

## Continuous Functions That Are Locally Constant on Dense Sets

MARY ELLEN RUDIN AND WALTER RUDIN

*University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin 53706*

*Communicated by D. Sarason*

Received August 8, 1994

A compact Hausdorff space  $T$  is constructed on which the constant functions are the only real-valued continuous ones that are locally constant on a dense subset of  $T$ . © 1995 Academic Press, Inc.

If  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space and  $C(X)$  is the space of all real-valued continuous functions on  $X$ , we associate to each  $f \in C(X)$  the set  $\Omega(f)$  consisting of those  $x \in X$  that have neighborhoods on which  $f$  is constant. This is the (obviously open) set on which  $f$  is locally constant.

Following the notation used in [1] and [2], we define

$$E_0(X) = \{f \in C(X) : \Omega(f) \text{ is dense in } X\}.$$

At a recent conference on Function Spaces, at the University of Southern Illinois, Alain Bernard and Stuart Sidney gave lectures in which  $E_0(X)$  played a role. When  $X$  is compact, metric, and has no isolated points, then  $E_0(X)$  is a first category subspace of  $C(X)$  (with respect to the sup-norm topology) which nevertheless has some of the properties (such as Banach–Steinhaus and closed graph) which usually occur in spaces of the second category. The question whether  $E_0(I)$  (where  $I = [0, 1]$ ) can operate on some function space was also discussed.

In his lecture, Sidney raised

QUESTION 1. *Does  $E_0(X)$  always separate points on  $X$ ?*

One may of course also ask

QUESTION 2. *Does  $E_0(X)$  always contain a nonconstant function?*

In the present paper we construct two spaces,  $S$  and  $T$ , which show that the answer is *no* in both cases. Even though it would of course be enough

to do this for Question 2, it seems best to start with  $S$ . That space is much easier to describe and much easier to visualize than  $T$ . We have arranged the proofs, as far as possible, to follow the same pattern in the two examples. The main difference is that  $S$  has just two “layers”, whereas  $T$  has infinitely many.

In order to set the stage, we begin with some (very easy) positive results. The following notations will be used throughout this paper:

$I = [0, 1]$  is the closed unit interval.

The letter  $c$  denotes the cardinality of the continuum.

$\mathcal{K}$  is the collection of all Cantor sets  $K \subset I$ .

**PROPOSITION.**  $E_0(X)$  separates points on  $X$ , hence is dense in  $C(X)$ , if

- (i)  $X$  is totally disconnected, or
  - (ii) the set of isolated points of  $X$  is dense in  $X$ , or
  - (iii) the set of all  $p \in X$  at which  $X$  is locally connected is dense in  $X$ ,
- or
- (iv)  $X$  does not contain  $c$  pairwise disjoint open sets.

Of these, (i) and (ii) are totally trivial. In fact,  $E_0(X) = C(X)$  in case (ii). (iv) covers all compact metric spaces, as well as all compact groups, of arbitrary cardinality.

The proofs of (iii) and (iv) use the well-known Cantor functions  $\varphi_K$  that are associated to Cantor sets  $K \subset I$ . These are continuous on  $I$ , non-decreasing, constant on every component of  $I \setminus K$ , but nonconstant on every open interval that intersects  $K$ . Clearly,  $\Omega(\varphi_K) = I \setminus K$ , and  $E_0(I)$  separates points on  $I$ .

To prove (iii) and (iv), pick  $p, q \in X, p \neq q$ , and choose  $h \in C(X)$  so that  $h(p) = 0, h(q) = 1, h(X) \subset I$ .

For (iii), pick some  $K$ , put  $f = \varphi_K \circ h$ , let  $x_0$  be a point at which  $X$  is locally connected, and let  $V$  be a connected neighborhood of  $x_0$ . Either  $h$  is constant on  $V$ , in which case  $V \subset \Omega(f)$ , or  $h(V)$  contains an open interval  $J \subset I \setminus K$ , in which case  $f$  is constant on the open set  $h^{-1}(J) \subset V$ . Thus  $\Omega(f)$  intersects every neighborhood of  $x_0$ , so that  $x_0$  is a limit point of  $\Omega(f)$ .

