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#### Abstract

A multiset hook length formula for integer partitions is established by using combinatorial manipulation. As special cases, we rederive three hook length formulas, two of them obtained by Nekrasov-Okounkov, the third one by Iqbal, Nazir, Raza and Saleem, who have made use of the cyclic symmetry of the topological vertex. A multiset hook-content formula is also proved.
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## 1. Introduction

Recently, an elementary proof of the Nekrasov-Okounkov hook length formula [18] was given by the second author in [8], using the Macdonald identities for $A_{t}$ (see [15]). A crucial step of that proof is the construction of a bijection between $t$-cores and integer vectors satisfying some additional properties. Several further papers related to the Nekrasov-Okounkov formula have been published. See, e.g., [24,3,5,4,10,23,20,11].

In the present paper, we again take up the study of the Nekrasov-Okounkov formula and obtain several results in the following directions. (1) The bijection between $t$-cores and integer vectors is constructed for any positive integer $t$, while in [8], $t$ had to be an odd positive integer. (2) That bijection is shown to satisfy a multiset hook length formula (Theorem 1) with a functional parameter $\tau$ by using a geometric model, called "exploded tableau". The result in [8] corresponds to the special case $\tau(x)=x$. (3) A multiset hook length formula provides another special case when taking $\tau=$ sin, namely Theorem 2. (4) Three hook length formulas are derived (Corollaries 7 and 8, Theorem 5), the first two previously obtained by Nekrasov-Okounkov [18], the third one by Iqbal et al. [11]. (5) Theorem 2 provides a unified formula for the Nekrasov-Okounkov formula and the classical Jacobi triple product identity [2, p. 21], [12, p. 20]. This formula solves Problem 6.4 in [7]. (6) A multiset hook-content hook length formula is also given in Section 6.

The basic notions needed here can be found in [16, p. 1], [22, p. 287], [13, p. 1], [12, p. 59], and [2, p. 1]. A partition $\lambda$ of size $n$ and of length $\ell$ is a sequence of positive integers $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}\right)$ such that $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{\ell}>0$ and $n=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{\ell}$. We write $n=|\lambda|, \ell(\lambda)=\ell$ and $\lambda_{i}=0$ for $i \geq \ell+1$. The set of all partitions of size $n$ is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(n)$. The set of all partitions is denoted by $\mathcal{P}$, so that $\mathcal{P}=\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}(n)$. The hook length multiset of $\lambda$, denoted by $\mathscr{H}(\lambda)$, is the multiset of all hook lengths of $\lambda$. Let $t$ be a positive integer. We write $\mathscr{H}_{t}(\lambda)=\{h \mid h \in \mathscr{H}(\lambda), h \equiv 0(\bmod t)\}$. A partition $\lambda$ is a $t$-core if $\mathscr{H}_{t}(\lambda)=\emptyset$ (see [12, p.69, p.612], [22, p. 468]). For example, $\lambda=(6,3,3,2)$ is a partition of size 14 and of length 4 . We have $\mathscr{H}(\lambda)=\{2,1,4,3,1,5,4,2,9,8,6,3,2,1\}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{2}(\lambda)=\{2,4,4,2,8,6,2\}$ (see also [8]).

[^0]Table 1
The example $\lambda=(8,4,3,2,2,1)$ with $t=5$. Note that this also gives $W_{1}(\lambda), V_{1}(\lambda)$, etc. since $W(\lambda)=W_{1}(\lambda)$, etc.

| $\lambda$ | $(8,4,3,2,2,1)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $W(\lambda)$ | $\{10,5,3,1,0,-2,-4,-5,-6,-7,-8,-9,-10,-11, \ldots\}$ |
| $V(\lambda)$ | $\{10,3,1,-6,-8\}$ |
| $W^{\dagger}(\lambda)$ | $\{5,0,-2,-4,-5,-7,-9,-10,-11, \ldots\}$ |
| $M(\lambda)$ | 10 |
| $m(\lambda)$ | -4 |
| $C(\lambda)$ | $\{9,8,7,6,4,2,-1,-3\}$ |
| $\lambda^{*}$ | $(6,5,3,2,1,1,1,1)$ |
| $W_{2}(\lambda)$ | $\{8,6,3,1,-1,-2,-3,-4,-6,-7,-8,-9,-10,-11,-12, \ldots\}$ |
| $V_{2}(\lambda)$ | $\{8,6,-1,-3,-10\}$ |
| $W_{2}^{\dagger}(\lambda)$ | $\{3,1,-2,-4,-6,-7,-8,-9,-11,-12, \ldots\}$ |
| $M_{2}(\lambda)$ | 8 |
| $m_{2}(\lambda)$ | -6 |
| $C_{2}(\lambda)$ | $\{7,5,4,2,0,-5\}$ |

Let $t$ be a positive integer and $t_{0}=0$ (resp. $t_{0}=1 / 2$ ) if $t$ is odd (resp. even). Consider the set of (half-)integers $\mathbb{Z}^{\prime}=$ $t_{0}+\mathbb{Z}$. Each vector of (half-)integers $\vec{V}=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t-1}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime t}$ is called a $V_{t}$-coding if the following conditions hold: (i) $\left\{v_{i}-i \bmod t: i=0, \ldots, t-1\right\}$ is equal to $t_{0}+\{0,1, \ldots, t-1\}$, (ii) $v_{0}+v_{1}+\cdots+v_{t-1}=0$, (iii) $v_{0}>v_{1}>\cdots>v_{t-1}$.

Theorem 1. Let $t$ be a positive integer and $\tau: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow F$ be any weight function from $\mathbb{Z}$ to a field $F$. Then, there is a bijection $\phi_{t}: \lambda \mapsto \vec{V}=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t-1}\right)$ from $t$-cores onto $V_{t}$-codings such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\lambda|=\frac{1}{2 t}\left(v_{0}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}+\cdots+v_{t-1}^{2}\right)-\frac{t^{2}-1}{24} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{h \in \mathscr{H}(\lambda)} \frac{\tau(h-t) \tau(h+t)}{\tau(h)^{2}}=\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{\tau(-i)^{\beta_{i}(\lambda)}}{\tau(i)^{\beta_{i}(\lambda)+t-i}} \prod_{0 \leq i<j \leq t-1} \tau\left(v_{i}-v_{j}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{i}(\lambda)=\#\{\square \in \lambda: h(\square)=t-i\}$.
The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section 3. With the weight function $\tau=\sin$, an odd function, we get the specialization stated in the next theorem. Its proof is given in Section 5.

