

Summary of Presentations from the 46th Annual Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology (2010) Focus on Tumor Biology and Biomarkers Related to Lung Cancer

Janakiraman Subramanian, MD,*† Luis Corrales, MD,‡ Denis Soulieres, MD, MSc,‡
Daniel Morgensztern, MD,*† and Ramaswamy Govindan, MD*†

Abstract: Globally, lung cancer remains the most common cause of cancer-related death. In recent years, it has become clear that development of rational molecular targeted therapies is critical to improve the outcomes of patients with lung cancer. A better understanding of the tumor biology is crucial to achieve this goal. Several new findings in the field of tumor biology were presented at the 46th Annual Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. Novel genetic mutations were identified in pleural mesothelioma using array-based technologies. Several studies on the development and testing of new molecular diagnostic tests to detect epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase mutations and *EML4-ALK* (Echinoderm Microtubule-associated Protein like 4 Anaplastic Lymphoma Receptor Tyrosine Kinase) fusion gene were presented as well.

Key Words: Lung cancer, NSCLC, Tumor biology, *EML4-ALK*, *EGFR*, Gene expression, *K-ras*, Mesothelioma.

(*J Thorac Oncol.* 2011;6: 399–403)

Lung cancer is the most common cause of cancer-related death in the United States.¹ More than half of these patients present with advanced stage disease and systemic therapy offer only modest benefits. The development of rationally developed targeted therapies is likely to improve the outcomes of patients with lung cancer significantly. Comprehensive understanding of the molecular aberrations in lung cancer is crucial to achieve this goal. In recent years, the introduction of genome-wide profiling technologies including array-based comparative genomic hybridization, expression arrays, single-nucleotide polymorphism arrays, and more recently next generation sequencing has led to notable progress

in our understanding of lung cancer biology. Some of these findings have had significant clinical implications such as the identification of the *EML4-ALK* fusion gene in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).² Treatment with crizotinib, a drug that targets this fusion gene results in striking responses in appropriately selected patients with NSCLC.³ Nevertheless, this fusion gene is identified in less than 5% of all patients with NSCLC. Further studies are required to identify other such unique molecular changes that can be effectively targeted to treat patients with lung cancer. In the recently concluded 46th Annual Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology, results from several studies related to lung cancer tumor biology or biomarkers were presented. We have identified some of the key presentations and summarized their findings in this brief review.

EPIDERMAL GROWTH FACTOR RECEPTOR

Somatic mutations in the kinase domain of epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*) mutations, most commonly in exon 19 or 21, are the most reliable predictors for response to the *EGFR* tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), gefitinib and erlotinib.^{4,5} *K-ras* is an important downstream mediator of *EGFR* signaling and is mutated in approximately 20% of patients with adenocarcinoma of the lung. As *K-ras* mutations are associated with decreased response rates and inferior outcomes in patients treated with *EGFR* TKIs, both *EGFR* and *K-ras* mutations are frequently evaluated as predictors for outcomes in patients with NSCLC.⁶

A retrospective study of 2080 patients with lung adenocarcinoma was conducted to evaluate the frequency of *EGFR* mutations and correlation with clinical variables.⁷ *EGFR* mutations were found in 264 of 540 (49%) of never smokers, 149 of 1188 (13%) of former smokers, and 19 of 352 (5%) of current smokers. Mutations were also more common in women compared with men (23% versus 17%). The fact that *EGFR* mutations are present in men and in those with a history of smoking raises the question whether all patients with NSCLC should be tested for *EGFR* mutations.

Several polymerase chain reaction (PCR)/sequencing-based *EGFR* mutation detection assays are commercially available.⁸ The turnaround time for many of these tests is several days, and the quality of the tumor samples may also limit their ability to detect the mutation. In a search for a

*Division of Oncology, Department of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine; †Department of Medicine, Alvin J Siteman Cancer Center at Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, Missouri; and ‡Department of Medicine, Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, Montreal, Canada.

Disclosure: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Address for correspondence: Ramaswamy Govindan, MD, Division of Medical Oncology, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 S. Euclid, Box 8056, St Louis, MO 63110. E-mail: rgovinda@dom.wustl.edu

Copyright © 2011 by the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer

ISSN: 1556-0864/11/0602-0399

rapid and sensitive assay for the detection of *EGFR* mutations using routine pathology specimens, 219 patients were tested for L858R mutation using real-time allele-specific PCR and for exon 19 deletions by length analysis of PCR products.⁹ *EGFR* alterations were detected in 35 patients (16%), including 21 with exon 19 deletion (9.6%) and 14 with L858R mutation (6.4%). These procedures, performed in paraffin-embedded sections, had a rapid turnaround time of 24 hours.

