

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA 68, 453-467 (1981)

On the Structure of the Steinberg Group  $\text{St}(A)$ 

R. W. SHARPE\*

*Department of Mathematics, Scarborough College,  
University of Toronto, West Hill, Ontario, Canada*

*Communicated by Walter Feit*

Received February 27, 1980

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Following [6], Milnor defined a group  $\text{St}(A)$  in [4], where  $A$  is an arbitrary associative ring with unit. In this paper, we give a normal form for elements of  $\text{St}(A)$ . This normal form, a cousin of the Bruhat decomposition, was originally inspired by the geometry of pseudo-isotopy theory. However, the present treatment is purely algebraic, and can be regarded as an extension of the idea embodied in the Whitehead identity (cf. [7, p. 5] or [1, p. 226]).

Let  $L$  and  $U$  be the subgroups of  $\text{St}(A)$  corresponding to the lower and upper triangular matrices, with 1's down the diagonal, and let  $P$  be the subgroup corresponding to the permutation matrices (cf. Section 2 for precise definitions). Our first main result is the:

**DECOMPOSITION THEOREM FOR  $\text{St}(A)$ .**  $\text{St}(A) = LPLU$ .

A proof of this is given in Section 3. We announced this theorem, without proof, in [3, p. 248]. It is interesting to note that there is in general no unstable analogue of Theorem 3.4 in any dimension. Indeed any  $n \times n$  matrix of the form given, with  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ , has one of the entries of the first row congruent to  $\pm 1$  modulo the ideal generated by the previous entries in the first row. But  $E(n, \mathbb{Z})$  contains elements not satisfying this for every  $n > 1$ .

Theorem 3.4 does not address the question of the uniqueness of the decomposition of elements in the form indicated. Just as in the case of the Bruhat decomposition (cf. [2, p. 28]), our decomposition is not unique. For example, if  $l' = p^{-1}lp \in L$ , where  $l \in L$ ,  $p \in P$ , then  $lp = pl'$  shows the non-uniqueness. This is the first of three kinds of relations  $R_1, R_2, R_3$  among normal forms, all in the same spirit, which are described in Section 4. Our second main result (Theorem 4.3) is the:

\* This work was supported in part by NSERC Grant A4621.

**STRUCTURE THEOREM FOR  $St(A)$ .** *The decomposition of elements of  $St(A)$  given by the decomposition theorem is unique, up to the changes generated in a certain way by the relations  $R_1, R_2,$  and  $R_3$ .*

The proof of this goes farther, and gives the action of left multiplication of  $St(A)$  on the set of normal forms; thus one can write down a closed formula for the normal form of the product of two normal forms.

In Section 8 we give some extensions, in certain cases, of the structure theorem to  $E(A)$  and  $GL(A)$ .

Finally, we remark that in [5] we gave an analogous result for the “non-hyperbolic part” of the Unitary Steinberg group. The results of this paper can be used to complete the description in the Unitary case.

## 2. THE MATRIX NOTATION FOR ELEMENTS OF $St(A)$

The following standard notation agrees with that of [4].

- $A$  an arbitrary ring with identity 1.
- $E(A)$  the subgroup of  $GL(A)$  generated by the elementary matrices  $e_{ij}^\lambda, \lambda \in A, i, j$  distinct positive integers.
- $St(A)$  the Steinberg group, generated by symbols  $x_{ij}^\lambda$  subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{ij}^\lambda x_{ij}^\mu &= x_{ij}^{\lambda+\mu}, \\
 [x_{ij}^\lambda, x_{kl}^\mu] &= 1 \quad \text{if } i \neq l, j \neq k \\
 &= x_{il}^{\lambda\mu} \quad \text{if } i \neq l, j = k.
 \end{aligned}$$

- $\phi: St(A) \rightarrow E(A)$  the homomorphism sending  $x_{ij}^\lambda \mapsto e_{ij}^\lambda$ .
- $L$  the subgroup of  $St(A)$  generated by  $x_{ij}^\lambda, \lambda \in A, i > j$ .
- $U$  the subgroup of  $St(A)$  generated by  $x_{ij}^\lambda, \lambda \in A, i < j$ .
- $P$  the subgroup of  $St(A)$  generated by the elements

$$w_{ij} = x_{ij}^1 x_{ji}^{-1} x_{ij}^1.$$

The corresponding unstable notions are denoted by  $E(n, A), St(n, A), \phi, L_n, U_n, P_n$ . Moreover 1, or sometimes  $1_n$  if we wish to emphasize the dimension, will denote the identity in any of these groups.

We shall now discuss the “matrix-like” notation for elements of  $St(A)$  which we shall use in the sequel. Roughly, the idea is that certain elements of  $St(A)$  are canonically determined by their images in  $E(A)$ , and hence may be denoted by matrices. We develop this idea into a modest calculus, using square brackets for matrices denoting elements of  $St(A)$  and round brackets for ordinary matrices. This device, and the lemmas given without proof

below, appear in [5, Sect. 1]. We include them here for the convenience of the reader.