Theorem 2. For any positive integer $r$ and any complex numbers $z, t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}_{r}(\lambda)}\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2}(t z)}{\sin ^{2}(h z)}\right)=\exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{q^{k}}{k\left(1-q^{k}\right)}-\frac{r q^{r k}}{k\left(1-q^{r k}\right)} \frac{\sin ^{2}(t k z)}{\sin ^{2}(r k z)}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some specializations of Eq. (3) are given in Section 4.

## 2. Exploded tableau

With each partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}\right)$ and each positive integer $t$ we associate several sets of (half-) integers. All these concepts will be illustrated for the case $\lambda=(8,4,3,2,2,1)$ and $t=5$ (see Table 1 ). Note that this case is special, as $\lambda$ itself is a $t$-core, but this property will be assumed most of the time.

The $W$-set of $\lambda$ is a translation of the shifted parts, defined to be the set of all integers of the form $\lambda_{i}-i+(t+1) / 2$ for $i \in \mathbb{N} \backslash 0$ (the partition $\lambda$ is viewed as an infinite non-increasing sequence trailing with zeros). We denote this set by $W(\lambda)$. It is immediate that $W(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\prime}$. It is also clear that there exists a smallest (half-)integral $M=M(\lambda)$ and a largest (half-)integral $m=m(\lambda)$ such that $\{m, m-1, \ldots\} \subseteq W(\lambda) \subseteq\{M, M-1, \ldots\}$.

We say that an element $x$ in a set $X$ is $t$-maximal if is the largest in its congruence class modulo $t$. If $t$ is even, we have $W(\lambda) \subset \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}$. By "congruence classes mod $t$ ", we then mean the congruence classes $\bmod t$ of $1 / 2,3 / 2, \ldots, t-1 / 2$. The set of $t$-maximal elements is denoted by $t$ - $\max (X)$. In the cases further considered, congruence classes will always contain an element, so no maximum will ever be taken over an empty set. It is then clear that $|t-\max (X)|=t$.

We define the $V$-set $V(\lambda)$ of $\lambda$ by $V(\lambda):=t-\max (W(\lambda))$. It is easily seen from the definition of $m(\lambda)$ that no congruence class modulo $t$ can be empty. We also set $W^{\dagger}(\lambda)=W(\lambda) \backslash V(\lambda)$. If $V(\lambda)$ is sorted by decreasing order, we get a $V_{t}$-coding (as proved in Eq. (8)), that will be denoted by $\vec{V}(\lambda)=\phi_{t}(\lambda)$. Thus, the bijection $\phi_{t}$ required in Theorem 1 is constructed.

We also define the complementary set $C(\lambda):=\{M, M-1, \ldots\} \backslash W(\lambda)$, so that the disjoint union $W^{\dagger}(\lambda) \cup V(\lambda) \cup C(\lambda)$ is equal to $\{M, M-1, \ldots\}$. Note that $m(\lambda)=\min C(\lambda)-1$.

The invariants previously defined, such as $V(\lambda), W(\lambda), \ldots$ will also be given the subscript " 1 ", as in $V_{1}(\lambda), W_{1}(\lambda), \ldots$. The invariants attached to the conjugate partition $\lambda^{*}$, such as $V\left(\lambda^{*}\right), W\left(\lambda^{*}\right), \ldots$ will then be written $V_{2}(\lambda), W_{2}(\lambda), \ldots$


Fig. 1. The exploded tableau of the partition $\lambda=(8,4,3,2,2,1)$, with $t=5$. The partition (shaded boxes) appears in an orientation similar to the French orientation for Ferrers diagrams. Therefore, axes are reversed and switched. The $W$-sets of $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{*}$ serve as coordinates and the $V$-sets are underlined. For instance, consider the third box of the second row of the classical Ferrers diagram of $\lambda$, i.e. the second-to-last box on that row. This box now ends up at coordinates $\left(4-2+\frac{5+1}{2}, 3-3+\frac{5+1}{2}\right)=(5,3)$, carrying the entry $5+3=8$. Three diagonal lines separate $\Delta, \Gamma^{+}$and $\Gamma^{-}$.

The exploded diagram of a partition $\lambda$, which we now define, is a basic tool in the construction. The reader is referred to Fig. 1 for an example when $t$ is odd, and Fig. 3 when $t$ is even. We start with a two-dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, and add a $1 \times 1$ box in each position $\left(\lambda_{i}-i+\frac{t+1}{2}, \lambda_{j}^{*}-j+\frac{t+1}{2}\right)$ of the lattice for every $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. This means that there is one box in each element of $W_{1}(\lambda) \times W_{2}(\lambda)$. In contrast to the classical Ferrers diagram, the exploded diagram is thus infinite. The entry of each box in the exploded diagram is defined to be the sum of the two coordinates of the box. When the entry is explicitly written on each box, we shall speak of an exploded tableau.

Boxes of constant entry line up on anti-diagonals. We use this fact to group boxes into different sets. Let $\Delta$ (resp. $\Gamma^{+}$, resp. $\Gamma^{-}$) be the set of all boxes with entries in the range $(t, \infty)$ (resp. $(0, t)$, resp. $(-t, 0)$ ). The set $\Delta$ corresponds to the boxes of $\lambda$ in the classical Ferrers diagram (which are shaded in Fig. 1). In addition, if ( $x, y$ ) $\in \Delta$ corresponds to $\square \in \lambda$, its entry $x+y$ in the exploded tableau is equal to $h_{\square}+t$. The entries lower than $t$ correspond to outside hooks, and there are thus no box with entry exactly $t$.

Given a set $X$, we write $-X$ for the set of opposites of elements of $X$. In the special case of a $t$-core, many of the invariants we just defined are nicely related.

Lemma 3. If $\lambda$ is a $t$-core, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& W(\lambda)=\bigcup_{a \in V(\lambda)}(a-t \mathbb{N})  \tag{4}\\
& V_{1}(\lambda)=W_{1}(\lambda) \cap-W_{2}(\lambda)  \tag{5}\\
& V_{2}(\lambda)=-V_{1}(\lambda)  \tag{6}\\
& W_{1}^{\dagger}(\lambda)=-C_{2}(\lambda) \cup\left\{-M_{2}(\lambda)-1,-M_{2}(\lambda)-2, \ldots\right\}  \tag{7}\\
& \sum_{v \in V(\lambda)} v=0 . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 2. The product given in Eq. (14) marked in a graphical way. First, $B$ is the set of all boxes (shaded or not) located in $\Delta$ with entries in the range [6, 9]. The set $\mathcal{T}_{(-t,-t)}(B)$ is materialized by all the squares appearing in $\Gamma^{-}$. The set $\mathcal{T}_{(-t, 0)}(B) \cup \mathcal{T}_{(0,-t)}(B)$ consists of all the circles appearing in $\Gamma^{+}$. The middle diagonal indicates the diagonal used to fold the boxes of $\Gamma^{-}$, while the dashed squares show the locations where those boxes end up. One example is indicated with the arrow.

