

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 196 (2006) 155–161

 JOURNAL OF
 COMPUTATIONAL AND
 APPLIED MATHEMATICS

www.elsevier.com/locate/cam

Existence and uniqueness of solutions for singular fourth-order boundary value problems[☆]

Xiaoning Lin^{a, b, *}, Daqing Jiang^a, Xiaoyue Li^a^a*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, PR China*^b*School of Business, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, PR China*

Received 8 June 2005; received in revised form 10 August 2005

Abstract

By mixed monotone method, the existence and uniqueness are established for singular fourth-order boundary value problems. The theorems obtained are very general and complement previous known results.

© 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 34B15; 34B16

Keywords: Mixed monotone operator; Fourth-order boundary value problem; Singular; Existence; Uniqueness

1. Introduction

In recent years, the study of fourth-order boundary value problems have been studied extensively in the literature (see for instance [1–3, 7–12] and their references). In paper [9], the authors obtained some newest results for the singular fourth-order boundary value problems. But there is no result on the uniqueness of solution for singular fourth-order boundary value problems.

In this paper, first we get a unique fixed point theorem for a class of mixed monotone operators. Our idea comes from the fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators (see [4–6]). In virtue of the theorem, we consider the following singular fourth-order boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} x^{(4)}(t) + \beta x''(t) = \lambda f(t, x), & 0 < t < 1, \quad \lambda > 0, \\ x(0) = x(1) = x''(0) = x''(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $f(t, x) \in C((0, 1) \times (0, +\infty), (0, +\infty))$ and $\beta < \pi^2$.

[☆] Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of PR China (No. 10171010).

* Corresponding author. School of Business, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, PR China. Tel.: +86 431 5515009.

E-mail address: linxn989@nenu.edu.cn (X. Lin).

If $\beta = 0$, the existence of positive solutions of (1.1) has been studied in [10]. They show the existence of one positive solution when $f(t, x)$ is nonsingular and either superlinear or sublinear in x by employing a cone extension or compression theorem.

2. Preliminaries

Suppose that x is a positive solution of (1.1). Then

$$x(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_1(t, \tau)G_2(\tau, s)f(s, x(s)) \, ds \, d\tau, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \tag{2.1}$$

where $G_1(t, s)$ is Green’s function to $-x'' = 0, x(0) = x(1) = 0$, and $G_2(t, s)$ is Green’s function to $-x'' - \beta x = 0, x(0) = x(1) = 0$. In particular,

$$G_1(t, s) = \begin{cases} t(1 - s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ s(1 - t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and one can show that

$$t(1 - t)G_1(s, s) \leq G_1(t, s) \leq G_1(s, s) = s(1 - s), \quad G_1(t, s) \leq t(1 - t), \tag{2.2}$$

$$(t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1].$$

Set $\omega = \sqrt{|\beta|}$. If $\beta < 0$, then $G_2(t, s)$ is explicitly given by

$$G_2(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sinh \omega t \sinh \omega(1 - s)}{\omega \sinh \omega}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ \frac{\sinh \omega s \sinh \omega(1 - t)}{\omega \sinh \omega}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

If $\beta = 0$, then $G_2(t, s) = G_1(t, s)$. If $0 < \beta < \pi^2$, then $G_2(t, s)$ is explicitly given by

$$G_2(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin \omega t \sin \omega(1 - s)}{\omega \sin \omega}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ \frac{\sin \omega s \sin \omega(1 - t)}{\omega \sin \omega}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Clearly $G_2(t, s) > 0$ for $(t, s) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$.

By using (2.1) and (2.2), we see that for every positive solution x of (1.1), one has

$$\|x\| \leq \lambda \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \tau)G_2(\tau, s)f(s, x(s)) \, ds \, d\tau,$$

$$x(t) \geq t(1 - t)\lambda \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \tau)G_2(\tau, s)f(s, x(s)) \, ds \, d\tau$$

$$\geq t(1 - t)\|x\|, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\|x\| = \sup\{|x(t)|; 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$.

