

Theoretical and Computational Investigation of Flagellin Translocation and Bacterial Flagellum Growth

David E. Tanner,^{†§} Wen Ma,^{†§} Zhongzhou Chen,[‡] and Klaus Schulten^{†‡§}* [†]Center for Biophysics and Computational Biology, [‡]Department of Physics, and [§]Beckman Institute, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois

ABSTRACT The bacterial flagellum is a self-assembling filament, which bacteria use for swimming. It is built from tens of thousands of flagellin monomers in a self-assembly process that involves translocation of the monomers through the flagellar interior, a channel, to the growing tip. Flagellum monomers are pumped into the filament at the base, move unfolded along the channel and then bind to the tip of the filament, thereby extending the growing flagellum. The flagellin translocation process, due to the flagellum maximum length of 20 μ m, is an extreme example of protein transport through channels. Here, we derive a model for flagellin transport through the long confining channel, testing the key assumptions of the model through molecular dynamics simulations that also furnish system parameters needed for quantitative description. Together, mathematical model and molecular dynamics simulations explain why the growth rate of flagellar filaments decays exponentially with filament length and why flagellum growth ceases at a certain maximum length.

INTRODUCTION

In many living systems, proteins need to be transported from where they are synthesized to where they are needed. Protein transport often requires unfolded proteins to pass through narrow pores or channels. Examples of protein transport systems are SecY [\(1](#page-7-0)) or the mitochondrial translocase of outer membrane and translocase of inner membrane ([2\)](#page-7-0). Translocation can be driven by passive diffusion ([3\)](#page-7-0) or by active, i.e., energy-consuming, transport ([4\)](#page-7-0). Much theoretical research has focused on protein translocation through pores [\(3,5–8\)](#page-7-0) and channels [\(9,10\)](#page-7-0). The bacterial flagellum, which allows bacteria to propel themselves $(11–14)$ $(11–14)$, is a unique class of protein transport systems in that the conducting channel is considerably longer than the translocated protein [\(15](#page-8-0)).

The flagellar filament is typically $L = 10-20 \mu m$ long and is built from tens of thousands of flagellin monomers stacked in a helical pattern leaving an interior space, the channel, as shown in [Fig. 1](#page-1-0) [\(16,17\)](#page-8-0). Each repeat of the helix involves 11 monomers and a rise of 52 Å. The flagellin protein, Protein Data Bank code 1UCU ([17\)](#page-8-0), consists of 494 amino acids. [Fig. 1](#page-1-0) shows the structure of the four flagellin domains, D0 (residues 1–55, 451–494), D1 (residues 56–176, 402–450), D2 (residues 177–189, 284–401), and D3 (residues 190–283) [\(17–19](#page-8-0)). CD0 (residues 457–494) is an α -helix at the C-terminus, which comprises the inner surface of the filament channel that has a radius of $R = 10 \text{ Å } (17)$ $R = 10 \text{ Å } (17)$ $R = 10 \text{ Å } (17)$.

The flagellum is a self-assembling system. In the basal body, which anchors the rotating flagellum to the cell membrane ([20\)](#page-8-0), a type III secretion system pumps newly synthesized, unfolded, flagellin monomers into the flagellum

Editor: Gregory A. Voth.

 $© 2011$ by the Biophysical Society 0006-3495/11/06/2548/9 \$2.00 doi: [10.1016/j.bpj.2011.04.036](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2011.04.036)

channel [\(21–25](#page-8-0)). Each added monomer displaces its distal neighbor toward the tip of the filament, the secretion pump providing the driving force to translocate all flagellins in the channel toward the growing tip. The flagellin monomers translocate in an unfolded conformation through the filament to the distal end of the flagellum, where they fold into an active conformation and bind to the filament with the help of a cap protein, thereby extending the flagellum ([26\)](#page-8-0). Though both flagellum and translocating protein are comprised of flagellin, the term ''flagellin'' will be used here to denote only the translocating, unfolded, protein.

Regarding flagellum growth, Iino [\(16](#page-8-0)) demonstrated that the rate of filament elongation in vivo decays exponentially with length, whereas the growth rate in vitro (allowing flagellin in bulk water to bind directly to the flagellum tip without traversing the flagellum) is constant. The in vivo decrease in rate was shown to result from a decrease in translocation efficiency as the filament grows. Levy ([27,28](#page-8-0)) sought to describe the flagellum growth rate in terms of a concentration gradient of a flagellar binding factor. This model, developed before the channel structure had been solved ([13\)](#page-7-0), was based on the assumption that flagellin could freely diffuse from the base to the tip and did not correctly reproduce the exponential decay in the growth rate ([16\)](#page-8-0). We explain the observed filament growth rate and its length-dependence in terms of the molecular properties of unfolded, i.e., translocating, flagellin and of folded flagellin, helically assembled into a flagellum.

A straightforward approach to the intended study might be an all-atom simulation of translocating flagellin and the elongating filament; however, the large size of the flagellum and the long timescale of growth render this approach intractable. The authors, rather, adopt a strategy, which starts from a mathematical model of the translocationelongation process; the model describes all properties

Submitted September 16, 2010, and accepted for publication April 13, 2011. *Correspondence: kschulte@ks.uiuc.edu

FIGURE 1 Flagellum structure. The flagellum is built from flagellin monomers stacked in a helical pattern. (A) The folded flagellin consists of four domains: D0 (blue), D1 (brown), D2 (red), and D3 (gold). (B) View along the filament axis shows how the domains are ordered by their distance from the channel's center. D0 is the inward most domain. CD0 is the α -helix at the C-terminus of the D0 domain; it comprises the channel's inner surface. (C) Side view, with most of the filament monomers removed, shows how an unfolded flagellin monomer (magenta) spreads through the filament channel at near-maximal extension.

influencing the process rate, including flagellin density, compressibility, and friction, and reproduces the observation reported in Iino ([16\)](#page-8-0) as well as overall filament length. The local physical properties underlying the model are then verified through molecular dynamics simulations, the model thus furnishing a bridge between small molecule-short timescale properties of the translocating flagellin accessible to molecular dynamics and the large size-long time behavior of the growing flagellum accessible to experiment ([16\)](#page-8-0).

Below, we present the theoretical description of flagellin translocation, which includes several assumptions that are either assumed self-evident or verified through simulation. Next, we outline the molecular dynamics simulations employed to verify the assumptions made and to furnish key system parameters needed to calculate the flagellum growth rate.

METHODS

Our mathematical description of flagellin translocation is based on a minimum set of rather self-evident assumptions and on certain mechanical properties. The model is shown to agree well with observed growth rates, in particular, their length dependence. Molecular dynamics simulations carried out to test the relationship between model and molecular characteristics are then described.