Proof. We first prove Eq. (4). The set $W(\lambda)$ consists by definition of the $w_{i}=\lambda_{i}-i+(t+i) / 2$. If $j \geq i>0$, then all hook lengths in the interval $\left[w_{i}-w_{j+1}-1, w_{i}-w_{j}+1\right]$ appear in row $i$ of $\lambda$. Hence if $w_{i}-w_{j}<t$ and $w_{i}-w_{j+1}>t$, then $t$ must appear as a hook length in row $i$ and $\lambda$ would not be a $t$-core. So for any $i$, there must always exist a $j>i$ with $w_{i}-w_{j}=t$.

It is a classical lemma in combinatorics that for any partition $\lambda$, the two sets $\left\{\lambda_{i}-i+1 / 2: i \geq 1\right\}$ and $-\left\{\lambda_{i}^{*}-i+1 / 2\right.$ : $i \geq 1\}$ are disjoint and that their union is $\mathbb{Z}+1 / 2$. The sets $W_{1}(\lambda)$ and $-W_{2}(\lambda)$ are merely translates of these two classical sets. In light of Eq. (4) the sets $W_{1}$ and $-W_{2}$ intersect in just one point for each congruence class mod $t$. It is easy to show that the set of all those points is actually $V_{1}(\lambda)$ or $-V_{2}(\lambda)$ (Eqs. (5) and (6)).

Eq. (7) is a quick consequence of the previous three. A proof of identity (8) by using the Durfee square of the partition $\lambda$ can be found in [6].

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Throughout the proof we will use the example of $\lambda=(8,4,3,2,2,1)$ and $t=5$, as illustrated in Fig. 2 (for $t$ odd) and Fig. 3 (for $t$ even). When $t$ is even, both coordinates are half-integers. The entries are still integral and the argument carries through identically. Let $\mathcal{T}_{(a, b)}: \mathbb{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\prime}$ denote the translation defined by $\mathcal{T}_{(a, b)}(x, y)=(x+a, y+b)$. We now need the following easy results:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{1}_{W_{1} \times W_{2}^{\dagger}}+\mathbf{1}_{W_{1}^{\dagger} \times W_{2}}=\mathbf{1}_{W_{1} \times W_{2} \backslash V_{1} \times V_{2}}+\mathbf{1}_{W_{1}^{\dagger} \times W_{2}^{\dagger}}  \tag{9}\\
& \mathcal{J}_{(0,-t)}(\Delta)=\left(\Delta \cup \Gamma^{+}\right) \cap\left(W_{1} \times W_{2}^{\dagger}\right),  \tag{10}\\
& \mathcal{J}_{(-t, 0)}(\Delta)=\left(\Delta \cup \Gamma^{+}\right) \cap\left(W_{1}^{\dagger} \times W_{2}\right),  \tag{11}\\
& \mathcal{J}_{(-t,-t)}(\Delta)=\left(\Delta \cup \Gamma^{+} \cup \Gamma^{-}\right) \cap\left(W_{1}^{\dagger} \times W_{2}^{\dagger}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The first one, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the indicator function, is completely trivial. For the second, assume $\square=(x, y) \in \lambda$, so that $x+y=h_{\square}+t$ and $x \in W_{1}, y \in W_{2}$. Then, its $(0,-t)$ translate, equal to $(x, y-t)$, has entry $x+y-t$ which is nonnegative. Also, $y-t$ is in $W_{2}^{\dagger}$, since $y-t$ is not $t$-maximal. Finally, $y-t \in W_{2}^{\dagger}$ is equivalent to $y \in W_{2}$. The other two identities follow similarly.


Fig. 3. Analog of Fig. 2, but for the partition $\lambda=(8,5,4,1,1,1)$, with $t=6$.
Proof of Theorem 1. For any set $B$ of boxes in the exploded tableau let

$$
\|B\|:=\prod_{(x, y) \in B} \tau(x+y) .
$$

The left-hand side of Eq. (2) can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{LHS}=\frac{\|\Delta\| \cdot\left\|\mathcal{T}_{(-t,-t)}(\Delta)\right\|}{\left\|\mathcal{T}_{(-t, 0)}(\Delta)\right\| \cdot\left\|\mathcal{T}_{(0,-t)}(\Delta)\right\|} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using relations (9)-(12) we can rewrite expression (13) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{LHS}=\frac{\left\|\Delta \cap\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}\right)\right\| \cdot\left\|\Gamma^{-} \cap\left(W_{1}^{\dagger} \times W_{2}^{\dagger}\right)\right\|}{\left\|\Gamma^{+} \backslash\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}\right)\right\|} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This information is summarized graphically in Fig. 2 for our running example (the numerator is the product of the entries in squares containing a value, while the denominator is the product of the entries in circles). At this point the reader is encouraged to consider Fig. 2 to anticipate the next step: we aim to "fold" the boxes in the region $\Gamma^{+}$and interleave them with boxes in the region $\Gamma^{-}$.

