# A novel invertebrate GABAA receptor-like polypeptide

# Sequence and pattern of gene expression

# Michael L. Hutton<sup>a,\*</sup>, Robert J. Harvey<sup>a,\*\*</sup>, Fergus G.P. Earley<sup>b</sup>, Eric A. Barnard<sup>a,\*\*\*</sup> and Mark G. Darlison<sup>a,\*\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>MRC Molecular Neurobiology Unit, MRC Centre, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 2QH, UK and <sup>b</sup>ICI Agrochemicals, Jealott's Hill, Research Station, Jealott's Hill, Bracknell, Berkshire RG12 6EY, UK

### Received 12 May 1993

A full-length complementary DNA has been isolated, from the fresh-water mollusc Lymnaea stagnalis, that encodes a polypeptide (which we have named  $\zeta$ ) that exhibits between 30% and 40% identity to vertebrate GABA<sub>A</sub> and glycine receptor subunit sequences. The locations of seven introns have been determined in the corresponding gene and six of these occur at similar relative positions as those found in vertebrate GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor genes. RNase protection studies have revealed that the transcript for this Lymnaean polypeptide is present at highest levels in the adult nervous system but that it can also be detected in peripheral tissues.

cDNA cloning; GABAA receptor-like subunit; Gene organization; Invertebrate ligand-gated ion channel; Lymnaea stagnalis; RNase protection

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Electrophysiological studies on identified molluscan neurons [1,2] have strongly suggested that  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) can bind to, and cause the opening of, chloride-ion channels that are similar to the wellcharacterized vertebrate GABA type A (GABA<sub>A</sub>) receptors. In support of this proposal, we have recently described [3] the cloning, from the fresh-water snail *Lymnaea stagnalis*, of a complementary DNA (cDNA) that encodes a GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-like subunit. The predicted amino-acid sequence of this polypeptide displays significantly greater identity to  $\beta$  subunits (~ 50%) than to any other subunit type of the vertebrate brain GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor. Functional expression of the mollus-

*Correspondence address:* M.G. Darlison, Institut für Zellbiochemie und klinische Neurobiologie, Universitäts-Krankenhaus Eppendorf, Universität Hamburg, Martinistrasse 52, 20246 Hamburg, Germany. Fax: (49) (40) 4717 4541.

\*Present address: AFRC Laboratory of Molecular Signalling, Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EJ, UK.

\*\*Present address: Institut für Zellbiochemie und klinische Neurobiologie, Universitäts-Krankenhaus Eppendorf, Universität Hamburg, Martinistrasse 52, 20246 Hamburg, Germany.

\*\*\*Present address: Molecular Neurobiology Unit, Division of Basic Medical Sciences, Royal Free Hospital School of Medicine, Rowland Hill Street, London NW3 2PF, UK.

Abbreviations: cDNA, complementary DNA; GABA,  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; RNase, ribonuclease.

can cDNA alone, in *Xenopus* oocytes, results in the formation of GABA-activated, bicuculline- and picrotoxin-sensitive chloride-ion channels. Furthermore, the molluscan polypeptide is able to functionally replace vertebrate  $\beta$  subunits in GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors containing, in addition, the bovine  $\alpha$ 1 subunit [3]. This latter observation strongly suggests that Lymnaean GABA-gated chloride channels exist in vivo as hetero-oligomers. We have, therefore, begun to isolate cDNAs that encode additional Lymnaean GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-like subunits. This report describes the isolation of a full-length cDNA, and genomic sequences, for one such molluscan polypeptide. We also present data on the developmental and tissue-specific expression of the corresponding gene.

