

Q-operator and factorised separation chain for Jack polynomials

Dedicated to Tom H. Koornwinder on the occasion of his 60th birthday

by Vadim B. Kuznetsov, Vladimir V. Mangazeev and Evgeny K. Sklyanin

Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

e-mail: V.B.Kuznetsov@leeds.ac.uk

Centre for Mathematics and Its Applications, Mathematical Science Institute, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia

e-mail: vladimir@maths.anu.edu.au

Department of Mathematics, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK

e-mail: eks2@york.ac.uk

Communicated by Prof. M.S. Keane and Prof. J. Korevaar at the meeting of June 23, 2003

ABSTRACT

Applying Baxter's method of the Q -operator to the set of Sekiguchi's commuting partial differential operators we show that Jack polynomials $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are eigenfunctions of a one-parameter family of integral operators Q_z . The operators Q_z are expressed in terms of the Dirichlet-Liouville n -dimensional beta integral. From a composition of n operators Q_{z_k} we construct an integral operator S_n factorising Jack polynomials into products of hypergeometric polynomials of one variable. The operator S_n admits a factorisation described in terms of restricted Jack polynomials $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(x_1, \dots, x_k, 1, \dots, 1)$. Using the operator Q_z for $z = 0$ we give a simple derivation of a previously known integral representation for Jack polynomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

The method of Q -operator has been introduced by Rodney Baxter [3,4] who used it to solve the eight-vertex model. Baxter's original Q -operator was a finite matrix, which commuted with the transfer-matrix of the model. Later on, Pasquier and Gaudin [31] discovered a connection between the classical Bäcklund transformation and a Q -operator for the periodic Toda lattice. Their Q -operator was a certain integral operator with a simple kernel. Recent developments transformed the method into a unified approach used for solving quan-

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 33, 58F07.

Keywords and phrases: Jack polynomials, integral operators.

tum integrable systems, with several families having been extensively treated (see, e.g., [5,23,8,19,32,34]).

Let us take a quantum integrable system defined by n commuting linear partial differential (or difference) operators H_i in n variables, whose common eigenfunctions $\Psi_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \Psi_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n)$,

$$(1.1) \quad H_i \Psi_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = h_i(\lambda) \Psi_\lambda(\mathbf{x}),$$

form a basis in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . The multi-index $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a set of quantum numbers labelling spectrum $h_i(\lambda)$ and eigenfunctions $\Psi_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$.

By definition, a Q -operator Q_z depends on a parameter $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and satisfies two commutativity properties

$$(1.2) \quad [Q_{z_1}, Q_{z_2}] = 0 \quad \forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$(1.3) \quad [Q_z, H_i] = 0 \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n,$$

which imply that Q_z can be diagonalised by the same basis functions $\Psi_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$:

$$(1.4) \quad [Q_z \Psi_\lambda](\mathbf{y}) = q_\lambda(z) \Psi_\lambda(\mathbf{y}).$$

The third and the most important (as well as the characterising) property of a Q -operator is that its eigenvalues $q_\lambda(z)$ satisfy a linear *ordinary* differential (or difference) equation with respect to the parameter z ,

$$(1.5) \quad W\left(z, \frac{d}{dz}; \{h_i(\lambda)\}\right) q_\lambda(z) = 0,$$

whose coefficients, apart from being functions in z , depend on the eigenvalues $h_i(\lambda)$ of the commuting operators H_i . Equation (1.5) is called *Baxter's equation* or, alternatively, the *separation equation*.

An operator Q_z for a quantum integrable system $\{H_i\}$ is a quantization of a Bäcklund transformation for the corresponding classical integrable system. In the quasi-classical limit, its kernel turns into the generating function of the Bäcklund transformation, viewed as a canonical transform [31,23].

A *separating operator* \mathcal{S}_n , by definition, factorises the basis functions $\Psi_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ into functions of *one* variable:

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{S}_n : \Psi_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto c_\lambda \prod_{i=1}^n \phi_\lambda^{(i)}(z_i),$$

c_λ being some normalization constants. The partial functions $\phi_\lambda^{(i)}(z_i)$ are called *separated functions* and usually satisfy a differential or difference equation like (1.5). Notice that, in the quasi-classical limit, the operator \mathcal{S}_n turns into a separating canonical transformation for the corresponding Liouville integrable system.

In [23], two of the authors noticed that any Q -operator gives rise to a family of separating operators for an integrable system. Indeed, given a Q -operator Q_z and an arbitrary linear functional ρ on \mathcal{H} , one constructs a product of n such operators

$$(1.7) \quad Q_z = Q_{z_1} \cdots Q_{z_n}$$

with the kernel $Q_z(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$ as the convolution

$$(1.8) \quad Q_z(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{t}_1 \dots \int d\mathbf{t}_{n-1} Q_{z_1}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{t}_1) Q_{z_2}(\mathbf{t}_1|\mathbf{t}_2) \dots Q_{z_n}(\mathbf{t}_{n-1}|\mathbf{x}),$$

and defines an operator $S_n^{(\rho)} = \rho Q_z$ with the kernel

$$(1.9) \quad S_n^{(\rho)}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{y} \rho(\mathbf{y}) Q_z(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}),$$

where $\rho(\mathbf{y})$ is the generalised function corresponding to ρ . It then follows from (1.4) and (1.6)–(1.9) that $S_n^{(\rho)}$ is a family of separating operators (parameterised by the functional ρ) with

$$(1.10) \quad \phi_\lambda^{(i)}(z_i) = q_\lambda(z_i) \quad \text{and} \quad c_\lambda = \int d\mathbf{y} \rho(\mathbf{y}) \Psi_\lambda(\mathbf{y}).$$

A clever choice of the ‘projection function’ $\rho(\mathbf{y})$ can, in principle, simplify the structure of the integral operator $S_n^{(\rho)}$. Our main motivation is to study suitable classes of Q -operators and functions $\rho(\mathbf{y})$ for a variety of quantum integrable models in order to construct simplest separating operators S_n (1.6), which factorise special functions $\Psi_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

In the present paper, we apply the above ideas to the Calogero-Sutherland integrable model. In our case of study \mathcal{H} is an appropriate Hilbert space closure of the space $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$ of symmetric polynomials in n variables, $\Psi_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are Jack polynomials and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a partition.

The problem of separation of variables for the Calogero-Sutherland model and for its q -analogue has been addressed by the authors in a series of publications since 1994. Many of the results presented below generalise those obtained from the studies of the few particle cases, $n = 2, 3, 4$, in [33,20,21,18,22,24,26,27].

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 fixes notation and collects the necessary background information on Jack polynomials. In Section 3 we study the properties of Dirichlet-Liouville multidimensional beta-integrals, which constitute our main analytical tool. All integral operators that we construct are expressed in terms of these integrals. In Section 4 we introduce a Q -operator Q_z for the Calogero-Sutherland model and derive its properties. In particular, we prove that Q_z is diagonal in the basis of Jack polynomials. Thus, the eigenvalue problem $Q_z \Psi_\lambda = q_\lambda(z) \Psi_\lambda$ can be considered as an integral equation for Jack polynomials. In Section 5 we obtain the eigenvalues $q_\lambda(z)$ of Q_z and give for them several explicit expressions, including the one in terms of the generalised hypergeometric series. In section 6 we construct the separating operator $S_n^{(\rho)}$ and show that for a special choice of the functional $\rho = \rho_0$ it splits into a product of n operators \mathcal{A}_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$(1.11) \quad S_n^{(\rho_0)} = \mathcal{A}_1 \cdots \mathcal{A}_n,$$

such that each operator \mathcal{A}_k acts only on k variables. Such a factorisation is an

example of the *factorised separation chain* introduced in [17] for integrable models of $sl(2)$ class.

In Section 7 we describe another application of the Q -operator Q_z . Setting $z = 0$ we show that the operator Q_0 leads to an integral relation between Jack polynomials in n and $n - 1$ variables $\{x_i\}$. Iterating this relation we produce an integral representation for Jack polynomials, which is equivalent to the integral representation due to Okounkov and Olshanski [28] who found it as a limit from a formula involving shifted Jack polynomials.

2. JACK POLYNOMIALS

This section serves an introductory purpose as it fixes notation and collects the necessary background information on Jack polynomials.

Jack polynomials $P_\lambda^{(\alpha)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ [12,13,14,16,35,25] are orthogonal symmetric polynomials, discovered by Henry Jack in 1970 as a one-parameter extension of the Schur functions $s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. In the case $\alpha = 2$, they reduce to zonal polynomials constructed by James in 1960 ([15]). We shall use the parameter $g = 1/\alpha$, instead of α as in [25], i.e. use the notation $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$, be a partition of an arbitrary weight $|\lambda|$,

$$(2.1) \quad |\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i.$$

Hereafter, we shall use the weight notation $|\cdot|$ as in (2.1) for any finite sum of indexed variables. The dominance partial ordering \preceq for two partitions μ and λ is defined as follows:

$$(2.2) \quad \mu \preceq \lambda \iff \left\{ |\mu| = |\lambda|; \sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1 \right\}.$$

Usually (cf [25]), the *length* $l(\lambda)$ of a partition λ is the number of non-zero parts λ_i . We shall consider the partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ that are finite sequences of exact length n , *including* any zero parts. For instance, $(6, 6, 2, 0)$ and $(6, 0, 0, 0)$ are both partitions of the length 4, while $(6, 6, 2)$ and (6) have lengths 3 and 1, respectively. The reason for this deviation from the standard definition is because we shall study Jack polynomials with the *finite* number of variables and characterise them as eigenfunctions of the complete set of n commuting partial differential operators $\{H_i\}$ in n variables. In this picture, the parts λ_i are the labels that parameterise the spectrum and the eigenfunctions of the operators $\{H_i\}$. The number of parts, therefore, will always be equal to the number of the operators H_i (or to the number of the variables x_i).

In the main text, for any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ of the length n , we shall often use the notation $\lambda_{i,j} = \lambda_i - \lambda_j$, in particular $\lambda_{i,i+1} = \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\lambda_{n+1} \equiv 0$.

In the theory of symmetric polynomials, the number n of the variables x_i is often irrelevant because many results have been obtained for the case when this number is assumed to be large enough, for instance $n \geq |\lambda|$, for the *stabilised* polynomials [35]. In this paper, the number n of the variables x_i is a fixed finite number, the partition λ is of the length n and has an *arbitrary* weight $|\lambda|$, which means that we shall mostly deal with the *non-stabilised* Jack polynomials.

Let $\mathbb{C}(a, b, c, \dots)$ be the field of rational functions in indeterminates a, b, c, \dots over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers, $\mathbb{C}(a, b, c, \dots)[x, y, z, \dots]$ be the ring of polynomials in the variables x, y, z, \dots with coefficients from $\mathbb{C}(a, b, c, \dots)$, and $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$ be the subring of symmetric polynomials.

We need two standard bases in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$ labelled by partitions λ . For each $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ let us denote by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ the monomial

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}.$$

The *monomial symmetric functions* $m_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ are defined by

$$(2.4) \quad m_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \sum \mathbf{x}^\nu,$$

where the sum is taken over all distinct permutations ν of λ . The $m_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ form a basis in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$.

For each $r = 0, \dots, n$ the r th *elementary symmetric function* $e_r(\mathbf{x})$ is the sum of all products of r distinct variables x_i , so that $e_0(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ and

$$(2.5) \quad e_r(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r} = m_{(1^r 0^{n-r})}(\mathbf{x})$$

for $r = 1, \dots, n$. For each partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ define the polynomials $E_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ as

$$(2.6) \quad E_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = e_1^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}(\mathbf{x}) e_2^{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3}(\mathbf{x}) \cdots e_n^{\lambda_n}(\mathbf{x}).$$

The polynomials $E_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ also form a basis in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$ and the transition matrix between E_λ and m_λ is triangular with respect to the dominance partial ordering (see [25], Ch. 1, Sec. 2):

$$(2.7) \quad E_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mu \preceq \lambda} a_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $a_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{\lambda\lambda} = 1$.

