Chemical, physical and morphological characterization of ZnNi films electrodeposited on 1010 steel substrate from acid baths containing polyalcohol
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ABSTRACT

ZnNi alloy electrodeposition on a 1010 steel electrode in boric-acid baths containing sorbitol, mannitol or glycerol was investigated by cyclic voltammetry. Electrodeposits obtained galvanostatically were characterized by SEM, EDS and XRD. It was found that in baths containing sorbitol or mannitol, the deposition current density was reduced, but in none of the baths was the initial deposition potential affected. SEM images of deposits revealed that the boric-sorbitol and boric-mannitol complexes refine the grain, even at high $j_{de}$, XRD patterns of the ZnNi deposits produced at $j_{de}=50$ mA cm$^{-2}$, in ZnNi1 bath and in ZnNi1 bath contained sorbitol or mannitol, indicated that the films were formed mainly of Zn and $\gamma$1 phases. To ZnNi2 baths contained glycerol the films were formed of $\gamma$ and $\gamma$1 phases. The Ni content in the deposits produced in the bath without polyalcohol or containing glycerol increased from ~5 to 19 wt.% with increasing $j_{de}$. With sorbitol or mannitol, there was a smaller rise from ~7 to 10 wt.% Ni. Thus, ZnNi deposits providing sacrificial protection can be obtained in baths with or without polyalcohol. The linear polarization method showed that ZnNi alloy deposits from baths contain polyalcohols have great $R_p$.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. Open access under the Elsevier OA license.

1. Introduction

ZnNi alloys have been developed with the aim of improving the corrosion resistance of Zn deposited on steel, since Zn coatings have the disadvantage of rapid dissolution [1–3]. ZnNi alloys are good inhibitors of hydrogen permeation on metal substrates [4,5] and adhere well to the substrate [6]. Thus, they are widely employed in the automotive and aerospace industries [1]. ZnNi alloys are also utilized for catalytic purposes, porous Ni electrodes, which have to have a large active surface with a high Ni content. This electrode is used for its small hydrogen and oxygen overpotentials [7,8].

ZnNi deposition baths described in the literature have ammonium chloride as a component, although nowadays there is a strong tendency to replace this with another electrolyte, owing to the difficulty of removing the nickel from the waste electrolyte [9]. Thus, we have investigated the addition of boric acid and polyalcohols such as sorbitol, mannitol or glycerol [10,11] in place of ammonium chloride. In these preliminary works, Pt was used as substrate as it is inert in acid media.

In the previous studies [10,11], it was shown that polyalcohols have various effects on the composition and morphology of ZnNi deposits. Sorbitol or mannitol led to the formation of more compact deposits with finer grain than baths with glycerol or without polyalcohol. Also, sorbitol or mannitol led to the formation of alloys with a narrow range of Ni contents, from ~6 wt.% to ~10 wt.%, while baths containing glycerol or without polyalcohol formed deposits with varying Ni contents, from ~6 wt.% to ~20 wt.%, as the deposition potential became more negative.

In this paper, the effects of adding sorbitol, mannitol or glycerol to boric-acid based ZnNi alloy electrodeposition baths were studied, with 1010 steel as substrate. Voltammetric and galvanostatic electrochemical tests were performed and the morphology, composition and phase composition of the ZnNi deposits were respectively analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) and X-ray diffraction spectroscopy (XRD).