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Isolation of a molluscan full-length GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-like cDNA Five degenerate oligonucleotides: D1<sub>a</sub> (5'-ATTGTCGACTITCX-TGGGTX(A/T)(C/G)XTT(C/T)TGG-3'; where X = G, A, T and C, and I = inosine),  $D1_b$  (5'-ATT<u>GTCGAC</u>TIAG(C/T)TGGGTX(A/ T)(C/G)XTT(C/T)TGG-3'), D3a (5'-ACGGTCGACGCIA(A/G)(A/ G)AAIAC(A/G)AAX(A/G)C(A/G)(A/T)A $\overline{(A/G)CA-3'}$ ), D3<sub>b</sub> (5'-AC-GGTCGACGCIGC(A/G)AAIAC(A/G)AAX(A/G)C(A/G)(A/T)A (A/G)CA-3') and D3<sub>c</sub> (5'-ACGGTCGACGCI(C/G)(A/T)(A/G)AAI-AC(A/G)AAX(A/G)C(A/G)(A/T)A(A/G)CA-3'), which recognize DNA sequences that encode parts of the first (primers  $Dl_a$  and  $Dl_b$ ) and third (primers D3<sub>a</sub>, D3<sub>b</sub> and D3<sub>c</sub>) membrane-spanning domains of GABAA receptor subunits, respectively, were used to amplify firststrand cDNA synthesized from L. stagnalis egg-mass (mixed-stage) poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA using oligonucleotide  $R_0R_1dT_{17}$  [3] as primer. Six separate polymerase chain reactions (PCRs), each using one D1 and one D3 primer, were performed; these contained (in 50  $\mu$ l): 50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9 at 25°C), 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 200 µM each dNTP,

0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 0.01% (w/v) gelatin, ~ 20 ng of first-strand cDNA, 25 pmol of each primer, and 2.5 units of *Thermus aquaticus* DNA polymerase (Promega). Amplification was for 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 min (denaturation), 55°C for 1 min (annealing), and 72°C for 1 min (extension), with a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The products of the six reactions were pooled, and DNA fragments of ~ 200 bp in size were cloned into M13mp19, using the *Sal*I site (underlined) that was incorporated into the 5' end of each primer, and sequenced. Three novel cDNAs were isolated and the sequence of one of these was used to design oligonucleotides (GRS1–GRS3) that were employed to generate corresponding full-length cDNAs (see below). The 3' end of the cDNA was amplified using the RACE technique

[4]. Briefly, first-stage PCR was performed with  $R_oR_idT_{17}$ -primed eggmass first-strand cDNA as template and primers  $R_o$  [3], which corresponds to part of  $R_oR_idT_{17}$ , and GRS1 (5'-AGTGCCTGGAATTC-TGTCGACGGGACTGCTCACGGTGCTGACCATG-3'). Reaction conditions were as above except that 1 nmol of T4 gene 32 protein (United States Biochemical) was included, and amplification was for 40 cycles of 94°C for 1 min (denaturation) and 72°C for 3 min (annealing and extension), with a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. For second-stage PCR, primers  $R_i$  [3], which also corresponds to part of  $R_oR_idT_{17}$ , and GRS2 (5'-ACCCAAAGGTCGAC<u>TCTAGA</u>TGCT-GCCCTGCCCAGGGTTTCCTAC-3') were used. Reaction conditions were as described for the first-stage amplification except that 2

