# Myeloablative I-131-Tositumomab with Escalating Doses of Fludarabine and Autologous Hematopoietic Transplantation for Adults Age > 60 Years with B Cell Lymphoma





Ajay K. Gopal<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Ted A. Gooley<sup>2</sup>, Joseph G. Rajendran<sup>3</sup>, John M. Pagel<sup>2</sup>, Darrell R. Fisher<sup>4</sup>, David G. Maloney<sup>1,2</sup>, Frederick R. Appelbaum<sup>1,2</sup>, Ryan D. Cassaday<sup>1,2</sup>, Andrew Shields<sup>3</sup>, Oliver W. Press<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Medical Oncology, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

<sup>2</sup> Clinical Research Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, Washington

<sup>3</sup> Division of Nuclear Medicine, Department of Radiology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

<sup>4</sup> Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington

Article history: Received 19 December 2013 Accepted 5 February 2014

Key Words: B cell lymphoma Radioimmunotherapy Autologous Elderly

### ABSTRACT

Myeloablative therapy and autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT) are underutilized in older patients with B cell non-Hodgkin (B-NHL) lymphoma. We hypothesized that myeloablative doses of <sup>131</sup>I-tositumomab could be augmented by concurrent fludarabine, based on preclinical data indicating synergy. Patients were  $\geq$  60 years of age and had high-risk, relapsed, or refractory B-NHL. Therapeutic infusions of <sup>131</sup>I-tositumomab were derived from individualized organ-specific absorbed dose estimates delivering  $\leq 27$  Gy to critical organs. Fludarabine was initiated 72 hours later followed by ASCT to define the maximally tolerated dose. Thirty-six patients with a median age of 65 years (range, 60 to 76), 2 (range, 1 to 9) prior regimens, and 33% with chemoresistant disease were treated on this trial. Dose-limiting organs included lung (30), kidney (4), and liver (2) with a median administered <sup>131</sup>I activity of 471 mCi (range, 260 to 1620). Fludarabine was safely escalated to 30 mg/m<sup>2</sup>  $\times$  7 days. Engraftment was prompt, there were no early treatment-related deaths, and 2 patients had  $\geq$  grade 4 nonhematologic toxicities. The estimated 3-year overall survival, progression-free survival, and nonrelapse mortality were 54%, 53%, and 7%, respectively (median follow up of 3.9 years). Fludarabine up to 210 mg/m<sup>2</sup> can be safely delivered with myeloablative <sup>131</sup>I-tositumomab and ASCT in older adults with B-NHL.

© 2014 American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Adults age 60 years and older make up the majority of the approximately 70,000 individuals newly diagnosed with non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) each year in the United States [1]. Despite improved initial therapies, this group of patients is less likely to experience prolonged remissions and survival compared with younger adults [2,3]. Though data suggest that high-dose therapy and autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (ASCT) can improve outcomes for a variety of NHL histologies, clinical data indicate that this approach is much less often employed in older adults, primarily based on studies suggesting an increased risk of toxicity and treatment-related mortality [4].

Radioimmunotherapy (RIT) given in myeloablative doses has been shown by our group and others to provide effective, tolerable therapy for patients with relapsed B cell NHL (B-NHL) [5-8]. Based on these observations, we previously explored the use of myeloablative doses of single-agent <sup>131</sup>Itositumomab and ASCT in adults age  $\geq$  60 years [9]. This study demonstrated that the use of high-dose <sup>131</sup>I-tositumomab was safe in this age group with minimal nonhematologic toxicity and long-term clinical benefit in a substantial subset of patients. However, as with other transplantation modalities, relapse remained the primary cause of failure.

Efforts to improve on the outcome of high-dose RIT-based ASCT have primarily focused on the addition of agents traditionally paired with total body irradiation, such as etoposide and cyclophosphamide, with these drugs given after the majority of the radionuclide has decayed or been cleared from the body [6,8]. In contrast, preclinical data suggest that the purine analogs, such as cytarabine and fludarabine, optimally synergize with RIT when given concurrently with radiation exposure to target sites [10,11]. This synergy is thought to be related to the potentially lethal incorporation of nonphysiologic nucleosides during the repair of the RITinduced single-strand DNA breaks [12].

