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#### Abstract

Let $T$ be a weighted tree. The weight of a subtree $T_{1}$ of $T$ is defined as the product of weights of vertices and edges of $T_{1}$. We obtain a linear-time algorithm to count the sum of weights of subtrees of $T$. As applications, we characterize the tree with the diameter at least $d$, which has the maximum number of subtrees, and we characterize the tree with the maximum degree at least $\Delta$, which has the minimum number of subtrees.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we suppose that $T=(V(T), E(T) ; f, g)$ is a weighted tree with the vertex set $V(T)=$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$, the edge set $E(T)=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n-1}\right\}$, vertex-weight function $f: V(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ and edge-weight function $g: E(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ (where $\mathcal{R}$ is a commutative ring with a unit element 1 ), if not otherwise specified. If a weighted tree $T=(V(T), E(T) ; f, g)$ satisfies $f=g=1$, we call $T$ a simple tree and denote it by $T=(V(T), E(T))$. Let $\mathcal{T}(T)$ denote the set of subtrees of a tree $T$. For arbitrary two fixed vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$, denote by $\mathcal{T}\left(T\right.$; $v_{i}$ ) (resp. $\mathcal{T}\left(T ; v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ ) the set of subtrees of $T$, each of which contains vertex $v_{i}$ (resp. vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ ), denote by $a(T ; k)$ the number of subtrees of $T$ with $k$ edges, denote by $a\left(T ; v_{i} ; k\right)$ (resp. $a\left(T ; v_{i}, v_{j} ; k\right)$ ) the number of subtrees of $T$, each of which contains vertex $v_{i}$ (resp. vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ ) and $k$ edges, denote by $b(T ; k)$ the number of subtrees of $T$ with $k$ vertices, and denote by $b\left(T ; v_{i} ; k\right)$ (resp. $\left.b\left(T ; v_{i}, v_{j} ; k\right)\right)$ the number of subtrees of $T$ with $k$ vertices, each of which contains vertex $v_{i}$ (resp. vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ ). Obviously, for any $k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, we have the following:

$$
a(T ; k)=b(T ; k+1), a\left(T ; v_{i} ; k\right)=b\left(T ; v_{i} ; k+1\right), a\left(T ; v_{i}, v_{j} ; k\right)=b\left(T ; v_{i}, v_{j} ; k+1\right)
$$

For a given subtree $T_{1}$ of a weighted $T$, we define the weight of $T_{1}$, denoted by $\omega\left(T_{1}\right)$, as the product of the weights of the vertices and edges in $T_{1}$. The generating function of subtrees of a weighted tree $T=(V(T), E(T) ; f, g)$, denoted by $F(T ; f, g)$, is the sum of weights of subtrees of $T$. That is, $F(T ; f, g)=\sum_{T_{1} \in \mathcal{T}(T)} \omega\left(T_{1}\right)$. Similarly, we can define

[^0]the generating function of subtrees of a weighted tree $T=(V(T), E(T) ; f, g)$ containing a fixed vertex $v_{i}$ (resp. two fixed vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ ), as the sum of weights of subtrees of $T$ containing vertex $v_{i}$ (resp. vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ ), denoted by $F\left(T ; f, g ; v_{i}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.F\left(T ; f, g ; v_{i}, v_{j}\right)\right)$. Hence we have
$$
F\left(T ; f, g ; v_{i}\right)=\sum_{T_{1} \in \mathcal{T}\left(T ; v_{i}\right)} \omega\left(T_{1}\right), \quad F\left(T ; f, g ; v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=\sum_{T_{1} \in \mathcal{T}\left(T ; v_{i}, v_{j}\right)} \omega\left(T_{1}\right) .
$$

By the definitions of $F(T ; f, g), F\left(T ; f, g ; v_{i}\right)$, and $F\left(T ; f, g ; v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$, if we weight each edge by $x$ and each vertex by $y$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(T ; y, x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a(T ; k) x^{k} y^{k+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} b(T ; k) x^{k-1} y^{k}, \\
& F\left(T ; y, x ; v_{i}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a\left(T ; v_{i} ; k\right) x^{k} y^{k+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} b\left(T ; v_{i} ; k\right) x^{k-1} y^{k}, \\
& F\left(T ; y, x ; v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a\left(T ; v_{i}, v_{j} ; k\right) x^{k} y^{k+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} b\left(T ; v_{i}, v_{j} ; k\right) x^{k-1} y^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $T$ be a simple tree of order $n$, and let $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ be arbitrary two distinct vertices of $T$. For the sake of convenience, we denote by $\chi(T)=F(T ; 1,1)$ the number of subtrees of $T$, by $\chi\left(T ; v_{i}\right)=F\left(T ; 1,1 ; v_{i}\right)$ the number of subtrees of $T$, each of which contains vertex $v_{i}$, and by $\chi\left(T ; v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=F\left(T ; 1,1 ; v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ the number of subtrees of $T$, each of which contains vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$.

Székely and Wang [6] studied the problem enumerating subtrees of a tree. They proved the following:
Theorem 1.1 (Székely and Wang [6]). The path $P_{n}$ has $\binom{n+1}{2}$ subtrees, fewer than any other trees of $n$ vertices. The star $K_{1, n-1}$ has $2^{n-1}+n-1$ subtrees, more than any other trees of $n$ vertices.

Székely and Wang [6] said that it was not difficult to design a recursive algorithm that would compute the number of subtrees of a tree in a time bounded by a polynomial of $n$, the number of vertices (but we have not found such an algorithm). These may be the first results on enumeration of subtrees of a simple tree. For some related results see also Székely and Wang [5,7] and Wang [8].

In the next section, we give a linear-time algorithm to count the generating functions $F(T ; f, g), F\left(T ; f, g ; v_{i}\right)$, and $F\left(T ; f, g ; v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ of subtrees of a weighted tree $T=(V(T), E(T) ; f, g)$ for any two vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$. As an application, in Section 3 we characterize the tree with the diameter at least $d$, which has the maximum number of subtrees, and we characterize the tree with the maximum degree at least $\Delta$, which has the minimum number of subtrees. Finally, Section 4 presents our conclusions.

