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#### Abstract

The chemical component and biological activity of propolis depend on flora area of bee collection and bee species. In the study, the propolis from three stingless bee species, Lepidotrigona ventralis Smith, Lepidotrigona terminata Smith, and Tetragonula pagdeni Schwarz, was collected in the same region of mangosteen garden from Thailand. Total phenolic content, alpha glucosidase inhibitory effect, and freeradical scavenging activity using FRAP, ABTS, DPPH assays were determined. The most potent activity of propolis extract was investigated for bioactive compounds and their quantity. The ethanol extract of $T$. pagdeni propolis had the highest total phenolic content $12.83 \pm 0.72 \mathrm{~g}$ of gallic acid equivalents in 100 g of the extract, and the strongest alpha glucosidase inhibitory effect with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $70.79 \pm 6.44 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. The free-radical scavenging activity evaluated by FRAP, ABTS, DPPH assays showed the FRAP value of $279.70 \pm 20.55 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$ equivalent $/ \mathrm{g}$ extract and the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $59.52 \pm 10.76$ and $122.71 \pm 11.76 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, respectively. Gamma- and alpha-mangostin from T. pagdeni propolis extract were isolated and determined for the biological activity. Gamma-mangostin exhibited the strongest activity for both alpha glucosidase inhibitory effect and free-radical scavenging activity. Using HPLC quantitative analysis method, the content of gamma- and alpha-mangostin in the extract was found to be $0.94 \pm 0.01$ and $2.77 \pm 0.08 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$, respectively. These findings suggested that T. pagdeni propolis may be used as a more suitable raw material for nutraceutical and pharmaceutical products and these mangostin derivatives as markers.
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## Introduction

Overproduction of free radical species can be damaging to host cells and lead to various ailments such as inflammatory, aging and diabetes (Zhou et al., 2015). In the case of diabetes, alpha glucosidase is a vital enzyme essential for cleavage of maltose to glucose for the absorption into the blood stream in the small intestine. Therefore, alpha glucosidase inhibitors could regulate abnormally high stages of plasma glucose after carbohydrate ingestion (Ryu et al., 2011). Nowadays, $\alpha$-glucosidase inhibitors, such as acarbose, voglibose and miglitol, have been accepted for clinical use in the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Nonetheless, some synthetic alpha glucosidase inhibitors have undesirable side effects, such as abdominal cramping, flatulence and diarrhea (Zhang et al., 2015). As a result, many scientists have turned their attention to

[^0]natural alpha glucosidase inhibitors including mangosteen and bee products, which are utilized to develop nutraceuticals or lead compounds for antidiabetic management (Matsui et al., 2004; Ryu et al., 2011; Juárez-Rojop et al., 2014).

Natural products from bees have been extensively employed since ancient time because of its broad pharmacological activity (Dantas et al., 2014; Kustiawan et al., 2014). Propolis is one of the bee products that exhibits numerous biological activities such as antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antitumor, antiviral, antibacterial, antifungal, antidiabetic activities and is also listed in the London Pharmacopoeias and Chinese Pharmacopoeias (Sforcin and Bankova, 2011; Zhang et al., 2015). However, the chemical and biological activities of propolis vary depending on bee species and the flora at site of bee collection. For instance, propolis from Europe and North America regions' main compounds comprises mostly flavanones, flavones, cinnamic acids and their esters, while that from Brazil comprises mainly prenylated $p$-coumaric acids, diterpenic acids (Bankova, 2005; Sforcin, 2007). Also, different races of honeybees collected at the same area demonstrated varying potency.

Apis mellifera caucasica showed a superior antibacterial activity to Apis mellifera carnica and Apis mellifera anatolica; stingless bees Trigona incisa, Timia apicalis, Trigona fusco-balteata and Trigona fuscibasis - from Indonesia revealed different degrees of cytotoxicity (Silici and Kutluca, 2005; Kustiawan et al., 2014).