-46	MSDSKMDKLARMAPLPRTPLLTIWLAINMALIAQETGHKRIHTVQA	Dros Rdl
-23	MWGIIVPFFSASLMCSLVAVVRC	Lym β
-19	MLELIRHLCLLLVTISVLA	Lym ζ
1	ATGGGSMLGDVNISAILDSFSVSYDKRVRPNYGG.PPVEVGVTMYVLSISSVSEVLMDFTLDFYFRQF	Dros Rdl
1	.QQDTDHFANVTNTIDSLLKGYDIRLRPSFGG.APLEIGIEVILASFDSISEVDMDYTITMYLNQY	Lym β
1	.TDESFKQRSEILTNIVRLAHDTYDDLKTAPPSYDKLEPARIQVLLYVSSIDAVNEASMDFTVGILLHLR	Lym ζ
68	WITEPRLAYRKRPGVETLSVGSEFIKNIWVPETFFVNERQSYFHIATTSNEFIRVHHSGSITR	Dros Rdl
65	WREERLQFIFNESLELGENRSVITMILTGAFAEKIWVPETFLANDKNSFLHEITEKNKMVRLYGNGSLVY	Lym β
70	WITETRIYHEKAHNLFLQSKLQSLEFESENIKKVWVPEIFFPNEKKGSFHEIMTQNQMMRLYQGGTILY	Lym ζ
130	SIRLTITASCPMNLQYFPMDRQLCHIEIESFGYTMRDIRYFWRDGLSSVGMSSEVELPQ	Dros Rdl
135	GMRFTTTLACMMDLHNYPLDHQECTVEIESYGYTMDDIVLYWLNDRGAVTGVEDVSLPQ	Lym β
138	ISRLSMTLSCPMDLINYPFDKQTCHILIMSFGYSDQDLVLDWMNLTTADDLTMNPDGKAIVVDSEVULPQ	Lym ζ
189	FRVLGHRQRATEINLTTGNYSRLACEIQFVRSMGYYLIQIYIPSGLIVVISWVSFWLNRNATPARVAL	Dros Rdl
194	FSITNYATINKIEELSTGDYQRLSLIFQLQRNIGYFIFQTYLPSILIVMLSWVSFWINHEATSARVAL	Lym β
208	FEVKSVIPSFCNRRYHQKAGNHSCIQAEFHLARNIGFYIVQMYIPSMLIVMLSWISFWLTVNSVPGRVSL	Lym ζ
257	GVTTVLTMTTLMSSTNAALPKISYVKSIDVYLGTGFVMVFASLLEYATVGYMAKRIQMRKQRFMAIQKIA	Dros Rdl
262	GITTVLTMTTISNGVRSSLPRISYVKAIDIYLVMOFVFVFAALLEYAAVNY.TYWGARAKRKAKRLRERA	Lym β
278	GLUTVLTMTTQSSSVNAALPRVSYTKAIDVWMSTGLVFVFAALLEFAVVNVLSRK	Lym ζ
327	EQKKQQLDGANQQQANPNPNANVGGPGGVGVGPGGPGGPGGGVNVGVGMGMGPEHGHGHGHHAHSHGHPH	Dros Rdl
331	TSVRKRVDDGDQMNNTNMDTVELKEVHMVPTSVGVTNSQSFNLDLDDGSGDDTGFRVVPP	Lym β
333	ESISGFSLKNVFTLPKDTDKEDGPLNMAEMTVPLDGFHEAEAQ	Lym ζ
397 391	APKQTVSNRPIGFSNIQQNVGTRGCSIVGPLFQEVRFKVHDPKAHSKGGTLENTVNGGRGGPQSHGPGPG IPRSFTHSHATTHGYIPTNVVRRRSSSHVPPRRRRLLSHFRQKVKS	Dros Rdl Lym β Lym ζ
467	QGGGPPGGGGGGGGGGGGGPEGGGDPEAAVPAHLLHPGKVKKDINKLLGITPSDIDKYSRIVFPVCFVCFN	Dros Rdl
437	IKAKIPRVQDVNTIDKYARLMFPLLFIIFN	Lym β
376	KKKRFNKRGIVYAIYVDMTARVVFPICFIIFI	Lym ζ
537	LMYWI IYLHVSDVVADDLVLLGEE	Dros Rdl
467	TSYWSVYLLT	Lym β
408	MSYWL YYVNAE	Lym ζ