Based on these preclinical data, we hypothesized that a prolonged administration of therapeutic doses of fludarabine could be delivered concurrently with myeloablative doses of <sup>131</sup>I-tositumomab with the potential to safely improve outcomes in this high-risk group of older patients. We now present the results from a phase I trial combining the maximally tolerated dose (MTD) of single agent <sup>131</sup>I-tositumomab (27 Gy) with escalating doses and prolonged duration of administration of fludarabine. These data represent

Presented in part in oral format at the 53rd annual meeting of the American Society of Hematology, San Diego, CA, December 12, 2011. Financial disclosure: See Acknowledgments on page 774.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence and reprint requests: Ajay K. Gopal, MD, University of Washington/Seattle Cancer Care Alliance, 825 Eastlake Ave E. G3-200, Seattle, WA 98195.

E-mail address: agopal@u.washington.edu (A.K. Gopal).

<sup>1083-8791/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2014 American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbmt.2014.02.004

the first study of concurrent chemoradioimmunotherapy, demonstrate the feasibility of administration of chemotherapy to patients who are receiving high-energy gamma and beta irradiation, and show that up to 210 mg/m<sup>2</sup> of fludarabine can be safely added as part of an ASCT preparative regimen.

### PATIENTS AND METHODS Patients

Patients with relapsed or refractory B-NHL or mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) in first remission were required to be  $\geq$  60 years of age at the time of enrollment. Patients were required to have tumors expressing CD20, serum creatinine <2.0 mg/dL, serum bilirubin <1.5 mg/dL, expected survival of >60 days, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of <2, the ability to perform self care in radiation isolation, and  $\geq 2 \times 10^6$  autologous CD34 cells/kg cryopreserved. Patients were excluded if they had active systemic infection, active central nervous system lymphoma, abnormally decreased cardiac ejection fraction, diffusion capacity of carbon monoxide of <50% predicted, or had received >20 Gy of radiotherapy to a critical normal organ (lung, liver, kidneys, spinal cord, >25% of red marrow). Documentation of <.1% tumor contamination of the peripheral blood at the time of stem cell harvest or the collected product was also required. The institutional review board of the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center approved this protocol and all patients provided written informed consent. The protocol was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT00110071).

### **Biodistribution Studies**

Thyroid uptake of <sup>131</sup>I was blocked with oral potassium iodide, which was initiated 24 hours before RIT and continued for 30 days after the therapeutic infusion. All patients were premedicated with acetaminophen and diphenhydramine and then underwent outpatient biodistribution studies for dosimetry using tositumomab (1.7 mg/kg as a single infusion, n = 3 or 485 mg flat dose, n = 33) labeled with 185 to 370 megabequerel (5 to 10 mCi) of <sup>131</sup>I, followed by serial quantitative planar gamma camera imaging to calculate individualized organ-specific absorbed dose estimates (cGy/megabequerel), based on CT-derived organ volumes as previously published [5,13,14]. Absorbed dose estimates were obtained by integrating the time-activity curves and applying the calculation methods recommended by the Medical Internal Radiation Dose Committee of the Society of Nuclear Medicine (Reston, VA). The overall treatment schema is shown in Figure 1.

### **Therapeutic Antibody Infusions**

After completion of biodistribution studies, patients were admitted to lead-lined radiation isolation rooms for therapeutic infusions. Patients were premedicated with ondansetron, acetaminophen, and diphenhydramine and hydrated with 5% dextrose in .45% sodium chloride at 200 mL/hour intravenously, starting 1 hour before therapy and continuing 48 hours after <sup>131</sup>I-tositumomab therapy. Therapeutic infusions were given with the identical protein dose (1.7 mg/mg in-house labeled or 485 mg flat dose from commercially labeled product) and infusion schedule as in dosimetry, radioiodinated to deliver an estimated absorbed dose of 27 Gy to the critical normal organ receiving the highest radiation exposure. Patients remained in radiation isolation until the radiation exposure at 1 meter was <.07 milli-sievert (mSv)/hour (7 millirem/hour).

#### Fludarabine Infusions

Fludarabine administration began approximately 72 hours after the therapeutic <sup>131</sup>I-tositumomab infusion to minimize the juxtaposition of synergizing chemotherapy with the early postinfusion period of nonspecific blood pool and normal organ radiation exposure and maximize overlap with uptake of the radioconjugate in hematolymphoid sites. Fludarabine infusions were delivered to patients while in radiation isolation after premedication with ondansetron per the dose escalation schema, ranging from 50 to 210 mg/m<sup>2</sup>.

### Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation and Supportive Care

Hematopoietic stem cells were infused per standard institutional practice once the radiation exposure was <.02~mSv/hour (2mR/hour) at 1 meter. Filgrastim  $\geq 5~\mu g/kg/day$  was delivered starting 1 day after hematopoietic stem cells infusion and continued until the ANC  $>1000/\mu L \times 2$  days. A single 6 mg dose of pegfilgrastim was allowed as an alternative. Antibiotics, blood products, and other supportive care measures followed institutional standard practice.