LEMMA 2.1.  $\phi$  induces isomorphisms  $L \simeq \phi L$  and  $U \simeq \phi U$ .

This lemma enables us to determine elements of  $L$  and  $U$  by their matrix images in  $E(A)$ . We can extend this mildly by using:

LEMMA 2.2. The map  $L \times U \rightarrow LU$  sending  $(\lambda, \mu) \mapsto \lambda\mu$  is a bijection.

*Proof.*  $\lambda\mu = \lambda'\mu'$  implies  $\lambda'^{-1}\lambda = \mu'\mu^{-1} \in L \cap U = 1$  by Lemma 2.1. Thus  $\lambda = \lambda'$  and  $\mu = \mu'$ .

Lemma 2.2 allows us to determine elements of  $LU$  by their matrix images in  $E(A)$ .

Since every automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\text{St}(A)$  preserves the center, which is  $\ker \phi$ , it induces an automorphism  $\bar{\alpha}$  of  $E(A)$ . We have:

LEMMA 2.3. The homomorphism  $\text{Aut St}(A) \rightarrow \text{Aut } E(A)$  sending  $\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}$  is an isomorphism.

Lemma 2.3 allows us to make sense of expressions of the form  $x^a = a^{-1}xa$ , where  $a \in GL(A)$ ,  $x \in \text{St}(A)$ , by regarding it as the image of  $x$  under the automorphism corresponding to conjugation by  $a$ .

Let us say that  $x \in \text{St}(A)$  has dimension  $n$  if it lies in the image of  $\text{St}(n, A)$ . (Perhaps we should say "dimension at most  $n$ .") Then if  $x, y \in \text{St}(A)$ , with  $x$  of dimension  $n$ , we denote by  $x \oplus y$ , or the "matrix"  $\begin{bmatrix} x & \\ & y \end{bmatrix}$ , the expression  $xy^\pi$ , where  $\pi \in GL(A)$  is any permutation matrix sending  $n + i \mapsto i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \dim y$ . This depends on the choice of  $\dim x$  but not on  $\dim y$  or  $\pi$  as may be seen from the following:

LEMMA 2.4. If  $x \in \text{St}(A)$  with  $\phi(x) \in E(n, A)$ , then  $axa^{-1} = x$  for  $a = \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & \\ & b \end{pmatrix} \in GL(A)$ .

Next we give several commutation relations for  $\text{St}(A)$ .

LEMMA 2.5.

$$(a) \begin{bmatrix} x & \\ & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ z & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ yzx^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & \\ & y \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(b) \begin{bmatrix} x & \\ & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & xzy^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & \\ & y \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus expressions like  $\begin{bmatrix} x & \\ z & y \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} x & z \\ & y \end{bmatrix}$  make good sense if  $x, y \in \text{St}(A)$  and  $z$  is a matrix of appropriate size. We shall sometimes abuse this notation by using  $z \in \text{St}(A)$  for which one should read  $\phi(z)$ .

DEFINITION.  $u \in U$  and  $l \in L$  are *permutable* if both lie in  $pLp^{-1}$  for some  $p \in P$ .

LEMMA 2.6. *If  $u \in U$  and  $l \in L$  are permutable, then  $ul \in LU$ .*

*Proof.* The argument of [2, p. 27–28] applies to any ring to show that  $L = (L \cap pLp^{-1}) \cdot (L \cap pUp^{-1})$ . Hence

$$p^{-1}Lp = (p^{-1}Lp \cap L) \cdot (p^{-1}Lp \cap U) \subset LU.$$

Finally, we set

$$\omega_{2,1}(a) = \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & \\ a & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & -a^{-1} \\ & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & \\ a & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \in \text{St}(A),$$

where  $a \in GL(n, A)$ . Note that

$$\phi(\omega_{2,1}(a)) = \begin{pmatrix} & -\phi a^{-1} \\ \phi a & \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{2,1}(a) = a^{-1} \omega_{2,1}(1_n) a.$$

We widen this notation by: abbreviating  $\omega_{2,1}(-1_n)$  to  $\omega_n \in P$ ; extending in the obvious way to include  $\omega_{i,j}(a) \in \text{St}(A)$ ; and abusing it by writing  $\omega_{i,j}(x)$  (where  $x \in \text{St}(A)$  is of dimension  $n$ ) in place of  $\omega_{i,j}(\phi x)$ .