Jack polynomials $P_\lambda = P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{C}(g)[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$ are homogeneous of degree $|\lambda|$:

$$(2.8) \quad P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_n^{|\lambda|} P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(x_1/x_n, \dots, x_{n-1}/x_n, 1).$$

They form a basis in $\mathbb{C}(g)[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$. The transition matrix between the bases P_λ and m_λ is triangular with respect to the dominance partial ordering:

$$(2.9) \quad P_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \preceq \lambda} v_{\lambda\mu}(g) m_\mu, \quad v_{\lambda\mu}(g) \in \mathbb{C}(g), \quad v_{\lambda\lambda} = 1.$$

The three bases, m_λ , E_λ and P_λ , are thus mutually triangular.

The polynomials $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x})$ diagonalise the Sekiguchi partial differential operators [30] given by the generating function $D(u; g)$:

$$(2.10) \quad D(u; g) = \frac{(-1)^{n(n-1)/2}}{\Delta_n(\mathbf{x})} \det \left[x_i^{n-j} \left(x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + (n-j)g + u \right) \right]_{i,j=1}^n,$$

$$(2.11) \quad D(u; g) P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x}) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i + (n-i)g + u) \right] P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where

$$(2.12) \quad \Delta_n(\mathbf{x}) = \det \left[x_j^{i-1} \right]_{i,j=1}^n = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)$$

is the Vandermonde determinant. Expansion (2.9) and the fact that P_λ are the eigenfunctions of the Sekiguchi operators characterise Jack polynomials uniquely.

Generating function $D(u; g)$ is an operator polynomial in u whose coefficients give n commuting partial differential operators H_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$:

$$(2.13) \quad D(u; g) = u^n + \sum_{i=1}^n u^{n-i} H_i, \quad H_1 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} g + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

Define the second order partial differential operator H as

$$(2.14) \quad H = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6} g^2 + H_1[H_1 - (n-1)g] - 2H_2$$

then

$$(2.15) \quad H = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 + g \sum_{i < j} \frac{x_i + x_j}{x_i - x_j} \left(x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

It follows from (2.11) that

$$(2.16) \quad H P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x}) = h(\lambda) P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x}), \quad h(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i [\lambda_i + g(n+1-2i)].$$

Jack polynomials were applied in [6, 36, 29] to describe the excited states in the Calogero-Sutherland model. Let us briefly remind some facts about this model. It describes a system of n quantum particles on a circle with coordinates $0 \leq q_i \leq \pi$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. The quantum Hamiltonian and the operator of the total momentum are

$$(2.17) \quad H_{CS} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i^2} + \sum_{i < j} \frac{g(g-1)}{\sin^2(q_i - q_j)}, \quad P_{CS} = -i \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j}.$$

The space of quantum states of the model is the complex Hilbert space $L^2(T^n/S_n)$ of functions $\Psi(\mathbf{q})$ on the torus $T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \pi \mathbb{Z}^n \ni \mathbf{q}$, symmetric with

respect to permutations of the coordinates q_i . The scalar product in $L^2(T^n/S_n)$ is defined as follows:

$$(2.18) \quad \langle \Psi, \Phi \rangle = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^\pi dq_1 \cdots \int_0^\pi dq_n \overline{\Psi(\mathbf{q})} \Phi(\mathbf{q}).$$

The differential operators (2.17) are formally Hermitian with respect to the scalar product (2.18) when the parameter g is real. Most results of the present paper are established, however, for $g > 0$, which we shall assume hereafter.

The ground state of the model is given by the function

$$(2.19) \quad \omega(\mathbf{q}) = \left| \prod_{i < j} \sin(q_i - q_j) \right|^g$$

with the ground state energy $E_0 = \frac{1}{6} g^2 (n^3 - n)$. The gauge transformation of the Hamiltonian H_{CS} (2.17) with the function $\omega(\mathbf{q})$ gives

$$(2.20) \quad \omega^{-1}(\mathbf{q}) \circ H_{CS} \circ \omega(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2} H + E_0,$$

where the operator H is defined by (2.15) and the change of variables from \mathbf{q} to $\mathbf{x} = \varepsilon(\mathbf{q})$ is given by the map

$$(2.21) \quad \varepsilon : (q_1, \dots, q_n) \mapsto (e^{2iq_1}, \dots, e^{2iq_n}).$$

The eigenfunctions of the H_{CS} can therefore be chosen as the product of the ground state function and a Jack polynomial labelled by a partition λ :

$$(2.22) \quad \Psi_\lambda(\mathbf{q}) = \omega(\mathbf{q}) P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{q})).$$

The Hermitian form (2.18) corresponds to the following scalar product for any two symmetric polynomials $f(\mathbf{x})$ and $p(\mathbf{x})$ from $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$:

$$(2.23) \quad \langle f, p \rangle = \int_{T_\mathbf{v}} d\mathbf{v} [\omega(\mathbf{v})]^2 \overline{f(\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}))} p(\varepsilon(\mathbf{v})),$$

where the integration domain, by symmetry, is

$$(2.24) \quad T_\mathbf{v} = \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n / \pi\mathbb{Z}^n \mid v_j \in (v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}), \quad j + n \equiv j \}.$$

The intervals (v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}) above are understood as arcs on the circle $\mathbb{R}/\pi\mathbb{Z}$ such that v_{j-1} precedes v_{j+1} with respect to the orientation on \mathbb{R} .

For $g > 0$, Jack polynomials $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x})$ are orthogonal with respect to the scalar product (2.23). Note that $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x}) = P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_n})$.

3. DIRICHLET-LIOUVILLE INTEGRAL AND ITS MODIFICATIONS

The Dirichlet integrals and their extension due to Liouville (see, e.g., [1]) are the most straightforward multidimensional generalisations of the beta-integral. They are used in computing volumes and surface areas of multidimensional ellipsoids. A special form of these integrals serves as the main building tool for our construction of the Q -operator for Jack polynomials. In what follows, the

powers ξ^α are functions of a positive argument $\xi > 0$ and are understood as $\xi^\alpha = \exp(\alpha \ln \xi)$, with $\ln \xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 3.1. (Dirichlet-Liouville [1]) *Define the domain $V_\xi \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ as*

$$(3.1) \quad V_\xi = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \xi_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Then for $\Re\alpha_i > 0$,

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{V_\xi} d\xi \delta(|\xi| - 1) \xi_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} \dots \xi_n^{\alpha_n - 1} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_n)}{\Gamma(|\alpha|)},$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$, $d\xi = d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_n$, and δ is the Dirac delta-function.

The proof can be found in [1]. We use two modifications of the integral (3.2) given in Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 below.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be a fixed set of real positive parameters such that*

$$(3.3) \quad 0 < y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_n < \infty.$$

Define the domain $\Omega_{\mathbf{x}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by the inequalities

$$(3.4) \quad \Omega_{\mathbf{x}} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 0 < y_1 < x_1 < y_2 < \dots < x_{n-1} < y_n < x_n < \infty\}.$$

Let $\check{x} \equiv e_n(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 \dots x_n$ and $\check{y} \equiv e_n(\mathbf{y}) = y_1 \dots y_n$. Then for $\Re\alpha_i > 0$ and $z > 1$,

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} d\mathbf{x} \delta(\check{x} - z\check{y}) \Delta_n(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{i=1}^n \xi_i^{\alpha_i - 1} = (z - 1)^{|\alpha| - 1} \Delta_n(\mathbf{y}) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{\alpha_i - 1} \Gamma(\alpha_i)}{\Gamma(|\alpha|)},$$

where Δ_n is the Vandermonde determinant (2.12) and ξ_i are defined as follows:

$$(3.6) \quad \xi_i = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n (x_k - y_i)}{\prod_{k \neq i} (y_k - y_i)}.$$

The other modification of the Dirichlet-Liouville integral is a trigonometric variant of (3.5).

Theorem 3.3. *Given a fixed set of real parameters $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ such that*

$$(3.7) \quad v_1 < v_2 < \dots < v_n < \pi + v_1,$$

define the domain $\Omega_{\mathbf{u}}^T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by the inequalities

$$(3.8) \quad \Omega_{\mathbf{u}}^T = \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid v_1 < u_1 < v_2 < \dots < u_{n-1} < v_n < u_n < \pi + v_1\}.$$

Then for $\gamma \in (0, \pi)$ and $\Re\alpha_i > 0$,

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{\Omega_u^T} d\mathbf{u} \delta(|\mathbf{u}| - |\mathbf{v}| - \gamma) \left[\prod_{i < j} \sin(u_j - u_i) \right] \left[\prod_{i=1}^n (\xi_i^T)^{\alpha_i - 1} \right] \\ = (\sin \gamma)^{|\alpha| - 1} \left[\prod_{i < j} \sin(v_j - v_i) \right] \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\alpha_i)}{\Gamma(|\alpha|)},$$

where

$$(3.10) \quad \xi_i^T = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n \sin(u_k - v_i)}{\prod_{k \neq i} \sin(v_k - v_i)}.$$

Both Theorems are proved by performing the respective changes of variables, from $\{\xi_i\}$ to $\{x_i\}$ (3.6) and from $\{\xi_i^T\}$ to $\{u_i\}$ (3.10), in the integral (3.2).

Proof of Theorem 3.2. The first step is to rescale the variables $\xi_i \mapsto \frac{\xi_i}{y_i(z-1)}$ in the integral (3.2) and to rewrite it in the form

$$(3.11) \quad \int_{V_\xi} d\xi \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\xi_i}{y_i} - (z-1)\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \xi_i^{\alpha_i - 1} = (z-1)^{|\alpha| - 1} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{\alpha_i} \Gamma(\alpha_i)}{\Gamma(|\alpha|)}.$$

The next step is to prove that the relations (3.6) define a bijection of V_ξ onto Ω_x . From the inequalities in (3.4) it follows immediately that Ω_x is mapped into V_ξ . To prove the invertibility of this mapping consider the rational function

$$(3.12) \quad R(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{t - x_i}{t - y_i}.$$

It is easy to see that $\text{res}_{t=y_i} R(t) = -\xi_i$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} R(t) = 1$, and therefore

$$(3.13) \quad R(t) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\xi_i}{t - y_i}.$$

Given a set of positive $\xi_i > 0$, the function $R(t)$ is restored by the formula (3.13), and the variables x_i are then determined uniquely as its zeroes, taken in the increasing order. The standard continuity argument, using $\xi_i > 0$, shows that all n zeroes x_j of $R(t)$ are real and interspersed with the poles y_j of $R(t)$ and $+\infty$ or, equivalently, belong to the domain Ω_x .

The bijectivity of the mapping $\xi \mapsto \mathbf{x}$ having been thus established, its Jacobian is as follows:

$$(3.14) \quad J = \det \left[\frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial x_i} \right]_{i,j=1}^n = \det \left[\frac{\xi_j}{x_i - y_j} \right]_{i,j=1}^n = \frac{\Delta_n(\mathbf{x})}{\Delta_n(\mathbf{y})} > 0,$$

which was evaluated with the help of Cauchy's determinantal formula [7]

$$(3.15) \quad \det \left[\frac{1}{1 - a_i b_j} \right]_{i,j=1}^n = \frac{\Delta_n(\mathbf{a}) \Delta_n(\mathbf{b})}{\prod_{i,j=1}^n (1 - a_i b_j)}.$$

Setting $t = 0$ in (3.12) and (3.13) we get $1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i / y_i = \prod_{k=1}^n x_k / y_k$, and hence,

$$(3.16) \quad \delta\left(\sum \frac{\xi_i}{y_i} - (z-1)\right) = \left(\prod y_k\right) \delta\left(\prod x_k - z \prod y_k\right).$$

Using (3.14) and (3.16) we can now fulfil the change of variables $\xi \mapsto \mathbf{x}$ in (3.11) and obtain (3.5). \square

The proof of Theorem 3.3 is similar to the above, but the structure of the mapping $\xi^T \mapsto \mathbf{u}$ (3.10) is, however, more involved compared to the rational case (3.6).

Proposition 3.1. *Define the domains $V_\xi^T, \Phi_{\mathbf{u}}^\pm \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ as*

$$(3.17) \quad V_\xi^T = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |\xi| < 1, \xi_i > 0; i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

and

$$(3.18) \quad \Phi_{\mathbf{u}}^- = \{\mathbf{u} \in \Omega_{\mathbf{u}}^T \mid |\mathbf{u}| < |\mathbf{v}| + \frac{\pi}{2}\}, \quad \Phi_{\mathbf{u}}^+ = \{\mathbf{u} \in \Omega_{\mathbf{u}}^T \mid |\mathbf{u}| > |\mathbf{v}| + \frac{\pi}{2}\}.$$

Then the change of variables $\mathbf{u} \mapsto \xi^T$ maps the sets $\Phi_{\mathbf{u}}^\pm$ bijectively onto V_ξ^T .