Stingless bees, whose propolis is utilized for medicinal and nutraceutical purposes, are a large group of eusocial insect that play a part in plant pollination in tropical regions (Choudhari et al., 2012; da Cunha et al., 2013). In Thailand and India, stingless bee propolis is popularly applied for the treatment of maladies such as acne, diabetes and inflammation (Umthong et al., 2011; Choudhari et al., 2012). Antimicrobial, antiproliferative and antioxidant activities of several species of stingless bee propolis were also investigated (da Cunha et al., 2013; Dutra et al., 2014). Nevertheless, the study of propolis from Thai stingless bees, (Lepidotrigona ventralis Smith, Lepidotrigona terminata Smith, and Tetragonula pagdeni Schwarz (Apidae)), which are commercially cultivated in artificial hives in fruit gardens and marketed in several preparations in Thailand, is limited. Thus, the objective of the present work was to compare alpha glucosidase inhibitory effect and free-radical scavenging activity of propolis from three stingless bee species in the same area of Thai mangosteen orchard. The active compounds from the species that demonstrated the strongest activity were identified and quantified.

## Materials and methods

## Chemical products

1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical, 2,2'-azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt(ABTS), 2,4,6-Tris(2-pyridyl)-s-triazine (TPTZ), $\alpha$-glucosidase from Saccharomyces cerevisiae, acarbose, 4-nitrophenyl $\alpha$-D-glucopyranoside (pNPG), iron(III)chloride hexahydrate, ferrous sulfate, iron(II) sulfate heptahydrate, were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich ${ }^{\circledR}$ (St. Louis, MO, USA), aluminum chloride, acetic acid, ascorbic acid, Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, gallic acid were purchased from Merck ${ }^{\circledR}$ (Darmstadt, Germany). Sodium bicarbonate and potassium persulfate were purchased from Ajax Finechem ${ }^{\circledR}$ (NSW, Australia). All reagents were of analytical grade. Deionized water was purified by Ultra Clear ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ system (Siemen Water Technologies Corp ${ }^{\circledR}$ ). Ethanol, ethyl acetate, dichloromethane, hexane, formic acid and HPLC grade methanol were purchased from Labscan ${ }^{\circledR}$ (Thailand). Standard gamma-mangostin (1) and alpha-mangostin (2) purity more than $98 \%$ were purchased from Chengdu Biopurify Phytochemicals Ltd, Sichuan, China.

## Propolis sample and preparation

Propolis of Lepidotrigona ventralis Smith, Lepidotrigona terminata Smith, and Tetragonula pagdeni Schwarz were collected from an apiary in the mangosteen garden in December from Makham district, Chanthaburi province, eastern of Thailand in 2014, and kept in the dark at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use. The stingless bees were identified by Dr. Chama Inson, Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Kasetsart University. The voucher specimens (Lepidotrigona ventralis No. 1214001, Lepidotrigona terminata No. 1214003, and Tetragonula pagdeni No. 1214003) were deposited at Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Burapha University, Thailand.

Propolis from different bee species ( 10 g ) was separately cleaned and cut into small pieces and was then sonicated with $80 \%$ ethyl alcohol $(200 \mathrm{ml})$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The suspension was centrifuged at $3000 \times g$ for 5 min at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was kept while the pellet was re-extracted using the same procedure. The supernatants were pooled together and evaporated in a rotary
evaporator. Each extract was dewaxed by sonication with 100 ml of hexane at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min and centrifuged at $2000 \times g$ for 5 min at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was discarded while the crude residue was kept and stored in the dark at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Separation of active compounds

The propolis extract that exhibited the strongest activity was selected to separate the bioactive compounds. The crude residue was subjected to column chromatography ( $3 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$, silica gel ( $0.063-0.200 \mathrm{~mm}$, Merck 7734)) with $20 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane as a mobile phase. The sub-fractions were pooled together to obtain two main fractions as monitored by thin-layer chromatography. The first fraction was subjected to preparative thin-layer chromatography (pTLC) with $50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexane to obtain compound 1. The second fraction was also applied to pTLC with dichloromethane (triple run) as a mobile phase to obtain compound 2. Each pure compound was dissolved in $99.98 \% \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or in $99.8 \%$ methanol- $d_{4}$ (ca. 5 mg in 0.7 ml ) and transferred into 5 mm NMR sample tube (Promochem, Wesel, Germany). Spectra were recorded by the Bruker Topspin software on a Bruker AVANCE 400 spectrometer (Bruker, Rheinstetten, Germany).