In a retrospective study to evaluate the prognostic implication of *EGFR* and *K-ras* mutations in never smokers with lung adenocarcinoma, the clinical outcomes for 175 patients with early stage (stages I–IIIA) and 362 patients with advanced stage (IIIB or IV), there was a significant difference according to mutation status.¹⁰ Among patients with early disease, 3-year survival was significantly better in those with *EGFR* mutation compared with wild type (86% versus 71%; $p = 0.02$) and in wild-type *K-ras* compared with mutant *K-ras* (81% versus 36%; $p = 0.001$). In advanced disease, although *EGFR* mutation was associated with improved survival (48% versus 29%; $p = 0.01$), the small survival improvement in wild-type *K-ras* was not statistically significant (40% versus 35%; $p = 0.3$).

Danenberg et al.¹¹ evaluated 838 specimens from patients with colorectal cancer and 1165 from patients with NSCLC for *K-ras* mutations and 649 specimens from patients with NSCLC for *EGFR* mutations. *K-ras* mutations were more common in patients with colorectal cancer than patients with NSCLC (39% versus 23%; $p < 0.001$). Previous studies have shown that exposure to tobacco smoke determines the type of *Kras* mutations identified in the tumor tissue.^{12,13} *K-ras* mutations in tobacco smokers are more likely to be G→T transversions, whereas in never smokers G→A transition mutations are seen more frequently. The type of *Kras* mutation was also analyzed in this study by Danenberg and colleagues. As expected, *K-ras* mutations with tobacco smoking-related G→T transversions were more frequent in patients with NSCLC than patients with colorectal cancer (61% of all *K-ras* mutations versus 39%; $p < 0.001$). In the 447 tumor samples tested for both *EGFR* and *K-ras* mutations, the mutations were mutually exclusive in most, with only four specimens containing both mutations.

MET/VASCULAR ENDOTHELIAL GROWTH FACTOR/INSULIN-LIKE GROWTH FACTOR

Overexpression of *MET* (Mesenchymal Epithelial Transition Factor) has been reported in NSCLC, and the fact that it is phosphorylated in these tumors suggests that it is activated in NSCLC.^{14,15} Resistance to *EGFR*-TKIs has also been associated with *MET* amplification.¹⁶

Cancer and Leukemia Group B conducted a study to correlate *MET* expression, phosphorylation, mutation, and amplification with survival in patients with resected adenocarcinoma. This project will also evaluate the correlation with other markers (*EGFR* and *P53* mutational status and level of expression, *KRAS* (Kristen Rat Sarcoma viral oncogene homolog) exon 2 mutational status, and epidermal-mesenchymal transition) and their impact on clinical outcomes. Interim results from 20 patients identified that the majority of them

(95%) had high expression of *MET* by immunohistochemistry (IHC). Moreover, mutational analysis was performed in 40 patients, and previously unreported *MET* mutations were identified in three (7.5%) patients. Extended results and correlation with survival endpoints are awaited.¹⁷

Insulin-like growth factor receptor (IGF-1R) is associated with tumorigenesis, metastasis, and resistance to therapy in experimental models.¹⁸ Nevertheless, a large phase III trial failed to demonstrate any benefit in unselected patients with NSCLC with the addition of an IGF-1R directed monoclonal antibody.¹⁹

Tissue microarrays of tumor tissue from patients with NSCLC were used to analyze protein expression patterns of *IGF* and *Src* genes.²⁰ The *Src* pathway has been shown to be a potential therapeutic target in NSCLC.²¹ Tumor samples from two independent tissue banks ($n = 352$ and $n = 458$) were incorporated in the tissue microarrays. Expression levels of IGF-1R, IGF-1, IGF-2, phospho IGF-1R/IR, and phospho *Src* were measured by immunofluorescence ($p < 0.05$). The expression of these genes except for *IGF-1* was significantly higher in patients with squamous cell carcinoma than patients with adenocarcinoma ($p < 0.05$). Similarly, the expression of these genes was higher in tumor specimens from current smokers than from never smokers ($p < 0.05$). Results from this study also suggest that phospho *Src* expression may be an independent prognostic factor in patients with NSCLC, hazard ratio 1.02 (1.003–1.038) $p = 0.02$. Both IGF-1R and *Src* kinase pathways seem to be active in NSCLC particularly so in squamous cell carcinoma of the lung. Optimal patient selection and choosing appropriate agents that inhibit these promising pathways continue to be challenging.

