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#### Abstract

If $A$ and $B$ are $n \times n$ nonsingular $M$-matrices, a lower bound on the smallest eigenvalue $\tau(A \star B)$ for the Fan product of $A$ and $B$ is given. In addition, using the estimate on the perron root of nonnegative smallest eigenvalue $\tau(A \star B)$ for the Fan product of $A$ and $B$ is given. In addition, using the estimate on the perron root of nonnegative matrices, we also obtain an upper bound on the spectral radius $\rho(A \circ B)$ for nonnegative matrices $A$ and $B$. These bounds improve some existing results.
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## 1. Introduction

For a positive integer $n, N$ denotes the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. The set of all $n \times n$ complex matrices is denoted by $C^{n \times n}$ and $R^{n \times n}$ denotes the set of all $n \times n$ real matrices throughout.

Let $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ and $B=\left(b_{i, j}\right)$ be two real $n \times n$ matrices. Then, $A \geqslant B(>B)$ if $a_{i, j} \geqslant b_{i, j}\left(>b_{i, j}\right)$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$. If $O$ is the null matrix and $A \geqslant O(>0)$, we say that $A$ is a nonnegative (positive)

[^0]matrix. The spectral radius of $A$ is denoted by $\rho(A)$. If $A$ is a nonnegative matrix, the Perron-Frobenius theorem guarantees that $\rho(A) \in \sigma(A)$, where $\sigma(A)$ denotes the spectrum of $A$.

For $n \geqslant 2$, an $n \times n A \in C^{n \times n}$ is reducible if there exists an $n \times n$ permutation matrix $P$ such that

$$
P^{T} A P=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} \\
0 & A_{2,2}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $A_{1,1}$ is an $r \times r$ submatrix and $A_{2,2}$ is an $(n-r) \times(n-r)$ submatrix, where $1 \leqslant r<n$. If no such permutation matrix exists, then $A$ is irreducible. If $A$ is a $1 \times 1$ complex matrix, then $A$ is irreducible if its single entry is nonzero, and reducible otherwise.

Let $A$ be an irreducible nonnegative matrix. It is well known that there exists a positive vector $u$ such that $A u=\rho(A) u, u$ being called right Perron eigenvector of $A$.

The Hadamard product of $A \in C^{n \times n}$ and $B \in C^{n \times n}$ is defined by $A \circ B \equiv\left(a_{i, j} b_{i, j}\right) \in C^{n \times n}$.
In [3, p. 358], there is a simple estimate for $\rho(A \circ B)$ : if $A, B \in R^{n \times n}, A \geqslant 0$, and $B \geqslant 0$, then $\rho(A \circ$ $B) \leqslant \rho(A) \rho(B)$. From Exercise [3, p. 358], we know this inequality can be very weak by taking $B=J$, the matrix of all ones. For example, If $A=I, B=J$, then we have

$$
\rho(A \circ B)=\rho(A)=1 \ll \rho(A) \rho(B)=n
$$

when $n$ is very large. But also clearly show that equality can occur (let $A=I$ and $B=I$ ).
Recently, Fang [4] gave an upper bound for $\rho(A \circ B)$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(A \circ B) \leqslant \max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left\{2 a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+\rho(A) \rho(B)-a_{i, i} \rho(B)-b_{i, i} \rho(A)\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is shaper than the bound $\rho(A) \rho(B)$ in [3, p. 358].
For two nonnegative matrices $A, B$, we will give a new upper bound for $\rho(A \circ B)$ in Section 2. The bound is shaper than the bound $\rho(A) \rho(B)$ in [3, p. 358] and the bound $\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left\{2 a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+\right.$ $\left.\rho(A) \rho(B)-a_{i, i} \rho(B)-b_{i, i} \rho(A)\right\}$ in [4].