## Biological assay

## Determination of contents of total phenolic compounds

Total phenolic content was determined using Folin-Ciocalteu reagent following method described by Vongsak et al. (2013b). Each sample ( $1000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ was mixed with $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (diluted 1:10 with deionized water) and $800 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of sodium bicarbonate solution ( $7.5 \%$, w/v). The mixture was allowed to stand at room temperature for 30 min with intermittent shaking. The absorbance was measured at 765 nm using a UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Hitachi ${ }^{\circledR}$, Japan). The same procedure was repeated for the gallic acid standard solution (500, 250, $125,62.5,31.25$ and $0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and the quantification was made based on a standard curve generated with of gallic acid. The content of total phenolic compounds was calculated as mean $\pm$ SD $(n=3)$ and expressed as grams of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) in 100 g of the extract.

## Alpha glucosidase inhibition assay

The $\alpha$-glucosidase inhibitory activity was carried out spectrophotometrically using pNPG as substrate (Zhou et al., 2015). Samples, standard solutions (gamma- and alpha-mangostin) or positive control (acarbose) with different concentrations ( $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$, Tables 1 and 3 ), $\alpha$-glucosidase ( $50 \mu \mathrm{l}, 2$ unit/ml) and phosphate buffer ( $50 \mu \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{pH} 7.0$ ) were mixed and pre-incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , and then pNPG ( $50 \mu \mathrm{l}, 20 \mathrm{mM}$ ) was added to start the reaction. After incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , the absorbance was measured at 405 nm using UV-Visible microplate reader (Metertech ${ }^{\circledR}$, Taiwan). The percent inhibition of $\alpha$-glucosidase activity was calculated as follows:
$\operatorname{Inhibition}(\%)=\frac{\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)}{A_{1}} \times 100$,
where $A_{1}$ is the absorbance of control, and $A_{2}$ is the absorbance of samples. The extent of inhibition was expressed as mean $\pm$ SD $(n=3)$ of the enzymatic activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$.

## Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay

The FRAP assay was carried out by the method of Al-Mansoub et al. (2014). Briefly, $150 \mu$ I FRAP working solution ( 300 mM acetate buffer, $\mathrm{pH} 3.6,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{TPTZ}$ in 40 mM HCl and $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ in a ratio of $10: 1: 1$ ) was added to $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ test samples. The reaction mixtures were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 min ; the absorbance was measured at

600 nm using UV-Visible microplate reader (Metertech ${ }^{\circledR}$, Taiwan). Ferrous sulfate $\left(\mathrm{FeSO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2.0,1.0,0.5,0.25,0.125,0.063 \mathrm{mM}\right)$ was used as reference standard and the results were expressed as $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Fe}{ }^{2+}$ equivalent/g extract. Standard solutions (gamma- and alpha-mangostin) and positive control (ascorbic acid) were treated under the same condition as the samples, and then mean $\pm$ SD ( $n=3$ ) was calculated.

## ABTS radical scavenging assay

ABTS radical scavenging activity was measured by the method described by Al-Mansoub et al., 2014. ABTS radical cation (ABTS•+) solution was prepared by mixing 14 mM ABTS and 4.9 mM potassium persulfate solutions in equal volume. The solution was allowed to react in the dark at room temperature for $16-20 \mathrm{~h}$ before use. Then, 1 ml of the solution was diluted with 40 ml ethanol to yield working ABTS solution with an absorbance of $0.70 \pm 0.02$ at 734 nm . To $750 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ABTS working solution, $750 \mu \mathrm{l}$ test samples were added. The absorbance of each solution was determined at 734 nm using UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Hitachi ${ }^{\circledR}$, Japan) after 6 min . The corresponding blank readings were also taken and percent inhibition was then calculated as follows:
$\operatorname{Inhibition}(\%)=\frac{\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)}{A_{1}} \times 100$,
where $A_{1}$ is the absorbance of control, and $A_{2}$ is the absorbance of samples. Each determination was done in triplicate, and the average $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value was calculated as mean $\pm$ SD. Standard solutions (gamma- and alpha-mangostin) and positive control (ascorbic acid) were treated under the same conditions as the samples.

