

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 197 (2015) 1355 - 1362

7th World Conference on Educational Sciences, (WCES-2015), 05-07 February 2015, Novotel Athens Convention Center, Athens, Greece

An analysis of Undergraduates' Study Skills

Alci Bulent^a, Karatas Hakan^a, Balyer Aydin^a*

^aYildiz Technical University Besiktas, 34349, Istanbul, Turkey

Abstract

There is a growing need for the integration of various theoretical perspectives on academic achievement such as study skills. Study skills are approaches applied to learning that assist students to be successful in schools in a way of passing an exam or even obtaining good grades. The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of undergraduates' study skills on academic achievement and significances in their study skills in terms of gender and department. The sample group of the study consists of 210 undergraduates studying in three different departments at School of Education. To determine their study skills, the data were collected using "Study Skills Scale" developed by Bay, Tugluk, and Gencdogan (2005). To determine the correlation between undergraduates' study skills and academic achievement, the analysis of Pearson correlation was used. Also, to determine significant differences in undergraduates' study skills regarding gender and departments, the analysis of independent samples of t-test and ANOVA were conducted. The results reveal that there is a positive correlation between study skills and academic achievement and also significant differences were found in undergraduates' study skills in terms of gender and departments.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Peer-review under responsibility of Academic World Education and Research Center. *Keywords:* Study skills, undergraduates, gender, department

1. Introduction

Many researchers have identified some important factors and individual differences that are relating with the adoption of approaches to studying. These factors include students' perception of the teaching environment and teachers' teaching strategies (Campbell et al., 2001), perceptions of academic quality (Richardson, 2003), and expectancies and perceived values of the subject (Fransson, 1977). Individual differences include the amount of time spent studying (Svensson, 1977), past successes and failures (Marton, Dall'Alba, & Beaty, 1993), intention to

^{*} Balyer Aydin Tel.: +902123833145, fax:+902123833149 *E-mail address:* balyer@yildiz.edu.tr

engage in the learning environment (Entwistle, 1998), motivational beliefs (Biggs, 1987), self-efficacy beliefs (Cassady & Eachus, 2000), and evaluation anxiety (Rozendaal, Minnaert, & Boekaerts, 2003). Research shows that students' approaches to learning and their learning outcomes are influenced by the teaching–learning environment involving a number of interrelated components such as the teaching method and assessment, course structure, curriculum, workload, and teacher effectiveness (Biggs 1988; Entwistle & Ramsden, 1983; Ramsden 1992, 1997).

As it is indicated in many researches, both approach to learning and study skills are important factors that affect the quality of student learning (Smith & Miller 2005; Byrne, et al., 2009). On the other hand, it is also known that students' approaches to learning are affected by the quality of teaching-learning environment and assessment procedures (Entwistle, 1991). It is known that one of the main reasons of students' failure is the inadequacy in the skills and attitudes of their study (Kucukahmet, 2000). Studying skills are generally analyzed under these titles: Regular study, creating a study space, efficient reading, listening to the lectures, noting, efficient written expression, participating the lesson actively, doing homework, preparation to the exams, and attending the exams. (Dodge, 1994; Yıldırım et al., 2000).

1.1. Studying Skills

One of the most effective factors on academic achievement is regarded as studying skills (Gettinger & Siebert, 2002; Erdamar Koc, 2010; Jansen & Suhre, 2010; Steele, 2010; Temelli & Kurt, 2010; Aquino, 2011; Yu, 2011). Studying skills have been defined in various ways by researchers. Gall, Gall, Jacobsen & Bullock (1990) defined studying skills as use of proper strategies when accomplishing a learning task. According to Rafoth & DeFabo (1990), studying skills function as a process including one's planning what he has to do, organizing and coding information to achieve learning needed.

While studying skills are defined in various ways by different researchers, many researchers accepted that studying skills include the behaviours such as preparing for exams (Purdue & Hattie, 1999); doing homework, doing revision, preparing for presentations and projects (Wagner, Schober & Spiel, 2008); use of library (Demircioglu Memis, 2007; Purdue & Hattie, 1999); taking notes (Neri, 2007); reading, listening, writing (Demircioglu Memis, 2007). These behaviours regarded as studying skills are explained under three factors as motivation, time management and preparing for tests-test anxiety (Bay, Tugluk, & Gencdogan, 2004; Guclu, Yıldız, & Sahan, 2010; Gurung, Weidert, & Jeske, 2010; Kartika, 2007).