The set $Z_{n} \subset R^{n \times n}$ is defined by

$$
Z_{n}=\left\{A=\left(a_{i, j}\right) \in R^{n \times n}: a_{i, j} \leqslant 0 \quad \text { if } i \neq j, i, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

the simple sign patten of the matrices in $Z_{n}$ has many striking consequences. Let $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right) \in Z_{n}$ and suppose $A=\alpha I-P$ with $\alpha \in R$ and $P \geqslant 0$. Then $\alpha-\rho(P)$ is an eigenvalue of $A$, every eigenvalue of $A$ lies in the disc $\{z \in C:|z-\alpha| \leqslant \rho(P)\}$, and hence every eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $A$ satisfies $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geqslant \alpha-\rho(P)$. In particular, $A$ is an $M$-matrix if and only if $\alpha>\rho(P)$. If $A$ is an $M$-matrix, one may always write $A=\gamma I-P$ with $\gamma=\max \left\{a_{i, i}: i=1, \ldots, n\right\}, P=\gamma I-A \geqslant 0$; necessarily, $\gamma>\rho(P)$.

If $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in Z_{n}$, and if we denote $\min \{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda): \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$ by $\tau(A)$. Basic for our purpose are the following simple facts (see Problem 16, 19 and 28 in Section 2.5 of [3]):
(i) $\tau(A) \in \sigma(A) ; \tau(A)$ is called the minimum eigenvalue of $A$.
(ii) If $A, B \in Z_{n}$, and $A \geqslant B$, then $\tau(A) \geqslant \tau(B)$.
(iii) If $A \in Z_{n}$, then $\rho\left(A^{-1}\right)$ is the Perron eigenvalue of the nonnegative matrix $A^{-1}$, and $\tau(A)=$ $\frac{1}{\rho\left(A^{-1}\right)}$ is a positive real eigenvalue of $A$.

Let $A$ be an irreducible nonsingular $M$-matrix. It is well known that there exists a positive vector $u$ such that $A u=\tau(A) u, u$ being called right Perron eigenvector of $A$.

Let $A \in C^{n \times n}, B \in C^{n \times n}$. The Fan product of $A$ and $B$ is denoted by $A \star B \equiv C=\left(c_{i, j}\right) \in C^{n \times n}$ and is defined by

$$
c_{i j}= \begin{cases}-a_{i j} b_{i j}, & \text { if } i \neq j \\ a_{i, i} b_{i, i}, & \text { if } i=j\end{cases}
$$

If $A, B \in Z_{n}$ are $M$-matrices, then so is $A \star B$. In [3, p. 359], a lower bound for $\tau(A \star B)$ was given: Let $A, B \in Z_{n}$ be $M$-matrices. Then $A^{-1} \circ B^{-1} \geqslant(A \star B)^{-1}$, and hence $\tau(A \star B) \geqslant \tau(A) \tau(B)$. Fang [4] gave a sharper lower bound for $\tau(A \star B)$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(A \star B) \geqslant \min _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left\{a_{i, i} \tau(B)+b_{i, i} \tau(A)-\tau(A) \tau(B)\right\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For two nonsingular $M$-matrices $A$ and $B$, we will give a new lower bound for $\tau(A \star B)$ in Section 3 .

## 2. Inequalities for the Hadamard product of nonnegative matrices

In this section, we will give an upper bound for $\rho(A \circ B)$. In order to prove our results, we first give some Lemmas.

Lemma 1 [1]. Let $A \in R^{n \times n}$ be given. Then either $A$ is irreducible or there exists a permutation $P$ such that

$$
P^{T} A P=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
R_{1,1} & R_{1,2} & \cdots & R_{1, m}  \tag{3}\\
0 & R_{2,2} & \cdots & R_{2, m} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & R_{m, m}
\end{array}\right] \text {, }
$$

where each square submatrix $R_{j, j}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$, is either irreducible or a $1 \times 1$ null matrix.
Remark 1. Eq. (3) is said to be the normal form of a reducible matrix $A$. Clearly, the eigenvalues of $A$ are the eigenvalues of the square submatrices $R_{j,}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$ (cf. [5]).

Lemma 2 [1].Let $A \in R^{n \times n}$ be a nonnegative matrix. If $A_{k}$ is a principal submatrix of $A$, then $\rho\left(A_{k}\right) \leqslant \rho(A)$. If, in addition, $A$ is irreducible and $A_{k} \neq A$, then $\rho\left(A_{k}\right)<\rho(A)$.