Figure 1. Treatment schema. Note that 3 patients received 1.7 mg/kg  $^{131}\mbox{I-tositumomab}.$ 

### Data Collection and Follow-Up

Patients were followed with computerized tomography at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months after ASCT and yearly thereafter. Bone marrow evaluations, including cytogenetics, were performed at 1 month and then annually. Response was scored using standard criteria [15]. Toxicity was measured on the Bearman transplant scale as well as the National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria scale version 3.0 [16]. Patients achieving less than a partial response (PR) from the regimen immediately preceding this therapy were categorized as having "chemoresistant" disease.

### Statistical Analysis

The primary endpoint of this phase I trial was to identify the highest fludarabine dose level that would yield a dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) rate of  $\leq 25\%$ . A DLT was defined as a grade III or IV adverse event on the Bearman transplant toxicity scale. We treated patients in cohorts of 4 and performed dose escalation if none of the 4 dose DLTs were observed, maintained the dose level if 1 of 4 DLTs were observed, or de-escalated if  $\geq 2$  of 4 DLTs were observed. Additional patients beyond the requisite 4 were allowed on a dose level if the prior patients had not completed sufficient follow-up to be evaluable for DLTs and they clinically required urgent transplantation. Overall and progression-free survival were estimated using the method of Kaplan-Meier [17].

# RESULTS

### **Patient Characteristics**

Thirty-six patients were enrolled and treated between July 2005 and May 2011. All patients who underwent biodistribution infusions went on to therapeutic infusions. Characteristics of these patients included median age of 65 years (range, 60 to 76), age  $\geq$  70 years for (19%), stage III or IV for 34 (94%), median number of prior regimens of 2 (range, 1 to 9), chemoresistant disease in 12 (33%), >1 extranodal site in 14 (39%), elevated lactate dehydrogenase at treatment in 13 (36%), and International Prognostic Index score at transplant of 3 to 5 in 53% (Table 1). All patients had received prior

Table 1
<b>Baseline Characteristics</b>

Characteristic	Value
Female	6 (17%)
Age, median (range), yr	65 (60-76)
Stage III/IV	34 (94%)
Elevated LDH	13 (36%)
>1 extranodal site	14 (39%)
Histology	
Mantle cell	23 (64%)
Diffuse large B-cell	8 (22%)
Indolent B-NHL	5 (14%)
No. prior regimens, median (range)	2 (1-9)
Prior rituximab	36 (100%)
Rituximab refractory	17 (47%)
Chemoresistant	12 (33%)

LDH indicates lactate dehydrogenase; B-NHL, B cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

Data presented are n (%) unless otherwise indicated.

rituximab and 17 (47%) had rituximab-refractory disease (defined as lack of remission after or relapse within 6 months of rituximab containing regimen). Histologic subtypes included MCL in 23 (9 in first complete remission), diffuse large B cell (DLBCL) in 8 (with 5 transformed from follicular lymphoma), follicular lymphoma in 3, marginal zone in 1, and Waldenstrom's in 1. The pretransplantation MCL international prognostic index scores for the 23 MCL patients included 10 low risk, 11 intermediate risk, and 2 high risk. All 8 of the DLBCL patients had either not achieved a complete remission (CR) after or experienced relapse within 12 months of rituximab-chemotherapy combinations, and only 4 had chemosensitive disease going into transplantation.

# **Therapy Delivered**

# <sup>131</sup>I-tositumomab

The <sup>131</sup>I-tositumomab protein dose for dosimetry and therapy was 1.7 mg/kg in 3 patients and 485 mg flat dose in 33. A median activity of therapeutic <sup>131</sup>I administered was 17.4 Gbq (471 mCi; range 9.6 to 59.9 Gbq; 260 to 1620 mCi). The critical normal organs receiving up to a 27 Gy absorbed radiation exposure were lungs (30), liver (4), and kidneys (2). Details of the absorbed radiation exposures across key organs and whole body based on delivered <sup>131</sup>I activity are described in Table 2. The median duration of radiation isolation was 9 days (range, 5 to 15 days).

### Fludarabine

Fludarabine was administered to patients while in radiation isolation according to the dose escalation schema summarized in Table 3, starting approximately 72 hours after the therapeutic <sup>131</sup>I-tositumomab infusion to overlap with when lymph node retention of radioisotope typically exceeds that of nontarget organs. Fludarabine was escalated from 10 mg/m<sup>2</sup> daily  $\times$  5 days (total dose 50 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) to 30 mg/m<sup>2</sup> daily  $\times$  7 days (total dose 210 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) without observation of a DLT.