Consider the map $\mathcal{F}: \mathbb{Z}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\prime}$, sending $(x, y)$ to $(-y,-x)$. By Eq. (7), this map is a bijection between $\Gamma^{-} \cap\left(W_{1}^{\dagger} \times W_{2}^{\dagger}\right)$ and $\Gamma^{+} \cap\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right)$. It also merely changes the sign of $x+y$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Gamma^{-} \cap\left(W_{1}^{\dagger} \times W_{2}^{\dagger}\right)\right\|=\prod_{i=1}^{t-1}\left(\frac{\tau(-i)}{\tau(i)}\right)^{\beta_{i}(\lambda)}\left\|\Gamma^{+} \cap\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right)\right\| . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|\Gamma^{+} \cap\left(W_{1} \times\left(M_{2}-\mathbb{N}\right)\right)\right\|}{\left\|\Gamma^{+} \cap\left(\mathbb{Z}^{\prime} \times C_{2}\right)\right\|}=\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \tau(i)^{t-i} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of this claim works by observing that in the denominator, the product over all boxes in a given column is always of the form $\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \tau(i)$. For the numerator, the product of all boxes in a given row is also of the form $\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \tau(i)$, except for the highest $t-1$ rows, which end up producing the right-hand side. We are left to count the multiplicities of the full product $\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \tau(i)$ in numerator and denominator, and get $\left|W_{1} \cap\left[-M_{2}, \infty\right)\right|-t=\left|V_{1} \cup-C_{2}\right|-t=\left|C_{2}\right|$ by Eq. (7). This proves Eq. (16). Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|\Gamma^{+} \cap\left(W_{1} \times W_{2}\right)\right\|}{\left\|\Gamma^{+} \cap\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right)\right\|}=\frac{\left\|\Gamma^{+} \cap\left(W_{1} \times\left(W_{2} \cup C_{2}\right)\right)\right\|}{\left\|\Gamma^{+} \cap\left(\left(W_{1} \cup C_{1}\right) \times C_{2}\right)\right\|}=\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \tau(i)^{t-i} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Eqs. (14), (15), (17) we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { LHS } & =\prod_{i=1}^{t-1}\left(\frac{\tau(-i)}{\tau(i)}\right)^{\beta_{i}(\lambda)} \frac{\left\|\Delta \cap V_{1} \times V_{2}\right\| \cdot\left\|\Gamma^{+} \cap V_{1} \times V_{2}\right\|}{\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \tau(i)^{t-i}} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{\tau(-i)^{\beta_{i}(\lambda)}}{\tau(i)^{\beta_{i}(\lambda)+t-i}} \prod_{\substack{(x, y) V_{1} \times V_{2} \\
x+y \geq 1}} \tau(x+y) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{\tau(-i)^{\beta_{i}(\lambda)}}{\tau(i)^{\beta_{i}(\lambda)+t-i}} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i<j \leq t-1}} \tau\left(v_{i}-v_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality follows from Eq. (6). This last term equals the right-hand side of Eq. (2).
We still have to prove Eq. (1). For this, we conveniently rely on Eq. (2) with the special weight function $\tau(k)=1+z k^{2}$. By considering the coefficient of $z$ on both sides, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
2|\lambda| t^{2} & =\sum_{h \in \mathscr{H}(\lambda)}\left((h-t)^{2}+(h+t)^{2}-2 h^{2}\right) \stackrel{\text { Eq. }(2)}{=}\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} k^{2}(t-k)\right)+\sum_{0 \leq i<j \leq t-1}\left(v_{i}-v_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(-\frac{1}{12} t^{2}\left(t^{2}-1\right)\right)+\left(t \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} v_{i}^{2}+\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} v_{i}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies the result, thanks to Eq. (8).
When the weight function $\tau$ is either even or odd, the right-hand side of Eq. (2) can be simplified. In the next corollary we assume that $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t-1}\right)$ is the vector obtained by sorting the $V_{t}$-coding $\vec{V}$ according to the congruence classes, i.e., $u_{i} \equiv i+t_{0}(\bmod t)$ for $0 \leq i \leq t-1$.

Corollary 4. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{h \in \mathscr{H}(\lambda)} \frac{\tau(h-t) \tau(h+t)}{\tau(h)^{2}}=\frac{C}{\prod_{k=1}^{t-1} \tau(k)^{t-k}} \prod_{0 \leq i<j \leq t-1} \tau\left(u_{i}-u_{j}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
C= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { if } t \equiv 3 \bmod 4 \text { while } \tau \text { is odd } \\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We need to split the boxes in $\Gamma^{-}$according to the congruence classes for the coordinates of the boxes. We know that $W(\lambda) \subset t_{0}+\mathbb{Z}$. It can be shown that for all $i, j \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, t-1\}$,

$$
\left|\left\{(x, y) \in \Gamma^{-}: x \equiv i+t_{0} \bmod t, y \equiv j+t_{0} \bmod t\right\}\right|=\max \left(0,\left\lfloor\frac{u_{i}-u_{j}}{t}\right\rfloor\right)=\max \left(0, k_{i}-k_{j}-\delta_{i<j}\right)
$$

if $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{i}\right)=\left(i+t_{0}+t \cdot k_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{t-1}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma^{-} & =\left|\left\{\square \in \lambda: h_{\square}<t\right\}\right|=\sum_{\substack{i, j \\
u_{i}>u_{j}}}\left(\left(k_{i}-k_{j}\right)-\delta_{i<j}\right) \equiv \sum_{\substack{i, j \\
u_{i}>u_{j}}}\left(\left(k_{i}+k_{j}\right)+\delta_{i<j}\right) \bmod 2 \\
& \equiv(t-1)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} k_{i}\right)+\frac{t(t-1)}{2}+\operatorname{sgn} \prod_{i<j}\left(u_{i}-u_{j}\right) \bmod 2 . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 2
The example $\lambda=(8,5,4,1,1,1)$ with $t=6$.

| $\lambda$ | $(8,5,4,1,1,1)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $W(\lambda)$ | $\left\{\frac{21}{2}, \frac{13}{2}, \frac{9}{2}, \frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{7}{2},-\frac{9}{2},-\frac{11}{2},-\frac{13}{2},-\frac{15}{2},-\frac{17}{2},-\frac{19}{2},-\frac{21}{2}, \ldots\right\}$ |
| $V(\lambda)$ | $\left\{\frac{21}{2}, \frac{13}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{7}{2},-\frac{9}{2},-\frac{17}{2}\right\}$ |
| $W^{\dagger}(\lambda)$ | $\left\{\frac{9}{2}, \frac{1}{2},-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{11}{2},-\frac{13}{2},-\frac{15}{2},-\frac{19}{2},-\frac{21}{2}, \ldots\right\}$ |
| $M(\lambda)$ | $\frac{21}{2}$ |
| $m(\lambda)$ | $-\frac{7}{2}$ |
| $C(\lambda)$ | $\left\{\frac{19}{2}, \frac{17}{2}, \frac{15}{2}, \frac{11}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2},-\frac{5}{2}\right\}$ |
| $\lambda^{*}$ | $(6,3,3,3,2,1,1,1)$ |
| $W_{2}(\lambda)$ | $\left\{\frac{17}{2}, \frac{9}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2},-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{5}{2},-\frac{7}{2},-\frac{11}{2},-\frac{13}{2},-\frac{15}{2},-\frac{17}{2},-\frac{19}{2},-\frac{21}{2},-\frac{23}{2}, \ldots\right\}$ |
| $V_{2}(\lambda)$ | $\left\{\frac{17}{2}, \frac{9}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{1}{2},-\frac{13}{2},-\frac{21}{2}\right\}$ |
| $W_{2}^{\dagger}(\lambda)$ | $\left\{\frac{5}{2},-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{5}{2},-\frac{7}{2},-\frac{11}{2},-\frac{15}{2},-\frac{17}{2},-\frac{19}{2},-\frac{23}{2}, \ldots\right\}$ |
| $M_{2}(\lambda)$ | $\frac{17}{2}$ |
| $m_{2}(\lambda)$ | $-\frac{11}{2}$ |
| $C_{2}(\lambda)$ | $\left\{\frac{15}{2}, \frac{13}{2}, \frac{11}{2}, \frac{3}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{9}{2}\right\}$ |

We know (by Eq. (8)) that $0=\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} u_{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{t-1}\left(t_{0}+i+k_{i} t\right.$ ), which gives $-\sum k_{i}=\frac{t-1}{2}+t_{0}$. Together with Eq. (20), this easily gives $C=(-1)^{\left(t_{0}-1 / 2\right)(t-1)}$, which is merely a restatement of Eq. (19) (see Table 2).