## DPPH-scavenging assay

The free radical scavenging activity of the extracts, standard solutions (gamma- and alpha-mangostin) and positive control (ascorbic acid) was investigated using 1,1-diphenyl-2picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging method (Vongsak et al., 2013b). A total of $750 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the extract or standard/positive control was added to $750 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of DPPH in methanol solution $(152 \mu \mathrm{M})$. After incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min , the absorbance of each solution was determined at 517 nm using UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Hitachi ${ }^{\circledR}$, Japan). The corresponding blank readings were also taken and percent inhibition was then calculated as follows:
$\operatorname{Inhibition}(\%)=\frac{\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)}{A_{1}} \times 100$,
where $A_{1}$ is the absorbance of control, and $A_{2}$ is the absorbance of samples. Each determination was done in triplicate, and the average $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value was calculated as mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$.

## Determination of bioactive constituent contents

The propolis extract with the highest activity was selected for investigating the quantity of bioactive compounds using validated HPLC method (Vongsak et al., 2014). The instrument used was an Agilent 1260 Series $^{\circledR}$ (Agilent Technologies) equipped with a 1260 Quat pump VL quaternary pump, 1260 ALS autosampler, 1260 TCC column thermostat, and 1260 DAD VL diode array detector. The chromatographic separation was carried out on a Hypersil BDS ${ }^{\circledR}$ $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ column ( $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ i.d., $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ guard column. The mobile phases were (A) $0.2 \%$ formic acid in water and (B) methanol. Gradient elution was used: $75 \%$ B in A to $90 \%$ B in A for $10 \mathrm{~min} ; 90 \%$ B in A to $100 \%$ B for $5 \mathrm{~min} ; 100 \%$ B for 10 min . The column was re-equilibrated with $75 \% \mathrm{~B}$ in A for 10 min prior to each analysis. The flow rate was $1.0 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UV-Vis detector was monitored at the wavelength of 245 nm and injection volume was $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ for all samples and standards.
T. pagdeni sample was prepared by accurately weighing 30 mg of extract and dissolving in methanol ( 5 ml ). To enable complete
Table 1
Total phenolic content, alpha glucosidase inhibitory effect and free radical scavenging activities on FRAP, ABTS and DPPH assays of different Thai stingless bees from mangosteen orchard.

| Stingless bee species/standard | Total phenolics ( g GAE/ 100 g extract) ${ }^{*}$ | $\alpha$-Glucosidase inhibitory assay $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})^{*}$ | FRAP assay ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$ equivalent/g extract)* | ABTS assay $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})^{*}$ | DPPH assay <br> $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tetragonula pagdeni | $12.83 \pm 0.72^{\text {a }}$ | $70.79 \pm 6.44^{\text {a }}$ | $279.70 \pm 20.55^{\text {a }}$ | $59.52 \pm 10.76^{\text {a }}$ | $122.71 \pm 11.76^{\text {a }}$ |
| Lepidotrigona ventralis | $3.15 \pm 0.25{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $387.97 \pm 38.71{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $97.42 \pm 24.78^{\text {b }}$ | $576.40 \pm 48.17^{\text {b }}$ | $428.61 \pm 26.24{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Lepidotrigona terminata | $2.16 \pm 0.10^{\text {c }}$ | $469.66 \pm 76.55^{\text {b }}$ | $61.14 \pm 5.86^{\text {c }}$ | $605.39 \pm 56.32^{\text {b }}$ | $1228.89 \pm 67.29^{\text {c }}$ |
| Ascorbic acid | - | - | $7698.02 \pm 698.03^{\text {d }}$ | $6.07 \pm 1.69^{\text {d }}(34.48 \pm 9.60 \mu \mathrm{M})$ | $7.33 \pm 0.85^{\text {d }}(41.62 \pm 4.83 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| Acarbose | - | $155.82 \pm 6.69^{\text {c }}(241.36 \pm 10.37 \mu \mathrm{M})$ | - | - | - |

Dissimilar letters in the same column indicate significantly different for each parameter at $p<0.05$ using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with least significant difference (LSD).