Let

$$G(t, s) = \int_0^1 G_1(t, \tau)G_2(\tau, s) \, d\tau, \tag{2.4}$$

thus by (2.1), one has

$$x(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, x(s)) \, ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \tag{2.5}$$

and by (2.2) one has

$$t(1-t) \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \tau)G_2(\tau, s) d\tau \leq G(t, s) \leq t(1-t) \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, s) d\tau. \tag{2.6}$$

Let P be a normal cone of a Banach space E , and $e \in P$ with $\|e\| \leq 1, e \neq \theta$. Define

$$Q_e = \{x \in P \mid \text{there exist constants } m, M > 0 \text{ such that } me \leq x \leq Me\}.$$

Now we give a definition (see [5]).

Definition 2.1. Assume $A : Q_e \times Q_e \rightarrow Q_e$. A is said to be mixed monotone if $A(x, y)$ is nondecreasing in x and nonincreasing in y , i.e., if $x_1 \leq x_2 (x_1, x_2 \in Q_e)$ implies $A(x_1, y) \leq A(x_2, y)$ for any $y \in Q_e$, and $y_1 \leq y_2 (y_1, y_2 \in Q_e)$ implies $A(x, y_1) \geq A(x, y_2)$ for any $x \in Q_e$. $x^* \in Q_e$ is said to be a fixed point of A if $A(x^*, x^*) = x^*$.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $A : Q_e \times Q_e \rightarrow Q_e$ is a mixed monotone operator and \exists a constant $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$, such that

$$A\left(tx, \frac{1}{t}y\right) \geq t^\alpha A(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in Q_e, \quad 0 < t < 1. \tag{2.7}$$

Then A has a unique fixed point $x^* \in Q_e$. Moreover, for any $(x_0, y_0) \in Q_e \times Q_e$,

$$x_n = A(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \quad y_n = A(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

satisfy

$$x_n \rightarrow x^*, \quad y_n \rightarrow x^*,$$

where

$$\|x_n - x^*\| = o(1 - r^{\alpha^n}), \quad \|y_n - x^*\| = o(1 - r^{\alpha^n}),$$

$0 < r < 1$, r is a constant from (x_0, y_0) .

Proof. From (2.7),

$$A(x, y) = A(tt^{-1}x, t^{-1}ty) \geq t^\alpha A\left(\frac{x}{t}, ty\right), \quad x, y \in Q_e.$$

Then

$$A\left(\frac{x}{t}, ty\right) \leq t^{-\alpha} A(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in Q_e, \quad 0 < t < 1. \tag{2.8}$$

For any $z_0 \in Q_e$, by virtue of $A(z_0, z_0) \in Q_e$, there exist constants $m, M > 0$, such that

$$me \leq A(z_0, z_0) \leq Me,$$

and there exists a small $0 < t_0 < 1$ such that

$$t_0^{(1-\alpha)/2} Me \leq z_0 \leq t_0^{-(1-\alpha)/2} me,$$

so we can obtain

$$z_0 t_0^{(1-\alpha)/2} \leq A(z_0, z_0) \leq z_0 t_0^{-(1-\alpha)/2}. \quad \square \tag{2.9}$$

The following proof is the same as that in [5], we omit the proof.

Theorem 2.2 (Guo [5]). Suppose that $A : Q_e \times Q_e \rightarrow Q_e$ is a mixed monotone operator and \exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that (2.7) holds. If x_λ^* is a unique solution of equation

$$A(x, x) = \lambda x \quad (\lambda > 0)$$

in Q_e , then $\|x_{\lambda_0}^* - x_{\lambda_1}^*\| \rightarrow 0, \lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$. If $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, then $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ implies $x_{\lambda_1}^* \geq x_{\lambda_2}^*, x_{\lambda_1}^* \neq x_{\lambda_2}^*$ and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_{\lambda}^*\| = 0, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \|x_{\lambda}^*\| = +\infty.$$

3. Singular fourth-order boundary value problem

This section discusses singular fourth-order boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} x^{(4)}(t) + \beta x''(t) = \lambda f(t, x(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \quad \lambda > 0, \\ x(0) = x(1) = x''(0) = x''(1) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\beta < \pi^2$.