## 2. Algorithms

Let $T=(V(T), E(T) ; f, g)$ be a weighted tree of order $n>1$ and $u$ a pendant vertex of $T$. Suppose $e=(u, v)$ is the pendant edge of $T$. We define a weighted tree $T^{\prime}=\left(V\left(T^{\prime}\right), E\left(T^{\prime}\right) ; f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ of order $n-1$ from $T$ as follows: $V\left(T^{\prime}\right)=V(T) \backslash\{u\}, E\left(T^{\prime}\right)=E(T) \backslash\{e\}$, and

$$
f^{\prime}\left(v_{s}\right)= \begin{cases}f(v)(f(u) g(e)+1) & \text { if } v_{s}=v \\ f\left(v_{s}\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for any $v_{s} \in V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, and $g^{\prime}(e)=g(e)$ for any $e \in E\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. Fig. 1 illustrates the procedure constructing $T^{\prime}$ from $T$.
Theorem 2.1. Keeping the above notation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(T ; f, g)=F\left(T^{\prime} ; f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)+f(u) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We partition the sets $\mathcal{T}(T)$ and $\mathcal{T}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ of subtrees of $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ as follows:

$$
\mathcal{T}(T)=\mathcal{T}_{1} \cup \mathcal{T}_{1^{\prime}} \cup \mathcal{T}_{2} \cup \mathcal{T}_{3}, \quad \mathcal{T}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \cup \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}
$$



Fig. 1. (a) A weighted tree $T=(V(T), E(T) ; f, g)$ with a pendant edge $e=(u, v)$. (b) The corresponding weighted tree $T^{\prime}=\left(V\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right.$, $\left.E\left(T^{\prime}\right) ; f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$.
where
$\mathcal{T}_{1}$ is the set of subtrees of $T$, each of which contains vertex $v$ but not vertex $u$;
$\mathcal{T}_{1^{\prime}}$ is the set of subtrees of $T$, each of which contains edges $e=(u, v)$;
$\mathcal{T}_{2}$ is the set of subtrees of $T$, each of which contains neither $u$ nor $v$;
$\mathcal{T}_{3}$ is the set of subtrees of $T$, each of which contains $u$ but not $v$;
$\mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}$ is the set of subtrees of $T^{\prime}$, each of which contains vertex $v$;
$\mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}$ is the set of subtrees of $T^{\prime}$, each of which contains no vertex $v$.
By the definitions above, we have:
(i) there exist two natural bijections (ignore weights) $\theta_{1}: T_{1} \longmapsto T_{1}^{\prime}$ between $\mathcal{T}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}$, and $\theta_{2}: T_{2} \longmapsto T_{2}^{\prime}$ between $\mathcal{T}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}$;
(ii) $\mathcal{T}_{1^{\prime}}=\left\{T_{1}+u \mid T_{1} \in \mathcal{T}_{1}\right\}$, where $T_{1}+u$ is the tree obtained from $T_{1}$ by attaching a pendant edge $(v, u)$ at vertex $v$ of $T_{1}$;
(iii) $\mathcal{T}_{3}=\{u\}$.

Note that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{T_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}} \omega\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{T_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}} f^{\prime}(v) \frac{\omega\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)}{f^{\prime}(v)}=\sum_{T_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}} f(v)[f(u) g(e)+1] \frac{\omega\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)}{f^{\prime}(v)} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (i)-(iii), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{T_{1^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{T}_{1^{\prime}}} \omega\left(T_{1^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{T_{1} \in \mathcal{T}_{1}} f(u) g(e) \omega\left(T_{1}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \sum_{T_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}} \omega\left(T_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{T_{2} \in \mathcal{T}_{2}} \omega\left(T_{2}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& \sum_{T_{3} \in \mathcal{T}_{3}} \omega\left(T_{3}\right)=f(u) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{T_{1} \in \mathcal{T}_{1}} \omega\left(T_{1}\right)+\sum_{T_{1^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{T}_{1^{\prime}}} \omega\left(T_{1^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{T_{1} \in \mathcal{T}_{1}}[f(u) g(e)+1] \omega\left(T_{1}\right)=\sum_{T_{1} \in \mathcal{T}_{1}} f(v)[f(u) g(e)+1] \frac{\omega\left(T_{1}\right)}{f(v)} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (i), $\theta_{1}: T_{1} \longmapsto T_{1}^{\prime}$ is a natural bijection between $\mathcal{T}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}$, then $\omega\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right) / f^{\prime}(v)=\omega\left(T_{1}\right) / f(v)$ since $T_{1}$ and $T_{1}^{\prime}$ have "almost all" the same weights of vertices and edges except the weights of $v$ in $T_{1}$ and $T_{1}^{\prime}$ (one is $f(v)$ and another is $f(v)(f(u) g(e)+1))$. So by (2) and (6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{T_{1} \in \mathcal{T}_{1}} \omega\left(T_{1}\right)+\sum_{T_{1^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{T}_{1^{\prime}}} \omega\left(T_{1^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{T_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}} \omega\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by (4), (5), (7), and the definitions of $F(T ; f, g)$ and $F\left(T^{\prime} ; f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(T ; f, g) & =\sum_{T_{1} \in \mathcal{T}_{1}} \omega\left(T_{1}\right)+\sum_{T_{1^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}_{1^{\prime}}}} \omega\left(T_{1^{\prime}}\right)+\sum_{T_{2} \in \mathcal{T}_{2}} \omega\left(T_{2}\right)+\sum_{T_{3} \in \mathcal{T}_{3}} \omega\left(T_{3}\right) \\
& =\sum_{T_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}} \omega\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{T_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}} \omega\left(T_{2}^{\prime}\right)+f(u)=F\left(T^{\prime}, f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)+f(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the theorem thus follows.

By a similar argument we have the following:
Theorem 2.2. Let $T=(V(T), E(T) ; f, g)$ be a weighted tree of order $n>1$ and $u$ a pendant vertex of $T$. Suppose $e=(u, v)$ is the pendant edge of $T$. Let $T^{\prime}$ be the weighted tree defined as above. Then, for arbitrary vertex $v_{i} \neq u$, the generating functions $F\left(T ; f, g ; v_{i}\right)$ and $F\left(T^{\prime} ; f^{\prime}, g^{\prime} ; v_{i}\right)$ of subtrees of $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ satisfy the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(T ; f, g ; v_{i}\right)=F\left(T^{\prime} ; f^{\prime}, g^{\prime} ; v_{i}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $T=(V(T), E(T) ; f, g)$ be a weighted tree of order $n>1$ and $u$ a pendant vertex of $T$. Suppose $e=(u, v)$ is the pendant edge of $T$. Let $T^{\prime}$ be the weighted tree defined as above. Then, for arbitrary two distinct vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ such that $v_{i} \neq u, v_{j} \neq u$, the generating functions $F\left(T ; f, g ; v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ and $F\left(T^{\prime} ; f^{\prime}, g^{\prime} ; v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ of subtrees of $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ satisfy the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(T ; f, g ; v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=F\left(T^{\prime} ; f^{\prime}, g^{\prime} ; v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sake of convenience, if $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{\geqslant 0}$ is a sequence, we define: $\prod_{t=i}^{j} a_{t}=1$ if $j<i$.
Corollary 2.4. Let $P_{n}=\left(V\left(P_{n}\right), E\left(P_{n}\right) ; f, g\right)$ be a weighted path of order $n$, where $V\left(P_{n}\right)=\left\{v_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$, $E\left(P_{n}\right)=\left\{e_{i}=\left(v_{i}, v_{i}+1\right) \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n-1\right\}, f\left(v_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, and $g\left(e_{i}\right)=x_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& F\left(P_{n} ; f, g\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-j}\left(\prod_{s=i}^{i+j-1} x_{s} y_{s}\right) y_{i+j},  \tag{10}\\
& F\left(P_{n} ; f, g ; v_{1}\right)=y_{1}\left[1+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{j}\left(x_{i} y_{i+1}\right)\right] . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We prove the corollary by induction on $n$. It is easy to prove that if $n=2$ or 3 the corollary holds. Now we suppose $n>3$ and proceed by induction. Let $P_{n-1}^{\prime}=\left(V\left(P_{n-1}^{\prime}\right), E\left(P_{n-1}^{\prime}\right) ; f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$, where $V\left(P_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{v_{i} \mid i=\right.$ $1,2, \ldots, n-1\}, E\left(P_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{e_{i}=\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right) \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n-2\right\}, f^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-2$ and $f^{\prime}\left(v_{n-1}\right)=y_{n-1}\left(y_{n} x_{n-1}+1\right)$, and $g^{\prime}\left(e_{i}\right)=x_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-2$. Then, by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
F\left(P_{n} ; f, g\right)=F\left(P_{n-1}^{\prime} ; f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)+y_{n}
$$