Lemma 3 [2]. Let $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right) \in R^{n \times n}$ be a nonnegative matrix. Then

$$
\rho(A) \leqslant \max _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i}+a_{j, j}+\left[\left(a_{i, i}-a_{j, j}\right)^{2}+4 \sum_{k \neq i} a_{i, k} \sum_{k \neq j} a_{j, k}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} .
$$

Lemma 4 [3]. Let $A, B \in C^{n \times n}$ and if $D \in C^{n \times n}$ and $E \in C^{n \times n}$ are diagonal, then

$$
D(A \circ B) E=(D A E) \circ B=(D A) \circ(B E)=(A E) \circ(D B)=A \circ(D B E) .
$$

Theorem 4. If $A, B \in R^{n \times n}, A \geqslant 0$, and $B \geqslant 0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho(A \circ B) \leqslant & \max _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}+\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j,} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(\rho(A)-a_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(A)-a_{j, j}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{j, j}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It is clear that (4) holds with equality for $n=1$.
We next assume that $n \geqslant 2$.
If $A \circ B$ is irreducible, then $A$ and $B$ are irreducible. From Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(A)-a_{i, i}>0 \quad \forall i \in N \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(B)-b_{i, i}>0 \quad \forall i \in N . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right), B=\left(b_{i, j}\right)$ are nonnegative irreducible, then there exists two positive vectors $u, v$ such that $A u=\rho(A) u, B v=\rho(B) v$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i, i}+\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{a_{i, j} u_{j}}{u_{i}}=\rho(A) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i, i}+\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{b_{i, j} v_{j}}{v_{i}}=\rho(B) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $U=\operatorname{diag}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right), V=\operatorname{diag}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$. We know that $U$ and $V$ are nonsingular diagonal matrices. Let $\widehat{A}=\left(\hat{a}_{i j}\right)=U^{-1} A U$ and $\widehat{B}=\left(\hat{b}_{i j}\right)=V^{-1} B V$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{A}=\left(\hat{a}_{i, j}\right)=U^{-1} A U=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1,1} & \frac{a_{1,2} u_{2}}{u_{1}} & \ldots & \frac{a_{1, n} u_{n}}{u_{n}} \\
\frac{a_{1,1} u_{1}}{u_{2}} & a_{2,2} & \ldots & \frac{a_{2, n} u_{n}}{u_{2}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{a_{n, 1} u_{1}}{u_{n}} & \frac{a_{n, 2} u_{2}}{u_{n}} & \ldots & a_{n, n}
\end{array}\right], \\
& \widehat{B}=\left(\hat{b}_{i, j}\right)=V^{-1} B V=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
b_{1,1} & \frac{b_{1,2} v_{2}}{v_{1}} & \ldots & \frac{b_{1, n} v_{n}}{v_{1}} \\
\frac{b_{2,1,} v_{1}}{v_{2}} & b_{2,2} & \ldots & \frac{b_{2, n} v_{n}}{v_{2}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{b_{n, 1} v_{1}}{v_{n}} & \frac{b_{n, 2} v_{2}}{v_{n}} & \cdots & b_{n, n}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to show that $\widehat{A}$ and $\widehat{B}$ are nonnegative irreducible matrices, and all the row sums of $\widehat{A}$ are equal to $\rho(A)$ and all the row sums of $\widehat{B}$ are equal to $\rho(B)$.