For (iv), let  $H \subset I$  be a Cantor set, observe that  $H$  is homeomorphic to  $H \times H$  and that  $H$  is therefore the union of  $c$  pairwise disjoint Cantor sets  $K$ . For one of these,  $h^{-1}(K)$  has empty interior, hence  $h^{-1}(I \setminus K)$  is dense in  $X$ . With that  $K$ , define  $f = \varphi_K \circ h$ , and observe that  $\Omega(f) \supset h^{-1}(I \setminus K)$ .

Finally,  $f(p) \neq f(q)$  in (iii) and (iv) because  $\varphi_K(0) \neq \varphi_K(1)$ .

Our examples will show that these sufficient conditions cannot be weakened very much: The space  $S$  has just one nontrivial connected component, namely  $I$ , the rest is totally disconnected. Neither  $S$  nor  $T$  contain more than  $c$  pairwise disjoint open sets. To give a negative answer to Question 2,  $T$  must of course be connected; it is, in fact, path-connected.

As regards (iii), [2] contains this, but with a stronger hypothesis, namely:  $X$  should contain a dense open set which is locally connected. The proof of (iii) shows, in function-algebraic terminology, that  $E_0(I)$  "operates" from  $C(X)$  to  $E_0(X)$ .

EXAMPLE 1. There is a compact Hausdorff space  $S$  on which  $E_0(S)$  does not separate points.

1.1. *Description of  $S$ .* Let  $A$  be an uncountable index set, of cardinality  $\leq c$ . The points of  $S$  are:

- (a) all  $x \in I$ .
- (b) all  $(x, K, \alpha)$  with  $x \in K \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $\alpha \in A$ .

To describe the topology of  $S$ , put

$$V(x, \delta) = \{y \in I : |y - x| < \delta\},$$

for  $x \in I, \delta > 0$ . Use these intervals to help define basic open neighborhoods of points in  $S$ :

(a) If  $x \in I$ , then for every  $\delta > 0$  and every finite set  $F$  of pairs  $(K, \alpha)$ , with  $K \in \mathcal{K}, \alpha \in A$ , put

$$B_{\delta, F}(x) = V(x, \delta) \cup \{(y, K, \alpha) : y \in K \cap V(x, \delta) \text{ and } (K, \alpha) \notin F\}.$$

(b) If  $p = (x, K, \alpha)$ , then for every  $\delta > 0$

$$B_\delta(p) = \{(y, K, \alpha) : y \in K \cap V(x, \delta)\}.$$

We regard  $(K, \alpha)$  as a subset of  $S$ , namely

$$(K, \alpha) = \{(x, K, \alpha) : x \in K\}.$$

1.2. *Topological properties of  $S$ .* The above named sets  $B_{\delta, F}(x)$  and  $B_\delta(p)$  form a base for a topology  $\tau$  on  $X$ . It is easy to see that  $\tau$  has the following properties:

- (i) The restriction of  $\tau$  to  $I$  is the standard topology of  $I$ .
- (ii) Each  $(K, \alpha)$  is homeomorphic to  $K$ , hence is compact and also open in  $S$ .

(iii) If  $x \in I$  and  $(K, \alpha) \in \mathcal{K} \times A$  then  $B_{\delta, F}(x)$  intersects  $(K, \alpha)$  only if  $(K, \alpha) \notin F$ .

Hence  $x$  has a neighborhood which fails to intersect  $(K, \alpha)$ . This is all that is needed to see that  $\tau$  is Hausdorff.

(iv)  $\tau$  is compact.

To prove this, let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an open cover of  $S$ . The compactness of  $I$  shows that there are finitely many neighborhoods  $B_{\delta, F}(x)$ , each lying in some member of  $\mathcal{G}$ , whose union covers  $I$  and therefore covers all but finitely many of the compact sets  $(K, \alpha)$ . This proves (iv).

We now fix some  $f \in E_0(S)$  and some  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , and prove:

1.3. *There is an open interval  $J$  which intersects  $K$ , such that  $f$  is constant on  $K \cap J$ . For every  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $(K, \alpha)$  is open in  $S$ , and  $\Omega(f)$  is open and dense in  $S$ . Every  $(K, \alpha)$  contains therefore an open set on which  $f$  is constant. Thus there are real numbers  $r(\alpha)$  and open intervals  $J(\alpha)$ , with rational endpoints, which intersect  $K$ , such that*

$$f(x, K, \alpha) = r(\alpha) \quad (1)$$

for all  $x \in K \cap J(\alpha)$  and all  $\alpha \in A$ .