Fig. 1. Alignment of the amino-acid sequence of the molluscan (*L. stagnalis*) GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-like  $\zeta$  subunit (*Lym*  $\zeta$ ) with those of the Lymnaean GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\beta$  subunit (*Lym*  $\beta$ ; [3]) and the *Drosophila* GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-like *Rdl* subunit (*Dros Rdl*; [16]). The sequences (in single-letter code) were aligned using the computer program PILEUP [26]; dots denote gaps that have been introduced to maximize the alignment. Positions at which the same amino acid is found in all three sequences are boxed. Residues are numbered from the mature amino-terminus of each polypeptide and signal peptides [9] are indicated by negative numbering. The dicysteine loop in the presumed extracellular domain, that is found in all GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits, is indicated by a broken line, and the four putative membrane-spanning domains (M1–M4) are underlined. Potential protein kinase C (serines 330 and 339) and multifunctional calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (serine 334) phosphorylation sites, in the putative large intracellular loop of the  $\zeta$  subunit, are marked by open circles. The polypeptide sequence of the Lymnaean GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-like  $\zeta$  subunit was derived from one (plLG13.PCR1) of three amplified full-length cDNAs, the sequence of which has been given the EMBL accession number X71357.

 $\mu$ l of the first-stage PCR products were substituted for the first-strand cDNA. Products were cloned into M13mp18 using the *Xba*I site incorporated into GRS2 (underlined) and the *Hin*dIII site of primer R<sub>i</sub>.

The 5' end of the cDNA was amplified directly [5,6] from a *L. stagnalis* egg-mass cDNA library constructed in  $\lambda$ ZAPII. Briefly, amplification was performed on DNA from ~ 10<sup>7</sup> p.f.u. using one of two vector-based primers (either  $\lambda$ ZAPa: 5'-CGAGGTCGACGGTATC-GATAAGCTTGATAT-3' or  $\lambda$ ZAPb: 5'-CGGTGGCGGCCGCCC-TAGAACTAGTGGATC-3') in combination with GRS3 (5'-TCGT-GTAGGAATTC<u>GTCGAC</u>AGGGCAGCAGTGAACGCTTGAGCT-TT-3'). Reaction conditions were identical to those for 3'-end cDNA amplification. Products were cloned into M13mp18, using the *SaII* site incorporated into GRS3 (underlined) and the *Eco*RI site in the linker that was used in the construction of the cDNA library, and sequenced.

Finally, full-length cDNAs were amplified using primers GRS4 (5'-TTTTCC<u>CTGCAG</u>CTCGCCAATCATGTTGGAATTAATTA-GAC-3') and GRS5 (5'-ACTTGCT<u>GTCGAC</u>ATCATTGCCTCGA-AATACACTACTTG-3') which were based on sequences flanking the coding region. The reaction contained 25 pmol of each primer and  $\sim 20$  ng of R<sub>o</sub>R<sub>i</sub>dT<sub>17</sub>-primed egg-mass first-strand cDNA. Amplification was for 40 cycles of 94°C for 1 min (denaturation), 65°C for 1 min (annealing), and 72°C for 2 min (extension), followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. Products were cloned into pBluescript KS+ (Stratagene), using restriction endonuclease sites (underlined) incorporated into GRS4 and GRS5, and sequenced.

# 2.2. Isolation of genomic DNA sequences and mapping of intron/exon boundaries

Approximately  $5 \times 10^{5}$  clones of an amplified *L. stagnalis* genomic library, constructed in  $\lambda$ EMBL3, were screened with a 1,429 bp *PstI/ Sal*1 cDNA fragment that encodes all of the molluscan polypeptide. Hybridization was in  $6 \times SSC$  ( $1 \times SSC = 0.15$  M NaCl, 0.015 M sodium citrate, pH 7), 0.5% (w/v) SDS,  $5 \times$  Denhardt's solution, and 100  $\mu$ g/ml yeast tRNA at 60°C for 24 h. The final wash conditions were  $0.1 \times SSC$ , 0.1% (w/v) SDS, at 60°C, for 30 min. One clone ( $\lambda$ ILG13.1) was isolated and purified; DNA was prepared from this and sequenced directly using a linear amplification method [7] and oligonucleotide primers that were designed using the sequence of a full-length cDNA.

#### 2.3. In vitro transcription, oocyte injection and electrophysiology

RNA for the molluscan polypeptide was transcribed from three independent full-length cDNAs, after linearization of the appropriate plasmid with *Sal*I, using T7 RNA polymerase (Pharmacia). In vitro transcription of other RNAs, oocyte injection, and electrophysiological methods were essentially as described previously [3,8].