Also let $W=V U$, then $W$ is nonsingular. From Lemma 4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(V U)^{-1}(A \circ B)(V U) & =U^{-1} V^{-1}(A \circ B) V U=U^{-1}\left(A \circ\left(V^{-1} B V\right)\right) U \\
& =\left(U^{-1} A U\right) \circ\left(V^{-1} B V\right)=\widehat{A} \circ \widehat{B} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have that $\rho(A \circ B)=\rho(\widehat{A} \circ \widehat{B})$.
We next consider the spectral radius $\rho(\widehat{A} \circ \widehat{B})$ of $\widehat{A} \circ \widehat{B}$. For nonnegative irreducible matrices $\widehat{A}, \widehat{B}$, from Definition of the Hadamard product of $\widehat{A}$ and $\widehat{B},(5)-(8)$ and Lemma 3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(\widehat{A} \circ \widehat{B}) \leqslant & \max _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{\hat{a}_{i, i} \hat{b}_{i, i}+\hat{a}_{j, j} \hat{b}_{j, j}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\left(\hat{a}_{i, i} \hat{b}_{i, i}-\hat{a}_{j,} \hat{b}_{j, j}\right)^{2}+4 \sum_{k \neq i} \hat{a}_{i, k} \hat{b}_{i, k} \sum_{k \neq j} \hat{a}_{j, k} \hat{b}_{j, k}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\
= & \max _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}+4 \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{a_{i, k} u_{k}}{u_{i}} \frac{b_{i, k} v_{k}}{v_{i}} \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{a_{j, k} u_{k}}{u_{j}} \frac{b_{j, k} v_{k}}{v_{j}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\
\leqslant & \max _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}+\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(\sum_{k \neq i} \frac{a_{i, k} u_{k}}{u_{i}} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{b_{i, k} v_{k}}{v_{i}}\right)\left(\sum_{k \neq j} \frac{a_{j, k} u_{k}}{u_{j}} \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{b_{j, k} v_{k}}{v_{j}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\
= & \max _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}+\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.+4\left(\rho(A)-a_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(A)-a_{j, j}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{j, j}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $A \circ B$ is reducible. We denote by $D=\left(d_{i j}\right)$ the $n \times n$ permutation matrix with $d_{1,2}=d_{2,3}=\cdots=$ $d_{n-1, n}=d_{n, 1}=1$, the remaining $d_{i j}$ zero, then both $A+t D$ and $B+t D$ are nonnegative irreducible matrices for any chosen positive real number $t$. Now we substitute $A+t D$ and $B+t D$ for $A$ and $B$, respectively in the previous case, and then letting $t \rightarrow 0$, the result follows by continuity.

Using ideas of the proof of Theorem 4, we give new proofs of inequality in [3, Observation 5.7.4] and inequality (1) in [4].

For inequality $\rho(A \circ B) \leqslant \rho(A) \rho(B)$.
From the proof of Theorem 4, we know that $\rho(A \circ B)=\rho(\widehat{A} \circ \widehat{B})$. Then we have

$$
\rho(A \circ B)=\rho(\widehat{A} \circ \widehat{B}) \leqslant \max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{a}_{i j} \hat{b}_{i, j} \leqslant \max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{a}_{i, j} \max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{b}_{i, j}=\rho(A) \rho(B) .
$$


Similar to the proof of Theorem 4, we have

$$
\rho(A \circ B)=\rho(\widehat{A} \circ \widehat{B}) \leqslant \max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{a}_{i, j} \hat{b}_{i, j}=\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+\sum_{j \neq i} \hat{a}_{i, j} \hat{b}_{i, j}\right) .
$$