EML4-ALK

EML4-ALK is the first fusion gene discovered in NSCLC.² The genes *EML4* and *ALK* are both located in chromosome 2 and the fusion results from an inversion within the chromosome. The *EML4-ALK* fusion gene is unique to NSCLC and has gain of function properties.^{2,22,23} The *EML4-ALK* fusion gene is more frequently identified in younger patients with adenocarcinoma than older patients with other tumor histologies.^{22,24–28} There is also a significant association with never smokers, and they seem to be mutually exclusive with *EGFR* and *K-ras* mutations. Crizotinib is a dual kinase inhibitor with activity against *ALK* kinase and *C-met*. In a recent phase I trial, patients with *EML4-ALK*-positive NSCLC treated with crizotinib had an overall response rate of 64% and disease control rate of 90%.³ It is important to develop robust and easy to use diagnostic methods to detect *EML4-ALK* fusion gene when screening patients for treatment with crizotinib. Both fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) and PCR methods have been used to detect *EML4-ALK*, though FISH is being used in ongoing clinical trials with crizotinib.

Several groups have analyzed archived NSCLC tumor samples to describe the clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients with NSCLC harboring the *EML4-ALK* fusion gene.^{29–33} The frequency of *EML4-ALK* fusion gene ranged

TABLE 1. Clinical Characteristics of Patients with *EML4-ALK* Fusion Gene

| | Kudo et al. ³³ | Varella-Garcia et al. ³² | Soda et al. ³¹ | Danenberg et al. ²⁹ | Rimkunas et al. ³⁰ |
|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| N | 492 | 447 | 384 | 130 | 656 |
| Method | IHC | FISH | RT-PCR | RT-PCR | IHC/FISH |
| ALK positive | 9 (1.8%) | 12 (2.7%) | 20 (5.2%) | 6 (4.3%) | 27 (4.1%) |
| Median age, yr (range) | 53 (26–75) | 66 (60–79) | 50.9 (27–80) | — | — |
| Gender | | | | | |
| Male | 3 (0.9%) | 7 (1.6%) | 5 (2%) | — | — |
| Female | 6 (3.7%) | 5 (1.1%) | 15 (10.9%) | — | — |
| Histology | | | | | |
| Adeno | 9 (3.5%) | 12 (2.7%) | 20 (7.9%) | — | — |
| Squamous | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | — |
| Smoking status | | | | | |
| Never smokers | 6 | 4 (0.9%) | — | — | — |
| Smokers | 3 | 8 (1.8%) | — | — | — |

IHC, immunohistochemistry; FISH, fluorescent in situ hybridization; RT-PCR, reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction.

TABLE 2. List of Mutated Genes in Pleural Mesothelioma

| Genes | No. of Mutations (%) |
|----------------|----------------------|
| <i>BAP1</i> | 12 (22.6) |
| <i>NF2</i> | 11 (19.6) |
| <i>LATS2</i> | 4 (7.1) |
| <i>RICTOR</i> | 4 (7.1) |
| <i>TP53</i> | 4 (7.1) |
| <i>CHEK2</i> | 2 (3.6) |
| <i>LATS1</i> | 2 (3.6) |
| <i>RB1</i> | 2 (3.6) |
| <i>CDH5</i> | 1 (1.9) |
| <i>CDKN3</i> | 1 (1.9) |
| <i>ING1</i> | 1 (1.9) |
| <i>PTPRD</i> | 1 (1.9) |
| <i>RASSF1</i> | 1 (1.9) |
| <i>SDHB</i> | 1 (1.9) |
| <i>SMARCB1</i> | 1 (1.9) |

BAP1, BRCA-associated protein 1; *NF2*, neurofibromatosis type 2.

between 2 and 5%, consistent with previous publications (Table 1). Furthermore, it was detected only in patients with adenocarcinoma histology.^{31–33} In addition, efforts were made to develop newer diagnostic methods to detect *EML4-ALK* fusion gene.