### 3. THE REDUCTION IDENTITY IN $\text{St}(A)$

We are concerned here with the following identity in  $GL(A)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} ABCD &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ (AB)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\cdot \begin{pmatrix} D & \\ BCD & B \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -(CD)^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Of course, this identity may be verified by direct multiplication. If we take  $A, C \in \bar{P}$  and  $B, D \in \bar{L}$  (where we write  $\phi(P) = \bar{P}$ , etc.), then (3.1) shows that  $\bar{P}L\bar{P}L \subset \bar{L}P\bar{L}U$ , and hence the latter subset of  $E(A)$  is stable under left multiplication by  $\bar{P}$ . But it is obviously also stable under left multiplication by  $\bar{L}$ , and since  $\bar{P}$  and  $\bar{L}$  generate  $E(A)$ , we obtain

$$E(A) = \bar{L}\bar{P}\bar{L}\bar{U}. \tag{3.2}$$

This equation is the analogue in  $E(A)$  of our first main theorem:

DECOMPOSITION THEOREM 3.3.  $\text{St}(A) = LPLU$ .

If we regard  $A, B, C, D$  as elements of  $\text{St}(A)$  then the factors of (3.1) all

make sense as elements of  $St(A)$ , via the matrix notation of Section 2. Here we regard  $\begin{bmatrix} & \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  as  $\omega_{2,1}(-1)$ . Indeed the proof given above for the equality (3.2) applies to give (3.3) in virtue of:

**PROPOSITION 3.4** (The Reduction Identity for  $St(A)$ ). *Equation (3.1) holds in  $St(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Manipulating by the rules of Section 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} ABCD &= ABCD \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (CD)^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ -CD & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ CD & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -(CD)^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= AB \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D & \\ CD & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -(CD)^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= AB \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \omega_{2,1}(-1) \begin{bmatrix} C & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D & \\ CD & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -(CD)^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ (AB)^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} AB & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \omega_{2,1}(-1) \begin{bmatrix} C & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{bmatrix} D & \\ CD & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -(CD)^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ (AB)^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \omega_{2,1}(-1) \begin{bmatrix} C & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{bmatrix} D & \\ BCD & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -(CD)^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

**4. THE STRUCTURE THEOREM:  
STATEMENT AND SKETCH OF PROOF**

In this section we give a description of all possible ways of writing an element of  $St(A)$  as an element of  $LPLU$ , and give an outline of the proof, which is analogous to the proof of Matsumoto's theorem (cf. [4, Sects. 11 and 12]).

Let  $\tilde{A} = L \times P \times LU$ , and let  $R_0$  be the equivalence relation on it generated by the following elementary relations:

- $R_1: (\lambda l, \pi, \lambda' \mu) \sim (\lambda, \pi, l^\pi \lambda' \mu), \quad \text{where } l, l^\pi \in L.$
- $R_2: (\lambda, \pi p, \lambda' \mu) \sim (\lambda, \pi, p \lambda' \mu), \quad \text{where } p = lu l' \in P, l, l' \in L, u \in U \text{ and } u \text{ and } l' \lambda' \text{ are permutable (cf. Section 2).}$
- $R_3: (\lambda, \pi, \lambda' \mu) \sim (\lambda^p, \pi^p, (\lambda' \mu)^p), \quad \text{where } p \in P \text{ has the form } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & q \end{pmatrix}, \phi(\lambda \pi \lambda' \mu) \in E(n, A) \text{ and } \lambda^p, \lambda'^p \in L, \mu^p \in U.$

Next we consider two maps:

$$(4.1) \quad \cdot : L \times \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{A}/R_0$$

$$l \cdot (\lambda, \pi, \lambda'\mu) = (l\lambda, \pi, \lambda'\mu),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \cdot : P \times \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{A}/R_0$$

$$p \cdot (\lambda, \pi, \lambda'\mu) = \left( \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & \\ (p\lambda)^{-1} & 1 \end{array} \right], p\omega_n \pi, \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \lambda' & \\ \lambda\pi\lambda' & \lambda \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \mu & -(\pi\lambda')^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{array} \right] \right).$$

The latter map is well defined, independently of  $n$ , since increasing  $n$  (i.e., increasing the block size) has the effect of conjugating each “matrix” by a permutation which fixes the first  $n$  coordinates. By virtue of  $R_3$ , this is no change at all in  $\tilde{A}/R_0$ . Now let  $R$  be the smallest equivalence relation on  $\tilde{A}$  containing  $R_0$  and having the property  $xRy \Rightarrow a \cdot xRa \cdot y$  for all  $a \in L$  or  $P$ . We set  $A(A) = \tilde{A}/R$ . Our second main result is:

**STRUCTURE THEOREM 4.3.** *The map  $\tilde{A} \rightarrow \text{St}(A)$  sending  $(\lambda, \pi, \lambda'\mu) \rightarrow \lambda\pi\lambda'\mu$  induces a bijection of sets  $\psi: A(A) \rightarrow \text{St}(A)$ .*

In view of Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 3.4,  $\psi$  is well defined; moreover, the decomposition Theorem 3.3 shows  $\psi$  is surjective. The procedure for showing it is injective is to construct a *transitive left action* of  $\text{St}(A)$  on  $A(A)$  such that  $\psi$  becomes equivariant with respect to this action and the action of left multiplication of  $\text{St}(A)$  on itself. Suppose we have such an action. Then if  $\psi x = \psi y$  write  $y = ax$  for  $a \in \text{St}(A)$ . Thus  $\psi(x) = \psi(ax) = a\psi(x)$  and so  $a = 1$  and  $y = x$  and  $\psi$  is injective. It remains to construct the action. It is built up in stages. In Section 5 we describe the actions of  $L$  and  $P$  on  $A(A)$  which arise from formulas 4.1 and 4.2. In Section 6 we put these actions together to obtain an action of  $\text{St}(A)$  on  $A(A)$ , and show that  $\psi$  is equivariant. In Section 7 we finish the proof of Theorem 4.1 by showing the action is transitive.

