(1-x)]^{\hat{Q}-I} y dx dy \\ &= \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-y} y^{\hat{P}+\hat{Q}-I} dy \right) \left(\int_0^1 x^{\hat{P}-I} (1-x)^{\hat{Q}-I} dx \right) \\ &= \Gamma(\hat{P} + \hat{Q})B(\hat{P}, \hat{Q}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. Let P, Q be commuting matrices in $C^{r \times r}$ satisfying the condition (14) for all integer $n \geq 0$. Then

$$B(P, Q) = \Gamma(P)\Gamma(Q)\Gamma^{-1}(P + Q).$$

Proof. Let $n_0 = n_0(P, Q)$ be defined as in Definition 1 so that

$$B(P, Q) = (P)_{n_0}^{-1} (Q)_{n_0}^{-1} (P + Q)_{2n_0} B(P + n_0I, Q + n_0I),$$

where $P + n_0I$ and $Q + n_0I$ are positive stable. By (5) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(P) &= \Gamma(P + n_0I)(P + (n_0 - 1)I)^{-1} \dots (P + I)^{-1} P^{-1}, \\ \Gamma(Q) &= \Gamma(Q + n_0I)(Q + (n_0 - 1)I)^{-1} \dots (Q + I)^{-1} Q^{-1}, \\ \Gamma(P + Q) &= \Gamma(P + Q + 2n_0I)(P + Q + (2n_0 - 1)I)^{-1} \dots (P + Q + I)^{-1} (P + Q)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

As $PQ = QP$ from the last equalities it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma(P)\Gamma(Q)\Gamma^{-1}(P + Q) \\ &= \Gamma(P + n_0I)\Gamma(Q + n_0I)\Gamma^{-1}(P + Q + 2n_0I)(P + (n_0 - 1)I)^{-1} \dots \\ &\quad (P + I)^{-1} P^{-1} (Q + (n_0 - 1)I)^{-1} \dots (Q + I)^{-1} Q^{-1} \\ &\quad \times (P + Q + (2n_0 - 1)I) \dots (P + Q + I)(P + Q) \\ &= \Gamma(P + n_0I)\Gamma(Q + n_0I)\Gamma^{-1}(P + Q + 2n_0I)(P)_{n_0}^{-1} (Q)_{n_0}^{-1} (P + Q)_{2n_0}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Since $P + Q + 2n_0I$, $P + n_0I$ and $Q + n_0I$ are positive stable matrices, by Lemma 2 one gets

$$\Gamma(P + n_0I)\Gamma(Q + n_0I)\Gamma^{-1}(P + Q + 2n_0I) = B(P + n_0I, Q + n_0I) \quad (17)$$

and by Lemma 1(ii) one gets

$$B(P + n_0I, Q + n_0I) = (P)_{n_0}(Q)_{n_0}(P + Q)_{2n_0}^{-1}B(P, Q). \quad (18)$$

By (16)–(18) it follows that

$$\Gamma(P)\Gamma(Q)\Gamma^{-1}(P + Q) = B(P, Q). \quad \square$$

3. On the hypergeometric matrix function

Hypergeometric matrix function ${}_0F_1(-; A, z)$ has been recently introduced in [15] in connection with Laguerre matrix polynomials and in this section we deal with the hypergeometric matrix function $F(A, B; C; z)$ that is defined by

$$F(A, B; C; z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(A)_n (B)_n (C)_n^{-1}}{n!} z^n \quad (19)$$

for matrices A, B, C in $C^{r \times r}$ such that

$$C + nI \text{ is invertible for all integer } n \geq 0. \quad (20)$$

In an analogous way to [15] it is easy prove the convergence of (19) for $|z| < 1$. Now we study the conditions so that $F(A, B; C; z)$ converges for $|z| = 1$.

Theorem 3. Let A, B, C be positive stable matrices in $C^{r \times r}$ such that

$$\beta(C) > \alpha(A) + \alpha(B). \quad (21)$$

Then the series (19) is absolutely convergent for $|z| = 1$.