2. Experimental details

All experiments were carried out at room temperature (25 °C), in a 50 mL glass single-compartment cell. A 1010 steel disk (0.50 cm$^2$), a platinum plate (~2 cm$^2$) and a calomel electrode (1.0 M KCl) were employed as working, auxiliary and reference electrodes, respectively. The AISI 1010 steel, from CSN Co. (Brazil), contained 0.04% P, 0.08% C, 0.3% Mn and 0.05% S. Immediately before each experiment, the 1010 steel electrode was ground with 600 emery paper and rinsed with deionized water. The electrodeposition baths of ZnNi alloy contained various concentrations of sorbitol (C$_6$H$_{12}$O$_6$), mannitol (C$_{$6}$H$_{12}$O$_{$_6}$) or glycerol (C$_{$_3$}$H$_{$_2$}$O$_{$_3$}$) (Table 1). The pH of the freshly prepared ZnNi bath without polyalcohol or with glycerol was initially ~4.0. However, it was found that after a few deposition voltammetric cycles, the pH of these baths decreased to ~3.0 and after pH 3.0, the pH values of the deposition baths decreased slowly, since pH is a logarithmic function of H$_2$O$^+$.
Table 1
Compositions and pH of bath.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baths</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Baths</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZnNi1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>ZnNi1+0.26 M sorbitol</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnNi1+0.26 M sorbitol</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>ZnNi1+0.39 M sorbitol</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnNi1+0.52 M sorbitol</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ZnNi2+0.39 M sorbitol</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnNi1+0.26 M mannitol</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>ZnNi1+0.52 M mannitol</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnNi1+0.39 M mannitol</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ZnNi2+0.52 M mannitol</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) ZnNi1 = 0.55 M ZnSO\(_4\) + 0.33 M NiCl\(_2\) + 0.22 M NiSO\(_4\) + 0.13 M H\(_3\)BO\(_3\).
\(^{b}\) ZnNi2 = 0.55 M ZnSO\(_4\) + 0.33 M NiCl\(_2\) + 0.22 M NiSO\(_4\) + 0.26 M H\(_3\)BO\(_3\).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Potentiodynamic and galvanostatic studies of the ZnNi alloy deposition process

Fig. 1a–c shows voltammetric curves of ZnNi deposition, from plating baths of ZnNi1 or ZnNi2 with various sorbitol, mannitol and glycerol concentrations (Table 1), on to a 1010 steel substrate. To facilitate analysis of the voltammograms, they have been divided into regions I and II, where region I corresponds to the potentials before bulk deposition of ZnNi alloy and region II to bulk deposition. It can be seen in Fig. 1a and b that baths containing sorbitol and mannitol produced virtually identical potentiodynamic deposition curves, while in baths containing glycerol (Fig. 1c), the curves were quite different. The similarity in profile of the sorbitol and mannitol baths was probably due to their being isomers, so that in solutions of boric acid they form boric–polyalcohol complexes [13–15] of very similar structure. Having only 3 carbons, glycerol is not an isomer of the 6-carbon polyalcohols, sorbitol and mannitol, and also it does not form a complex with boric acid, owing to the solution pH of 3.0 [10,16].

The initial deposition potential \(E_{dl}\) of the ZnNi alloy obtained from baths studied here shifted between \(-1.080 \text{ V}\) and \(-1.100 \text{ V}\). However, it was not possible to assert that there was a difference in \(E_{dl}\) of ZnNi alloy, obtained from various baths, because there is the error of 5 mV associated with the reference electrode. Also, it was observed that the \(E_{dl}\) shifted in 10 mV in the same bath.

![Fig. 1. (a)–(c). Voltammetric curves of ZnNi alloy deposition from electrolytic solutions with various polyalcohol concentrations: a) sorbitol, b) mannitol and c) glycerol, where (−−) = ZnNi1, without polyalcohol; (−•••−) = ZnNi1+0.26 M polyalcohol; (−−−−) = ZnNi1+0.39 M polyalcohol and (−−•−−) = ZnNi2+0.52 M polyalcohol, (see Table 1); \(\nu = 10 \text{ mV s}^{-1}\).](image)

Previous studies on the electrodeposition of ZnNi alloy on a Pt substrate from baths containing sorbitol or glycerol [10], or mannitol [11] showed \(E_{dl} = -1.15 \text{ V}\) in all baths. As the previous and the present research were done under the same conditions (the same bath concentrations, electrochemical cell, reference and auxiliary electrode, and potentiodynamic technique), the difference of 50 mV in the \(E_{dl}\) may be attributed to not only the difference in the work functions of steel (\(\phi = 4.5–4.8 \text{ eV}\)) and Pt (\(\phi = 5.65–5.70 \text{ eV}\)) [17], but also to the possible differences in the adsorption of BSC or BMC on steel and Pt substrates in the initial moments of reduction process.