### 5. THE ACTIONS OF $L$ AND $P$ ON $A(A)$

We begin by noting that the condition  $xRy \Rightarrow a \cdot xRa \cdot y$  for  $a \in L$  or  $P$  means that formulas (4.1) and (4.2) induce maps

$$(5.1) \quad \cdot : L \times A(A) \rightarrow A(A),$$

$$(5.2) \quad \cdot : P \times A(A) \rightarrow A(A).$$

It is perhaps remarkable that in the sequel we shall not use the relation  $R$  directly, but rather we shall use the existence of these two maps, together

with the elementary relations  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  and  $R_3$ . Indeed  $R_3$  will be used only once more, in the proof of Proposition 5.5.

Now the map (5.1) obviously gives a left action of  $L$  on  $A(A)$ . However, the fact that (5.2) yields an action must be proved.

**PROPOSITION 5.3.** *Formula (4.2) yields a left action of  $P$  on  $A(A)$ .*

*Proof.* First we show that  $1 \cdot (\lambda, \pi, \lambda'\mu) = (\lambda, \pi, \lambda'\mu)$ . The left-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ \lambda^{-1} & & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \omega_n \pi, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & \\ \lambda \pi \lambda' & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu & -(\pi \lambda')^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \left( \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \pi \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ -\pi & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \pi^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & -\pi & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & \\ \pi \lambda' & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu & -(\pi \lambda')^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{by } R_1 \\ &= \left( \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \pi, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ -\pi & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' \mu & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{by } R_2 \\ &= (\lambda, \pi, \lambda' \mu) \quad \text{by } R_1. \end{aligned}$$

Next we show that  $p \cdot (q \cdot (\lambda, \pi, \lambda'\mu)) = (pq) \cdot (\lambda, \pi, \lambda'\mu)$ . The left-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & p^{-1} & & \\ & & 1 & \\ (-pq\lambda)^{-1} & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, p\omega_{2n}q\omega_n\pi, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & & & \\ \lambda\pi\lambda' & \lambda & & \\ q\lambda\pi\lambda' & q\lambda & 1 & \\ & & & (q\lambda)^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ & \quad \times \begin{bmatrix} \mu & -(\pi\lambda')^{-1} & & & (\pi\lambda')^{-1} \\ & 1 & & & -1 \\ & & & & -1 \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ (pq\lambda)^{-1} & 1 & & \\ p^{-1} & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, p\omega_{2n}q\omega_n\pi, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & & & \\ \lambda\pi\lambda' & \lambda & & \\ q\lambda\pi\lambda' & q\lambda & 1 & \\ -\pi\lambda' & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ & \quad \times \begin{bmatrix} \mu & -(\pi\lambda')^{-1} & & & (\pi\lambda')^{-1} \\ & 1 & & & -1 \\ & & & & -1 \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{by } R_1 \end{aligned}$$

Now we use

$$p\omega_{2n}q\omega_n\pi = pq\omega_n\pi \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & -q & 1 & \\ \pi & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & q^{-1} & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & -q & 1 & \\ \pi & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

to get

$$= \left( \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ (pq\lambda)^{-1} & 1 & & \\ p^{-1} & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, pq\omega_n\pi, \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & -q & 1 & \\ \pi & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & & & \\ \lambda\pi\lambda' & \lambda & & \\ & & \mu & -(\pi\lambda')^{-1} \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu & -(\pi\lambda')^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{by } R_2 \\ = \text{the right-hand side by } R_1.$$

We end this section by giving two propositions which relate the effects of the actions of  $L$  and  $P$  on  $A(\mathcal{A})$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.4.** *If  $p \in P$  and  $l \in L$  satisfy  $l^p \in L$ , then  $l^p \cdot a = p^{-1} \cdot l \cdot p \cdot a$  for all  $a \in A(\mathcal{A})$ .*