Since the set of all  $J(\alpha)$ 's is countable and  $A$  is uncountable, there is an open interval  $J$  such that

$$A_0 = \{\alpha \in A : J(\alpha) = J\} \quad (2)$$

is uncountable.

Hence (1) holds now for all  $x \in K \cap J$  and all  $\alpha \in A_0$ .

Since  $A_0$  is uncountable, there is a real number  $r$  such that the sets

$$A_0(\varepsilon) = \{\alpha \in A_0 : |r(\alpha) - r| < \varepsilon\} \quad (3)$$

are infinite, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Now pick  $x \in K \cap J$  and some  $B_{\delta, F}(x)$  with  $V(x, \delta) \subset J$ , and pick  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $A_0(\varepsilon)$  is infinite,  $B_{\delta, F}(x)$  intersects some (in fact, infinitely many) sets  $(K, \alpha)$  with  $\alpha \in A_0(\varepsilon)$ , in points  $p$  at which  $|f(p) - r| < \varepsilon$ .

In other words, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  every neighborhood of  $x$  contains points  $p$  at which  $|f(p) - r| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ , it follows that  $f(x) = r$ .

Since  $r$  was chosen before  $x$ , we conclude that

$$f(x) = r \quad \text{for every } x \in K \cap J. \quad (4)$$

This proves (1.3).

1.4. If  $g \in C(I)$  is not constant then  $g$  is one-to-one on some  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ . Assume, without loss of generality, that  $g(a) = 0, g(b) = 1, g([a, b]) = I$ , for some  $a < b$ . If

$$\varphi(t) = \max\{x \in [a, b]: g(x) = t\}$$

for  $t \in I$ , then  $\varphi: I \rightarrow [a, b]$  is strictly increasing, and is continuous on a set  $D$  such that  $I \setminus D$  is at most countable. Hence  $D$  contains some  $H \in \mathcal{K}$ , and  $K = \varphi(H)$  has the desired property.

1.5. Every  $f \in E_0(S)$  is constant on  $I$ . This is an immediate consequence of 1.3 and 1.4.

Note, If  $p$  and  $q$  are distinct points of  $S$ , and if at least one of them is not in  $I$ , then some  $f \in E_0(S)$  has  $f(p) \neq f(q)$ . The points of  $I$  are thus the only ones that are not separated by  $E_0(S)$ .

EXAMPLE 2. There is a compact Hausdorff space  $T$  on which the constant functions are the only members of  $E_0(T)$ .

2.1. *Description of  $T$ .* Let  $A$  be an uncountable index set, of cardinality  $\leq c$ . As usual,  $\mathbb{N}$  will denote the set of all positive integers. The letters  $H$  and  $K$  will denote nonempty compact subsets of a cube  $I^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_n$  denotes the set of all sequences  $(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n)$  such that

- (i)  $K_1 \in \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1$
- (ii)  $K_i \subset I^i$  for all  $i \leq n$
- (iii)  $K_{i+1} \subset K_i \times (0, 1]$  for all  $i < n$ , and
- (iv) the projection into  $K_i$  of any nonempty open subset of  $K_{i+1}$  contains a nonempty open subset of  $K_i$ .

The points of  $T$  are

- (a) finite strings of the form

$$(x_1, K_1, \alpha_1, x_2, K_2, \alpha_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, K_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}, x_n)$$

and

- (b) infinite strings of the form

$$(x_1, K_1, \alpha_1, x_2, K_2, \alpha_2, \dots)$$

subject to the following conditions:

When  $n = 1$ , (a) reduces to the singleton  $x_1 \in I$ .

When  $n > 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\begin{cases} \bar{K} = (K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{K}_{n-1} \\ \bar{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in K_{n-1} \times (0, 1] \\ \bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in A^{n-1}. \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

The strings in (b) have to satisfy (\*) for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For brevity, we shall write

$$(\bar{x}, \bar{K}, \bar{\alpha})_n \quad \text{and} \quad (\bar{x}, \bar{K}, \bar{\alpha})_\infty$$

in place of the strings in (a) and (b). Whenever these symbols appear, it will be understood that (\*) holds.