#### 2.4. RNase protection

A full-length cDNA (plLG13.PCR1) in pBluescript KS+ was digested with XhoI, to release a 381 bp fragment that encodes the majority of the fourth putative membrane-spanning domain and the 3'-untranslated region, and subsequently religated. The resultant plasmid was linearized with XbaI, which cuts within that part of the DNA encoding the carboxy-terminal part of the third membrane-spanning domain, and an [a-32P]UTP-labelled anti-sense RNA was generated using T3 RNA polymerase (Pharmacia). The probe (253 nucleotides) comprises 224 nucleotides which are complementary to the region that encodes all of the large intracellular loop, and 29 nucleotides of the pBluescript KS+ polylinker; the latter permits protected fragments to be distinguished from undigested probe. The radiolabelled RNA was purified after electrophoresis in a 6% (w/v) polyacrylamide/urea gel, and RNase protection was performed using an RPA II kit (Ambion) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Each hybridization (45°C overnight) contained ~ 2.3 fmol (~  $7 \times 10^4$  cpm) of probe and either 10 µg of total RNA from different developmental stages and tissues or  $10 \,\mu g$  of yeast total cellular RNA (as control). RNases were then added to give final concentrations of 0.09 units/ml for RNase A and 18 units/ml for RNase T1. Protected fragments were detected, after electrophoresis in a 6% (w/v) polyacrylamide/urea gel, by autoradiography. Note that the concentration of each RNA was quantified by ultra-violet spectroscopy to ensure that equal amounts were used, and the integrity was checked prior to use by electrophoresis in a 1%(w/v) agarose/formaldehyde gel.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

DNA sequence analysis of a 187 bp cDNA fragment, that was generated by degenerate PCR, revealed the presence of an open reading frame that encodes a portion of a polypeptide which exhibits significant identity (48%) to the second membrane-spanning domain (M2) and flanking sequences of the Lymnaean GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\beta$  subunit [3]. This amino-acid sequence contains 7 of the 8 residues of the octapeptide (TTVLTMTT; single-letter code) which is present within the M2 segment of all GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits and ligand-binding subunits of the glycine receptor; the presence of this domain in the molluscan polypeptide strongly suggests that it is part of a chloride-selective channel. The sequence of the PCR-generated fragment was subsequently used to design oligonucleotide primers which were employed in two variants of the PCR technique [4–6] to amplify three independent full-length cDNAs.

The complete sequence of one of the full-length

		T	Location of Intron
<i>Lymnaea</i> ζ	26	LKTAPPSYDKLEPARIQVLLY	Extracellular
Chicken β4	25	RLRPDFGG-NPVTVGMSIH	domain
<i>Lymnaea</i> ζ Chicken β4	49 45	↓ SIDAVNEASMDFTVGILLHLR SIDQISEVNMDYTITMYFQQS	Extracellular domain
<i>Lymnaea</i> ζ	130	YQGGTILYISRLSMTLSCPMD	Extracellular
Chicken β4	118	HPDGTVLYGLRITTTAACMMD	domain
<i>Lymnaea</i> ζ	158	KQTCHILIMSFGYSDQDLVLD	Extracellular
Chicken β4	146	QQNCTLEIESYGYTVDDIVFF	domain
Lymnaea $\zeta$	218	CNRRYHQKAGNHSCIQAEFHL	Extracellular
Chicken $\beta$ 4	193	VSREVVFTTGSYLRLSLSFRI	domain
<i>Lymnaea</i> ζ Chicken β4	274 249	RVSLGLLTVLIMITOSSSVNA RVALGVITVLIMITINHLRE	M2 domain
<i>Lymnaea</i> ζ Chicken β4	350 325	T TDKEDGPLNMAEMTVPLDGFH NERHRYEEKRVDPYGNILLST	

Fig. 2. Comparison of the locations of the introns that interrupt the coding region of the Lymnaean GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-like  $\zeta$ -subunit gene with those found in vertebrate GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunit genes. Note that only seven intron positions were determined for the molluscan gene. The relative locations of these (denoted by arrows), and those that interrupt the chicken GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\beta$ 4-subunit gene [19], are shown in aligned segments of the two amino-acid sequences (in single-letter code); dashes represent gaps that have been introduced to maximize the alignment. The amino-acid numbering for each polypeptide corresponds to that of the mature subunit and numbers refer to the left-most residue on each line.