Theory of flagellin translocation and flagellum growth

Our description of translocating unfolded flagellin is based on five positiondependent properties. These properties depend on their distance from the channel tip, x , and on the length of the flagellum, L . We emphasize that x denotes the distance from the tip, not from the base. The five characteristics are:

- 1. Flagellin density, $\rho(x, L)$ (in units flagellin/volume).
- 2. Flagellin pressure, $p(x, L)$ (in units force/area).
- 3. Friction density, $g(x, L)$ (in units force/area). Note that the friction is due to atomic interactions at the contact surface between flagellin and channel.
- 4. Flagellin flux, $\phi(x, L)$ (in units flagellin/(time \times area)).
5. Translocation velocity, $y(x, L)$ (in units langth/time)
- 5. Translocation velocity, $v(x, L)$ (in units length/time).

The theoretical description begins with a derivation of flagellin pressure, $p(x, L)$. It then uses this expression to derive expressions for the remaining four flagellin properties. Finally, the flagellum growth rate is calculated from these properties. The derivation is based on several conditions, which the translocating flagellin system must meet for the model to apply. These conditions are stated and are either argued to be self-evident or are verified through molecular dynamics simulations.

We start by postulating

Condition 1: Flagellin pressure, p, is isotropic.

The radial pressure which unfolded flagellin exerts against the channel surface should be equal to the axial pressure which it exerts on its proximal and distal neighbors,

$$
p_{\text{axial}} = p_{\text{radial}}.\tag{1}
$$

Indeed, we expect that a denatured protein, a self-avoiding but otherwise rather flexible polymer, possesses this property typical for a fluid and does relay compression exerted on it both axially and radially.

The next two postulates,

Condition 2: Friction density, g, is proportional to flagellin pressure, p,

and

Condition 3: Translocating flagellin is in mechanical equilibrium,

are closely related and rely on the fact that the maximum translocation velocity in the flagellar channel is ~1 nm/ms, whereas the maximum growth rate, V_0 , is ~0.1 Å/ms ([16\)](#page-8-0); this slow velocity is central to the behavior of friction between a flagellin and the channel. For velocities below a critical velocity, v_c (typically \sim 1 nm/ms), friction is not characterized by a velocitydependent dynamic friction, but rather by velocity-independent static fric-tion [\(29,30](#page-8-0)), known as "creeping" static friction [\(31,32](#page-8-0)). Because of the small driving forces and slow translocation rates present in the flagellum, flagellar friction is due to creeping static friction ([33\)](#page-8-0).

The flagellin-channel friction manifests itself through resistance to lateral (along channel axis) force which, over a segment $[x + dx, x]$, is due to the pressure difference at $x + dx$ and x and measures $f_{\text{lateral}} = \pi R^2 p(x + dx, L) - \pi R^2 p(x, L)$. The resisting force, creeping static friction, is due to adhesion of flagellin to the channel surface, $2\pi R dx$, and is stated in the form $f_{resistance} = 2\pi Rg(x, L)dx$, where $g(x, L)$ is the static friction per unit contact area. Both channel surface and translocating flagellin are fluctuating conformationally, such that adhesion interactions are constantly formed and broken; as a result f_{lateral} imposes a bias that the flagellin reforms its contacts with the channel further toward the distal tip; $f_{\text{resistance}}$ arises from the number of adhesion spots averaged along the channel and through time. This average is proportional to the number of flagellin surface atoms pressing against the channel wall and, hence, is proportional to the isotropic pressure of flagellin.

Because translocation is so slow, Condition 2 cannot be tested through simulation. However, we demonstrate below that in the pressure and density ranges relevant for flagellin transport, pressure and surface contact density (number of contacts exposed to channel surface per unit surface area), namely $N_{\text{contact}}/A_{\text{contact}}$, are linearly related as

$$
p_{\text{axial}} \sim N_{\text{contact}} / A_{\text{contact}}.\tag{2}
$$

We express [Condition 2](#page-1-0) through

$$
g = \alpha p,\tag{3}
$$

where α is a dimensionless proportionality coefficient, and [Condition 3](#page-0-0) through

$$
\pi R^2 p(x + dx, L) - \pi R^2 p(x, L) - 2\pi R g(x, L) dx = 0.
$$
 (4)

For $dx \rightarrow 0$, this reads

$$
\partial_x p(x, L) = (2/R)g(x, L). \tag{5}
$$

Equations 3 and 5 combine to the differential equation

$$
\partial_x p(x, L) = (2\alpha/R)p(x, L), \tag{6}
$$

the solution of which is

$$
p(x,L) = p_0(L) \exp [(2\alpha/R)x]. \tag{7}
$$

In Eq. 7, $p_0(L)$ is the flagellin pressure at the tip of the flagellum that pushes flagellin against the cap protein and is controlled through the nonzero force, f_{tip} ([26\)](#page-8-0), with which the cap protein resists flagellin to pass through the tip, such that the condition

$$
p_0(L) = f_{tip}/\pi R^2 \tag{8}
$$

holds. Accordingly, we assume

Condition 4: Flagellin pressure at the flagellar tip is $f_{tip}/\pi R^2$.

Equation 8 implies that $p(x, L)$ is *L*-independent, namely

$$
p(x) = (f_{tip}/\pi R^2) \exp [(2\alpha/R)x]. \qquad (9)
$$

[Fig. S1](#page-7-0) in the [Supporting Material](#page-7-0) plots $p(x)$ for the flagellum. Equation 9, combined with Eq. 3, now yields an expression for friction density,

$$
g(x) = (f_{tip}\alpha/\pi R^2) \exp [(2\alpha/R)x]. \qquad (10)
$$

A crucial property controlling translocation in the flagellar channel is the isothermal compressibility, κ_T , of the translocated flagellin. For a given relationship between exerted pressure and flagellin density, the compressibility is $\kappa_T = -V^{-1} (dV/dp)_T$, where $V = N\rho^{-1}$. If the flagellin atoms were disconnected, as noninteracting point particles, the ideal gas law would hold, namely, $p = k_B T \rho$. This pressure-density relationship is clearly an oversimplification. A more realistic description represents flagellin as a so-called Gaussian chain [\(34,35\)](#page-8-0). In the case of this simple polymer model holds approximately the relationship

$$
p \approx k_{\rm B} T \left[\tilde{\rho} + \frac{1}{3} b \pi^4 R^4 L_0 \tilde{\rho}^3 \right],\tag{11}
$$

as we demonstrate in the [Supporting Material.](#page-7-0) Here b is the so-called effective bond length for a Gaussian chain (b equals two times the polymer persistence length l_p ([35](#page-8-0))), L_0 the length of the polymer chain when it is totally stretched, and $\tilde{\rho}$ the density of one polymer chain, defined through the polymer atom density/number of the atoms in a single polymer. One can see from Eq. 11 and the [Supporting Material](#page-7-0) that for increasing $\tilde{\rho}$ holds $p \approx \gamma \tilde{\rho}^{\beta}$ with $\beta = 3$. In this case holds $\kappa_T = 1/\beta p$. In a more realistic description, the actual exponent β should differ from $\beta = 3$ due to atomic interactions that are neglected in the Gaussian chain model [\(34](#page-8-0)). Accordingly, we stipulate

Condition 5: Flagellin pressure is a power of flagellin density.