If  $1 \leq n \leq \infty$ ,  $T_n$  is the set of all  $(\bar{x}, \bar{K}, \bar{\alpha})_n$ . Thus

$$T = T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots \cup T_\infty.$$

In order to describe basic open neighborhoods of points in  $T$  we need one further bit of notation:

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \{(K, \alpha) : K \subset I^n, \alpha \in A\}.$$

(a) If  $p = (\bar{x}, \bar{K}, \bar{\alpha})_n$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then for every  $\delta > 0$  and every finite set  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$ ,  $B_{\delta, F}(p)$  is the set of all  $(\bar{y}, \bar{H}, \bar{\beta})_m$  such that  $n \leq m \leq \infty$ ,

$$(H_i, \beta_i) = (K_i, \alpha_i) \quad \text{for all } i < n, \quad |y_i - x_i| < \delta \quad \text{for all } i \leq n,$$

and, when  $m > n$ , either  $y_{n+1} < \delta$  or  $(K_n, \alpha_n) \notin F$ .

Note that every neighborhood of every point of  $T_n$  intersects not only  $T_n$ , but also  $T_{n+1}, T_{n+2}, \dots, T_\infty$ .

(b) If  $p = (\bar{x}, \bar{K}, \bar{\alpha})_\infty$ , then for every  $\delta > 0$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $B_{\delta, n}(p)$  is the set of all  $(\bar{y}, \bar{H}, \bar{\beta})_m$  such that  $n < m \leq \infty$  and, for all  $i \leq n$ ,

$$(H_i, \beta_i) = (K_i, \alpha_i) \quad \text{and} \quad |y_i - x_i| < \delta.$$

2.2. *Topological properties of T.* The sets  $B_{\delta, F}(p)$  and  $B_{\delta, n}(p)$  form a base for a topology  $\tau$  on  $T$  which has the following properties.

(i)  $T_1$  is homeomorphic to  $I$ .

(ii) If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p = (\bar{x}, \bar{K}, \bar{\alpha})_{n+1}$  then the set of all  $(\bar{y}, \bar{K}, \bar{\alpha})_{n+1}$  is homeomorphic to  $K_n \times (0, 1]$ .

(iii)  $\tau$  is a Hausdorff topology. This is proved as in Example 1, except that one has to consider a number of cases.

(iv)  $\tau$  is compact.

This is harder than it was in Example 1. Let

$$Y_n = T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots \cup T_n.$$

Define  $\pi_n: Y_{n+1} \rightarrow Y_n$  as follows. If  $q \in Y_n$  then  $\pi_n(q) = q$ . If  $q \in T_{n+1}$  then  $\pi_n(q)$  is obtained from

$$q = (x_1, K_1, \alpha_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}, x_n, K_n, \alpha_n, x_{n+1})$$

by dropping the last three entries. Note that  $\pi_n$  is continuous and that  $\pi_n(T_{n+1}) = T_n$ .

The way in which neighborhoods of points in  $T_n$  are defined shows that  $T$  is homeomorphic to the inverse limit of the  $Y_n$ 's, with the  $\pi_n$ 's as bonding maps. It suffices therefore to prove that each  $Y_n$  is compact.

Since  $Y_1 = T_1$ , 2.2(i) shows that  $Y_1$  is compact. Suppose  $n \geq 1$  and  $Y_n$  is compact. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an open cover of  $Y_{n+1}$ . There is then a finite set  $P \subset Y_n$  and neighborhoods  $B_p = B_{\delta, F}(p)$  of all points  $p \in P$  (here  $\delta = \delta(p)$ ,  $F = F(p)$ ) such that each  $B_p$  lies in some member of  $\mathcal{G}$  and their union covers  $Y_n$ .

Suppose  $q = (\bar{y}, \bar{H}, \bar{\beta})_{n+1} \in Y_{n+1} \setminus \bigcup B_p$ . Then there is a  $p \in P$  such that  $\pi_n(q) \in B_p$ . By the definition of  $B_{\delta, F}(p)$ , if this  $p$  is in  $T_m$  for some  $m < n$ , then  $q \in B_{\delta, F}(p)$ . So  $p = (\bar{x}, \bar{K}, \bar{\alpha})_n \in T_n$ . Note that  $(H_i, \beta_i) = (K_i, \alpha_i)$  for all  $i < n$  (since  $\pi_n(q) \in B_p$ ) and that  $(H_n, \beta_n) \in F(p)$  because  $q \notin B_p$ . For the same reason,  $y_{n+1} \geq \delta(p)$ . Thus  $q$  lies in the set

$$W_p(\bar{H}, \bar{\beta}) = \{(\bar{z}, \bar{H}, \bar{\beta})_{n+1} : z_{n+1} \geq \delta(p)\}$$

which, by 2.2 (ii), is homeomorphic to  $H_n \times [\delta_p, 1]$  and is thus compact.