*Proof.* Setting  $a = (\lambda, \pi, \lambda'\mu)$ , the right-hand expression is

$$\left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ l^{-1}p & & 1 & \\ -\lambda^{-1}p^{-1}l^{-1}p & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, p^{-1}\omega_{2n}p\omega_n\pi, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & & & \\ \lambda\pi\lambda' & \lambda & & \\ lp\lambda\pi\lambda' & lp\lambda & l & \\ & 1 & (p\lambda)^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \times \begin{bmatrix} \mu & -(\pi\lambda')^{-1} & & (\pi\lambda')^{-1} \\ & 1 & & -1 \\ & & -(p\lambda)^{-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ = \left( \begin{bmatrix} p^{-1}lp & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ p & & 1 & \\ -\lambda^{-1} & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, p^{-1}\omega_{2n}p\omega_n\pi, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & & & \\ \lambda\pi\lambda' & \lambda & & \\ p\lambda\pi\lambda' & p\lambda & 1 & \\ & 1 & (p\lambda)^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \times \begin{bmatrix} \mu & -(\pi\lambda')^{-1} & & (\pi\lambda')^{-1} \\ & 1 & & -1 \\ & & -(p\lambda)^{-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{by } R_1.$$



Now we use  $p^{-1}\omega_{2n}p\omega_n\pi = \pi\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3$  where  $\sigma_1 = \omega_{4,1}(\pi)$ ,  $\sigma_2 = \omega_{4,2}(-1)$ , and  $\sigma_3 = \omega_{3,2}(-p)$  to reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \begin{bmatrix} p^{-1}lp & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ p & & 1 & \\ -\lambda^{-1} & 1 & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \pi\sigma_1\sigma_2, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & & & \\ \lambda\pi\lambda' & \lambda & & \\ -p\lambda\pi\lambda' & -p\lambda & 1 & \\ & 1 & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left( \begin{bmatrix} \mu & -(\pi\lambda')^{-1} & (\pi\lambda')^{-1} \\ & 1 & -1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{by } R_2 \\ & = \left( \begin{bmatrix} p^{-1}lp\lambda & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ -1 & 1 & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \pi\sigma_1\sigma_2, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & & & \\ \pi\lambda' & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & 1 & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left( \begin{bmatrix} \mu & -(\pi\lambda')^{-1} & (\pi\lambda')^{-1} \\ & 1 & -1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{by } R_1 \\ & = \left( \begin{bmatrix} p^{-1}lp\lambda & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ -1 & 1 & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \pi\sigma_1, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ -\pi\lambda' & -1 & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left( \begin{bmatrix} \mu & -(\pi\lambda')^{-1} & (\pi\lambda')^{-1} \\ & 1 & -1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{by } R_2 \\ & = \left( \begin{bmatrix} p^{-1}lp\lambda & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ -1 & 1 & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \pi, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ \pi\lambda' & -1 & & 1 \end{bmatrix}^\mu \right) \quad \text{by } R_2 \\ & = p^{-1}lp \cdot (\lambda, \pi, \lambda'\mu) \quad \text{by } R_1. \end{aligned}$$