Fig. 3. RNase protection analysis of the Lymnaean GABA<sub>A</sub> receptorlike  $\zeta$ -subunit transcript during development and in different tissues. Protection was performed using total RNA from 0- to 6-day egg-mass (d0-d6), 6- to 10-day egg-mass (d6-d10), 10- to 14-day egg-mass (d10d14), adult nervous system (CNS), adult penis, and adult foot. Also shown are the undigested probe and the result of a control hybridization performed using yeast total cellular RNA. Size markers are *MspI*digested pBR322 restriction fragments that have been end-labelled with [ $\gamma$ -<sup>32</sup>P]ATP, and run in parallel.

cDNAs (plLG13.PCR1) was determined; this contains an open reading frame of 1,311 bp that predicts a mature polypeptide of 418 amino acids (Fig. 1), with a calculated  $M_r$  of 47,880, and a 19 amino-acid signal peptide [9]. The mature protein exhibits significant sequence identity to vertebrate GABA<sub>A</sub> (30–33% to  $\alpha$ subunits, 35–36% to  $\beta$  subunits, 31–33% to  $\gamma$  subunits, and 35% to the  $\delta$  subunit) and glycine (40-41% to  $\alpha$ subunits and 35% to the  $\beta$  subunit) receptor polypeptides [10-14]. It also displays 35%, 36%, 37%, and 41% identity to the vertebrate  $GABA_A$  receptor-like  $\rho 1$  and  $\rho^2$  subunits [15], the previously-reported Lymnaean GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\beta$  subunit [3], and the Drosophila GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-like subunit named Rdl [16], respectively. Since we are unaware of any evidence in support of the existence of glycine receptors in molluscs, we presume that the polypeptide whose cDNA we have cloned is a novel GABA-gated chloride-channel subunit which we name  $\zeta$  (zeta). Such a hypothesis is not so unlikely since the *Drosophila Rdl* subunit does not exhibit strong sequence similarity to any one type of vertebrate GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunit [17], yet it forms homooligomeric GABA-gated, bicuculline-insensitive, picrotoxin-sensitive chloride channels when the corresponding cDNA is expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes [18].

Interestingly, the Lymnaean  $\zeta$  subunit contains in the presumed extracellular domain, in addition to the pair of cysteine residues found in all GABA<sub>A</sub> and glycine receptor subunits, a second pair of cysteines (C218 and C231) that are found in a very similar position to those in subunits of the vertebrate glycine receptor. These two cysteines are separated by 12 residues in the molluscan polypeptide, 10 in glycine receptor  $\alpha$  subunits [11–13], and 11 in the glycine receptor  $\beta$  subunit [14]. It is also noteworthy that the  $\zeta$  polypeptide has an 11 amino acid insertion in the presumed extracellular domain when compared to the Lymnaean GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\beta$  subunit and the *Drosophila Rdl* subunit (residues 182–192; Fig. 1).

The positions of seven introns in the molluscan  $\zeta$ subunit gene have been determined. When these are compared to those of vertebrate GABAA receptor genes, which are known to have a conserved structure [19], six are found in identical or similar relative positions (Fig. 2). The seventh is found within the sequence that encodes the M2 domain, but it is located 39 nucleotides further 3' compared to that found in vertebrate  $GABA_A$  receptor genes [19] and the Lymnaean  $GABA_A$ receptor  $\beta$ -subunit gene [3]. Interestingly, the corresponding intron in the Drosophila Rdl-subunit gene is also shifted; however, in this case, it interrupts the DNA sequence that encodes the third membrane-spanning domain [20]. The similarity in the organizations of the molluscan  $\zeta$ -subunit gene and vertebrate GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor genes supports the proposal that the  $\zeta$  polypeptide is a component of an invertebrate GABA-gated ion channel/receptor.