Proof. Consider the function $R(t)$

$$(3.19) \quad R(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sin(t - u_i)}{\sin(t - v_i)}.$$

Noting that $\operatorname{res}_{t=v_i} R(t) = -\xi_i^T$, $R(t)$ can be rewritten in the form

$$(3.20) \quad R(t) = c - \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^T \cot(t - v_i).$$

Comparing the limits of the two expressions for $R(t)$ at $t \rightarrow \pm i\infty$ one gets

$$(3.21) \quad c = \cos(|\mathbf{u}| - |\mathbf{v}|), \quad |\xi^T| = \sin(|\mathbf{u}| - |\mathbf{v}|).$$

Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \in \Omega_{\mathbf{u}}^T$, that is either $\mathbf{u} \in \Phi_{\mathbf{u}}^-$ or $\mathbf{u} \in \Phi_{\mathbf{u}}^+$. Then, from the inequalities (3.8) and the expressions (3.10) for ξ_i^T , it follows that $\xi_i^T > 0$. It also follows from (3.21) that $|\xi^T| \in (0, 1)$, for $0 < |\mathbf{u}| - |\mathbf{v}| < \pi$. Thus, both domains $\Phi_{\mathbf{u}}^\pm$ are mapped into V_ξ^T . Conversely, given $\xi^T \in V_\xi^T$, one obtains from the relation $c^2 + |\xi^T|^2 = 1$ (cf (3.21)) two possible values for the constant c in (3.20). The inequalities in (3.17) ensure that $c^2 \in (0, 1)$. Having chosen a solution for c , one reconstructs $R(t)$ using the formula (3.20). The continuity argument, based on the positivity of ξ_i^T , shows that the zeroes u_i of $R(t)$ belong to the domain $\Omega_{\mathbf{u}}^T$. The positive $c \in (0, 1)$ correspond to $\mathbf{u} \in \Phi_{\mathbf{u}}^-$ and the negative $c \in (-1, 0)$ to $\mathbf{u} \in \Phi_{\mathbf{u}}^+$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.3 starts with rescaling the variables $\xi_i \mapsto \frac{\xi_i}{\sin \gamma}$ in the integral (3.2) which transforms it into the formula

$$(3.22) \quad \int_{V_\xi} d\xi \delta(|\xi| - \sin \gamma) \xi_1^{\alpha_1-1} \dots \xi_n^{\alpha_n-1} = (\sin \gamma)^{|\alpha|-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_n)}{\Gamma(|\alpha|)}.$$

By the condition of the Theorem, $\gamma \in (0, \pi)$, so $\sin \gamma \in (0, 1)$, and therefore the integration in (3.22) can be restricted to V_ξ^T . The Jacobian of the mapping $\xi^T \mapsto \mathbf{u}$,

$$(3.23) \quad J^T = \det \left[\frac{\partial \xi_j^T}{\partial u_i} \right]_{i,j=1}^n = \det [\xi_j^T \cot(u_i - v_j)]_{i,j=1}^n,$$

can be calculated with the help of a trigonometric limit of the generalized (elliptic) Cauchy determinant (cf [11,18]),

$$(3.24) \quad \det \left[\frac{\sigma(x_i - y_j + \zeta)}{\sigma(x_i - y_j)\sigma(\zeta)} \right]_{i,j=1}^n = \frac{\sigma(\Sigma + \zeta)}{\sigma(\zeta)} \frac{\prod_{k < l} \sigma(x_k - x_l) \sigma(y_l - y_k)}{\prod_{k, l} \sigma(x_k - y_l)},$$

where $\sigma(x)$ is the Weierstrass sigma-function and $\Sigma \equiv \sum_i (x_i - y_i)$. Take the limit of the infinite imaginary period, $\omega' \rightarrow \infty$, choose the real period $\omega = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and put $\zeta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, then $\sigma(x) \rightarrow \exp(\frac{1}{6} x^2) \sin x$ and (3.24) turns into the following trigonometric determinantal formula:

$$(3.25) \quad \det [\cot(x_i - y_j)]_{i,j=1}^n = \cos(\Sigma) \frac{\prod_{k < l} \sin(x_k - x_l) \sin(y_l - y_k)}{\prod_{k, l} \sin(x_k - y_l)}.$$

Now we can evaluate the Jacobian J^T in (3.23):

$$(3.26) \quad J^T = \cos(|\mathbf{u}| - |\mathbf{v}|) \prod_{i < j} \frac{\sin(u_j - u_i)}{\sin(v_j - v_i)}.$$

Notice that J^T is positive for $\mathbf{u} \in \Phi_{\mathbf{u}}^-$ and negative for $\mathbf{u} \in \Phi_{\mathbf{u}}^+$.

Using (3.21), one transforms the δ -function in (3.22) as

$$(3.27) \quad \delta(|\xi| - \sin \gamma) = \frac{\delta(|\mathbf{u}| - |\mathbf{v}| - \gamma)}{\cos \gamma}.$$

Finally, combining (3.26) and (3.27) one fulfils the change of variables $\xi^T \mapsto \mathbf{u}$ in (3.22) and obtains (3.9). When $\gamma \in (0, \pi/2)$, the integration in (3.9) can be restricted to $\Phi_{\mathbf{u}}^-$, and when $\gamma \in (\pi/2, \pi)$, the integration is over $\Phi_{\mathbf{u}}^+$. Formula (3.9) is valid for any $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ by continuity. \square

4. Q -OPERATOR Q_z

In this section we construct a Q -operator Q_z for the Sekiguchi partial differential operators $\{H_i\}$ (2.13). The operator Q_z is described as an integral operator with a kernel expressed in terms of elementary functions and satisfies the defining conditions (1.2)–(1.4), proved in this section, and (1.5), proved in the next section. Thus, the eigenvalue problem $Q_z P_\lambda^{(1/g)} = q_\lambda(z) P_\lambda^{(1/g)}$ can be considered as an integral equation for Jack polynomials $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}$.

For $g > 0$, $z > 1$ and for an ordered set of n real positive parameters $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$,

$$(4.1) \quad 0 < y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_n < \infty,$$

define the operator Q_z , acting on symmetric polynomials $p \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$, by the integral

$$(4.2) \quad [Q_z p](\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\Gamma(ng)}{\Gamma(g)^n} \frac{(z-1)^{1-ng}}{\Delta_n(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{g-1}} \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}} d\mathbf{x} \delta(\tilde{x} - z\tilde{y}) \Delta_n(\mathbf{x}) \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \xi_i^{g-1} \right] p(\mathbf{x}),$$

using the notation of Theorem 3.2, notably

$$(4.3) \quad \xi_i = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n (x_k - y_i)}{\prod_{k \neq i} (y_k - y_i)}.$$

By virtue of the modification (3.5) of the Dirichlet-Liouville integral, Q_z is normalised such that it sends $p(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 1$ to 1:

$$(4.4) \quad Q_z[1] = 1.$$

The function $[Q_z p](\mathbf{y})$ defined by the above integral for $\forall p \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$ as a real-valued function of real arguments z and \mathbf{y} is, in fact, a polynomial in z and a symmetric polynomial in \mathbf{y} .

Proposition 4.1. *The operator Q_z defined by (4.2) sends $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$ into $\mathbb{C}(g)[\mathbf{y}, z]^{S_n}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Extend the domain of Q_z from $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$ to $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{y})[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$, assuming that Q_z acts trivially on the \mathbf{y} -variables. In other words, we can allow p in the formula (4.2) to depend on variables \mathbf{y} in addition to \mathbf{x} . In particular, we can set $p = \xi^{\mathbf{k}}$. The integral (3.5) is well suited for calculating the action of Q_z on the monomials $\xi^{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \xi_1^{k_1} \dots \xi_n^{k_n} \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{y})[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$. Substituting $p = \xi^{\mathbf{k}}$ into (4.2) and using the integral (3.5) for $\alpha_i = g + k_i$, we arrive at the following formula:

$$(4.5) \quad Q_z : \xi^{\mathbf{k}} \mapsto \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{k}} \frac{(z-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|}}{(ng)^{|\mathbf{k}|}} \prod_{i=1}^n (g)_{k_i},$$

where

$$(4.6) \quad (\alpha)_k \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+k)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} = \alpha(\alpha+1) \dots (\alpha+k-1) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

is the Pochhammer symbol.

The monomials $\xi^{\mathbf{k}}$ form a basis in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$. To show this, it is sufficient to express the elementary symmetric functions $e_i(\mathbf{x})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ (cf (2.5)) in terms of ξ , for any symmetric polynomial in \mathbf{x} is uniquely expanded into the powers $E_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = e_1^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}(\mathbf{x}) e_2^{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3}(\mathbf{x}) \dots e_n^{\lambda_n}(\mathbf{x})$ (see section 2). Introducing the generating function $w_x(t)$ for $\{e_i(\mathbf{x})\}$,

$$(4.7) \quad w_x(t) = \prod_{j=1}^n (t - x_j) = t^n + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i t^{n-i} e_i(\mathbf{x}),$$

and using the formula (3.13) for the rational function $R(t) = w_x(t)/w_y(t)$, we get

$$(4.8) \quad w_x(t) = w_y(t)R(t) = w_y(t) - \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \frac{w_y(t)}{t - y_i}.$$

Expanding (4.8) in powers of t , we obtain the expressions for $\{e_i(\mathbf{x})\}$ in terms of ξ :

$$(4.9) \quad e_i(\mathbf{x}) = e_i(\mathbf{y}) + \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j [e_{i-1}(\mathbf{y})]_{y_j=0}.$$

We can give the following algorithm for calculating $[Q_z p](\mathbf{y})$ for an arbitrary symmetric polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$:

- (1) express $p(\mathbf{x})$ as a polynomial in $\{e_i(\mathbf{x})\}$,
- (2) substitute the expressions (4.9) for $\{e_i(\mathbf{x})\}$ in terms of $\{e_j(\mathbf{y})\}$ and ξ ,
- (3) expand the resulting polynomial in monomials $\xi^{\mathbf{k}}$,
- (4) replace each monomial $\xi^{\mathbf{k}}$ with the right hand side of (4.5).

The result $[Q_z p](\mathbf{y})$ is obviously a polynomial in \mathbf{y} and z and a rational function in g . Any permutation of the \mathbf{y} -variables, $\sigma : y_i \mapsto y_{\sigma_i}$, by (3.6), also sends ξ_i to ξ_{σ_i} . The right hand side of the (4.9) is therefore invariant under simultaneous permutations $\sigma : y_i \mapsto y_{\sigma_i}$ and $\xi_i \mapsto \xi_{\sigma_i}$. Using (4.5), we conclude that the polynomial $[Q_z p](\mathbf{y})$ is symmetric in \mathbf{y} . \square

Remark 4.1. Let us mention another convenient variant of the above algorithm. After the substitution $\xi_j = \eta_j y_j$, the expression (4.9) for $e_i(\mathbf{x})$ becomes homogeneous in \mathbf{y} :

$$(4.10) \quad e_i(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_i \leq n} (1 + \eta_{k_1} + \dots + \eta_{k_i}) y_{k_1} \cdots y_{k_i}.$$

We can use (4.10) instead of (4.9) for the step 2 of the algorithm, and then use the substitution

$$(4.11) \quad B_g : \eta^{\mathbf{k}} \mapsto \frac{(z-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|}}{(ng)^{|\mathbf{k}|}} \prod_{i=1}^n (g)_{k_i},$$

instead of (4.5), for the step 4.

Remark 4.2. Notice also that the polynomiality of $Q_z \xi^{\mathbf{k}}$ in z (4.5) ensures that the operator Q_z , although initially defined for the real values $z > 1$, can now be analytically continued to the whole of the complex plane, $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Formula (4.2) is not the only way to realise Q_z as an integral operator. An alternative description of Q_z can be obtained from Theorem 3.3.