Since  $P$  is finite and each  $F(p)$  is finite, there are only finitely many possibilities for these  $W$ 's. Their union is thus compact, and it covers  $Y_{n+1} \setminus \bigcup B_p$ .

(v) Suppose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p = (\bar{x}, \bar{K}, \bar{\alpha})_{n+1}$ . For  $0 < t \leq 1$ , let  $p_t = (\bar{z}, \bar{K}, \bar{\alpha})_{n+1}$ , where  $z_i = x_i$  for all  $i \leq n$  and  $z_{n+1} = t$ , and let  $p_0 = \pi_n(p)$ . Then  $\{p_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$  is homeomorphic to  $I$ .

Every point of  $T_{n+1}$  thus lies on a half-open interval (also in  $T_{n+1}$ ) which is glued to  $T_n$  by its missing end-point, which lies in  $T_n$ . This makes  $T$  path-connected.

2.3. We now fix  $(K_1, \dots, K_n) \in \mathcal{K}_n$ ,  $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in A^{n-1}$ , and put  $\bar{K} = (K_1, \dots, K_{n-1})$  as in 2.1.

*Claim.* If  $f \in C(T)$  and  $\Omega(f)$  is dense in  $T$ , then there is a nonempty open set  $V$  in  $K_n$  such that  $f$  is constant on  $\{(\bar{x}, \bar{K}, \bar{\alpha})_n : \bar{x} \in V\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a countable base for  $K_n$ . For every  $\alpha \in A$ , let  $W_\alpha$  be the set of all points  $(\bar{w}, \bar{H}, \bar{\beta})_m$  with  $n < m \leq \infty$  that satisfy

$$H_i = K_i \quad \text{for all } i \leq n, \quad \beta_i = \alpha_i \quad \text{for all } i < n,$$

and  $\beta_n = \alpha$ .

Then  $W_\alpha$  is open, and since  $\Omega(f)$  is dense in  $T$ ,  $W_\alpha \cap \Omega(f)$  is a non-empty open set. Since  $T_\alpha$  has empty interior, there is an  $m$ ,  $n < m < \infty$ , a point  $q = (\bar{w}, \bar{H}, \bar{\beta})_m$  in  $W_\alpha \cap \Omega(f) \cap T_m$  and a neighborhood  $B_{\delta, F}(q)$  on which  $f$  is constant. Say  $f = r(\alpha)$  on this neighborhood.

The intersection of  $B_{\delta, F}(q)$  with  $T_m$  is the set

$$Z_\alpha = \{(\bar{z}, \bar{H}, \bar{\beta})_m : |z_i - w_i| < \delta \text{ for all } i \leq m\}.$$

If

$$U_\alpha = \{\bar{u} \in H_{m-1} : |z_i - w_i| < \delta \text{ for all } i < m\}$$

then  $U_\alpha$  is a nonempty open set in  $H_{m-1}$ . Since  $(H_1, \dots, H_{m-1}) \in \mathcal{K}_{m-1}$ ,  $n \leq m-1$ , and  $H_n = K_n$ , the projection of  $U_\alpha$  into  $K_n$  contains a nonempty  $V_\alpha \in \mathcal{V}$  (see 2.1 (iv)). So:

If  $\bar{x} \in V_\alpha$ ,  $p = (\bar{x}, \bar{K}, \bar{\alpha})_n$ , and  $B_{\delta, F}(p)$  is one of  $p$ 's basic neighborhoods, with  $(K_n, \alpha) \notin F$ , then  $B_{\delta, F}(p)$  intersects  $Z_\alpha$  in a point  $p'$  at which  $f(p') = r(\alpha)$ . (\*\*)

Since  $\mathcal{V}$  is countable and  $A$  is uncountable, there is a  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  that the set

$$A_0 = \{\alpha \in A : V_\alpha = V\}$$

is uncountable, and there is a real number  $r$  such that the sets

$$A_0(\varepsilon) = \{\alpha \in A_0 : |r(\alpha) - r| < \varepsilon\}$$

are infinite, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

If  $\bar{x} \in V$ ,  $p = (\bar{x}, \bar{K}, \bar{\alpha})_n$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the fact that  $A_0(\varepsilon)$  is infinite shows now, by (\*\*), that every neighborhood of  $p$  contains points  $p'$  at which  $|f(p') - r| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $f$  is continuous at  $p$ , it follows that  $f(p) = r$ . This proves the claim.