## 4. Specializations

We derive some specializations of the multiset hook length formula (Theorem 1). The simplest non-trivial example is the case where the weight function $\tau(x)=x$. Theorem 1 is then equivalent to Theorem 1.1 in [8], which provides a combinatorial proof of a hook length formula due to Nekrasov and Okounkov [18, formula (6.12)] (see also Eq. (22)) by using the Macdonald identities for $A_{t}$ [15]. When we take $\tau=\sin$, which is an odd function, thanks to some properties of the function sin (see Lemma 12), we derive Theorem 2 in Section 5.

If $r$ equals 1 , we obtain the following hook length formula.
Theorem $5(r=1)$. For any complex numbers $z$ and $t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}(\lambda)}\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2}(t z)}{\sin ^{2}(h z)}\right)=\exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k}}{k\left(1-q^{k}\right)}\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2}(t k z)}{\sin ^{2}(k z)}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown that formula (21) is equivalent to the combination of the two identities (2.4) and (2.7) in the paper written by Iqbal et al. [11]. Those authors have made use of the cyclic symmetry of the topological vertex [1,19]. When $t=0$ in Theorem 5 , we obtain the classical generating function for partitions.

Corollary $6(r=1, t=0)$. We have

$$
\sum_{\lambda} q^{|\lambda|}=\exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k}}{k\left(1-q^{k}\right)}\right)=\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^{m}}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\sin (a z)}{\sin (b z)}=\frac{a-a^{3} z^{2} / 6+\cdots}{b-b^{3} z^{2} / 6+\cdots}
$$

Eq. (21) becomes

$$
\sum_{\lambda} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{h \in \mathscr{H}(\lambda)}\left(1-\frac{t^{2}}{h^{2}}\right)=\exp \left(\sum_{k} \frac{q^{k}}{k\left(1-q^{k}\right)}\left(1-t^{2}\right)\right)
$$

when $z=0$. We also obtain the following hook formula due to Nekrasov and Okounkov [18, Equation (6.12)] (see also [8]).
Corollary 7 ( $r=1, z=0$ ). For any complex number $\beta$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{h \in \mathscr{H}(\lambda)}\left(1-\frac{\beta}{h^{2}}\right)=\prod_{m}\left(1-q^{m}\right)^{\beta-1} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{itz}}=s$ and $q=q s$ in Theorem 5. Eq. (21) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}(\lambda)}\left(s+\frac{s^{2}-2 s+1}{4 \sin ^{2}(h z)}\right)=\exp \left(\sum_{k} \frac{q^{k}}{k\left(1-s^{k} q^{k}\right)}\left(s^{k}+\frac{s^{2 k}-2 s^{k}+1}{4 \sin ^{2}(k z)}\right)\right) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $s=0$ yields the following corollary.
Corollary $8\left(r=1, \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{itz}}=0\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}(\lambda)} \frac{1}{4 \sin ^{2}(h z)}=\exp \left(\sum_{k} \frac{q^{k}}{4 k \sin ^{2}(k z)}\right) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that Eq. (24) has the following equivalent form:

$$
\sum_{\lambda} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}(\lambda)} \frac{1}{2-2 \cos (h z)}=\exp \left(\sum_{k} \frac{q^{k}}{2 k(1-\cos (k z))}\right),
$$

or, $\operatorname{since} \sinh (x)=-\mathrm{i} \sin (i x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}(\lambda)}\left(-\frac{1}{4 \sinh ^{2}(h z)}\right)=\exp \left(\sum_{k} \frac{q^{k}}{k}\left(-\frac{1}{4 \sinh ^{2}(k z)}\right)\right) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (25) and Equation (7.25) in [18] are the same. Minor typos are to be corrected in the latter paper.
Let $s=-1$ in Eq. (23). We immediately have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}(\lambda)}\left(-1+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2}(h z)}\right)=\exp \left(\sum_{k} \frac{q^{k}}{k\left(1-(-1)^{k} q^{k}\right)}\left((-1)^{k}+\frac{2-2(-1)^{k}}{4 \sin ^{2}(k z)}\right)\right) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary $9\left(r=1, \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{itz}}=-1\right)$. We have

$$
\sum_{\lambda} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{h \in \mathscr{H}(\lambda)} \cot ^{2}(z h)=\exp \left(\sum_{k \geq 1}\left(\frac{q^{2 k-1} \cot ^{2}((2 k-1) z)}{(2 k-1)\left(1+q^{2 k-1}\right)}+\frac{q^{2 k}}{2 k\left(1-q^{2 k}\right)}\right)\right) .
$$

When $r=1$ and $t=2$, Eq. (3) becomes the Jacobi triple product identity.
Corollary $10(r=1, t=2)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{n \geq 0}\left(1+a x^{n+1}\right)\left(1+x^{n} / a\right)\left(1-x^{n+1}\right)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} a^{n} x^{n(n+1) / 2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2

We use a Macdonald identity for the proof of our theorem. Let $t$ be a positive integer. Milne [17] and Leĭbenzon [14] provide the following version of Macdonald's identity [15] for the type $A_{t}$ : let $\mathbb{M}_{t}=\left\{\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{t}} \mid a_{1}+a_{2}+\right.$ $\left.\cdots a_{t}=1+2+\cdots+t\right\}$. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote the residue of $a$ modulo $t$ by res $a \in \mathbb{Z} / t \mathbb{Z}$. For each sequence $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{t}\right)$ of residues modulo $t$ define the number $\epsilon\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{t}\right)$ to be equal to 0 or $\pm 1$ according to the following rules: if $b_{i}$ is different from $b_{j}$ whenever $i$ and $j$ are distinct, $i . e$. $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{t}\right)$ is a permutation of the sequence $\left(\operatorname{res}_{t} 1\right.$, res $_{t} 2, \ldots$, res $_{t} t$ ), then $\epsilon\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{t}\right)$ is the sign of the permutation; otherwise, let $\epsilon\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{t}\right)=0$. For each $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{t}$ let $\epsilon(\mathbf{a})=\epsilon\left(\right.$ res $_{t} a_{1}$, res $_{t} a_{2}, \ldots$, res $\left._{t} a_{t}\right)$ and

$$
\Omega(\mathbf{a})=\frac{1}{2 t}\left(a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+\cdots+a_{t}^{2}-1^{2}-2^{2}-\cdots-t^{2}\right) .
$$

The Macdonald identity is then rewritten in the following form.
Theorem 11. For every $t \geq 2$ the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{m \geq 1}\left(\left(1-q^{m}\right)^{t-1} \prod_{1 \leq j<i \leq t}\left(1-\frac{x_{i}}{x_{j}} q^{m-1}\right)\left(1-\frac{x_{j}}{x_{i}} q^{m}\right)\right)=\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{M}_{t}} \epsilon(\mathbf{a}) q^{\Omega(\mathbf{a})} x_{1}^{1-a_{1}} \cdots x_{t}^{t-a_{t}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in the ring of formal power series in $q$ with coefficients from the ring of Laurent polynomials in $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t}$.