For $\gamma \in (0, \pi)$ and for an ordered set of real variables $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ satisfying

$$v_1 < v_2 < \dots < v_n < \pi + v_1,$$

we define the operator \tilde{Q}_γ acting on a symmetric polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$ by the integral

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} [\tilde{Q}_\gamma p](\mathbf{v}) &= \frac{\Gamma(n\gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma)^n} \frac{(\sin \gamma)^{1-n\gamma}}{\prod_{i < j} \sin(v_j - v_i)} \\ &\times \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{u}}^T} d\mathbf{u} \delta(|\mathbf{u}| - |\mathbf{v}| - \gamma) \left[\prod_{j < k} \sin(u_k - u_j) \right] \left[\prod_{j=1}^n (\xi_j^T)^{\gamma-1} \right] p(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u})), \end{aligned}$$

using the notation of Theorem 3.3 and the map ε from (2.21).

Proposition 4.2. *Let*

$$(4.13) \quad \mathbf{x} = \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}), \quad \mathbf{y} = \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}), \quad z = \varepsilon(\gamma).$$

Then $[\tilde{Q}_\gamma p](\mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{C}(g)[\mathbf{y}, z]^{S_n}$ and, moreover, \tilde{Q}_γ coincides with Q_z as operators from $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$ to $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]^{S_n}$.

Proof. Substituting $p(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u})) = (\xi^T)^{\mathbf{k}}$ into (4.12) and using the integral (3.9), one obtains the action

$$(4.14) \quad \tilde{Q}_\gamma : (\xi^T)^{\mathbf{k}} \mapsto \frac{(\sin \gamma)^{|\mathbf{k}|}}{(n\gamma)_{|\mathbf{k}|}} \prod_{i=1}^n (g)_{k_i},$$

which is similar to (4.5). Comparing expressions (3.6) for ξ_i and (3.10) for ξ_i^T and using substitutions (4.13), we obtain the relation

$$(4.15) \quad \xi_j = \xi_j^T \cdot 2iy_j e^{i\gamma},$$

which identifies the action (4.5) of Q_z on the monomials $\xi^{\mathbf{k}}$ and the action (4.14) of \tilde{Q}_γ on the monomials $(\xi^T)^{\mathbf{k}}$, and therefore on polynomials $p \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$. Hence, Q_z and \tilde{Q}_γ define two integral realisations of the same operator acting from $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$ to $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]^{S_n}$. \square

The integral formula (4.2) will be our main tool when working with the Q -operator. The trigonometric representation (4.12) will, however, be required for proving the conjugation properties of Q_z (cf Proposition 4.4 below). In what follows, we shall identify the source space $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$ and the target space $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]^{S_n}$ of Q_z and think of Q_z as an operator in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let \hat{e}_n be the multiplication operator acting in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$ as $\hat{e}_n : p(\mathbf{x}) \mapsto e_n(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x})$. Then the following operator relation holds:*

$$(4.16) \quad Q_z \hat{e}_n = z \hat{e}_n Q_z.$$

Proof. For $z > 1$, the statement follows from the observation that Q_z is realised

as the integral operator (4.2) whose kernel contains $\delta(e_n(\mathbf{x}) - ze_n(\mathbf{y}))$. Then the result is extended by analyticity to $z \in \mathbb{C}$. \square

The following Theorem is the main result of this section, because it states the defining properties (1.2)–(1.4) of the introduced Q -operator.

Theorem 4.1. *Jack polynomials are the eigenfunctions of the operator Q_z :*

$$(4.17) \quad [Q_z P_\lambda^{(1/g)}](\mathbf{x}) = q_\lambda(z) P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x}), \quad q_\lambda(z) \in \mathbb{C}(g)[z].$$

The idea of the proof is to show that the matrix of Q_z in the basis of Jack polynomials is both symmetric and triangular, and therefore diagonal. The symmetry is established by Proposition 4.4, the triangularity by Proposition 4.5.

Proposition 4.4. *The operator Q_z possesses the conjugation property*

$$(4.18) \quad Q_z^\dagger = Q_{\bar{z}}$$

with respect to the Hermitian form (2.23).

Proof. We shall use the representation of the Q -operator as \tilde{Q}_γ given by (4.12). To begin with, let us prove that

$$(4.19) \quad \langle f, \tilde{Q}_\gamma p \rangle = \langle \tilde{Q}_{\pi-\gamma} f, p \rangle \quad \forall f, p \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}, \quad \gamma \in (0, \pi).$$

For our present purposes it is convenient to think that, similarly to (2.24), the variables \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} in (4.12) belong to the torus $T_n = \mathbb{R}^n / \pi\mathbb{Z}^n$ and all functions of \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} , including delta-function, are π -periodic. In particular, we can assume that all the sine functions in (4.12) take their arguments in $(0, \pi)$ or, equivalently, replace the sines by their absolute values.

Keeping that in mind and using (2.23) and (4.12) we obtain

$$(4.20) \quad \langle f, \tilde{Q}_\gamma p \rangle = \int_{T_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}} d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{v} \overline{f(\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}))} \mathcal{Q}_\gamma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) p(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u})),$$

where

$$(4.21) \quad T_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} = \{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n / \pi\mathbb{Z}^n \mid u_j \in (v_j, v_{j+1}), \quad v_j \in (u_{j-1}, u_j)\}$$

(using the convention $j + n \equiv j$) and

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_\gamma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) &= (\sin \gamma)^{1-ng} \frac{\Gamma(n\mathbf{g})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{g})^n} \delta(|\mathbf{u}| - |\mathbf{v}| - \gamma) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j < k} |\sin(v_k - v_j) \sin(u_k - u_j)| \prod_{j, k} |\sin(u_k - v_j)|^{g-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Performing the following change of variables:

$$(4.23) \quad u_j \mapsto u'_j = v_{j+1}, \quad v_j \mapsto v'_j = u_j,$$

and observing that $T_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} = T_{\mathbf{u}',\mathbf{v}'}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\gamma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = \mathcal{Q}_{\pi-\gamma}(\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{u}')$ we transform (4.20) into $(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\pi-\gamma}f, p)$.

Having thus proved that $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\gamma^\dagger = \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\pi-\gamma}$ for $\gamma \in (0, \pi)$, we extend this result by analyticity to $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\gamma^\dagger = \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\pi-\bar{\gamma}}$ for $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Due to the relation $z = e^{2i\gamma}$ (cf (4.13)), the involution $\gamma \mapsto \pi - \bar{\gamma}$ corresponds to $z \mapsto \bar{z}$, hence (4.18). \square

Proposition 4.5. *The matrix of the operator \mathcal{Q}_z between any two of the three bases, m_λ (2.4), E_λ (2.6) or $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}$, is triangular with respect to the dominance partial ordering. For example,*

$$(4.24) \quad \mathcal{Q}_z E_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \preceq \lambda} q_{\lambda\mu}(z, g) m_\mu.$$

Proof. Since the three bases, $\{m_\lambda, E_\lambda, P_\lambda^{(1/g)}\}$, are mutually triangular, it is sufficient to prove only the expansion (4.24).

According to the algorithm explained in Remark 4.1, in order to calculate $\mathcal{Q}_z E_\lambda$ we have to substitute into (2.6) the expressions (4.10) for e_j in terms of η_j and y_j , expand the brackets and transform the products of η_j by the formula (4.11).

It follows from (4.10) that \mathcal{Q}_z maps E_λ into a polynomial consisting of the same monomials in y_j as E_λ in x_j . The only difference is the presence of the variables η_j in the coefficients producing a nontrivial dependence on g . Since the expansion of E_λ into m_μ is triangular (2.7), so is the expansion of $\mathcal{Q}_z E_\lambda$. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda\mu} \equiv (\mathcal{Q}_z P_\lambda^{(1/g)}, P_\mu^{(1/g)})$. It follows from the triangularity property (Proposition 4.5) that $\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda\mu} \neq 0$ only for $\lambda \succeq \mu$. On the other hand, from the conjugation formula (4.18) and the orthogonality of the basis $P_\mu^{(1/g)}$ it follows that $(\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda\mu} \neq 0) \implies (\mathcal{Q}_{\mu\lambda} \neq 0)$, therefore $\mu \succeq \lambda$. However, $\lambda \succeq \mu$ and $\mu \succeq \lambda$ implies $\lambda = \mu$, hence (4.17). The eigenvalue $q_\lambda(z)$ is a polynomial in z since $[\mathcal{Q}_z P_\lambda^{(1/g)}](\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{C}(g)[\mathbf{x}, z]^{S_n^g}$ by Proposition 4.1. \square

Remark 4.3. In the quasi-classical limit, $g \rightarrow \infty$, the operator \mathcal{Q}_z turns into the corresponding Bäcklund transformation for the Calogero-Sutherland model (see [23] for details).

5. EIGENVALUES OF \mathcal{Q}_z

Here we study the eigenvalues of the operator \mathcal{Q}_z , that is the polynomials $q_\lambda(z) \in \mathbb{C}(g)[z]$ introduced in the previous section (cf (4.17)).

First, we derive a representation for $q_\lambda(z)$ as a finite multiple sum by making use of the explicit formula for the action of \mathcal{Q}_z on a polynomial basis. Then, we convert the obtained expression into another finite multiple sum, which results from a previously known formula (cf [20,22]) for the separated polynomials $q_\lambda(z)$ in terms of the hypergeometric function ${}_nF_{n-1}(z)$. Finally, we write down

the ordinary differential equation of order n for $q_\lambda(z)$, which serves as the Baxter equation (1.5) for our Q -operator Q_z .

By Proposition 4.5, the eigenvalue $q_\lambda(z)$ can be determined as the diagonal matrix element of Q_z in the basis E_λ :

$$(5.1) \quad Q_z E_\lambda = q_\lambda(z) E_\lambda + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} b_{\lambda\mu} E_\mu.$$

To calculate $Q_z E_\lambda$ we shall apply the algorithm described in Remark 4.1. Taking the diagonal element corresponds to replacing $e_j(\mathbf{x})$ by formula (4.10) and taking the coefficient at the monomial \mathbf{y}^λ . Introducing the operator $B_g : \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{\eta}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(g)$ acting on the monomials $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{k}}$ by the formula (4.11) we arrive at the following expression:

$$(5.2) \quad q_\lambda(z) = B_g \left[\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_i)^{\lambda_{i+1}} \right].$$

Theorem 5.1. *The polynomial $q_\lambda(z) \in \mathbb{C}(g)[z]$ is given by the multiple sum*

$$(5.3) \quad q_\lambda(z) = z^{\lambda_n} \sum_{k_1=0}^{\lambda_{1,2}} \dots \sum_{k_{n-1}=0}^{\lambda_{n-1,n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1-z)^{k_i} \frac{(-\lambda_{i,i+1})_{k_i}}{k_i!} \frac{(ig)_{k_1+\dots+k_i}}{((i+1)g)_{k_1+\dots+k_i}}.$$

Proof. Expanding the product in (5.2) we obtain

$$(5.4) \quad q_\lambda(z) = \sum_{k_1=0}^{\lambda_{1,2}} \dots \sum_{k_n=0}^{\lambda_{n,n+1}} \left[\prod_{j=1}^n \binom{\lambda_{j,j+1}}{k_j} \right] \frac{(z-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|}}{(ng)_{|\mathbf{k}|}} c_{\mathbf{k}}$$

with

$$(5.5) \quad c_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{(ng)_{|\mathbf{k}|}}{(z-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|}} B_g \left[\prod_{j=1}^n (\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_j)^{k_j} \right] \\ = (D_1 \otimes \dots \otimes D_n) \left[\prod_{j=1}^n (\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_j)^{k_j} \right],$$

where the operators $D_j : \mathbb{C}[\eta_j] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(g)$ are defined as follows $D_j : \eta_j^{k_j} \mapsto (g)_{k_j}$.