# ASCT

The median infused CD34 cell dose was  $5.42 \times 10^6/\text{kg}$  (range, 2.4 to  $13.2 \times 10^6/\text{kg}$ ) and occurred a median of 14 days (range, 12 to 18 days) after the therapeutic <sup>131</sup>I-tositumomab infusion.

# Estimation of the MTD, Early Toxicity, and Engraftment

There were no treatment-related deaths, no grade III or IV toxicities on the Bearman scale, and no DLTs observed. The MTD was estimated to be  $\geq$  210 mg/m<sup>2</sup> fludarabine combined with <sup>131</sup>I-tositumomab to deliver 27 Gy to critical normal organs. Twenty-five patients (69%) remained outpatients for

Table 2		
Estimated Absorbed	Radiation	Doses

Organ Site	Absorbed Radiation Dose (cGy/mCi)	Absorbed Radiation Dose (Gy)
Lungs	5.36 (1.59-10.8)	26.9 (14.6-28.1)
Liver	3.94 (1.27-5.72)	18.2 (6.5-27.9)
Kidney	3.53 (.42-6.13)	14.0 (2.96-27.5)
Spleen	6.08 (1.97-31.1)	27.8 (10.1-207)
Thyroid	4.67 (.66-23.6)	26.8 (1.88-108)
Brain	.55 (.28-0.89)	2.66 (1.33-4.67)
Bone marrow	.86 (.45-1.24)	4.06 (2.26-17.01)
Total body	.87 (.4-1.25)	4.22 (2.6-6.54)

cGy indicates centigray.

Data presented are median (range).

#### Table 3

Dose Escalation Schema and Dose-Limiting Toxicities of High-Dose (27 Gy) I-
131 Tositumomab and Fludarabine and ASCT

Dose Level	n	Fludarabine Daily Dose (mg/m <sup>2</sup> )	No. of Doses	Total Dose (mg/m <sup>2</sup> )	No. of DLT
0	7	10	5	50	0
1	5	15	5	75	0
2	5	20	5	100	0
3	5	25	5	125	0
4	6	30	5	150	0
5	5	30	6	180	0
6	3	30	7	210	0

DLT indicates dose-limiting toxicity.

the duration of the post-transplantation period after discharge from radiation isolation and did not require hospitalization for toxicity. Only 2 patients developed grade 4 nonhematologic toxicities (hypokalemia/hypophosphatemia, depression). Within the first 100 days after transplantation, grade 3 nonhematologic adverse events were observed in 28 patients, with the most frequent being infection (n = 16;fever without neutropenia, febrile neutropenia, clostridium difficile colitis), gastrointestinal toxicity (n = 10; anorexia, nausea, diarrhea), and laboratory/metabolic abnormalities (n = 9; electrolyte abnormalities, hypoalbuminemia, elevated transaminases). The 1 early post-transplantation death resulted from renal failure due to ureteral obstruction from progressive tumor. The details of key nonhematologic adverse events are summarized in Table 4. There was no correlation of grade of toxicity with dose level.

Expected myeloablation and grade 4 hematopoietic toxicity were observed in all patients. The median time to neutrophil ( $>500/\mu$ L) and platelet (>20 K/ $\mu$ l) engraftment were 10 days (range, 8 to 18 days) and 12 days (range, 1 to >27 days) after ASCT, respectively. Seven patients required platelet transfusions beyond day 30.

### **Response, Overall, and Progression-Free Survival**

Post-transplantation remission status included CR/complete response, unconfirmed in 26 (79%), PR in 2 (6%), stable

### Table 4

Patients with Grades Three through Five Nonhematologic Adverse Events within 100 Days of ASCT Regardless of Attribution

Adverse Event	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5
Allergy/immunology	2 (6)	-	-
Cardiac (general)	2 (6)	-	-
Constitutional symptoms	1 (3)	-	-
Dermatology/skin	2 (6)	-	-
Gastrointestinal	10 (28)	-	-
Genitourinary	1 (3)	-	1 (3)*
Hemorrhage	6(17)	-	-
Hepatic	-	-	-
Infection/febrile neutropenia	16 (44)	-	-
Lymphatics	1 (3)	-	-
Metabolic/laboratory	9 (24)	1 (3)	-
Musculoskeletal	1 (3)	-	-
Neurologic	1 (3)	1 (3)	-
Pain	3 (8)	-	-
Pulmonary	4(11)	0(0)	-
Secondary malignancy	-	-	-
Vascular	1 (3)	-	-
Any adverse event	28 (78)	2 (6)	1 (3)*

Data presented are n (%).