Proof. By hypothesis (21), there exists a positive number δ such that

$$\beta(C) - \alpha(A) - \alpha(B) = 2\delta. \quad (22)$$

Let us write

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{1+\delta} \left(\frac{1}{n!} (A)_n (B)_n (C)_n^{-1} \right) \\ &= \frac{n^{1+\delta}}{n!} \cdot \frac{(n-1)! n^A n^{-A} (A)_n}{(n-1)!} \cdot \frac{(n-1)! n^B n^{-B} (B)_n}{(n-1)!} \cdot (C)_n^{-1} n^C n^{-C} \\ &= \frac{n^{1+\delta}}{n} \left(\frac{n^{-A} (A)_n}{(n-1)!} \right) n^A \left(\frac{n^{-B} (B)_n}{(n-1)!} \right) n^B ((n-1)! (C)_n^{-1} n^C) n^{-C}. \end{aligned}$$

Or

$$n^{1+\delta} \left(\frac{(A)_n (B)_n (C)_n^{-1}}{n!} \right) = n^\delta \left(\frac{n^{-A} (A)_n}{(n-1)!} \right) n^A \left(\frac{n^{-B} (B)_n}{(n-1)!} \right) n^B ((n-1)! (C)_n^{-1} n^C) n^{-C}. \tag{23}$$

By (8), taking into account that $\alpha(-C) = -\beta(C)$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \|n^A\| \|n^B\| \|n^{-C}\| \\ & \leq n^{\alpha(A)+\alpha(B)-\beta(C)} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{(\|A\| r^{1/2} \ln n)^j}{j!} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{(\|B\| r^{1/2} \ln n)^j}{j!} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{(\|C\| r^{1/2} \ln n)^j}{j!} \right\} \\ & \leq n^{-2\delta} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{(\max\{\|A\|, \|B\|, \|C\|\} r^{1/2} \ln n)^j}{j!} \right\}^3. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

By (22)–(24) and Theorem 1, for $|z| = 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1+\delta} \left\| \frac{(A)_n (B)_n (C)_n^{-1} z^n}{n!} \right\| \\ & \leq n^\delta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{n^{-A} (A)_n}{(n-1)!} \right\| \|n^A\| \left\| \frac{n^{-B} (B)_n}{(n-1)!} \right\| \|n^B\| \|(n-1)! (C)_n^{-1} n^C\| \|n^{-C}\| \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Gamma^{-1}(A)\| \|\Gamma^{-1}(B)\| \|\Gamma(C)\| n^{-\delta} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{(r^{1/2} \max\{\|A\|, \|B\|, \|C\|\})^j}{j!} (\ln n)^j \right\}^3 \\ & = \|\Gamma^{-1}(A)\| \|\Gamma^{-1}(B)\| \|\Gamma(C)\| \cdot 0 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-\delta} (\ln n)^k = 0, \text{ for } k \geq 0 \text{ integer.}$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1+\delta} \left\| \frac{(A)_n (B)_n (C)_n^{-1} z^n}{n!} \right\| = 0, \quad |z| = 1,$$

by the comparison theorem of numerical series of positive numbers one concludes the absolute convergence of series (19). \square

We conclude this section showing that under certain conditions $F(A, B; C; z)$ satisfies a matrix differential equation of bilateral type.

Theorem 4. *Suppose that C is a matrix in $C^{r \times r}$ satisfying (20) and $CB = BC$. Then $F(A, B; C; z)$ is the solution of*

$$z(1-z)W'' - zAW' + W'(C - z(B+I)) - AWB = 0, \quad 0 \leq |z| < 1 \tag{25}$$

satisfying $F(A, B; C; 0) = I$.

Proof. By the hypothesis $CB = BC$ we can write

$$F_n = \frac{(A)_n (B)_n (C)_n^{-1}}{n!} = \frac{(A)_n (C)_n^{-1} (B)_n}{n!}; \quad W(z) = F(A, B; C; z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} F_n z^n, \quad |z| < 1. \quad (26)$$

Since $W(z)$ is a power series convergent for $|z| < 1$, it is termwise differentiable in this domain and

$$W'(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n F_n z^{n-1}, \quad W''(z) = \sum_{n \geq 2} n(n-1) F_n z^{n-2}, \quad |z| < 1.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & z(1-z)W''(z) - zA W'(z) + W'(z)(C - z(B+I)) - A W(z) B \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 2} n(n-1) F_n z^{n-1} - \sum_{n \geq 2} n(n-1) F_n z^n - A \sum_{n \geq 1} n F_n z^n + \sum_{n \geq 1} n F_n C z^{n-1} \\ &\quad - \sum_{n \geq 1} n F_n (B+I) z^n - \sum_{n \geq 0} A F_n B z^n \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 2} \{n(n+1) F_{n+1} - n(n-1) F_n - n A F_n + (n+1) F_{n+1} C - n F_n (B+I) - A F_n B\} z^n \\ &\quad + 2F_2 z - A F_1 z + F_1 C + 2F_2 C z - F_1 (B+I) z - A F_0 B - A F_1 B z = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By equating the coefficients of each power z^n and taking into account that $F_0 = I$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned} z^0: & \quad F_1 C - A B = 0, \\ z^1: & \quad 2F_2 - A F_1 + 2F_2 C - F_1 (B+I) - A F_1 B = 2F_2 (I+C) - A F_1 (I+B) - F_1 (B+I) = 0, \\ & \quad \vdots \\ z^n: & \quad n(n+1) F_{n+1} - n(n+1) F_n - n A F_n - n F_n B - n F_n + (n+1) F_{n+1} C - A F_n B \\ & \quad = F_{n+1} (n+1)(nI+C) - (A+nI) F_n (B+nI) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because from (26) one gets