**PROPOSITION 5.5.** *Let  $\omega = \omega_{ij} \in P$  and  $x = x_{ij}^1 \in L$ . Then  $x \cdot \omega^{-1} \cdot x \cdot \omega \cdot x \cdot a = \omega \cdot a$  for all  $a \in A(A)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $x \cdot \omega \cdot x \cdot a = \omega \cdot x^{-1} \cdot \omega \cdot a$ . We set  $a = (\lambda, \pi, \lambda'\mu)$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \cdot x^{-1} \cdot \omega \cdot a &= \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & x\omega^{-1} & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \omega\omega_{2n}\omega\omega_n\pi, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & & & \\ \lambda\pi\lambda' & \lambda & & \\ x^{-1}\omega\lambda\pi\lambda' & x^{-1}\omega\lambda & x^{-1} & \\ & 1 & (\omega\lambda)^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu & -(\pi\lambda')^{-1} & & (\pi\lambda')^{-1} \\ & 1 & -(\omega\lambda)^{-1} & -1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ (\omega x^{-1}\omega\lambda)^{-1} & 1 & & \\ x\omega^{-1} & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \omega\omega_{2n}\omega\omega_n\pi, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & & & \\ \lambda\pi\lambda' & \lambda & & \\ x^{-1}\omega\lambda\pi\lambda' & x^{-1}\omega\lambda & x^{-1} & \\ -\pi\lambda & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &\quad \times \begin{bmatrix} \mu & -(\pi\lambda')^{-1} & & (\pi\lambda')^{-1} \\ & 1 & -(\omega\lambda)^{-1} & -1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{by } R_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now we write  $\omega\omega_{2n}\omega\omega_n\pi = \omega\omega_n\pi\omega_{3,2}(-\omega)(1_{2n} \oplus \omega)\omega_{4,1}(\pi)$  and use  $R_2$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ (\omega x^{-1}\omega\lambda)^{-1} & 1 & & \\ x\omega^{-1} & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \omega\omega_n\pi\omega_{3,2}(-\omega)(1_{2n} \oplus \omega), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & & & \\ \lambda\pi\lambda' & \lambda & & \\ x^{-1}\omega\lambda\pi\lambda' & x^{-1}\omega\lambda & x^{-1} & \\ \pi\lambda' & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu & -(\pi\lambda')^{-1} & & (\pi\lambda')^{-1} \\ & 1 & -(\omega\lambda)^{-1} & -1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ (\omega x^{-1}\omega\lambda)^{-1} & 1 & & \\ x\omega^{-1} & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \omega\omega_n\pi\omega_{3,2}(-\omega)(1_{2n} \oplus \omega), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & & & \\ \lambda\pi\lambda' & \lambda & & \\ x^{-1}\omega\lambda\pi\lambda' & x^{-1}\omega\lambda & x^{-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu & -(\pi\lambda')^{-1} & & (\pi\lambda')^{-1} \\ & 1 & -(\omega\lambda)^{-1} & -1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{by } R_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now use the fact that  $\omega x^{-1} = x x^{-1'}$  and  $R_2$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left( \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & & \\ (\omega x^{-1} \omega \lambda)^{-1} & 1 & \\ x \omega^{-1} & & 1 \end{array} \right], \omega \omega_n \pi \omega_{3,2}(-\omega), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \lambda' & & \\ \lambda \pi \lambda' & & \lambda \\ x x^{-1'} \omega \lambda \pi \lambda' & x x^{-1'} \omega \lambda & x \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \mu & -(\pi \lambda')^{-1} & \\ & 1 & -(\omega \lambda)^{-1} \\ & & x^{-1'} \end{array} \right] \right) \\
 &= \left( \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} x & & \\ (x^{-1} \omega x^{-1} \omega \lambda)^{-1} & 1 & \\ x \omega^{-1} x & & 1 \end{array} \right], \omega \omega_n \pi \omega_{3,2}(-\omega), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \lambda' & & \\ \lambda \pi \lambda' & & \lambda \\ x^{-1'} \omega \lambda \pi \lambda' & x^{-1'} \omega \lambda & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \mu & -(\pi \lambda')^{-1} & \\ & 1 & -(\omega \lambda)^{-1} \\ & & x^{-1'} \end{array} \right] \right) \quad \text{by } R_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we use the fact that  $x^{-1} \omega x^{-1} \omega = \omega x$  in  $E(A)$ , together with conjugation by  $1_{2n} \oplus \omega$  and the relation  $R_3$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left( \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} x & & \\ (\omega x \lambda)^{-1} & 1 & \\ (\omega x)^{-1} & & 1 \end{array} \right], \omega \omega_n \pi \omega_{3,2}(-1_n), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \lambda' & & \\ \lambda \pi \lambda' & \lambda & \\ x \lambda \pi \lambda' & x \lambda & x \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \mu & -(\pi \lambda')^{-1} & \\ & 1 & -\lambda^{-1} \\ & & 1 \end{array} \right] \right) \\
 &= \left( \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} x & & \\ (\omega x \lambda)^{-1} & 1 & \\ (\omega x)^{-1} & & x^{-1} \end{array} \right], \omega \omega_n \pi \omega_{3,2}(-1_n), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \lambda' & & \\ x \lambda \pi \lambda' & x \lambda & \\ x \lambda \pi \lambda' & x \lambda & x \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \mu & -(\pi \lambda')^{-1} & \\ & 1 & -\lambda^{-1} \\ & & 1 \end{array} \right] \right) \quad \text{by } R_1 \\
 &= \left( \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} x & & \\ (\omega x \lambda)^{-1} & 1 & \\ (\omega x)^{-1} & & x^{-1} \end{array} \right], \omega \omega_n \pi, \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 \end{array} \right] \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \lambda' & & \\ x \lambda \pi \lambda' & x \lambda & \\ & & x \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \mu & -(\pi \lambda')^{-1} & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{array} \right] \right) \quad \text{by } R_2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left( \left[ \begin{array}{cc} x & \\ (\omega x \lambda)^{-1} & 1 \end{array} \right], \omega \omega_n \pi, \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \lambda' & \\ x \lambda \pi \lambda' & x \lambda \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \mu & -(\pi \lambda')^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{array} \right] \right) \quad \text{by } R_1 \\
 &= x \cdot \omega \cdot x \cdot a.
 \end{aligned}$$

6. THE ACTION OF  $St(A)$  ON  $A(A)$

We first construct an action of  $U$  on  $A(A)$ . If  $u \in U$ , there always exist elements  $p \in P$  such that  $u^p \in L$ . We define

$$u \cdot a = p \cdot u^p \cdot p^{-1} \cdot a \quad \text{for } a \in A(A). \tag{6.1}$$

Of course (6.1) is independent of the choice of  $p$  by virtue of Proposition 5.4, and hence gives an action of  $U$  on  $A(A)$ .

Now we combine the actions of  $L$  and  $U$  to obtain an action of  $L^*U$  (the free product) on  $A(A)$ . Let  $N$  be the kernel of the canonical epimorphism  $L^*U \rightarrow St(A)$ .