To test whether the Lymnaean  $\zeta$  subunit is capable of forming a functional homo-oligomeric ion channel, RNA was transcribed in vitro from three different fulllength cDNAs and injected, individually, into Xenopus oocytes. The sensitivity of these oocytes to the application of GABA, glycine, nicotine, L-glutamate, kainate, quisqualate, ibotenate, N-methyl-D-aspartate, histamine and 5-hydroxytryptamine was then investigated. No significant current responses to any of these agonists (each at up to 1 mM except for ibotenate which was used at up to 100  $\mu$ M) were detected, using any of the RNAs (S.H. Zaman, unpublished results). In vitro-transcribed RNA for the  $\zeta$  subunit was also co-injected with RNA transcribed from either the Lymnaean GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\beta$ -subunit cDNA [3], the bovine GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\alpha$ 1-subunit cDNA [8] or the bovine GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\beta$ 1-subunit cDNA [8]. Co-expression did not markedly affect the functional properties of Lymnaean GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\beta$ -subunit homo-oligomeric receptors nor did it result in functional molluscan ( $\zeta$ -subunit)/bovine hybrid receptors (S.H. Zaman, unpublished results).

RNase protection experiments revealed two protected bands (one strong and one weak), that appeared to differ in size by a few nucleotides when hybridizations were performed with either 6- to 10-day or 10- to 14-day egg-mass RNA, or with adult nervous system, penis or foot RNA (Fig. 3). The same two bands were also seen when in vitro-transcribed sense RNA from one of the full-length cDNAs (plLG13.PCR1) was hybridized with the same radiolabelled RNA probe (data not shown). The size of the stronger band ( $\sim 224$  nucleotides) corresponds to that part of the probe which is specific for the molluscan  $\zeta$ -subunit transcript. The presence of a slightly larger (weaker) protected fragment is thought to be due to incomplete RNase digestion of the short (29-nucleotide) vector sequence which was included to distinguish protected fragments from undigested probe. No protection was observed when the probe was hybridized to yeast total cellular RNA, and no signal was detected when 0-6-day egg-mass RNA was used (Fig. 3), even after an exposure of 7 days (data not shown). These data indicate that the  $\zeta$ -subunit gene is developmentally regulated, and that while it is expressed at highest levels in the adult nervous system, significant amounts of the corresponding transcript are also present in peripheral tissues.

In summary, we have isolated a cDNA for a molluscan (*L. stagnalis*) GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-like subunit ( $\zeta$ ) that does not appear to be the partner for the previouslyreported [3] Lymnaea GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\beta$  subunit. Thus, if the  $\zeta$  subunit is, indeed, a component of a GABAgated ion-channel receptor, then the mollusc would appear to contain at least two different GABA<sub>A</sub>-like receptors. This is consistent with electrophysiological studies on other invertebrates, such as the moth Manduca sexta, that have revealed the existence of both bicuculline-sensitive and bicuculline-insensitive GABA receptors in nervous tissue (reviewed in [21]). However, there is considerable evidence to suggest that, in the molluscan nervous system, not only GABA but also glutamate [22,23] and acetylcholine [2,24] can gate chloride-selective channels. In addition, data in support of the existence of invertebrate histamine-gated chlorideion channels has been presented [25]. The possibility remains, therefore, that the  $\zeta$  polypeptide is not a GABA-gated chloride-ion channel/receptor subunit but a component of some other receptor complex.