Namely,

$$
p = \gamma (\rho/\rho_0)^{\rho}, \qquad (12)
$$

where $\rho_0 = 1$ atom/ \AA^3 is a reference density.

According to Eqs. 9 and 12, flagellin density can now be expressed as

$$
\rho(x) = \rho_0 \left(f_{\text{tip}} / \gamma \pi R^2 \right)^{1/\beta} \exp \left[(2\alpha / R\beta) x \right]. \tag{13}
$$

[Fig. S2](#page-7-0) plots $\rho(x)$ for the flagellum. The flagellin flux, ϕ , is the product of flagellin density, ρ , and translocation velocity, v ,

$$
\phi(x,L) = \rho(x)v(x,L). \tag{14}
$$

For the density of flagellin in the channel to be stationary (time-independent), protein flux must be independent of x. Equation 14, therefore, simplifies to

$$
\phi(L) = \rho(x)v(x, L). \tag{15}
$$

This expression is most readily evaluated at $x = L$; for the evaluation, we assume

Condition 6: Flagellin monomers are pumped into the channel at a constant power, P.

The pump power, P, equals the product of pressure, cross-sectional area, and translocation velocity at $x = L$, i.e., $P = \pi R^2 p(x)v(x,L)|_{x=L}$, which can be written

$$
v(x,L)|_{x=L} = P/[\pi R^2 p(x)]|_{x=L}.
$$
 (16)

Equation 15, combined with $v(x,L)|_{x=L}$ from Eq. 16, $\rho(x)|_{x=L}$ from Eq. 13 and $p(x)|_{x=L}$ from Eq. 9, becomes

$$
\phi(L) = \phi_0 \exp\left[(2\alpha/R)(1/\beta - 1)L \right], \quad (17)
$$

where

$$
\phi_0 = \rho_0 \big(P / f_{\text{tip}} \big) \big(f_{\text{tip}} / \gamma \pi R^2 \big)^{1/\beta} . \tag{18}
$$

Finally, one can substitute $\phi(L)$ from Eq. 17 and $\rho(x)$ from Eq. 13 into Eq. 15 and obtain

$$
v(x,L) = (P/f_{\text{tip}}) \exp \{ -(2\alpha/R)[L - (L - x)/\beta] \}.
$$
 (19)

[Fig. S3](#page-7-0) shows $v(x, L)$ for the flagellum.

Rate of flagellin translocation and flagellum growth

We can now express the growth velocity of the flagellum, $V(L)$ (in units length/time), as the product of the frequency with which flagellin monomers reach the tip, $\pi R^2 \phi(L)$ and the length which each flagellin contributes
to $L \to 5 \lambda/\theta$ and in pamely to L, $\lambda = 5$ A/flagellin, namely

$$
V(L) = \lambda \pi R^2 \phi(L), \qquad (20)
$$

which, by substituting $\phi(L)$ from Eq. 17, becomes

$$
V(L) = V_0 \exp(-L/a), \qquad (21)
$$

where

$$
a = (R/2\alpha)/(1 - 1/\beta),
$$
 (22)

and

$$
V_0 = \lambda \rho_0 \left(P \pi R^2 / f_{tip} \right) \left(f_{tip} / \gamma \pi R^2 \right)^{1/\beta} . \tag{23}
$$

For $\beta \rightarrow 1$, the decay length, a, becomes infinite and, therefore, the growth rate would be constant such that $V(L) = V_0$ holds in contrast to observation [\(16](#page-8-0)); thus, it is essential that $\beta > 1$ be established.

By defining the growth rate as the change in length with respect to time, i.e., $V = dL(t)/dt$, Eq. 21 can be integrated to express flagellum length as a function of time,

$$
dL(t)/dt = V_0 \exp[-L(t)/a], \qquad (24)
$$

the solution of which, for $L(0) = 0$, is

$$
L(t) = a \ln (1 + V_0 t/a). \tag{25}
$$

[Fig. S4](#page-7-0) presents $L(t)$ for the flagellum.

This concludes the derivation of expressions for length, growth rate, and the five flagellin translocation properties. These expressions offer a wealth of insight into flagellin translocation through the long, confining flagellar channel and why the growth rate decays exponentially with length. Equation 13 states that, as the flagellum grows, flagellin density at the base increases. As a result, friction at the base grows (refer to Eq. 10). Increasing friction causes pressure to increase at the base (refer to Eq. 9). Because the secretion system operates at constant power, the increasing pressure required to pump in flagellin at the base means that the rate of insertion must decrease proportionally, thereby slowing the rate of translocation and, consequently, filament growth.

According to Eq. 25, the flagellum can grow infinitely long. What, then, halts the flagellum's growth at $L_{\text{max}} = 20 \mu \text{m}$ [\(16](#page-8-0))? The answer is that even though the pump at the base of the filament operates at a constant power P, its force cannot increase indefinitely. In fact, when the pump reaches its stall force, f_{stall} , filament elongation ceases, namely (refer to Eq. 9)

$$
f_{\text{stall}} = f_{\text{tip}} \exp \left[(2\alpha/R) L_{\text{max}} \right]. \tag{26}
$$

From this follows

$$
L_{\text{max}} = (R/2\alpha) \ln \left(f_{\text{stall}} / f_{\text{tip}} \right). \tag{27}
$$

The result shows that the maximum length of a flagellum can be extended by either increasing the ratio $f_{\text{stall}}/f_{\text{tip}}$, or by decreasing the coefficient of friction, α , defined in Eq. 3. Due to the weak, namely only logarithmic, dependence on $f_{\text{stall}}/f_{\text{tip}}, \alpha$ is the primary control of L_{max} .