**PROPOSITION 6.2.** *The action of  $L^*U$  on  $A(A)$  is trivial on  $N$ , and passes down to an action of  $St(A)$  on  $A(A)$  extending the action of  $P$ .*

*Proof.*  $N \subset L^*U$  is generated by elements of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[x_{ij}^\lambda, x_{kl}^\mu], \quad i \neq l, j \neq k, \text{ where } \lambda, \mu \in A \text{ (cf. Section 2),} \\
 &[x_{ij}^\lambda, x_{jk}^\mu] x_{ik}^{\lambda\mu}, \quad i \neq l.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Of course, when the factors  $x_{ij}^\lambda$ , etc. in an expression of one of the forms given by (6.3) all lie in  $L$ , or all lie in  $U$ , then the expression is identically 1, and so acts trivially. When the factors are of mixed type, we can always choose an element  $\pi \in P$ , such that by conjugating each factor by  $\pi$  we obtain an expression all of whose factors lie in  $L$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $\prod_{q=1}^s x_{i_q j_q}^\lambda \in L^*U$  acts like  $\pi \{ \prod_{q=1}^s (x_{i_q j_q}^\lambda)^\pi \} \pi^{-1} \in L^*P$ , where we assume  $(x_{i_q j_q}^\lambda)^\pi \in L$  for all  $q$ . This follows by induction on  $s$ , once we note that it holds for  $s = 1$ , either by Definition 6.1 or by Proposition 5.4. Finally we note that the formula given in Proposition 5.5 shows that the action of the generators of  $P$  via the action induced from  $St(A)$  is the same as their action via the original action of  $P$  given by (4.2).

We finish this section by showing that the map  $\psi: A(A) \rightarrow St(A)$  induced by sending  $(\lambda, \pi, \lambda'\mu) \rightarrow \lambda\pi\lambda'\mu$  is equivariant with respect to the left action of  $St(A)$  on  $A(A)$  described above and the canonical left action of  $St(A)$  on itself. We need only check the equivariance for elements of  $P$  and  $L$  since these generate  $St(A)$ . For elements of  $L$ , this is obvious, and for elements of  $P$  this follows from the Reduction Identity (3.4) applied to the definition of the action of  $P$ .

7. THE ACTION IS TRANSITIVE

In this section we complete the proof of the structure theorem by showing the action of  $\text{St}(A)$  on  $A(A)$  is transitive. This we do in a series of lemmas.

LEMMA 7.1. *If  $p \in P$ , then  $p \cdot (1, 1, \lambda'\mu) = (1, p, \lambda'\mu)$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} p \cdot (1, 1, \lambda'\mu) &= \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ p^{-1} & & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, p\omega_n, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu & -\lambda'^{-1} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ p^{-1} & & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, p, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & & \\ -\lambda' & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu & & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{by } R_2 \\ &= \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, p, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu & & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{by } R_1 \\ &= (1, p, \lambda'\mu). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 7.2. *If  $\lambda \in L$  then  $\lambda \cdot (1, 1, \lambda'\mu) = (1, 1, \lambda\lambda'\mu)$ .*

*Proof.*  $\lambda \cdot (1, 1, \lambda'\mu) = (\lambda, 1, \lambda'\mu) = (1, 1, \lambda\lambda'\mu)$  by  $R_1$ .

LEMMA 7.3.  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & u \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot (1, 1, \mu) = (1, 1, \begin{bmatrix} \mu & u \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix})$ .

*Proof.* One easily verifies that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & u \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ 1 & -u & 1 \end{bmatrix} \omega_{3,1}(-1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & u & 1 \end{bmatrix} \omega_{3,1}(-1)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ -1 & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now by Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & u & 1 \end{bmatrix} \omega_{3,1}(-1)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ -1 & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot (1, 1, \mu) \\ &= \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & u & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \omega_{3,1}(-1)^{-1}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ -1 & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mu \right) \\ &= \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & u & 1 \end{bmatrix}, 1, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ 1 & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mu \right) \quad \text{by } R_2 \\ &= \left( 1, 1, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ 1 & u & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mu \right) \quad \text{by } R_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot (1, 1, 1) \\
 &= \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & -u & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \omega_{31}(-1), \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & u & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mu \right) \\
 &= \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & -u & 1 \end{bmatrix}, 1, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & u & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mu \right) \text{ by } R_2 \\
 &= \left( 1, 1, \begin{bmatrix} \mu & u & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \text{ by } R_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 7.4. *If  $u \in U$ , then  $u \cdot (1, 1, 1) = (1, 1, u)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $u$  is  $2 \times 2$  this follows from Lemma 7.3. If  $u$  is  $n \times n$  write it as  $u = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ & \mu \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $\mu$  is  $n - 1 \times n - 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mu \cdot (1, 1, 1) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot (1, 1, \mu) \quad \text{by induction} \\
 &= \left( 1, 1, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mu \right) \quad \text{by 7.3.}
 \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 7.5. *St( $\mathcal{A}$ ) acts transitively on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$ .*

*Proof.* The preceding lemmas combine to yield  $\lambda\pi\lambda'\mu \cdot (1, 1, 1) = (\lambda, \pi, \lambda'\mu)$ .