Fig. 1a–c, region I, shows that in the presence of the boric–sorbitol (BSC) or boric–mannitol (BMC) complexes a cathodic wave \(W_1\) was
formed, due to the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). These complexes favored the HER on Pt only in the initial moments of the reduction process (Fig. 2). Oliveira and Carlos [18] investigated zinc electrodeposition in the presence and absence of sorbitol and glycerol and reported that HER occurred in the initial moments of reduction and that an anodic peak of hydrogen molecular oxidation was seen in the positive sweep.

In region II (Fig. 1a and b), it can be seen that the deposition current density \( j_d \) decreased as the sorbitol or mannitol concentration in the bath increased. For example, at \(-1.40\) V the fall in \( j_d \) was ~31% (0.26 M) and ~43% (0.52 M) for both polyalcohols. Also, in Fig. 1a–c there is a cathodic peak \( p_c \) for the bath without polyalcohol or baths containing 0.26 M and 0.52 M glycerol, which disappears in the presence of the boric–sorbitol (BSC) or boric–mannitol (BMC) complexes. The lower values of \( j_d \) in baths containing sorbitol or mannitol were due to adsorption of the BSC or BMC on the electrodeposit and also inhibition of reduction due to the greater molecular volume of BSC or BMC than that of glycerol, thus the BSC or BMC limits the transport of Zn and Ni ions across the metal/solution interface.

These effects could lead to modification of the morphology of the ZnNi electrodeposition. Similar results were obtained for ZnNi [10,11] or Ni [15] electrodeposition onto a Pt substrate. It must be stressed, that during preparation of solutions it was observed that those containing these complexes were more viscous. In addition, the formation of the complex between \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) or \( \text{Ni}^{2+} \) cations and \( [\text{BSC}]^- \) or \( [\text{BMC}]^- \) anions could lead to decrease in the \( j_d \). But, ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometric studies showed that there was not formation of complexes between the \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) or \( \text{Ni}^{2+} \) and \( [\text{BSC}]^- \) or \( [\text{BMC}]^- \) anions [15,18].

Fig. 1c shows that there was no significant variation of \( j_d \) with glycerol concentration, in contrast to BSC or BMC. This implies that glycerol did not adsorb on the electrodeposit and the morphology of the ZnNi film was unaffected by the glycerol.

It must be stressed that there was no formation of boric–glycerol complex, since was not observed a variation in initial pH (~4.0) in the baths containing glycerol in relation to bath ZnNi1, while that for the baths containing sorbitol or mannitol the pH decreased to values below 3.0 indicating the formation of complex BSC or BMC (reaction below).

\[ 2\text{C}_6\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_6 + \text{H}_3\text{BO}_3 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\text{C}_6\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_6/\text{C}_0\text{H}_2\text{BO}_3/\text{C}_138^- + \text{H}^+ \]

In addition, the pH of the plating baths ZnNi1, ZnNi1 + glycerol and ZnNi2 + glycerol was adjusted to ~pH 3.0, inhibiting the formation of products (\([\text{C}_6\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_6/\text{H}_2\text{BO}_3]\)^− and \( \text{H}^+ \)) [18].

Fig. 3a–d shows galvanostatic transients \( (E-t) \) of ZnNi electrodeposition in plating baths without polyalcohol and with 0.39 M sorbitol, or 0.39 M mannitol or 0.52 M glycerol, respectively. These polyalcohol concentrations were chosen, since it was observed, by naked eye, that they afforded better ZnNi deposits. The values of \( j_d \) of 5, 25, 50 and 75 mA cm\(^-2\), for ZnNi deposition, were chosen from voltammetric deposition curves (Fig. 1a–c).