From (7) and (8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(A \circ B) & \leqslant \max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+\sum_{j \neq i} \hat{a}_{i, j} \hat{b}_{i, j}\right) \\
& \leqslant \max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+\sum_{j \neq i} \hat{a}_{i, j} \sum_{j \neq i} \hat{b}_{i, j}\right) \\
& =\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+\left(\rho(A)-a_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right)\right) \\
& =\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left\{2 a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+\rho(A) \rho(B)-a_{i, i} \rho(B)-b_{i, i} \rho(A)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2. Fang [4] has shown that the upper bound in (1) for $\rho(A \circ B)$ is sharper than the bound $\rho(A) \rho(B)$. We next give a simple comparison between the upper bound in (1) and the upper bound in (4). Without loss of generality, for $i \neq j$, assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+\rho(A) \rho(B)-a_{i, i} \rho(B)-b_{i, i} \rho(A) \geqslant 2 a_{j,} b_{j, j}+\rho(A) \rho(B)-a_{j, j} \rho(B)-b_{j, j} \rho(A) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we can write (10) equivalently as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+\left(\rho(A)-a_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right) \geqslant a_{j, j} b_{j, j}+\left(\rho(A)-a_{j, j}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{j, j}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+ & a_{j j} b_{j, j}+\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}+4\left(\rho(A)-a_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(A)-a_{j, j}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{j, j}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leqslant a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j,} b_{j, j}+\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}+4(\rho(A)\right. \\
& \left.\left.-a_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right)\left(\left(\rho(A)-a_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right)+a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}+\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}+4\left(\rho(A)-a_{i, i}\right)^{2}\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+4\left(\rho(A)-a_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right)\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j j j} b_{j, j}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j j} b_{j, j}+\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}+2\left(\rho(A)-a_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right)\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}+a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}+2\left(\rho(A)-a_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right) \\
& =2 a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+2\left(\rho(A)-a_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from (4) and (12), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(A \circ B) \leqslant & \max _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}+\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(\rho(A)-a_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(A)-a_{j, j}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{j, j}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\
\leqslant & \max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \frac{1}{2}\left\{2 a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+2\left(\rho(A)-a_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right)\right\} \\
= & \max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+\left(\rho(A)-a_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right)\right\} \\
= & \max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left\{2 a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+\rho(A) \rho(B)-a_{i, i} \rho(B)-b_{i, i} \rho(A)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the bound in (4) is sharper than the known one $\rho(A) \rho(B)$ in [3] and the bound $\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}$ $\left\{2 a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+\rho(A) \rho(B)-a_{i, i} \rho(B)-b_{i, i} \rho(A)\right\}$ in [4].

Consider the example in Introduction. Let $A=I, B=J$, it is easy to show that $\rho(A \circ B)=1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(A \circ B) \leqslant & \max _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}+\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(\rho(A)-a_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(A)-a_{j, j}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{j, j}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

We next give another example to validate our results.
Example 1. Consider two $4 \times 4$ nonnegative matrices

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
4 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\
1 & 0.05 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 4 & 0.5 \\
1 & 0.5 & 0 & 4
\end{array}\right], \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

It is easy to show that $\rho(A \circ B)=\rho(A)=5.7339$. By calculation, we have that $\rho(A) \rho(B)=22.9336$. According to inequalities (1) and (4), we have

$$
\rho(A \circ B) \leqslant \max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4}\left\{2 a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+\rho(A) \rho(B)-a_{i, i} \rho(B)-b_{i, i} \rho(A)\right\}=17.1017
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(A \circ B) \leqslant & \max _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}+\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(\rho(A)-a_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(A)-a_{j, j}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{j, j}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}=11.6478
\end{aligned}
$$

From Theorem 4 we can obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 5. Let $A, B$ be two $n \times n$ nonnegative matrices. Then we have

$$
|\operatorname{det}(A \circ B)| \leqslant[\rho(A \circ B)]^{n}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant \max _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2^{n}}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}+\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.+4\left(\rho(A)-a_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{i, i}\right)\left(\rho(A)-a_{j, j}\right)\left(\rho(B)-b_{j, j}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}^{n} \\
& \leqslant \max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left\{2 a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+\rho(A) \rho(B)-a_{i, i} \rho(B)-b_{i, i} \rho(A)\right\}^{n} \leqslant(\rho(A) \rho(B))^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Inequalities for the Fan product of $M$-matrices

In this Section, we will give a lower bound for $\tau(A \star B)$.
Lemma 5. Let $A, B$ be two nonsingular $M$-matrices and if $D$ and $E$ are two positive diagonal matrices, then $D(A \star B) E=(D A E) \star B=(D A) \star(B E)=(A E) \star(D B)=A \star(D B E)$.