Motivation includes desires, wills, needs, stimulations, interests (Cuceloglu, 2009), and is defined as a power starting, sustaining and directing a behaviour to a course or subject (Kara, 2008; Martin & Briggs, 1986). This power directs the students to learning process, and by enabling them to concentrate on the lesson prevent them from doing the activities irrelevant to the course objectives (Hesapcioglu, 2011). Therefore, motivation plays a driving role in students' showing their potential effectively (Yazici, 2009). The students with high motivation in learning process are willing to solve problems they face and are persistent in hard works (Akbaba, 2006), determined to accomplish and enjoy their duties (Masgoret & Gardner, 2003). The students with low motivation in learning process are reluctant to study and do their homework (Cakmak & Ercan, 2006). It can be said that the students with high motivation are more eager to participate in class activities and make more effort than those with low motivation (Wolters & Rosenthal, 2000).

High motivation affects the students' achievement positively by enabling them to manage time effectively. However, rather than managing time effectively it should be programmed, as well (Batlas, 1994). At this point, the term of time management comes up. Time management is described as an effort of using time effectively to reach the goals (Karaoglan ve Yaman, 2009). To manage the time effectively, needs should be specified, the goals should be defined to meet those needs, priorities should be determined, time should be planned according to those priorities (Ugur, 2000) and the possible problems should be handled immediately (Gozel, 2010).

Since it was found positive correlations between time management and academic achievement in previous researches (Alay & Kocak, 2003; Campbell & Svenson, 1992; Demirtas ve Ozer, 2007; Macan, Shahani, Dipboye & Philips, 1990; Trueman & Hartley, 1996), it can be said that the more the students' skills of time management improve, the easier they reach their goals at the end of the education. The other component of studying skills is the management of test preparation-test anxiety (Bay, Tugluk ve Gencdogan, 2004). Tests or exams have a crucial role in the evaluation period of students (Bay, Tugluk ve Gencdogan, 2004; Hancock, 2001). Therefore, using effective

studying strategies and studying systematically are very important to show their real potential (Koruklu, 2010). Test anxiety is the most important factor preventing students from showing their real potential in exams (Spielberger, 1972). The students, who do not study enough, who do not know effective studying methods and who have lack of self-confidence, may experience test anxiety (Piji Kucuk, 2010). The students, having intensive test anxiety during or before the exam cannot be successful and they run out their energy for studying (Karacanta, 2009). In order to decrease the negative effect of test anxiety on academic achievement the students should prefer to study in a specified period of time, take a break while studying, asking themselves questions on relating subject and answering after studying (Canel, 2007), compare their notes with that of their friends (Pressley, Yokoi, Meter, Etten & Freebern, 1997) and analyzing all the exam topics well define their weaknesses (Traister & Brickner, 2004).

When analysing the literature it is seen that there have been lots of researches relating to studying skills such as level of students' studying skills (Bay, Tugluk ve Gendogan, 2004; Temelli ve Kurt, 2010), correlation between studying skills and academic achievement (Jones, Green, Mahan & Slate, 1993), locus of control (Agnew, Slate, Jones & Agnew, 1993; Jones, Slate, & Marini, 1995; Prociuk & Breen, 1974; Serin, Bulut Serin ve Sahin, 2009), metacognitive awareness (Gettinger & Seibert, 2002; Ozsoy, Memis ve Temur, 2002), self-regulation skills (Zimmerman & Martinez-Pons, 1986).

To define the variables regarding studying skills is very important to contribute to the improvement of students' studying skills. Especially in university education studying systematically is the key factor of academic achievement. The aim of this research was to define undergraduates' studying skills, so research questions are the followings:

- 1. What is the level of undergraduates' studying skills?
- 2. Is there a correlation among the components of studying skills as motivation, time management and test preparation-test anxiety?
- 3. Is there a difference in undergraduates' studying skills in terms of gender?
- 4. Is there a difference in undergraduates' studying skills in terms of departments?