By induction, we have

$$
F\left(P_{n-1}^{\prime} ; f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1-j}\left(\prod_{s=i}^{i+j-1} x_{s} y_{s}^{\prime}\right) y_{i+j}^{\prime}
$$

where $y_{s}^{\prime}=y_{s}$ for $s=1,2, \ldots, n-2$, and $y_{n-1}^{\prime}=y_{n-1}\left(y_{n} x_{n-1}+1\right)$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(P_{n} ; f, g\right)=F\left(P_{n-1}^{\prime} ; f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)+y_{n}= & \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1-j}\left(\prod_{s=i}^{i+j-1} x_{s} y_{s}^{\prime}\right) y_{i+j}^{\prime}+y_{n} \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_{i}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} y_{i}^{\prime} y_{i+1}^{\prime} x_{i}+\cdots+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1-k} y_{i}^{\prime} y_{i+1}^{\prime} \ldots y_{i+k}^{\prime} x_{i} x_{i+1} \ldots x_{i+k-1} \\
& +\cdots+y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime} \ldots y_{n-1}^{\prime} x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n-2}+y_{n} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $y_{i}^{\prime}=y_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-2$, and $y_{n-1}^{\prime}=y_{n-1}\left(y_{n} x_{n-1}+1\right)$. By (12), it is easy to show that (10) holds. Similarly, we can show that (11) holds and hence the corollary has been proved.

A direct result of Corollary 2.4 is the following:

## Corollary 2.5.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F\left(P_{n} ; y, x\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(n-j) x^{j} y^{j+1}, & F\left(P_{n} ; y, x ; v_{1}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^{j} y^{j+1} \\
F\left(P_{n} ; y, 1\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}(n-j+1) y^{j}, & F\left(P_{n} ; 1, x\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(n-j) x^{j} .
\end{array}
$$

Similarly, we can prove the following:
Corollary 2.6. Let $K_{1, n-1}=\left(V\left(K_{1, n-1}\right), E\left(K_{1, n-1}\right) ; f, g\right)$ be a weighted star of order $n$, where $V\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)=$ $\left\{v_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}, E\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)=\left\{e_{i}=\left(v_{n}, v_{i}\right) \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n-1\right\}, f\left(v_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, and $g\left(e_{i}\right)=x_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$. Then

$$
F\left(K_{1, n-1} ; f, g\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left[\sum_{1 \leqslant j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{i} \leqslant n-1}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{i} x_{j_{k}} y_{j_{k}}\right)\right] y_{n} .
$$

## Corollary 2.7.

$$
F\left(K_{1, n-1} ; y, x\right)=n y+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{i} x^{i} y^{i+1} .
$$

By Corollaries 2.5 and 2.7, we have the following:
Corollary 2.8 (Székely and Wang [6]).

$$
\chi\left(P_{n}\right)=F\left(P_{n} ; 1,1\right)=\binom{n+1}{2}, \quad \chi\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)=F\left(K_{1, n-1} ; 1,1\right)=2^{n-1}+n-1 .
$$

By Theorems 2.1-2.3, we can produce three graph-theoretical algorithms for computing the generating functions $F(T ; f, g), F\left(T ; f, g ; v_{i}\right)$, and $F\left(T ; f, g ; v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ of subtrees of a weighted tree $T=(V(T), E(T) ; f, g)$ directly from $T$ for arbitrary two different vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$, respectively, as follows:

Algorithm 2.9. Let $T=(V(T), E(T) ; f, g)$ be a weighted tree with two or more vertices.
Step 1. Initialize.
Define: $p\left(v_{s}\right)=f\left(v_{s}\right)$, for all $v_{s} \in V(T)$; and $N=0$.
Step 2. Contract.
(a) Choose a pendant vertex $u$ and let $e=(u, v)$ denote the pendant edge.
(b) Replace $p(v)$ with $p(v)(p(u) g(e)+1)$.
(c) Replace $N$ with $N+p(u)$.
(d) Eliminate vertex u and edge e.

Step 3. If $v$ is the only remaining vertex, go to Step 4. Otherwise, go to Step 2.
Step 4. Answer: $F(T ; f, g)=p(v)+N$.
Algorithm 2.10. Let $T=(V(T), E(T) ; f, g)$ be a weighted tree with two or more vertices and $v_{i}$ a fixed vertex of $T$.
Step 1. Initialize.
Define: $p\left(v_{s}\right)=f\left(v_{s}\right)$, for all $v_{s} \in V(T)$.
Step 2. Contract.
(a) Choose a pendant vertex $u \neq v_{i}$ and let $e=(u, v)$ denote the pendant edge.
(b) Replace $p(v)$ with $p(v)(p(u) g(e)+1)$.
(c) Eliminate vertex u and edge $e$.

Step 3. If $v$ is the only remaining vertex $v_{i}$, go to Step 4. Otherwise, go to Step 2.
Step 4. Answer: $F\left(T ; f, g ; v_{i}\right)=p(v)$.


Fig. 2. An illustration of the procedures for computing the numbers $\chi(T), \chi(T ; B), \chi(T ; A, B)$ of a simple tree by Algorithms 2.9-2.11.