Proof. Lemma 5 follows from Definition of Fan product.
Theorem 7. Let $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right), B=\left(b_{i, j}\right) \in R^{n \times n}$ be two nonsingular M-matrices. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau(A \star B) \geqslant & \min _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}-\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(a_{i, i}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{i, i}-\tau(B)\right)\left(a_{j, j}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{j, j}-\tau(B)\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It is quite evident that (13) holds with equality for $n=1$.
We next assume that $n \geqslant 2$.
If $A \star B$ is irreducible, then $A$ and $B$ are irreducible. Since $A-\tau(A) I$ and $B-\tau(B) I$ are singular irreducible $M$-matrices, Theorem 6.4.16 of [1] yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i, i}-\tau(A)>0 \quad \forall i \in N \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i, i}-\tau(B)>0 \quad \forall i \in N . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A=\left(a_{i j}\right), B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ are irreducible nonsingular $M$-matrices, then there exists two positive vectors $u, v$ such that $A u=\tau(A) u, B v=\tau(B) v$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i, i}-\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\left|a_{i, j}\right| u_{j}}{u_{i}}=\tau(A) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i, i}-\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\left|b_{i, j}\right| v_{j}}{v_{i}}=\tau(B) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\widetilde{U}=\operatorname{diag}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right), \widetilde{V}=\operatorname{diag}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$. Then we have that $\widetilde{U}$ and $\widetilde{V}$ are nonsingular diagonal matrices. Let $\widetilde{A}=\left(\tilde{a}_{i, j}\right)=\widetilde{U}^{-1} A \widetilde{U}$ and $\widetilde{B}=\left(\tilde{b}_{i, j}\right)=\widetilde{V}^{-1} B \widetilde{V}$, then we have

$$
\widetilde{A}=\left(\tilde{a}_{i, j}\right)=\widetilde{U}^{-1} A \widetilde{U}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1,1} & \frac{a_{1,2}, u_{2}}{u_{1}} & \ldots & \frac{a_{1, n} u_{n}}{u_{1}} \\
\frac{a_{2,1} u_{1}}{u_{2}} & a_{2,2} & \ldots & \frac{a_{2, n} u_{n}}{u_{2}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{a_{n, 1}, u_{1}}{u_{n}} & \frac{a_{n, 2 u_{2}}}{u_{n}} & \cdots & a_{n, n}
\end{array}\right] \text {, }
$$

$$
\widetilde{B}=\left(\tilde{b}_{i, j}\right)=\widetilde{V}^{-1} A \widetilde{V}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
b_{1,1} & \frac{b_{1,2} v_{2}}{v_{1}} & \ldots & \frac{b_{1, n} v_{n}}{v_{1}} \\
\frac{b_{2,1} v_{1}}{v_{2}} & b_{2,2} & \ldots & \frac{b_{2, n}}{v_{2}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{b_{n, 1} v_{1}}{v_{n}} & \frac{b_{n, 2} v_{2}}{v_{n}} & \cdots & b_{n, n}
\end{array}\right] \text {. }
$$

It is easy to show that $\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{B}$ are also irreducible nonsingular $M$-matrices.
Also let $\widetilde{W}=\widetilde{V} \widetilde{U}$, then $\widetilde{W}$ is nonsingular. From Lemma 6 , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\widetilde{V} \widetilde{U})^{-1}(A \star B)(\widetilde{V} \widetilde{U}) & =\widetilde{U}^{-1} \widetilde{V}^{-1}(A \star B) \widetilde{V} \widetilde{U}=\widetilde{U}^{-1}\left(A \star\left(\widetilde{V}^{-1} B \widetilde{V}\right)\right) \widetilde{U} \\
& =\left(\widetilde{U}^{-1} A \widetilde{U}\right) \star\left(\widetilde{V}^{-1} B \widetilde{V}\right)=\widetilde{A} \star \widetilde{B} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have that $\tau(\widetilde{A} \star \widetilde{B})=\tau(A \star B)$.
We next consider the minimum eigenvalue $\tau(\widetilde{A} \star \widetilde{B})$ of $\widetilde{A} \star \widetilde{B}$. For irreducible nonsingular $M$-matrices $\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}$, let $\lambda \in \sigma(\widetilde{A} \star \widetilde{B})$ satisfy $\tau(\widetilde{A} \star \widetilde{B})=\lambda$, then we have that $0<\lambda<a_{i, i} b_{i, i}, \forall i \in N$. From Definition of the Fan product of $\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{B}$, (14)-(17) and Theorem 1.23 of [5], there is a pair $(i, j)$ of positive integers with $i \neq j$ such that

$$
\left|\lambda-a_{i, i} b_{i, i}\right|\left|\lambda-a_{j j} b_{j, j}\right| \leqslant \sum_{k \neq i}\left|-\tilde{a}_{i, k} \tilde{b}_{i, k}\right| \sum_{k \neq j}\left|-\tilde{a}_{j, k} \tilde{b}_{j, k}\right| .
$$