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

In this research, the study group consisted of 159 undergraduates studying at College of Education, Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey. The participants included 87 female (%54.7) and 72 male (%46.3) undergraduates from different departments. There were 30 undergraduates (%18.8) form Department of Primary School Teaching, 66 undergraduates (%42.2) form Department of Computer Instructional Technologies, 31 undergraduates (19.5) form Department of Pre-School Teaching and 31 undergraduates (%19.5) from Department of Science Teaching.

2.2. Data Collecting Instrument

The data relation to studying skills were collected via "Studying Skills Scale" including 26 items in the form of five point likert developed by Bay, Tugluk, and Gencdogan (2005). The scale is composed of three components including motivation (11 items), time management (7 items) and test preparation-test anxiety (8 items). As long as the scores relating to any component of the scale increase, the skills increase, too. The fact that the total points gathered from all components is higher means that the studying skills of the students increase. The scale is reported to have high level of reliability (internal consistency) as .89. In this research, the internal consistency of the scale was found as .84.

2.3. Analysis of Data

At this stage, descriptive statistics methods were applied, while determining the studying skills of undergraduates. For the analysis of the data obtained from the Studying Skills Scale, the means, frequency and standard deviation were used to determine if there was a difference between groups. It was analyzed whether there

was a significant difference in undergraduates' studying skills in terms of gender and departments. Statistical analysis was carried out using t-test analysis and one-way Anova for independent groups since test of normality was ensured. Pearson correlation analysis was applied to find out the correlation among the components of studying skills. The statistics obtained were transferred into the tables by grouping and then interpreted.

3. Findings

In this chapter, we allow for the analyses, carried out with the aim of determining the academic motivation of undergraduates. Table 1 indicates the minimum and maximum scores, means and standard deviations for undergraduates' academic motivation.

| Table 1: Descri | ptive statistics | for undergraduates' | studying skills |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| | | | |

| Scale | Factor | Min. | Max. | М | sd |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| G. 1 . GI.'II | Motivation | 11.00 | 51.00 | 34.96 | 7.18 |
| Studying Skills Scale | Time Management | 7.00 | 32.00 | 19.96 | 4.40 |
| Scale | Test Preparation-Test Anxiety | 8.00 | 40.00 | 23.51 | 6.10 |
| | Total | 26.00 | 118.00 | 78.48 | 14.38 |

As a result of the findings obtained from undergraduates regarding the factor named "Motivation", it was seen that the scores changed ranging from 11.00 to 51.00. The mean relating to this factor was 34.96. The score on the factor, named "Time management", changed ranging from 7.00 to 32.00. The mean of undergraduates' scores relating to this factor was 19.96. The scores regarding the factor, named "Test Preparation-Test Anxiety", changed ranging from 8.00 to 40.00 and the mean of undergraduates' scores on this factor was 23.51. The total score changed ranging from 26.00 to118.00 and the mean of undergraduates' scores on total scale was 78.48. Taking the mean of undergraduates scores relating to these three factors and total score consideration, it was seen that undergraduates' studying skills from all the components and total scale was quite high.

In Table 2, the findings regarding the correlation analysis among university students' academic procrastination, personality traits and academic achievement are shown.

| | | Motivation | Time Management | Test Preparation Test Anxiety |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| Motivation | r | 1 | .46** | .44** |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | | .00 | .00 |
| | Ν | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| Time Management | r | .46** | 1 | .55** |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | .00 | | .00 |
| | Ν | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| Test Preparation-Test Anxiety | r | .44** | .55** | 1 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | .00 | .00 | |
| | Ν | 159 | 159 | 159 |

Table 2: Correlation analysis among the components of undergraduates' studying skills

** Correlation is significant at the .01 level (2-tailed)

As can be seen in Table 2, as a result of correlation analysis there have been found significant positive correlations among the components of studying skills. It was found significant positive correlations between motivation and time management (r=.46, p<.01); between motivation and test-preparation-test anxiety (r=.44, p<.01) and between time management and test preparation-test anxiety (r=-.55, p<.01). It can be said that all the components of studying skills are related to each other and total score of studying skills.