Verification of flagellin translocation model

Below, [Conditions 1 and 5](#page-1-0) are verified for the flagellum through molecular dynamics simulations. It will be demonstrated how compressing flagellin in a cylinder allows one to measure the needed physical characteristics as a function of flagellin density. [Conditions 2 and 3](#page-1-0) are assumed to hold true for the flagellum because the translocation velocity is extremely slow compared to the relaxation processes during translocation. [Condition 2](#page-1-0) relies on theoretical arguments given above, the key one being a linear relationship between pressure and the average number of adhesion points as stated by Eq. 2. Certainly, the linearity stated in Eq. 3 can hold only over a limited pressure interval, but should hold for the low pressures as they arise in flagellar transport. This will be demonstrated below. [Condition 6](#page-2-0) is evident for biological pumps, which operate by ATP hydrolysis at some fixed rate and have been shown to slow down under increasing load [\(36,37](#page-8-0)).

SIMULATIONS CARRIED OUT

Molecular dynamics simulation methods

Ten nonequilibrium all-atom molecular dynamics simulations were performed (see Table 1) to study flagellin translocation and verify the conditions underlying our theoretical description. The first nine simulations involve a segment of unfolded flagellin being compressed in a cylinder (see [Fig. 2\)](#page-4-0); the 10th simulation is for a segment of flagellin translocated through the actual flagellar channel (see [Fig. 3](#page-4-0)). We carried out also 60 simulations of a flagellin segment equilibrating in implicit solvent while confined to cylinders of different, but fixed, lengths and radii. Simulations were prepared and analyzed using VMD ([38\)](#page-8-0) and carried out with NAMD [\(39](#page-8-0)).

In each simulation, temperature was held at 300 K by a Langevin thermostat, and a pressure of 1 atm was maintained by a Nosé-Hoover Langevin-piston barostat with a period of 200 fs and a decay rate of 300 fs; periodic boundary conditions were assumed. Multiple time stepping was employed using an integration timestep of 1 fs, with short-range forces evaluated every two timesteps and long-range electrostatic forces every four timesteps. The short-range forces were smoothed with a cutoff between 10 and 12 \AA , while long-range electrostatic interactions were calculated using the particle-mesh Ewald algorithm. All simulations used the CHARMM22 [\(40](#page-8-0)) force field together with the TIP3P water model ([41](#page-8-0)). A salt strength of 200 mM KCl was assumed to neutralize the charge of the system and in keeping with experiment [\(16\)](#page-8-0). The structure for flagellin monomers of Salmonella typhimurium was obtained from the Protein Data Bank (code 1UCU ([17\)](#page-8-0)). The structure of the flagellar filament was solved by Yonekura et al. [\(13,17\)](#page-7-0) and others [\(18,19,42](#page-8-0)).

Flagellin preparation

Flagellin was unfolded by fixing the N-terminal residue and pulling the C-terminal residue by steered molecular

TABLE 1 Simulations performed

Name	Type	Residues	Channel	Atoms	Time
5A	Comp.	$1 - 164$	5 Å cyl.	23,232	10 ns
5B	Comp.	165-329	5 Å cyl.	23,142	10 ns
5C	Comp.	330-494	5 Å cyl.	24,988	10 ns
7A	Comp.	$1 - 164$	7 Å cyl.	31,811	10 ns
7B	Comp.	165-329	7 Å cyl.	32,721	10 ns
7C	Comp.	330-494	7 Å cyl.	33,800	10 ns
9A	Comp.	$1 - 164$	9 Å cyl.	34,186	10 ns
9B	Comp.	165-329	9 Å cyl.	32,077	10 ns
9C	Comp.	330-494	9 Å cyl.	31,787	10 ns
CD ₀	Trans.	$1 - 100$	CD ₀	69,664	52 ns
Equil.	Equil.	$1 - 164$	60 cyls.	2445	447 ns

The first nine (Comp.) are simulations of unfolded flagellin being compressed in a cylinder (cyl.). The 10th simulation (Trans.) models flagellin translocation through the flagellum channel. The Equil. group of 60 simulations equilibrate flagellin segment A in cylinders of different geometries; these simulations employed an implicit solvent description.

FIGURE 2 Flagellin compression simulation. To study the effects of confinement and density on translocation, three denatured flagellin segments, A, B, and C, were compressed in cylinders of radii 5, 7, and 9 Å. The piston walls (shown as *black vertical lines*) were moved toward each other from time t_0 (flagellin backbone in *blue*) to time t_f (flagellin backbone in *red*) at a velocity of 10 A/ns. (Arrows) Piston force.

dynamics ([43\)](#page-8-0) in vacuum to a linear density of 0.25 residues/A. Once stretched, the monomer was divided into three segments of equal length for the purpose of accelerated independent sampling: segment A with residues 1–164, segment B with residues 165–329, and segment C with residues 330–494. Copies of each stretched segment contracted and then equilibrated for 3 ns in a periodic water box while confined to cylinders of three different radii, namely 5, 7, and 9 \AA , making nine segments, labeled $5A-9C$, as listed in [Table 1](#page-3-0). Confinement to cylinders, achieved computationally through a Tcl force [\(39](#page-8-0)) (an external, user-specified force), involved a radial force $f = -k \times max(r - r_c, 0)$ to the backbone atoms where r is the atom's distance from the backbone atoms, where r is the atom's distance from the cylinder axis and r_c is the cylinder radius; for k we assumed a value 10 (kcal/mol)/ \AA^2 .

Compression

The flagellin segments, still confined to their respective radii, were axially compressed by another Tcl force ([39\)](#page-8-0), on the right side by $f = -k \times max(x - x_c,0)$, and on the left side
by $f = -k \times min(x + x_0)$, where x is the atom's x coordinate by $f = -k \times min(x + x_c,0)$, where x is the atom's x coordinate
(along the axis of the cylinder) and $\pm x$ is the position of the (along the axis of the cylinder) and $\pm x_c$ is the position of the right and left compression walls (shown as vertical lines in Fig. 2). The walls move inward as the compression prog-

FIGURE 3 Flagellin translocation simulation. To simulate flagellin translocation through the flagellum, flagellin was pushed 52 Å through a channel lined by the CD0 domain (light brown). The repeating arginine residues of the CD0 domains are shown (dark blue); the acidic and basic residues of the translocated flagellin are also shown (dark red and dark blue, respectively). One of several transient salt bridges is shown with the interacting acidic and basic residues (colored light red and light blue, respectively).

resses according to $x_c = x_0 - vt$, where $x_0 = 120$ Å is the initial position of the compression walls, $v = 10$ Å/ns is the velocity at which the compression walls move inward, and t is the simulation time; one simulated system is illustrated in Fig. 2. Axial and radial pressure were calculated as the force exerted by the cylinder's two axial walls and radial wall on the protein, respectively, divided by their respective areas. Density was calculated as the number of protein atoms divided by the cylinder's changing volume.