Let $t=2 t^{\prime}+1$ be an odd integer. The right-hand side of Eq. (28) reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(q) & =\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{M}_{t} \\
a_{i} \equiv i=1 \bmod t}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} \epsilon(\sigma) q^{\Omega(\mathbf{a})} x_{1}^{1-\sigma\left(a_{1}\right)} \cdots x_{t}^{t-\sigma\left(a_{t}\right)} \\
& =x_{1}^{1} x_{2}^{2} \cdots x_{t}^{t} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{M}_{t} \\
a_{i} \equiv i-1 \bmod t}} q^{\Omega(\mathbf{a})} \operatorname{det}\left(x_{i}^{-a_{j}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t-1}\right)$ be a sequence defined by

$$
u_{i}=a_{i+t^{\prime}+2}-t^{\prime}-1
$$

where $a_{t+j}=a_{j}$. Then $u_{i} \equiv a_{i+t^{\prime}+2}-t^{\prime}-1 \equiv i+t^{\prime}+2-1-t^{\prime}-1(\bmod t)$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} u_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{t}-t\left(t^{\prime}+1\right)=$ $t(t-1) / 2-t\left(t^{\prime}+1\right)=0$, so that the sorted vector $\vec{V}$ of $\mathbf{u}$ by decreasing order is a $V_{t}$-coding. Let $\lambda=\phi_{t}^{-1}(\vec{V})$ where $\phi_{t}$ is defined in Section 2. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega(\mathbf{a}) & =\frac{1}{2 t} \sum_{i=1}^{t}\left(a_{i}^{2}-i^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 t} \sum_{i=1}^{t}\left(\left(u_{i-1}+t^{\prime}+1\right)^{2}-i^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 t} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} u_{i}-\frac{t^{2}-1}{24} \stackrel{\text { Eq. (1) }}{=}|\lambda| . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence (remember that $t$ is odd)

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(q) & =x_{1}^{1} x_{2}^{2} \cdots x_{t}^{t} \sum_{\mathbf{u}} q^{|\lambda|} \operatorname{det}\left(x_{i}^{-\left(u_{j-1}+t^{\prime}+1\right)}\right) \\
& =x_{1}^{1} x_{2}^{2} \cdots x_{t}^{t}\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{t}\right)^{-t^{\prime}-1} \sum_{\mathbf{u}} q^{|\lambda|} \operatorname{det}\left(x_{i}^{-u_{j-1}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
B(q)=\left(\prod_{m \geq 1}\left(1-q^{m}\right)^{t-1}\right) \prod_{1 \leq j<i \leq t} \prod_{m \geq 1}\left(1-\frac{x_{i}}{x_{j}} q^{m}\right)\left(1-\frac{x_{j}}{x_{i}} q^{m}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(q)=A(q) / A(0)=\frac{\sum_{\mathbf{u}} q^{|\lambda|} \operatorname{det}\left(x_{i}^{-u_{j-1}}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(x_{i}^{-w_{j-1}}\right)} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{w}=\left(w_{0}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{t-1}\right)=\left(0,1,2, \ldots, t^{\prime},-t^{\prime}, \ldots,-2,-1\right)$. Let $x_{i}=\mathrm{e}^{-2 I z(i-1)}$, with $I^{2}=-1$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det}\left(x_{i}^{-u_{j-1}}\right) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 I z(i-1) u_{j-1}}\right) \\
& =\prod_{0 \leq i<j \leq t-1}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 I z u_{i}}-\mathrm{e}^{2 I z u_{j}}\right) \\
& =(2 I)^{n(n-1) / 2} \prod_{0 \leq i<j \leq t-1} \sin \left(u_{i} z-u_{j} z\right) \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det}\left(x_{i}^{-u_{j-1}}\right) & =(2 I)^{n(n-1) / 2} \prod_{0 \leq i<j \leq t-1} \sin \left(u_{i} z-u_{j} z\right) \\
& =(2 I)^{n(n-1) / 2}(-1)^{t^{\prime}} \prod_{k=1}^{t-1} \sin ^{t-k}(k z) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

By the last three equations, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{m \geq 1}\left(\left(1-q^{m}\right)^{t-1} \prod_{1 \leq j<i \leq t}\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2 l z(i-1)}}{\mathrm{e}^{-2 l z(j-1)}} q^{m}\right)\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2 l z(j-1)}}{\mathrm{e}^{-2 l z(i-1)}} q^{m}\right)\right) \\
& =\prod_{m \geq 1}\left(\left(1-q^{m}\right)^{t-1} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq t-1}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2 I z(t-i)} q^{m}\right)^{i}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2 I z(-t+i)} q^{m}\right)^{i}\right) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{t^{\prime}}}{\prod_{k=1}^{t-1} \sin ^{t-k}(k z)} \sum_{\mathbf{u}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{0 \leq i<j \leq t-1} \sin \left(u_{i} z-u_{j} z\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now make use of the following easy properties of the sin function.
Lemma 12. Let $x, y, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}$ be complex numbers such that $u_{1}+u_{2}+\cdots+u_{n}=0$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sin (x-y) \sin (x+y)=\sin ^{2}(x)-\sin ^{2}(y)  \tag{33}\\
& \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \sin \left(u_{i}-u_{j}\right)=\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2 u_{i} I}-\mathrm{e}^{2 u_{j}}}{2 I} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $\tau(k)=\sin (k z)$ in Eq. (19) and using Lemma 12 we obtain the following result.
Lemma 13. For any complex number $p$ and any odd positive integer $t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda \in T(t)} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}(\lambda)}\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2}(t z)}{\sin ^{2}(h z)}\right)=\prod_{m \geq 1}\left(\left(1-q^{m}\right)^{t-1} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq t-1}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2 I z(t-i)} q^{m}\right)^{i}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{2 \mid z(t-i)} q^{m}\right)^{i}\right), \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T(t)$ is the set of all $t$-core partitions.
We can work with the logarithm of the right-hand side of Eq. (35) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k} \frac{-1}{k} \sum_{m \geq 1}\left((t-1) q^{m k}+\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \mathrm{ie}^{-2 l z(t-i) k} q^{m k}+\mathrm{ie}^{2 l z(t-i) k} q^{m k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k} \frac{-q^{k}}{k\left(1-q^{k}\right)}\left((t-1)+\sum_{i=1}^{t-1}\left(\mathrm{ie}^{-2 I z(t-i) k}+\mathrm{ie}^{2 l z(t-i) k}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{k} \frac{q^{k}}{k\left(1-q^{k}\right)}\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2 l z t k}+\mathrm{e}^{2 l z t k}-2}{\mathrm{e}^{-2 l z k}+\mathrm{e}^{2 I z k}-2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 13 becomes the following lemma.
Lemma 14. For any complex numbers $z$ and any odd positive integer $t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda \in T(t)} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}(\lambda)}\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2}(t z)}{\sin ^{2}(h z)}\right)=\exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k}}{k\left(1-q^{k}\right)}\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2}(t k z)}{\sin ^{2}(k z)}\right)\right) . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 5. It is enough to prove that Eq. (23) is true for any complex numbers $z$ and $s$. Let $n$ be a positive integer. The coefficient $C_{n}(s)$ (resp. $D_{n}(s)$ ) of $q^{n}$ on the left-hand side (resp. right-hand side) of Eq. (23) is a polynomial in $s$ of degree $2 n$. For the proof of $C_{n}(s)=D_{n}(s)$, it suffices to find $2 n+1$ explicit numerical values $s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{2 n}$ such that $C_{n}\left(s_{i}\right)=D_{n}\left(s_{i}\right)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2 n$ by using the Lagrange interpolation formula. The basic fact is that