The \( E-t \) transients (Fig. 3a–d) obtained from the baths without polyalcohol (ZnNi1, Fig. 3a) or with 0.52 M glycerol (Fig. 3d) were similar, except in the initial moments of \( E-t \) transients obtained at 50 mA cm\(^-2\). Besides, the transients from baths containing sorbitol (ZnNi1 + 0.39 M sorbitol, Fig. 3b) or mannitol (ZnNi1 + 0.39 M mannitol, Fig. 2c) were similar. These results corroborate the similarities observed voltammetrically between mannitol and sorbitol (Fig. 1a–c). Moreover, in the \( E-t \) transients (Fig. 3b and c) in the baths containing sorbitol or mannitol, the plateau potentials \( (E_{pl}) \) were more negative than those obtained in the baths without polyalcohol or with glycerol, at

---

**Fig. 2.** Voltammetric curves of solutions, B (1.10 M Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), 0.26 M H\(_3\)BO\(_3\)), B + 0.52 M sorbitol, B + 0.52 M mannitol, B + 0.52 M glycerol, all cases at pH 3.0, on Pt electrode, \( \nu = 10 \text{ mV s}^{-1} \).

---

**Fig. 3.** Galvanostatic transient \( (E-t) \) of ZnNi deposition process, at current density of 5, 25, 50 and 75 mA cm\(^-2\), from plating baths: a) ZnNi1, without polyalcohol; b) ZnNi1 + 0.39 M sorbitol; c) ZnNi1 + 0.39 M mannitol and d) ZnNi2 + 0.52 M glycerol (see Table 1).
the same \( j_d \). Thus, it may be concluded from these transients that the presence of the sorbitol or mannitol in the bath inhibited the galvanostatic deposition process, due to adsorption of the BSC or BMC on the electrodeposits surface and the large volume of these molecules.

### 3.2. Characterization of ZnNi electrodeposits

ZnNi deposits were laid down galvanostatically at \( j_d \) of 25, 50 and 75 mA cm\(^{-2}\), with deposition charge density (\( q_d \)) 12.21 C cm\(^{-2}\) (thickness ~5 \( \mu \)m, obtained by theoretical calculation), from baths without and with 0.39 M sorbitol, 0.39 M mannitol and 0.52 M glycerol, so as to investigate the influence of the polyalcohol concentrations and \( j_d \) values on chemical composition, morphology and phase composition, by means of EDS, SEM and XRD, respectively.

#### 3.2.1. ZnNi alloy compositional analysis by EDS

Fig. 4a–d shows the Ni content (wt.\%) in the ZnNi deposits for various \( j_d \) and bath compositions. In the bath without polyalcohol, Fig. 4a, or that with 0.52 M glycerol, Fig. 4d, the Ni percentage in the electrodeposits rose, from ~5 wt.% to ~19 wt.%, as \( j_d \) changed from 25 to 50 mA cm\(^{-2}\). At \( j_d 75 \) mA cm\(^{-2}\) there was then a small fall, ~1 wt.\%, in the Ni percentage. The increase in the Ni percentage with increasing polarization has been attributed to boric acid in the plating bath [10,11,19,20].

The relative amount of Ni in the ZnNi deposit obtained from baths containing 0.39 M sorbitol, Fig. 4b, or mannitol, Fig. 4c, remained in the range of 7 wt.% to 10 wt.%, as \( j_d \) shifted in the range from 25 to 75 mA cm\(^{-2}\). In this \( j_d \) range, the boric acid complexes, BSC or BMC favored slightly the Ni deposition. It must be stressed that such baths...
(containing BSC or BMC) have the advantage of not needing precise control of \( j_d \). Fratesi and Roventi [21] investigated ZnNi electrodeposition from chloride baths containing NH₄Cl and observed that the content of Ni in the alloy remained approximately constant in the range of 10–50 mA cm\(^{-2}\).