Equilibration

To test the relationship between axial and radial pressure and density at equilibrium, flagellin segment A was equilibrated in cylinders of several lengths $(L = 150, 165, 180,$ 195, 210, 225 Å) and radii ($R = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12,$ 13, 14 \AA) for several nanoseconds each. Larger cylinders were equilibrated longer according to radius: 5 Å for 5.5 ns, 6–7 Å for 6 ns, 8–9 Å for 7 ns, 10–11 Å for 8 ns, and $12-14$ Å for 9 ns, totaling 447 ns of equilibration time. For accelerated equilibration, the generalized Born implicit solvent, model GB^{OBC} II [\(44](#page-8-0)), implemented in NAMD ([39](#page-8-0)), was employed. The following parameters were changed in going from explicit to implicit solvent simulations: the barostat, periodic boundary conditions, and particle-mesh Ewald were not employed; the nonbonded interaction cutoff was increased to 14 Å; the cutoff for calculating Born radii was set to 12 Å ; and an implicit ion concentration of 0.5 M was assumed. The surface contact density is measured as the number of surface contacts (defined as any atom outside the cylinder) divided by the cylinder radial surface averaged over the trajectory.

Translocation

Flagellin translocation was simulated by pushing a denatured segment of flagellin through the flagellar channel. The initial flagellar channel was built from 44 repeating flagellin monomers arranged helically according to the flagellum structure reported in Yonekura et al. [\(13](#page-7-0)). The flagellin segment resulting from simulation 5A (see [Table 1](#page-3-0)), after equilibration, but before compression, was truncated to 100 residues to fit the flagellum segment. This truncated segment still represents the behavior of the entire flagellin as a denatured protein, and contains the charged residues which are important for forming the transient salt bridges. The flagellin was placed in the filament channel without cylindrical restraints and, after removing overlapping water molecules, the full system was equilibrated for 1 ns.

During translocation, the backbone atoms of the channel were held in place by harmonic restraints $(k = 1$ (kcal/mol)/Å), while a Tcl force [\(39](#page-8-0)) was applied to the flagellin's backbone atoms to induce translocation. The force applied to each backbone atom was $f = -k \times$
 $min(r = r, 0)$ with $k = 1$ (kcal/mol)/ \AA where x is the atom's $min(x - x_c,0)$, with $k = 1$ (kcal/mol)/Å, where x is the atom's coordinate along the channel axis, and x_0 is the wall position; the wall was moved toward the tip at a velocity of 100 Å /ns for 2.5 ns and then at a velocity of 1 $\rm \AA/ns$ for 52 ns. The simulated channel originally required four full repeats (44 monomers) to span the truncated flagellin segment 5A; after 2.5 ns, the 5A segment had compressed to half its original length such that the channel could be shortened to two repeats without affecting system behavior. Because the CD0 helices are the only part of the flagellar channel in contact with the translocating flagellin [\(17](#page-8-0)), all other domains were removed from the channel structure, reducing computational cost; the resulting CD0 channel with translocating flagellin is shown in [Fig. 3](#page-4-0).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Molecular dynamics simulations carried out are analyzed to test [Conditions 1, 2, and 5](#page-1-0) and to illustrate the translocation of flagellin in the actual channel. It is crucial that our simulations probe flagellin properties in ranges relevant to the flagellum. For this reason, plots of $p(x)$, $\rho(x)$, and $v(x, L)$ are provided in [Fig. S1,](#page-7-0) [Fig. S2,](#page-7-0) and [Fig. S3](#page-7-0). [Fig. S2](#page-7-0) shows the relevant flagellin density range, $[0.011, 0.12]$ atoms/ \AA^3 .

Test of Condition 1

[Condition 1](#page-1-0) states that the pressure of cylindrically confined, unfolded flagellin is isotropic. The 9 compression and 60 equilibrium simulations allow complementary comparisons of axial and radial pressure. The compression simulations allow measurement of pressure across a continuous range of densities with the caveat that, even though the compression rate is slow, the compression process may still be off equilibrium. The equilibrium simulations, on the other hand, allow pressure measurements under equilibrium conditions, but only at discrete densities as determined by the selected volumes of the 60 cylinders. Fig. 4 presents the data for the compression simulations.

The relationship between axial and radial pressure is $p_{\text{axial}} = 2.9 \ p_{\text{radial}}$, which verifies that axial and radial pressure are proportional under slow compression conditions. The axial pressure is larger than the radial pressure as the compression walls must move the flagellin tens of Angstroms through the viscous water that is getting expelled from the shrinking cylinder. The inset to Fig. 4 presents the data for the equilibrium simulations; under equilibrium conditions, axial and radial pressure are observed to be related by $p_{\text{axial}} = 0.97$ p_{radial} , which supports isotropicity and verifies [Condition 1.](#page-1-0) [Movie S1](#page-7-0) in the [Supporting](#page-7-0) [Material](#page-7-0) demonstrates compression simulation 9A.

Test of Condition 2

The equilibrium simulations of confined flagellin allow comparison of the radial pressure of the flagellin and the

FIGURE 4 Isotropic pressure of unfolded flagellin. Radial (y axis) versus axial $(x \text{ axis})$ pressure for nine compression simulations in cylinders of radius 5 Å (green), 7 Å (red), and 9 Å (blue). Each data point represents a 10-ps snapshot of the pressures as compression progresses (from bottom left to top right). (Inset) Radial versus axial pressure for 60 equilibrium simulations; each point represents the average pressure of a single simulation. Linear least-squares fit demonstrates $p_{\text{axial}} = 0.97 p_{\text{radial}}$.

degree to which it contacts the confining cylinder, representing the channel. [Fig. S5,](#page-7-0) which plots surface contact density against radial pressure for the equilibrium simulations, shows that surface contact density for each independent simulation is directly proportional to radial flagellin pressure. This result verifies that increasing flagellin pressure increases contact density, which in turn increases static friction, as stipulated in [Condition 2.](#page-1-0)

