



NORTH-HOLLAND**A Conjecture on Permanents***

Seok-Zun Song

*Department of Mathematics
Cheju National University
Cheju 690-756, Republic of Korea*

Submitted by Richard A. Brualdi

ABSTRACT

We show, by a direct proof, that the $n \times n$ $(0, 1)$ matrix with the last $n - 1$ entries on the main diagonal equal to 0 and all the other entries equal to 1 is never barycentric for $n \geq 4$, which was a conjecture of R. A. Brualdi on permanents.

Let $D = [d_{ij}]$ be an n -square $(0, 1)$ matrix, and let

$$\Omega(D) = \{X = [x_{ij}] \in \Omega_n \mid x_{ij} = 0 \text{ whenever } d_{ij} = 0\}.$$

Then $\Omega(D)$ is a face of Ω_n , the polytope of $n \times n$ nonnegative doubly stochastic matrices, and since it is compact, $\Omega(D)$ contains a minimizing matrix A such that $\text{per } A \leq \text{per } X$ for all $X \in \Omega(D)$.

Let R_n denote the $n \times n$ $(0, 1)$ matrix with zero trace and all off-diagonal entries equal to 1, and $E_{1,1}$ denote the $n \times n$ matrix whose $(1,1)$ entry is 1 and whose other entries are all zero. Let $C_n = R_n + E_{1,1}$.

Brualdi [1] defined an $n \times n$ $(0, 1)$ matrix D to be *barycentric* if

$$\text{per } b(D) = \min\{\text{per } X : X \in \Omega(D)\},$$

where the *barycenter* $b(D)$ of $\Omega(D)$ is given by

$$b(D) = \frac{1}{\text{per } D} \sum_{p \leq D} P,$$

*Supported by Korea Science & Engineering Foundation #921-0100-011-2, and in part by *TGRC-KOSEF*.

where the summation extends over the set of all permutation matrices P with $P \leq D$ and $\text{per } D$ is their number.

Brualdi conjectured in [1] that C_n is never barycentric for $n \geq 4$ and that

$$X_n(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} \beta & \alpha & \alpha & \alpha & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \alpha \\ \alpha & 0 & \gamma & \gamma & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \gamma \\ \alpha & \gamma & 0 & \gamma & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \gamma \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdot & \cdot & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha & \gamma & \gamma & \cdots & \gamma & 0 & \gamma \\ \alpha & \gamma & \gamma & \cdots & \gamma & \gamma & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

is the unique minimizing matrix in $\Omega(C_n)$ for $\alpha = \beta = 1/n$ and $\gamma = (n-1)/n(n-2)$. In [2], Minc showed that $X_n(\alpha)$ is not a minimizing matrix in $\Omega(C_n)$ for $\alpha = \beta = 1/n$ and $\gamma = (n-1)/n(n-2)$, but it is a minimizing matrix for $\alpha = (\text{per } R_{n-1})/d$, $\beta = (n-2)(\text{per } R_{n-2})/d$, and $\gamma = (\text{per } C_{n-1})/d$ with $d = \text{per } C_n - \text{per } C_{n-1}$ among the forms of (1), which implies that C_n is never barycentric for $n \geq 4$. Minc also claimed that $X_n(\alpha)$ in (1) becomes the barycenter of $\Omega(C_n)$ for $\alpha = (\text{per } R_{n-1})/(\text{per } C_n)$; but the barycenter is not correct.

In this note, we give the correct barycenter of $\Omega(C_n)$, and we show that C_n is never barycentric for $n \geq 3$ by a direct calculation from the correct barycenter.

LEMMA 1. *The barycenter of $\Omega(C_n)$ is the form $X(\alpha)$ in (1) with*

$$\alpha = \frac{\text{per } C_{n-1}}{\text{per } C_n}, \quad \beta = \frac{\text{per } R_{n-1}}{\text{per } C_n}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\text{per } C_{n-1} + \text{per } C_{n-2}}{\text{per } C_n}. \quad (2)$$

PROOF. If we write the barycenter $b(C_n)$ of $\Omega(C_n)$ as

$$b(C_n) = \frac{1}{\text{per } C_n} \sum_{P \leq C_n} P = \frac{1}{\text{per } C_n} [b_{ij}],$$

then b_{ij} is the number of permutations P such that the (i, j) position of P is 1 and $P \leq C_n$. Thus $b_{ii} = 0$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$, and for other i and j

$$b_{ij} = \text{per } C_n(i \mid j). \quad (3)$$

That is, $b_{11} = \text{per } R_{n-1}$, $b_{j1} = b_{1j} = \text{per } C_{n-1}$, and $b_{ij} = \text{per } C_{n-1} + \text{per } C_{n-2}$ by changes of rows and columns, for $i, j = 2, \dots, n$ with $i \neq j$.

Therefore the barycenter $b(C_n)$ of $\Omega(C_n)$ is the form $X_n(\alpha)$ in (1) with the required values α, β , and γ in (2). ■

The following lemma is a known result (see [2]).