Table 2
NMR data for compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and 2.

| Compounds | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gamma-mangostin (1) | $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} /\right.$ methanol- $\left.d_{4}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 6.20 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 5.28-5.23 (m, 2H, vinylic- $\mathrm{H} \times 2$ ), 4.13 (d, $J=6.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, allylic- $H$ ), $3.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.06 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, allylic $-H$ ), $1.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} /\right.$ methanol $\left.-d_{4}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=182.3(\mathrm{C}=0)$, 161.4 (ArC-OAr), 160.3 (ArC-OH), 154.9 (ArC-OAr), 152.9 (ArC-OH), 150.6 (ArC-OH), 139.9 (ArC-OH, 132.4 (ArC), $132.3\left[\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 128.1\left[\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 122.8(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C})$, $122.3(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}), 111.6(\mathrm{ArC}), 109.6(\mathrm{ArC}), 103.4(\mathrm{ArC}), 100.2$ ( ArCH ), $92.3(\mathrm{ArCH}), 25.7\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $17.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| Alpha-mangostin (2) | $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=13.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ArH ), 6.32 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 6.28 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.11$ (s, 1H, OH), 5.34-5.28 (m, 2H, vinylic- $\mathrm{H} \times 2$ ), $4.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, allylic- $H$ ), $3.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, allylic- H ), $1.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=182.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 161.6$ ( $\mathrm{ArC}-\mathrm{OAr}$ ), 160.7 ( $\mathrm{ArC-OH}$ ), 155.8 ( $\mathrm{ArC-OAr}$ ), 155.1 ( $\mathrm{ArC}-\mathrm{OH}$ ), 154.5 ( $\mathrm{ArC-OH}$ ), $142.6\left(\mathrm{ArC}^{-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}}\right), 137.1$ ( ArC ), 135.7 $\left[\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 132.1\left[\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 123.2(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}), 121.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}), 112.3(\mathrm{ArC}), 108.4(\mathrm{ArC}), 103.7(\mathrm{ArC}), 101.5(\mathrm{ArCH})$, 93.3 ( ArCH ), $62.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 26.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 18.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |

dissolution, each sample was sonicated for 60 min . Prior to the injection, each solution was filtered through a $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ nylon membrane filter.

Stock solutions of standard compounds (1) and (2) were prepared by accurately weighing and dissolving the compounds in methanol to obtain the final concentration of $1000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. Working solutions of standard compounds were obtained by diluting the stock standard solutions with methanol to achieve the desired concentrations. Calibration curves were constructed from the peak area versus the amount of the standards by least square regression across the range of $0.78-100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for compounds (1) and $1.5-1000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for compound (2).

## Statistical analysis

The results were reported as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) ( $n=3$ ). The average contents of total phenolics, FRAP values and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of the different propolis bee species extract were statistically investigated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with least significant difference (LSD) by SPSS for Windows ${ }^{\circledR}$ 16.0. A statistical probability ( $p$ value) less than 0.05 indicated a statistically significant difference between groups.

## Results and discussion

Phenolic compounds are the principal bioactive materials in propolis which have been reported to have numerous pharmacological effects, including anti-oxidation and anti-diabetes (Matsui et al., 2004). Total phenolic contents in various stingless bees propolis extracts are presented in Table 1. T. pagdeni propolis extract promoted significantly higher content of total phenolics than propolis extract of other species. Total phenolics in these different propolis extracts ranged from 2.16 to 12.83 g GAE/ 100 g extract. The results indicated the possible influences of diverse stingless bee species on different contents of phenolics in propolis. The alpha glucosidase inhibitory effect of various propolis extracts is
displayed in Table 1. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of propolis extracts ranged from 70.79 to $469.66 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ against baker's yeast alpha glucosidase, and that of T. pagdeni propolis extract was significantly lower than $155.82 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of acarbose, a positive control. Thus, T. pagdeni propolis extract possessed the highest inhibitory effect on alpha glucosidase compared to the other species and positive reference. This effect was possibly due to phytochemicals, which include phenolic compounds such as xanthones and phenolic acids (Ryu et al., 2011).