Lemma 5.1. *The coefficients $c_{\mathbf{k}}$ (5.5) are*

$$(5.6) \quad c_{\mathbf{k}} = \prod_{j=1}^n (jg + k_1 + \dots + k_{j-1})_{k_j} = (ng)_{|\mathbf{k}|} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{(jg)_{k_1+\dots+k_j}}{((j+1)g)_{k_1+\dots+k_j}}.$$

The formula (5.3) is then obtained by substituting (5.6) into (5.4) and evaluating the sum over k_n . \square

Proof of Lemma 5.1. is given by induction in n . When $n = 1$ formulae (5.5) and

(5.6) give the same value $(g)_{k_1}$ for c_{k_1} . It is sufficient to show that c_{k_1, \dots, k_n} given by (5.5) satisfies the recurrence relation

$$(5.7) \quad c_{k_1, \dots, k_n} = c_{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}} \cdot (ng + k_1 + \dots + k_{n-1})_{k_n}.$$

Expanding the factor $((\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_{n-1}) + \eta_n)^{k_n}$ in (5.5) we get

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} c_{k_1, \dots, k_n} &= (D_1 \otimes \dots \otimes D_n) \left[\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_j)^{k_j} \right) (\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_n)^{k_n} \right] \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{k_n} \binom{k_n}{m} (D_1 \otimes \dots \otimes D_{n-1}) \left[(\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_{n-1})^{k_{n-1}+m} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} (\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_j)^{k_j} \right] \cdot D_n [\eta_n^{k_n-m}] \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{k_n} \binom{k_n}{m} c_{k_1, \dots, k_{n-2}, k_{n-1}+m} (g)_{k_n-m} \\ &= c_{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}} \sum_{m=0}^{k_n} \binom{k_n}{m} ((n-1)g + k_1 + \dots + k_{n-1})_m (g)_{k_n-m}. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain (5.7) it remains to use the summation formula

$$(5.9) \quad \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} (s)_m (g)_{k-m} = (s+g)_k$$

for $k = k_n$ and $s = (n-1)g + k_1 + \dots + k_{n-1}$ which is easily proved by comparing the coefficients with powers of t in the identity $(1-t)^{-s}(1-t)^{-g} = (1-t)^{-s-g}$. \square

In [20] (see also [22]) it was conjectured, and then proved for $n = 2, 3, 4$ (cf [20,22,26,27]), that Jack polynomials $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ can be factorised into a product of the separated polynomials $f_\lambda(z)$ defined in terms of the hypergeometric function ${}_nF_{n-1}(z)$ as follows:

$$(5.10) \quad f_\lambda(z) = z^{\lambda_n} (1-z)^{1-ng} {}_nF_{n-1} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \\ b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \end{matrix}; z \right),$$

$$(5.11) \quad a_i = \lambda_{n,i} + 1 - (n-i+1)g, \quad b_i = a_i + g.$$

Theorem 5.2. *The function $f_\lambda(z)$ is a polynomial given by the multiple sum*

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} f_\lambda(z) &= z^{\lambda_n} \sum_{k_1=0}^{\lambda_{1,2}} \dots \sum_{k_{n-1}=0}^{\lambda_{n-1,n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1-z)^{\lambda_{i,i+1}} \left(\frac{z}{z-1} \right)^{k_i} \frac{(-\lambda_{i,i+1})_{k_i}}{k_i!} \\ &\quad \times \frac{(1 - (n-i+1)g - \lambda_{i,n})_{k_i + \dots + k_{n-1}}}{(1 - (n-i)g - \lambda_{i,n})_{k_i + \dots + k_{n-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Representation (5.12) for the separated polynomial $f_\lambda(z)$ follows from

the observation made in [22] that an explicit *finite* multiple sum for the polynomial given by (5.10) can be obtained by iterating Fox's formula [10],

$$(5.13) \quad {}_pF_{p-1} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_{p-1}, b_{p-1} + m \\ b_1, \dots, b_{p-1} \end{matrix}; z \right) = \sum_{j=0}^m (-z)^j \frac{(-m)_j}{j!} \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{(a_i)_j}{(b_i)_j} {}_{p-1}F_{p-2} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1 + j, \dots, a_{p-1} + j \\ b_1 + j, \dots, b_{p-2} + j \end{matrix}; z \right),$$

which expresses the hypergeometric function ${}_pF_{p-1}$ on the left, for any non-negative integer m , as a *finite* sum of lower series.

Noticing that $a_{i+1} = b_i + \lambda_{i,i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ in (5.11) and using the binomial theorem, ${}_1F_0 \left(\begin{matrix} a \\ \end{matrix}; z \right) = (1-z)^{-a}$, we apply (5.13) to (5.10) consecutively for $p = n-1, \dots, 2$ and derive (5.12). \square

Theorem 5.3. *The polynomials $f_\lambda(z)$ and $q_\lambda(z)$ are proportional*

$$(5.14) \quad f_\lambda(z) = \beta_\lambda q_\lambda(z),$$

where

$$(5.15) \quad \beta_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_\lambda^{(i)}, \quad \beta_\lambda^{(i)} = \frac{((n-i+1)g)_{\lambda_{i,n}}}{((n-i)g)_{\lambda_{i,n}}}.$$

Proof. We shall start with the expression (5.12) for $f_\lambda(z)$. After the change of the variable $z \mapsto 1-z$ we can rewrite (5.12) as

$$(5.16) \quad f_\lambda(1-z) = (1-z)^{\lambda_n} \varphi_\lambda^{(n-1)}(z),$$

where $\varphi_\lambda^{(i)}(z; k_{i+1}, \dots, k_{n-1})$ are defined recursively for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ by $\varphi_\lambda^{(0)}(z; k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}) \equiv 1$ and

$$(5.17) \quad \varphi_\lambda^{(i)}(z; k_{i+1}, \dots, k_{n-1}) = z^{\lambda_{i,i+1}} \sum_{k_i=0}^{\lambda_{i,i+1}} \left(\frac{z-1}{z} \right)^{k_i} \frac{(-\lambda_{i,i+1})_{k_i}}{k_i!} \\ \times \frac{(1 - (n-i+1)g - \lambda_{i,n})_{k_i + \dots + k_{n-1}}}{(1 - (n-i)g - \lambda_{i,n})_{k_i + \dots + k_{n-1}}} \varphi_\lambda^{(i-1)}(z; k_i, \dots, k_{n-1}).$$

Lemma 5.2.

$$(5.18) \quad \varphi_\lambda^{(i)}(z; k_{i+1}, \dots, k_{n-1}) = \beta_\lambda^{(i)} \frac{\Gamma((n-i+1)g)}{\Gamma(g)\Gamma((n-i)g)} \\ \times \int_0^1 dv v^{ig-1} (1-v)^{(n-i)g-1 + \lambda_{i+1,n} - k_{i+1} - \dots - k_{n-1}} (1-zv)^{\lambda_{i,i+1}} \Phi_\lambda^{(i-1)}(zv),$$

where $\Phi_\lambda^{(i)}(z)$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-2$ are defined recursively by $\Phi_\lambda^{(0)}(z) \equiv 1$ and

$$(5.19) \quad \Phi_\lambda^{(i)}(z) = \int_0^1 du u^{ig-1} (1-u)^{g-1} (1-zu)^{\lambda_{i,i+1}} \Phi_\lambda^{(i-1)}(zu).$$

Proof is done by induction. For $i = 1$, formula (5.17) gives

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_\lambda^{(1)}(z; k_2, \dots, k_{n-1}) &= z^{\lambda_{1,2}} \frac{(1 - ng - \lambda_{1,n})_{k_2 + \dots + k_{n-1}}}{(1 - (n-1)g - \lambda_{1,n})_{k_2 + \dots + k_{n-1}}} \\ &\times {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -\lambda_{1,2}, \theta_1 \\ \theta_1 + g \end{matrix}; \frac{z-1}{z}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_1 = 1 - ng - \lambda_{1,n} + k_2 + \dots + k_{n-1}$. Using the well-known identity for the terminating Gauss hypergeometric function,

$$(5.21) \quad {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -p, b \\ c \end{matrix}; z\right) = \frac{(b)_p}{(c)_p} (1-z)^p {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -p, c-b \\ -p+1-b \end{matrix}; \frac{1}{1-z}\right),$$

and then the integral representation (see, e.g. [9]),

$$(5.22) \quad {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; z\right) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \int_0^1 du u^{b-1} (1-u)^{c-b-1} (1-zu)^{-a},$$

we arrive at the formula (5.18) for $i = 1$.

Supposing that the statement is true for $i - 1$, we substitute the expression (5.18) for $\varphi_\lambda^{(i-1)}$ in terms of $\Phi_\lambda^{(i-2)}$ into (5.17) and get the integral

$$(5.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_\lambda^{(i)}(z; k_{i+1}, \dots, k_{n-1}) &= z^{\lambda_{i,i+1}} \\ &\times \int_0^1 dv v^{ig-1} (1-v)^{(n-i+1)g-1 + \lambda_{i,n} - k_{i+1} - \dots - k_{n-1}} (1-zv)^{\lambda_{i-1,i}} \Phi_\lambda^{(i-2)}(zv) S_i, \end{aligned}$$

where S_i is the sum

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{aligned} S_i &= \sum_{k_i=0}^{\lambda_{i,i+1}} \left(\frac{z-1}{z(1-v)}\right)^{k_i} \frac{(-\lambda_{i,i+1})_{k_i}}{k_i!} \frac{(1 - (n-i+1)g - \lambda_{i,n})_{k_i + \dots + k_{n-1}}}{(1 - (n-i)g - \lambda_{i,n})_{k_i + \dots + k_{n-1}}} \\ &= \frac{(1 - (n-i+1)g - \lambda_{i,n})_{k_{i+1} + \dots + k_{n-1}}}{(1 - (n-i)g - \lambda_{i,n})_{k_{i+1} + \dots + k_{n-1}}} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -\lambda_{i,i+1}, \theta_i \\ \theta_i + g \end{matrix}; \frac{z-1}{z(1-v)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and $\theta_i = 1 - (n-i+1)g - \lambda_{i,n} + k_{i+1} + \dots + k_{n-1}$. Using again the transformation (5.21) and then the integral representation (5.22), after some algebra we transform S_i to the following form:

$$(5.25) \quad \begin{aligned} S_i &= \beta_\lambda^{(i)} \frac{\Gamma((n-i+1)g)}{\Gamma(g)\Gamma((n-i)g)} (1-v)^{-\lambda_{i,i+1}} \\ &\times \int_0^1 dt t^{g-1} (1-t)^{(n-i)g-1 + \lambda_{i+1,n} - k_{i+1} - \dots - k_{n-1}} [1 - y(v+t(1-v))]^{\lambda_{i,i+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting S_i from (5.25) into (5.23) we obtain an expression for $\varphi_\lambda^{(i)}$ as a double integral, in the variables v and t . Making the change of variables $(v, t) \mapsto (v, u = v + t - vt)$ followed by $(u, v) \mapsto (u, w = v/u)$ we obtain for $\varphi_\lambda^{(i)}$ an expression as an integral in u and w . The integration domains are changed respectively from $v, t \in [0, 1]$ to $0 \leq v \leq u \leq 1$, and finally to $u, w \in [0, 1]$. The result reads

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.26) \quad & \varphi_\lambda^{(i)}(z; k_{i+1}, \dots, k_{n-1}) = \beta_\lambda^{(i)} \frac{\Gamma((n-i+1)g)}{\Gamma(g)\Gamma((n-i)g)} \\
& \times \int_0^1 du u^{ig-1} (1-u)^{(n-i)g-1+\lambda_{i+1,n}-k_{i+1}-\dots-k_{n-1}} (1-zu)^{\lambda_{i+1}} \\
& \times \int_0^1 dw w^{(i-1)g-1} (1-w)^{g-1} (1-zuw)^{\lambda_{i-1,i}} \Phi_\lambda^{(i-2)}(zuw).
\end{aligned}$$

The integral over w is evaluated with the help of (5.19) producing $\Phi_\lambda^{(i-1)}(zu)$, and we recover formula (5.18), thus completing the induction step. \square

Iterating integrals (5.19) and using (5.16) we obtain the following representation for $f_\lambda(1-z)$ as a multiple integral:

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.27) \quad & f_\lambda(1-z) = (1-z)^{\lambda_n} \beta_\lambda \frac{\Gamma(ng)}{\Gamma(g)^n} \int_0^1 du_1 \cdots \int_0^1 du_{n-1} \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i^{ig-1} (1-u_i)^{g-1} (1-zu_i \cdots u_{n-1})^{\lambda_{i,i+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Expanding the binomials $(1-zu_i \cdots u_{n-1})^{\lambda_{i,i+1}}$ we get a sum of products of one-dimensional beta-integrals in each u_i and, after evaluating them, we recover the expression (5.3) for $q_\lambda(z)$, arriving thus at (5.14). \square

Remark 5.1. The eigenvalue $q_\lambda(z)$ of the Q -operator Q_z is thus proportional to the function $f_\lambda(z)$ expressed by (5.10) as a hypergeometric polynomial, hence its Baxter (or separation) equation has the form (cf, e.g., [9])

$$(5.28) \quad \left(z \frac{d}{dz} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(z \frac{d}{dz} + b_i - 1 \right) - z \prod_{i=1}^n \left(z \frac{d}{dz} + a_i \right) \right) \left[z^{-\lambda_n} (1-z)^{ng-1} f_\lambda(z) \right] = 0,$$

where $a_i = \lambda_{n,i} + 1 - (n-i+1)g$ and $b_i = a_i + g$.