Test of Condition 5

Both compression and equilibrium simulations allow characterization of pressure and density for the confined unfolded flagellin. The pressure-versus-density data are shown in [Fig. 5](#page-6-0). To test [Condition 5](#page-2-0), the data presented in [Fig. 5](#page-6-0) were fitted to Eq. 12. For the compression simulations, the axial and radial pressures (in units $(kcal/mol)/\AA$ ³) relate to density by $p_{\text{axial}} = 36(\rho/\rho_0)^3$ and $p_{\text{radial}} = 26(\rho/\rho_0)^{3.6}$, whereas the aggregate data are described by $p =$ $29(\rho/\rho_0)^{3.1}$. The inset to [Fig. 5](#page-6-0) shows, for the equilibrium
simulations. flagellin pressure and density related by $p =$ simulations, flagellin pressure and density related by $p =$ $15(\rho/\rho_0)^{3.2}$. Although both types of simulation agree on $\beta \approx 3.2$ x from the equilibrium simulations is half that of $\beta \approx 3.2$, γ from the equilibrium simulations is half that of the compression simulations. The different γ -values in equilibrium and nonequilibrium compression simulations arise from the flagellin in the compression simulation having to dynamically compress through viscous water and squeeze explicit water out of the shrinking cylinder, as it becomes increasingly occupied by compressed flagellin, which adds a compression rate-dependent pressure.

To illustrate how far the Gaussian chain pressure-density relationship in Eq. 11 holds, we compare the prediction of this expression with the equilibrium simulation results (see data point in [Fig. 5](#page-6-0) denoted through an arrow) for the

FIGURE 5 Pressure versus density of unfolded flagellin. Flagellin pressure versus density for nine compression simulations at cylinder radius 5 Å (green), 7 Å (red), and 9 Å (blue). (Upper three curves) Axial pressure. (Lower three curves) Radial pressure. Each data point represents a 10-ps snapshot as the compression progresses (from bottom left to top right). (Two black curves) Average fit of Eq. 12 to the axial $(p = 36(\rho/\rho_0)^3)$ and radial (p = 26(ρ/ρ_0)^{3,6}) pressures (in units (kcal/mol)/[Apostrom symbol]³) radial ($p = 26(\rho/\rho_0)^{3.6}$) pressures (in units (kcal/mol)/[Angstrom symbol]³).
(*Inset*) Padial (red) and axial (*blue*) pressure versus density for 60 equilib (*Inset*) Radial (*red*) and axial (*blue*) pressure versus density for 60 equilibrium simulations (each data point marks the average pressure and density of a single simulation). (Black curve) Average fit of Eq. 12 to the axial and radial pressures ($p = 15(\rho/\rho_0)^{3.2}$). (*Arrow*) Location of an $R = 13 \text{ Å}$, $L = 150 \text{ Å}$ data point used to match the Gaussian chain model to simulation 150 Å data point used to match the Gaussian chain model to simulation data, with b (refer to Eq. 11) as the fitting parameter. (Purple highlight) Pressure and density range relevant to the flagellum.

low density of $\rho_{\text{atom}} = 3.07 \times 10^{-2} \text{ atoms/Å}^3$ and for $N = 2445$ (i.e. $\tilde{\rho} = \rho_{\text{c}} = N = 1.25 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Å}^{-3}$) $N_{\text{atom}} = 2445$ (i.e., $\tilde{\rho} = \rho_{\text{atom}}/N_{\text{atom}} = 1.25 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Å}^{-3}$)
as well as for $R = 13 \text{ Å}$ $I = 150 \text{ Å}$ $I_0 \approx 164 \times 5 \text{ Å}$ as well as for $R = 13 \text{ Å}$, $L = 150 \text{ Å}$, $L_0 \approx 164 \times 5 \text{ Å}$,
and $T = 300 \text{ K}$. For a choice $h = 40.5 \text{ Å}$, one obtains and $T = 300$ K. For a choice $b = 40.5$ Å, one obtains from Eq. 11 agreement with the simulated pressure $p =$ 4.33×10^{-5} (kcal/mol)/A³. The persistence length of the flexible polymer chain would be half of the effective bond flexible polymer chain would be half of the effective bond length, b ([35\)](#page-8-0), i.e., it would be 20 Å, which falls into the persistence length range of $5-25$ Å expected for unfolded protein [\(45–47\)](#page-8-0) ; for example, the observed and theoretical persistence length of poly-L-alanine is \sim 20 Å (see [\(48](#page-8-0))). [Movie S1](#page-7-0), which shows a compression simulation for $R =$ 9 A, is provided in the [Supporting Material.](#page-7-0)

Flagellin translocation

To view the behavior of translocating flagellin in its channel environment, a 100-residue segment of flagellin was pushed through a flagellar channel as illustrated in [Fig. 3.](#page-4-0) During the translocation simulation, of the many flagellin-channel interactions observed, the most striking ones are a series of salt bridges formed; a salt bridge was considered to be formed if the oxygen atom of the acidic residue and the nitrogen atom of the basic residue came within a distance of 3.2 Å. During the 52 -ns simulation, 30 salt bridges were observed, 18 within flagellin and 12 between flagellin and channel. The flagellinchannel salt bridges persisted, on average, for 1–1.5 ns, corresponding to $1-1.5$ Å displacement of the flagellin along the channel; at the much slower in vivo translocation rate, these salt bridges may persist much longer. [Movie S2](#page-7-0), provided in the [Supporting Material,](#page-7-0) depicts the simulated translocation and highlights one such salt bridge.

The prevalence of salt bridges stimulated the investigation of the importance of charged residues across different species of flagellin. Using the VMD [\(38\)](#page-8-0) plugin MultiSeq ([49\)](#page-8-0), multiple sequence alignment of both Salmonella and Escherichia coli flagellin showed a conserved SLLX motif at the C-terminus (the terminus exposed to the channel interior). In Salmonella, X is $Arg⁴⁹⁴$, which places a positively charged residue along the inner surface of the channel. In E. coli, X changes to GlnArg, which eliminates this positive charge; however, there is a co-mutation of $G\ln^{481}$ to Lys⁴⁸¹; Lys^{481} is spatially adjacent to X and, thus, restores the positively charged residue to location 494. The conservation of a charged residue X suggests it to be important for translocation. Studies of the flagellum with X mutated to neutral residues could shed light on the impact of salt-bridge formation on translocation.

Agreement with experiment

It is remarkable that our simple model of flagellin translocation agrees so well with available experimental data on how friction in the channel causes the growth rate to decay exponentially with length. The strength of our model is further demonstrated by comparison with experiment.