| Frater | Conden | 37 | м | | t-test | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|----|-------|-----|--------|--------|------|
| Factor | Gender | N | М | sd | t | df | р |
| Motivation | Female | 87 | 34.88 | .74 | 16 | 149.92 | .82 |
| Mouvation | Male | 72 | 35.06 | .88 | | | |
| T: M 4 | Female | 87 | 20.36 | .42 | 1.28 | 137.71 | .03* |
| Time Management | Male | 72 | 19.47 | .56 | | | |
| | Female | 87 | 23.49 | .60 | 05 | 137.62 | .33 |
| Test Preparation-Test Anxiety | Male | 72 | 23.54 | .79 | 1.28 | | |
| | Female | 87 | 23.49 | .60 | .25 | 133.04 | .42 |
| Total | Male | 72 | 23.54 | .79 | | | |

| Table 3: T-test results of undergraduate | s studying skills with respect to gender |
|--|--|
|--|--|

* Correlation is significant at the .05 level (2-tailed)

** Correlation is significant at the .01 level (2-tailed)

Based on t-test results in Table 3, it was seen that undergraduates' gender indicated a significant difference in only time management component. It was found that in terms of time management skill, female and male undergraduates differed significantly, and this difference was in favour of female undergraduates [t (159)=-.16 p<.05]. The mean score of female undergraduates' time management skill (20.36) was higher than that of male undergraduates (19.47). For the other components and total score of undergraduates' study skills significant differences were not found.

| Table 4: One-way | Anova analysis | regarding un | dergraduates' | studying skills i | n terms of departments |
|------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | |

| | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|-----|----------------|-------|------|
| Departments | Between Groups | 3864.68 | 3 | 1288.23 | 6.932 | .00* |
| | Within Groups | 28620.8 | 154 | 185.84 | | |
| | Total | 32485.5 | 157 | | | |

* The mean difference is significant at the .05 level

| Table 5: Scheffe analysis regarding undergraduates' studying skills in term | is of departments |
|---|-------------------|
|---|-------------------|

| Departments | Departments | Mean Difference | Std. Err. | Sig. |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|------|
| 1 | 2 | .54 | 3.00 | .99 |
| | 3 | 10.38 | 3.49 | .03* |
| | 4 | 10.60 | 3.49 | .02* |
| 2 | 1 | 54 | 3.00 | .99 |
| | 3 | 9.83 | 2.96 | .01* |
| | 4 | 10.06 | 2.96 | .01* |
| 3 | 1 | -10.38 | 3.49 | .03* |
| | 2 | -9.83 | 2.96 | .01* |
| | 4 | 10.06 | 3.46 | 1.00 |
| 4 | 1 | -10.61 | 3.49 | .02* |
| | 2 | -10.06 | 2.96 | .01* |
| | 3 | 22 | 3.46 | 1.00 |

* The mean difference is significant at the .05 level

*1 (Primary School Teaching), *2 (Computer Instructional Technologies), *3 (Pre-School Teaching), *4 (Science Teaching)

The findings in Table 4 and 5 point that according to one-way Anova analysis regarding undergraduates' studying skills in terms of departments, the significant difference was observed in favor of the departments of Primary School Teaching and Computer Instructional Technologies. The score of studying skills of undergraduates studying at the departments of Primary School Teaching and Computer Instructional Technologies were higher than those of the departments of Pre-School Teaching and Science Teaching.

4. Results

Especially in university education studying systematically is the key factor of academic achievement (Erdamar Koc, 2010; Jansen & Suhre, 2010; Steele, 2010; Temelli & Kurt, 2010; Aquino, 2011; Yu, 2011). The aim of this research was to define the level of undergraduates' studying skills; correlation among the components of studying skills as motivation, time management and test preparation-test anxiety; whether there is a difference in undergraduates' studying skills in terms of gender and departments. As a result of the findings it was seen that undergraduates' studying skills from all the components and total scale was quite high. As a result of correlation analysis it can be said that all the components of studying skills are related to each other and total score of studying skills. As a result of t-test analysis it was seen that undergraduates' gender indicated a significant difference in favor of female undergraduates in only time management component. The findings according regarding undergraduates' studying skills in terms of departments, the significant difference was observed in favor of the departments of Primary School Teaching and Computer Instructional Technologies.