6. FACTORISATION OF JACK POLYNOMIALS

In this section, the integral operator Q_z is used to construct a factorisation of Jack polynomials $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in n variables into a product of n polynomials in one variable. The general construction of the separating (factorising) operator $\mathcal{S}_n^{(\rho)}$,

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{S}_n^{(\rho)} = \rho Q_{z_1} \cdots Q_{z_n},$$

briefly described in the Introduction involves an arbitrary linear functional $\rho : \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{\mathcal{S}_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Our present task is to make the integral operator $\mathcal{S}_n^{(\rho)}$ as simple as possible by choosing $\rho = \rho_0$ in a special way. More specifically, we wish to factorise the integral operator $\mathcal{S}_n^{(\rho_0)}$ into a string of operators \mathcal{A}_k ,

$$(6.2) \quad \mathcal{S}_n^{(\rho_0)} = \rho_0 Q_{z_1} \cdots Q_{z_n} = \mathcal{A}_1 \cdots \mathcal{A}_n,$$

possessing the property of *dimension reduction* in the sense that each operator

\mathcal{A}_k acts only on k variables. Any such factorisation will be called *factorised separation chain* or \mathcal{A} -chain.

Let us choose ρ_0 to be the evaluation homomorphism that returns the value of a polynomial at the point $\mathbf{x} = (1, \dots, 1)$,

$$(6.3) \quad \rho_0 : f \mapsto \rho_0 f = f(1, \dots, 1),$$

or, equivalently, the integral operator whose kernel is the product of delta-functions,

$$(6.4) \quad \rho_0(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^n \delta(x_j - 1), \quad \rho_0 f \equiv \int d\mathbf{x} \rho_0(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}) = f(1, \dots, 1).$$

Having fixed the functional $\rho = \rho_0$, hereafter we shall omit ρ (or ρ_0) from the operator notation, writing \mathcal{S}_n to denote the corresponding separating operator. Two theorems below constitute the main result of this section and show how distinguished is the chosen ρ_0 (6.3).

Theorem 6.1. *The operator $\mathcal{S}_n = \rho_0 Q_{z_1} \cdots Q_{z_n}$ sends Jack polynomials into the product of separated polynomials $q_\lambda(z)$ defined in Section 5,*

$$(6.5) \quad \mathcal{S}_n : P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x}) \mapsto c_\lambda \prod_{k=1}^n q_\lambda(z_k),$$

the normalisation constant c_λ being given by

$$(6.6) \quad c_\lambda = P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(1, \dots, 1) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(g(j-i+1))_{\lambda_{ij}}}{(g(j-i))_{\lambda_{ij}}}.$$

Proof. Apply the chain (6.5) of operators Q_{z_k} to a Jack polynomial $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x})$ and use the fact (4.17) that $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x})$ is an eigenfunction of Q_{z_k} . Each factor Q_{z_k} produces a desired factor $q_\lambda(z_k)$. Finally, the evaluation homomorphism ρ_0 (6.3) transforms $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x})$ into the normalisation constant c_λ (6.6). For the evaluation of a Jack polynomial at $\mathbf{x} = (1, \dots, 1)$ see [35], [25, §6, (6.11')] or [28]. \square

Theorem 6.2. *The operator $\mathcal{S}_n : \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{\mathcal{S}_n} \mapsto \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}]^{\mathcal{S}_n}$, corresponding to the evaluation homomorphism (6.3), can be decomposed into the product of n operators \mathcal{A}_k ,*

$$(6.7) \quad \mathcal{S}_n = \mathcal{A}_1 \cdots \mathcal{A}_n,$$

$$(6.8) \quad \mathcal{A}_k : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_k]^{\mathcal{S}_k} \mapsto \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}]^{\mathcal{S}_{k-1}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z_k], \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{A}_1 : \mathbb{C}[x_1] \mapsto \mathbb{C}[z_1]$. The operators \mathcal{A}_k in (6.7) are assumed to act trivially (as unit operators) on the variables \mathbf{z} .

Furthermore, the operators \mathcal{A}_k act on the restricted polynomials $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(x_1, \dots, x_k, 1, \dots, 1)$ as follows:

$$(6.9) \quad \mathcal{A}_k : P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(x_1, \dots, x_k, 1, \dots, 1) \mapsto P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, 1, \dots, 1) q_\lambda(z_k).$$

The factorisation (6.7)–(6.9) possesses the property of dimension reduction

$k \mapsto k - 1$. Such factorisations have been introduced in [17] when studying the inverse problem for integrable models of the $sl(2)$ class and have been given the general name *factorised separation chains*.

Note that the formula (6.9) is consistent with Theorem 6.1. Indeed, applying the chain (6.7) of operators \mathcal{A}_k to the polynomial $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and using (6.9) we reproduce formula (6.5). The existence of a factorization of the form (6.7) is by no means trivial. One cannot simply define \mathcal{A}_k by (6.9) because the restricted Jack polynomials $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(x_1, \dots, x_k, 1, \dots, 1)$, as polynomials of k variables labelled by n -dimensional vector λ , are not linearly independent.

To prove Theorem 6.2 we shall describe a recursive procedure for constructing the operators \mathcal{A}_k based on the defining formula $\mathcal{S}_n = \rho_0 Q_{z_1} \cdots Q_{z_n}$. The idea is to pull the homomorphism ρ_0 through the sequence of Q_{z_k} 's transforming at each step Q_{z_k} into \mathcal{A}_k and producing a new homomorphism ρ_k . Let $\text{id}_{[j,k]}$ for $j \leq k$ denote the identity operator in $\mathbb{C}[x_j, \dots, x_k]^{S_{k-j+1}}$.

Proposition 6.1. *There exist a unique sequence of operators \mathcal{A}_k ,*

$$\mathcal{A}_k : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_k]^{S_k} \mapsto \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}]^{S_{k-1}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z_k], \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

and a unique sequence of homomorphisms ρ_k ,

$$\rho_k : \mathbb{C}[x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n]^{S_{n-k}} \mapsto \mathbb{C}, \quad k = 0, \dots, n$$

such that:

- (a) $\rho_0 : \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ coincides with the evaluation (6.3),
- (b) the operators \mathcal{A}_k are normalised by the condition $\mathcal{A}_k : 1 \mapsto 1$,
- (c) the following relation holds for $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$:

$$(6.10) \quad (\text{id}_{[1,k]} \otimes \rho_k) Q_{z_{k+1}} = \mathcal{A}_{k+1} (\text{id}_{[1,k+1]} \otimes \rho_{k+1}).$$

The homomorphisms ρ_k defined in this way act on polynomials $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n]^{S_{n-k}}$ by restricting their $n - k$ arguments to 1:

$$(6.11) \quad \rho_k : f(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) \mapsto f(1, \dots, 1), \quad k = 0, \dots, n - 1.$$

For $k = n$ we have simply $\rho_n = 1$.

Proof of Theorem 6.2 follows then immediately. Applying recursively formula (6.10) to $\mathcal{S}_n = \rho_0 Q_{z_1} Q_{z_2} \cdots Q_{z_n}$ we get $\mathcal{S}_n = \mathcal{A}_1 (\text{id}_{[1,1]} \otimes \rho_1) Q_{z_2} \cdots Q_{z_n}$ and so on, until we arrive at (6.7). Applying the identity (6.10) to a Jack polynomial $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x})$ and using (6.11) we get (6.9). \square

Proof of Proposition 6.1 is given by induction. For ρ_0 the formula (6.11) holds by assumption. Assuming that for some $k \in [0, n - 1]$ the operators $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_k$ and homomorphisms ρ_0, \dots, ρ_k have been already constructed, let us consider the product $(\text{id}_{[1,k]} \otimes \rho_k) Q_{z_{k+1}}$ and show that it factorises uniquely as $\mathcal{A}_{k+1} (\text{id}_{[1,k+1]} \otimes \rho_{k+1})$. Note that the operation $(\text{id}_{[1,k]} \otimes \rho_k)$ produces from a polynomial $f(\mathbf{x})$ of n variables the polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_k, 1, \dots, 1)$ of k variables. As in Section 4, we will distinguish the variables \mathbf{y} of the target space for

the operator $Q_{z_{k+1}}$ from the variables \mathbf{x} of the source space. Given a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$, we have thus to take $Q_{z_{k+1}}f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]^{S_n}$ and to set $y_{k+1} = \dots = y_n = 1$. We can analyse the resulting expression in two ways based on two descriptions of $Q_{z_{k+1}}$ given in Section 4: the one in terms of an integral operator and a pure algebraic one.

Let us apply first the combinatorial prescription for evaluating $Q_{z_{k+1}}$ on the elementary symmetric polynomials $e_j(\mathbf{x})$ given in Remark 4.1, formulae (4.10) and (4.11). Recalling formula (4.7), we introduce the generating functions $w_x(t) = \prod_{j=1}^n (t - x_j)$ for $e_j(\mathbf{x})$ and, respectively, $w_y(t) = \prod_{j=1}^n (t - y_j)$ for $e_j(\mathbf{y})$. Consider now the relation (4.8),

$$(6.12) \quad w_x(t) = w_y(t) - \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i y_i \frac{w_y(t)}{t - y_i},$$

and set $y_{k+1} = \dots = y_n = 1$. The right hand side of (6.12) is then divisible by $(t - 1)^{n-k-1}$, so is the left hand side, therefore $n - k - 1$ of the variables x_j are forced to take value 1. Due to the symmetry, the remaining free variables can be chosen as x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} . Note that from the above the statement of the Proposition follows immediately: we have effectively transformed the operator expression $(\text{id}_{[1,k]} \otimes \rho_k) Q_{z_{k+1}}$ to the desired form $\mathcal{A}_{k+1}(\text{id}_{[1,k+1]} \otimes \rho_{k+1})$ with some operator \mathcal{A}_{k+1} acting on the variables x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} .

We can go even further and find how \mathcal{A}_{k+1} acts on polynomials in x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} . Let

$$w_x(t) = (t - 1)^{n-k-1} \tilde{w}_x(t), \quad \tilde{w}_x(t) \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} (t - x_j)$$

and, respectively,

$$w_y(t) = (t - 1)^{n-k-1} \tilde{w}_y(t), \quad \tilde{w}_y(t) \equiv \prod_{j=0}^k (t - y_j), \quad y_0 \equiv 1.$$

The relation (6.12) transforms then into

$$(6.13) \quad \tilde{w}_x(t) = \tilde{w}_y(t) - \sum_{i=0}^k \eta_i y_i \frac{\tilde{w}_y(t)}{t - y_i}, \quad \eta_0 \equiv \eta_{k+1} + \dots + \eta_n.$$

Expanding both sides of (6.13) in t we get the following prescription for calculating $\mathcal{A}_{k+1}f$:

- (1) express $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}]^{S_{k+1}}$ as a polynomial in $\{e_j(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})\}$, $j = 1, \dots, k + 1$;
- (2) substitute for $e_j(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})$ the expressions

$$(6.14) \quad e_j(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) = (1 + \eta_0 + \dots + \eta_{j-1}) y_0 \cdots y_{j-1} + \text{permutations}$$

(the distinct permutations are taken simultaneously in y_j and η_j);

(3) expand the resulting polynomial in monomials $\eta_0^{m_0} \eta_1^{m_1} \dots \eta_k^{m_k}$, $\mathbf{m} = (m_0, m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^{k+1}$;

(4) replace each monomial in η_j according to the rule

$$(6.15) \quad \eta_0^{m_0} \eta_1^{m_1} \dots \eta_k^{m_k} \mapsto \frac{(z_{k+1} - 1)^{m_0 + \dots + m_k} ((n - k)g)_{m_0}}{(ng)_{m_0 + \dots + m_k}} \prod_{j=1}^k (g)_{m_j}.$$

The last formula is obtained by expanding $(\eta_{k+1} + \dots + \eta_n)^{m_0}$ in the left hand side of (6.15), evaluating the result by formula (4.11) and using the following combinatorial identity for $s \equiv n - k$, $m \equiv m_0$:

$$(6.16) \quad \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_s = m} \frac{m!}{j_1! \dots j_s!} \prod_{\alpha=1}^s (g)_{j_\alpha} = (sg)_m,$$

which is easily proved by expanding the identity $[(1 - t)^{-g}]^s = (1 - t)^{-sg}$ in powers of t .