These results were similar to the previous results obtained potentiostatically on Pt substrates, in which the Ni content in the deposits obtained from solutions without polyalcohol or with various glycerol concentrations increased from ~6 wt.% to ~20 wt.% Ni, as the deposition potential (\( E_d \)) became more negative, from \(-1.26\) V to \(-1.55\) V, while deposits obtained from baths with various BSC or BMC concentrations showed little change in Ni content, from ~6 wt.% to ~10 wt.% in the same potential range. Another important observation was the similarity in the Ni content, for the same range of \( E_d \) and \( j_d \), in electrodeposits obtained with different techniques and substrates.

It has been asserted that ZnNi deposits containing between 10 wt.% and 15 wt.% Ni give the best sacrificial protection [22], or cathodic protection [23], of steel against corrosion, while others prefer the range of 15–18 wt.% Ni [24]. Thus, ZnNi deposits on to 1010 steel providing sacrificial protection can be obtained in baths with or without polyalcohols in the region of \( j_d \) studied.

The EDS analysis of the ZnNi electrodeposits led to the conclusion that the Zn and Ni codeposition was of the anomalous type.

3.2.2. ZnNi alloy morphological analysis by SEM

Fig. 5a–m shows micrographs of the ZnNi deposits. The deposits obtained from baths without polyalcohol (Fig. 5a–c) or containing glycerol (Fig. 5j–l), whose potentiodynamic deposition curves were similar, will be analyzed first. It can be seen (Fig. 5a and c) that deposits obtained from bath ZnNi1 at 25 and 75 mA cm\(^{-2}\) were less compact. At \( j_d \) 50 mA cm\(^{-2}\) (Fig. 5b), the deposit was compact with round grains of size ~3 μm, as were those obtained from bath ZnNi2 + 0.52 M glycerol (Fig. 5k and l), at \( j_d \) 50 and 75 mA cm\(^{-2}\). In addition, in the deposit obtained from this bath at \( j_d \) 25 mA cm\(^{-2}\) (Fig. 5i), the grains had various geometric forms with well defined corners. Moreover, Fig. 5j–l shows that the deposits became less compact with increasing \( j_d \).

The deposits obtained in ZnNi1 + 0.39 M sorbitol (Fig. 5d–f) or ZnNi1 + 0.39 M mannitol baths (Fig. 5g–i) were more compact than those discussed above, especially in the sorbitol bath, with round, more refined grains (smaller that ~1 μm) for \( j_d \) 25 and 50 mA cm\(^{-2}\) (Fig. 5d and e), while with mannitol the grains were ~1 μm. For \( j_d \) 75 mA cm\(^{-2}\) (Fig. 5f and i) the deposits were less compact.

The ZnNi deposits with better morphology were obtained at \( j_d \) 50 mA cm\(^{-2}\), in all the baths studied. The best of these was produced in 0.39 M sorbitol, this deposit being the most refined.

These results show that the boric acid complexes, BSC or BMC, work as grain refiners, even at high \( j_d \) (75 mA cm\(^{-2}\)). Potentiodynamic curves (Fig. 1a and b) indicated that the adsorption of the boric–polyalcohol complex on the electrodeposits surface and the great size of these molecules, led to the formation of ZnNi deposits with more refined grains and to a reduction in current density (region II). The morphologies of the ZnNi electrodeposits corroborate the potentiodynamic results. The same results were observed previously in the electrodeposition of ZnNi alloy on a Pt substrate, from baths without and with these polyalcohols.