Adverse events graded according to the National Cancer Institute's Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 3.0 scale.

\* Renal failure and death due to tumor progression.

disease in 4 (11%), and progressive disease in 4 (11%). Remission status by histology included MCL (19 [83%] CR, 1 [4%] PR), DLBCL (3 [50%] CR, 0 PR), and indolent lymphoma (3 CR/uCR [60%], 1PR [20%]). Twenty patients are currently alive and 16 are alive and progression-free with a median followup of 3.9 years. The 4 patients with evidence of pretransplantation minimal residual disease in the marrow (4 flow +, 1 flow and PCR +) attained a minimal residual disease—negative state by 30 days after transplantation. The estimated 3-year overall and progression-free survival were 54% and 53%, respectively (Figure 2A). The cumulative incidence of relapse and nonrelapse mortality at 3 years were 41%, and 7%, respectively (Figure 2B).

Univariate analysis indicated that increased mortality was observed in those with  $\geq 2$  prior regimens (hazard ratio [HR], 6.80; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.53 to 30.36; P = .01) and trend to inferior survival was noted in patients with DLBCL (HR, 2.78; 95% CI, .96 to 8.06; P = .06) (Figure 2C) and chemoresistant disease (HR, 2.45; 95% CI, .88 to 6.81; P = .09). In contrast, there was no statistical association of inferior survival in those with more advanced age (HR, 1.05; P = .45).

# **Delayed Effects**

Three cases of acute myelogenous leukemia (AML)/myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) developed after therapy including 1 with normal cytogenetics, mutated nucleophosmin, and unmutated FLT3, 1 with complex cyotogenetics in a patient harboring cytogenetic abnormalities before transplantation, and 1 with unknown cytogenetics. One additional patient developed squamous cell carcinoma of the skin. Late nonrelapse deaths also occurred in 4 patients because of pneumonia/pnuemonitis (2), unknown causes (1), and renal failure after an allogeneic transplantation for MDS (1), at 5 months, 6 months, 1.1 years, and 3.7 years, respectively.

# DISCUSSION

In this manuscript, we describe the first use of concurrent chemoradioimmunotherapy in a myeloablative setting and demonstrate that up 210 mg/m<sup>2</sup> of fludarabine can be safely administered along with  $^{131}$ I-tositumomab to deliver  $\leq$ 27 Gy to critical normal organs in older adults with B-NHL. This study builds on our prior work showing that myeloa-blative doses of single agent <sup>131</sup>I-tositumomab could be utilized as a safe and effective approach in older adults [9]. As not all patients were cured with the use of our prior singleagent high-dose RIT approach and nonhematologic toxicity was minimal, we undertook a rational strategy to further optimize this regimen by adding fludarabine, an agent we demonstrated in preclinical models to best optimize the antitumor effects of RIT, when compared with more traditional high-dose compounds, such as cyclophosphamide and etoposide [10,11]. We and others have previously reported the combinations of fludarabine and radioimmunotherapy; however, without the use of hematopoietic stem cell support, the ability to overlap these therapies has been limited due to myelosuppression [18-20]. Furthermore, no groups have attempted to maximize the synergistic effect by escalating the total fludarabine exposure beyond those used in standard regimens.

We were able to escalate the total dose of fludarabine to 210  $\text{mg/m}^2$  without identifying a true MTD and did not attempt to deliver additional doses, as the chemotherapy dates would have encroached upon the day of PBSC infusion. Despite the high cumulative amount of this known



**Figure 2.** (A) Overall and progression-free survival at median follow-up of 3.9 years. (B) Cumulative incidence of relapse and nonrelapse mortality. (C) Survival outcomes by Bcell lymphoma histology.

lympho-depleting agent, increased rates of opportunistic infections, such as cytomegalovirus, were not observed and likely abrogated by the T cell—replete autologous graft. We did observe secondary myeloid disorders, though our sample size is not sufficient to determine if the rates were significantly lower than observed in other studies of fludarabine combinations and transplantation [21,22]. The 3 cases of MDS/AML after this regimen, identified by prospectively collected annual bone marrow evaluations, are similar to a much larger retrospectively collected data set in younger patients (median age, 40 years) showing rates 8.6%

Table 5			
Data from Selected Series of Lymphoma Patients	s Undergoing High-Dose	Therapy and Autologo	us Transplantation