$$F_{n+1} = \frac{(A+nI) F_n (B+nI) (C+nI)^{-1}}{n+1}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Hence $W(z) = F(A, B; C; z)$ is the only solution of (25) satisfying $W(0) = I$, [2, p. 287]. \square

Corollary 1. Let C be a matrix in $C^{r \times r}$ satisfying (20), let A be an arbitrary matrix in $C^{r \times r}$ and let n be a positive integer. Then equation

$$z(1-z)W'' - zAW' + W'(C + z(n-1)I) + nAW = 0 \quad (27)$$

has matrix polynomial solutions of degree n .

Proof. Let $B = -nI$. By Theorem 4 the function $W(z) = F(A, -nI; C; z)$ satisfies Eq. (25) for $B = -nI$, but $(B)_{n+j} = 0$ for $j \geq 1$.

Hence

$$W(z) = F(A, -nI; C; z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(A)_k (-nI)_k (C)_k^{-1}}{k!} z^k$$

is a matrix polynomial solution of degree n of Eq. (27). \square

4. An integral representation

We begin this section considering the binomial function of a matrix exponent. If y is a complex number with $|y| < 1$ and b is a complex number then $(1 - y)^b = \exp(b \log(1 - y))$, where Log is the principal branch of the logarithm function, see [20, p. 72]. The Taylor expansion of $(1 - y)^{-a}$ about $y = 0$ is given by [22, p. 73]

$$(1 - y)^{-a} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(a)_n}{n!} y^n, \quad |y| < 1, \quad a \in C. \quad (28)$$

Now we consider the function of complex variable a defined by (28). Let $f_n(a)$ be the function defined by

$$f_n(a) = \frac{(a)_n}{n!} y^n = \frac{a(a+1) \cdots (a+n-1)}{n!} y^n, \quad a \in C, \quad (29)$$

for a fixed complex number y with $|y| < 1$. It is clear that f_n is an holomorphic function of variable a defined in the complex plane. Given a closed bounded disc $D_R = \{a \in C: |a| \leq R\}$, one gets

$$|f_n(a)| \leq \frac{(|a|)_n |y|^n}{n!} \leq \frac{(R)_n |y|^n}{n!}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad |a| \leq R.$$

Since

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(R)_n |y|^n}{n!} < +\infty,$$

by the Weierstrass theorem for the convergence of holomorphic functions [20] it follows that

$$g(a) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(a)_n}{n!} y^n = (1 - y)^{-a}$$

is holomorphic in C . By application of the holomorphic functional calculus, [3], for any matrix A in $C^{r \times r}$, the image of g by this functional calculus, acting on A yields

$$(1 - y)^{-A} = g(A) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(A)_n}{n!} y^n, \quad |y| < 1, \quad (30)$$

where $(A)_n$ is given by (7).

Suppose that B and C are matrices in $C^{r \times r}$ such that

$$BC = CB, \quad (31)$$

$$C, B \text{ and } C - B \text{ are positive stable.} \quad (32)$$

By (5), (7) and (32) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} (B)_n (C)_n^{-1} &= \Gamma^{-1}(B) \Gamma(B + nI) \Gamma^{-1}(C) \Gamma(C + nI)^{-1} \\ &= \Gamma^{-1}(B) \Gamma(B + nI) \Gamma^{-1}(C + nI) \Gamma(C) \\ &= \Gamma^{-1}(B) \Gamma^{-1}(C - B) \Gamma(C - B) \Gamma(B + nI) \Gamma^{-1}(C + nI) \Gamma(C). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