For quantitative study of the flagellum, we first identify the physical system parameters needed in our description; Table 2 presents the required parameters. L_{max} , V_0 , ϕ_0 , a,

TABLE 2 Flagellin translocation parameters

Parameter	Value	Source	
(1) L_{max}	$20 \mu m$	Iino (16)	
$(2) V_0$	$91 \overline{A/s}$	Iino (16)	
(3) ϕ_0	435 atoms/ \AA^2 /s	Iino (16)	
(4) a	$3.7 \mu m$	$\text{Iino}(16)$	
(5) λ	5 A/7197 atoms	Namba et al. (15)	
(6) R	10 Å	Yonekura et al. (17)	
(7) β	3.2	Fig. 5	
(8) γ	15 (kcal/mol) $/\AA$ ³	Fig. 5	
(9) α	2×10^{-4}	Eq. 22	
P	$f_{\rm tip}$	f_{stall}	
(a) 1	$3e-6$	$8e-3$	
(b) 10	$9e-5$	0.2	
(c) 100	$3e-3$	7	

Parameters 1–6 are taken from the listed references; parameters 7 and 8 are measured from the equilibrium simulations; parameter 9 is calculated from the previously listed values and the listed equation. Regarding parameter 5, each flagellin monomer consists of 7197 atoms and contributes roughly 5 Å to flagellum length. Items $a-c$ list three possible P values (in units (kcal/ mol)/s) along with the respective f_{tip} and f_{stall} values (in units (kcal/mol)/ \check{A}) that are consistent with Eqs. 23 and 26.

and λ are experimentally known for the flagellum, whereas β and γ are taken from the fit of Eq. 12 to the equilibrium simulations as presented in [Fig. 5.](#page-6-0) The power of the flagellar pump, P (from which f_{tip} and f_{stall} can be directly calculated), is not experimentally known; we therefore list in [Table 2](#page-6-0) reasonable values for P and the respective values for f_{stall} and f_{tip} that are consistent with Eqs. 23 and 26. For quantitative analysis we will assume $P = 100$ (kcal/mol)/s, taken from the viral DNA packaging motor ([36,37](#page-8-0)). Naturally, following our description and simulations, the system parameters listed in [Table 2](#page-6-0) can be determined for flagella of other species.

The parameter α is neither known experimentally nor can it be determined directly for our simulations; α can, however, be computed by employing known parameters a, R, and β in Eq. 22. The very small $\alpha = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, pivotal to the relationship between pressure and friction (refer to to the relationship between pressure and friction (refer to Eq. 3), suggests that very little of the radial force is translated into axial static friction, consistent with the low forces of creeping static friction. In contrast, an α -value of \sim 1 would decrease the decay length from $a = 3.6 \mu m$ to $a =$ 3.6 A, not allowing the flagellum to grow.

From Eq. 25, it can be calculated that the flagellum grows to its maximal length in roughly 24 h; this growth time is consistent with Iino [\(16](#page-8-0)), where it is reported that flagella grow to \sim 10 μ m in only a few hours, but require >10 h to reach 15 μ m. We argued above (compare to Eq. 27) that the pump's stall force prevents the flagellum from infinite growth. Employing the parameters of [Table 2](#page-6-0) in Eq. 27 suggests that a stall force of 7 (kcal/mol)/ \AA corresponds to a maximal length of 20 μ m; this stall force agrees qualitatively with the viral DNA packaging motor's stall force of \sim 1 (kcal/mol)/Å [\(36](#page-8-0)). Fig. S4 illustrates how the force at the base of the flagellum, required to pump additional flagellin monomers into channel, grows with time; when the force at the flagellum base reaches f_{stall} , growth ceases.

CONCLUSION

The exploration of flagellin translocation was facilitated by guidance of a theoretical model, which narrows the scope of properties which simulations need to address. For example, though the theoretical model describes several flagellin properties as a function of x , it also allows the properties to be expressed in terms of density independent of x ; therefore, the simulations could explore friction and pressure as a function of density instead of having to simulate along an extremely long filament focusing on x dependence. The theoretical model also furnished clear tests for validation as well as a clear framework for interpreting the results in terms of actual flagellin properties.

Our model of flagellin translocation links local and short time properties to overall translocation, allowing the compression simulations, which cover only nanometers in size and nanoseconds in time, to represent the growing flagellum, which grows several micrometers in length over several hours.

The theoretical description concludes that the flagellum growth rate decreases exponentially with length because of protein compression and friction between translocating flagellin and flagellar channel increasing proportionally along the flagellum. The growth rate calculated agrees with Iino [\(16](#page-8-0)), who experimentally measured the growth rate decaying exponentially with length and showed it to be caused by decreasing translocation efficiency.

SUPPORTING MATERIAL

Five figures, 35 equations, and two movies are available at [http://www.](http://www.biophysj.org/biophysj/supplemental/S0006-3495(11)00482-6) [biophysj.org/biophysj/supplemental/S0006-3495\(11\)00482-6.](http://www.biophysj.org/biophysj/supplemental/S0006-3495(11)00482-6)