In addition to increasing undergraduates' studying skills, a better understanding of additional variables having positive and negative effects on students' studying skills and their relative importance can have benefits. Similarly, by increasing our understanding of students' perceived sources of test anxiety, educators and school counselors may be better able to develop effective test anxiety-reduction interventions. Specifically, different interventions may be required to address different perceptions. It is recommended that in future researches the factors that affect studying skills positively should be examined elaboratively. Moreover, follow up researches may increase the numbers of participants to enable a more powerful analysis, and use various measures of studying skills.

References

- Agnew, N. C., Slate, J. R., Jones, C. H., & Agnew, D. M. (1993). Academic Behaviors as a Function of Academic Achievement, Locus of Control, and Motivational Orienttation. *NACTA Journal*, 37, 24-27.
- Akbaba, S. (2006). Egitimde motivasyon. Kazım Karabekir Egitim Fakultesi Dergisi, 13, 343-361.
- Alay, S. & Kocak, S. (2003). Universite ogrencilerinin zaman yonetimleri ile akademik basarıları arasındaki iliski. Kuram ve Uygulamada Egitim Yonetimi Dergisi, 35, 326-335.
- Aquino, L. B. (2011). Study habits and attitudes of freshmen students: Implications for academic intervention programs. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 2 (5), 1116-1121.
- Baltas A. (1994). Ogrenmede ve Sınavlarda Ustun Basarı. 9. basım. İstanbul: Remzi Kitabevi.
- Bay, E., Tugluk, M. & Gencdogan, B. (2004). Universite ogrencilerinin ders calısma becerilerinin incelenmesi (Kazım Karabekir Egitim Fakultesi Ornegi). Turk Egitim Bilimleri Dergisi, 2 (2), 223-236.
- Biggs, J. B. (1988). Approaches to learning and to essay writing. In R. R. Schmeck (Ed.), Learning strategies and learning styles (pp. 185–228). New York: Plenum.
- Biggs, J. B. (1987). Student approaches to learning and studying. Melbourne: Australian Council for Educational Research.

Byrne, et al., 2009).

Cakmak, M., Ercan, L.(2006). Views Of Experienced And Student Teachers About Motivation in Effective Teaching Process. *Gazi Egitim Fakultesi Dergisi*, 26;3:133-143.

- Campbell, R. L. & Svenson, L. W. (1992). Perceived level of stress among university undergraduate students in Edmonton, Canada. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 75 (2), 552-554.
- Campbell et al., 2001),
- Canel, N. (2007). Egitimde rehberlik hizmetlerinin yeri ve onemi. Rehberlik (Edt: B. Aydın). Ankara: Pegem Akademi Yayıncılık.117-151.
- Cassady, S., & Eachus, P. (2000). Learning style, academic belief systems, self-report student proficiency and academic achievement in higher education. Educational Psychology, 20, 307–322.
- Cuceloglu, D. (2009). Insan ve davranısı. İstanbul: Remzi Kitabevi.
- Demircioglu Memis, A. (2007). Ogrencilerin calısma oryantasyonlarını etkileyen demografik faktorler. *Turk Egitim Bilimleri Dergisi*, 5 (2), 291-321.
- Demirtas, H. & Ozer, N. (2007). Ogretmen adaylarının zaman yonetimi becerileri ile akademik basarısı arasındaki iliskisi. Egitimde Politika Analizleri ve Stratejik Arastırmalar Dergisi, 2 (1).
- Dodge, J. (1994). The study skills handbook: More than 75 strategies for better learning. New York: Scholastic Inc.
- Entwistle, N. J., & Ramsden, P. (1983). Understanding student learning. London: Croom Helm.
- Entwistle, N. (1998). Approaches to learning and forms of understanding. In B. Dart & G. Boulton-Lewis (Eds.), Teaching and learning in higher education (pp. 72–101). Camberwell, Vic.: ACER.
- Erdamar Koc, G. (2010). Ogretmen adaylarının ders calısma stratejilerini etkileyen bazı degiskenler. Hacettepe Universitesi Egitim Fakultesi Dergisi, 38, 82-93.