$$
\prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}(\lambda)}\left(s+\frac{s^{2}-2 s+1}{4 \sin ^{2}(h z)}\right)=0
$$

for every partition $\lambda$ which is not a $t$-core (remember that $s=\mathrm{e}^{2 t z}$ ). By comparing Theorem 2 and Lemma 14 we see that Eq. (23) is true when $s=\mathrm{e}^{2 t z}$ for every odd integer t, i.e. $C_{n}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 t z}\right)=D_{n}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 t z}\right)$. This guarantees $C_{n}(s)=D_{n}(s)$ for every complex number $s$.

Recall the following result obtained in [9].
Theorem 15 (Multiplication Theorem). If the series $f_{\alpha}(q)$ and the function $\rho(h)$ satisfy the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{h \in \mathscr{H}(\lambda)} \rho(\alpha h)=f_{\alpha}(q) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for any positive integer $r$, the following identity holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} x^{\# \mathscr{H}_{r}(\lambda)} \prod_{h \in \mathscr{H}_{r}(\lambda)} \rho(h)=\left(f_{r}\left(x q^{r}\right)\right)^{r} \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{\left(1-q^{r k}\right)^{r}}{\left(1-q^{k}\right)} . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

This last result can be used as a transition from Theorem 5 to Theorem 2.
Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\rho(h)=1-\sin ^{2}(t z) / \sin ^{2}(h z)$ in Theorem 15. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\alpha}(q) & =\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{h \in \mathscr{H}(\lambda)} \rho(\alpha h) \\
& =\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{h \in \mathscr{H}(\lambda)}\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2}(t z)}{\sin ^{2}(\alpha h z)}\right) \\
& \stackrel{\text { Theorem } 5}{=} \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k}}{k\left(1-q^{k}\right)}\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2}(t k z)}{\sin ^{2}(\alpha k z)}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\lambda} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{h \in \mathscr{H}_{r}(\lambda)}\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2}(t z)}{\sin ^{2}(h z)}\right) & =\exp \left(r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{r k}}{k\left(1-q^{r k}\right)}\left(1-\frac{\sin ^{2}(t k z)}{\sin ^{2}(r k z)}\right)\right) \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{\left(1-q^{r k}\right)^{r}}{\left(1-q^{k}\right)} \\
& =\exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{q^{k}}{k\left(1-q^{k}\right)}-\frac{r q^{r k}}{k\left(1-q^{r k}\right)} \frac{\sin ^{2}(t k z)}{\sin ^{2}(r k z)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6. Multiset hook-content formula

In this section, we establish a multiset hook-content formula. Let $s_{\lambda}$ be the Schur function corresponding to the partition $\lambda$ (see [16, p. 40], [22, p. 308], [13, p. 8]). Recall the following classical hook-content formula [22, p. 374], [21].

Theorem 16. For any partition $\lambda$ and positive integer $n$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\lambda}\left(1, p, p^{2}, \ldots, p^{n-1}\right)=p^{b(\lambda)} \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{1-p^{n+c_{\square}}}{1-p^{h_{\square}}}, \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b(\lambda)=\sum_{i}(i-1) \lambda_{i}$ and $c_{\square}=j-i$ if $\square \in \lambda$ occurs on the ith row and $j$ th column of the diagram of $\lambda$.
We now state a theorem that provides an alternative approach to the left-hand side of Eq. (2).
Theorem 17. Let $t$ be a positive integer. There is a bijection $\psi_{t}: \lambda \mapsto \mu$ which maps $t$-cores onto the set of all partitions $\mu$ of length at most $t-1$ such that $\left\{\mu_{i}-i \bmod t: i=1, \ldots, t\right\}=\{0,1, \ldots, t-1\}$. Moreover, given any $\tau$ from $\mathbb{Z}$ to a field $F$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\lambda|=-|\mu| \frac{|\mu|+t+t^{2}}{2 t^{2}}+\sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{\mu_{i}^{2}+2(t+1-i) \mu_{i}}{2 t} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{\tau\left(h_{\square}-t\right) \tau\left(h_{\square}+t\right)}{\tau\left(h_{\square}\right)^{2}}=\prod_{i=1}^{t-1}\left(\frac{\tau(-i)}{\tau(i)}\right)^{\beta_{i}(\lambda)} \prod_{\square \in \mu} \frac{\tau\left(t+c_{\square}\right)}{\tau\left(h_{\square}\right)} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, Theorem 2 can also be proved by using the multiset hook-content formula (Theorem 17) and the hook-content formula (Theorem 16). Conversely, the hook-content formula (39) can be derived by using the multiset hook-content formula (41) and Theorem 2. This derivation justifies the name of this section.