Acknowledgements: We thank Dr. Erno Vreugdenhil (Amsterdam) for the L. stagnalis cDNA and genomic libraries, Michael D. Squire (Cambridge) for help with DNA sequence analysis, and Dr. Shahid H. Zaman (Cambridge) for electrophysiology. M.L.H. and R.J.H. were supported by an MRC Partnership Award with ICI plc and an SERC Research Studentship, respectively.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Yarowsky, P.J. and Carpenter, D.O. (1978) Brain Res. 144, 75-94.
- [2] Yarowsky, P.J. and Carpenter, D.O. (1978) J. Neurophysiol. 41, 531–541.
- [3] Harvey, R.J., Vreugdenhil, E., Zaman, S.H., Bhandal, N.S., Usherwood, P.N.R., Barnard, E.A. and Darlison, M.G. (1991) EMBO J. 10, 3239–3245.
- [4] Frohman, M.A. and Martin, G.R. (1989) Technique 1, 165-170.
- [5] Saiki, R.K., Gelfand, D.H., Stoffel, S., Scharf, S.J., Higuchi, R., Horn, G.T., Mullis, K.B. and Erlich, H.A. (1988) Science 239, 487–491.
- [6] Jansen, R., Kalousek, F., Fenton, W.A., Rosenberg, L.E. and Ledley, F.D. (1989) Genomics 4, 198–205.
- [7] Lasham, A. and Darlison, M.G. (1993) Mol. Cell. Probes 7, 67-73.
- [8] Schofield, P.R., Darlison, M.G., Fujita, N., Burt, D.R., Stephenson, F.A., Rodriguez, H., Rhee, L.M., Ramachandran, J., Reale, V., Glencorse, T.A., Seeburg, P.H. and Barnard, E.A. (1987) Nature 328, 221–227.
- [9] von Heijne, G. (1986) Nucleic Acids Res. 14, 4683-4690.
- [10] Burt, D.R. and Kamatchi, G.L. (1991) FASEB J. 5, 2916-2923.
- [11] Grenningloh, G., Rienitz, A., Schmitt, B., Methfessel, C., Zensen, M., Beyreuther, K., Gundelfinger, E.D. and Betz, H. (1987) Nature 328, 215–220.
- [12] Grenningloh, G., Schmieden, V., Schofield, P.R., Seeburg, P.H., Siddique, T., Mohandas, T.K., Becker, C.-M. and Betz, H. (1990) EMBO J. 9, 771–776.
- [13] Kuhse, J., Schmieden, V. and Betz, H. (1990) J. Biol. Chem. 265, 22317–22320.
- [14] Grenningloh, G., Pribilla, I., Prior, P., Multhaup, G., Beyreuther, K., Taleb, O. and Betz, H. (1990) Neuron 4, 963–970.
- [15] Cutting, G.R., Curristin, S., Zoghbi, H., O'Hara, B., Seldin, M.F. and Uhl, G.R. (1992) Genomics 12, 801–806.
- [16] ffrench-Constant, R.H., Mortlock, D.P., Shaffer, C.D., MacIntyre, R.J. and Roush, R.T. (1991) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88, 7209–7213.
- [17] Darlison, M.G. (1992) Trends Neurosci. 15, 469-474.
- [18] ffrench-Constant, R.H., Rocheleau, T.A., Steichen, J.C. and Chalmers, A.E. (1993) Nature 363, 449–451.
- [19] Lasham, A., Vreugdenhil, E., Bateson, A.N., Barnard, E.A. and Darlison, M.G. (1991) J. Neurochem, 57, 352–355.
- [20] ffrench-Constant, R.H. and Rocheleau, T. (1992) J. Neurochem. 59, 1562–1565.
- [21] Sattelle, D.B. (1990) Adv. Insect Physiol. 22, 1-113.
- [22] Yarowsky, P.J. and Carpenter, D.O. (1976) Science 192, 807-809.
- [23] Bolshakov, V.Y., Gapon, S.A. and Magazanik, L.G. (1991) J. Physiol. 439, 15–35.
- [24] Kehoe, J. (1972) J. Physiol. 225, 85-114.
- [25] McClintock, T.S. and Ache, B.W. (1989) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86, 8137–8141.
- [26] Devereux, J., Haeberli, P. and Smithies, O. (1984) Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 387–395.