An alternative proof of Proposition 6.1 is based on the formula (4.2), presenting $Q_{z_{k+1}}$ as an integral operator. Let us take the expression (4.2) for $[Q_{z_{k+1}} f](\mathbf{y})$ and restrict $n - k$ of the arguments y_j to the value 1. Since $[Q_{z_{k+1}} f](\mathbf{y})$ is a symmetric polynomial, it does not matter which of y_j we choose to fix. Because of the inequalities in the definition of the domain Ω_x (3.4),

$$0 < y_1 < x_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_n < x_n,$$

the natural choice is to take the limit $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-k} \rightarrow \tilde{y}_0 \equiv 1$. The variables x_1, \dots, x_{n-k-1} are sandwiched between y 's and, therefore, forced to tend to 1 as well. As y_1, \dots, y_{n-k} tend to $y_0 \equiv 1$, the right hand side of (4.2) exhibits an uncertainty $0/0$. To resolve the uncertainty, let us set $y_j = 1 + \varepsilon v_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n - k$, and $x_j = 1 + \varepsilon u_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n - k - 1$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and u_j, v_j satisfying

$$0 < v_1 < u_1 < \dots < u_{n-k-1} < v_{n-k},$$

and take the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Let us also renumber the remaining arguments as $y_{n-k+j} = \tilde{y}_j$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, and $x_{n-k+j-1} = \tilde{x}_j$, $j = 1, \dots, k + 1$.

The components of the integrand of (4.2) are then transformed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_i &\rightarrow \hat{\xi}_i \tilde{\xi}_0, & i = 1, \dots, n - k, \\ \xi_{n-k+i} &\rightarrow \tilde{\xi}_i, & i = 1, \dots, k, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\hat{\xi}_i = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-k-1} (u_j - v_i)}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n-k} (v_j - v_i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n - k,$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_i = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k+1} (\tilde{x}_j - \tilde{y}_i)}{\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^{k+1} (\tilde{y}_j - \tilde{y}_i)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, k;$$

$$\frac{\Delta_n(\mathbf{x})}{\Delta_n(\mathbf{y})} \sim \varepsilon^{-n+k+1} \tilde{\xi}_0^{n-k-1} \frac{\Delta_{n-k-1}(\mathbf{u})}{\Delta_{n-k}(\mathbf{v})} \frac{\Delta_{k+1}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})}{\Delta_{k+1}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}})}$$

and $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_{k+1})$, $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = (\tilde{y}_0, \dots, \tilde{y}_k)$.

Since $dx_1 \cdots dx_{n-k-1} \sim \varepsilon^{n-k-1} du_1 \cdots du_{n-k-1}$, the factors ε cancel completely. Integration in the variables u_j produces a constant factor which is evaluated with the help of Proposition 7.2:

$$(6.17) \quad \frac{1}{\Delta_{n-k}(\mathbf{v})} \int_{v_1}^{v_2} du_1 \cdots \int_{v_{n-k-1}}^{v_{n-k}} du_{n-k-1} \Delta_{n-k-1}(\mathbf{u}) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-k} \xi_j^{g-1} \right] = \frac{\Gamma(g)^{n-k}}{\Gamma((n-k)g)}.$$

The expression $(\text{id}_{[1,k]} \otimes \rho_k) Q_{z_{k+1}}$ is presented in the desired form $\mathcal{A}_{k+1}(\text{id}_{[1,k+1]} \otimes \rho_{k+1})$ with the operator \mathcal{A}_{k+1} defined by the integral

$$(6.18) \quad \begin{aligned} [\mathcal{A}_{k+1}f](\tilde{\mathbf{y}}) &= \frac{\Gamma(ng)}{\Gamma((n-k)g)\Gamma(g)^k} \frac{(z_{k+1}-1)^{1-ng}}{\Delta_{k+1}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}})} \left[\prod_{j=1}^k \tilde{y}_j^{1-g} \right] \\ &\times \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathbf{x}}} d\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \Delta_{k+1}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \delta(\tilde{x}_1 \cdots \tilde{x}_{k+1} - z_{k+1} \tilde{y}_1 \cdots \tilde{y}_k) \tilde{\xi}_0^{(n-k)g-1} \left[\prod_{j=1}^k \tilde{\xi}_j^{g-1} \right] f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}), \end{aligned}$$

where the integration domain $\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathbf{x}}$ is described by the inequalities

$$0 < 1 \equiv \tilde{y}_0 < \tilde{x}_1 < \tilde{y}_1 < \dots < \tilde{y}_k < \tilde{x}_{k+1} < \infty.$$

Using the Dirichlet-Liouville integral (3.2), one can analyse the integral operator (6.18) in the same manner as the operator Q_z has been analysed in section 4. In particular, one can prove an analogue of Proposition 4.1: \mathcal{A}_{k+1} sends symmetric polynomials into symmetric polynomials (with the dimension reduced by one) and its action on the elementary symmetric polynomials e_j is described by formulae (6.14) and (6.15). \square

Notice that, for $k=0$, the formula (6.18) produces $\mathcal{A}_1 : f(x_1) \mapsto f(z_1)$, whereas setting $k=1$ in (6.9) we get

$$\mathcal{A}_1 : P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(x_1, 1, \dots, 1) \mapsto P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(1, \dots, 1) q_\lambda(z_1) = c_\lambda q_\lambda(z_1).$$

Combining these two observations together, we arrive at the following remarkable expression for the separated polynomial $q_\lambda(z)$ in terms of a restricted Jack polynomial:

$$(6.19) \quad q_\lambda(z) = c_\lambda^{-1} P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(z, 1, \dots, 1).$$

7. INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION

In this section we shall apply our Q -operator to construct an integral representation for Jack polynomials. In principle, such representation could be obtained by inverting the separating operator S_n , described in Theorem 6.2. However, the construction of S_n^{-1} is a difficult and yet unsolved problem. Fortunately, there is another, more direct approach using the operator Q_z at $z=0$.

Applying Proposition 4.3 to the case $z=0$ we conclude that Q_0 nullifies the

ideal $e_n(\mathbf{x})\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$ and therefore can be canonically defined on the factor space $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}/e_n(\mathbf{x})\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n}$. For the rest of this section we denote $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and $\mathbf{x}' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$. Let \mathcal{P} be the projection operator $\mathcal{P} : \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{S_n} \mapsto \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}']^{S_{n-1}} : p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto p(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)$. Note that $\mathcal{P} : e_i(\mathbf{x}) \mapsto e_i(\mathbf{x}')$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $\mathcal{P} : e_n(\mathbf{x}) \mapsto 0$. Since the products $e_1^{k_1} \dots e_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}$ form a basis in \mathcal{F} , the projector \mathcal{P} provides a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}']^{S_{n-1}}$. We have thus come to the following conclusion.

Proposition 7.1. *There exists a unique operator $Q'_0 : \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}']^{S_{n-1}} \mapsto \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]^{S_n}$ such that*

$$(7.1) \quad Q_0 = Q'_0 \mathcal{P}.$$

Formula (7.1) provides a direct way to constructing a Jack polynomial in n variables from a Jack polynomial in $n-1$ variables. For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ of length n define two partitions: $\lambda^b = (\lambda_{1,n}, \lambda_{2,n}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1,n}, 0)$ of length n and $\lambda^{\flat} = (\lambda_{1,n}, \lambda_{2,n}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1,n})$ of length $n-1$. Recall two important properties of Jack polynomials (see [25]): homogeneity,

$$(7.2) \quad P_{\lambda_{1,n}, \dots, \lambda_n}^{(1/g)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1 \dots x_n)^{\lambda_n} P_{\lambda_{1,n}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1,n}, 0}^{(1/g)}(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

and restriction to $x_n = 0$,

$$(7.3) \quad P_{\lambda_{1,n}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1,n}, 0}^{(1/g)}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) = P_{\lambda_{1,n}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1,n}}^{(1/g)}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}).$$

Note that the equations (7.2) and (7.3) can be abbreviated as $P_{\lambda}^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x}) = [e_n(\mathbf{x})]^{\lambda_n} P_{\lambda^b}^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x})$ and, respectively, $\mathcal{P} : P_{\lambda^b}^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x}) \mapsto P_{\lambda^{\flat}}^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x}')$.

By Theorem 4.1, the eigenvalue of Q_0 on $P_{\lambda}^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x})$ is given by $q_{\lambda}(0)$. It follows from Theorem 5.2 that, for small z , $f_{\lambda}(z) = z^{\lambda_n} + O(z^{\lambda_n+1})$ and then from Theorem 5.3 that

$$(7.4) \quad q_{\lambda}(z) = \beta_{\lambda}^{-1} z^{\lambda_n} (1 + O(z)), \quad z \rightarrow 0,$$

where β_{λ} is defined by (5.15). In particular, $q_{\lambda^b}(0) = \beta_{\lambda}^{-1}$.

Applying the operator equality (7.1) to the polynomial $P_{\lambda^b}^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x})$ and using (7.3) and $q_{\lambda^b}(0) = \beta_{\lambda}^{-1}$ we obtain $\beta_{\lambda}^{-1} P_{\lambda^b}^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x}) = [Q'_0 P_{\lambda^{\flat}}^{(1/g)}](\mathbf{x})$. Finally, we use (7.2) and arrive at the formula

$$(7.5) \quad P_{\lambda}^{(1/g)}(\mathbf{x}) = \beta_{\lambda} [e_n(\mathbf{x})]^{\lambda_n} [Q'_0 P_{\lambda^{\flat}}^{(1/g)}](\mathbf{x}),$$

expressing a Jack polynomial in n variables in terms of a Jack polynomial in $n-1$ variables.

To give the formula (7.5) more flesh, we need to realise Q_0 as an integral operator. However, formula (4.2), which we used to define Q_z , is valid only for $z > 1$, so that the case $z = 0$ requires a special consideration.

Proposition 7.2. *Given a set of real parameters $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ satisfying inequalities (3.3), define the domain $\Omega'_{\mathbf{x}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ by the inequalities*

$$(7.6) \quad \Omega'_{\mathbf{x}} = \{\mathbf{x}' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \mid 0 < y_1 < x_1 < y_2 < \dots < x_{n-1} < y_n < \infty\}.$$

Then for $\Re\alpha_i > 0$

$$(7.7) \quad \int_{\Omega'_x} d\mathbf{x}' \frac{\Delta_{n-1}(\mathbf{x}')}{\Delta_n(\mathbf{y})} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \hat{\xi}_i^{\alpha_i-1} \right] = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \cdots \Gamma(\alpha_n)}{\Gamma(|\alpha|)},$$

where $\hat{\xi}_i > 0$ are defined as

$$(7.8) \quad \hat{\xi}_i = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (x_k - y_i)}{\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^n (y_k - y_i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\xi}_i = 1.$$

The **proof** follows closely that of Theorem 3.2. Introducing functions $\hat{w}_x(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (t - x_i)$ and $w_y(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n (t - y_i)$ we construct the generating function $\hat{R}(t) = \hat{w}_x(t)/w_y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\xi}_i/(t - y_i)$ for the change of variables $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \mapsto (\hat{\xi}_1, \dots, \hat{\xi}_{n-1})$. The argument, based on studying $\hat{R}(t)$, shows that the domain Ω'_x is mapped bijectively onto V'_ξ ,

$$(7.9) \quad V'_\xi = \{\hat{\xi}' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \mid \hat{\xi}_i > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad \hat{\xi}_1 + \dots + \hat{\xi}_{n-1} < 1\}.$$

The corresponding Jacobian is given by $\det \left[\partial \hat{\xi}_j / \partial x_k \right]_{i,j=1}^n = \Delta_{n-1}(\mathbf{x}') / \Delta_n(\mathbf{y})$.