### 8. REMARKS ON SOME EXTENSIONS OF THE STRUCTURE THEOREM

As we noted in Section 3, the decomposition theorem certainly holds in  $E(\mathcal{A})$ . What can be said about the structure theorem in that context? The difficulty arises in trying to control the kernel of  $\phi = K_2(\mathcal{A})$  as we pass from  $\text{St}(\mathcal{A})$  to  $E(\mathcal{A})$ . If we are not careful, it will give rise to relations in  $E(\mathcal{A})$  which are *not* consequences of our standard relations  $R_1, R_2, R_3$  via the maps (4.1) and (4.2). However, if  $K_2(\mathcal{A})$  arises in  $\mathcal{P}$  itself (as it does in the case  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{Z}$ ) we have very good control. This suggests that we try extend the

structure theorem using a larger group than  $P$ , in order to have more chance to control  $K_2(A)$ .

Let  $V \subset A$  be a group of units and let  $P_V$  be the subgroup of  $\text{St}(A)$  generated by  $w_{ij}(v) = x_{ij}^v x_{ji}^{-v^{-1}} x_{ij}^v$ . The image  $\bar{P}_V = \phi(P_V)$  is a group of generalized permutation matrices. Our previous arguments extend almost verbatim to this context, and yield a *structure theorem* identical to Theorem 4.3 except that  $P$  is replaced by  $P_V$  throughout.

Now if  $K_2(A) \subset P_V$ , then there is a structure theorem for  $E(A)$  identical to (4.1) except that  $L, P, U$  are replaced by  $\bar{L}, \bar{P}_V, \bar{U}$ . To see this, suppose  $\bar{\lambda} \bar{\pi} \bar{\lambda}' \bar{\mu} = \bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{\pi} \bar{\lambda}'_1 \bar{\mu}_1$ . Then  $\lambda \pi \lambda' \mu = \lambda_1 (c\pi) \lambda'_1 \mu$ , for some  $c \in K_2(A) \subset P_V$ . Hence  $(\lambda, \pi, \lambda' \mu)$  is equivalent to  $(\lambda_1, c\pi, \lambda'_1 \mu_1)$  and so  $(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\lambda}' \bar{\mu})$  is equivalent to  $(\bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\pi}_1, \bar{\lambda}'_1 \bar{\mu}_1)$ .

If we assume not only  $K_2(A) \subset P_V$  but also  $V \subset GL(1, A) \rightarrow K_1(A)$  is onto, then we get a structure theorem for  $GL(A)$ , based on  $\bar{P}'_V$ , the group of generalized permutation matrices generated by  $\bar{P}$  and  $V \subset GL(1, A) \subset GL(A)$ . Indeed if  $\lambda \pi \lambda' \mu = \lambda_1 \pi_1 \lambda'_1 \mu_1$ , choose  $v \in V$  so that  $\pi \equiv v^{-1} \pmod{E(A)}$ . Then  $\lambda(\pi \oplus v) \lambda' \mu = \lambda_1 (\pi_1 \oplus v) \lambda'_1 \mu_1$  in  $E(A)$  and hence  $(\lambda, \pi \oplus v, \lambda' \mu)$  is equivalent to  $(\lambda_1, \pi_1 \oplus v, \lambda'_1 \mu_1)$ . Multiplying by  $1 \oplus v^{-1} \in \bar{P}'_V$  we obtain  $(\lambda, \pi, \lambda' \mu)$  equivalent to  $(\lambda_1, \pi_1, \lambda'_1 \mu_1)$ .

## REFERENCES

1. H. BASS, "Algebraic  $K$ -Theory," Benjamin, New York, 1969.
2. A. BOREL, "Introduction Aux Groupes Arithmétiques," Herman, Paris, 1969.
3. K. DENNIS AND M. STEIN, The functor  $K_2$ : A survey of computations and problems, in "Algebraic  $K$ -Theory 2" (H. Bass, Ed.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 342, pp. 243–280, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1973.
4. J. MILNOR, "Introduction to Algebraic  $K$ -Theory," Annals of Math Studies. No. 72, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1972.
5. R. W. SHARPE, On the structure of the unitary Steinberg group, *Ann. of Math.* **96**, 3 (1972), 444–479.
6. R. STEINBERG, Générateurs, relations et revêtements de groupes algébriques, in "Colloq. Théorie des groupes algébriques, Bruxelles, 1962," pp. 113–127.
7. J. H. C. WHITEHEAD, Simple homotopy types, *Amer. J. Math.* **72** (1950), 1–57.