Algorithm 2.11. Let $T=(V(T), E(T) ; f, g)$ be a weighted tree with two or more vertices, and $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ two distinct
vertices of $T$.
Step 1. Initialize.
Define: $p\left(v_{s}\right)=f\left(v_{s}\right)$, for all $v_{s} \in V(T)$.
Step 2. If $T$ is a path, and $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are two pendant vertices, go to Step 5. Otherwise, go to Step 3.

## Step 3. Contract.

(a) Choose a pendant vertex $u$, which is different from $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$, and let $e=(u, v)$ denote the pendant edge.
(b) Replace $p(v)$ with $p(v)(p(u) g(e)+1)$.
(c) Eliminate vertex u and edge $e$.

Step 4. If there exists no vertex u satisfying the condition (a) in Step 3, go to Step 5. Otherwise, go to Step 3.
Step 5. Answer: $F\left(T ; f, g ; v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=\prod_{v \in V\left(P_{v_{i} v_{j}}\right)} p(v) \prod_{e \in E\left(P_{v_{i} v_{j}}\right)} g(e)$, where $P_{v_{i} v_{j}}$ denotes the unique path of $T$ from vertex $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$.

Remark 2.12. It is not difficult to see that Algorithms 2.9-2.11 are linear on the number of vertices of the tree $T$. Let $T$ be a simple tree of order $n$ and $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ two distinct vertices of $T$. By Algorithms 2.9-2.11, we can compute easily the numbers $\chi(T), \chi\left(T ; v_{i}\right), \chi\left(T ; v_{i}, v_{j}\right), a(T ; k), b(T ; k), a\left(T ; v_{i} ; k\right), a\left(T ; v_{i}, v_{j} ; k\right), b\left(T ; v_{i} ; k\right)$ and $b\left(T ; v_{i}, v_{j} ; k\right)$, respectively. The following examples show these procedures of computations.

Example 2.13. We compute the numbers $\chi(T), \chi(T ; B), \chi(T ; A, B)$ of a simple tree $T$, which appears in the upper left corner in Fig. 2. We weight each vertex and edge of $T$ by one. From the illustration in Fig. 2, we know that $\chi(T)=62, \chi(T ; B)=24(1 \times 1+1)=48, \chi(T ; A)=25, \chi(T ; A, B)=1 \times 1 \times 24=24$.

Example 2.14. We compute the edge generating functions $F(T ; 1, x), F(T ; 1, x ; A)$ and $F(T ; 1, x ; B, C)$ of a simple tree $T$, which appears in Fig. 3. We can weight each vertex by one and each edge by $x$ (or weight each vertex by $y$ and each edge by one, see Example 2.15). From the illustration in Fig. 3, we know that $F(T ; 1, x)=$ $x\left(x^{2}+2 x+1\right)^{2}+2\left(x^{2}+2 x+1\right)+4=x^{5}+4 x^{4}+6 x^{3}+6 x^{2}+5 x+6, F(T ; 1, x ; A)=x\left(x^{2}+2 x+1\right)^{2}+\left(x^{2}+2 x+1\right)=$ $x^{5}+4 x^{4}+6 x^{3}+5 x^{2}+3 x+1, F(T ; 1, x ; B, C)=x(x+1) x\left(x^{2}+2 x+1\right)=x^{5}+3 x^{4}+3 x^{3}+x^{2}$. Hence $a(T ; 0)=6$, $a(T ; 1)=5, a(T ; 2)=6, a(T ; 3)=6, a(T ; 4)=4, a(T ; 5)=1 ; a(T ; A ; 0)=1, a(T ; A ; 1)=3, a(T ; A ; 2)=5$, $a(T ; A ; 3)=6, a(T ; A ; 4)=4, a(T ; A ; 5)=1 ; a(T ; B, C ; 0)=0, a(T ; B, C ; 1)=0, a(T ; B, C ; 2)=1$, $a(T ; B, C ; 3)=3, a(T ; B, C ; 4)=3, a(T ; B, C ; 5)=1$.


$$
\longrightarrow x\left(x^{2}+2 x+1\right)^{2}+x^{2}+2 x+1+4+x^{2}+2 x+1
$$

Fig. 3. An illustration of the procedures for computing $F(T ; 1, x), F(T ; 1, x ; A)$ and $F(T ; 1, x ; B, C)$ of a simple tree $T$ by Algorithms 2.9-2.11.


$$
\longrightarrow \underset{\left(y^{3}+2 y^{2}+y\right)^{2}+y^{3}+2 y^{2}+y}{\boldsymbol{Q}^{A}}+4 y+y^{3}+2 y^{2}+y
$$

Fig. 4. An illustration of the procedures for computing $F(T ; y, 1), F(T ; y, 1, ; A)$ and $F(T ; y, 1 ; B, C)$ of a simple tree $T$ by Algorithms 2.9-2.11.
Example 2.15. We compute the vertex generating functions $F(T ; y, 1), F(T ; y, 1 ; A)$ and $F(T ; y, 1 ; B, C)$ of a simple tree $T$, which appears in Fig. 4 or 3 . We weight each vertex by $y$ and each edge by 1 . From the illustration in Fig. 4, we know that $F(T ; y, 1)=\left(y^{3}+2 y^{2}+y\right)^{2}+2\left(y^{3}+2 y^{2}+y\right)+4 y=y^{6}+4 y^{5}+6 y^{4}+6 y^{3}+5 y^{2}+$ $6 y, F(T ; y, 1 ; A)=\left(y^{3}+2 y^{2}+y\right)^{2}+y^{3}+2 y^{2}+y=y^{6}+4 y^{5}+6 y^{4}+5 y^{3}+3 y^{2}+y, F(T ; y, 1 ; B, C)=$ $y\left(y^{2}+y\right)\left(y^{3}+2 y^{2}+y\right)=y^{6}+3 y^{5}+3 y^{4}+y^{3}$. Hence $b(T ; 1)=6, b(T ; 2)=5, b(T ; 3)=6, b(T ; 4)=6$, $b(T ; 5)=4, b(T ; 6)=1 ; b(T ; A ; 1)=1, b(T ; A ; 2)=3, b(T ; A ; 3)=5, b(T ; A ; 4)=6, b(T ; A ; 5)=4$, $b(T ; A ; 6)=1 ; b(T ; B, C ; 1)=0, b(T ; B, C ; 2)=0, b(T ; B, C ; 3)=1, b(T ; B, C ; 4)=3, b(T ; B, C ; 5)=3$, $b(T ; B, C ; 6)=1$.

From Example 2.14, for the tree $T$ shown in Fig. 3, we have $\chi(T)=\sum_{k=0}^{5} a(T ; k)=28, \chi(T ; A)=\sum_{k=0}^{5}$ $a(T ; A ; k)=20, \chi(T ; B, C)=\sum_{k=0}^{5} a(T ; B, C ; k)=8$.