By (32) and Lemma 2 it follows that

$$\int_0^1 t^{B+(n-1)I} (1-t)^{C-B-I} dt = B(B+nI, C-B) = \Gamma(C-B) \Gamma(B+nI) \Gamma^{-1}(C+nI), \quad (34)$$

and by (33)–(34) one gets

$$(B)_n (C)_n^{-1} = \Gamma^{-1}(B) \Gamma^{-1}(C - B) \left(\int_0^1 t^{B+(n-1)I} (1-t)^{C-B-I} dt \right) \Gamma(C). \quad (35)$$

Hence, for $|z| < 1$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} F(A, B; C; z) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(A)_n (B)_n (C)_n^{-1}}{n!} z^n \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(A)_n \Gamma^{-1}(B) \Gamma^{-1}(C - B)}{n!} \left(\int_0^1 t^{B+(n-1)I} (1-t)^{C-B-I} dt \right) \Gamma(C) z^n \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{(A)_n \Gamma^{-1}(B) \Gamma^{-1}(C - B) t^{B+(n-1)I} (1-t)^{C-B-I} \Gamma(C) z^n}{n!} dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Now we are interested in the permutation of the series and the integral in the last expression. To legitimate this operation let us consider the sequence of matrix functions defined by

$$S_n(t) = \frac{(A)_n \Gamma^{-1}(B) \Gamma^{-1}(C - B) t^{B+(n-1)I} (1-t)^{C-B-I} \Gamma(C) z^n}{n!}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

and note that for $0 < t < 1$ and $n \geq 0$ one gets

$$\|S_n(t)\| \leq \frac{(\|A\|)_n \|\Gamma^{-1}(B)\| \|\Gamma^{-1}(C - B)\| \|\Gamma(C)\| \|t^{B-I}\| \|(1-t)^{C-B-I}\| \|z\|^n}{n!}. \quad (37)$$

By (8) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|t^{B-I}\| \|(1-t)^{C-B-I}\| \\ & \leq t^{\alpha(B)-1} (1-t)^{\alpha(C-B)-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{(\|B-I\| r^{1/2} \ln t)^j}{j!} \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{(\|C-B-I\| r^{1/2} \ln t)^j}{j!} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and taking into account that for $0 < t < 1$ one gets $\ln t < t < 1$ and $\ln(1-t) < 1-t < 1$, from the above expression one gets

$$\|t^{B-I}\| \|(1-t)^{C-B-I}\| \leq A t^{\alpha(B)-1} (1-t)^{\alpha(C-B)-1}, \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{38}$$

where

$$A = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \frac{(\|B-I\| r^{1/2})^j}{j!} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \frac{(\|C-B-I\| r^{1/2})^j}{j!} \right). \tag{39}$$

Let S be the sum of the convergent numerical series

$$S = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(\|A\|)_n |z|^n}{n!}, \quad |z| < 1 \tag{40}$$

and note that by (37)–(40) one gets

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \|S_n(t)\| \leq \varphi(t) = LAS t^{\alpha(B)-1} (1-t)^{\alpha(C-B)-1}, \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{41}$$

where

$$L = \|\Gamma^{-1}(B)\| \|\Gamma^{-1}(C-B)\| \|\Gamma(C)\|.$$

Since $\alpha(B) > 0$, $\alpha(C-B) > 0$, the function $\varphi(t) = LAS t^{\alpha(B)-1} (1-t)^{\alpha(C-B)-1}$ is integrable and

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(t) dt = LASB(\alpha(B), \alpha(C-B)).$$

By the dominated convergence theorem [7, p. 83], the series and the integral can be permuted in (36) and using (31) we can write

$$F(A; B; C; z) = \int_0^1 \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\frac{(A)_n (tz)^n}{n!} \right) t^{B-I} (1-t)^{C-B-I} \right\} dt \Gamma^{-1}(B) \Gamma^{-1}(C-B) \Gamma(C). \tag{42}$$

Now by (30) one gets

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(A)_n (tz)^n}{n!} = (1-tz)^{-A}, \quad |z| < 1, \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{43}$$

and (42) takes the form

$$F(A, B; C; z) = \int_0^1 (1-tz)^{-A} t^{B-I} (1-t)^{C-B-I} dt \Gamma^{-1}(B) \Gamma^{-1}(C-B) \Gamma(C). \tag{44}$$

Summarizing, the following result has been established:

Theorem 5. Let A, B and C be matrices in $C^{r \times r}$ such that $CB = BC$ and $C, B, C - B$ are positive stable. Then for $|z| < 1$ it follows that

$$F(A, B; C; z) = \left(\int_0^1 (1 - tz)^{-A} t^{B-I} (1 - t)^{C-B-I} dt \right) \Gamma^{-1}(B) \Gamma^{-1}(C - B) \Gamma(C). \quad (45)$$

The following corollary is a consequence of Theorem 5.