Proof of Theorem 17. We give an explicit description of $\mu=\psi_{t}(\lambda)$. Let $a=M_{2}(\lambda)=-\min V(\lambda)$ and $\vec{V}=\phi_{t}(\lambda)$ be the $V_{t}$-coding of $\lambda$. We also set $\mu=\vec{\mu}:=\left(\vec{V}_{i}-t+i+a: i \in\{1, \ldots, t\}\right)$ to be the (ordered) parts of a partition, trailing with at
least one zero. The temporary arrow notation for vectors is meant to emphasize that it is now sorted by decreasing order. The partition $\mu$ may be rewritten as $\vec{\mu}=\vec{V}+a \overrightarrow{1}-\vec{b}$ where $\vec{b}=(t-1, \ldots, 0)$ and $\overrightarrow{1}=(1, \ldots, 1)$. We also know that

$$
\frac{1}{2 t} \vec{V} \cdot \vec{V}=|\lambda|+\left(\frac{t^{2}-1}{24}\right)
$$

(by Eq. (1)), and that $\vec{V} \cdot \overrightarrow{1}=0$ (by Eq. (8)). The statement to be proved is then

$$
|\lambda|=-(\vec{\mu} \cdot \overrightarrow{1}) \frac{\vec{\mu} \cdot \overrightarrow{1}+t+t^{2}}{2 t^{2}}+\frac{\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{\mu}}{2 t}+\frac{1}{t}(\overrightarrow{1}+\vec{b}) \cdot \vec{\mu}
$$

But this follows readily from $\vec{b} \cdot \vec{b}=t(t-1)(2 t-1) / 6$ and $\vec{b} \cdot \overrightarrow{1}=t(t-1) / 2$.
We now move on to Eq. (41). Define $\tau!(i)=\prod_{j=1}^{i} \tau(j)$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\square \in \mu} \tau\left(c_{\square}+t\right)=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{t} \tau!\left(\mu_{i}+t-i\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \tau(i)^{t-i}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The partition $\mu$ can be viewed in the exploded tableau by the set $\left\{(x, y) \in V_{1} \times\left(\left[M_{2},-M_{1}\right] \backslash-V_{1}\right) \mid x+y>0\right\}$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\prod_{\square \in \mu} \tau\left(h_{\square}\right) & =\prod_{\substack{(x, y) \in V_{1} \times\left(\left[M_{2},-M_{1}\right] \backslash-V_{1}\right) \\
x+y>0}} \tau(x+y) \\
& =\prod_{x \in V_{1}} \prod_{\substack{y \in\left(\left[M_{2},-M_{1}\right] \backslash-V_{1}\right) \\
x+y>0}} \tau(x+y)=\frac{\prod_{x \in V_{1}} \tau!\left(M_{2}+x\right)}{\prod_{0 \leq i, j \leq t-1} \tau\left(v_{i}-v_{j}\right)} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Eqs. (42) and (43), together with Theorem 1 suffice to establish (41).

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the French National Research Agency (ANR grant BLAN07-2183619) and the Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik, ETH Zürich, Switzerland for making this collaboration possible.

## References

[1] Mina Aganagic, Albrecht Klemm, Marcos Mariño, Cumrun Vafa, The topological vertex, Comm. Math. Phys. 254 (2) (2005) 425-478.
[2] George E. Andrews, The Theory of Partitions, in: Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, Reprint of the 1976 original.
[3] K. Carde, J. Loubert, A. Potechin, A. Sanborn, Proof of Han's hook expansion conjecture, August 2008. e-prints: arXiv:0808.0928.
[4] Emily Clader, Yvonne Kemper, Matt Wage, Lacunarity of certain partition-theoretic generating functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (9) (2009) 2959-2968.
[5] Dan Collins, Sally Wolfe, Congruences for Han's generating function, Involve 2 (2) (2009) 225-236.
[6] Frank Garvan, Dongsu Kim, Dennis Stanton, Cranks and t-cores, Invent. Math. 101 (1) (1990) 1-17.
[7] Guo-Niu Han, Some conjectures and open problems on partition hook lengths, Experiment. Math. 18 (1) (2009) 97-106.
[8] Guo-Niu Han, The Nekrasov-Okounkov hook length formula: refinement, elementary proof, extension and applications, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 60 (1) (2010) 1-29.
[9] Guo-Niu Han, Kathy Q. Ji, Combining hook length formulas and BG-ranks for partitions via the Littlewood decomposition, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2) (2011) 1041-1060.
[10] Amer Iqbal, Can Kozçaz, Khurram Shabbir, Refined topological vertex, cylindric partitions and $U(1)$ adjoint theory, Nuclear Phys. B 838 (3) (2010) 422-457.
[11] A. Iqbal, S. Nazir, Z. Raza, Z. Saleem, Generalizations of Nekrasov-Okounkov identity, November 2010. e-prints: arXiv:1011.3745.
[12] Donald E. Knuth, The art of Computer Programming, vol. 3, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., London, Don Mills, Ont., 1973, Sorting and searching, Addison-Wesley Series in Computer Science and Information Processing.
[13] Alain Lascoux, Symmetric Functions and Combinatorial Operators on Polynomials, in: CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 99, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 2003.
[14] Z.L. Leĭbenzon, A simple proof of the Macdonald identities for the series A, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 25 (3) (1991) 19-23. 95. Translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. 25 (1991) (3) 180-183 (1992).
[15] I.G. Macdonald, Affine root systems and Dedekind's $\eta$-function, Invent. Math. 15 (1972) 91-143.
[16] I.G. Macdonald, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, second ed., in: Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995, With contributions by A. Zelevinsky, Oxford Science Publications.
[17] S.C. Milne, An elementary proof of the Macdonald identities for $A_{l}^{(1)}$, Adv. Math. 57 (1) (1985) 34-70.
[18] Nikita A. Nekrasov, Andrei Okounkov, Seiberg-Witten theory and random partitions, in: The Unity of Mathematics, in: Progr. Math., vol. 244, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006, pp. 525-596.
[19] Andrei Okounkov, Nikolai Reshetikhin, Cumrun Vafa, Quantum Calabi-Yau and classical crystals, in: The Unity of Mathematics, in: Progr. Math., vol. 244, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006, pp. 597-618.
[20] Grigori Olshanski, Plancherel averages: remarks on a paper by Stanley, Electron. J. Combin. 17 (1) (2010) Research Paper 43, 16.
[21] G. de B. Robinson, A remark by Philip Hall, Canad. Math. Bull. 1 (1958) 21-23.
[22] Richard P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics. Vol. 2, in: Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 62, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999, With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota and Appendix 1 by Sergey Fomin.
23] Richard P. Stanley, Some combinatorial properties of hook lengths, contents, and parts of partitions, Ramanujan J. 23 (1-3) (2010) 91-105
[24] Bruce W. Westbury, Universal characters from the Macdonald identities, Adv. Math. 202 (1) (2006) 50-63.


[^0]:    * Corresponding author.

    E-mail addresses: pdehaye@math.ethz.ch (P.-O. Dehaye), guoniu.han@unistra.fr (G.-N. Han).