Free radicals can be deleterious to cells and lead to various chronic diseases such as diabetes, arthritis, and asthma (Vongsak et al., 2013a). The free radical scavenging activity of stingless bees propolis extracts was determined on the basis of the scavenging activity of FRAP, ABTS and DPPH assays (Table 1). The results showed that the extracts possessed reducing activity in the range of $61.14-279.70 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$ equivalent/g extract while that of ascorbic acid was $7698.02 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$ equivalent/g extract. The extracts were able to scavenge ABTS and DPPH radical, especially that of T. pagdeni propolis. It promoted stronger ABTS and DPPH radical scavenging activity than the other extracts with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 59.52 and $122.71 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, respectively, while ascorbic acid, a positive reference, showed the activity at $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 6.07 and $7.33 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, respectively. These experiments indicated that alpha glucosidase inhibition and free-radical scavenging activity of propolis extracts from T. pagdeni exhibited stronger activity than that of L. ventralis and L. terminata. Thus, T. pagdeni propolis extract was selected to identify and quantify the bioactive compounds.

Gamma-mangostin (1) and alpha-mangostin (2) were separated and identified as the active constituents in T. pagdeni propolis extract from mangosteen orchard (Table 2). In alpha glucosidase inhibition assay, each compound demonstrated noteworthy alpha glucosidase inhibitory effect $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 4.22\right.$ and $\left.29.27 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ while acarbose displayed the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $241.36 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Table 3). These chemicals were previously reported in seedcases of Garcinia mangostana and had been reported to display alpha glucosidase inhibitory effect (Ryu et al., 2011). In regards to free radical scavenging activity,

Table 3
The contents of bioactive compounds and their biological activities in Tetragonula pagdeni propolis extract from mangosteen orchard.

| Bioactive compounds | Contents of major compounds in 80\% ethanolic extracts (\% w/w)* | Alpha glucosidase inhibitory assay $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | FRAP assay ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$ equivalent/gram extract) | ABTS assay $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{*}$ | DPPH assay $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gamma-mangostin (1) | $0.94 \pm 0.01$ | $4.22 \pm 0.58{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $5366.82 \pm 54.71^{\text {a }}$ | $160.92 \pm 1.23^{\text {a }}$ | $39.94 \pm 1.16{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| alPha-mangostin (2) | $2.77 \pm 0.08$ | $29.27 \pm 7.02^{\text {c }}$ | $15.32 \pm 3.89^{\text {c }}$ | n.d. | n.d. |

Dissimilar letters in the same column indicate significantly different for each parameter at $p<0.05$ using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with least significant difference (LSD).

Expressed as mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}(n=3)$, n.d. $=$ not detectable. In alpha glucosidase inhibitory assay, the concentrations of gamma-mangostin (1) were $12.5,6.25,3.125,1.56,0.77$ and $0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, while alpha-mangostin (2) were $100,50,25,12.5,6.25$ and $0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. In ABTS assay and DPPH assay the concentrations of gamma- and alpha-mangostin were $100,50,25,12.5,6.25$ and $0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. The concentrations for FRAP assay of gamma-mangostin and alpha-mangostin were $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.


Fig. 1. HPLC fingerprints of Tetragonula pagdeni propolis extract from mangosteen orchard in Thailand, gamma-mangostin (1) and alpha-mangostin (2).
gamma-mangostin (1) exhibited a strong activity comparable to that of ascorbic acid in the DPPH assay while alpha-mangostin (2) showed weak to non-detectable activity in ABTS and DPPH assays (Table 3).


HPLC was used to quantify bioactive compounds, gamma- and alpha-mangostin in the T. pagdeni propolis extract because of the strongest activities. This method has been validated for its linearity, precision, and accuracy (Vongsak et al., 2014). The amounts of bioactive compounds, gamma-mangostin (1) and alpha-mangostin (2), in the T. pagdeni propolis extract were 0.94 and $2.77 \%$ ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ), respectively (Table 3) at retention times of 7.46 and 9.98 min , respectively (Fig. 1), respectively, in the HPLC fingerprints. The presence of these mangostin derivatives is considered to be vital for the alpha glucosidase inhibitory effect of T. pagdeni propolis.

In conclusion, the study provides data to support the usage of different stingless bee propolis cultivated in mangosteen orchard as raw material for natural antioxidant and anti-diabetic agents. Based on this study, the propolis extract of T. pagdeni should be utilized as a better source of raw material for alpha glucosidase inhibitory effect and anti-oxidative purposes than others. The mangostin derivatives, especially gamma-mangostin, the most active agent, could possibly be applied as markers for standardization.
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