An IHC-based assay using antibodies specific to the ALK kinase has been developed to detect *EML4-ALK* fusion gene.³⁰ To determine the accuracy of this method, FISH testing was done on a third of these tumor samples. There was complete correlation between IHC and FISH results. In another study, IHC was used to detect *EML4-ALK*, but the results were not compared with FISH or PCR.³³ Nevertheless, the results from this study on the prevalence and clinicopathologic characteristics of patients with *EML4-ALK* are consistent with previously reported studies. A multiplex reverse-transcriptase-PCR technique was reported to be effective in detecting *EML4-ALK* fusion gene in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) samples using probes specifically designed

to detect *EML4-ALK* fusion in FFPE samples.²⁹ Positive controls with cell lines that harbor *EML4-ALK* variants and negative control with A549 cell line that does not have the fusion gene were used to validate this PCR method. The authors report 100% specificity and sensitivity more than 99.9% in detecting the *EML4-ALK* fusion gene using this technique.

Even though current clinical trials are using FISH to detect *EML4-ALK* rearrangement, there are advantages to further developing and implementing the PCR and IHC assays. In addition, to identifying the fusion gene, PCR methods can quantify the fusion transcript and specify the type of *EML4-ALK* variant in a particular tumor sample. The IHC-based assay would be easy to use and can provide rapid diagnosis in relatively small tissue samples.

TUMOR GENOME PROFILING IN MESOTHELIOMA

Mesothelioma is a neoplasm arising in serosal cavities, and it is associated with asbestos exposure.^{34,35} Mesothelioma is characterized by frequent deletion of *p16* (80%) gene and loss of heterozygosity in the neurofibromatosis type 2 gene (60%).^{36,37} Patients with mesothelioma usually present with advanced stage disease, and the median survival ranges between 9 and 12 months.³⁸ Global genomic profiling was performed on 53 pleural mesothelioma tumors using expression and copy number arrays followed by targeted resequencing to identify new genetic changes.³⁹ Based on the global profiling, 25 genes were identified and were sequenced in the tumor samples. Of these 25 genes, mutations involving 15 genes were identified by sequencing in the tumor tissue and comparison with corresponding normal tissue was done for the majority of the samples (Table 2). Mutations involving the BRCA (breast cancer)-associated protein 1 (*BAP-1*) gene were identified in 12 (22.6%) tumor samples. It was confirmed by PCR in samples harboring the mutation. Validation was done in an additional 68 tumors samples, and *BAP-1* mutations were identified in 13 (19%) tumors. The exact role of *BAP-1* mutation is not known though it has been previ-

ously identified in a small number of lung adenocarcinoma samples.⁴⁰ There was no correlation between *BAP-1* mutations status and survival, histology, or asbestos exposure. Further experiments are required to clarify the functional role of *BAP-1* mutation in pleural mesothelioma.

MOLECULAR CLASSIFICATION OF NSCLC

Histologic classification plays an important role in determining treatment choices for patients with NSCLC. Data from recent clinical trials have shown that only patients with nonsquamous histology are candidates for treatment with pemetrexed or bevacizumab.^{41,42} Nevertheless, the medical oncologist is often faced with the dilemma of treating patients with tumors classified as NSCLC otherwise unspecified. In an effort to address this perennial problem, a protein-based assay was developed to differentiate between squamous and adenocarcinoma histologies in patients with NSCLC. In a training set of 343 NSCLC tumor samples, the expression of a panel of 24 proteins was compared between adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma using quantitative immunofluorescence.⁴³ A four-protein classifier was developed from this panel to differentiate between adenocarcinoma and squamous histologies. The pathologist's diagnosis was the gold standard against which the assay was compared. The assay had a sensitivity of 96% and specificity of 93% in the training set. The assay was then validated by blinded analysis in two independent cohorts: a retrospectively collected cohort ($n = 197$) and a prospectively collected cohort ($n = 235$). The assay had a sensitivity of 92% and specificity of 97% to differentiate between squamous versus adenocarcinoma histology in the retrospective cohort. In the prospective cohort, the assay yielded a sensitivity and specificity of 96% and 97%, respectively. These results show the feasibility of developing molecular tests that can aid in histologic classification of NSCLC. As histology guides treatment decision in patients with NSCLC, such a test can be a valuable addition to the clinician.

SUMMARY

The identification of *BAP-1* mutation in pleural mesothelioma is a novel discovery, and further studies are required to clarify the functional role of this mutation. The fact that *EML4-ALK* is found exclusively in patients with adenocarcinoma is an important finding that would help the clinician screening patients for ongoing clinical trials on crizotinib and identify patients who are likely to harbor the fusion gene. Using IHC to detect the *EML4-ALK* fusion gene (if validated in further studies) could be useful in cases where sufficient tissue is not available for FISH or PCR. The development of a robust assay using PCR for detection of the *EGFR*-tyrosine kinase mutation from FFPE samples would be useful in the clinic to rapidly and accurately identify the presence of the mutation. The role of IGF, Src, vascular endothelial growth factor, and *MET* as therapeutic targets or as biomarkers requires further investigation.