3.2.3. ZnNi alloy phase composition analysis by XRD

The observed crystallographic distances, \( d(hkl) \), were compared with the expected values given in JCPDS [25]. Fig. 6a–d shows typical X-ray diffraction patterns of ZnNi deposits on 1010 steel, for \( j_d \) 50 mA cm\(^{-2}\). The X-ray diffractograms indicate a
mixture of Zn (hexagonal) and $\gamma^1$ (Zn$_2$Ni, orthorhombic) phases in the ZnNi deposits, to peak of greater intensity (29 = 43.00°) from ZnNi1, ZnNi1 + 0.39 M sorbitol and ZnNi1 + 0.39 M mannitol baths. From ZnNi2 + 0.52 M glycerol bath, to 29 = 43.00°, it was observed the $\gamma$ (Zn$_2$Ni$_5$, cubic) and $\gamma^1$ (Zn$_2$Ni) phases. Considering only peak of greater intensity, it was observed different phases, Zn, $\gamma$ and $\gamma^1$, in the deposits obtained at $j_\theta$ 25 mA cm$^{-2}$ from ZnNi1 + 0.39 M mannitol and ZnNi1 + 0.52 M glycerol baths, and at $j_\theta$ 75 mA cm$^{-2}$ from ZnNi1 and ZnNi2 + 0.52 M glycerol baths the $\gamma$ and $\gamma^1$ phases were observed (data not shown). Thus, the presence of $\gamma$ phases in the electrodeposits obtained from ZnNi2 + 0.52 M glycerol baths can explain the bigger grain size, and Zn phases at $j_\theta$ 25 mA cm$^{-2}$ also can be favoring the formation of the grains with corners, typical of Zn electrodeposits [18]. Moreover, the X-ray diffractograms indicate a ZnO, FeOOH and Fe$_{0.76}$Zn$_{0.24}$O$_{1.165}$ phases (secondary peaks) to different baths, in [18].

In addition, the X-ray diffractograms also suggested the occurrence of the $\delta$ (Zn$_2$Ni$_3$, tetragonal) in all cases and $\beta$ (Zn$_2$Ni, tetragonal) phases, ZnNi1 + 0.39 M sorbitol and ZnNi1 + 0.39 M mannitol baths. The formation of the $\delta$ phase by electrodeposition has been reported [6,26] and suggested by Oliveira and Carlos [10,11]. However, other authors have contested $\delta$ (Zn$_2$Ni$_3$) and $\beta$ (ZnNi) phases formation by electrodeposition [22,27].

3.2.4. Study of ZnNi alloy corrosion by linear polarization

Polarization curve recorded around the open circuit potential, were used to measure $R_p$, on 1010 steel, zinc electrodeposits and ZnNi alloy electrodeposits. The ZnNi alloy electrodeposits were produced in baths without and with 0.39 M sorbitol, 0.39 M mannitol and 0.52 M glycerol, at a current density ($j_\theta$) of 25 mA cm$^{-2}$ and deposition charge density ($q_{jd}$) of 12.21 C cm$^{-2}$ (thickness ~5 μm), and the Zn electrodeposits in 0.55 M ZnSO$_4$ + 0.26 M H$_2$BO$_3$ + 0.52 M sorbitol [18], at $j_\theta$ 50 mA cm$^{-2}$ and the same $q_{jd}$. Polarization curves of ZnNi alloy electrodeposits were also obtained at 50 mA cm$^{-2}$ and the same $q_{jd}$.

Muller et al. [28] investigated ZnNi alloy corrosion and reported that the process was controlled by activation under the conditions of study. In the cathodic branch, the main reaction was oxygen reduction, while proton reduction can be disregarded at pH > 5.0. In the anodic branch, it can be assumed that the ZnNi alloy undergoes oxidation, with precipitation of zinc hydroxide on the electrodeposited due to alkalization during the cathodic process [28]. Thus, the results obtained in this study confirm the processes described here.

Table 2 shows the mean values of corrosion potential ($E_{corr}$) and polarization resistance ($R_p$). It can be observed in Table 2 that the values of $E_{corr}$ for ZnNi alloys (−1.140 V to −1.028 V), irrespective of the baths, lie between the Zn $E_{corr}$ (−1.165 V) and the steel $E_{corr}$ (−0.720 V). Thus, ZnNi alloy electrodeposits generated from the various baths (Table 1) acted as sacrificial anodes.