- Fransson, A. (1977). On qualitative differences in learning IV Effects of motivation and anxiety of process and outcome. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 47, 244–257.
- Gall, M.D., Gall, J.P., Jacobsen, D.R. & Bullock, T.R. (1990). Tools for Learning. A Guide to Teaching Study Skills. Alexandria, Virginia: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (ASCD).
- Gettinger, M. & Siebert, J.K. (2002). Contributions of study skills to academic competence. School Psychology Review, 31 (3), 351-365.
- Gozel, E. (2010). İlkogretim okulu ogretmenlerinin zaman yonetimi hakkındaki goruslerinin bazı degiskenler acısından incelenmesi. *Mugla* Universitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitusu Dergisi, 24, 67-84.
- Gurung, R. A. R., Weidert, J. & Jeske, A. (2010). Focusing on how students study. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 10 (1), 28-35.
- Hancock, D. R. (2001). Effect of Test Anxiety and Evaluative Threat on Students' Achievement and Motivation. The Journal of Educational Research , 94, 284-290.
- Hesapcioglu, M. (2011). Ogretim ilke ve yontemleri: Egitim programları ve ogretim. Ankara: Nobel Yayın Dagıtım.
- Jansen, E. P. W. A. & Suhre, C. J. M. (2010). The effect of secondary school study skills preparation on first-year university achievement. *Educational Studies*, 36(5), 569–580.
- Jones, C. H., Green, A. E., Mahan, K. D., & Slate, J. R. (1993). College Students' Learning Styles, Academic Achievement, and Study Behaviors. Louisiana Education Research Journal, 19, 40-48.
- Jones, C. H., Slate, J. R., & Marini, I. (1995). Locus of Control Social Interdependence, Academic Preparation, Age Study Time, and The Study Skills of College Students. Research in the Schools , 2, 55-62.
- Kara, A. (2008). İlkogretim birinci kademede egitimde motivasyon olceginin Turkce'ye uyarlanması. Ege Egitim Dergisi, 2 (9), 59-78.
- Karacanta, H. (2009). Ogretmen adayları icin kamu personeli secme sınavı kaygı olceginin gelistirilmesi (Gecerlik ve guvenirlik calısması). Gazi Universitesi Endustriyel Sanatlar Egitim Fakultesi Dergisi, 25, 50-57.
- Karaoglan, A. D. & Yaman, R. (2009). The relationship between the success and time management of executives and a view to executives of Turkish top companies. *Gazi University Journal of Science*, 22 (4), 287-295.
- Kartika, A. (2007). Study skills training: Is It an Answer to the Lack of College Students' Study Skills? The International Journal of Learning, 14 (9), 35-43.
- Koruklu, N. O. (2010). Egitsel rehberlik. Psikolojik danisma ve rehberlik (Edt: M. Guven). Ankara: Ani Yayıncılık. ss. 87-130.
- Kucukahmet, L. (2000). Ogretimde Planlama ve Degerlendirme. (11. Baskı). Ankara: Nobel Yayınları.
- Macan, T. H., Shahani, C., Dipboye, R. L. & Philips, A. P. (1990). College students' time management: correlations with academic performance and stress. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82 (4), 760-768.
- Martin, B. M. & Briggs, L. J. (1986). The effective and cognitive domains. Integration for instruction and research. Engleewood Cliffs, NJ: Educational Technology Publications.
- Marton, F., Dall'Alba, G., & Beaty, E. (1993). Conceptions of learning. International Journal of Educational Research, 19, 277-300.
- Masgoret, A. M. & Gardner, R. C. (2003). Attitudes, motivation, and second language learning: A meta-analysis of studies conducted by Gardner and associates. *Language Learning*, 53 (1), 123-163.
- Neri, D. L. E. (2007). Learning styles and study skills among nursing students. Liceo Journal of Higher Education Research, 5(1), 97-109.
- Ozsoy, G., Memis, A. & Temur, T. (2009). Metacognition, study habits and attitudes. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 2 (1), 154-166.
- Piji Kucuk, D. (2010). Muzik ogretmeni adaylarının sınav kaygısı, benlik saygısı ve calgı basarıları arasındaki iliskinin incelenmesi. Ahi Evran Universitesi Egitim Fakultesi Dergisi, 11(3), 37-50.
- Pressley, M., Yokoi, L., Meter, P. V., Etten, S. V. & Freebern, G. (1997). Some of the reasons why preparing for exams is so hard: What can be done to make it easier? Educational Psychology Review, 9 (1), 1-38.
- Prociuk, T. J. & Breen, L. J. (1974). Locus of control, study habits and attitudes and college academic performance. The Journal of Education, 88, 91-95.
- Purdue, N. & Hattie, J. (1999). The relationship between study skills and learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. Australian Journal of Education, 43(1), 72-86.
- Rafoth, M. A. & DeFabo, L. (1990). Study skills. What research says to the teacher? West Haven, Connecticut: NEA Professional Library, ED 323 184.
- Ramsden, P. (1992). Learning to teach in higher education. London: Routledge.
- Ramsden, P. (1997). The context of learning in academic departments. In F. Marton, D. Hounsell, & N. J. Entwistle (Eds.), The experience of learning (2nd ed, pp. 198–216). Edinburgh: Scottish Academic Press.
- Richardson, J. T. E. (2003). Approaches to studying and perceptions of academic quality in a short web-based course. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 34, 433–442.