## 3. Trees with extremal number of subtrees

We suppose that the tree $T$ considered in this section is simple, if not specified. In Section 3.1, we introduce four transformations of trees, each of which gives us a way of comparing numbers of subtrees of a pair of trees. In Section 3.2, by the four transformations of trees we characterize the tree with the diameter at least $d$, which has the maximum number of subtrees, and we also characterize the tree with the maximum degree at least $\Delta$, which has the minimum number of subtrees. As corollaries, we obtain the trees with the second, third, fourth, and fifth largest numbers of subtrees and the tree with the second minimum number of subtrees.

### 3.1. Four transformations of trees

Denote the degree of a vertex $v$ of tree $T$ by $d_{T}(v)$. Let $T_{1}^{\prime}$ and $T_{2}^{\prime}$ be two trees, and let $u$ (resp. $v$ ) be a vertex of $T_{1}^{\prime}$ (resp. $T_{2}^{\prime}$ ), where $\left|V\left(T_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right|=r+1 \geqslant 2$. Let $T_{1}$ be a tree obtained from $T_{1}^{\prime}$ and $T_{2}^{\prime}$ by identifying vertices


Fig. 5. (a) The tree $T_{1}$. (b) The tree $T_{2}$. (c) The tree $T_{3}$.
$u$ and $v$ (see the illustration in Fig. 5(a)). Construct a tree $T_{2}$ from $T_{1}^{\prime}$ by attaching $r$ pendant edges to vertex $u$ of $T_{1}^{\prime}$ (see Fig. 5(b)). We call the procedure constructing $T_{2}$ from $T_{1}$ the first transformation of tree $T_{1}$, denoted by $\phi_{1}\left(T_{1}\right)=T_{2}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ be the trees defined as above, where $r \geqslant 1$ and $\left|V\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geqslant 2$. Then

$$
\chi\left(T_{1}\right)=F\left(T_{1} ; 1,1\right) \leqslant \chi\left(T_{2}\right)=F\left(T_{2} ; 1,1\right)
$$

with equality holds if and only if $T_{2}^{\prime}=K_{1, r}$ and $d_{T_{2}^{\prime}}(v)=r$.
Proof. Let $f_{i}: V\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(i=1,2)$ be two functions defined as follows:

$$
f_{1}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
F\left(T_{2}^{\prime} ; 1,1 ; v\right) & \text { if } v^{\prime}=u, \\
1 & \text { otherwise },
\end{array} \quad f_{2}\left(v^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}2^{r} & \text { if } v^{\prime}=u, \\
1 & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}\right.
$$

where $F(T ; 1,1 ; v)$ is the number of subtrees of $T$, each of which contains vertex $v$. Suppose that $\Phi_{u}\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is the set of subtrees of $T_{1}^{\prime}$ with as least two vertices, each of which contains vertex $u$. By Algorithms 2.9 and 2.10, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(T_{1} ; 1,1\right) & =F\left(T_{2}^{\prime} ; 1,1\right)-F\left(T_{2}^{\prime} ; 1,1 ; v\right)+F\left(T_{1}^{\prime} ; f_{1}, 1\right) \\
& =F\left(T_{2}^{\prime} ; 1,1\right)-F\left(T_{2}^{\prime} ; 1,1 ; v\right)+F\left(T_{1}^{\prime}-u ; 1,1\right)+F\left(T_{2}^{\prime} ; 1,1 ; v\right)\left[1+\left|\Phi_{u}\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right|\right] \\
& =F\left(T_{2}^{\prime} ; 1,1\right)+F\left(T_{1}^{\prime}-u ; 1,1\right)+F\left(T_{2}^{\prime} ; 1,1 ; v\right)\left|\Phi_{u}\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right|, \\
F\left(T_{2} ; 1,1\right) & =r+F\left(T_{1}^{\prime} ; f_{2}, 1\right)=r+2^{r}+F\left(T_{1}^{\prime}-u ; 1,1\right)+2^{r}\left|\Phi_{u}\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
F\left(T_{2} ; 1,1\right)-F\left(T_{1} ; 1,1\right)=\left[2^{r}+r-F\left(T_{2}^{\prime} ; 1,1\right)\right]+\left[2^{r}-F\left(T_{2}^{\prime} ; 1,1 ; v\right)\right]\left|\Phi_{u}\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right|
$$

Note that $T_{2}^{\prime}$ is a tree with $r+1$ vertices. Hence, by Theorem 1.1 or Corollary 2.8,

$$
2^{r}+r-F\left(T_{2}^{\prime} ; 1,1\right) \geqslant 0
$$

with equality holds if and only if $T_{2}^{\prime}=K_{1, r}$. Since $T_{2}^{\prime}$ has at least $r$ subtrees $v_{i}$ 's $\left(v_{i} \neq v\right)$ with a vertex, each of which is not a subtree of $T_{2}^{\prime}$ containing vertex $v$,

$$
F\left(T_{2}^{\prime} ; 1,1\right) \geqslant F\left(T_{2}^{\prime} ; 1,1 ; v\right)+r .
$$

Note that $F\left(K_{1, r} ; 1,1\right)=2^{r}+r$. Hence

$$
0 \leqslant F\left(K_{1, r} ; 1,1\right)-F\left(T_{2}^{\prime} ; 1,1\right) \leqslant 2^{r}-F\left(T_{2}^{\prime} ; 1,1 ; v\right)
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
2^{r}-F\left(T_{2}^{\prime} ; 1,1 ; v\right) \geqslant 0
$$

with equality holds if and only if $T_{2}^{\prime}=K_{1, r}$ and $d_{T_{2}^{\prime}}(v)=r$. Hence we have

$$
F\left(T_{2} ; 1\right) \geqslant F\left(T_{1} ; 1\right)
$$

with equality holds if and only if $T_{2}^{\prime}=K_{1, r}$ and $d_{T_{2}^{\prime}}(v)=r$. The lemma thus follows.
Let $T_{1}^{\prime}$ and $T_{2}^{\prime}$ be two trees, and let $u\left(\right.$ resp. $v$ ) be a vertex of $T_{1}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.T_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\left|V\left(T_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right|=r+1 \geqslant 2$. Let $T_{1}$ be the tree defined as above (see Fig. 5(a)). Construct a tree $T_{3}$ from $T_{1}^{\prime}$ by identifying vertex $u$ of $T_{1}^{\prime}$ and one of two


Fig. 6. (a) The tree $T_{d}\left(k_{i}, k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right)$. (b) The tree $T_{d}\left(k_{i}+k_{i+1}, k_{i+2}, \ldots, k_{d}\right)$.
pendant vertices of a path with $r+1$ vertices (see Fig. 5(c)). We call the procedure constructing $T_{3}$ from $T_{1}$ the second transformation of tree $T_{1}$, denoted by $\phi_{2}\left(T_{1}\right)=T_{3}$. As that in the proof of Lemma 3.1 we can prove the following:

Lemma 3.2. Let $T_{1}$ and $T_{3}$ be the trees defined as above, where $r \geqslant 1$. Then

$$
\chi\left(T_{1}\right)=F\left(T_{1} ; 1,1\right) \geqslant \chi\left(T_{3}\right)=F\left(T_{3} ; 1,1\right)
$$

with equality holds if and only if $T_{2}^{\prime}=P_{r+1}$ and $d_{T_{2}^{\prime}}(v)=1$.
Remark 3.3. Let $T$ be a tree with $n$ vertices and $T \neq K_{1, n-1}$ and $T \neq P_{n}$. Suppose that ( $v^{\prime}, u$ ) is a pendant edge of $T$ and $d_{T}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=1$. Let $T_{1}^{\prime}$ be the subtree of $T$ containing two vertices $v^{\prime}$ and $u$, and let $T_{2}^{\prime}=T-v^{\prime}$. Obviously, with application of the first (resp. second) transformation of tree $T, T$ can be transformed into the star $K_{1, n-1}\left(\right.$ resp. the path $\left.P_{n}\right)$. Hence, by Lemma 3.1 (resp.Lemma 3.2), $F(T ; 1,1)<F\left(K_{1, n-1} ; 1,1\right)($ resp. $F(T ; 1,1)>$ $F\left(P_{n} ; 1,1\right)$ ).

Suppose $V\left(P_{d+1}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{d+1}\right\}$ and $E\left(P_{d+1}\right)=\left\{\left(v_{j}, v_{j+1}\right) \mid j=1,2, \ldots, d\right\}$ are the vertex set and edge set of a path $P_{d+1}$ with $d+1$ vertices, respectively. Assume that $k_{i}, k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_{d}$ are $d-i+1$ non-negative integers and $k_{i} \neq 0$. Construct two trees, denoted by $T=T_{d}\left(k_{i}, k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right)$ and $T^{*}=T_{d}\left(k_{i}+k_{i+1}, k_{i+2}, \ldots, k_{d}\right)$, with $d+1+\sum_{l=i}^{d} k_{l}$ vertices as follows. $T$ is the tree obtained from $P_{d+1}$ by attaching $k_{l}$ pendant edges to vertices $v_{l}$ for $l=i, i+1, \ldots, d$ (see Fig. 6(a)) and $T^{*}$ is the tree obtained from $P_{d+1}$ by attaching $k_{i}+k_{i+1}$ pendant edges to vertex $v_{i+1}$ and $k_{l}$ pendant edges to vertices $v_{l}$ for $l=i+2, i+3, \ldots, d$ (see Fig. 6(b)). We call the procedure constructing $T^{*}$ from $T$ the third transformation of tree $T$, denoted by $\phi_{3}(T)=T^{*}$.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose $d$ and $k_{l}$ for $l=i, i+1, \ldots, d$ are non-negative integers and $d>1, k_{i} \geqslant 1$. Let $T=T_{d}\left(k_{i}, k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right)$ and $T^{*}=T_{d}\left(k_{i}+k_{i+1}, k_{i+2}, \ldots, k_{d}\right)$ be the two trees defined as above. If $i \leqslant(d+1) / 2$, then we have

$$
F(T ; 1,1) \leqslant F\left(T^{*} ; 1,1\right)
$$

with equality holds if and only if $k_{i+1}=k_{i+2}=\cdots=k_{d}=0, d$ is odd and $i=(d+1) / 2$.
Proof. We assume that $T_{1}$ is one of two components of $T-\left(v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}\right)$, which contains vertex $v_{d+1}$. Obviously, $T_{1}$ is a subtree of $T$ and it can be naturally regarded as a subtree of $T^{*}$. By Algorithms 2.9 and 2.10, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(T ; 1,1)= & \frac{1}{2}(i-1) i+k_{i}+k_{i+1}+F\left(T_{1} ; 1,1\right)+i 2^{k_{i}}+2^{k_{i+1}}\left[F\left(T_{1} ; 1,1 ; v_{i+2}\right)+1\right] \\
& +i 2^{k_{i}+k_{i+1}}\left[F\left(T_{1} ; 1,1 ; v_{i+2}\right)+1\right], \\
F\left(T^{*} ; 1,1\right)= & \frac{1}{2} i(i+1)+k_{i}+k_{i+1}+F\left(T_{1} ; 1,1\right)+2^{k_{i}+k_{i+1}}(i+1)\left[F\left(T_{1} ; 1,1 ; v_{i+2}\right)+1\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence it is easy to obtain the following:

$$
F\left(T^{*} ; 1,1\right)-F(T ; 1,1)=\left[2^{k_{i}}-1\right]\left[2^{k_{i+1}} F\left(T_{1} ; 1,1 ; v_{i+2}\right)+2^{k_{i+1}}-i\right] .
$$

Note that $k_{i}>0$. So we have $2^{k_{i}}-1>0$. Since $F\left(T_{1} ; 1,1 ; v_{i+2}\right)$ has at least $d+1-(i+1)=d-i$ vertices, $F\left(T_{1} ; 1,1 ; v_{i+2}\right) \geqslant d-i$, which implies that

$$
2^{k_{i+1}} F\left(T_{1} ; 1,1 ; v_{i+2}\right)+2^{k_{i+1}}-i \geqslant 2^{k_{i+1}}(d-i+1)-i \geqslant d-2 i+1
$$

with equality holds if and only if $k_{i+1}=0$ and $F\left(T_{1} ; 1,1 ; v_{i+2}\right)=d-i$. Since $i \leqslant(d+1) / 2$, we have

$$
2^{k_{i+1}} F\left(T_{1} ; 1,1 ; v_{i+2}\right)+2^{k_{i+1}}-i \geqslant 2^{k_{i+1}}(d-i+1)-i \geqslant d-2 i+1 \geqslant 0
$$



Fig. 7. (a) The tree $T_{0}(s, t)$. (b) The tree $T_{0}(s+t-1,1)$.
with equality if and only $k_{i+1}=0, i=(d+1) / 2$ and $F\left(T_{1} ; 1,1 ; v_{i+2}\right)=d-i$. It is not difficult to see $F\left(T_{1} ; 1,1 ; v_{i+2}\right)$ $=d-i$ if and only if $k_{i+1}=k_{i+2}=\cdots=k_{d}=0$. Hence we have prove that $F(T ; 1,1) \leqslant F\left(T^{*} ; 1,1\right)$ with equality holds if and only if $k_{i+1}=k_{i+2}=\cdots=k_{d}=0, d$ is odd and $i=(d+1) / 2$. Hence the lemma follows.