The electrodeposits produced at 25 or 50 mA cm$^{-2}$ from baths containing polyalcohols showed greater $R_p$ (0.373–0.740×10$^2$ Ω cm$^2$) than those from bath ZnNi1 (0.050–0.177×10$^3$ Ω cm$^2$).

Kury et al. [23] investigated corrosion of the ZnNi alloy and reported that the corrosion resistance is more strongly affected by the structure for the deposit than by its composition. Also, Youssef et al. [29] showed that there was an increase in the corrosion resistance of Zn electrodeposits as the grain size fell. Morphological analysis of the ZnNi alloy electrodeposits (Section 3.2.2) shows that electrodeposits obtained from baths without polyalcohol were dendritic and less compact, while those obtained from baths containing BSC or BMC were more compact, with more refined grains, and from baths with glycerol, more compact. Thus, it is expected that ZnNi alloy deposited from baths contained polyalcohol is more corrosion resistance.

4. Conclusions

Potentiodynamic studies showed that during deposition there was a decrease in $j_\theta$, owing to adsorption of BSC or BMC on the electrodeposits and the large volume of these molecules, leading to a change in the deposit morphology. Galvanostatic experiments confirmed that the presence of these complexes in the baths inhibited the deposition.

SEM examination revealed that both BSC and BMC work as brighteners, even at high $j_\theta$. ZnNi deposits produced in ZnNi1 + 0.39 M sorbitol or ZnNi1 + 0.39 M mannitol baths were compact, with round, refined grains, smaller than or equal to ~1 μm, respectively, while deposits obtained from the bath of ZnNi1 were non-dendritic only at 50 mA cm$^{-2}$ and those from the ZnNi2 + 0.52 M glycerol bath were less refined, with a grain size ~3 μm.

X-ray analysis of the ZnNi deposits produced at $j_\theta$ of 50 mA cm$^{-2}$, in all baths, indicated that the alloys were heterogeneous, composed of a mixture of Zn and $\gamma^1$ (Zn$_2$Ni) phases in the ZnNi deposits from baths ZnNi1, ZnNi1 + 0.39 M sorbitol and ZnNi1 + 0.39 M mannitol. From bath ZnNi2 + 0.52 M glycerol it was observed the $\gamma$ (Zn$_2$Ni$_5$) and $\gamma^1$ (Zn$_2$Ni) phases.

EDS analysis of the ZnNi electrodeposits led to the conclusion that the Zn and Ni codeposition was of the anomalous type. In the bath without polyalcohol or with 0.52 M glycerol, the percentage of Ni in the alloy rose from ~5 wt.% to ~19 wt.% as the $j_\theta$ changed from 25 to 50 mA cm$^{-2}$. In baths containing 0.39 M sorbitol or mannitol, the Ni content remained in the range of 7 wt.%–10 wt.%, as $j_\theta$ shifted from 25 to 75 mA cm$^{-2}$.

Thus, ZnNi deposits providing sacrificial protection can be produced on 1010 steel in baths with or without polyalcohol, in the region of $j_\theta$ studied.

Chemical composition and phase composition results of the ZnNi alloys obtained on the 1010 steel substrate were similar to those on a Pt substrate ($\gamma$ and $\gamma^1$), except for the presence of Zn alloys with Pt, indicating that the substrate did not significantly influence the composition of the ZnNi electrodeposits. However, their morphologies were influenced by the substrate and/or the electrochemical techniques, since ZnNi deposits obtained galvanostatically on 1010 steel were rougher than those obtained potentiostatically on Pt.

The linear polarization method showed that the $E_{corr}$ of ZnNi alloy electrodeposits remained between those of the Zn electrodeposits and the 1010 steel substrate, so that the ZnNi deposits acted as sacrificial anodes. Also, the electrodeposits produced from baths containing polyalcohols showed bigger polarization resistance ($R_p$) than those from the ZnNi1 bath (without polyalcohols).
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