Throughout this section we assume that

$$f(t, x) = q(t)[g(x) + h(x)], \quad t \in (0, 1), \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty) \text{ is continuous and nondecreasing;} \tag{3.3}$$

$$h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty) \text{ is continuous and nonincreasing.} \tag{3.4}$$

Let $P = \{x \in C[0, 1] | x(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in [0, 1]\}$. Obviously, P is a normal cone of Banach space $C[0, 1]$.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$g(tx) \geq t^\alpha g(x), \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$h(t^{-1}x) \geq t^\alpha h(x), \tag{3.6}$$

for any $t \in (0, 1)$ and $x > 0$, and $q \in C((0, 1), (0, \infty))$ satisfies

$$\int_0^1 s^{-\alpha}(1-s)^{-\alpha}q(s) ds < +\infty. \tag{3.7}$$

Then (3.1) has a unique positive solution $x_{\lambda}^*(t)$. And moreover, $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ implies $x_{\lambda_1}^* \leq x_{\lambda_2}^*, x_{\lambda_1}^* \neq x_{\lambda_2}^*$. If $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \|x_{\lambda}^*\| = 0, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_{\lambda}^*\| = +\infty.$$

Proof. Since (3.6) holds, let $t^{-1}x = y$, one has

$$h(y) \geq t^\alpha h(ty).$$

Then

$$h(ty) \leq \frac{1}{t^\alpha}h(y), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1), \quad y > 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Let $y = 1$. The above inequality is

$$h(t) \leq \frac{1}{t^\alpha}h(1), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1). \tag{3.9}$$

From (3.6), (3.8) and (3.9), one has

$$\begin{aligned} h(t^{-1}x) &\geq t^\alpha h(x), & h\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) &\geq t^\alpha h(1), \\ h(tx) &\leq \frac{1}{t^\alpha} h(x), & h(t) &\leq \frac{1}{t^\alpha} h(1), \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad x > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Similarly, from (3.5), one has

$$g(tx) \geq t^\alpha g(x), \quad g(t) \geq t^\alpha g(1), \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad x > 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Let $t = 1/x, x > 1$, one has

$$g(x) \leq x^\alpha g(1), \quad x \geq 1. \tag{3.12}$$

Let $e(t) = t(1 - t)$, and we define

$$Q_e = \left\{ x \in C[0, 1] \mid \frac{1}{M} t(1 - t) \leq x(t) \leq Mt(1 - t), \quad t \in [0, 1] \right\}, \tag{3.13}$$

where $M > 1$ is chosen such that

$$\begin{aligned} M > \max &\left\{ \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, s) \, d\tau q(s) \lambda [g(1) + s^{-\alpha}(1 - s)^{-\alpha} h(1)] \, ds \right\}^{1/(1-\alpha)}, \right. \\ &\left. \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \tau) G_2(\tau, s) \, d\tau q(s) \lambda [h(1) + s^\alpha(1 - s)^\alpha g(1)] \, ds \right\}^{-1/(1-\alpha)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

For any $x, y \in Q_e$, we define

$$A_\lambda(x, y)(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 G(t, s) q(s) [g(x(s)) + h(y(s))] \, ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.15}$$

First we show that $A_\lambda : Q_e \times Q_e \rightarrow Q_e$.

Let $x, y \in Q_e$, from (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$g(x(t)) \leq g(Mt(1 - t)) \leq g(M) \leq M^\alpha g(1), \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

and from (3.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(y(t)) &\leq h\left(\frac{1}{M} t(1 - t)\right) \leq t^{-\alpha} (1 - t)^{-\alpha} h\left(\frac{1}{M}\right) \\ &\leq M^\alpha t^{-\alpha} (1 - t)^{-\alpha} h(1), \quad t \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (2.6) and (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_\lambda(x, y)(t) &\leq t(1 - t) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, s) \, d\tau q(s) M^\alpha \lambda [g(1) + s^{-\alpha}(1 - s)^{-\alpha} h(1)] \, ds \\ &\leq Mt(1 - t), \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any $x, y \in Q_e$, from (3.10) and (3.11), we have

$$g(x(t)) \geq g\left(\frac{1}{M} t(1 - t)\right) \geq t^\alpha (1 - t)^\alpha g\left(\frac{1}{M}\right) \geq t^\alpha (1 - t)^\alpha \frac{1}{M^\alpha} g(1),$$

and

$$h(y(t)) \geq h(Mt(1 - t)) \geq h(M) = h\left(\frac{1}{1/M}\right) \geq \frac{1}{M^\alpha} h(1), \quad t \in (0, 1).$$

Thus, from (2.6) and (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_\lambda(x, y)(t) &\geq t(1-t) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \tau)G_2(\tau, s) d\tau q(s)M^{-\alpha} \lambda[h(1) + s^\alpha(1-s)^\alpha g(1)] ds \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{M}t(1-t), \quad t \in [0, 1].
 \end{aligned}$$

So, A_λ is well defined and $A_\lambda(Q_e \times Q_e) \subset Q_e$.