Rozendaal, Minnaert, & Boekaerts, 2003).

Serin, O., Bulut Serin, N., & Sahin, F. S. (2009). Factors affecting the learning and studying strategies, and locus of control of the trainee teachers. Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences, 1 (1), 1127–1136.

Smith, S. N., & Miller, R. J. (2005). Learning approaches: Examination type, discipline of study, and gender. Educational Psychology, 25, 43–53. Spielberger, C. (1972). Theory and Research in Anxiety. New York, Academic Press.

- Steele, M. M. (2010). High school students with learning disabilities: Mathematics instruction, study skills and high stakes tests. American Secondary Education, 38 (3), 21-27.
- Temelli, A. & Kurt, M. (2010). Egitim fakultesi ve fen fakultesi biyoloji ogrencilerinin ders calısma alıskanlıklarının farklı degiskenler acısından incelenmesi. Kuramsal Egitimbilim, 3(2), 27-36.

Traister, J. E. & Brickner, D. C. (2004). Electrician's exam preparation guide: Based on the 2005 NEC. Craftsman Book Company.

- Trueman, M. & Hartley, J. (1996). A comparison between the time management skills and academic performance of mature and traditional-entry university students. *Higher Education*, 32, 199-215.
- Ugur, A. (2000). Calısma hayatında zaman yonetimi. MPM Kalkınmada Anahtar Verimlilik Dergisi, 12 (143), 18-22.
- Wagner, P., Schober, B. & Spiel, C. (2008). Time investment and time management: An analysis of time students spend working at home for school. Educational Research and Evaluation, 14(2), 139-153.
- Wolters, C. A. & Rosenthal, H. (2000). The relation between students' motivational beliefs and their use of motivational regulation strategies. International Journal of Educational Research, 33, 801-820.
- Yazıcı, H. (2009). Ogretmenlik meslegi, motivasyon kaynakları ve temel tutumlar: Kuramsal bir bakıs. Kastamonu Egitim Dergisi, 17 (1), 33-46.
- Yıldırım, I. (2000). Akademik basarının yordayıcısı olarak yalnızlık, sınav kaygısı ve sosyal destek. Hacettepe Universitesi Egitim Fakultesi Dergisi, 18, 167-176.
- Yu, D. D. (2011). How much do study habits, skills and attitudes affect student performance in introductory college accounting courses? New Horizons in Education, 59 (3), 1-15.
- Zimmerman, B. J., & Martinez-Pons, M. (1986). Development of a structured interview for assessing student use of self-regulated learning strategies. American Education Research Journal, 23, 614-628.