2.4. We fix  $\bar{K} = (K_1, \dots, K_{n-1})$  and  $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$  as in 2.3, and claim:

If  $g \in C(T)$ ,  $g$  is not constant, and  $n$  is the smallest integer such that  $g$  is not constant on  $T_n$ , then there exists a nonempty  $K_n \subset K_{n-1} \times (0, 1]$  such that

- (a)  $(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, K_n) \in \mathcal{K}_n$ , and
- (b) if  $V$  is a nonempty open subset of  $K_n$  then  $g$  is not constant on  $\{(\bar{x}, \bar{K}, \alpha)_n : \bar{x} \in V\}$ .



Note first that if  $g$  is not constant on  $T$ , then (because  $T_\mathcal{J}$  has empty interior)  $g$  fails to be constant on some  $T_n$ , and hence there *is* a smallest  $n$  for which this is true.

If  $n = 1$ , we refer to 1.4.

Suppose  $n > 1$ , and, without loss of generality,  $g = 0$  on  $T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_{n-1}$ . There exists then a point  $p \in T_n$  at which  $g(p) \neq 0$ . In view of 2.2 (v), there is a closed interval  $I_0 \subset (0, 1]$  such that  $g$  is not constant on  $\{p_t; t \in I_0\}$ , because  $g(p_0) = 0$ . Another application of 1.4 gives us a Cantor set  $C$  in  $\{p_t; t \in I_0\}$  such that  $g$  is one-to-one on  $C$ .

Associate to every open set  $J \subset I_0$  the closed set  $L(J)$  of all  $\bar{z} \in K_{n-1}$  for which  $g$  is constant on the set

$$\{((\bar{z}, t), \bar{K}, \bar{\alpha})_n : t \in J\}.$$

(The constant depends on  $\bar{z}$  and  $J$ .)

Let  $K_n$  be  $K_{n-1} \times I_0$ , minus the union of all sets of the form

$$(\text{int } L(J)) \times J.$$

These are open, hence  $K_n$  is compact, and  $K_n \neq \emptyset$  because  $C \subset K_n$ . Our choice of  $L(J)$  makes (b) clear.

To check (a) we show that every point of  $K_n$  has a neighborhood in  $K_n$  whose projection into  $K_{n-1}$  has nonempty interior.

So fix  $\bar{y} \in K_n$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $J_0 = (y_n - \varepsilon, y_n + \varepsilon) \cap I_0$ , and put

$$U = \{\bar{u} \in K_{n-1} : |u_i - y_i| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } i < n\}.$$

Then  $(U \times J_0) \cap K_n$  is an open neighborhood of  $\bar{y}$  in  $K_n$ .

Since  $\bar{y} \in K_n$ ,  $V = U \setminus L(J_0) \neq \emptyset$ , and  $V$  is open.

If  $\bar{v} \in V$  then  $g$  is not constant on  $\{\bar{v}\} \times J_0$ , hence (using 1.4 one more time) there is a Cantor set  $C(\bar{v}) \subset \{\bar{v}\} \times J_0$  on which  $g$  is one-to-one. So  $C(\bar{v})$  is in  $K_n$ , hence  $C(\bar{v}) \subset (U \times J_0) \cap K_n$ . Since  $C(\bar{v})$  projects to  $\{\bar{v}\}$ , it follows that  $(U \times J_0) \cap K_n$  projects on a set containing  $V$ .

2.5. *If  $f \in E_0(T)$  then  $f$  is constant.* This is an immediate consequence of 2.3 and 2.4.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. BERNARD, Une fonction non Lipschitzienne peut-elle opérer sur un espace de Banach non trivial? *J. Funct. Anal.* **122** (1994), 451–477.
2. A. BERNARD AND S. J. SIDNEY, Banach like normed linear spaces, preprint.