Next, for any $l \in (0, 1)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_\lambda(lx, l^{-1}y)(t) &= \lambda \int_0^1 G(t, s)q(s)[g(lx(s)) + h(l^{-1}y(s))] ds \\
 &\geq \lambda \int_0^1 G(t, s)q(s)[l^\alpha g(x(s)) + l^\alpha h(y(s))] ds \\
 &= l^\alpha A_\lambda(x, y)(t), \quad t \in [0, 1].
 \end{aligned}$$

So the conditions of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 hold. Therefore there exists a unique $x_\lambda^* \in Q_e$ such that $A_\lambda(x^*, x^*) = x_\lambda^*$. It is easy to check that x_λ^* is a unique positive solution of (3.1) for given $\lambda > 0$. Moreover, Theorem 2.2 means that if $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$, then $x_{\lambda_1}^*(t) \leq x_{\lambda_2}^*(t)$, $x_{\lambda_1}^*(t) \neq x_{\lambda_2}^*(t)$ and if $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \|x_\lambda^*\| = 0, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_\lambda^*\| = +\infty.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Example. Consider the following singular fourth-order boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} x^{(4)}(t) + \beta x''(t) = \lambda(\mu x^a + x^{-b}), & 0 < t < 1, \\ x(0) = x(1) = x''(0) = x''(1) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

where $\beta < \pi^2$, $\lambda, a, b > 0$, $\mu \geq 0$.

Applying Theorem 3.1, we can find (3.16) has a unique positive solution $x_\lambda^*(t)$ provided

$$\max\{a, b\} < 1. \tag{3.17}$$

In addition, $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ implies $x_{\lambda_1}^* \leq x_{\lambda_2}^*$, $x_{\lambda_1}^* \neq x_{\lambda_2}^*$. If $\max\{a, b\} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \|x_\lambda^*\| = 0, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_\lambda^*\| = +\infty.$$

To see that, we put

$$\alpha = \max\{a, b\}, \quad q(t) = 1, \quad g(x) = \mu x^a, \quad h(x) = x^{-b}.$$

Thus $0 < \alpha < 1$ and

$$g(tx) = t^\alpha g(x) \geq t^\alpha g(x), \quad h(t^{-1}x) = t^b h(x) \geq t^\alpha h(x),$$

for any $t \in (0, 1)$ and $x > 0$, and

$$\int_0^1 s^{-\alpha}(1-s)^{-\alpha} ds < +\infty,$$

thus all conditions in Theorem 3.1 are satisfied.

References

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, On fourth-order boundary value problems arising in beam analysis, *Differential Integral Equations* 26 (1989) 91–110.
- [2] C. De Coster, C. Fabry, F. Munyamare, Nonresonance conditions for fourth order nonlinear boundary value problems, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.* 17 (1994) 725–740.
- [3] M.A. Del Pino, R.F. Manasevich, Existence for a fourth-order boundary value problem under a two-parameter nonresonance condition, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 112 (1991) 81–86.
- [4] D. Guo, Fixed point of mixed monotone operators and applications, *Appl. Anal.* 31 (1998) 215–224.
- [5] D. Guo, *The Order Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, Shandong Technical and Science Press, Jinan, 2000 (in Chinese).
- [6] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [7] C.P. Gupta, Existence and uniqueness results for a bending of an elastic beam equation at resonance, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 135 (1988) 208–225.
- [8] C.P. Gupta, Existence and uniqueness theorem for a bending of an elastic beam equation, *Anal. Appl.* 26 (1988) 289–304.
- [9] D.Q. Jiang, H. Liu, X. Xu, Nonresonant singular fourth-order boundary value problems, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 18 (2005) 69–75.
- [10] R.Y. Ma, H. Wang, On the existence of positive solutions of fourth-order ordinary differential equations, *Anal. Appl.* 59 (1995) 225–231.
- [11] R.A. Usmani, A uniqueness theorem for a boundary value problem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 77 (1979) 327–335.
- [12] Y. Yang, Fourth-order two-point boundary value problem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 104 (1988) 175–180.