Thus, for $i \neq j$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(\lambda-a_{i, i} b_{i, i}\right)\left(\lambda-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)\right| & \leqslant \sum_{k \neq i}\left|\tilde{a}_{i, k} \tilde{b}_{i, k}\right| \sum_{k \neq j}\left|\tilde{a}_{j, k} \tilde{b}_{j, k}\right| \\
& \leqslant \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\left|a_{i, k}\right| u_{k}}{u_{i}} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\left|b_{i, k}\right| v_{k}}{v_{i}} \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\left|a_{j, k}\right| u_{k}}{u_{j}} \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\left|b_{j, k}\right| v_{k}}{v_{j}} \\
& =\left(a_{i, i}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{i, i}-\tau(B)\right)\left(a_{j, j}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{j, j}-\tau(B)\right) . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

From inequality (18) and $0<\lambda<a_{i, i} b_{i, i}, \forall i \in N$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda-a_{i, i} b_{i, i}\right)\left(\lambda-a_{j,} b_{j, j}\right) \leqslant\left(a_{i, i}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{i, i}-\tau(B)\right)\left(a_{j, j}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{j, j}-\tau(B)\right) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from inequality (19), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \geqslant & \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j,} b_{j, j}-\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(a_{i, i}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{i, i}-\tau(B)\right)\left(a_{j, j}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{j, j}-\tau(B)\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau(A \star B) \geqslant & \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}-\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(a_{i, i}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{i, i}-\tau(B)\right)\left(a_{j, j}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{j, j}-\tau(B)\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\
& \geqslant \min _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}-\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(a_{i, i}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{i, i}-\tau(B)\right)\left(a_{j, j}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{j, j}-\tau(B)\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $A \star B$ is reducible. It is well known that a matrix in $Z_{n}$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix if and only if all its leading principal minors are positive (see condition (E17) of Theorem 6.2.3 of [1]). If we denote by
$D=\left(d_{i, j}\right)$ the $n \times n$ permutation matrix with $d_{1,2}=d_{2,3}=\cdots=d_{n-1, n}=d_{n, 1}=1$, the remaining $d_{i, j}$ zero, then both $A-t D$ and $B-t D$ are irreducible nonsingular $M$-matrices for any chosen positive real number $t$, sufficiently small such that all the leading principal minors of both $A-t D$ and $B-t D$ are positive. Now we substitute $A-t D$ and $B-t D$ for $A$ and $B$, respectively in the previous case, and then letting $t \rightarrow 0$, the result follows by continuity.

Using ideas of the proof of Theorem 7, we next give a new proof of inequality (2) in [4].
Let $\lambda \in \sigma(\widetilde{A} \star \widetilde{B})$ satisfy $\tau(\widetilde{A} \star \widetilde{B})=\lambda$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 7, by theorem of Gerschgorin, we have

$$
\left|\lambda-a_{i, i} b_{i, i}\right| \leqslant \sum_{k \neq i}\left|-\frac{a_{i, k} u_{k}}{u_{i}} \frac{b_{i, k} v_{k}}{v_{i}}\right|
$$