First Author/Year	Age, Median (Range), yr	n	Design	Conditioning	100-Day TRM	NRM	OS	PFS
Gopal 2001 [2]	62 (60-68)	53	Retrospective	BuMelTT (45%), CY-TBI/CY-VP-16-TBI (45%)	9.4%	22% at 4 yr	33% at 4 yr	24% at 4 yr
Buadi 2006 [32]	66 (60-77)	93	Retrospective	BEAM (60%), BEAC (40%)	5.4%	NA	Median 25 mo	Median 13 mo
Jantunen 2006 [3]	63 (60-70)	88	Retrospective	BEAC (56%), BEAM (39%)	11%	19% at 4 yr	44% at 5 yr	45% at 5 yr
Gopal 2007 [9]	64 (60-76)	24	Prospective	Anti-CD20 RIT (100%)	0%	4% at 2 yr	59% at 3 yr	51% at 3 yr
Jantunen 2008 [4]	63 (60-74)	463	Registry	BEAM (73%)	4.4%	10.8% at 3 yr	60% at 3 yr	51% at 3 yr
Wildes 2008 [33]	64 (60-73)	59	Retrospective	BEAM (100%)	8.5%	NA	Median 48 mo	Median 21 mo
Elstrom 2012 [34]	71 (69-86)	21	Retrospective	CBV or BEAM (86%)	19%	NA	Median 8 mo	Median 18 mo
Gopal 2014 (this study)	65 (60-76)	36	Prospective	Anti-CD20-RIT+ fludarabine	0%	7% at 3 yr	54% at 3 yr	53% at 3 yr

TRM indicates treatment-related mortality; NRM, nonrelapse mortality; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; Bu, busulfan; Mel, melphalan; TT, thiotepa; CY, cyclophosphamide; TBI, total body irradiation; BEAM, carmustine, etoposide, cytarabine, melphalan; BEAC, carmustine, etoposide, cytarabine, cyclophosphamide; Anti-CD20-RIT, I-131-tositumomab; CBV, cylcophosphamide, carmustine, etoposide.

at 6 years [23]. Notably, our cases included 1 patient with evidence of a malignant myeloid clone based on abnormal bone marrow cytogenetics before transplantation and a second with a phenotype (FLT3 negative, NPM1 positive) not typically associated with therapy-induced AML. This second patient achieved and has maintained a CR for over 4 years with cytarabine-idarubicin induction, followed by high-dose cytarabine consolidation. We did, however, observe 2 late nonrelapse deaths in patients with lung toxicity and infection, potentially related to their radiationbased conditioning regimen.

Efficacy estimates were not a primary endpoint of this phase I trial; thus, major conclusions should not be drawn from these data. The best results were observed in patients with MCL and indolent B cell lymphoma, with the majority achieving remission durations beyond 3 years. In contrast, only 2 of 8 of patients with DLBCL achieved progression-free survival over 2 years in our series. These results are not surprising because all had resistance or early relapse after rituximab-chemo, a feature clearly associated with poor outcome in similar but younger patients [24].

It is important to note that certain specialized expertise and infrastructure for handling high-activity gamma emitting radionuclides are required for delivering this therapy. Patients at our center were housed for a median of 9 days in standard lead-lined rooms (the same rooms also utilized for <sup>131</sup>I thyroid ablation). This prolonged radiation isolation stay clearly may add to the cost of the transplantation; however, it is in contrast to the median of 19.5 inpatient days required for patients age 60 years and older undergoing BEAM (carmustine, etoposide, cytarabine, melphalan) conditioning for lymphoma at our center. A recent validation study from the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation suggests that the maximum acceptable time in the hospital for lymphoma patients undergoing autologous transplantation is 25 days, much longer than our patients experienced [25]. Our current studies focus on the therapeutic use of isotopes, such as <sup>90</sup>Y, which are free of appreciable gamma emissions targeting either CD20 or CD45, further reducing the potential economic cost and broadening the applicability of this approach [26,27].

This study is the largest prospective autologous transplantation trial designed for older patients with lymphoma and highlights the importance of developing novel conditioning regimens for adults in this age group. A recent retrospective European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation series suggested that, despite the majority of DLBCL diagnoses occurring in adults over the age of 60, only 18% of autologous transplantations were performed in this age group, and these patients suffered twice the rate of nonrelapse mortality [4]. Similarly, in MCL, where transplantation evaluated in prospective trials appears to improve outcomes, most trials to date have limited the upper age to 60 to 65 years, relegating such individuals to less intensive strategies [28-31]. In contrast, our series included 23 patients with MCL; 10 were older than 65 years. To place these data in some context, a selection of series evaluating autologous transplantation for lymphoma in older adults is provided in Table 5, though caution should be employed in comparing nonrandomized series of patients with varied baseline features.