REFERENCES

1. Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J, et al. Cancer statistics, 2010. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2010;60:277–300.

2. Soda M, Choi YL, Enomoto M, et al. Identification of the transforming *EML4-ALK* fusion gene in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Nature* 2007;448:561–566.
3. Bang Y, Kwak EL, Shaw AT, et al. Clinical activity of the oral ALK inhibitor PF-02341066 in ALK-positive patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *J Clin Oncol (Meeting Abstracts)* 2010;28:3.
4. Lynch TJ, Bell DW, Sordella R, et al. Activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor underlying responsiveness of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. *N Engl J Med* 2004;350:2129–2139.
5. Paez JG, Jänne PA, Lee JC, et al. *EGFR* mutations in lung cancer: correlation with clinical response to gefitinib therapy. *Science* 2004;304:1497–1500.
6. Massarelli E, Varella-Garcia M, Tang X, et al. *KRAS* mutation is an important predictor of resistance to therapy with epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007;13:2890–2896.
7. Pietanza M, D'Angelo SP, Johnson ML, et al. *EGFR* mutations in men and cigarette smokers with lung adenocarcinoma. *J Clin Oncol (Meeting Abstracts)* 2010;28:10538.
8. Cheng H, Xu X, Costa DB, et al. Molecular testing in lung cancer: the time is now. *Curr Oncol Rep* 2010;12:335–348.
9. Denis MG, Theoleyre S, Chaplais C, et al. Efficient detection of *EGFR* alterations in lung adenocarcinomas. *J Clin Oncol (Meeting Abstracts)* 2010;28:10556.
10. Paik PK, Johnson ML, D'Angelo SP, et al. Prognostic implications of mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*) and *KRAS* among never-smokers with adenocarcinoma of the lung. *J Clin Oncol (Meeting Abstracts)* 2010;28:10530.
11. Danenberg KD, Grimminger PP, Mack PC, et al. *KRAS* mutations (MTs) in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) versus colorectal cancer (CRC): implications for cetuximab therapy. *J Clin Oncol (Meeting Abstracts)* 2010;28:10529.
12. Vähäkangas KH, Bennett WP, Castrén K, et al. p53 and K-ras mutations in lung cancers from former and never-smoking women. *Cancer Res* 2001;61:4350–4356.
13. Ahrendt SA, Decker PA, Alawi EA, et al. Cigarette smoking is strongly associated with mutation of the K-ras gene in patients with primary adenocarcinoma of the lung. *Cancer* 2001;92:1525–1530.
14. Olivero M, Rizzo M, Madeddu R, et al. Overexpression and activation of hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor in human non-small-cell lung carcinomas. *Br J Cancer* 1996;74:1862–1868.
15. Ma PC, Maulik G, Christensen J, et al. c-Met: structure, functions and potential for therapeutic inhibition. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 2003;22:309–325.
16. Rho JK, Choi YJ, Lee JK, et al. The role of *MET* activation in determining the sensitivity to epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Mol Cancer Res* 2009;7:1736–1743.
17. Salama AK, Pang H, Kratzke RA, et al. Interim analysis of CALGB 150607: a pilot project to study the expression of *MET* and *P53* in resected lung adenocarcinoma specimens. *J Clin Oncol (Meeting Abstracts)* 2010;28:10633.
18. Chin-Hong PV, Berry JM, Cheng SC, et al. Comparison of patient- and clinician-collected anal cytology samples to screen for human papillomavirus-associated anal intraepithelial neoplasia in men who have sex with men. *Ann Intern Med* 2008;149:300–306.
19. Jassem J, Langer CJ, Karp DD, et al. Randomized, open label, phase III trial of figitumumab in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin versus paclitaxel and carboplatin in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *J Clin Oncol (Meeting Abstracts)* 2010;28:7500.
20. Kim J, Kim ES, Liu D, et al. Comprehensive analysis of expression patterns of insulin-like growth factor and Src pathway in patients with non-small cell lung cancer: two large, independent series of tissue microarray. *J Clin Oncol (Meeting Abstracts)* 2010;28:10531.
21. Haura EB, Tanvetyanon T, Chiappori A, et al. Phase I/II study of the Src inhibitor dasatinib in combination with erlotinib in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:1387–1394.
22. Choi YL, Takeuchi K, Soda M, et al. Identification of novel isoforms of the *EML4-ALK* transforming gene in non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Res* 2008;68:4971–4976.
23. Fukuyoshi Y, Inoue H, Kita Y, et al. *EML4-ALK* fusion transcript is not found in gastrointestinal and breast cancers. *Br J Cancer* 2008;98:1536–1539.