Making the change of variables $\mathbf{x}' \mapsto \hat{\xi}'$ in the integral (7.7) we come exactly to the Dirichlet-Liouville integral (3.2) with the only difference that the integration variable $\hat{\xi}_n$ is eliminated by resolving explicitly the constraint $\left| \hat{\xi}' \right| = 1$. \square

Theorem 7.1. *The integral operator \mathbb{Q} defined by*

$$(7.10) \quad [\mathbb{Q}p](\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\Gamma(n\mathfrak{g})}{\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})^n} \int_{\Omega'_x} d\mathbf{x}' \frac{\Delta_{n-1}(\mathbf{x}')}{\Delta_n(\mathbf{y})} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \hat{\xi}_i^{\mathfrak{g}-1} \right] p(\mathbf{x}')$$

sends $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}']^{S_{n-1}}$ into $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]^{S_n}$ and coincides with the operator \mathcal{Q}'_0 defined by (7.1).

Proof. Repeating almost word by word the proof of Proposition 4.1 and using formula (7.7), we find the analogue of the formula (4.5) $\forall \mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$(7.11) \quad \mathbb{Q} : \hat{\xi}^{\mathbf{k}} \mapsto \frac{(g)_{k_1} \cdots (g)_{k_n}}{(ng)_{|\mathbf{k}|}}.$$

The action of \mathbb{Q} on the polynomials $e_i(\mathbf{x}')$ is obtained then from the analogue of the formula (4.9),

$$(7.12) \quad e_i(\mathbf{x}') = \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{\xi}_j [e_{i-1}(\mathbf{y})]_{y_j=0},$$

which is obtained, in turn, by expanding the equality $\hat{w}_x(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\xi}_i w_y(t)/(t - y_i)$ in powers of t .

It now remains to identify the action of \mathbb{Q} and \mathcal{Q}'_0 on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}']^{S_{n-1}}$. Setting $x_n = 0$ in the expressions (3.6) for ξ_i and comparing the result with the expressions (7.8), we obtain

$$(7.13) \quad \mathcal{P}\xi_i = -y_i \hat{\xi}_i.$$

Setting $z = 0$ in the right hand side of (4.5) and using (7.13) we obtain exactly the right hand side of (7.11), which proves that $Q_0 = \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{P}$. \square

We can regard the formula (7.5) as an integral relation, which reduces a Jack polynomial in n variables to a Jack polynomial in $n - 1$ variables¹. Starting with $P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and having iterated the formula (7.5) $n - 1$ times, we go down to the $P_{\lambda_1}^{(1/g)}(x_1) = x_1^{\lambda_1}$ and, as a result, get an explicit integral representation for a Jack polynomial in n variables. To describe it explicitly, we introduce a triangular matrix $x_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ of variables. At the first step, the variables $x_{i,n}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are the external ones and $x_{i,n-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, are the integration variables. Then the equation (7.5) takes the following form:

$$(7.14) \quad \begin{aligned} P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(x_{1,n}, \dots, x_{n,n}) &= \frac{\Gamma(ng)}{\Gamma(g)^n} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n x_{i,n}^{\lambda_n} \right] \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{((n-i+1)g)_{\lambda_{i,n}}}{((n-i)g)_{\lambda_{i,n}}} \right] \\ &\times \int_{x_{1,n}}^{x_{2,n}} dx_{1,n-1} \cdots \int_{x_{n-1,n}}^{x_{n,n}} dx_{n-1,n-1} \\ &\times \frac{\prod_{i < j} (x_{j,n-1} - x_{i,n-1})}{\prod_{i < j} (x_{j,n} - x_{i,n})} \left[\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (x_{i,n} - x_{k,n-1})}{\prod_{k \neq i} (x_{i,n} - x_{k,n})} \right]^{g-1} \\ &\times P_{\lambda_{1,n}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1,n}}^{(1/g)}(x_{1,n-1}, \dots, x_{n-1,n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Iterating this formula, we obtain the integral representation for Jack polynomials

$$(7.15) \quad \begin{aligned} P_\lambda^{(1/g)}(x_{1,n}, \dots, x_{n,n}) &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n x_{i,n}^{\lambda_n}}{\prod_{i < j} (x_{j,n} - x_{i,n})} \left[\prod_{j=2}^n \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{((j+1-i)g)_{\lambda_{i,j}}}{((j-i)g)_{\lambda_{i,j}}} \right] \\ &\times \left(\prod_{j=n-1,1} \left(\prod_{i=1,j}^{x_{i+1,j+1}} dx_{i,j} \right) \right) \left\{ \prod_{j=2}^n \prod_{i=1}^j \left[\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (x_{i,j} - x_{k,j-1})}{\prod_{1=k \neq i}^j (x_{i,j} - x_{k,j})} \right] \right\}^{g-1} \\ &\times \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^j x_{i,j}^{\lambda_{j,j+1}} \right) \Gamma(g)^{-n(n+1)/2} \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(jg). \end{aligned}$$

It is implied in (7.15) that the integrals $\int dx_{i,j}$ are ordered corresponding to the order of indices in the products.

¹ or, vice versa, builds up the number of variables, starting from the unit function

As mentioned before, formula (7.14) first appeared in [28], where its proof was based on the fact that Jack polynomials can be obtained as a limit from the shifted Jack polynomials. One can regard the integral equation (4.17) in section 4 as the one-parameter generalisation of (7.14).

8. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Our construction of the Q -operator relies on the properties of Jack polynomials. Nevertheless, several of the obtained results are of importance for the general theory of quantum integrable models. It concerns, first of all, the *factorised separation chain*, constructed in Section 6. Similar factorisations of separating operators were already observed for other integrable models [17] and, apparently, they are manifestations of some general pattern, which is yet to be fully understood.

Notice also the intriguing role of the *restricted Jack polynomials* revealed in formulae like (6.9) or (6.19). It would be interesting to find analogous formulae for other integrable models. The properties of restricted Jack polynomials themselves deserve a further study.

Finally, we should mention another integral representation known for Jack polynomials [2].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

VBK and EKS would like to acknowledge the support from the Isaac Newton Institute (Cambridge, UK) during the programme “Symmetric Functions and Macdonald Polynomials” (2001).

VVM acknowledges the support from Australian Research Council, including support for research visits between ANU and University of Leeds. VBK is supported by EPSRC.

REFERENCES

- [1] Andrews, G. E., R. Askey and R. Roy – Special functions. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications **71**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1999).
- [2] Awata, H., Yu. Matsuo, S. Odake and J. Shiraishi – Excited states of the Calogero-Sutherland model and singular vectors of the W_N algebra, Nuclear Phys. B **449**, 347–374 (1995); Mimachi, K. and Y. Yamada – singular vectors of the Virasoro algebra in terms of Jack symmetric polynomials, Commun. Math. Phys. **174**, 447–455 (1995).
- [3] Baxter, R. J. – Partition function of the eight-vertex lattice model, Ann. Phys. **70**, 193–228 (1972); One-dimensional anisotropic Heisenberg chain, **70**, 323–337 (1972).
- [4] Baxter, R. J. – Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics, London: Academic Press, Ch 9–10 (1982).
- [5] Bazhanov, V. V., S.L. Lukyanov and A.B. Zamolodchikov – Integrable structure of conformal field theory II. Q -operator and DDV equation, Comm. Math. Phys. **190**, 247–278 (1997).
- [6] Calogero, F. – Solution of the one-dimensional N -body problems with quadratic and/or inversely quadratic pair potentials, J. Math. Phys. **12**, 419–436 (1971).
- [7] Cauchy, A. L. – Mémoire sur les fonctions alternées et sur les sommes alternées Exercices Anal. et Phys. Math. 2151–159; Oeuvres, ser. 2, vol. 12, pp. 173–182 (1841).

- [8] Derkachov, S. E. – Baxter’s Q -operator for the homogeneous XXX spin chain, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32**, 5299–5316 (1999).
- [9] Erdélyi, A., ed. – *Higher Transcendental Functions*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, V.1 (1953).
- [10] Fox, C. – The expression of hypergeometric series in terms of similar series, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) **26**, 201–210 (1927).
- [11] Frobenius, G. – Über die elliptischen Funktionen zweiter Art, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **93**, 53–68 (1882).
- [12] Jack, H. – A class of symmetric functions with a parameter, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* (A) **69**, 1–18 (1970/1971).
- [13] Jack, H. – A surface integral and symmetric functions, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* (A) **69**, 347–364. (1972)
- [14] Jack, H. – A class of polynomials in search of a definition, or the symmetric group parametrised, An Unpublished Manuscript by Henry Jack (April 1976).
- [15] James, A.T. – Zonal polynomials of the real positive definite symmetric matrices, *Ann. of Math.* **74**, 456–469 (1961).
- [16] Koornwinder, T.H. – Orthogonal polynomials in two variables which are eigenfunctions of two algebraically independent partial differential operators. I–IV, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **36**, 48–66; 357–381 (1974).
- [17] Kuznetsov, V.B. – Inverse problem for $sl(2)$ lattices, In: *Symmetry and Perturbation Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore; Proceedings of the International Conference SPT 2002, Cala Gonone, Sardinia, 19–26 May 2002 (S. Abenda, G. Gaeta, S. Walcher, eds.); pp. 136–152; Arxiv: nlin.SI/0207025 (2003).
- [18] Kuznetsov, V.B., F.W. Nijhoff and E.K. Sklyanin – Separation of variables for the Ruijsenaars system, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **189**, 855–877 (1997).
- [19] Kuznetsov, V.B., M. Salerno and E.K. Sklyanin – Quantum Bäcklund transformation for the integrable DST model, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33**, 171–189 (2000).
- [20] Kuznetsov, V.B. and E.K. Sklyanin – Separation of variables in the A_2 type Jack polynomials, *RIMS Kokyuroku*, **919**, 27–34 (1995).
- [21] Kuznetsov, V.B. and E.K. Sklyanin – Separation of variables for the A_2 Ruijsenaars model and a new integral representation for the A_2 Macdonald polynomials, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **29**, 2779–2804 (1996).
- [22] Kuznetsov, V.B. and E.K. Sklyanin – Factorization of Macdonald polynomials, In: *Symmetries and integrability of difference equations* (Canterbury, 1996), pp. 370–384, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* **255**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1999).
- [23] Kuznetsov, V.B. and E.K. Sklyanin – On Bäcklund transformations for many-body systems, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31**, 2241–2251 (1998).
- [24] Kuznetsov, V.B. and E.K. Sklyanin – Separation of variables and integral relations for special functions, *The Ramanujan J.* **3**, 5–35 (1999).
- [25] Macdonald, I.G. – *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, Second Edition (1995).
- [26] Mangazeev, V.V. – Separation of variables for the A_3 elliptic Calogero-Moser system, *J. Phys. A* **34**, 4183–4195 (2001).
- [27] Mangazeev, V.V. – The A_3 Calogero-Sutherland system: constructing a separating kernel, *Phys. Atomic Nuclei*, **65**, 1057–1062 (2002).
- [28] Okounkov, A. and G. Olshanski – Shifted Jack polynomials, binomial formula, and applications, *Math. Res. Letters*, **4**, 69–78 (1997).
- [29] Olshanetsky, M.A. and A.M. Perelomov – Quantum integrable systems related to Lie algebras, *Phys. Rep.* **94**, 313–404 (1983).
- [30] Sekiguchi, J. – Zonal spherical functions on some symmetric spaces, *Proceedings of the Oji Seminar on Algebraic Analysis and the RIMS Symposium on Algebraic Analysis* (Kyoto Univ., Kyoto, 1976). *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci* **12**, 455–459 (1976/77).

- [31] Pasquier, V. and M. Gaudin – The periodic Toda chain and a matrix generalization of the Bessel function recursion relations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **25**, 5243–5252 (1992).
- [32] Pronko, G.P. – On Baxter’s Q -operator for the XXX spin chain, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **212**, 687–701 (2000); On Baxter’s Q -operators for the Toda chain, *J. Phys. A* **33**, 8251–8266 (2000).
- [33] Sklyanin, E.K. – Separation of variables. New trends. *Progr. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **118**, 35–60 (1995).
- [34] Sklyanin, E.K. – Bäcklund transformations and Baxter’s Q -operator, In: *Integrable systems: from classical to quantum* (Montréal, QC, 1999), 227–250, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes **26**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2000).
- [35] Stanley, R.P. – Some combinatorial properties of Jack symmetric functions, *Adv. Math.* **77**, 76–115 (1989).
- [36] Sutherland, B. – Quantum many-body problem in one dimension, I, II, *J. Math. Phys.* **12**, 246–250, 251–256 (1971).

(Received June 2003)