OBJECTIVES: The Losartan Intervention For Endpoint Reduction (LIFE) in hypertension study was a randomised, double-blind trial comparing effects of losartan with atenolol on cardiovascular morbidity and death. A population of 9193 hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) in different countries was studied. As compared to atenolol, losartan reduced the combined risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality by 13% (p = 0.021), and reduced risk of stroke by 25% (p = 0.001), despite comparable blood pressure control. Our objective was to conduct a cost-effectiveness analysis of losartan compared with atenolol from the Dutch health care perspective. METHODS: Utilisation of losartan and atenolol within the trial period and an estimation of direct medical costs of stroke for The Netherlands were combined with estimates of reduction in life expectancy through stroke. Medication cost and stroke incidence during 3.5 years of patient follow-up were estimated separately, adjusted for the baseline degree of LVH and Framingham risk score. To estimate lifetime stroke costs, the cumulative incidence of stroke was multiplied by the lifetime direct medical costs attributable to stroke. All costs in 2004 Dutch prices were discounted at 4%, and effects at 1.5% (new guideline). RESULTS: Prevention of stroke resulted in a gain of 5.1 discounted life years. As a consequence, losartan treatment resulted in 0.081 life years gained per patient. Losartan reduced stroke related cost by €946. After inclusion of study medication cost, net cost per patient was €237 higher for losartan than atenolol. The net cost per life year was gained was €2926 which is below the Dutch pharmacoeconomic threshold of €20,000/LYG for accepting interventions. The corresponding probability of a cost-effectiveness ratio below the Dutch threshold was 0.96.

CONCLUSIONS: In The Netherlands, treatment with losartan compared with atenolol is a cost-effective intervention based on the reduced risk of stroke observed in the LIFE trial.
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OBJECTIVES: To assess the costs effectiveness of 18-month treatment with clopidogrel followed by aspirin versus aspirin long life in high-risk patients with recent ischemic stroke (IS) or transient ischemic attack (TIA) in Italy. METHODS: A Markov model was developed to perform an indirect comparison. This model was run with a lifetime perspective, for a cohort aged 66 (63% male). During their lifetime, patients can experience quarterly following events: IS, myocardial infarctions (MI), TIA, other cardiovascular deaths, primary intracranial hemorrhages, life threatening bleedings, or major bleedings. For the clopidogrel arm, the events rates were taken from the recent MATCH trial. In order to derive the aspirin arm, we applied a relative risk of 0.82 for the first year after the event, and a RR of 1.12 from the CAPRIE trial for hemorrhagic events. Costs were retrieved using Italian Dia-gnosis Related Group (DRG) and published sources. Annual discount rate of 3% was applied for both costs and benefits. payer perspective was used. Extensive sensitivity analyses were performed. RESULTS: For a cohort of 10,000 patients, 18-month treatment with clopidogrel instead of aspirin allow to avoid 138 recurrent IS, 28 MI, and 27 cardiovascular deaths. Clopidogrel treatment is associated with a gain in quality adjusted life years (QALY) of 360 years. Incremental total cost per patient (including drugs and complications), over a remaining lifetime horizon was €702. Incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) was €19,500 / QALY with 57% of simulations below the acceptable €23,000 / QALY threshold. CONCLUSIONS: In patients at high risk of ischemic events with recent TIA or IS, 18-month treatment with clopidogrel followed by continuation on aspirin was projected to improve life expectancy, reduce risk of secondary ischemic events and be cost-effective compared to aspirin over patient lifetimes in Italy.