The authors thank Anton Arkhipov, Peter Freddolino, and Johan Strumpfer for insightful discussions.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (PHY0822613) and the National Institutes of Health (NIH P41-RR05969 to K.S. and Molecular Biophysics Training Grant fellowship to D.E.T.). Computer time was provided through the National Resource Allocation Committee grant (NCSA MCA93S028) from the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gumbart, J., and K. Schulten. 2008. The roles of pore ring and plug in the SecY protein-conducting channel. J. Gen. Physiol. 132:709–719.
- 2. Neupert, W., and J. M. Herrmann. 2007. Translocation of proteins into mitochondria. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 76:723–749.
- 3. Sung, W., and P. J. Park. 1996. Polymer translocation through a pore in a membrane. Phys. Rev. Lett. 77:783–786.
- 4. Schatz, G., and B. Dobberstein. 1996. Common principles of protein translocation across membranes. Science. 271:1519–1526.
- 5. Lubensky, D. K., and D. R. Nelson. 1999. Driven polymer translocation through a narrow pore. Biophys. J. 77:1824–1838.
- 6. Dubbeldam, J., A. Milchev, ..., T. Vilgis. 2007. Driven polymer translocation through a nanopore: A manifestation of anomalous diffusion. Europhys. Lett. 79:18002.
- 7. Simon, S., C. Peskin, and G. Oster. 1992. What drives the translocation of proteins? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 89:3770–3774.
- Wickner, W., and R. Schekman. 2005. Protein translocation across biological membranes. Science. 310:1452–1456.
- 9. Muthukumar, M., and C. Y. Kong. 2006. Simulation of polymer translocation through protein channels. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 103:5273–5278.
- 10. Loebl, H. C., R. Randel, ..., C. C. Matthai. 2003. Simulation studies of polymer translocation through a channel. Phys. Rev. E. 67:041913.
- 11. DePamphilis, M., and J. Alder. 1971. Purification of Intact Flagella from Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 105:376–383.
- 12. Blair, D. F. 2003. Flagellar movement driven by proton translocation. FEBS Lett. 545:86–95.
- 13. Yonekura, K., S. Maki-Yonekura, and K. Namba. 2005. Building the atomic model for the bacterial flagellar filament by electron cryomicroscopy and image analysis. Structure. 13:407–412.
- 14. Arkhipov, A., P. L. Freddolino, ..., K. Schulten. 2006. Coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations of a rotating bacterial flagellum. Biophys. J. 91:4589–4597.
- 15. Namba, K., I. Yamashita, and F. Vonderviszt. 1989. Structure of the core and central channel of bacterial flagella. Nature. 342:648–654.
- 16. Iino, T. 1974. Assembly of Salmonella flagellin in vitro and in vivo. J. Supramol. Struct. 2:372–384.
- 17. Yonekura, K., S. Maki-Yonekura, and K. Namba. 2003. Complete atomic model of the bacterial flagellar filament by electron cryomicroscopy. Nature. 424:643–650.
- 18. Mimori, Y., I. Yamashita, ..., K. Namba. 1995. The structure of the Rtype straight flagellar filament of Salmonella at 9 A resolution by electron cryomicroscopy. J. Mol. Biol. 249:69–87.
- 19. Samatey, F. A., K. Imada, ..., K. Namba. 2001. Structure of the bacterial flagellar protofilament and implications for a switch for supercoiling. Nature. 410:331–337.
- 20. Ghosh, P. 2004. Process of protein transport by the type III secretion system. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 68:771–795.
- 21. Minamino, T., Y. Saijo-Hamano, ..., K. Namba. 2004. Domain organization and function of Salmonella FliK, a flagellar hook-length control protein. J. Mol. Biol. 341:491–502.
- 22. Hirano, T., T. Minamino, ..., R. Macnab. 2003. Substrate specificity classes and the recognition signal for Salmonella type III flagellar export. J. Bacteriol. 185:2485–2492.
- 23. Blocker, A., K. Komoriya, and S. Aizawa. 2003. Type III secretion systems and bacterial flagella: Insights into function from structural similarities. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 100:3027–3030.
- 24. Namba, K. 2001. Roles of partly unfolded conformations in macromolecular self-assembly. Genes Cells. 6:1–12.
- 25. Chng, C., and A. Kitao. 2008. Thermal unfolding simulations of bacterial flagellin: insight into its refolding before assembly. Biophys. J. 94:3858–3871.
- 26. Maki-Yonekura, S., K. Yonekura, and K. Namba. 2003. Domain movements of HAP2 in the cap-filament complex formation and growth process of the bacterial flagellum. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 100: 15528–15533.
- 27. Levy, E. M. 1973. Flagellar elongation: an example of controlled growth. J. Theor. Biol. 43:133–149.
- 28. Levy, E. M. 1974. Flagellar elongation as a moving boundary problem. Bull. Math. Biol. 36:265–273.
- 29. Mate, C. M., G. M. McClelland, ..., S. Chiang. 1987. Atomic-scale friction of a tungsten tip on a graphite surface. Phys. Rev. Lett. 59: 1942–1945.
- 30. Fusco, C., and A. Fasolino. 2005. Velocity dependence of atomic-scale friction: a comparative study of the one- and two-dimensional Tomlinson model. Phys. Rev. B. 71:045413.
- 31. Heslot, F., T. Baumberger, ., C. Caroli. 1994. Creep, stick-slip, and dry-friction dynamics: experiments and a heuristic model. Phys. Rev. E. 49:4973–4988.
- 32. Baumberger, T., and L. Gauthier. 1996. Creeplike relaxation at the interface between rough solids under shear. J. Phys. I (Fr.). 6:1021– 1030.
- 33. Muser, M. H. 2008. How static is static friction? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 105:13187–13188.
- 34. Yamakawa, H. 1971. Modern Theory of Polymer Solutions. Harper and Row, New York.
- 35. Kawakatsu, T. 2004. Statistical Physics of Polymers: An Introduction. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- 36. Chemla, Y. R., K. Aathavan, ., C. Bustamante. 2005. Mechanism of force generation of a viral DNA packaging motor. Cell. 122:683–692.
- 37. Purohit, P. K., M. M. Inmdar, ..., R. Phillips. 2005. Forces during bacteriophage DNA packaging and ejection. Biophys. J. 88:851–866.
- 38. Humphrey, W., A. Dalke, and K. Schulten. 1996. VMD—visual molecular dynamics. J. Mol. Graph. 14:33–38.
- 39. Phillips, J. C., R. Braun, ..., K. Schulten. 2005. Scalable molecular dynamics with NAMD. J. Comput. Chem. 26:1781–1802.
- 40. MacKerell, Jr., A. D., D. Bashford, ., M. Karplus. 1998. All-atom empirical potential for molecular modeling and dynamics studies of proteins. J. Phys. Chem. B. 102:3586–3616.
- 41. Jorgensen, W. L., J. Chandrasekhar, ..., M. L. Klein. 1983. Comparison of simple potential functions for simulating liquid water. J. Chem. Phys. 79:926–935.
- 42. Morgan, D., C. Owen, ., D. DeRosier. 1995. Structure of bacterial flagellar filaments at 11 A resolution: packing of the α -helices. J. Mol. Biol. 249:88–110.
- 43. Isralewitz, B., M. Gao, and K. Schulten. 2001. Steered molecular dynamics and mechanical functions of proteins. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 11:224–230.
- 44. Onufriev, A., D. Bashford, and D. A. Case. 2004. Exploring protein native states and large-scale conformational changes with a modified generalized Born model. Proteins. 55:383–394.
- 45. Kellermayer, M., S. Smith, ..., C. Bustamante. 1997. Folding-unfolding transition in single titin modules characterized with laser tweezers. Science. 276:1112–1116.
- 46. Li, H., W. Linke, ., J. M. Fernandez. 2002. Reverse engineering of the giant muscle protein titin. Nature. 418:998–1002.
- 47. Lee, G., K. Abdi, ..., P. E. Marszalek. 2006. Nanospring behavior of ankyrin repeats. Nature. 440:246–249.
- 48. Cantor, C. R., and P. R. Schimmel. 1980. Biophysical Chemistry., Vol. III. W. H. Freeman, New York.
- 49. Roberts, E., J. Eargle, ..., Z. Luthey-Schulten. 2006. MultiSeq: unifying sequence and structure data for evolutionary analysis. BMC Bioinform. 7:382.