Let $T_{0}$ be a tree with at least two vertices and $u$ a vertex of $T$. For arbitrary two positive integers $s, t$, construct a tree, denoted by $T_{0}(s, t)$, from $T_{0}$ by attaching two paths with $s+1$ and $t+1$ vertices to vertex $u$. Figs. 7(a) and (b) illustrate two trees $T_{0}(s, t)$ and $T_{0}(s+t-1,1)$. We call the procedure constructing $T_{0}(s+t-1,1)$ from $T_{0}(s, t)$ the fourth transformation of $T_{0}(s, t)$, denoted by $\phi_{4}\left(T_{0}(s, t)\right)=T_{0}(s+t-1,1)$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $T_{0}$ be a tree with at least two vertices and $u$ a vertex of $T_{0}$. For arbitrary two positive integers $s \geqslant 2, t \geqslant 2$, let $T_{0}(s, t)$ be the tree defined as above. Then

$$
F\left(T_{0}(s, t) ; 1,1\right)>F\left(T_{0}(s+t-1,1) ; 1,1\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $f_{i}: V\left(T_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(i=1,2)$ be two functions defined as follows:

$$
f_{1}(v)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
(s+1)(t+1) & \text { if } v=u, \\
1 & \text { otherwise },
\end{array} \quad f_{2}(v)= \begin{cases}2(s+t) & \text { if } v=u, \\
1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}\right.
$$

Suppose that $\Phi_{u}\left(T_{0}\right)$ is the set of subtrees of $T_{0}$ with as least two vertices, each of which contains vertex $u$. By Algorithms 2.9 and 2.10, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(T_{0}(s, t) ; 1,1\right)=\frac{1}{2} s(s+1)+\frac{1}{2} t(t+1)+F\left(T_{0}-u ; 1,1\right)+(s+1)(t+1)+(s+1)(t+1)\left|\Phi_{u}\left(T_{0}\right)\right|, \\
& F\left(T_{0}(s+t-1,1) ; 1,1\right)=1+\frac{1}{2}(s+t-1)(s+t)+F\left(T_{0}-u ; 1,1\right)+2(s+t)+2(s+t)\left|\Phi_{u}\left(T_{0}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the equalities above, we have

$$
F\left(T_{0}(s, t) ; 1,1\right)-F\left(T_{0}(s+t-1,1) ; 1,1\right)=(s t-s-t+1)\left|\Phi_{u}\left(T_{0}\right)\right| .
$$

Since $s \geqslant 2$ and $t \geqslant 2$, we have $s t>s+t-1$. Hence

$$
(s t-s-t+1)\left|\Phi_{u}\left(T_{0}\right)\right|>0
$$

which implies

$$
F\left(T_{0}(s, t) ; 1,1\right)>F\left(T_{0}(s+t-1,1) ; 1,1\right) .
$$

Hence we have finished the proof of the lemma.

### 3.2. Trees with extremal number of subtrees

First, we need to define two trees as follows. Suppose $n, d$ and $\Delta$ are three positive integers, $n \geqslant d+1$ and $\Delta \geqslant 2$. Let $T_{n, \Delta}$ be the tree obtained from $P_{n-\Delta+1}$ by attaching $\Delta-1$ pendant edges to one of pendant vertices of $P_{\Delta-1}$ (see Fig. 8(a)). Suppose $V\left(P_{d+1}\right)=\{1,2, \ldots, d+1\}$ and $E\left(P_{d+1}\right)=\{(i, i+1) \mid i=1,2, \ldots, d\}$ are the vertex set and edge set of a path $P_{d+1}$ with $d+1$ vertices, respectively. Let $T(n, d)$ be the tree obtained from $P_{d+1}$ by attaching $n-d-1$ pendant edges to vertex $[(d+1) / 2]+1$, where $[x]$ denotes the largest integer no more than $x$ (see Fig. 8(b)).


Fig. 8. (a) The tree $T_{n, \Delta}$. (b) The tree $T(n, d)$.


Fig. 9. (a) The tree $B_{n, 1}=T(n, 3)$. (b) The tree $B_{n, 2}$. (c) The tree $B_{n, 3}$. (d) The tree $T(n, 4)$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\Delta$ be a positive integer more than two, and let $T$ be a tree with $n$ vertices, which has the maximum degree at least $\Delta$. Then

$$
F(T ; 1,1) \geqslant F\left(T_{n, \Delta} ; 1,1\right)
$$

with equality holds if and only if $T=T_{n, \Delta}$, where $T_{n, \Delta}$ is the tree defined as above.
Theorem 3.7. Let $d$ be a positive integer more than one, and let $T$ be a tree with $n$ vertices, which has diameter at least d. If $T \neq T(n, d)$, then

$$
F(T ; 1,1)<F(T(n, d) ; 1,1)
$$

where $T(n, d)$ is the tree defined as above.
Before we prove the theorems above, we consider some of their corollaries, which characterize the trees with the second, third, fourth, and fifth largest numbers of subtrees and the tree with the second minimum number of subtrees.

Since the maximum degree of a tree $T$ with $n$ vertices, which is different from $P_{n}$, is more than two, the following corollary is immediate from Theorems 3.6 and 1.1.

Corollary 3.8. Let $T$ be a tree with $n(n \geqslant 3)$ vertices and $T \neq P_{n}, T \neq T_{n, 3}$. Then

$$
F(T ; 1,1)>F\left(T_{n, 3} ; 1,1\right)>F\left(P_{n} ; 1,1\right)
$$

In order to present Corollary 3.9, we need to define a new tree $B_{n, d}$ (where $n \geqslant 2 d+2 \geqslant 4$ ) as follows. Let $B_{n, d}$ be the tree with $n$ vertices obtained from $K_{1, n-d-1}$ by attaching $d$ pendant edges to one of pendant vertices of $K_{1, n-d-1}$ (Figs. 9(b) and (c) show $B_{n, 2}$ and $B_{n, 3}$, respectively). Obviously, $B_{n, 1}=T(n, 3)$ (see Fig. 9(a)).