24. Wong DW, Leung EL, So KK, et al. The EML4-ALK fusion gene is involved in various histologic types of lung cancers from nonsmokers with wild-type EGFR and KRAS. *Cancer* 2009;115:1723–1733.
25. Koivunen JP, Mermel C, Zejnullahu K, et al. EML4-ALK fusion gene and efficacy of an ALK kinase inhibitor in lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2008;14:4275–4283.
26. Martelli MP, Sozzi G, Hernandez L, et al. EML4-ALK rearrangement in non-small cell lung cancer and non-tumor lung tissues. *Am J Pathol* 2009;174:661–670.
27. Shaw AT, Yeap BY, Mino-Kenudson M, et al. Clinical features and outcome of patients with non-small-cell lung cancer who harbor EML4-ALK. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:4247–4253.
28. Perner S, Wagner PL, Demichelis F, et al. EML4-ALK fusion lung cancer: a rare acquired event. *Neoplasia* 2008;10:298–302.
29. Danenberg PV, Stephens C, Cooc J, et al. A novel RT-PCR approach to detecting EML4-ALK fusion genes in archival NSCLC tissue. *J Clin Oncol (Meeting Abstracts)* 2010;28:10535.
30. Rimkunas V, Crosby K, Kelly M, et al. Frequencies of ALK and ROS in NSCLC FFPE tumor samples utilizing a highly specific immunohistochemistry-based assay and FISH analysis. *J Clin Oncol (Meeting Abstracts)* 2010;28:10536.
31. Soda M, Inoue A, Isobe K, et al. A Japanese nationwide network for the diagnosis of EML4-ALK-positive lung cancer: a joint study of ALCAS and NEJ004. *J Clin Oncol (Meeting Abstracts)* 2010;28:10534.
32. Varella-Garcia M, Cho Y, Lu X, et al. ALK gene rearrangements in unselected caucasians with non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC). *J Clin Oncol (Meeting Abstracts)* 2010;28:10533.
33. Kudo K, Takeuchi K, Tanaka H, et al. Immunohistochemical screening of ALK lung cancer with biopsy specimens of advanced lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol (Meeting Abstracts)* 2010;28:10532.
34. Price B, Ware A. Time trend of mesothelioma incidence in the United States and projection of future cases: an update based on SEER data for 1973 through 2005. *Crit Rev Toxicol* 2009;39:576–588.
35. Yang H, Testa JR, Carbone M. Mesothelioma epidemiology, carcinogenesis, and pathogenesis. *Curr Treat Options Oncol* 2008;9:147–157.
36. Xio S, Li D, Vijg J, et al. Codeletion of p15 and p16 in primary malignant mesothelioma. *Oncogene* 1995;11:511–515.
37. Sekido Y, Pass HI, Bader S, et al. Neurofibromatosis type 2 (NF2) gene is somatically mutated in mesothelioma but not in lung cancer. *Cancer Res* 1995;55:1227–1231.
38. Vogelzang NJ, Rusthoven JJ, Symanowski J, et al. Phase III study of pemetrexed in combination with cisplatin versus cisplatin alone in patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma. *J Clin Oncol* 2003;21:2636–2644.
39. Bott MJ, Brevet M, Taylor BS, et al. Use of integrated genomic analysis to evaluate BRCA-associated protein 1 (BAP1) as a mutated gene in malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM). *J Clin Oncol (Meeting Abstracts)* 2010;28:10506.
40. Ding L, Getz G, Wheeler DA, et al. Somatic mutations affect key pathways in lung adenocarcinoma. *Nature* 2008;455:1069–1075.
41. Scagliotti GV, Parikh P, von Pawel J, et al. Phase III study comparing cisplatin plus gemcitabine with cisplatin plus pemetrexed in chemotherapy-naïve patients with advanced-stage non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:3543–3551.
42. Sandler A, Gray R, Perry MC, et al. Paclitaxel-carboplatin alone or with bevacizumab for non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355:2542–2550.
43. Anagnostou VK, Botsis T, Killiam E, et al. Molecular classification of non-small cell lung cancer using a four protein quantitative assay. *J Clin Oncol (Meeting Abstracts)* 2010;28:10528.