LEMMA 2. *If $A = (a_{ij})$ is a minimizing matrix in $\Omega(C_n)$ and $a_{pq} > 0$, then $\text{per } A(p | q) = \text{per } A$.*

Let C_n, R_n , and $b(C_n)$ be the matrices defined above. Clearly,

$$\text{per } C_n = \text{per } R_n + \text{per } R_{n-1}. \tag{4}$$

And we have (from §3.4 in [3])

$$\text{per } R_n = n! \left(1 - \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} - \dots + (-1)^n \frac{1}{n!} \right). \tag{5}$$

THEOREM. *For $n \geq 4$, C_n is never barycentric.*

PROOF. Suppose to the contrary that C_n is barycentric. Then Lemma 2 implies that

$$\text{per } b(C_n)(1 | 1) = \text{per } b(C_n)(1 | n). \tag{6}$$

Using (2) and (4), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per } b(C_n)(1 | 1) &= \gamma^{n-1} \text{per } R_{n-1} \\ &= \gamma^{n-2} \cdot \frac{\text{per } C_{n-1} + \text{per } C_{n-2}}{\text{per } C_n} \cdot \text{per } R_{n-1} \\ &= \frac{\gamma^{n-2} (\text{per } C_{n-1} + \text{per } R_{n-2} + \text{per } R_{n-3}) \text{per } R_{n-1}}{\text{per } C_n} \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per } b(C_n)(1 | n) &= \alpha \gamma^{n-2} \text{per } C_{n-1} \\ &= \gamma^{n-2} \cdot \frac{\text{per } C_{n-1}}{\text{per } C_n} \cdot \text{per } C_{n-1} \\ &= \frac{\gamma^{n-2} (\text{per } R_{n-1} + \text{per } R_{n-2}) \text{per } C_{n-1}}{\text{per } C_n}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Substituting (7) and (8) into (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{per } R_{n-2} + \text{per } R_{n-3}) \text{per } R_{n-1} &= \text{per } R_{n-2} \text{per } C_{n-1} \\ &= \text{per } R_{n-2} \cdot (\text{per } R_{n-1} + \text{per } R_{n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\text{per } R_{n-3} \text{per } R_{n-1} = (\text{per } R_{n-2})^2. \quad (9)$$

Now, we consider three cases;

Case 1. For $n = 4$, we have $\text{per } R_1 = 0$, $\text{per } R_2 = 1$, and $\text{per } R_3 = 2$. Thus

$$\text{per } R_{n-3} \text{per } R_{n-1} = 0 < 1 = (\text{per } R_{n-2})^2,$$

which contradicts (9). That is, C_4 is not barycentric.

Case 2. Let n be any odd integer greater than 4. Using (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per } R_{n-3} \text{per } R_{n-1} &= (n-3)!(n-1)! \left(1 - \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} - \cdots + \frac{1}{(n-3)!} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} - \cdots - \frac{1}{(n-2)!} + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \right) \\ &> (n-3)!(n-2)!(n-2) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} - \cdots + \frac{1}{(n-3)!} - \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} - \cdots - \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \right) \\ &= (\text{per } R_{n-2})^2. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality contradicts the equation (9), and hence C_n is never barycentric for any odd integer n greater than 4.

Case 3. Let n be any even integer greater than 4. From (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per } R_{n-2} - n &= (n-2)! \left(1 - \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} - \frac{1}{3!} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \right) - n \\ &> (n-2)! \left(\frac{1}{2!} - \frac{1}{3!} \right) - n \\ &> 0, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\text{per } R_{n-1} = (n-1) \text{per } R_{n-2} - 1, \quad (11)$$

$$\text{per } R_{n-3} = \frac{\text{per } R_{n-2} - 1}{n-2}. \quad (12)$$

Using (10), (11), and (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{per } R_{n-3} \text{per } R_{n-1} - (\text{per } R_{n-2})^2 \\
 &= \frac{\text{per } R_{n-2} - 1}{n-2} \{(n-1)\text{per } R_{n-2} - 1\} - (\text{per } R_{n-2})^2 \\
 &= \frac{n-1}{n-2} (\text{per } R_{n-2})^2 - \frac{n}{n-2} \text{per } R_{n-2} + \frac{1}{n-2} - (\text{per } R_{n-2})^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{n-2} \text{per } R_{n-2} (\text{per } R_{n-2} - n) + \frac{1}{n-2} \\
 &> 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies (9) does not hold for this case. That is, C_n is never barycentric for any even integer n greater than 4. ■

We remark that C_3 is also not barycentric, because $\frac{1}{2}R_3$ is the unique minimizing matrix on $\Omega(C_3)$ (by Theorem 5 in [1]) and $b(C_3)$ is

$$\frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The author wishes to thank the referee for his comments on this paper and to thank Jongseon Suh and Changsung Song for their encouragement.

REFERENCES

- 1 Richard A. Brualdi, An interesting face of the polytope of doubly stochastic matrices, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 17:5-18 (1985).
- 2 Henryk Minc, On a conjecture of R. A. Brualdi, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 94:61-66 (1987).
- 3 Henryk Minc, *Permanents*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl. 6, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1978.

Received 5 August 1993; final manuscript accepted 4 October 1993