Corollary 3.9. Let $T$ be a tree with $n \geqslant 8$ vertices and $T \neq K_{1, n-1}, T(n, 3), B_{n, 2}, B_{n, 3}, T(n, 4)$ (see Figs. 9(a)-(d)). Then

$$
F\left(K_{1, n-1} ; 1,1\right)>F(T(n, 3) ; 1,1)>F\left(B_{n, 2} ; 1,1\right)>F\left(B_{n, 3} ; 1,1\right)>F(T(n, 4) ; 1,1)>F(T ; 1,1)
$$

Proof. By Theorems 1.1 and 3.7, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(K_{1, n-1} ; 1,1\right)>F(T(n, 3) ; 1,1)>F\left(B_{n, 2} ; 1,1\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the diameter of $T$ is at least 4 , then by Theorem 3.7 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(T(n, 4) ; 1,1)>F(T ; 1,1) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 10. (a) The tree $T$ in the proof of Theorem 3.6. (b) The tree $T^{*}$ in the proof of Theorem 3.6.
The following equalities can be proved from Algorithm 2.9:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F\left(B_{n, d} ; 1,1\right)=n-2+2^{d}+2^{n-d-2}+2^{n-2}  \tag{15}\\
& F(T(n, 4) ; 1,1)=n+1+2^{n-2}+2^{n-5} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously, if $n \geqslant 8$, then by (15) and (16) we have

$$
F\left(B_{n, 2} ; 1,1\right)>F\left(B_{n, 3} ; 1,1\right), \quad F\left(B_{n, 3} ; 1,1\right)>F(T(n, 4) ; 1,1) .
$$

Note that if the diameter of a tree $T^{\prime}$ with $n=8$ or $n=9$ vertices equals three, then $T$ must be one of $K_{1, n-1}, T(n, 3), B_{n, 2}$, and $B_{n, 3}$. Hence the corollary holds when $n=8$ or 9 .

Note that if the diameter of a tree $T^{\prime}$ with $n \geqslant 10$ vertices equals three, then $T^{\prime}$ must has the form of $B_{n, d}$, where $n \geqslant 2 d+2$ (by the definition of $B_{n, d}$ ). By (15) and (16),

$$
F\left(B_{n, i} ; 1,1\right)-F(T(n, 4) ; 1,1)=2^{i}+2^{n-i-2}-3-2^{n-5} .
$$

By the definition of $B_{n, i}, n \geqslant 2 i+2$. It is not difficult to show that if $n \geqslant 2 i+2 \geqslant 10$ (hence $i \geqslant 4$ ), then

$$
F\left(B_{n, i} ; 1,1\right)<F(T(n, 4) ; 1,1) .
$$

Therefore, we have shown that if $n \geqslant 10$ and $i \geqslant 4$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(B_{n, 1} ; 1,1\right)>F\left(B_{n, 2} ; 1,1\right)>F\left(B_{n, 3} ; 1,1\right)>F(T(n, 4) ; 1,1)>F\left(B_{n, i} ; 1,1\right) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the corollary follows.
Proof of Theorem 3.6. Let $T$ be a tree with $n$ vertices and $T \neq T_{n, \Delta}$. Note that $T$ is a tree with the maximum degree at least $\Delta$. Hence there exists a vertex $u$ of $T$ such that $d_{T}(u) \geqslant \Delta$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{\Delta-1}\right\}$ is a subset of the neighbor set of $u$ in $T$. Obviously, if we delete $\Delta-1$ edges $\left(u, v_{1}\right),\left(u, v_{2}\right), \ldots$, ( $u, v_{\Delta-1}$ ) from $T$, then $\Delta$ components $T_{i}$ 's (for $i=1,2, \ldots, \Delta$ ) of $T$ can be obtained, where $T_{i}$ is the component containing vertex $v_{i}$ for $i \leqslant \Delta-1$ and $T_{\Delta}$ is the one containing vertex $u$. Furthermore, $T_{\Delta}$ contains at least two vertices. Hence $T$ has the form illustrated in Fig. 10(a).

With repeated applications of the second transformations of trees, $T$ can be transformed to the form of $T^{*}$ showed in Fig. 10(b). Hence by Lemma 3.2 we have $F(T ; 1,1)>F\left(T^{*} ; 1,1\right)$. If $T^{*}=T_{n, 4}$, then the theorem holds. If $T^{*} \neq T_{n, \Delta}$, then by repeated applications of the forth transformations of trees $T^{*}$ can be transformed to $T_{n, \Delta}$, and we have $F\left(T^{*} ; 1,1\right)>F\left(T_{n, 4} ; 1,1\right)$. Hence $F(T ; 1,1)>F\left(T_{n, \Delta}, 1,1\right)$. The theorem thus has been proved.

Proof of Theorem 3.7. Let $T$ be a tree with $n$ vertices with the diameter at least $d$ and $T \neq T(n, d)$. Then there exists a path of length $d-1$ in $T$, denoted by $P_{d}=P\left(v_{1}-v_{2}-\cdots-v_{d}\right)$, where $d_{T}\left(v_{1}\right)=1$. Then $T$ must has the form illustrated in Fig. 11(a), where $T_{i}$ is a subtree of $T$ containing vertex $v_{i}$ for $i=2,3, \ldots, d$. Particularly, since the diameter of $T$ is at least $d, T_{d}$ contains at least two vertices. With repeated applications of the first transformations of trees, $T$ can be transformed to the tree with form of $T^{*}$ shown in Fig. 11(b) and hence we have the following:

$$
F(T ; 1,1) \leqslant F\left(T^{*} ; 1,1\right)
$$

with equality holds if and only if $T=T^{*}$.


Fig. 11. (a) The tree $T$ in the proof of Theorem 3.7. (b) The tree $T^{*}$ in the proof of Theorem 3.7.
If $T^{*} \neq T(n, d)$, then by repeated applications of the third transformations of trees $T^{*}$ can be transformed to $T(n, d)$ and hence $F\left(T^{*} ; 1,1\right)<F(T(n, d) ; 1,1)$. So $F(T ; 1,1)<F(T(n, d) ; 1,1)$. If $T^{*}=T(n, d)$, then $T \neq T^{*}$. But in this case we have shown that $F(T ; 1,1)<F\left(T^{*} ; 1,1\right)=F(T(n, d) ; 1,1)$. The theorem thus follows.

## 4. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have investigated the problem on enumeration of subtrees of trees. We obtained a linear-time algorithm to count the sum of weights of subtrees of a tree and we also characterized some trees with extremal number of subtrees. Note that if $G$ is a connected graph then some coefficients of its Tutte polynomial $T_{G}(x, y)$ can count the numbers of some kinds of subgraphs of $G[1]$. For example, $T_{G}(1,1)$ is the number of spanning trees of $G, T_{G}(2,1)$ is the number of forests in $G, T_{G}(1,2)$ is the number of connected spanning subgraphs in $G$, and $T_{G}(2,2)$ equals the number of spanning subgraphs in $G$. A natural extension of our work would be to give some methods to enumerate connected subgraphs of a connected graph. On the other hand, an acyclic molecule can be expressed by a tree in quantum chemistry (see [2]). The study of the topological indices (see, for example, [3,4]) has been undergoing rapid expansion in the last few years. Obviously, the number of subtrees of a tree can be regarded as a topological index. Hence another interesting direction is to explore the role of this index in quantum chemistry.
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