Corollary 2. Let A, B and C be matrices in $C^{r \times r}$ and let $\hat{\alpha}(B, C) = \min\{\alpha(B), \alpha(C), \alpha(C - B)\}$ and $n_1 = n_1(B, C) = [\hat{\alpha}(B, C)] + 1$, where $[]$ denotes the entire part function. Suppose that $BC = CB$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(B) &\subset C \sim \{-n; n \geq n_1, n \text{ integer}\}, \\ \sigma(C - B) &\subset C \sim \{-n; n \geq n_1, n \text{ integer}\}, \\ \sigma(C) &\subset C \sim \{-2n; n \geq n_1, n \text{ integer}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Then for $|z| < 1$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned} &F(A, B + n_1 I; C + 2n_1 I; z) \\ &= \left[\int_0^1 (1 - tz)^{-A} t^{B+(n_1-1)I} (1 - t)^{C-B+(n_1-1)I} dt \right] \Gamma^{-1}(B + n_1 I) \Gamma^{-1}(C - B + n_1 I) \Gamma(C + 2n_1 I). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider matrices $A, \hat{B} = B + n_1 I, \hat{C} = C + 2n_1 I$ and note that \hat{C}, \hat{B} and $\hat{C} - \hat{B} = C - B + n_1 I$ are both positive stable. The result is now a consequence of Theorem 5. \square

Acknowledgements

This work has been partially supported by the D.G.I.C.Y.T. grant PB96-1321-C02-02 and the Generalitat Valenciana grant GV-C-CN-1005796.

References

- [1] A.G. Constantine, R.J. Muirhead, Partial differential equations for hypergeometric functions of two argument matrix, *J. Multivariate Anal.* 3 (1972) 332–338.
- [2] J. Dieudonné, *Foundations of Modern Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1960.
- [3] N. Dunford, J. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, vol. I, Interscience, New York, 1957.
- [4] A.J. Durán, W. Van Assche, Orthogonal matrix polynomials and higher order recurrence relations, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 219 (1995) 261–280.
- [5] A.J. Durán, Markov theorem for orthogonal matrix polynomials, *Can. J. Math.* 48 (1996) 1180–1195.
- [6] A.J. Durán, P. López-Rodríguez, Orthogonal matrix polynomials: zeros and Blumenthal's theorem, *J. Approx. Theory* 84 (1996) 96–118.

- [7] G.B. Folland, *Fourier Analysis and Its Applications*, Wadsworth & Brooks, Pacific Grove, CA, 1992.
- [8] G. Golub, C.F. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, The Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MA, 1989.
- [9] C.S. Herz, Bessel functions of matrix argument, *Ann. Math.* 61 (1955) 474–523.
- [10] E. Hille, *Lectures on Ordinary Differential Equations*, Addison-Wesley, New York, 1969.
- [11] A.T. James, Special functions of matrix and single argument in statistics, in: *Theory and Applications of Special Functions*, R.A. Askey (Ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1975, pp. 497–520.
- [12] L. Jódar, R. Company, E. Navarro, Laguerre matrix polynomials and systems of second order differential equations, *Appl. Numer. Math.* 15 (1994) 53–63.
- [13] L. Jódar, R. Company, E. Ponsoda, Orthogonal matrix polynomials and systems of second order differential equations, *Diff. Equations Dynam. Systems* 3(3) (1995) 269–288.
- [14] L. Jódar, R. Company, Hermite matrix polynomials and second order matrix differential equations, *Approx. Theory Appl.* 12(2) (1996) 20–30.
- [15] L. Jódar, J. Sastre, On the Laguerre matrix polynomials, *Utilitas Math.* 53 (1998) 37–48.
- [16] L. Jódar, J.C. Cortés, Some properties of gamma and beta functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 11(1) (1998) 89–93.
- [17] N.N. Lebedev, *Special Functions and their Applications*, Dover, New York, 1972.
- [18] Y.L. Luke, *The Special Functions and their Applications*, 2 vols., Academic Press, New York, 1969.
- [19] E.D. Rainville, *Special Functions*, Chelsea, New York, 1960.
- [20] S. Saks, A. Zygmund, *Analytic Functions*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1971.
- [21] J.B. Seaborn, *Hypergeometric Functions and their Applications*, Springer, New York, 1991.
- [22] N.M. Temme, *Special Functions*, Wiley, New York, 1996.