We hypothesized that both the targeted delivery and individualized pharmacokinetically based dosing of the radioimmunoconjuate make it an ideal approach for older adults who may have increased variation in organ function for drug clearance and impaired tissue repair mechanisms. We then built on this concept by translating preclinical data indicating that nucleoside analogs may be a preferred agent concurrently combine with myeloablative radioto immunotherapy. The results of this trial indicate that high cumulative doses of fludarabine can be safely delivered with high-dose <sup>131</sup>I-tositumomab and, more importantly, strengthen the contention that arbitrary age cutoffs should not be implemented for transplantation eligibility, particularly with regimens specifically designed for an older age group.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Lacey Hedin, Jennifer Davies, Sally Lundberg, RN, Martha Bien, Michele Wanner, NMT, Carolyn Thostenson, Shani Frayo, and the patients who courageously participated in this study.

*Financial disclosure:* Supported by NCI P01CA44991, NCI R01CA076287, NCI R01 CA138720, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center/University of Washington Cancer Consortium Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA015704, The Lymphoma Research Foundation, the Mary Aileen Wright and Frederick Kullman Memorial Funds, and gifts from Frank and Betty Vandermeer. A.K.G. is a Scholar in Clinical Research of the Leukemia and Lymphoma Society. Study drug was provided by Glaxo-Smith Kline.

*Conflict of interest statement:* D.G.M. receives research funding from Glaxo-Smith Kline for a separate study. No other potential conflicts were identified.

*Author contributions*: Conception and design by A.K.G., T.A.G., J.G.R., J.M.P., D.R.F., F.R.A., and O.W.P. Data collection by A.K.G., D.R.F., J.G.R., and R.D.C. Data analysis by A.K.G., T.A.G., and R.D.C. Data interpretation, manuscript writing, and approval of final manuscript by all authors.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin. 2012;62:10-29.
- 2. Gopal AK, Gooley TA, Golden JB, et al. Efficacy of high-dose therapy and autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in adults 60 years of age and older. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2001;27:593-599.
- Jantunen E, Itala M, Juvonen E, et al. Autologous stem cell transplantation in elderly (>60 years) patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: a nation-wide analysis. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2006;37: 367-372.
- 4. Jantunen E, Canals C, Rambaldi A, et al. Autologous stem cell transplantation in elderly patients (> or =60 years) with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: an analysis based on data in the European Blood and Marrow Transplantation registry. *Haematologica*. 2008;93:1837-1842.
- Press OW, Eary JF, Appelbaum FR, et al. Radiolabeled-antibody therapy of B-cell lymphoma with autologous bone marrow support [see comments]. N Engl J Med. 1993;329:1219-1224.
- Press OW, Eary JF, Gooley T, et al. A phase I/II trial of iodine-131tositumomab (anti-CD20), etoposide, cyclophosphamide, and autologous stem cell transplantation for relapsed B-cell lymphomas. *Blood*. 2000;96:2934-2942.
- Gopal AK, Gooley TA, Maloney DG, et al. High-dose radioimmunotherapy versus conventional high-dose therapy and autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for relapsed follicular non-Hodgkin lymphoma: a multivariable cohort analysis. *Blood.* 2003;102:2351-2357.
- Nademanee A, Forman S, Molina A, et al. A phase 1/2 trial of high-dose yttrium-90-ibritumomab tiuxetan in combination with high-dose etoposide and cyclophosphamide followed by autologous stem cell transplantation in patients with poor-risk or relapsed non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood.* 2005;106:2896–2902.
- Gopal AK, Rajendran JG, Gooley TA, et al. High-dose [1311] tositumomab (anti-CD20) radioimmunotherapy and autologous hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation for adults > or = 60 years old with relapsed or refractory B-cell lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25:1396-1402.
- Johnson TA, Press OW. Synergistic cytotoxicity of iodine-131-anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies and chemotherapy for treatment of B-cell lymphomas. Int J Cancer. 2000;85:104-112.
- Gopal AK, Pagel JM, Rajendran JG, et al. Improving the efficacy of reduced intensity allogeneic transplantation for lymphoma using radioimmunotherapy. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2006;12:697-702.
- Begleiter A, Pugh L, Israels LG, Johnston JB. Enhanced cytotoxicity and inhibition of DNA damage repair in irradiated murine L5178Y lymphoblasts and human chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells treated with 2'-deoxycoformycin and deoxyadenosine in vitro. *Cancer Res.* 1988;48: 3981-3986.
- 13. Eary JF, Press OW, Badger CC, et al. Imaging and treatment of B-cell lymphoma. J Nucl Med. 1990;31:1257-1268.
- Rajendran JG, Fisher DR, Gopal AK, et al. High-dose (131)l-tositumomab (anti-CD20) radioimmunotherapy for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: adjusting radiation absorbed dose to actual organ volumes. J Nucl Med. 2004;45:1059-1064.
- Cheson BD, Horning SJ, Coiffier B, et al. Report of an international workshop to standardize response criteria for non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. J Clin Oncol. 1999;17:1244-1253.
- Bearman SI, Appelbaum FR, Buckner CD, et al. Regimen-related toxicity in patients undergoing bone marrow transplantation. J Clin Oncol. 1988;6:1562-1568.
- Kaplan EL, Meier P. Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. J Am Stat Assoc. 1958;53:457-481.