Thus, we have

$$
a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-\lambda \leqslant \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\left|a_{i, k}\right| u_{k}}{u_{i}} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\left|b_{i, k}\right| v_{k}}{v_{i}}=\left(a_{i, i}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{i, i}-\tau(B)\right) .
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda & \geqslant a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-\left(a_{i, i}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{i, i}-\tau(B)\right) \\
& =a_{i, i} \tau(B)+b_{i, i} \tau(A)-\tau(A) \tau(B) \geqslant \min _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left\{a_{i, i} \tau(B)+b_{i, i} \tau(A)-\tau(A) \tau(B)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3. Fang [4] has shown that the lower bound in (2) for $\tau(A \star B)$ is sharper than the bound $\tau(A) \tau(B)$. We next give a simple comparison between the lower bound in (2) and the lower bound in (13). Without loss of generality, for $i \neq j$, assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i, i} \tau(B)+b_{i, i} \tau(A)-\tau(A) \tau(B) \geqslant a_{j, j} \tau(B)+b_{j, j} \tau(A)-\tau(A) \tau(B) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we can write (20) equivalently as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-a_{i, i} \tau(B)-b_{i, i} \tau(A)+\tau(A) \tau(B) \leqslant-a_{j, j} \tau(B)-b_{j, j} \tau(A)+\tau(A) \tau(B) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{i, i}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{i, i}-\tau(B)\right)-a_{i, i} b_{i, i} \leqslant\left(a_{j, j}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{j, j}-\tau(B)\right)-a_{j, j} b_{j, j} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (22), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{i, i}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{i, i}-\tau(B)\right) \leqslant\left(a_{j, j}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{j, j}-\tau(B)\right)+a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (13) and (23), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}-\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+4\left(a_{i, i}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{i, i}-\tau(B)\right)\left(a_{j, j}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{j, j}-\tau(B)\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\
& \quad \geqslant \\
& \quad \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}-\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+4\left(a_{j, j}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{j, j}-\tau(B)\right)\left(\left(a_{j, j}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{j, j}-\tau(B)\right)+a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}-\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+4\left(a_{j, j}-\tau(A)\right)^{2}\left(b_{j, j}-\tau(B)\right)^{2}+4\left(a_{j, j}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{j, j}-\tau(B)\right)\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}-\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}+2\left(a_{j, j}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{j, j}-\tau(B)\right)\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}-\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}-2 a_{j, j} \tau(B)-2 b_{j, j} \tau(A)+2 \tau(A) \tau(B)\right)\right\} \\
& =a_{j, j} \tau(B)+b_{j, j} \tau(A)-\tau(A) \tau(B) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from (13) and (24), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau(A \star B) & \geqslant \min _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}-\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(a_{i, i}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{i, i}-\tau(B)\right)\left(a_{j, j}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{j, j}-\tau(B)\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\
& \geqslant \min _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left\{a_{i, i} \tau(B)+b_{i, i} \tau(A)-\tau(A) \tau(B)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Theorem 7 and [1, p. 380] we can obtain the following corollary:
Corollary 8. Let $A, B$ be two nonsingular $M$-matrices. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\operatorname{det}(A \star B)| \geqslant & {[\tau(A \star B)]^{n} } \\
\geqslant & \min _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2^{n}}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}+\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(a_{i, i}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{i, i}-\tau(B)\right)\left(a_{j, j}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{j, j}-\tau(B)\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}^{n} \\
\geqslant & \min _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left\{a_{i, i} \tau(B)-b_{i, i} \tau(A)-\tau(A) \tau(B)\right\}^{n} \geqslant(\tau(A) \tau(B))^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 2. Consider two $3 \times 3 \mathrm{M}$-matrices

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -0.5 \\
-0.5 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right], \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -0.25 & -0.25 \\
-0.5 & 1 & -0.25 \\
-0.25 & -0.5 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

It is easy to show that $\tau(A)=0.5402, \tau(B)=0.3432$ and $\tau(A \star B)=0.8819$. By calculation, we have that $\tau(A) \tau(B)=0.1854$. According to inequalities (2) and (13), we have

$$
\tau(A \star B) \geqslant \min _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3}\left\{a_{i, i} \tau(B)+b_{i, i} \tau(A)-\tau(A) \tau(B)\right\}=0.6980,
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau(A \star B) \geqslant & \min _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i, i} b_{i, i}+a_{j, j} b_{j, j}-\left[\left(a_{i, i} b_{i, i}-a_{j, j} b_{j, j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(a_{i, i}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{i, i}-\tau(B)\right)\left(a_{j, j}-\tau(A)\right)\left(b_{j, j}-\tau(B)\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}=0.7655 .
\end{aligned}
$$
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