- Leonard JP, Coleman M, Kostakoglu L, et al. Abbreviated chemotherapy with fludarabine followed by tositumomab and iodine I 131 tositumomab for untreated follicular lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23: 5696-5704.
- 19. Bethge WA, Lange T, Meisner C, et al. Radioimmunotherapy with yttrium-90-ibritumomab tiuxetan as part of a reduced- intensity conditioning regimen for allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in patients with advanced non-Hodgkin lymphoma: results of a phase 2 study. *Blood.* 2010;116:1795-1802.
- Gopal AK, Guthrie KA, Rajendran J, et al. (9)(0)Y-Ibritumomab tiuxetan, fludarabine, and TBI-based nonmyeloablative allogeneic transplantation conditioning for patients with persistent high-risk B-cell lymphoma. *Blood.* 2011;118:1132-1139.
- Morrison VA, Rai KR, Peterson BL, et al. Therapy-related myeloid leukemias are observed in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia after treatment with fludarabine and chlorambucil: results of an intergroup study, cancer and leukemia group B 9011. *J Clin Oncol*. 2002; 20:3878-3884.
- 22. Waterman J, Rybicki L, Bolwell B, et al. Fludarabine as a risk factor for poor stem cell harvest, treatment-related MDS and AML in follicular lymphoma patients after autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2012;47:488-493.
- Krishnan A, Bhatia S, Slovak ML, et al. Predictors of therapy-related leukemia and myelodysplasia following autologous transplantation for lymphoma: an assessment of risk factors. *Blood.* 2000;95: 1588-1593.
- 24. Gisselbrecht C, Glass B, Mounier N, et al. Salvage regimens with autologous transplantation for relapsed large B-cell lymphoma in the rituximab era. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28:4184-4190.
- 25. Lanza F, Campioni DC, Hellmann A, et al. Individual quality assessment of autografting by probability estimation for clinical endpoints: a prospective validation study from the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2013;19: 1670-1676.
- Gopal AK, Pagel JM, Fromm JR, et al. 1311 anti-CD45 radioimmunotherapy effectively targets and treats T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood*. 2009;113:5905-5910.
- Gopal AK, Press OW, Wilbur SM, et al. Rituximab blocks binding of radiolabeled anti-CD20 antibodies (Ab) but not radiolabeled anti-CD45 Ab. Blood. 2008;112:830-835.
- 28. Dreyling M, Lenz G, Hoster E, et al. Early consolidation by myeloablative radiochemotherapy followed by autologous stem cell transplantation in first remission significantly prolongs progression-free survival in mantle-cell lymphoma: results of a prospective randomized trial of the European MCL Network. *Blood.* 2005;105:2677-2684.
- **29.** Delarue R, Haioun C, Ribrag V, et al. CHOP and DHAP plus rituximab followed by autologous stem cell transplantation in mantle cell lymphoma: a phase 2 study from the Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes de l'Adulte. *Blood.* 2013;121:48-53.
- 30. Geisler CH, Kolstad A, Laurell A, et al. Long-term progression-free survival of mantle cell lymphoma after intensive front-line immunochemotherapy with in vivo-purged stem cell rescue: a nonrandomized phase 2 multicenter study by the Nordic Lymphoma Group. *Blood.* 2008;112:2687-2693.
- Kluin-Nelemans HC, Hoster E, Hermine O, et al. Treatment of older patients with mantle-cell lymphoma. *New Engl J Med.* 2012;367: 520-531.
- **32.** Buadi FK, Micallef IN, Ansell SM, et al. Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for older patients with relapsed non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2006;37:1017-1022.
- Wildes TM, Augustin KM, Sempek D, et al. Comorbidities, not age, impact outcomes in autologous stem cell transplant for relapsed non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2008;14:840-846.
- **34.** Elstrom RL, Martin P, Hurtado Rua S, et al. Autologous stem cell transplant is feasible in very elderly patients with lymphoma and limited comorbidity. *Am J Hematol.* 2012;87:433-435.